

# MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the  
**LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY**  
at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library  
<http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib>



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied  
(searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

Senate Legislative Record  
One Hundred and Twenty-Sixth Legislature  
State of Maine

Daily Edition

First Regular Session  
beginning December 5, 2012

beginning at Page 1

STATE OF MAINE  
OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR  
1 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0001

June 24, 2013

The 126th Legislature of the State of Maine  
State House  
Augusta, Maine

Dear Honorable Members of the 126th Legislature:

Under the authority vested in me by Article IV, Part Third, Section 2 of the Constitution of the State of Maine, I am hereby vetoing LD 1509, "An Act Making Unified Appropriations and Allocations for the Expenditures of State Government, General Fund and Other Funds and Changing Certain Provisions of the Law Necessary to the Proper Operations of State Government for the Fiscal Years Ending June 30, 2013, June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2015."

This veto is not one done lightly. When I submitted a balanced budget, I knew there would be areas of concern for many legislators. That is why we had nearly six months to work together and find a solution that would have made hard decisions while still protecting our most vulnerable. It was an opportunity for our State to do something great, to make real changes for the better. Unfortunately, it was an opportunity missed.

There are two groups harmed by this budget, the first being students. They are harmed by the education cuts forced through the process. This budget reduces funding for the Job's for Maine Graduates program. It reduces funding for our innovative programs, such as career and technical education and the Fund for the Efficient Delivery of Educational Services. These cuts were supposedly to help support General Purpose Aid for our schools – aid that I have significantly increased since I took office. But money alone will not fix education in Maine. We need to give our students options, to recognize that each student is an individual and each one learns differently. Throwing more money at administration and overhead merely continues the status quo.

The second group harmed in this budget is our elderly. Some have said that "no one cares" about rate increases in our meals, lodging, and sales taxes. That could not be more wrong. Retired mill workers living on fixed incomes, elderly widows collecting social security, and our veterans who receive nothing more than their military pension – each of them care about this tax increase. We are already one of the highest taxed states in the nation. We have some of the lowest per capita income in the country. Now is not the time to ask Mainers to give more to fund government. It is time for us to make hard decisions and make real, structural cuts.

There is so much we can do. Our overly generous welfare programs can be reformed, cutting waste, fraud, and abuse. We can rethink our subsidies to cities and towns – Lewiston and Auburn are already doing much together and could do more. I have told everyone where the efficiencies lie in Waterville, Winslow, Oakland, and Fairfield. And often we forget that our counties can be partners to increase efficiencies and reduce cost

in local government. But we need to start somewhere, and that somewhere starts in Augusta.

There are some good pieces in this budget – I recognize that. Those pieces can be a starting point for us to go back to the table and do more. I have extended an offer to Legislative leadership that can avoid a shutdown in the near-term and give us time to eliminate these sales, meals, and lodging tax increases. However, while those tax increases remain in the budget, I cannot support it.

As you vote on this veto, it should not be about counting votes to simply ignore these objections. Maine people deserve a considered, reasoned debate, and your ultimate decision is owed directly to them. We have been 50th in the nation for too long and this budget will keep us there. It is time to look past the next election to the next generation. The vote before you is about one thing: the future of Maine. We must all stand together.

For these reasons, I return LD 1509 unsigned and vetoed. I strongly urge the Legislature to sustain it.

Sincerely,

S/Paul R. LePage  
Governor

**READ and ORDERED PLACED ON FILE.**

The accompanying Bill:

An Act Making Unified Appropriations and Allocations for the Expenditures of State Government, General Fund and Other Funds and Changing Certain Provisions of the Law Necessary to the Proper Operations of State Government for the Fiscal Years Ending June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2015 (EMERGENCY)  
H.P. 1079 L.D. 1509

Comes from the House, 114 members having voted in the affirmative and 34 members having voted in the negative, the veto of the Governor was **OVERRIDDEN** and it was the vote of the House that the Bill become law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor.

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from York, Senator Hill.

Senator **HILL:** Thank you Mr. President. Senate colleagues, today's vote is not so much about a budget, rather it is about averting an unnecessary shut-down. Shut-down, it's really a simple word and it was too often used this year. A simple word that has little meaning to some people and yet it strikes fear in the hearts of many others. What does a shut-down really mean? Well, at the height of our tourist season, to the industry it means no lifeguards, no fishing licenses issued, no boat registrations, no open and maintained State parks. On other fronts it means healthcare providers do not get paid. The trucking industry cannot get oversized licenses and permits. No Health and Human Services protective services are available. State employees can't do their work, they can't get a paycheck, and that converts into no shopping at the local businesses and mortgages and banks being put at risk. For the court system, it grinds down

to a halt. We can go on and we can go on with the impacts, but I ask you the question, how does a shut-down impact the people of Maine? How does it help them? How does it help the businesses of Maine? We all know. We all know it doesn't. It simply doesn't. I am going to ask you to remember today that you can no longer vote on whether or not you agree with the budget or you like the budget. That's a concern you can bring up when we return in January. We can revisit that. Today, Wednesday June 26, 2013, your vote will be either to shut-down or not to shut-down. I hope you will think seriously about that when you push your button. Thank you.

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from Kennebec, Senator Flood.

Senator **FLOOD:** Thank you Mr. President. Ladies and gentlemen of the Senate, I do want to thank you very much for your patience with this budget bill over the last three or four months and I thank you for your support ten days ago in this Chamber and I thank you for the support on this override vote today. The responsibility of preparing a biennial budget is both an interesting and fulfilling, sometimes, journey, but it's also an unforgiving and sometimes very awkward burden. It's a journey that must conclude with support by two-thirds of the Legislature. There is no other option that defines success. I want to talk briefly about that threshold from one person's brief perspective.

Every day on every issue that the committee discusses over several months the only test that really matters is whether two-thirds of our legislators will agree with our outcome. On every one of our several hundred issues that we review and deliberate upon within a budget bill we have to ask ourselves, "Will 66.7% of the legislators agree with us come June?" If not, do it differently today. If not, find a better way. If not, start over. Get it right. Two-thirds is what you need. We go through that thinking process every day on every issue. It's important to filter that question further by asking, "Will two-thirds of the legislators that would agree with yesterday's Health and Human Services issues be the same two-thirds who would agree with today's agricultural issues?" If not the same, than start over. We need two-thirds support on the entire bill, not just individual pieces. Yet each piece, itself, must be supportable and all must fit together as if it was a giant jig-saw puzzle with the final outcome also supported by two-thirds. We go through that thinking process every day for several months, searching for that sweet spot where two-thirds of our legislators will actually find consensus. It's a difficult threshold to achieve, so it's important to keep our eyes on the goal. Not 100%, not 90%, not 50.1%, but 66.7%. Throughout the month's long journey there are many obstacles that become frightful distractions in our path, but we need to look past those editorials, those detractors, those naysayers, those critics, those activists from every aspect of political activism, and all the rhetoric and say to ourselves, "Yes, I hear that. Yes, I see that. Yes, I understand that, but will two-thirds of our legislators agree with us?" It's important to not let those countless distractions divert our focused attention from the ultimate goal. I want to thank the two-thirds of you who have supported this budget previously and thank you for the support I anticipate today. During seven years of preparing state budgets, this one had more distractions and more difficulties than the others.

I want to thank the Senator from York, Senator Hill, for helping us to manage those distractions and position us favorably to this point in time today. I also want to thank the Senator from

Penobscot, Senator Cain, for being such a resourceful and proficient problem solver. We were blessed to have these two leaders within the committee. Of course my thanks to all the committee members and the outstanding staff, and to you, Mr. President, for facilitating and encouraging our work. I also want to thank the one-third of this Body who have voiced dissatisfaction with all or portions of the budget bill. We couldn't do our job well enough to meet your expectations and I believe we wish it could have been otherwise. Your one-third dissatisfaction indicates one of the greatest things about America and Maine. That is democracy is about choices, about voicing opinions, equality, and about civil disagreement as much as it is about civil agreement. I hope Maine citizens are proud of our ability to demonstrate democracy, whether we win or whether we lose.

I wish to close by thanking two other Senators today. I want to thank both of the Senators from Aroostook for taking time to visit our Appropriations deliberations on many nights and weekends from March to June and then sharing those observations with their caucuses or within this Chamber. The Senator from Aroostook, Senator Sherman, visited us quietly from the audience on many occasions and took the time, in our subsequent Republican caucuses, to explain to other members the challenges and discussions that he had heard. I appreciated that he took the time to do so. It was unexpected and very appreciated. The Senator from Aroostook, Senator Jackson, also visited us quite regularly. I can't say if he reported our activities to his caucus, but I do recall his kind words during debate ten days ago when he, too, reflected upon his observations of the Appropriations process and our work to find consensus. I believe he, like me, referred to our final product as imperfect but voiced support for our budget on the basis that thirteen people from very different points of view were able to work together for several months to force upon themselves the will and the energy to find the necessary agreement to complete our assignment. I want to thank Senator Jackson for his kind supportive words during that debate a week and a half ago. That, too, was unexpected and very appreciated. I want to thank all of you here today for demonstrating that which is good about Maine; the ability for elected Representatives and Senators to express civil discourse, even with significant disagreement, in the name of finding outcomes that work. I'm proud of the realistic budget and I'm proud to work with all of you. Thank you, Mr. President.

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from Penobscot, Senator Cain.

Senator **CAIN:** Thank you Mr. President. Men and women of the Senate, I rise in support of the responsible, realistic budget that was made by the Appropriations Committee and to ask for your support in helping us to override the veto of the Chief Executive this afternoon. I want to start by sharing some facts about the budget that I believe have been misrepresented or mischaracterized in the past few days. It's important for the record that we correct, when we have the opportunity, those facts.

First, no students will be harmed in the passage of this budget. In fact, this budget includes incredible support for education, for students, for schools, for communities, and for teachers. It restores funds to Maine public schools that were taken during the curtailment process earlier this year and covers the cost of municipalities accepting the responsibility and the shift of teacher retirement normal costs. This budget puts us on track

both in finance and in statute to be on track for reaching 55% in the foreseeable future. It includes \$500,000 for the Bridge Year Program that helps kids access college earlier and helps put them on a track for success as they head out of high school and into what comes next. There is actually \$300,000 in new spending, new investment, in the future through the Jobs for Maine's Graduates Program. That's a fact, \$300,000 new dollars to Jobs for Maine's Graduates that will go a long way in helping future leaders in the state of Maine, again, have a great start. There are also new funds in this budget for the Baxter School, to help fund their transportation challenges as they seek to meet the needs of the deaf and hard of hearing students across this great state. It includes additional funds for high school students who want to take college courses because, again, what we need is more students having more education and opportunities here in the state of Maine. This budget focuses on funding and investing in proven, on-going, and current programs that exist to help in investing in Maine students. It rejects new spending in unproven initiatives that have yet to be off the ground in Maine.

Every single budget is a big document. It's not my first budget and, for most of you, it's not your first either. When I think about this budget there are so many reasons to vote today in support of the budget and to override the veto that there isn't enough time that would be worth your time for me going through it. We are faced with tough choices in this building every single day. Some are like this one that captures the attention of the entire state of Maine all at once. Then there are choices that we make in committees, in the hallways, or at our desks that are just as tough and don't get us a single phone call, a single e-mail, a single pat on the back, or scowl as we walk out of the building. Today is one of those moments where it feels extra tough. It feels extra hard. I will tell you with confidence that this vote should be easy because when you're faced with those moments that seem tough, that seem complicated, what you need to do is do the right thing. That's a gut check. That's a moment. In this budget there are so many reasons, whether it is schools and students like I already talked about or dairy farmers that are supported in this budget, the elderly and the Drugs for the Elderly Program, or State workforce and State services delivered across this amazing state, we could go through every department and every committee and your report backs and you will see the good things that we did that you asked us to do in the unanimous form. Every single one of those reasons, whether they are \$1 or \$1 million or more, is worth pushing the button to override this veto. Also by overriding the veto today you prevent chaos. You prevent chaos and disarray that would not be a reflection of the work and integrity that went into the creation, the crafting, and the tough decision making that led to the unanimous decision of the Appropriations Committee, to the two-thirds support of the other Body and in this Body, and, in my opinion, Mr. President, that should lead to the override of this veto today. I ask you to join me, because this budget is fair, because this budget is balanced, because this budget is responsible, and, most of all, because it is the right thing to do, in voting to override this veto today. Thank you, Mr. President.

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from Hancock, Senator Langley.

Senator **LANGLEY:** Thank you Mr. President. Women and men of the Senate, I rise to speak in favor of the override of the Governor's veto of the budget and I've yet to speak on this issue,

but I feel compelled today to speak. The budget that's before us is a product of months of negotiations. Both sides of the aisle came to the table with a list of items and an agenda that is reflective of each political party, knowing full well that no side ever gets all that they want. I've served with Senators Flood, Hill, and Cain and can't tell you how much I respect the work they do as members of the Appropriations Committee. That respect is the same you would have of a fierce competitor. It's truly a thankless job. They are all experienced and competent negotiators. The other members from the other Body are equally as seasoned and negotiated fiercely to get to this point. I stand here with a personal thank you for your work.

How we do things is as important as what we do, and I firmly believe that. In my career in school administration and as a private sector employer I always ask this question of those who are going to lead people; what is it that you do that makes people want to work for you or want to work with you? Many people struggle with this question. What is it that you do that makes people want to work with you? For me it's this simple. You back your people. When you put a team out there you must support their work. They have to know going in that they have the support of their team members and their leaders, otherwise how can you expect anyone to volunteer to do this work, to do the tough negotiating on all of our behalves. After months of fighting in the trenches, to be told your work is not good enough, that you could have done better. My guess is if this budget fails there will be plenty of openings on the Appropriations Committee. We put our team of negotiators together based on their knowledge, skills, and abilities as appropriators. We ask them to, and they agreed, serve in that capacity. This committee was determined to get to yes, to a unanimous vote. To me, that's incredible and nearly impossible to do, yet they did. All along the way we have been briefed and warned that this budget is ugly and has no great options. We were briefed that we would be faced with voting for things that we are diametrically opposed to. I got it, understood it, and thanks Senator Flood for being so upfront every step of the way. My vote today is a statement of support of our Republican team on the Appropriations Committee. For what it's worth, I've got your back. Thank you.

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from Washington, Senator Burns.

Senator **BURNS:** Thank you Mr. President. Ladies and gentlemen of the Senate, I don't have prepared remarks today for my position on getting ready to vote to sustain the Governor's veto, but I do want to say how much I appreciate my friends and colleagues, Senator Cain, Senator Hill, and Senator Flood, and the exemplary work that they've done since we all went along. I don't think there is anybody in this Chamber that doesn't appreciate their work or the work in the other Body to bring them to this position. I appreciate their passion also. I want them to understand that some of us also have the same passion. Our passion falls a little differently this time. I keep hearing that this is all about shutting the State down. Once again, I don't think there is anybody in this Chamber that wants to see that happen. I lived through the last one. I worked for the State of Maine when that happened. I know what it's all about. I lived to tell about it. It wasn't a good thing. I don't see that that's necessary, Mr. President. It seems to me that this Body and the other Body could find some methodology so that we could go back to the table and resolve some of the things that have given me such

passion about this. I'm very passionate about imposing more tax on the people of the state of Maine, just as passionate as I am about the potential of a shut-down. I heard the statement made that this would hurt the state if we had a shut-down. I'm here to tell you if we raise taxes on the good citizens that are just barely getting by now we're going to hurt the state. That's where I'm coming from. That's where my passion is derived. I would ask you to please respect my passion also because I don't respect yours any less. I think it's easy for us to say that we can just pass the budget and go on with business as usual. I don't believe that's going to be the case. I think we are going to hurt a lot of hardworking people. We are going to hurt our economy for a long time in the future.

This state is divided, just as we are in this assembly right here now, or at least I am. I have no idea how other people are going to vote, but I received, like every one of you here, many communications this weekend. More than one of them said, "I plead with you, I beg you," on both sides of the issue. Obviously there is passion to go around. People are just as fearful one way as they are the other way. My job is to come down on the right side. I think that I'm doing that, ladies and gentlemen, whether I'm in the minority or not. I do think I'm in the majority in my philosophy that I came here to defend on behalf of my good statesmen. I will be voting to sustain the veto. Thank you, Mr. President.

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from Androscoggin, Senator Cleveland.

Senator **CLEVELAND:** Thank you Mr. President. Men and women of the Senate, I wanted to rise and speak today because I think I'm one of the few people who was standing exactly in this Chamber in 1991 when we shut the State down. In the most simplest terms, I want to tell you that it was hell and I don't wish it on any of us or this legislative process again. We were closed for approximately 17 days. The hallways were filled with people from end to end. The building was crowded. There was barely enough room for us, as Senators, to walk single file down the hallway from our offices to get into this Chamber to vote day after day. People were angry. They were yelling. There were unpleasant things said over and over again in the hallways. There were hundreds, and sometimes thousands, of people out on the front lawns, demanding that a budget be passed, that the State's business be done. It was an incredibly intense and difficult period. I can also tell you that when you deal with a situation as difficult and as complex and as serious as a budget that is not an atmosphere that will make our best judgments on. I can assure you that at the end of the day the product that we will have will be worse than the one we had. I don't mean it to be a derogatory statement, but a budget is a compromise. I think none of us like everything that's in the budget, but going through a period where we don't have a budget and the State shuts down, it is a horrific way to do the business of this state. I can tell you from personal experience how angry the people of this state were. They were furious at us, that we hadn't conducted our most basic responsibility, to keep the State running, to do the business of the state, to find some kind of compromise that we could live with, even if it wasn't perfect. They had a right to be furious. They send us here to do a job for them. They send us here to find some way to get things done, not to find ways not to do things. I can also tell you personally that many of my colleagues from that class did not return. The voters chose not to

send them back, both Democrats and Republicans, because they felt they were not being represented properly and they would not put up with the kind of foolishness that it takes not to keep the state moving forward. I suspect there would be no reason for them to be as angry and to reconsider whether some of us should come back here in 2014. I urge you to do the business of this state and not put us, this institution, or the people of this state in that kind of situation. Nothing good will come of it. Nothing. As difficult as this is, this is what we have to face as our choice. It is a budget that was negotiated over six months of work, compromises that were made, giving and taking that resulted in the budget that we have. We need to proceed forward. I could not in more stark terms urge you to make sure we do not return to those dark days of when the state cannot proceed again. Nothing good for the people of Maine or for us will come of it. Thank you.

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from Somerset, Senator Thomas.

Senator **THOMAS:** Thank you Mr. President. Ladies and gentlemen of the Senate, I'm opposed to this budget because it buys more government than the people of Maine can afford. We cannot sustain a government this big with an economy this small. This budget will not allow our economy to grow. When the higher taxes in this budget find their way into the products and services that we sell those products will become less competitive and fewer will be sold, making less work for a population that needs more and better jobs and giving us higher taxes again soon. By itself, a half a cent increase in the sales tax isn't a big deal. By itself, a penny on the meals and lodging tax isn't going to cause the sky to fall. The property tax increases in this budget aren't good, but we could survive if they were the only tax increases. The tens of millions of dollars in tax exemptions yet unnamed that we're taking away wouldn't set off alarms by themselves. We could live with the other money this budget takes from hardworking Mainers if that was all we took. The problem is Maine already has a crushing tax burden that kills our economy. When you add all these higher taxes, we make a bad situation worse. Bi-partisanship is good. Compromise is wonderful. Working together is what we should be doing, but it doesn't matter if in the end we make things worse for the people we were sent here to represent. Granted this budget saves some hundred thousand dollar a year government jobs, but it's going to make it harder for the majority of Mainers who get up every morning and go to work. These tax increases, on top of the big federal tax increases just a few months ago, couldn't come at a worse time. We're just beginning to recover from the worst recession in our lifetime. The last thing we should do is raise taxes in a recovery that is as anemic as this one. That's why my vote will be to uphold the veto. Thank you.

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from Franklin, Senator Saviello.

Senator **SAVIELLO:** Thank you Mr. President. Ladies and gentlemen of the Senate, I rise today as a simple forester. In fact, I use the words that a former friend of mine once said, who I had great respect for, "I am a simple woodsman." I rise to support this budget and, thus, vote to override the veto. As a forester I am taught to look to the future. In fact, I used to say, when I was working in the woods, that someday my grandchildren, if I ever have any, Alison I hope you are listening, would say, "Dad had

this right when he went in the woods. He did the right thing. Look at the forest. It's flourishing," or "Ops, he missed this one. Maybe he should have done something different." Oftentimes we think in terms of 30 years, 40 years, and so forth, and sometimes as short as three years, to see whether we're successful. I'm actually going to look at this budget the same way. First I do need to say this. I'm so impressed with the committee's work. I oftentimes go to schools and talk to them about our committee process, that we have Ds and Rs and Is, Senators and House of Representatives on that committee, and they work together. That's what's so different about us and other state governments and the federal government. I publically thank you for the work that you did and what you accomplished. Back to forestry and the budget. I've decided to use a tree. We'll talk about the roots of the budget, which is the income tax proposal that we passed two years ago. That's still intact. We must not forget that. What's more important to me is that the farms and small businesses are protected. Now we turn to the trunk of the tree, that's education. It's funded. Yes, I do believe retirement should be included in the school systems' budget, but you need to give them time to adjust to that. This budget did that. I think of the branches, in that case I think of revenue sharing. As a town selectman I know how hard we work to manage our budget. I go to all my town meetings, as best I can, to see how hard they do. Unlike Senator Cleveland, I was not here during the shut-down, but I was here during the original L.D. 1, when we put parameters on what a municipality could increase their taxes by. It was based on a percent of growth and inflation. Without getting into the intricacies of the formula, a recent report said 75% of the towns are living under those calculations. I would bet if you took time to look at the other 25% you'd find out that maybe a third of that, if not more, had an extraordinary situation; a fire truck failed, building burned down, or something like that. Our communities are working very hard to live under that. I don't disagree that someday that should be reduced, but one of the key things we came out of this with is we're going to look at the town mandates. What have we told the towns to do that we don't need to do? Maybe that's what revenue sharing went to, to help those mandates; whether it's the salt sheds, whether it's the dock, whether it's closing the landfill, those are things that we've told communities they have to do. This revenue sharing helps that. The second part that I look at is the leaves and the fact that we talk about passing the non-profits. We've gone in there and we're going to look into what that can do for us because that may provide an opportunity, but the time wasn't there so we've decided to address that. We protected the seniors' Drugs for the Elderly and circuit breaker. We've actually put that in a form now that people can take advantage of it rather than have to file some things completely separate and different. We've actually fully funded the Homestead Exemption, which was one of my big objections under the old L.D. 1. Yes, like a tree, we need some fertilizer. Yes, there is a sales tax. I admit that. It's a revenue increase. However, the good news is it is sunsetted. That's our opportunity, for those of us that are here in two years, to change that if we want to. I like to think of it as a chance for the leaves that are on the tree that I've just described to fall to the ground and naturally fertilize the tree.

As a forester, thinking about this in the long term, I think we've set this up, the State up, to prosper. I disagree with some of my colleagues, which we all do, and I think, as a forester, Maine will do better. Today I vote green with my good friend and fellow logger, Troy Jackson.

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from Cumberland, Senator Haskell.

Senator **HASKELL:** Thank you very much Mr. President. Colleagues of the Senate, I rise in support of the budget and, frankly, in support of the work of 13 people. I am like the good Senator from Androscoggin, Senator Cleveland, though I was not in this Body, I was in the other Body. I was sitting in a seat there and experiencing the same types of things that he did in 1991. I can tell you it was a time that I wasn't particularly proud of state government or how state government was operating. It was a time of great dissatisfaction amongst our folks back home. So to say, there were very few people who ever called me during or afterwards who said, "Boy, we're glad you did that. Didn't that work out great for us?" Over the time that I've been here I don't know that I've experienced anything as intense as that. When we talk about long days here, long days were when you couldn't get from the ladies room to the Chamber. It was impossible. The crowds, the way they closed in on you. Whistles, I lost some of the upper range of hearing in my right ear from a whistle that was blown directly into my right ear while trying to walk into the Chamber. There were other kinds of things like that that were going on that I don't think if you weren't here you could understand or really comprehend how difficult it was. There were times when those doors which are in the back of the other Body's Chamber were opened so that we could pass through the clerk's office to get into the House or to get back out of the House again. I also remember my good colleague, also from your area Senator Cleveland, Representative Susan Dore, who was very concerned about foster families. There were foster families in this state, taking care of the kids that we'd asked them to take care of, who were receiving no compensation for it. These were families that, from week to week, depended upon that money that came from the State. What a group of legislators did was figure out how to man the check writing piece of equipment, along with some staff which had been authorized because of being essential personnel to be there. Thank goodness they were there. Those legislators went over and made sure those checks got run. They got stuffed into envelopes and they were delivered to the families who were doing some of the most important work in our state, taking care of those kids that we'd asked them to take care of. While it was personally very difficult for us, it was much more difficult for people who were on the outside, who depend upon the State being there. It's cavalier to say they'll get by for a little while. It's cavalier if you're not standing in their shoes. It's contrary to what it is that we stand for when we come down here.

I appreciated the comments of the good Senator Flood regarding the process, the committee process, that goes forward here. I have come to have a more than small amount of appreciation for the work that they do. I've been here as a lobbyist, working for the Finance Authority of Maine. I've been here as a Representative. I've been here as a Senator. I've sat in the back of Room 228 and watched the work that goes on there. I can tell you there are no harder working people in this building, as hard as we all work, than those that sit on that committee and the kinds of decisions that they have to make every day on our behalf. We corner them in the halls and make sure that they know and understand everything that we think is critically important and every time they smile and say, "Thank you so much for that information," and then they have to go back and compromise and compromise again and compromise again. It's an extraordinary process. It is and it represents the best of what

we do here. I think it's very important today, as the good Senator Langley said, that we have their backs. We ask them to respect the work we do in our committees and I think we need to do that same thing for the amount of work that they do, both on our behalf and on the behalf of the people of the state of Maine. Today I'm going to ask you to please seriously consider your vote here today and have the back of those folks who have been sitting on the Appropriations Committee for so long. Thank you, Mr. President.

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from Kennebec, Senator Lachowicz.

Senator **LACHOWICZ:** Thank you Mr. President. Men and women of the Senate, I want to take this moment to thank every member, from both sides of the aisle, of the Appropriations Committee. I want to thank them for their months of hard work and for letting me share some of that with them at times. Let's be honest. We've got this budget that none of us, all of us I should say, don't like, but we were elected to make difficult decisions, work together, and compromise. To throw out this budget, to vote against it, would be unthinkable, I think. One of the things I thought of is when the good Senator from York, Senator Hill, was discussing kind of what a State shut-down would mean. We've all heard the stories. In the past week I've done a little research on what it was like in 1991. One of the things that I kept coming back to was probably the thing I know the most about, child protective and adult protective services no longer would be functioning. Those investigations wouldn't happen and, as someone whose job it has been for over two decades to make those reports, I can't rationalize anyone in this Body not taking that seriously. I've made reports because children are bleeding from the genital area. I've made reports because children are abandoned in emergency rooms. That's what that means, people. I, in good conscience, can't support anything that would not let us do that good work. I thought of what my Dad always said to me when I was a kid, which was, "You can't always get what you want, but if you try sometimes you might find you get what you need." That, my friends, is the Rolling Stones and I think it's good advice for those of us that were elected to come here and do a very difficult job and behave like grown-ups. Thank you very much.

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from Androscoggin, Senator Craven.

Senator **CRAVEN:** Thank you Mr. President. Men and women of the Senate, I stand in support of the budget and support of overriding this veto. I think that my good friend, Senator Haskell, actually read my notes, but I'm going to say them again. The budget process is a process that has historically been honored and respected by everybody on both sides of the aisle. With 13 people working weekends, week days, night and day, leaving in tears often, leaving with heartbroken results for the day's work, and then, finally, coming together and having 13 people voting in unison for the entire budget. It wasn't a line that they broke on. There wasn't a program that they broke on. They voted together 100% of the time. Since we've been having these fun few days off, I've been out and about a lot. I attended the Art Walk in Lewiston where we had hundreds of people in different situations get together and whether it was a planner or a business person, large business person or small business person, individuals,

seniors, everybody wanted to know if we were going to have a budget. Everybody. Everybody's worried. It was not just Democrats that I met. I met people of every party affiliation and they all said to me that they wanted to pass the budget and they wanted to keep the State open. Obviously, having been working on Health and Human Services, I was delighted with the budget. I was delighted that we were able to return Drugs for the Elderly, that the Appropriations Committee was able to return Head Start dollars, dollars to care for people with mental health needs, dollars to care for people with developmental disabilities, and dollars to help, obviously, invest in our educational systems and Women, Work and Community. I just think that it was an amazing budget.

I'm going to do like a little personal thing here to make an example of what both budgets would do for myself and my family. My husband and I are both retired and our income is not very high, but we do have a house that worth about \$160,000. If the Chief Executive's budget went forward we would make a whopping \$30 on our income tax. We would get an extra \$30 back. We would pay over \$300 extra on our \$3,500 property tax. We would be losing over \$270 a year on the Chief Executive's budget. In this case we would just be adding just a little bit as the revenue sharing and the homestead provisions are put back into the budget. I want to thank very much the members of the committee. My friends, Senator Hill and Senator Cain and Senator Flood, who is always so honest and works so diligently to do the best thing for the people of Maine. I thank them all and ask you all to please override the veto so that we can get on with our lives. Thank you.

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from Lincoln, Senator Johnson.

Senator **JOHNSON:** Thank you Mr. President. Ladies and gentlemen, budgets are, as you've heard from a number of people in this Body already, a compromise. For me, there are a number of trade-offs that are really important in this budget. There are plenty of things that I'm not completely enthused about, but I'm very impressed with the work of the Appropriations Committee in finding smart alternatives; things that aren't everything that I would want in a budget but are better than what we started with and that other people can say the same thing about. That's really what the people who elected us send us here to do, to find the best path forward that we can agree upon as a Body to get their work done. Today we're making a decision. We're voting and it has grave consequences in whether the State, itself, continues to serve the people in the way that they expect it to or not. There are a lot of people in my district that have contacted me over this budget and talked about how important it is to them, whether it's their concern with education and that we adequately fund building a future for Maine children, or it's a matter of what the property taxes that the original proposed budget would impose on them and how hard that is, as I've heard ever since I ran for this office. People talk about how property taxes are the hardest to bear and rightfully so because they are the biggest portion of the taxes paid by the people of this state. They fall on people who can least afford them as much as anyone else. It's not an easy thing for me to decide to approve a budget that has things in it that I'm not happy with, but I know that that is the difficult choice we're all expected to make. I've looked at this. I can't, frankly, propose a better way on any one of the issues that this Appropriations Committee has dealt with. I don't have a



better answer that would have any hope of meeting agreement of two-thirds of the people in this Body instead. That being said, I have to put my support behind both this budget and overriding the veto that stands in the way of this budget keeping the State working for Maine people.

I found it rather interesting, the discussion about forestry and trees and the mention of the years involved in tending a forest. For me, that's an education. There's a lot that this budget has done to help our schools fund programs to actually put funding behind what's going on in the classroom. To me, that's vitally important for two reasons. First of all, we have enacted in recent legislatures, and we've made some small changes again in this one, changes that we expect schools, themselves, to implement to improve the quality of education, to improve the kind of preparation that our students have to meet their future, and do good things for the state after I'm gone. There is no better investment for the future of this state than that we put into making the children that follow us be successful. Yet, if we compromise the funding for that education, how can we expect those changes, as difficult as they are for the teachers, for the schools, and for the communities, to come about if we don't fund the programs themselves and supporting the education, the mentoring, the training, and implementing the changes we expect, the changes that our children need for their future possibilities.

There is a lot in here that I think has been mentioned earlier about the changes to the Circuit Breaker Program. Very smart changes, to not just say, "Well, let's do away with this program or that program because we can't afford it," but instead saying, "Well, how can we achieve what we agree does need to be done," and find a better way to do it. I am in awe of the work that the Appropriations Committee has done in bringing us a budget that really does that. I hope you will join me in supporting voting green.

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from Cumberland, Senator Gerzofsky.

Senator **GERZOFSKY:** Thank you Mr. President. Ladies and gentlemen of the Senate, this is a first for me. I haven't talked on this budget this year, but I rise today to talk about overturning a veto. I've never done that before. I rise today not only as a State Senator but as a citizen in my community, a citizen in my state, a son, a father, a grandfather, and somebody that understands and has had it brought home to me, especially in the last 24 hours, what our budget really does and what it's about. I had a medical emergency at my Mom's house yesterday, most people don't know. I had to dial 9-1-1. That's always a traumatic experience, especially when you have an 89 year old mother. Seeing her loaded into that ambulance, taken to a hospital, kind of focuses you to what's going on in your life. When I was at that hospital most of the day I had an opportunity to talk to all the nurses, all the doctors, and most all the patients. These are my constituents. I, like my good priest, like to take advantage of when I'm in the hospital to go room to room and talk to my constituents. It relieves me of some of the pressure that I'm under, that I'm worried about, to hear their troubles. I got to talk to my EMTs, my first responders, who, of course, would be doing their job with or without a budget, who would be doing their job whether we shut the State government down or not. Their spouses might not be getting a paycheck if we do the wrong thing. Their families would certainly be taking the brunt of our actions that are bad. I had to remember what does our state budget really, really do, Mr.

President. It really funds our government and the services that only government provides. We're not trying to take money in our budget for the state of Maine and pay for public safety in any other state. It pays for public safety in my state. It affects the people that I represent and it affects the people that you represent. This budget isn't the budget that I would have written. It isn't the budget that most of us might not have written, but every single person in this room had an impact on this budget. I know when I talked to the members of Appropriations during their hard nights of work, they do a tremendous job, everybody's thanked them for that today, they took my concerns of what was coming through my committee very seriously. We all had an impact on what this budget looks like. It might not be the one that we all wanted, but it's the one that we had an input on.

I'm a father, a grandfather, and a son. This budget is going to impact everybody I love the most, my family. This budget is going to impact all of your families. That's what we're here to do, to overturn the Governor's veto. He's got his reasons for doing what he does. I did run to be Governor. Didn't get elected to be Governor. I'm going to do what a Senator does. I'm going to try and overturn a veto. I think that the best budget that we can get in the state of Maine right now is the one that's going to work, the one that we've worked on and we've all had a hand in working on. I want to ask the members of this Body to remember what the budget does most. It's not that 100% of the money comes back to the voters, the constituents and the taxpayers of the state of Maine, but most all of it does. It comes back to our communities. It's going to help fund our revenue sharing. It's going to help fund our towns. It's going to help fund our schools, which is going to help educate our kids. It's going to all be coming back to our communities. It's not like we're sending it to Mars. It's going to be spent here in the state. It's a state budget. Mr. President, that's our responsibility. It's our responsibility to create a budget. It's our responsibility to pass a budget. It's our responsibility to make sure that the state government runs. It's the Chief Executive's responsibility to oversee that, but it's our responsibility, as a Legislature. We control the purse strings and we're going to have to fund a balanced budget. That's what this committee came up with, a balanced budget that balances the needs of all of our citizens, not just one. Ladies and gentlemen, I hope that we can all sit today and do what's the right thing for our constituents, the people that sent us here, to give them a budget, not to give them an alternative which is not going to benefit anybody. I didn't serve here in 1991 but, by golly, I stood by that door and watched from the staff's perspective when this place had a difficult time with a budget. It wasn't fun for either staff or politicians. It was certainly not fun for the State employees or the people that we represent. Let's get beyond it. Let's pass this budget. Let's overturn this veto. Let's do what's the right thing for the state of Maine and for the people, because we're all going to have crises tomorrow that this budget is going to help us with. Thank you very much, Mr. President and my friends on both sides of the aisle.

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from Penobscot, Senator Cushing.

Senator **CUSHING:** Thank you Mr. President. Ladies and gentlemen of the Senate, I rise today, regretfully, to indicate that I will not be able to again support this budget. It's something that is challenging for those of us who have watched the Appropriations process and understand that there is great deliberation that goes

on there. I had the opportunity in different committees and as a town official, as an elected councilor, to deal with a number of budget items. It's not an easy process, Mr. President, and I recognize that much time, much debate goes in. In this case I can't imagine the challenges that faced our friends on the Appropriations Committee because they heard some gut-wrenching testimony at times. They made some difficult choices. They stayed with some core principles on each side of the aisle, and I respect them for the belief and the commitment they had to those. For those of us who are choosing not to support this budget, we also have some core beliefs that are important to us. I think it's one of those areas where we have to recognize and respect that we make choices not based upon any one particular item in a budget but upon a culmination of those issues that either allow us to support legislation or not to. This is much more difficult when it comes to the budget because we are obligated by our constitutional oath to support a balanced budget every year.

My concern here is that how you look at this and how it's framed in some of the debate gives the impression that somebody is not supporting the budget and, therefore, they are not supporting the process, they are not supporting the individuals in state government. I think that's very far from the truth, at least for myself. I think that the e-mails that I've gotten from a number of people who work in state government in concern over this budget have caused me to dwell a lot on the choice that's being made here because I don't feel that any of us have a right to invoke fear as a purpose to get policy through. I think the concern over a State shut-down is very valid because it creates fear and uncertainty in the hearts of people, but that's not the only reason that we should be voting on a budget. We should be voting on a budget because that addresses the needs of our state in a responsible manner without imposing too large a burden on any particular segment of our population. That is where there is a careful balancing act that was presented to the committees of jurisdiction, where we were asked in our committees to come forward with recommendations to assist the Appropriations Committee in their deliberations and give them more guidance and insight.

Frankly, Mr. President, I think at times we, in the committees, did not take that responsibility as seriously as possible. We sent the Appropriations Committee hundreds of thousands, if not millions, of dollars of additional expenditure requests than this budget was able to sustain. This is not Christmas time where we go and sit on Santa's knee and ask for everything we want. This is a responsible time to look at what we must do to take care of the needs of our communities, take care of the needs of our citizens, and recognize there may not be enough there for everything. For me, one of the defining parts that's concerning is there is approximately \$40 million in future tax expenditures that need to be identified. That translates, in my mind, into a potential additional tax on the citizens of the state of Maine. There are other things in here that we could point and poke at, but I'm not going to talk about the half a million dollars of additional funding for politicians to run for office or the energy rebates. Those are things that were worked out in the committee. There are things that, to me, are core principles and for that reason, although I have great respect for my colleagues on the panel, I am not in a position to support the hard work that they put in over the last six months.

I'll close with just one little story that I think will try to bring the perspective. It's a poem by John Godfrey Saxe about the blind men and the elephant. There was an elephant that came to a

town square one day and the blind men of the village were told about it and they came to check out this curious beast. Each of them, because they were blind, had to touch the elephant. They all grabbed a different part. To one it was the side and he thought it was a wall. To one it was the leg and he thought it was a tree. To another it was a tail and he thought it was a rope. Another got the tusk and thought it was a spear. I think that point, Mr. President, is that it all depends on what part of the elephant we're holding onto, how we view some of the decisions we make with policy here. I would apply that also to the perspective of where we come from in the budget. Many of us, although we have colleagues who worked very hard on this and we respect them, can't always agree with them, just as we, today, have seen us part company on some of the bills before us. Ultimately I think we all have the best interest of our citizens, be they employed by the State or employed in the private sector, retirees or those who are unable to work for some reason, those who depend upon our government to provide them with some resources and some support. We all think about those people and we struggle with the challenges that are before us in different legislation. Thank you, Mr. President, for the time and I thank my colleagues for their consideration of my comments.

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from York, Senator Tuttle.

Senator **TUTTLE:** Thank you Mr. President. Members of the Senate, I actually wasn't here in 1991 and was in graduate school getting my Masters degree. That was one of the few times in my years that I actually wasn't here. What I heard about the shut-down, as I know Senator Haskell and Senator Cleveland said, it was not a good time for the people of Maine. It was a time when state government really didn't do its job. I think it was very reminiscent of what we see in Washington today. I hope that we do not see the same thing in the state of Maine. Senator Craven had said there are increased funding for the Head Start Program and Drugs for the Elderly, a few proposal that I had sponsored. We have an increase in sales tax. Coming from one of the border communities, that's really going to affect my area but I think you have to give and take. I have never served on the Appropriations Committee, but it's a difficult task. I know the Senator from Kennebec, Senator Flood, said this is probably the most difficult budget he has been through. I would ask that we would accept their wisdom in overriding the Governor's veto. I think that by passing a bi-partisan bill that has been crafted in the best interest of all the state by both Republicans and Democrats is the right thing to do. I think that this vote by us will be a courageous one. I know a difficult one, not only for myself but others. However, I implore you to do this in the best interest of all of us in the state, for our workers, our seniors, businesses that I've been contacted by, and members of the State. I would ask that we would do the right thing, vote on this issue, go forward, and make the people of Maine proud. Thank you, Mr. President.

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from Penobscot, Senator Gratwick.

Senator **GRATWICK:** Thank you Mr. President. Ladies and gentlemen of the Senate, colleagues, I'm struck by the parallels of what we're talking about today between what we do here in the Legislature and my medical practice. Specifically, here in the Legislature there is, obviously, a great deal of unhappiness with

this particular budget. Most assuredly some think it gives too much and there are too many taxes, and that state government still continues to be too large. On the other hand there are others that think that it doesn't do enough, and that people have to give up their ideals, some thought of progress, education, healthcare initiatives. The fact is it's not perfect and it represents a compromise. In my medical practice, about three weeks ago, I saw a man who is in his early 60's. He, likewise, was unhappy, as was I. He is a very nice person but had hypertension. He is somewhat overweight. His cholesterol was high. He was hanging on that balance. The question was if we were going to do something radical. Part of me wanted to say he should have bi-pass surgery, he should have low salt or no salt, no more candy, he should throw a pick-axe through his television set, and get out and exercise three hours a day. That doesn't work. That kind of ridged approach is not appropriate. Really we ended up with a compromise. We're working towards a goal of better health. I think we can do that here. I view this as being an imperfect budget, but I think it's a very appropriate compromise and I support it. Thank you, Mr. President.

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from Kennebec, Senator Katz.

Senator **KATZ:** Thank you Mr. President. Men and women of the Senate, I rise today to urge members of this Body to vote to override the Executive's veto and support this budget. There is a lot I disagree with within this budget, many of the same things that I've heard from my colleagues. It's not all I want and it's certainly not all that my fellow Republicans want, nor is it all that my Democratic colleagues want. It is a compromise, as every budget is. It's the product of the 13 hardworking members of the Appropriations Committee, Republicans and Democrats, who worked days, nights, and weekends for months to get us here. Having previously served on that committee in the last Legislature, I know the difficulty of their task. My hat is off to Senator Hill for her unflappable style; to Senator Cain for her passion and creativity; and to Senator Flood, who is the absolute poster child for how to work with others with dignity and respect, how to find common ground, and how to get to yes. My heartfelt thanks.

Some will say the porridge is too hot. Others will say it's too cold. We delegated this awesome responsibility to the Appropriations Committee and they say it is just about right. I will respect their judgment. It's not a perfect budget, but it's a workable budget. It's what we are sent here by the voters to do, to make government work. Mr. President, two-thirds of us voted for this budget two weeks ago. What's different now? I suggest nothing. We should respect the process, the careful way this budget was put together, the good faith of those who did so. We will vote to make government work. After all, what are the consequences of upholding the veto? The actual operations of state government will slide into stalemate, confusion, and shut-down. People sent us here to solve problems. We will have failed them. State workers and businesses that depend on them will all be thrown into needless uncertainty. I represent many of those people here in our capital city. I remember firsthand the economic dislocation that my community suffered through during a previous shut-down. It wasn't fair and it wasn't right. We must make sure we do not bring on that chaos again here. Thank you, Mr. President. I urge a yes vote on the pending motion.

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from Aroostook, Senator Jackson.

Senator **JACKSON:** Thank you Mr. President. Ladies and gentlemen of the Senate, I rise in support of the override. The good Senator from Kennebec talked about his constituents, many of them State workers, here in his district. That's a huge issue that I certainly agree with 100%. I don't want to see working class people told that they have to stay home, not able to collect a paycheck, money to provide for their families. In addition to that, I think the 124<sup>th</sup> Legislature, in another tough budget, the proposal was to give furlough days to State workers. I'm not sure exactly how many days we were talking about, but I think it was close to 20 over a two year period. The way that it was crafted, which made sense, is that the furlough days would fall on the Fridays or Mondays. In my area, myself included, while some may disagree, I do cut wood for a living when I'm not in the Legislature, and being gone all week, I'd rush down to do my business on Friday. If I had a State agency to call or something like that, it was usually always on a Friday. When we did that budget in the 124<sup>th</sup> and had those furlough days, I consistently had people that would call me, wondering what happened and what was wrong and what was going on with state government. "Why aren't they open on Friday? Why aren't they open on Monday? This is a real problem for me, I'm trying to get business done and I can't get it done." While I also was alive in 1991, I really didn't have the understanding of what the State shut-down was. I do understand what it would probably be like if we had that with this budget. I kind of lived through those furlough days, of people being upset that state government wasn't working for them and wasn't available to them. I certainly understand that and don't want to see that happen with this budget.

We talk about priorities and I think that a lot of priorities are taken care of in this budget. Again, like I said when we enacted it, it is not a perfect budget. If we had a perfect budget, or if we were trying to achieve a perfect budget, we'd have 35 people in this room with all different ideas and we wouldn't get any consensus on anything. It is a compromise. The Appropriations Committee worked diligently, very hard, under tough circumstances. I talked about how I'm not happy with everything in this, but I've seen firsthand how hard they worked. It's just not in my heart to try to reject something that was worked on so hard and for so long a period by the Appropriations Committee. For the things that are probably the most important to me, they've resolved them in this budget, so I'm willing to give them my support on this. After watching how hard they worked on it, I'm willing to give them my support. It just comes down to the fact that we're looking at something here on June 26<sup>th</sup> that, if we don't pass it, we probably will have a shut-down because I don't think that there is any alternative, and we haven't heard of an alternative that came up. We had the Chief Executive's budget and we had the 13 member Appropriations Committee's budget. The Chief Executive's, I mean, it had public hearings in different parts of the state. I believe out of all those public hearings only one person in all those Appropriations hearing ever testified in favor of that budget. We only have two different options and the one that I'm choosing to take today is the bi-partisan 13 member committee and a two-thirds vote on enactment which, while everyone talks about it not being perfect, certainly is the better option and the one that I'm, again, very proud to take the vote today to have. Again, thank you Appropriations Committee members and I appreciate all your work on this.

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from Waldo, Senator Thibodeau.

Senator **THIBODEAU:** Thank you Mr. President. Ladies and gentlemen of the Senate, let me begin by saying I do appreciate the hard work that's gone into this budget and the hard work that the Appropriations Committee has done. The fact of the matter is there are significant new taxes involved in this and it's the folks that sent each one of us here that will be caught paying those taxes. That ought to concern us all. I think there is honest disagreement in this Chamber and I appreciate the fact that we've had an honest debate here about this. Senator Flood began this debate an hour and a half ago with a comment about trying to get to two-thirds. I appreciate those comments, but there are significant numbers of us that have a fundamental and honest disagreement with this budget. No one, let me be clear, absolutely no one in this Chamber and no one, I believe, in the other Chamber nor the Chief Executive is advocating in any way for a State shut-down. I have not heard that come out of anybody's mouth, nor have I heard anybody advocating for that position. I think that we have other options. We've talked about them here in the Chamber. We've heard proposals come forward in the media. We have other options. It's just a matter of whether or not we're willing to embrace those options. I think the fact these significant tax increases, if we don't sustain this veto, are eminent, and our folks back home are going to be faced with them, ought to cause us all pause. I don't think anybody in this Chamber came here, or was elected to come here, with the promise of increasing the tax burden on the people of the state of Maine. I know certainly that isn't the platform that I ran on nor do I think that anybody else did. I would just encourage each member to think carefully, recognize that there are other options on the table, and let's make the family budget a priority over the state budget. I think right now we've got that the other way around. Folks are struggling at home to make ends meet and this is going to make it just a little bit harder for them to accomplish that. Ladies and gentlemen, let's be honest. Our government, our state government, has outgrown our economy and our ability to pay for it. Thank you.

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from Sagadahoc, Senator Goodall.

Senator **GOODALL:** Thank you Mr. President. Men and women of the Senate, I rise today on what I assume to be one of the last few times I have the opportunity to address this Body. I think all of our responsibilities, all of our obligations, are taken with the utmost seriousness and dedication to this state. When we have this vote, this vote on an override, this vote on the eve of a potential shut-down, it calls for a higher responsibility, a responsibility to do what is right, a responsibility to understand every consequence, and a responsibility to know that businesses will be greatly impacted, outside the realm of state government. Individuals, our sons and daughters, who have employment during the summer, trying to earn a buck for college, will be affected. People's healthcare will be impacted. Finances of banks will be impacted. We've heard all these things articulated here today. We have the opportunity to override the action by the Chief Executive to keep the lights on, to move forward. That's what we've heard. We also have the opportunity to embrace a smarter choice, a wise choice, and acknowledge the fact that this

budget has actually made investments in our future, investments that will put Maine kids in a stronger position, investments that will put seniors in a position to get the prescription drugs that they need, and an opportunity to avoid, single handedly, the largest property tax increase proposed on Maine homeowners. The check that would have to be written at that kitchen table to pay for that proposed tax increase would be enormous. None of our constituents would want to write that check. We are moving forward, if we override today, with an opportunity to avoid income tax increases and an opportunity to move forward in a much better path.

I think it's also important to acknowledge the dedication, the support, and the hard work of the members of the Appropriations and leadership on both sides of the aisle and the dedication to be committed to this process starting way back in March. Over 356 people testified on this budget. Hours, endlessly, one upon the other, were spent by the Appropriations Committee working in collaboration with members from across Maine and people from this great state, people from the Executive Branch, legislators, advocates from many causes, and business leaders. This process was long. It was deliberative. It was open. It was collaborative. Most importantly, it reflects what people expect of us when we come here, to do the peoples work together and get the job done and put Maine on stronger footing, footing that is much stronger than what was originally proposed, footing that I know that my child or your grandchildren or your parents or your brothers and sisters, the business owners in your districts will be able to move forward in a much better way than what was originally proposed. This is a clear choice. It's a choice that is easy for me. I think it's a choice for all of us that we can make together to move the state forward. Let's join together, as the Appropriations Committee did, to move forward. Thank you, Mr. President. I strongly encourage us to override the pending veto.

The President laid before the Senate the following: "Shall this Bill become law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor?"

In accordance with Article 4, Part 3, Section 2, of the Constitution, the vote was taken by the Yeas and Nays.

A vote of yes was in favor of the Bill.

A vote of no was in favor of sustaining the veto of the Governor.

The Doorkeepers secured the Chamber.

The Secretary opened the vote.

**ROLL CALL (#345)**

**YEAS:** Senators: BOYLE, CAIN, CLEVELAND, CRAVEN, DUTREMBLE, FLOOD, GERZOFISKY, GOODALL, GRATWICK, HASKELL, HILL, JACKSON, JOHNSON, KATZ, LACHOWICZ, LANGLEY, MAZUREK, MILLETT, PATRICK, SAVIELLO, SHERMAN, TUTTLE, VALENTINO, WOODBURY, YOUNGBLOOD, THE PRESIDENT - JUSTIN L. ALFOND

**NAYS:** Senators: BURNS, COLLINS, CUSHING, HAMPER, MASON, PLUMMER, THIBODEAU, THOMAS, WHITTEMORE

26 Senators having voted in the affirmative and 9 Senators having voted in the negative, and 21 being more than two-thirds of the members present and voting, it was the vote of the Senate that the veto of the Governor be **OVERRIDDEN** and the Bill become law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor.

---

All matters thus acted upon were ordered sent down forthwith for concurrence.

---

Senate at Ease.

Senate called to order by the President.

---

Senator **GOODALL** of Sagadahoc was granted unanimous consent to address the Senate off the Record.

---

Senator **KATZ** of Kennebec was granted unanimous consent to address the Senate off the Record.

---

On motion by Senator **GOODALL** of Sagadahoc, **RECESSED** until 7:00 in the evening.

After Recess

Senate called to order by the President.

---

#### ORDERS OF THE DAY

##### Unfinished Business

The following matter in the consideration of which the Senate was engaged at the time of Adjournment had preference in the Orders of the Day and continued with such preference until disposed of as provided by Senate Rule 516.

The Chair laid before the Senate the following Tabled and Later Assigned (6/18/13) matter:

Bill "An Act To Provide for Economic Development with Offshore Wind Power"

H.P. 1053 L.D. 1472

Tabled - June 18, 2013, by Senator **CLEVELAND** of Androscoggin

Pending - **ADOPTION OF COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" (H-525)**, in concurrence

(In House, June 17, 2013, **PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED AS AMENDED BY COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" (H-525)**.)

(In Senate, June 18, 2013, **READ A SECOND TIME**. On motion by Senator **CLEVELAND** of Androscoggin, **RECONSIDERED ADOPTION** of Committee Amendment "A" (H-525), in concurrence.)

On motion by Senator **YOUNGBLOOD** of Penobscot, Senate Amendment "D" (S-321) to Committee Amendment "A" (H-525) **READ**.

---

Senate at Ease.

Senate called to order by the President.

---

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from Penobscot, Senator Youngblood.

Senator **YOUNGBLOOD**: Thank you Mr. President. Ladies and gentlemen of the Senate, this amendment only requires that the Public Utilities Commission conduct a second round of competitive solicitations for a deep water off-shore wind energy project and provides the University of Maine, our university, I didn't graduate from there but I consider it, since I live in the great state of Maine, our university, an opportunity for a deep water off-shore wind project pilot project to be eligible for design. The amendment requires that, in order to be included in those proposals, such additional proposals must be received by September 1, 2013 and that the commission makes every effort to finalize a contract. Thank you very much.

On motion by Senator **KATZ** of Kennebec, supported by a Division of one-fifth of the members present and voting, a Roll Call was ordered.

**THE PRESIDENT:** The Chair recognizes the Senator from Sagadahoc, Senator Goodall.

Senator **GOODALL**: Thank you Mr. President. Men and women of the Senate, I rise today in opposition to this amendment and I would encourage everyone to join me in that opposition. I rise not due to any opposition to the University of Maine. I could not be a stronger supporter. One of the first things I did when I came into this Legislature was work and be part of the Ocean Energy Taskforce, not as an appointed members but as someone that would attend meetings, someone that participated because it was clearly important to me, it was clearly important to my district, and, I believe, the state of Maine. We have a great opportunity to move this state forward, to bring new capital and new investment to this state. Frankly, in U Maine's words, the current term sheet has been awarded to Statoil, another company, has been called as potentially Maine's Google, Maine's Apple, Maine's GM. We also want to make sure that we give U Maine an opportunity. There are other ways of doing that. Unfortunately, in this scenario, the University was not in the position to potentially bid at the time that Statoil did, at the time of the open proposal, the time that we laid out as a legislative Body. We worked deliberatively