MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

LEGISLATIVE RECORD

OF THE

One Hundred And Fourteenth Legislature

OF THE

State Of Maine

VOLUME I

FIRST REGULAR SESSION

December 7, 1988 to May 10, 1989

have parental consent, but rather the merits of the abortion decision itself.

Given the acute sensitivity of this issue, I fully understand and appreciate why the Senator would get into that area. To reiterate, it is my conviction; based upon my study of the legal principles involved that we do not have that decision to make. It is true that perhaps in the case of the Webster Decision, that may in fact change the landscape by which women have the right to abort fetus' in this country, but right now Roe versus Wade is the prevailing law.

I would also point out that I spent most of my time this year not in the Committee room in Judiciary, but serving as Senate Chair of the Joint Standing Committee on Human Resources and we have heard several pieces of legislation dealing with the growing problems of sexually active adolescents. The problems which we as a state face are truly awesome. We know, painfully, that today more than ever before we have an increasing population of adolescents for whom traditional lines of family communication have broken down or eroded. Children, adolescents, who often times leave home, who are completely adrift, who have no secure lines of communication, often times they don't even have a stable home. It is estimated that on any given night in Maine some four hundred adolescents roam our streets without any home at all. So, let us be very clear in defining the population most effected by our discussion today. I suspect it will probably not be my two daughters, although I do not know. We have a very warm, open, honest relationship in our home. It may effect us, but probably it will not. It will probably effect adolescent teens who have no viable means of family communication and I fully respect the intent of those who sponsor and propose legislation like that before us today who would seek through legislative articulation to bolster lines of family communication, but I must say that nothing in my experience as a practicing attorney, or my involvement with social work on the Human Resources Committee, leads me to conclude that we may by the wisp of an executive pen or legislative pen solidify Life is much more difficult those relationships. than that.

So, it is for that population, the population of risk adolescents who are sexually active, that the majority compromise is primarily addressed at. can certainly be said and argued that there are other rational approaches to this problem. Given the time constraints and given the excessive degree of emotionalism attended to this issue, I am truly proud of the leadership of Senator Hobbins and my colleagues on the Joint Standing Committee crafting the legislation before you today. It will truly vindicate federally recognized rights of pregnant teens to abort, while at the same time advancing legitimate state interest to assure that the pregnant teens decision be informed and be intelligent. Thank you.

Off Record Remarks

On motion by Senator DUTREMBLE of York. Tabled until Later in Today's Session, pending the Motion of Senator HOBBINS of York, to ACCEPT the Majority OUGHT TO PASS AS AMENDED BY COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" (H-127) Report, in concurrence.

Out of order and under suspension of the Rules, the Senate considered the following:

PAPERS FROM THE HOUSE

House Papers

Bill "An Act to Amend the Charter of the New Sharon Water District" (Emergency)

H.P. 1089 L.D. 1511

Committee on UTILITIES suggested and ORDERED PRINTED.

Comes from the House, under suspension of the Rules, READ TWICE and PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED, without reference to a Committee.

Which was, under suspension of the Rules, READ TWICE and PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED, without reference to a Committee, and ORDERED PRINTED, in concurrence.

Under suspension of the Rules, ordered forthwith to the Engrossing Department.

ORDERS OF THE DAY

The Chair laid before the Senate the Tabled and Later Today Assigned matter:

HOUSE REPORTS - from the Committee on JUDICIARY Bill "An Act to Require Parental Consent to a Minor's Abortion"

H.P. 457 L.D. 622

Majority - Ought to Pass as Amended by Committee Amendment "A" (H-127)

Minority - Ought to Pass as Amended by Committee

Minority - Ought to Pass as Amended by Committee Amendment "B" (H-128)

Tabled - May 8, 1989, by Senator DUTREMBLE of

Pending - Motion of Senator HOBBINS of York, ACCEPT the Majority OUGHT TO PASS AS AMENDED BY COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" (H-127)Report, concurrence.

(In Senate, May 8, 1989, Reports READ.)
(In House, May 5, 1989, Majority OUGHT TO PASS
AS AMENDED Report READ and ACCEPTED and the Bill
PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED AS AMENDED BY COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" (H-127).)

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator from Kennebec, Senator Matthews.

Senator MATTHEWS: Thank you Mr. President. Mr. President, men and women of the Senate. First let me echo the remarks of the Senator from Penobscot, Senator Pearson, and the Senator from Androscoggin, Senator Gauvreau, and I know speaking for those in this Body that support the original version of parental consent, which I believe is the true parental consent Bill, but I know all of us have respect for the good Senator from York, Senator Hobbins, that is not the issue here today. The eloquence of the Senator from Androscoggin, goes without question. He is articulate and he does a very good job and I respect him and so does the rest of us in this Chamber.

Ladies and gentlemen of the Senate, thinking coming down here today about what I would say to all of you, fellow colleagues of this Body in trying to urge you not to go with this compromise version that is before us. Listening to the remarks this morning, first my good friend, the Senator from Androscoggin, Senator Gauvreau, said no one's position can be changed. I differ with that assessment. Maybe it is a truly optimistic kind of position I have always had, ever since running for office but no one of rational mind and I heliove office, but no one of rational mind, and I believe all of us are of rational mind, has their position locked in that we listen to the debate, we listen to the arguments on either side of the issue and there is always hope. I believe in miracles, ladies and gentlemen of the Senate.

When we begin our day in this Body, we begin with a prayer, we ask for God's grace and guidance and blessing. I can't think of a better way to start each of our days.

This past weekend I spent two days traveling to southern Massachusetts to attend a wedding, a relative of my wife and I, embarking on their married lives. The pastor that did the wedding, evoked God's blessing on their marriage, talked about the responsibilities and the guidance of parents, the primary importance of God's grace and help, and parental wisdom. I think we shouldn't forget about that today in this debate. On our way back to Maine we traveled to the Plymouth Colony. We have the number one greatest society on the face of civilization, we are a leader, a beacon of hope, for all countries across the planet. But it is interesting when you look at American history and you really go back to the inception of this great nation, how did we start. It is almost a miracle, the great democratic experiment. It started, ladies and gentlemen, in the minds and in the hearts of people struggling to worship God in the way that they saw fit. When they came over to this shore, even before stepping off the vessel, they asked for God's grace and guidance and his wisdom and talked about the great miracle God had made in beginning this new world and this new experiment. Then by great fortune, we had other leaders that had the wisdom and the guidance to enact laws in a democracy that has now been in existence over two hundred years and I am sure another two hundred to come. But, God's grace and work have always been an integral part of what we have to cherish.

We have heard today comments that one side has been labeling and using influence in fighting for parental consent. Throughout our history, as a country, as a great people, we have had individuals collectively joining fighting for causes and for justice. It is no different today, we need to keep our remarks on the issue, I would grant you, but the right of every citizen to actively participate in this great country is an inherent right, one which I never will forsake.

I can remember in January standing outside the State House steps with five hundred people. The sun was blazing that January day, but the temperature was about ten degrees tops. Senior Citizens, men, women, children, teenage girls and boys, forming hands around the State House because they were going to continue their efforts and they believed sincerely that their voices would be heard. They believed sincerely in the rights of the family unit being the principle to all that we do as a country.

I remember a public hearing being attended by the largest crowd that I have ever seen in this State House and I have been here four terms, thank God and thank the people of my district. I would guess anywhere from six to seven hundred people from Kittery to Fort Kent, from Rumford to Machias and all parts in between believing strongly in America, believing strongly in this Legislature and in government that their involvement would make a difference. No one, I would think, challenges that involvement here today. I believe it does make a difference and it can make a difference.

There are many questions today, and I hope we can debate and discuss this issue, which bother me with the amended version. I, too, Senator Hobbins, the good Senator from York, am a Catholic and that has nothing to do with this issue. What does have to do with the amended version is the question of how a priest, a member of my faith, or a social worker for the Catholic Church would render counseling advice to

a young teenage girl that she can have an abortion or not have an abortion. I am not a lawyer, I am a common citizen, a State Senator, but I have a question about that provision. A Baptist minister or an Episcopalian minister or what have you, we have the separation in this country of church and state based primarily on the battles that ensued in creating this country, those Puritans fleeing England to worship God as they saw fit. We have doctrines in our religious faith. Doctrines inherent to our beliefs and I don't understand how this amended version can profess to be Constitutional when it says to my faith and other Christian faiths, you shall talk to a young girl and let her know she can have an abortion. It goes against everything my church talks about. It also has a fundamental flaw of the physician performing the abortion rendering the counseling to the young girl to have the abortion. If that is not a conflict of interest, ladies and gentlemen, I don't know what is.

We had a poll very recently, and yes we do talk about polls here. Do you know why we talk about polls in this Legislature? Because the people back home sent us here, the Senator from Franklin reminds us of that all of the time. We have an inherent responsibility to listen to the people back home. The Press Corps did a poll and found that parental consent was supported by seventy percent of the people of the State of Maine, seventy percent. My Senate district two years ago, Senate district 13, was seventy-two percent. Other members of this Body who have done polling on this question; the good Senator from Aroostook, Senator Collins, over seventy percent, of course that is important to our decision. We are a democracy.

We have heard today about the young females that have dis-functional families and I know that issue very well, because I work in a related field fighting drug abuse and alcohol abuse among teenagers. Yes, that is a big problem and no one in this Chamber has any corner on that problem, we are all concerned, but the research that I have seen about the issue of parental consent on abortion tells us that about twenty percent of the young teenage girls having abortions fall into this dis-functional criteria. Again, the majority opinion here should at least be weighed into the discussion. Eighty percent of those teenage girls are not from dis-functional families, they are from good, loving, caring, american Maine people that care about their children. We cannot forget those voices.

Working with young adolescents, girls and boys, in our recovery program, chemical dependency treatment program, we have found much too often that many of the problems we are seeing in our state today, and I would venture to guess in our society today, stem from the inability, at times, of parents to be involved with their kids because some law or some requirement or some regulation separates children from families. Much of what we do in our program is to bring families and kids together for their mutual benefit and it works, ladies and gentlemen, nine times out of ten. That is what family therapy is all about, that is what the adolescent psychologists are telling us today, we need family involvement.

A recent article in an Adolescent Substance Abuse Magazine by a counselor who had been in the business for twenty years said, "what we are lacking today and what we need to fight and the problems among adolescents today is the family unit." Integral, central to our society, being pulled apart by state, pulled apart by the kinds of minority opinions we hear today.

Ladies and gentlemen, I want to talk a little bit about the opposition and let's get it out onto the table here. The Right to Life Committee has been fighting for parental consent so one might ask who has been fighting against parental consent? Unfortunately, that has been the Women's Lobby and unfortunately that has been the pro-choice movement. The sponsors and cosponsors of this Bill have time and time again kept the argument on parental consent and on family values, but I have been here and I have listened to what has been happening in the hallways.

No one will convince me that another group has not been telling colleagues of ours in these halls that this is really to get an abortion. That this is the anti-abortionist, that this has nothing to do the anti-abortionist, that this has nothing to do with the family unit, this is Roe v. Wade. We are going to hopefully take care of Roe v. Wade, the Supreme Court is going to make a decision on that and probably will give the states more authority. Ladies and gentlemen, to every argument there is another side of the issue and it is time that the other side has been pointed out. The opposition has been here everyday working these halls, but I would hope and pray that each of you will use your mind, your heart, and your soul and look at the problems we are in today. Ask yourselves what can single-handedly begin to turn the tide. Family values, family unit, belief in God, and prayer, that can change the face of events, as it has in our country's history. I don't believe this discussion is over today, I don't believe this issue is decided today, no matter what we do, because it will be back if we do the wrong thing. We will go to court, unfortunately, if this amended version is passed. I hope I can get a response from the opposition to tell me again in more specific terms how this is not unconstitutional. are not done with this issue, not by a long shot. Thank you.

Off Record Remarks

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator

from Sagadahoc, Senator Cahill.
Senator CAHILL: Thank you Mr. President. Mr.
President, men and women of the Senate. I speak to you today as the Senator from Sagadahoc, representing myself, not as a representative of any political party because I think the seriousness of this issue knows no political boundaries. First, I must tell you how very much I appreciate each and every member of this Senate's views on this legislation. Anytime we deal with the subject of abortion it becomes emotional and a personal decision, not a Republican or a Democrat decision, but a personal decision. A decision that each of us must search our inner selves before resolving. I consider myself in support of life, ladies and gentlemen, also in support of adoption, certainly in support of family, and I am also in support of abortion. I wish, as a Legislature, we could eliminate all the situations that make abortion necessary; rape, incest, sexual ignorance, sexual abuse, bad luck, but it is not a perfect world that we live in, ladies and gentlemen.

I have wrestled a long time with this legislation and the motives behind it. At the public hearing on this Bill, I heard several times that the intent of the proposal was not meant to be anti-abortion, but to insure parental involvement in a pregnant minor's decision whether or not to have an abortion. This Bill would protect parents, but it would force young pregnant girls to go out-of-state or to realize my greatest fear to get an illegal, unsafe abortion,

probably from an unprofessional person, probably in an unsterile environment, no protection whatsoever for the people we are trying the hardest to protect.

I just briefly must respond to my good friend and colleague from Aroostook, Senator Collins, that I doubt seriously that for the young people that this Bill addresses the sex of a child has any place when that person is making their decision whether or not to have an abortion.

I have also wrestled a great deal with the compromise as addressed in the Majority Report. My philosophy tells me that no parental consent law or compromise is the best thing. I am asking you today to put aside, as I had to do, your own personal philosophy, just for a minute, and think about the women this legislation affects. My daughter is seventeen years old. I hope I have educated her if I didn't I hope she would love and trust me enough to come to me and talk to me or her father about her problem. If she doesn't, I'd still want the very best care and counseling for her. Limiting her options to the court, if she rejects me, doesn't protect her physically or emotionally and it doesn't make her aware that she has alternatives. The compromise requires that she consult with either a psychiatrist, a psychologist, a social worker, a doctor, a nurse practitioner, or a clergy person. Senator Pam Cahill from Sagadahoc's stubborn philosophy still says no Bill. Pam Cahill's maternal instincts say compromise.

We are here today, I believe, to protect the young women of Maine. Twelve out of thirteen members of the Judiciary Committee, with varying philosophies and religious beliefs, offer the Majority Report. The Majority Report offers protection to those young women in Maine. The alternative, or to scuttle the whole thing as I have heard recently, suggested by the Right to Life Activists, is shortsighted and self-serving. It protects a philosophy, but it ignores our teenagers that are in a major crisis situation. We can't legislate trust, ladies and gentlemen, you have heard that. We can't legislate love, we can't legislate that everyone in Maine be a good family, but we can legislate protection. compromise is about protection, ladies and gentlemen of the Senate, and I ask you to vote yes for all the young women in the State of Maine. Thank you.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator from Kennebec, Senator Bustin.

Senator BUSTIN: Thank you Mr. President. Mr. President, men and women of the Senate. I have hesitated on getting up on this Bill, but one of the reasons I stood up before was because I had noted that there were no women Legislators who had spoken on this Bill. I am very glad that the Senator from Sagadahoc, Senator Cahill, got up to speak. I think one of the reasons that the women in the Senate find it very difficult to speak on this Bill is because we really don't want to vote for it, but we feel that we have to. It is an emotional, personal, a very traumatic issue for women, whether or not we have had to face the question on a personal level.

We empathize with those women who have had to, none of us ever want to have an abortion, that is not what we are about. Our biological urges tell us to pro-create, we can do nothing about that. What we can do is have the best environment, politically, legally, and emotionally, to make those decisions that are best for us, for our society, and for this world. That is what this question is all about. It is a hard one. I don't want to vote for this compromise, but I am going to vote for it because it is the only thing before me at this moment that is reasonable and that can help women make those decisions. I come from a family of nine women, with not a good family environment, I know from where I am speaking. Please support the Majority Report.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator from York, Senator Dutremble.

Senator DUTREMBLE: Thank you Mr. President. President, men and women of the Senate. I do hope that all of you has a stomach that is churning and tight like mine is and I am sure the people on the other side feel the same way. If it isn't, it probably should be. When we deal with an issue like this, we better all hope that we make the right decision and that nobody gets hurt by what we do. My first year in the Legislature, 1979, in the other Body this was the first issue that I spoke on and here I am in 1989 speaking on it again.

This is an emotional issue, as you have heard from many people in this Chamber. It is also a highly charged issue and it should be. It is not something that we should take lightly, it will always here, and it should be something that is debated on and on and on because you are talking about something

that is very, very important.

This issue that we have before us today is not an abortion bill. It has been stated that Roe v. Wade has decided that for us back in 1973 and I, like the good Senator from Androscoggin, Senator Gauvreau, will respect the decision of the Supreme Court that was made at that time. Although I may not agree with it, I will live with it and abide by it, because I also believe in the Constitution of this country and the workings of the Supreme Court. I also respect the process of the Legislature and I can count votes, I know what is going to happen here today. I also feel it is very important for me to get up and say what I have to and not let it go by without debate.

The people of this state want parental consent, the people in my district want parental consent and I, as a Senator, also have strong personal beliefs towards parental consent. The Committee on Judiciary is made up of good members, I have all the respect in the world for the good Senator from Androscoggin, Senator Gauvreau and all the respect in the world for the good Senator from Lincoln, Senator Holloway. far as the good Senator from York, Senator Hobbins, he and I are good friends, we grew up together. I can remember when I played basketball against Barry in high school and I can remember that particular time I used to reject his shots and he used to try to shoot against me. Well, the good Senator has taken another shot now and I hope I can reject this one,

I also want to agree with the other comments that were made about the character of Senator Hobbins. Senator Hobbins has nothing more than the good wishes for all the people of the state, especially young people. I have no problem with the work he has done with that Committee, even though I may not agree with the final outcome. Senator Hobbins cares for the young people in this state and I want to make sure

that everybody understands that.

Let me tell you why I can't support this Bill. think that the Bill, itself, maintains the status quo. Right now, a young person can get an abortion. That young person can also go to their parents if she wants to. That person can also go to a counselor if she wants to. That young girl can also go to her priest if she wants to or she can just go to the abortionist if she wants to. This doesn't change anything as far as who you are going to go see. It does require, however, that these people give some kind of counseling. The good Senator from Kennebec, Senator Matthews, hit it right on the button. How

can you have a priest or a minister counsel abortion when they, themselves, may be opposed to it, when their own philosophical teachings, their own religious teachings, may be opposed to it. Yet, in this Bill they would be required to do it. There is serious Constitutional problems with this part of the Top it all off with the last clause in this Bill, which has a nonseverability clause, which says that if any part of this Bill is declared unconstitutional, the whole thing will be. Tell me, what is going to happen to the minors after that? What happens to all of this counseling that is passed in this compromise? You have to think about that, because it could happen. We have already been told that at least one group is going to challenge the constitutionality of this compromise Bill. If you know the Constitution, as I am sure you all do, there are serious questions about that provision of the law

that we are talking about here today.

This Bill started off as a parental consent Bill I mean that in all sincerity. It has turned into a pro-choice versus pro-life, anti-woman versus pro-woman bill and it shouldn't have been. It was a parental consent Bill from the very start and a children's protection Bill. It has turned into this whole other thing and I see how that could happen because the emotions of the abortion issue are so strong that people start saying things that maybe a week or two down the road they feel sorry about. I will not attack the integrity of the members of the Judiciary Committee, I will attack the compromise, but not the members, because they did what they thought was best and I think we all do that when we work on legislation. The question that I have is that if a young girl becomes pregnant, and think about that now we are not just talking about sixteen and seventeen year old girls, we could be down to thirteen year old girls, what does a thirteen year old girl do who is pregnant? Go to a stranger? An abortionist will be a stranger. You are telling us that they are better prepared to handle the emotions of that young girl and I am saying that is not so. I am saying that I, as a father, and all the Maine people as fathers and mothers, are better prepared $% \left(1\right) =\left\{ 1\right\} =\left\{ 1\right\}$ when they have brought up that girl from childbirth, when they have brought up that girl from childbirth, have gone through the good times and the bad, have stuck by her all the time, they are better equipped to handle this problem. Not just before, but especially afterwards if they go through with the abortion. If they go see an abortionist and they have an abortion, who is going to help that young girl afterwards? Who is going to help this girl two or three years down the road if they start having problems, wondering whether or not they made a mistake? I know I will be, as a father, and all other Maine mothers and fathers would be too. other Maine mothers and fathers would be too.

What I really think is going to happen is that girls will either continue to tell their parents or they will go to see the doctors. My problem is that one part of the Bill says that the young girl cannot come back on the doctor. get bad advice, if something happens, and the girl feels that she was given bad advice, according to this Bill the girl cannot go back after the doctor. We are taking away rights from a minor here and supposedly we are trying to protect minors and we are taking rights away and we are giving them to the doctor performing the abortion. They have the rights, the girls lose the rights. There is no question that is in the Bill. When we say we are protecting the rights of the girls, to a certain degree you might be. The comment was made that we are continually making attacks on this compromise and you are right, we will make attacks on this Bill

because I don't agree with it. But also understand, that if it wasn't for those of us who put this Bill in, in the first place, this compromise would not be here either. I guarantee you that those people who are opposed to parental consent wouldn't have come up with this compromise by themselves and so while it is true that we will attack the Bill, this compromise that you are voting on today is there because we put some work into the original Bill.

Ladies and gentlemen of the Senate, again I have been here a number of years and I respect the decision that this Legislature finally makes, but I hope we would defeat this current motion before us so that we could vote for the Minority Report. Thank

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator from Penobscot, Senator Pearson. Senator PEARSON: Thank you Mr. President.

President, men and women of the Senate. When I was a little boy and as I grew older, my father was a physician, a medical doctor. From time to time there would be an accident in the house, my sister broke her collar bone and tonsils came out and that sort of thing, and I asked my mother one time how come my father didn't operate on us. She told me that he couldn't because he had a conflict of interest. I asked her why and she told me that he cared too much about us and he wouldn't be objective if we were being operated on. So, we had to go see Walter Hall, the other doctor in town. When I had an insurance physical I had to go see Walter and when I went to the hospital for my ear I had to go to another doctor and all of those things. It wasn't practical, it wasn't good for that to happen because he would be too emotionally involved with what was going on. It wasn't a matter of money it was a matter of emotion.

This Bill has a provision in it that allows the person who is going to do the abortion to do the counseling if the girl so chooses. Senator Collins, from Aroostook, mentioned that particular instance and Senator Dutremble, from York, has touched on it too. It is real. Of all the provisions in that whole so-called compromise, that is the one that bothers me the most. The person who is going to perform the procedure can do the counseling and I don't think that ought to be happening because I don't think that person is objective. Thank you.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator from York, Senator Hobbins.

Senator HOBBINS: Thank you Mr. President. President, men and women of the Senate. I would like to address two issues that have been raised. First, the issue that was raised by the good gentleman from Penobscot, regarding the issue of the physician being able to do the counseling. The reason the amendment has been crafted the way it is, is because the United States Supreme Court have not allowed states or Legislatures to put up intrusive roadblocks or intrusive mandates in the legislation. particular roadblocks have been declared unconstitutional, whether it is language in the informed consent statute which takes away discretion of the physician, or the issue involving persuasive language which had to be discussed with a minor woman before she could consent to an abortion.

What you should understand, ladies and gentlemen of the Senate, is that under present law, under the present situation, there are no guidelines in the law or requirements of any discussion that are outlined in the counseling provision of this Bill. You might ask why did we put in ordained clergy as one of the options for counseling. We looked closely at the language and whether or not the amendment as presented intrudes on the separation of the church and state. It is the feeling of the Judiciary Committee, the twelve members, that there is no violation of that doctrine of church and state, because the inclusion of clergy members as potential counselors neither promotes any religion, nor prevents anyone from exercising their religious beliefs. It is up to the minor, under this proposal, to choose the person she wants counseling her, provided that the person fits the guidelines of the

Her choice of that clergy is her choice. the choice of that ordained clergy whether or not to provide formal counseling in signing their name as stated or providing the spiritual counseling and not participating in the formalization of that part of the guideline that goes to that woman's informed consent. I respect those members who bring these issues before this Body, but you should all understand that presently a young woman, a teen who is pregnant, doesn't have to go to her parents, doesn't have to go to court, and doesn't have to go to a counselor.

This amendment before you says that in order for an abortion to be performed, that pregnant teen must have the consent of her parents, an adult family member, a guardian, a consent of the court through judicial bypass, or the bypass of a counselor, discussing with that pregnant teen all of her options that are available. At least this amendment talks about alternatives available to that young pregnant teen, not like the status quo. Thank you.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator

from York, Senator Dutremble.

Senator DUTREMBLE: Thank you Mr. President. President, men and women of the Senate. I think the Senator from York, Senator Hobbins, is absolutely correct in what he is saying, except for one thing. The young minor will never see this Bill and don't think for one minute that a thirteen or fourteen year old is going to go through the laws of the State of Maine. If they won't go to their parents already, they will go see a doctor and all that doctor has to do, who performs the abortion, is give some kind of counseling and that takes care of all the requirements of that Bill, from what I understand. That is really no different than what is happening

A young girl, who becomes pregnant, goes through traumatic times. The time of wondering if you are pregnant, the time of finding out you are pregnant, the time of being rejected by your boyfriend, and the time of trying to find out what to do. Those are very traumatic times. I still think that the parents are the best people who are equipped to handle that. I also agree with some people who say that there are abusive parents out there, nobody likes to see that, I certainly don't, and that is why we have the judicial process involved. I have heard that you are going to have young girls sitting in the hallways with criminals and people who are mean, let's not throw any red flags into this thing. Look at our judicial system. I look in this Body here and I see in the future a judge in Senator Gauvreau and a judge in Senator Hobbins, those are good people. The judges that we confirm are good people and they are not going to let these things happen. The parental consent legislation that was originally put in has passed Constitutional muster, it is already in a number of states. So, we wouldn't have to worry about Constitutionality. When the hearing to this Bill was held, I made the comments that my fourteen year old daughter has to ask to go to a movie, she has to ask to go to a basketball game or to get a license to drive, but doesn't have to ask me to get

an abortion. If she has a broken finger and goes to the doctors she needs my medical approval, or at least I think she does. All of a sudden with this particular phase of life on abortion, a daughter doesn't even have to consult a parent. I am telling you that young girls talking to parents would be easier able to cope with this than going to an abortionist who is going to perform the abortion, collect the money and never see this girl again. Maybe I am wrong, but I don't think so.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator from Androscoggin, Senator Gauvreau.

Senator GAUVREAU: Thank you Mr. President. Mr. President, men and women of the Senate. I rise briefly to address one issue raised by the remarks of my good colleague from York, Senator Dutremble. Before I address that, I feel compelled to praise all the members of this Body for the sincerity and the honesty by which they have addressed this issue. That simply bolsters my confidence and my respect for all the women and men who have spoken this morning.

With respect to the issue raised by Senator Dutremble from York, there is a distinction between informed consent, which currently all physicians licensed under Title 32 in Maine have to address, and the actual specific counseling initiatives. Clearly, any physician does have to apprise the woman or girl, as the case may be, regarding possible adverse consequences from the abortion procedure. That currently is the status of Maine law. What isn't in Maine law now and what the majority suggests should be in Maine law would be the specific counseling which is set forth in Committee Amendment "A" in Section 4, where it states "Information and counseling for minors." As you can see, there is a notice of matters which the rather specific listing of matters which the counselor must address with the pregnant minor and those initiatives include, but are not limited to. explaining that the information which is given is truly objective and not with the view toward persuading the child to either abort or not to abort. The minor does have the right at any time to withdraw her decision to abort and also clearly and fully explore with the minor the alternative choices which are available to the minor, including carrying the pregnancy to term and keeping the child, or putting the child up for adoption, as well as, exploring the elements of prenatal and postnatal care. So you will see, the options that the Majority Report makes available are clearly designed to enhance and augment the minor child's understanding of the options which are available to her.

Finally, there was another point which I would like to address and that is the suggestion that perhaps the physician would have a financial conflict of interest by undergoing this type of counseling. It seems to me that is the case in almost every procedure now with physicians. Maine law does require them at least to advise as to informed consent. For example, on breast surgery, an area you may hear more about for we have legislation dealing with that in my Committee, currently, physicians are required, under Maine law, to apprise their patients of all the adverse consequences which might come from an operative procedure and we don't believe that physicians are failing to discharge their responsibilities simply to enhance their practices. That was a legitimate point to raise, but their is no evidence which we have seen to suggest that physicians are abusing their responsibilities of the law. Thank you.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator from Kennebec, Senator Matthews.

Senator MATTHEWS: Thank you Mr. President. Mr. President, men and women of the Senate. My good seat mate the good Senator from Androscoggin, Senator Gauvreau, points our attention to Section 4 and it is right there that I have serious doubts and problems with this amendment. If you look at page four under "information and counseling for minors", it is clear that what the church is in the position of having to do is to counsel for an abortion. It says, giving objectively, not coercing or persuading. Ladies and gentlemen, the ten commandments are pretty clear the last I checked. We haven't asked our churches yet, in this country, to change their doctrine, change the old testament, the new testament, to be objective. We have certain precepts that we believe in. This is unconstitutional and I beg to differ with my colleagues in this Body that somehow this has been written to pass Constitutional muster.

A little bit further down on page four of the Committee Amendment "A", under sub-section 5, it says, "discuss the possibility of involving the minor's parents, guardian or other adult family members." Who are the other adult family members? Will someone explain that to me? What legal authority do they have? This is swiss cheese, ladies and gentlemen of the Senate. Thank you.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator

from Lincoln, Senator Holloway. Senator HOLLOWAY: Thank you Mr. President. Mr. President, men and women of the Senate. In response to the question that was just asked, I think it should be a known fact that there is no teen that is forced to go to a clergy. There are options and there are seven or eight different options. They are not forced to go to any one particular option.

This is definitely an informed consent Bill. is not parental consent, it is informed consent, even though the parent is still there to offer if it wishes. I am hoping today that this Chamber can do what the Committee did in Judiciary. We came from so many opposite spectrums. There was one who said, "there is no way I want anything to go on the statutes, nothing whatsoever, I am definitely pro-choice." Then we went to the other side of the spectrum where one person said, "I went home empty handed last time, four years ago, when we had this Bill in the House and my people want parental consent. I went home with nothing, so I am willing to look at a compromise." And, we did, we looked at blue, green, orange, white, these are all amendments, my friends, to this Bill and an effort to come up with something that we could all look at and say that perhaps we had helped minors in the State of Maine.

We acted what we consider to be responsible, prudent, and we were very sincere in our efforts to find this common ground. The child does have alternatives and I think that is the one thing we are missing here today. If she cannot go to the clergy, and she cannot go to the guidance counselor, or to a nurse practitioner, this allows her seven different options in seeking advice, information, and guidance. It appears that there are many in this Chamber today that feel that most minors can come to the parent if she has this problem and that is not true. There are many girls who cannot go home, they have fears of rejection, they have fears of being abused, and they have fears of being evicted from their homes. They can't tell their parents and they are certainly a little afraid of that man in the big black robe.

As far as Constitutionality that the good Senator from York, was speaking about on parental consent in others states, it was proven to us that in Massachusetts once parental consent became law, prior to the law, we had maybe two or three girls from Massachusetts coming into Maine seeking abortions, last year we had around two hundred and seventy, parental consent does not work Massachusetts. These girls are using the Kittery bypass to come to Maine for abortions.

We, on the Committee, have been lobbied hard, we have suffered a lot of mental anguish about this discussion, but the twelve of us have hung together very tightly and we believe we have given Maine minors a choice and some relief in her very lonely search in trying to find information, guidance, and help of this very delicate situation. I do hope that you will support our compromise Bill. Thank you.

Off Record Remarks

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator from Kennebec, Senator Matthews.

Senator MATTHEWS: Thank you Mr. President. President, men and women of the Senate. I rise just to correct a couple of statements from the good Senator from Lincoln, Senator Holloway. The good Senator says that this is not a parental consent Bill that this is an informed consent Bill. I would just point your attention to the Bill and it says, "An Act to Require Parental Consent to a Minor's Abortion". I couldn't concur more, but you better change your amended version. It is not parental consent, you are quite correct.

I would echo again the question under page four about other family members. Ladies and gentlemen, that could be a grandmother, grandfather, uncle, aunt, cousin, brother, sister, third cousin, would someone please get up from the opposition, I beg of you, and explain what you mean by adult family members?

THE PRESIDENT: The Senator from Kennebec. Senator Matthews, has posed a question through the Chair to any Senator who may care to respond.

The Chair recognizes the Senator from Lincoln,

Senator Holloway.

Senator HOLLOWAY: Thank you Mr. President. Mr. President, men and women of the Senate. In answer to your question, I would say that you are quite right. Anyone over eighteen would be an adult member of that family. I can't imagine what would be wrong with having an aunt assist this child in her decision.

Senator MATTHEWS of Kennebec requested ar received Leave of the Senate to speak a fourth time.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the same Senator.

Senator MATTHEWS: Thank you Mr. President. President, men and women of the Senate. This is supposedly a parental consent bill, how does this become a parental consent Bill?

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator from Cumberland, Senator Clark.

Senator CLARK: Thank you Mr. President. President, men and women of the Senate. In response to the inquiry posed by the Senator from Kennebec, Senator Matthews, this Bill is an informed parental consent Bill which includes persons listed in the Majority Report, in addition to parents. This is a parental consent Bill in experience. Its statistics and polls are indicators of what is occurring outside these Chambers. In fact, well over ninety percent of young females who find themselves unintentionally pregnant, will seek the counsel of their parents. Thank you.

THE PRESIDENT: The pending question before the Senate is the motion by Senator HOBBINS of York, to ACCEPT the Majority OUGHT TO PASS AS AMENDED BY COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" (H-127) Report, in concurrence.

A vote of Yes will be in favor to ACCEPT the prity OUGHT TO PASS AS AMENDED BY COMMITTEE Majority AMENDMENT "A" (H-127) Report.

A vote of No will be opposed.

Is the Senate ready for the question? The Doorkeepers will secure the Chamber.

The Secretary will call the Roll.

ROLL CALL YEAS:

Senators ANDREWS, BALDACCI, BOST, SENATORS ANDREWS, BALDACCI, BUSI, BRANNIGAN, BUSTIN, CAHILL, CARPENTER, CLARK, DILLENBACK, EMERSON, ERWIN, ESTES, ESTY, GAUVREAU, HOBBINS, HOLLOWAY, KANY, LUDWIG, PERKINS, TITCOMB, WEYMOUTH, WHITMORE SENATORS BERUBE, BRAWN, COLLINS,

DUTREMBLE, GOULD, MATTHEWS, PEARSON, RANDALL, THERIAULT, TWITCHELL, WEBSTER, THE PRESIDENT — CHARLES P.

PRAY

ABSENT: Senator GILL

22 Senators having voted in the affirmative and 12 Senators having voted in the Senator being absent, the motion by of York, to ACCEPT the Majority AMENDED BY COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" negative, with 1 Senator HOBBINS OUGHT TO PASS AS (H-127) Report, PREVAILED, in concurrence.

The Bill READ ONCE.

NAYS:

Committee Amendment "A" (H-127) READ and ADOPTED, in concurrence.

The Bill as Amended, TOMORROW ASSIGNED FOR SECOND READING.

Senate Leave to Withdraw

The following Leave to Withdraw Report shall be placed in the Legislative Files without further action pursuant to Rule 15 of the Joint Rules:

Senator TWITCHELL for the Committee TRANSPORTATION on Bill "An Act to Authorize on the Placement of a Directional Sign on Interstate 95 for Unity College"

S.P. 428 L.D. 1139

Change of Reference

Senator PEARSON for the Committee on APPROPRIATIONS AND FINANCIAL AFFAIRS on Resolve, to Provide Certified Nursing Assistant Training at the Central Maine Vocational-Technical Institute

S.P. 343 L.D. 913 Reported that the same be REFERRED to the Committee on HUMAN RESOURCES.

Which Report was READ and ACCEPTED.

The Resolve REFERRED to the Committee on HUMAN RESOURCES.

Sent down for concurrence.

Ought to Pass As Amended Senator THERIAULT for the Committee on BANKING AND INSURANCE on Bill "An Act to Amend the Law Concerning Insurance Cancellation Control"

S.P. 99 L.D. 118

Reported that the same Ought to Pass as Amended by Committee Amendment "A" (S-92).

Which Report was READ and ACCEPTED.

The Bill READ ONCE.

Committee Amendment "A" (S-92) READ and ADOPTED. The Bill as Amended, TOMORROW ASSIGNED FOR SECOND READING.