

CPAs & BUSINESS ADVISORS

STATE OF MAINE CONTROLLER TRAINING

Day 2 | September 15, 2020



STATE OF MAINE	
GASB 87 ACCOUNTING AND IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATIONS	
September 16, 2020	
© 2019 RSM US LLP. All Rights Reserved.	RSM
3	



































































<section-header><section-header><text><text><text><text><text><text><text>





ELEMENTS OF GASB-90

- Interest must be in a <u>legally separate entity</u> (keep that phrase in mind...)
- Could result in <u>either</u>:
 - An investment; or
 - Component unit.
- Decision is based on intent.
- Could change how assets and liabilities of wholly separate government organizations that remain legally separate are reported.
- Where changes could occur- and *potentially* reported prospectively:
 - Fiduciary activities holding such investments, should report as investments.
 - For all other activities, if results in a component unit, report using component unit guidance. EideBailly





ANOTHER WAY TO LOOK AT THE DECISIONS

Does the Majority Equity Interest Meet the Definition of an Investment?

Yes	Νο		
Report as an investment	Report as a component unit		
• Measure the value of the investment using the equity method of accounting [GASB Cod. Secs. 150.112-116 (GASB-62, pars. 205-209)] UNLESS THERE'S AN EXCEPTION MET	Recognize an asset for the majority equity interest measured using the equity method [GASB Cod. Secs. I50.112-116 (GASB-62, pars. 205-209)] (If acquired during period –use Acquisition Value) Application – prospective only		
Exceptions – All R	eport at Fair Value:		
Special purpose governments engaged only in fiduciary activities			
• Fiduciary funds			
• Endowments (permanent and term) and	d permanent funds		



CONDUIT DEBT OBLIGATIONS (GASB-91) [GASB COD. SEC. 665]

Effective Date – Periods beginning after December 15, 2021 (January 1, 2022 / July 1, 2022). Early application encouraged.





The terms revenue bonds, limited obligation, and limited-obligation revenue bonds - not included in the definition of conduit debt.





Whether a government-issuer is the recipient of debt proceeds or the provider of debt service payments not a defining characteristic.

Financial reporting of conduit debt:

A conduit debt obligation - the total financing -<u>should not be reported</u> as a liability of <u>the issuer</u>.
The issuer should report a liability only when a payment by the issuer is more likely than not. (GASB-70)

MORE ON CONDUIT DEBT

- Could have arrangements associated with conduit debt typically leases:
 - Usually involves acquisition / construction of capital assets
 - Common in state revolving funds, dorms, housing
- Would **not** be a lease could be one of 3 categories:

Facts	Final Accounting / Reporting
Issuer relinquishes title at end of dorms	No liability at issuer (unless guarantee met), no asset, no receivable
 Issuer <u>does not</u> relinquish title 3rd party obligor has exclusive right to use dorm asset 	No liability at issuer (unless guarantee met), no asset, no receivable. When arrangement ends (debt is paid,) issuer recognizes asset at acquisition value
 Issuer <u>does not</u> relinquish title 3rd party obligor only can use <u>portion</u> of asset (e.g. retail also in dorm complex) 	Issuer recognizes entire capital asset at acquisition value. No liability at issuer (unless guarantee met), no receivable. Offset to capital asset is deferred inflow of resources, amortized to revenue.



OMNIBUS 2020

- GASB's Omnibus standards are 'correcting' standards addressing multiple topics.
- Beyond GASB-84, amendments to:
 - Leases
 - Government Combinations and Disposals of Operations
 - Derivative Instruments
 - Applicability of GASB-73 and 74 (indirectly related to GASB-84)

EideBailly

- Fair Value Measurements
- Intra-entity Transfers of Assets
- Reinsurance Recoveries

Торіс	Change(s)
Leases	Clarifies effective date of GASB-87 and related implementation guide to <i>fiscal</i> years beginning after December 15, 2019 and all reporting periods thereafter (further changed in GASB-95)!
Government Combinations and Disposals of Operations	Inserts an exception to the use of acquisition value in the measurement of an acquired ARO (found when governments were implementing GASB-83)
Derivative Instruments	Changes the terminology to derivatives to 'derivative instruments' (worldwide change)
GASB-73 and 74	Replaces references to 'control of assets' due to GASB-84
Fair Value Measurement	Adjusts the example of nonrecurring fair value measurements in one paragraph
Intra-entity Transfers of Assets	Mirrors what is in <i>Implementation Guide</i> 2019-1 – amounts associated with a transfer of capital or financial assets from an employer (or another entity) to a defined benefit pension or OPEB plan is at carrying value to value contributions (not at fair value) – applies to all transfers of assets within a financial reporting entity – caution – not a budgetary solution in most cases!
Reinsurance Recoveries	Minor change in terminology in insurance pools

Requirements	Implementation Date(s)		
Leases			
Reinsurance Recoveries	IMMEDIATELY!		
Derivative Instruments			
Intra-entity Transfers of Assets	Fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2021		
GASB-73 and 74			
GASB-84 Items			
Fair Value Measurement	Reporting Periods beginning after June 15, 2021		
Government Combinations and Disposals of Operations	For government acquisitions occurring in reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2021		



REPLACEMENT OF INTERBANK OFFERED RATES



LIBOR = London Interbank Offered Rate – a basket of 10 currencies and 15 maturities from overnight up to one year set by banks in London daily. But there is also TIBOR (Tokyo) and EURIBOR (Euros) Change impacts \$350 TRILLION market

- Problem reference interest rates are going 'global':
 - LIBOR being phased out (some say due to Brexit);
 - GASB standards reference LIBOR especially GASB-53 (GASB Cod. Sec. D40);
- Effective Date Adjusted for GASB-95:
 - Reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2021
 - Replacement of LIBOR as an appropriate interest rate – reporting periods beginning after December 31, 2021 EideBailly.







P3'S — E Availability Payment Arrangement	BIG DEALS Arrangement in which a government compensates an operator for services including designing, constructing, financing, maintaining, or operating a non-financial asset for a period of time in an exchange or exchange-like transaction. Could result in a transfer of asset or just an outflow of resources.	Jargon is half the battle
Public-Private Partnership (P3)	Arrangement in which a government (the transferor) contracts with an operator (a nongovernmental entity) to provide public services by conveying control of the right to operate or use a nonfinancial asset (such as infrastructure) or other capital asset (the underlying P3 asset) for a period of time in an exchange or exchange-like transaction. <i>Hmmm where did I hear that before???</i>	
Public-Public Partnership	Same as a P3 but with another government.	
Service Concession Arrangement	P3 where an operator collects and is compensated from fees from third parties, the government transferor, determines or has the ability to modify or approve services provided, who the services are provided to and finally, the government has a significant residual interest in the underlying P3 asset at the end of the arrangement.	EideBailly.

EXAMPLES	
Туре	Example
Availability Payment Arrangement	Design – build – finance – operate a transit system. Corporation collects all fares for the period and remit to government. Government pays fixed payments based on certain milestones.
Public-Private Partnership (P3)	Tollway operating agreement between a state and a bank (that may or may not meet the definition of a service concession arrangement)
Public-Public Partnership	Tollway operating agreement between a state and a government authority (that may or may not meet the definition of a service concession arrangement)
Service Concession Arrangement	Municipal golf course and pool solely open to citizens of the municipality operated by a private operator, but with oversight by the municipality (likely a P3 per GASB-94)
	EideBailly



AS A RESULT...TRANSACTIONS MAY DIFFER

Scenario	Guidance
Government has a P3. The P3 meets the definition of a lease but not a service concession arrangement.	Apply the guidance in GASB-87, as amended
Transferor government executes a P3 on an existing asset	Apply GASB-94, recognize a receivable for installment payments (if any) and a deferred inflow of resources
Transferor government executes a P3, but either a new or improved asset results – P3 meets the criteria for an SCA that is purchased (or constructed) by the operator	Apply GASB-94 – transferor recognizes the underlying asset, receivable for installment payments to be received (if any) and a deferred inflow of resources
Transferor government executes a P3, but either a new or improved asset results – P3 DOES NOT meet the criteria for an SCA that is purchased (or constructed) by the operator	Apply GASB-94 – transferor <u>recognizes a</u> <u>receivable for the underlying P3 asset at acquisition</u> <u>value as of the future date of the transfer of</u> <u>ownership of the P3 asset</u> from the operator, receivable for installment payments to be received (if any) and a deferred inflow of resources
	EideBailly





DEBITS AND CREDITS - TRANSFERORS				
	Party	Assets	Liabilities	Deferred Inflow of Resources
P3 is an <u>Existing</u> <u>Asset</u>	Transferor	Receivable for installment payments to be received, if any	None	For amount of assets recognized + payments received from the operator before the start of the P3 term
P3 is a <u>new</u> asset purchased or constructed	Transferor – P3 is an SCA	Capital asset for the underlying P3 measured at <u>acquisition value</u> upon operation	None	For amount of assets recognized + payments received from the operator before the start of the P3 term
by the operator <u>or</u> existing asset <u>improved</u> by operator	Transferor – P3 is NOT an SCA	Receivable for underlying P3 asset at expected acquisition value as of the <u>future date</u> of the transfer of ownership	None	For amount of assets recognized + payments received from the operator before the start of the P3 term



	Party	Assets	Liabilities	Additional Liability
P3 is an Existing Asset	Government Operator	Intangible Right to Use Asset	Installment payments – if any	None
23 is a <u>new</u> asset ourchased	Government Operator - P3 is an SCA	Intangible Right to Use Asset	Installment payments – if any	None
or <u>constructed</u> by the operator <u>or</u> existing asset <u>improved</u> by operator	Government - Operator – P3 is NOT an SCA	Underlying P3 asset until ownership of the underlying P3 asset is transferred to the transferee	Liability for underlying P3 asset measured at expected acquisition value as of the future date of the transfer	Installment payments – if any





Public-Public Partnership Arrangement for an Existing Tollway that Meets the Definition of a Service Concession Arrangement

Public-Public Partnership Arrangement for that Meets the Definition of a Service Concession Arrangement to Design, Build and Operate a New Tunnel

Public-Public Partnership Arrangement for that DOES NOT meet the Definition of a Service Concession Arrangement to Design, Build and Operate a New Bridge

Availability Payment Arrangements to Design, Build, Finance and Operate a Bridge

EideBailly.







DATES		
Reporting Periods Beginning After	GASB Statement No.	Statement
12/15/2019	GASB-84	Fiduciary Activities
12/15/2019	GASB-90	Majority Equity Interests
6/15/2021	GASB-87	Leases
12/15/2020	GASB-89	Accounting for Interest Cost Incurred before the End of a Construction Period
12/15/2021	GASB-91	Conduit Debt Obligations
6/15/2021	GASB-92	Omnibus 2020 (Some parts effective upon issuance)
6/15/2021	GASB-93	Replacement of Interbank Offered Rates (sunset of LIBOR as an appropriate interest rate effective for reporting periods beginning after December 31, 2021)
6/15/2022	GASB-94	Public-Private and Public-Public Partnerships and Availability Payment Arrangements
N/A	GASB-95	Postponement of the Effective Dates of Certain Authoritative Guidance
6/15/2022	GASB-96	Subscription-Based Information Technology Arrangements
6/15/2021 (some elements immediate)	GASB-97	Certain Component Unit Criteria, and Accounting and Financial Reporting for Internal Revenue Code Section 457 Deferred Compensation Plans

HIST IN CASE VOIL NEED & CALENDAD AD HISTED FOD NEW

41

JUST IN CASE YOU NEED A CALENDAR - ADJUSTED FOR NEW DATES

Reporting Periods Beginning After	GASB Implementation Guide	Statement
6/15/2020	2019-1	Implementation Guidance Update—2019
12/15/2019	2019-2	Fiduciary Activities
6/15/2021	2019-3	Leases
6/1 <i>5</i> /2021 / 12/15/2021	2020-1	Implementation Guide Update - 2020
Immediate	GASB Technical Bulletin 2020-1	Accounting and Financial Reporting Issues Related to the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (CARES) Act of 2020 and COVID-19
		EideBailly.






WHAT DID THE GASB DECIDE?

- Definition of a SBITA:
 - A contract that conveys control of the <u>right to use</u> hardware, software, or a combination of both, including IT infrastructure, as specified in the contract <u>for a</u> <u>period of time</u> in an exchange or exchange-like transaction.
- Sound familiar??



































































Question 1 Response (continued)

The U.S. Treasury has determined that CRF resources are not grants and instead has identified the resources as "other financial assistance" under 2 C.F.R. §200.40. However, the provisions in paragraph 8 of Statement 33 require that, for accounting and financial reporting purposes, the focus of the analysis be on the substance of a transaction. The CARES Act, as clarified through the FAQs, stipulates certain conditions that are required to be met, such as the incurrence of eligible expenditures. Those conditions are identified, for accounting and financial reporting purposes, as eligibility requirements. In addition, the recipient government has the ability not to accept the resources. Therefore, the CRF funds are identified as voluntary nonexchange transactions, subject to eligibility requirements rather than purpose restrictions.

Based on the provisions in paragraph 15 of Statement 33, as amended, a recipient government should recognize resources received from the CRF as liabilities until the applicable eligibility requirements are met, including the incurrence of eligible expenditures. When the recipient government has met the eligibility requirements established in the CARES Act, that government should recognize revenue for CRF resources received. **RSM**

© 2020 RSM US LLP. All Rights Reserved.



Question 3

If amendments to the CARES Act are enacted after a government's statement of net position date but prior to the issuance of financial statements, should the government consider those amendments as the basis for recognition in financial statements for the period reported?

Response

No. Any amendment to the CARES Act enacted after the statement of net position date should be considered a nonrecognized subsequent event pursuant to the provisions in paragraphs 8–12 of Statement 56, as amended. Amendments to the CARES Act, even when enacted with retroactive provisions, subsequent to the statement of net position date but before the issuance of financial statements do not represent conditions that existed as of the period-end being reported. Any amendment to the CARES Act enacted subsequent to the statement of net position date should be considered in the financial statements for the reporting period in which the amendment was enacted.

© 2020 RSM US LLP. All Rights Reserved.





Question 5

Should CARES Act resources provided through the Provider Relief Fund (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services), the Higher Education Emergency Relief Fund (U.S. Department of Education), the CARESAct Airport Grants (Federal Aviation Administration), and the Formula Grants for RuralAreas and UrbanizedArea Formula Grants programs (Federal Transit Administration) to a business-type activity or enterprise fund be reported as non-operating revenues?

Response

Except as noted in paragraph 15, the aforementioned resources provided pursuant to the CARES Act are subsidies and should be reported as nonoperating revenues. The resources provided pursuant to the CARES Act for the programs previously identified are intended to assist governments in responding to the coronavirus disease in various ways, such as by reimbursing governments for allowable costs incurred in responding to the healthcare emergency, assisting governments with their loss of revenue, or broadly supporting operating costs. The provisions in paragraph 102 of Statement 34 establish that a consideration for the presentation of revenues as operating or nonoperating is the classification of the inflows in the statement of cash flows. Further guidance is provided in paragraph 21 of Statement

RSM

© 2020 RSM US LLP. All Rights Reserved.



Question 6

Should outflows of resources incurred in response to the coronavirus disease due to, for example, actions taken to slow the spread of the virus, adjustments in the provision of services, or the implementation of "stay-at-home" orders, be reported as extraordinary items or special items?

Response

No. The provisions in paragraph 55 of Statement 34, as amended, provide that extraordinary items are transactions or events that are both unusual in nature *and* infrequent in occurrence. As described in paragraph 46b of Statement 62, an item is infrequent in occurrence if the *type* of event is not reasonably expected to recur in the foreseeable future, taking into account the environment in which the government operates.

For purposes of presentation, the type of event being considered is the appearance of a coronavirus disease; that is, management's response to the event is not the event considered in the analysis. Based on experience, it is reasonable to expect that coronavirus diseases will recur in the foreseeable future. Because the type of event is not infrequent, a government's outflows of resources incurred in response to a coronavirus disease should not be reported as an extraordinary item.

© 2020 RSM US LLP. All Rights Reserved.



















Today's Presenter



Zack Doyle

Supervisor – RSM Risk Consulting

• Digital Forensics and Incident Response

- Incident triage and response
- Cyber threat research and monitoring
- Malware analysis
- Endpoint detection and response
- Internal tool development and automation
- Certifications
 - GIAC Certified Forensic Analyst (GCFA)
 - GIAC Reverse Engineering Malware (GREM)
 - GIAC Advisory Board Member

Zack Doyle@rsmus.com / +1 617 241 2740

RSM

3 20 RSM US LLP. All Rights Reserved.



















































































	Proof of Concept	Pilot	
Purpose	Demonstrate product features	Demonstrate RPA Lifecycle	When to Consider a Pilot
Target	Business Success	Development process success	
Scope	Happy path, small data sets	End to end process, entire datasets	 Extensive process research has been conducted at the client level, and the client wants an en- to end process automated and ready for production
Production Readiness	Low	High	
Time to Value	2-5 days	4 – 8 weeks	
Typical Team Size	1-2	3-4	 Desire to watch the resulting automation in action for an extended period of time
Governance	Minimal	Significant	
Environment Capacity	Minimal	Moderate	Affinity to learn the bot development lifecycle
KPI	Speed to result	Enforcing Methodology	 Ready to build a larger business case based on existing automation
Licensing	Trial or Limited license	Purchased	
Documentation	None	Extensive	
Next Steps	Pilot	Scalability planning	





