

# MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the  
**LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY**  
at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library  
<http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib>



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied  
(searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

**LEGISLATIVE RECORD**

OF THE

***One Hundred and Tenth  
Legislature***

OF THE

STATE OF MAINE

***Volume II***

**FIRST REGULAR SESSION**

**MAY 4, 1981 to JUNE 19, 1981**

**INDEX**

**FIRST SPECIAL SESSION**

**AUGUST 3, 1981**

**INDEX**

**FIRST CONFIRMATION SESSION**

**AUGUST 28, 1981**

**INDEX**

**SECOND SPECIAL SESSION**

**SEPTEMBER 25, 1981**

**INDEX**

**THIRD SPECIAL SESSION**

**DECEMBER 9, 1981**

**INDEX**

feel will have no objection to doing this.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Sanford, Mr. Paul.

Mr. PAUL: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: We have looked at the amendment and several members of the committee have a problem with it. Not to belabor it, but there are a couple of practical problems with this type of an amendment. When you field dress an animal, it is very crucial that the sex organs of the animal be removed in order to preserve the animal.

Under this amendment, as we understand it, you would be required to leave the sex organs on the animal so the sex could be determined. So I guess from a practical standpoint, quite honestly, several of us do have problems with it. We don't believe that this is of an emergency nature and I thereby request indefinite postponement and would request a division.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Sanford, Mr. Paul, has moved that House Amendment "F" to Senate Amendment "A" be indefinitely postponed. All those in favor will vote yes; those opposed will vote no.

A vote of the House was taken.

32 having voted in the affirmative and 61 having voted in the negative, the motion did not prevail.

Thereupon, House Amendment "F" to Senate Amendment "A" was adopted.

Mr. DAVIES of Orono offered House Amendment "A" to Senate Amendment "A" and moved its adoption.

House Amendment "A" to Senate Amendment "A" (H-522) was read by the Clerk and adopted.

Senate Amendment "A" as amended by Senate Amendments "A", "B", "C", "D", "E", "F", "G" and House Amendments "A", "B", "C", "D", "E" and "F" thereto was adopted in non-concurrence.

The Bill was passed to be engrossed as amended in non-concurrence and sent up for concurrence.

By unanimous consent, ordered sent forthwith to the Senate.

At this point, the rules were suspended for the purpose of allowing members to remove their jackets for the remainder of the session.

The Chair laid before the House the third tabled and today assigned matter:

Bill, "An Act to Make Corrections and Clarifications in the Education Laws" (Emergency) (H. P. 220) (L. D. 298) (C. "A" (H-509)

Tabled — June 2 by Representative Connolly of Portland.

Pending — Passage to be Engrossed.

Mr. Connolly of Portland offered House Amendment "A" and moved its adoption.

House Amendment "A" (H-530) was read by the Clerk.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Portland, Mr. Connolly.

Mr. CONNOLLY: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: This amendment just clarifies the residency requirements for members of school boards, and it would provide that in order to be a member of a school board and residency established in that community, that you would have to be a registered voter in that community.

Thereupon, House Amendment "A" was adopted.

The Bill was passed to be engrossed as amended by Committee Amendment "A" and House Amendment "A" and sent up for concurrence.

By unanimous consent, ordered sent forthwith to the Senate.

The Chair laid before the House the following matter:

Bill "An Act to Reform the Regulation of Carriers of Passengers and Freight" (H. P. 1576) (L. D. 1678) which was tabled and later

today assigned (In House, passed to be engrossed.) (In Senate, passed to be engrossed as amended by Senate Amendment "A" S-323)

On motion of Mr. Davies of Orono, the House voted to recede.

Senate Amendment "A" (S-323) was read and adopted in concurrence.

The same gentleman offered House Amendment "A" and moved its adoption.

House Amendment "A" (H-534) was read by the Clerk.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Orono, Mr. Davies.

Mr. DAVIES: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House: To allay any fears that anyone might have that we are trying to slip something by, this corrects a technical mistake. We had passed the bill earlier in the session that this bill would have repealed. This amendment will make sure that that bill that we passed earlier in this session will stay on the books.

Thereupon, House Amendment "A" was adopted.

Mr. Davies of Orono offered House Amendment "B" and moved its adoption.

House Amendment "B" (H-535) was read by the Clerk.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Orono, Mr. Davies.

Mr. DAVIES: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: My speech on the last amendment applies to this one. It restores a provision that was inadvertently removed by the allocation made in Section 2 of the bill and reflects changes that were made in Public Law Chapter 263.

Thereupon, House Amendment "B" was adopted.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Calais, Mr. Gillis.

Mr. GILLIS: Mr. Speaker, I would like to direct a question through the Chair to the sponsor of this amendment.

Would the sponsor of this amendment please explain to the House what effect this may have on the pulp industry and paper mills?

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Orono, Mr. Davies.

Mr. DAVIES: Mr. Speaker, my understanding is that it deals with a provision that was put into law a couple of years ago that dealt with Canadian trucks coming in and operating in the State of Maine. That original law put a 100 mile radius in the law for those Canadian trucks, and the bill, as it was originally brought out, would have repealed that. This will reinsert that. I am not sure how that applies to the pulp industry, but it is going to leave the status quo as it was dealing with Canadian trucks.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Calais, Mr. Gillis.

Mr. GILLIS: Mr. Speaker, I believe that there is one Province in Canada that this would apply to and I don't believe it is the Province of New Brunswick. I would like to direct that question to him.

The SPEAKER: The gentleman from Calais, Mr. Gillis, has posed a question through the Chair to the gentleman from Orono, Mr. Davies, who may answer if he so desires, and the Chair recognizes that gentleman.

Mr. DAVIES: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I believe that you are right, Representative Gillis.

Thereupon, the Bill was passed to be engrossed as amended in non-concurrence and sent up for concurrence.

By unanimous consent, ordered sent forthwith to the Senate.

The Chair laid before the House the second item of Unfinished Business:

An Act to Restructure the Public Utilities Commission. (Emergency) (S. P. 637) (L. D. 1652)

Tabled — May 29 (Till Later Today) by Representative Higgins of Scarborough.

Pending — Passage to be Enacted.

On motion of Mr. Higgins of Scarborough, under suspension of the rules, the House reconsidered its action whereby the Bill was passed to be engrossed.

The same gentleman offered House Amendment "A" and moved its adoption.

House Amendment "A" (H-533) was read by the Clerk.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Scarborough, Mr. Higgins.

Mr. HIGGINS: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House: This House Amendment very simply adds a provision in the bill for a transition for the existing members of the Public Utilities Commission so that none of the members' existing terms will be affected by the passage of this particular act.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Orono, Mr. Davies.

Mr. DAVIES: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I want to reassure members of my caucus that this amendment is offered in the spirit of compromise and the feeling of the committee is that it should be accepted in the spirit of compromise, so I urge you to support the amendment.

Thereupon, House Amendment "A" was adopted.

The Bill was passed to be engrossed as amended by House Amendment "A" and sent up for concurrence.

By unanimous consent, ordered sent forthwith to the Senate.

The Chair laid before the House the seventh item of Unfinished Business:

Bill, "An Act to Create the Public Advocate to Represent the Interests of Utility Customers" (Emergency) (H. P. 1578) (L. D. 1673)

Tabled — May 29 by Representative Davies of Orono.

Pending — Passage to be Engrossed.

Mr. Davies of Orono requested a roll call vote.

The SPEAKER: For the Chair to order a roll call, it must have the expressed desire of one fifth of the members present and voting. All those desiring a roll call vote will vote yes; those opposed will vote no.

A vote of the House was taken, and more than one fifth of the members present having expressed a desire for a roll call, a roll call was ordered.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Orono, Mr. Davies.

Mr. DAVIES: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: Rather than let people think that perhaps matters have not changed since earlier today, I would like to inform you that the confusion that was engendered by actions that took place earlier today has been straightened out. The utilities have now indicated that they are now going to be willing to support the bill in its form with the emergency on it, and I hope that you will support it in that sense and ask you to vote for the bill.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Livermore Falls, Mr. Brown.

Mr. BROWN: Mr. Speaker, Ladies and Gentlemen of the House: Perhaps the utilities have decided that they like it after all and it is not a bad bill, but I don't like it, I think it is a terrible bill. I think we are playing another game with the voters back home.

We have heard some talk about the ratepayers being concerned about the cost of energy going up, we have heard talk about the high cost of the bills, people are concerned about rising rates, and well they should be. But is this bill going to do anything for them? Let's look at the facts.

According to this bill, we are going to take \$300,000 of their money, our constituents' money, the ratepayers' money, we are going to go back and tell them that we have really done something for them. Let's look at what we have done if this bill passes. What we will have done,