

Comments Regarding Maine Bureau of Insurance L.D. 2007 (P.L. 2019, ch. 653)

Steps for Implementing the Pooled Market (24-A M.R.S. § 2792) and Section 1332 Waiver (24-A M.R.S. §§ 2792 and 2781)

September 3, 2020

Overview MGARA Comments

Introductions and Scope:

- Chris Howard Conveying Board Perspectives
- Dave Williams Milliman Actuarial Analysis

Preface to Board Perspectives:

- Recognition that this Policy Initiative is the Superintendent's Decision the Board is offering its observations and perspectives to aid in decisionmaking
- MGARA Board stands ready to implement whichever decision the Superintendent makes to the best of its ability

MGARA Perspectives – Analysis Context

- Board appreciated the opportunity for consulting actuaries for both the Bureau and MGARA to provide independent (but coordinated) analyses
- Gorman and Milliman applied different methodologies in their analysis, they came to relatively similar results, <u>but there are</u> <u>some notable differences</u>.
- Gorman analysis focuses (quite appropriately) on the year 2022, which is the measuring stick for LD 2007 decision-making criterion
- Board tasked Milliman with a slightly different job evaluate the overall impact on MGARA and its effectiveness.

Fundamental Concern

- Merger will provide some modest relief to the Small Group Market, however at the cost of significantly reducing MGARA's ability to deliver benefit to the Individual Market by a margin of approximately 30% to 50%.
- That reduction is in large part due to:
 - a reduction in Section 1332 pass-through payments, which Milliman is projecting at \$5.4 million vs. Gorman's projection of \$23.9 million,
 - a significant draw down of surplus (estimated at \$18.5 million in year one of operations) and
 - a significant increase in attachment points from the current level of \$65,000/\$95,000 to an estimated \$350,000 in the first year following the merger, and in the range of \$485,000 in our second year of operation, which would also be indicative of future years' attachment points.

Resulting Volatility = Less MGARA Value to Carriers

- MGARA will be heavily reliant on increases in attachment points in order to operate on an actuarially sound basis
- This will introduce year-to-year volatility into MGARA's operations that we believe is likely to reduce the "value" attributed to MGARA by the member insurers
- The value that carriers place on MGARA's program is a critical element in maximizing the Section 1332 pass-through payments from the federal government
- As attachment points fluctuate could be serious downward pressure on the value of the program and pass-through payments

MGARA Value Per Life Per Month

MGARA value per life per month

| | \$65K/\$95K | \$65K/\$95K | \$350K | | | | | |
|--|-------------|-------------|---------|----|---|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | | | | | |
| Revenue | | | | | | | | |
| Regular Assessment | 22.4 | 22.2 | 22.2 | | | | | |
| Premiums | 37.9 | 39.3 | 0 | | | | | |
| GrantRevenue | 26.3 | 28.3 | 5.4 | _ | | | | |
| Total Revenue | 86.6 | 89.8 | 27.6 | | | | | |
| Expenditures | | | | | | | | |
| Claims Incurred | 80.9 | 80.9 | 45.5 | | | | | |
| Fees | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | | | | | |
| Total Expenditures | 81.5 | 81.5 | 46.1 | - | | | | |
| Revenue Less Expenditures | 5.1 | 8.3 | (18.5) | | | | | |
| Beginning Surplus | 29.9 | 35.0 | 43.3 | | | | | |
| Ending Surplus | 35.0 | 43.3 | 24.8 | | | | | |
| RBC Ratio | 530% | 776% | 528% | | | | | |
| Ce de d Lives | 5,290 | 5,280 | 0 | | | MGARA va | alue per life p | ber month |
| Average Individual Market-Wide Premium | \$847 | \$689 | \$756 | | | | | |
| MGARA Value to Market | \$40.1 | \$38.7 | \$40.3 | | / | \$56 | \$54 | \$31 |
| Market Lives | 60.000 | 59,800 | 108,900 | | / | DC | ຈວ4 | $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$ |
| MGARA Value Per Life Per Month | \$56 | \$54 | \$31 | 5/ | | | | |
| | - | - | - | | | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
| | | | | | | | | |

Factors Driving this Result

The key drivers of this result are a combination of factors, not any single factor:

- Increase in MGARA lives 64,000+/- to over 100,000
- Fixed assessment at \$4 PMPM which was established to address a 2012 environment and continues to degrade
- Reductions in 1332 Grant revenue
- Elimination of ceding premium which serves as an important <u>stabilizing factor</u> by helping to keep attachment points at a lower, more effective level
- Individual market's loss of a material percentage of its most subsidized lives to Medicaid
- No APTC from small group lives added to the program

Trade-Offs for 1-Time Reset of SG Trendline

- There are trade-offs inherent in merging the markets
- The relative risk of the Individual Market and Small Group Market populations is converging, and we believe that trend will continue.
 - The Individual Market has become considerably more stable and rates have improved
 - The Small Group Market has experienced significant deterioration in both membership and rates
- Merger will provide a one-time benefit to the Small Group Market, re-setting this trend line in 2022.
- The trade-off for that one-time re-set of the trend line is a serious reduction in MGARA's impact on the Individual Market.
- These results are shown in Gorman Figures 1 & 2 below

One-Time Reset Average Premium Reduction due to MGARA

(Source: Gorman Report - Policy Option for Maine Individual and Small Group Markets Prepared for the Maine Bureau of Insurance 8/25/20)



Small Group Market Projected Premiums

Reduction in MGARA Impact on Ind Mkt Average Premium Reduction due to MGARA

(Source: Gorman Report - Policy Option for Maine Individual and Small Group Markets Prepared for the Maine Bureau of Insurance 8/25/20)



Individual Market Projected Premiums

Conclusion

- Board is not making a value judgment regarding the trade-off
- Sharing our view of the relevant considerations from MGARA's perspective so that the Superintendent has that information in making his decision