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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

INTRODUCTION AND METHODOLOGY

This study was conducted for the Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife (hereinafter referred to as the Department) to determine the opinions of the general population, landowners of large tracts of land, and hunters regarding the management and hunting of deer, moose, bear, and wild turkey. The study entailed scientific probability-based random sample surveys of the three groups, with some questions that pertained to all three groups and some questions that were just for one (or two) of the groups. (Note that the general population sample/survey is interchangeably referred to as the sample/survey of residents.) Contact with respondents was made by telephone, mail, and email.

For the survey, a multi-modal approach was selected to ensure complete coverage of the populations. Initial contacts were made by postal mail (address-based sampling), by telephone (random digit dialing), and through email (for hunters in the sample that contained an email address). The survey was then administered by telephone and online.

The survey questionnaires were developed cooperatively by Responsive Management and the Department.

The detailed sampling plans for residents, landowners, and hunters is discussed in the body of the report, along with the extensive contact procedures. Each of the samples was probability-based and was as representative of their populations as feasible.

Online surveys could be taken at the convenience of the respondent. Telephone surveying times are Monday through Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m., Saturday from noon to 5:00 p.m., and Sunday from 5:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m., local time. The survey was conducted in January and February 2016.

The software used for telephone data collection was Questionnaire Programming Language (QPL). The online data were downloaded into Responsive Management’s databased by the
standard export software provided by the online surveying vendor. Responsive Management obtained completed questionnaires from 933 residents, 304 landowners, and 956 hunters.

The analysis of data was performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences as well as proprietary software developed by Responsive Management.

**INTEREST IN AND KNOWLEDGE OF WILDLIFE**

- Interest in wildlife in Maine is fairly high, with mean ratings of interest (on a 0 to 10 scale where 0 is not at all interested and 10 is extremely interested) at 8.0 or above among the three groups in the survey. Also, a majority of landowners (60%) and hunters (57%) gave their interest in wildlife a rating of “10” (a third of the general population—36%—did so).

- Another question gauged respondents’ comfort level regarding wildlife around their homes. Using a continuum from the most comfortable (“I enjoy seeing and having wildlife around my home or on my property”) to the least comfortable (“I generally regard wildlife around my home or on my property as dangerous”), a large majority of each group (70% of the general population and 80% each of landowners and hunters) chose the highest comfort level, and nearly all the rest chose the second most comfortable level.

- Finally in this section, the survey asked about respondents’ knowledge levels regarding the four primary species of interest in the survey (deer, moose, bear, and turkey). The results of all four questions are shown together. Two observations can be made:
  - Hunters tend to claim to be more knowledgeable than the general population or landowners.
  - Self-rated knowledge levels are highest for deer, followed by moose, wild turkey, and then bear, in that order. (Two graphs are shown of all groups together: one shows the percentages who say that they know a great deal or a moderate amount; the second graph shows the percentages who say that they know nothing at all.)
PARTICIPATION IN HUNTING

- The overwhelming majority of hunters (91%) had hunted for deer in the past 5 years.
- About a third of hunters (35%) had hunted moose in the past 15 years (note the timeframe for moose is 15 years on this question, in part to get a larger group in follow-up questions about moose hunting). Also, half of hunters (51%) say that they typically apply for a moose permit in Maine.
- A third of hunters (33%) had hunted bear in Maine in the past 15 years (note that this also uses a 15-year timeframe).
- Just under a third of hunters (30%) had hunted turkey in Maine in the past 5 years.

- When asked in which county they most often hunt, hunters most commonly said Aroostook County, followed by Penobscot, Oxford, York, and Somerset (all with at least 8% of hunters hunting in it most often).

- Motivations for hunting the various species were explored. For each species, hunters who had hunted that species were given a list of possible reasons for hunting for that species, and they were asked to choose the reason that was their most important. For each species, the list contained the same six items: for the meat, for a trophy, to be with family and friends, for the sport/recreation/challenge, to be close to nature, or to see a deer/moose/bear/turkey. For the deer question, another item was added: to reduce damage to crops.
  - The most important reasons given for hunting deer were for the meat (50% of deer hunters chose this reason) or for the sport, recreation, and challenge (26%).
  - As was the case with deer, the most important reasons given for hunting moose were for the meat (58%) or for the sport, recreation, and challenge (26%).
  - The most important reasons for hunting bear were for the sport, recreation, or challenge (40%) or for the meat (36%).
  - Hunters most commonly hunt turkey for the sport/recreation/challenge (42%) or for the meat (33%).
SATISFACTION AND DISSATISFACTION WITH HUNTING

The survey asked about hunters’ satisfaction levels for hunting for the four primary species of interest in the survey over the past 5 years (for deer and turkey) or over the past 15 years (for moose and bear). All those who did not answer that they were very satisfied (and those who answered “don’t know”) were then asked why they had not been more satisfied.

- The large majority of deer hunters were satisfied with their deer hunting: 82% were satisfied; 15% were dissatisfied.
  - Lack of harvest success is, by far, the most common reason for not being more satisfied with deer hunting.

- The large majority of moose hunters were satisfied with their moose hunting: 91% were satisfied; 7% were dissatisfied.
  - Lack of harvest success is the most common reason for not being more satisfied with moose hunting.

- The large majority of bear hunters were satisfied with their bear hunting: 90% were satisfied; 7% were dissatisfied.
  - As with other species, lack of harvest success is the most common reason for not being more satisfied with bear hunting.

- The large majority of turkey hunters were satisfied with their turkey hunting: 92% were satisfied; only 5% were dissatisfied.
  - Again, lack of harvest success is the most common reason for not being more satisfied with turkey hunting.

CONSTRAINTS TO HUNTING

Hunters who had hunted deer in the past 5 years were asked about any things that might have prevented them from hunting deer as much as they would have liked in the past 5 years. For 41% of these deer hunters, nothing had prevented them. Otherwise, lack of time/other obligations was the most common reason—a reason over which agencies have little sway.
Hunters who had not hunted a species were asked why they had not done so.

- Regarding not hunting deer, distance, time, and not enough deer are common reasons given.
- Common reasons for not hunting moose include lack of interest, time, expense, and difficulty getting permits.
- Common reasons to not hunt bear include lack of interest, a dislike of the meat, and lack of time.
- Regarding turkey, common reasons for not hunting them include lack of interest, lack of time, and restrictive permits/regulations.

**OPINIONS ON HUNTING**

- Landowners were asked about their approval/disapproval of hunting in general: the overwhelming majority (93%) approve.

- The landowner survey asked about support for or opposition to legal hunting as a method to help manage wildlife populations in Maine. An overwhelming majority of landowners (91%) support.

- The survey asked about approval of hunting of the four primary species of interest in the survey: deer, moose, bear, and turkey.

  - Approval of deer hunting was high across the board: from 93% to 100% approve. At the other end, no more than 6% disapproved.
  - Approval of moose hunting is also high (from 90% to 98%). Compared to approval of deer hunting, the results for approval of moose hunting show a slight shift into moderate approval at the expense of strong approval (although a majority of each group still strongly approve).
  - Approval of bear hunting is high as well, but a little less robust than for moose hunting: from 84% to 97% approve, and again moderate approval is not insubstantial. Bear has the highest disapproval of the four species, but only as high as 12%.
  - Finally, approval of turkey is likewise high: from 92% to 99% approve.
The survey explored opinions on bear hunting for various reasons, with five possible reasons to hunt bear presented to respondents. The most support is for bear hunting as a way to manage bear populations or bear hunting for the meat. Bear hunting to economically benefit rural areas has middling support. At the low end, there is little support for human-centered reasons (for a trophy—the least supported—or for recreation).

- Hunters express markedly more support than the other groups, with landowners narrowly edging out the general population in support.
- A majority of all three groups strongly support bear hunting as a method to help manage bear populations in Maine (59% to 83% strongly support) and for the meat (61% to 79% strongly support). These are the only two reasons with a majority of the general population or landowners in strong support.

**HUNTING ACCESS**

- Although a majority of hunters rate access to hunting lands in Maine as excellent or good (64%), there is a substantial percentage giving a fair or poor rating (34%).

- Paralleling the results above, 34% of hunters agree that lack of access to hunting lands in Maine has caused them to not hunt as much as they would have liked in the past 5 years. On the other end, 61% disagree.

- Landowners were asked about allowing access for hunting on their land. Most of them do so: 81% allow people other than household members to access their largest tract of land for hunting. In breaking down type of access, 42% allow access by permission, and 28% allow open access.

- A follow-up question asked for the main reasons landowners did not allow access to the land for hunting other than family or friends. Common reasons are a disapproval of hunting, firearm safety concerns, disrespectful behavior of hunters, property damage, and privacy concerns.
HUNTING LICENSING AND FEES

- The majority of hunters think the current hunting license fees are about right (74%); otherwise, 22% say that they are too much, compared to only 3% who say that they are not high enough.

- Hunters’ disagreement exceeds their agreement that the current requirement to purchase separate permits for some species prevents them from hunting those species as much as they would like. While a majority (59%) disagree, a substantial percentage (35%) agree.

OPINIONS ON REGULATIONS AND SPECIFIC ASPECTS OF HUNTING

- Opinion is closely split regarding a law requiring that harvested bucks have at least 3 points on one side: 46% of hunters would support, but 50% would oppose.

- Moose hunters and those who typically apply for a permit (even if they did not hunt moose) were asked which month they most preferred for the moose hunting season. The majority of these moose hunters/potential moose hunters chose October (60%), distantly followed by September (22%) and November (12%).
  - Follow-up questions asked respondents why they would most prefer having the season in the month they chose. Those who prefer September most commonly cite the timing of the rut/that there is greater moose activity then. Those who prefer October most commonly say that they prefer the weather then, with the timing of the rut/greater moose activity being the second ranked reason. Those who prefer November most commonly say that they prefer the weather then, with the timing of the rut/more moose activity a distant second.

- A 6-day season with fewer hunters is preferred over a 12-day season with more hunters: among moose hunters/potential moose hunters, 58% choose the 6-day season, while 34% choose the 12-day season.

- Moose hunters and those who typically apply for a permit were asked to choose among two alternatives: having the Department offer more moose permits with a lower harvest rate or offering fewer moose permits with a higher harvest rate. Although a slight majority of
moose hunters/potential moose hunters (52%) choose fewer permits/higher harvest rate over the alternative, there is still a substantial percentage (38%) who choose more permits/lower harvest rate.

LAND MANAGEMENT IN GENERAL

- Just under half of landowners (48%) actively manage for wildlife on their land.

- The survey asked respondents to rate the management of each of the four primary species of interest in the survey, the results of which are shown individually in the following sections about deer management, moose management, bear management, and turkey management. However, the results are first discussed here with all four species compared.
  - Among the findings: hunters have a markedly better opinion regarding bear management than do the general population or landowners. Otherwise, those three groups are similar to each other.

- The last question in this section concerned coyote management. There is much more support for (from 71% to 91%) than opposition to (from 6% to 20%) a coyote management program, which may cause an increase in deer and/or wild turkey populations in local areas.

DEER MANAGEMENT

- Ratings of deer management in Maine are more positive than negative, although there are substantial percentages giving ratings in the lower half of the scale. While from 61% to 70% give a rating of excellent or good (the top half of the scale), from 25% to 36% give a rating of fair or poor.
Another indication of opinion on deer management comes in the question regarding whether the deer population in the area where the respondent lives should be increased, remain the same, or be decreased. The majority of each group says it should remain the same (from 57% to 73%). Otherwise, each group would rather the population be increased (20% of the general population, 19% of landowners, and 34% of hunters—the latter perhaps motivated by their desire to have a good chance of harvest) than decreased (7% of all three groups).

- Those who wanted to see a decrease in the deer population were asked why they wanted a decrease. The most common reasons are that respondents feel that there is currently an overabundance in general; other more concrete responses include the risk of vehicle collisions, damage to property, damage to habitat, and risk of disease.

Those who supported an increase in the deer population were asked about a series of possible consequences of an increase in the deer population. For each, they were asked if they would support or oppose an increase in the deer population.

- Negative ecological consequences cause the most former supporters to no longer support. Poor health overall for the deer herd has the least support (only 15% to 23% still support an increase with this consequence), and the consequence that more deer would die from starvation during winter also has low support (only 12% to 27%). Also with less than a majority of any group in support are less food and poorer quality habitat for other wildlife (support ranges from 29% to 41%) and an increased risk of disease such as Lyme disease (36% to 46%).

- The human-centered reasons do not cause much defection from support, as a majority of each group still support an increase even if Department biologists would spend more time on deer management and less on other species, that private citizens would be required to resolve deer nuisance issues on their own, that there would be more damage to gardens and landscaping, and that there might be more damage to agriculture.
The survey presented to respondents a series of eight possible factors that could be considered in the management of deer. For each factor, respondents rated it from 0 (not at all important) to 10 (extremely important).

- The top-rated factor is the health of the typical deer in the herd (mean ratings of 8.1 or higher)—an ecological factor. Then comes providing deer hunting opportunities (mean of 7.6 or higher), the opportunity to see deer (6.9 or higher), and then another ecological one—impacts on habitat (6.5 or higher).
- Damage to gardens/landscaping damage to agriculture are the lowest rated.

Support for legal deer hunting as a method to help manage deer is high: from 92% to 98% support, most of it strong support. Only 4% or less oppose it.

A series of possible deer management options in areas where deer become overabundant was presented in the survey. For each, respondents were asked whether they would support or oppose each one. There were seven possible options presented.

- For all options except two, there are majorities in support. At the top are the creation of a special archery season, targeted doe permits, and a controlled hunt with a limited number of hunters (the lowest support among any group for any of these three was 72%).
- The two items with less than a majority of all three groups in support are a longer firearms season (supported by a majority of the general population and hunters, but just less than half of landowners) and sharpshooters (no more than 48% of any group in support).

A final question in this section concerned deer and moose together. First, respondents were informed of the following: “Because moose and deer have very different habitat requirements, it is difficult to have large numbers of moose and deer in the same area.” Respondents were then asked to choose on a continuum from abundant moose/very few deer to relatively few moose/moderate numbers of deer in northern Maine. Respondents favor lower moose numbers, most commonly choosing either the lowest moose or the middle option in the continuum; relatively few chose to have abundant moose/few deer.
MOOSE MANAGEMENT

- Large majorities of the groups (from 63% to 67%) give positive ratings of moose management—excellent or good. On the other end, from 19% to 22% give ratings of fair or poor. The percentages who do not know range from 11% to 18%.

- The majority or a near-majority of each group says the moose population should remain the same (from 49% to 61%). Otherwise, each group has a higher percentage who would rather see the population be increased (15% of the general population, 15% of landowners, and 23% of hunters) than decreased (from 4% to 6% of the groups).

- Those who supported an increase in the moose population were asked about a series of possible consequences of an increase in the moose population (some consequences that have counterparts in the deer section of the survey, and some unique to moose). For each of four consequences, respondents were asked if they would support or oppose an increase in the moose population.
  - The possible negative ecological consequences cause the most defection from support. No more than 26% of any of the groups would still support an increase if it meant poor health overall for the moose herd, and just under half (from 45% to 46%) would still support if it meant that forest regeneration would be negatively impacted.
  - An increase in agricultural damage caused little defection: from 58% to 71% would support an increase if this was the consequence. An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions also does not cause mass defection, as a majority of each group would still support the increase with this consequence—from 50% (a majority because it is rounded from 50.4) to 62%.

- The survey presented to respondents a series of possible factors that could be considered in the management of moose. For each factor, respondents rated it from 0 (not at all important) to 10 (extremely important).
  - The top-rated factor is the health of the typical moose in the herd (mean ratings ranging from 8.0 to 8.4)—an ecological factor. Next in the ranking by mean is providing moose
hunting opportunities (mean of 7.2 to 7.7) and the opportunity to see moose (7.1 to 7.4). Risk of vehicle collisions is next at 6.9 to 7.2.

• After that, the importance markedly drops for impacts on habitat (6.1 to 6.6) and damage to agriculture (4.2 to 5.1).

➢ Support for legal moose hunting as a method to help manage moose is high: from 91% to 97% support, most of it strong support. Only 7% or less oppose it.

➢ One question asked about “adjusting the hunting harvest of female moose” to improve the health of the moose population, but included the caveat, “even if it meant fewer moose in Maine.” A majority support this adjustment (68% of the general population, 66% of landowners, and 80% of hunters). Nonetheless, opposition ranges from 21% among the general population down to 10% among hunters.

➢ There is much more support for (ranging from 54% to 68%) than opposition to (9% to 13%) the current moose hunting season in southern Maine.

BEAR MANAGEMENT

➢ Ratings of bear management in Maine are more positive than negative, with substantial percentages, nonetheless, giving ratings in the lower half of the scale. Majorities of 57% to 72% give a rating of excellent or good (the top half of the scale), while 13% to 28% give a rating of fair or poor. The percentages who do not know range from 15% to 22%.

➢ The majority of each group says the bear population should remain the same (56% of the general population, but 67% and 71% among the other two groups). Otherwise, there is a fairly even split among the remainder (from 4% to 8% want an increase, and from 4% to 8% want a decrease).
Those who supported an increase in the bear population were asked about a series of possible consequences of an increase in the bear population. For each consequence, respondents were asked if they would support or oppose an increase in the bear population.

- The ecological consequence caused the most defection among those who had supported an increase: only from 15% to 28% of the groups would still support an increase if it meant poor health overall for the bear population.
- Less than a majority of the general population and landowners would still support an increase if it meant an increased risk to public safety.
- Human-centered reasons do not cause this defection from support, as a majority of all groups would still support an increase if it meant that additional/special bear hunting seasons would need to be opened to manage bear, if bear-proof trash containers were necessitated, if more bears would be killed because of conflicts with humans, and if government staff would spend more time on bears.

The survey presented to respondents a series of possible factors that could be considered in the management of bear. For each factor, respondents rated it from 0 (not at all important) to 10 (extremely important).

- The top-rated factor is the health of the typical bear (mean ratings ranging from 7.6 to 8.4)—an ecological factor. This was followed by providing moose hunting opportunities (mean of 7.0 to 8.1)—these top two being markedly above the others. Risks to public safety and risk to pets/livestock are in the middle, along with impacts on other wildlife.
- After that, the importance markedly drops for damage to agriculture, risks of vehicle collisions, and damage to gardens.

Support for legal bear hunting as a method to help manage bear is high: from 87% to 95% support, most of it strong support. Only 9% or less oppose it.
Each group is split on opinion regarding allowing the bear population to expand into central and southern Maine. While a majority of each group support doing so, there is substantial opposition. Among the general population, 54% support, but 30% oppose. Among landowners, 54% support, while 29% oppose. Finally, among hunters, 63% support, but 25% oppose.

TURKEY MANAGEMENT

Ratings of turkey management in Maine are more positive than negative, with 49% to 58% giving a positive rating of excellent or good, but 28% to 34% giving a rating of turkey management as only fair or poor. The percentages who do not know range from 14% to 18%.

The majority of each group says the turkey population should remain the same (from 52% to 62%). However, the remainder more often want a decrease than an increase: from 27% to 31% want to see a decrease, compared to just 6% to 13% who want an increase. This is the only species of the four in the survey for which decrease is markedly more than increase.

A series of follow-up questions was asked of those who said that they wanted to see an increase in turkey populations, asking if they would support or oppose an increase based on seven possible consequences of an increase.

- In the face of ecological consequences (more turkey dying from starvation in winter; poor health overall for the turkey population), most of those who formerly supported an increase no longer support it.
- The human-centered consequences do not cause this defection from support (that private citizens would be required to resolve problems on their own; that there would be an increase in damage to gardens, landscaping, or agriculture), nor does the consequence of an increase in predators (being seen, perhaps, as a positive ecological consequence or at least a “natural” ecological consequence).
The survey presented to respondents a series of possible factors that could be considered in the management of turkey. For each factor, respondents rated it from 0 (not at all important) to 10 (extremely important).

- Turkey hunting is valued in Maine, as the top-rated factor is providing turkey hunting opportunities (mean of 7.4 to 8.3), closely followed by the health of the typical turkey in the population (mean of 7.4 to 7.9). There is a drop in importance placed on the next items: the opportunity to see turkey (6.1 to 6.9) and damage to agriculture (5.8 to 6.5).
- Lowest in importance are damage to gardens (5.2 to 5.7) and the risk of vehicle collisions with turkey (4.6 to 5.0).

Support for legal turkey hunting as a method to help manage turkey is high: from 92% to 98% support, most of it strong support. Only 5% or less oppose it.

A series of possible turkey management options in the event that turkey became overabundant in an area was presented in the survey, and respondents were asked whether they would support or oppose each one. For each of all four options, an overwhelming majority would support it (no less than 77% among any group for any option), and in fact a majority of the general population would strongly support it, ranging from 54% to 61%. Strong support is a little higher among landowners, ranging from 55% up to 71%, and then markedly higher among hunters, ranging from 78% to 82%. For all groups, the least favored is extending the hunting season later into the fall or winter; the three other options are about even with one another and just slightly higher than that last-placed option. Opposition is no more than 17% among any group for any of the four options.

- The three items with the most support are: implementing a controlled hunt in areas experiencing damage from turkeys, allowing landowners to apply for a permit to remove turkeys that are causing problems on their land, and increasing the bag limit for turkey in areas experiencing damage.
PROBLEMS WITH WILDLIFE

While most landowners in the survey (61%) did not experience any problems with wildlife on their largest tract of land in the past 2 years, the obverse of this means that a fairly large percentage (39%) reported having problems.

- The most common culprits were coyotes, deer, and turkeys.
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INTRODUCTION AND METHODOLOGY

This study was conducted for the Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife (hereinafter referred to as the Department) to determine the opinions of the general population, landowners of large tracts of land, and hunters regarding the management and hunting of deer, moose, bear, and wild turkey. The study entailed scientific probability-based random sample surveys of the three groups, with some questions that pertained to all three groups and some questions that were just for one (or two) of the groups. (Note that the general population sample/survey is interchangeably referred to as the sample/survey of residents.) Contact with respondents was made by telephone, mail, and email. Specific aspects of the research methodology are discussed below.

USE OF MULTI-MODAL SURVEY

For the survey, a multi-modal approach was selected to ensure complete coverage of the populations. Initial contacts were made by postal mail (address-based sampling), by telephone (random digit dialing), and through email (for hunters in the sample that contained an email address). The survey was then administered by telephone and online. Note that the online portion was a closed survey, meaning that it could be completed only by those invited to participate from the original survey; people could not simply surf across the survey and take it.

QUESTIONNAIRE DESIGN

The survey questionnaires were developed cooperatively by Responsive Management and the Department, based on the research team’s familiarity with hunting and wildlife management. The surveys were coded in Questionnaire Programming Language (QPL) for approval from the Department and for use in administering the surveys by telephone. Online versions of the surveys were coded in HyperText Markup Language (HTML) based on the QPL versions. Both the telephone and online versions produced data that could be exported directly into Responsive Management’s data analyses programs.

The survey instruments were programmed to automatically skip questions that did not apply and to substitute phrases in the survey based upon previous responses, as necessary, for the logic and
flow of the interviews. Responsive Management conducted pre-tests of the questionnaires to ensure proper wording, flow, and logic in the surveys.

**SURVEY SAMPLES AND CONTACT PROCEDURES**

As stated previously, three samples were surveyed: residents, landowners, and hunters. The information below details each of the samples.

**The Sample of Residents**

The general population resident sample was obtained from Marketing Systems Group, a firm specializing in scientific survey samples representative of the general population. To ensure the widest possible coverage of the Maine general population, the overall sample included both telephone and mail components.

Although current estimates are that 98.7% of Maine households use a landline telephone, a wireless telephone, or both (see the December 2013 report from the National Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, *Wireless Substitution: State-Level Estimates From the National Health Interview Survey*), the survey also included an address-based system (ABS) component. ABS entails the sampling of physical addresses from a near-universal database. In theory, every parcel of land in the United States with a residence and every lot in urban areas has an address associated with it, providing complete coverage. The resulting sampling design ensured that every Maine resident had an equal chance of being contacted for the survey.

For the telephone component of the general population resident survey, a dual-frame sample was used that combined a sample of listed landline telephone numbers with a sample of wireless telephone numbers in their proper proportions (in other words, the proportions of landline and wireless numbers in the sample matched the actual proportions of the two telephone types as they exist in Maine households). The resulting coverage rate is estimated to be 97.7% of U.S. households—only 2.3% have no telephone at all. This dual-frame approach was first developed by researchers at the University of Virginia’s Center for Survey Research.
For the mail component of the general population resident survey, letters were mailed to a random sample of residents using the ABS approach. The letters included a link to an online version of the questionnaire as well as a toll-free number for respondents to contact Responsive Management. Note that the survey was available only to those who were selected in the sample. Appropriately designed surveys with an Internet component require that a closed group of potential respondents be invited to participate in the survey. Internet surveys are an excellent survey method to use when the sample consists of a closed population of respondents, as was the case in this study (i.e., a person surfing the Internet could not stumble upon the survey and take it).

The overall sample of Maine general population residents was stratified based on three regions, with a predetermined number of surveys completed in each region (note that the geographic area of the respondent’s residence was also verified in the survey itself). The regions are shown in the map on the following page.
Map of Regions

Note: Map produced in color; may not be legible in black and white prints.
Contact of Residents by Telephone
A five-callback design was used to maintain the representativeness of the telephone sample, to avoid bias toward people easy to reach by telephone, and to provide an equal opportunity for all residents in the telephone sample to participate. When a respondent could not be reached on the first call, subsequent calls were placed on different days of the week and at different times of the day.

Contact of Residents by Mail
Letters to residents in the mail sample were sent using Department letterhead and envelopes. Each letter included a unique identification code assigned to the respondent allowing him/her to be tracked so that he/she would not be contacted after already completing the survey, as well as to ensure that only residents who were supposed to be contacted were taking the survey. The tracking number was also used to help those who called or emailed for assistance.

The letters provided a link to the survey, a toll-free number, and an email address. The toll-free number and email address allowed respondents to contact Responsive Management to take the survey by telephone, schedule another time for the interview, or request a link for the online survey. The template for the letter is shown on the following page.
Letter for Mail Contact

January 12, 2016

Access Code
First Name Last Name
Street Address
City, State Zip

Dear Maine Resident,

The Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife has contracted with Responsive Management to conduct a study which asks residents their views on wildlife management issues. This study will gather residents’, hunters’, and landowners’ opinions on big game management in the state, including opinions on white-tailed deer, moose, black bear, and wild turkey population levels, associated management techniques, and potential changes to the Department’s management program. Your address was one of only a small number that has been randomly selected to help us with this study.

To make sure we hear from all different types of people who live in the state, please have the adult (age 18 or over) in your household who has had the most recent birthday complete the survey no later than January 30, 2016. It is our hope that you will be able to complete the survey online to allow us to expeditiously summarize the results.

Please enter this web address into your internet browser’s address bar: http://sgiz.mobi/s3/BigGame. Where prompted, enter your survey access code: Your Access Code is: Access Code. (The access code allows us to mark your household as having completed a survey.) Please be sure you put the survey address, http://sgiz.mobi/s3/BigGame into your internet browser’s address bar and not into a Google, Yahoo, or Bing search engine. Here’s an example of where you should type the address in your internet browser’s address bar:

Participation is voluntary and responses are completely confidential. Your answers will never be associated with your mailing address, and we do not keep any name or address in our data. If you have questions about this research or would like to do the survey by phone, please call 1-800-432-6135, or email alison@responsivemanagement.com.

By completing a survey, you will be helping the Department understand residents’ opinions on important big game management issues. Thank you for your time, and I look forward to receiving your response.

Sincerely,

Alison Lanier, Research Associate
Responsive Management

PHONE: (207) 287-5202  FISH AND WILDLIFE ON THE WEB:  www.main.gov/ifw
EMAIL ADDRESS:  ifw.webmaster@maine.gov
The Samples of Landowners and Hunters
The landowner sample was derived from tax records and included all types of landowners. A randomly selected probability-based sample was drawn from the database.

The sample of Maine hunters was obtained from license databases provided by the Department. The hunter database included license holders from the previous 3 years and included lifetime license holders and nonresident license holders as well as resident license holders. As was done for the landowner survey, a randomly selected probability-based sample of hunters was drawn from the database, with the mode of contact(s) determined by the information available for each hunter (i.e., a telephone number, a mailing address, and/or an email address).

Contacts were made by telephone, mail (via letters similar to those used for the resident mail sample), and email. Note that only after the probability-based random samples were selected from the database were attempts made at contacting those who had been selected. The overall landowner and hunter samples were designed to ensure a 95% confidence level and low sampling errors.

Initial Contact of Landowners and Hunters
For each of the samples, letters were sent to those in the selected random sample who only had a postal address and no telephone number or email address. All those in each sample with an email address were initially sent an email with the link to the online survey. Those with telephone numbers but not email addresses were initially contacted by telephone.

Letters and emails both provided a link to the survey. The letters also provided a unique identification number to access the survey (emails did not require the unique identification number as the number was embedded in the unique link that each email recipient received). All landowners and hunters could be accounted for so that no landowner or hunter could complete the survey more than once, nor could those who had not been selected in the sample take the survey. A toll-free number was made available to letter recipients and an email address was made available to email recipients for those who needed assistance. The toll-free number and the email address allowed landowners and hunters to contact Responsive Management to take the
survey by telephone, schedule another time for the interview, or request a link for the online survey.

As with the letters sent to general population residents, letters and emails to landowners and hunters explained the purpose of the study, included a link to the online survey, and provided a deadline for completion.

**Follow-Up Contacts and Reminders to Landowners and Hunters**

Responsive Management carefully tracked participation in the survey through the identification numbers. Approximately 1 to 3 weeks after sending the first contacts, Responsive Management began making follow-up contact with those who had not yet responded. Multiple follow-up contacts were made to encourage participation and obtain completed interviews using the most convenient method for respondents. Responsive Management continued with a total of two to five follow-up contacts. The reminders again provided a link to the online survey and a toll-free contact number.

**SURVEY FACILITIES**

A central polling site at the Responsive Management office allowed for rigorous quality control over the telephone interviews and the online data collection. Responsive Management maintains its own in-house telephone interviewing and data gathering facilities. These facilities are staffed by interviewers and data managers with experience conducting computer-assisted telephone interviews and online surveys on the subjects of outdoor recreation and natural resources.

To ensure the integrity of the telephone survey data portion of the study, Responsive Management has interviewers who have been trained according to the standards established by the Council of American Survey Research Organizations. Methods of instruction included lecture and role-playing. The Survey Center Managers and other professional staff conducted a project briefing with the interviewers prior to the administration of these surveys. Interviewers were instructed on type of study, study goals and objectives, handling of survey questions, interview length, termination points and qualifiers for participation, interviewer instructions
within the survey questionnaires, reading of the survey questions, skip patterns, and probing and clarifying techniques necessary for specific questions on the survey questionnaires.

INTERVIEWING DATES AND TIMES
Online surveys could be taken at the convenience of the respondent. Telephone surveying times are Monday through Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m., Saturday from noon to 5:00 p.m., and Sunday from 5:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m., local time. For the telephone portion, a five-callback design was used to maintain the representativeness of the sample, to avoid bias toward people easy to reach by telephone, and to provide an equal opportunity for all to participate. When a respondent could not be reached on the first call, subsequent calls were placed on different days of the week and at different times of the day. The survey was conducted in January and February 2016.

SURVEY DATA COLLECTION AND QUALITY CONTROL
The software used for telephone data collection was Questionnaire Programming Language (QPL). For the telephone interviews, the survey data were entered into the computer as each interview was being conducted, eliminating manual data entry after the completion of the survey and the concomitant data entry errors that may occur with manual data entry. The survey questionnaire was programmed so that QPL branched, coded, and substituted phrases in the survey based on previous responses to ensure the integrity and consistency of the data collection.

The online data were downloaded into Responsive Management’s databased by the standard export software provided by the online surveying vendor.

The Survey Center Managers and statisticians monitored the data collection, including monitoring of the actual telephone interviews without the interviewers’ knowledge, to evaluate the performance of each interviewer and ensure the integrity of the data. The survey questionnaire itself contains error checkers and computation statements to ensure quality and consistent data. After the surveys, both the telephone and the online versions, were obtained, the Survey Center Managers and/or statisticians checked each completed survey to ensure clarity and completeness. Responsive Management obtained completed questionnaires from 933 residents, 304 landowners, and 956 hunters.
DATA ANALYSIS

The analysis of data was performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences as well as proprietary software developed by Responsive Management.

Regional crosstabulations were run on nearly all questions. For the general population survey, the region was determined by where the respondent lived. For the landowner survey, some regional crosstabulations were run by where the largest tract of land was located, and others were run by where the landowner lived, depending on the question and which crosstabulation made more sense. While some questions were directly tied to their largest tract of land, the survey also asked about wildlife populations where the respondent lives. (Note that the landowner regional crosstabulations, whether by residence or location of the largest tract, will be close to each other because a high percentage of landowners—91% overall and from 89% to 92% in the three regions—live on their largest tract of land. Furthermore, among those who do not, many live in the same region as their biggest tract. See the graphs that follow.) Finally, for the hunter survey, some regional crosstabulations were based on where the hunter lives, and others were based on the region in which he/she most often hunted.

![Graph showing the percentage of landowners living on their largest tract of land](chart.png)
Is your primary place of residence on that tract of land? (Landowners)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=102)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=112)
- Lives in the South Region (n=90)

- Yes: 89% (92% Lives in the North/East Region, 91% Lives in the South Region)
- No: 11% (8% Lives in the Central Region, 9% Lives in the South Region)
Region of largest tract of land. (Landowners)

- Largest tract is in the North/East Region
  - 3
- Largest tract is in the Central Region
  - 0
  - 2
- Largest tract is in the South Region
  - 0
  - 1

Percent

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=102)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=112)
- Lives in the South Region (n=90)
All of the regional results are included in the report in Appendix A. To not overwhelm the reader, however, only regional results that have marked differences among regions are shown and discussed in the body of the report.

**SAMPLING ERROR**
Throughout this report, findings of the telephone survey are reported at a 95% confidence interval. The sample sizes and the estimated sampling errors they would produce are shown in the tabulation below, as well as the formula used to calculate them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Sampling Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residents</td>
<td>933</td>
<td>4.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landowners</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>6.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunters</td>
<td>956</td>
<td>3.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sampling Error Equation**

\[
B = \left( \sqrt{\frac{N_p(0.25) - 0.25}{N_p - 1}} \right) (1.96)
\]

Where:  
- \(B\) = maximum sampling error (as decimal)  
- \(N_p\) = population size (i.e., total number who could be surveyed)  
- \(N_s\) = sample size (i.e., total number of respondents surveyed)


**Note**: This is a simplified version of the formula that calculates the maximum sampling error using a 50:50 split (the most conservative calculation because a 50:50 split would give maximum variation).

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE PRESENTATION OF RESULTS IN THE REPORT**
In examining the results, it is important to be aware that the questionnaire included several types of questions:

- Open-ended questions are those in which no answer set is read to the respondents; rather, they can respond with anything that comes to mind from the question.
- Closed-ended questions have an answer set from which to choose.
- Single or multiple response questions: Some questions allow only a single response, while other questions allow respondents to give more than one response or choose all that apply. Those that allow more than a single response are indicated on the graphs with the label, “Multiple Responses Allowed.”
• Scaled questions: Many closed-ended questions (but not all) are in a scale, such as excellent-good-fair-poor.
• Series questions: Many questions are part of a series, and the results are primarily intended to be examined relative to the other questions in that series (although results of the questions individually can also be valuable). Typically, results of all questions in a series are shown together.

Most graphs show results rounded to the nearest integer; however, all data are stored in decimal format, and all calculations are performed on unrounded numbers. For this reason, some results may not sum to exactly 100% because of this rounding on the graphs. Additionally, rounding may cause apparent discrepancies of 1 percentage point between the graphs and the reported results of combined responses (e.g., when “strongly support” and “moderately support” are summed to determine the total percentage in support).

Note that some references in the crosstabulations are made to three groups. The term “groups” in this context refers to the three samples: residents, landowners, and hunters.

As explained previously, all regional results are included in Appendix A, but only regional results for which there are marked differences among the regions are shown and discussed in the body of the report.
INTEREST IN AND KNOWLEDGE OF WILDLIFE

- Interest in wildlife in Maine is fairly high, with mean ratings of interest (on a 0 to 10 scale where 0 is not at all interested and 10 is extremely interested) at 8.0 or above among the three groups in the survey. Also, a majority of landowners (60%) and hunters (57%) gave their interest in wildlife a rating of “10” (a third of the general population—36%—did so).
  - The regional results of the general population survey suggest that North/East Region residents show slightly more interest than residents of the Central or South Regions.

- Another question gauged respondents’ comfort level regarding wildlife around their homes. Using a continuum from the most comfortable (“I enjoy seeing and having wildlife around my home or on my property”) to the least comfortable (“I generally regard wildlife around my home or on my property as dangerous”), a large majority of each group (70% of the general population and 80% each of landowners and hunters) chose the highest comfort level, and nearly all the rest chose the second most comfortable level.

- Finally in this section, the survey asked about respondents’ knowledge levels regarding the four primary species of interest in the survey (deer, moose, bear, and turkey). The results of all four questions are shown together. Two observations can be made:
  - Hunters tend to claim to be more knowledgeable than the general population or landowners.
  - Self-rated knowledge levels are highest for deer, followed by moose, wild turkey, and then bear, in that order. (Two graphs are shown of all groups together: one shows the percentages who say that they know a great deal or a moderate amount; the second graph shows the percentages who say that they know nothing at all.)
    - Regional results of the general population survey show that North/East Region residents appear to be more knowledgeable than residents of the Central and South Regions (except regarding wild turkey, of which Central Region residents claim to have the most knowledge).
    - In the landowner survey, the regional results show that professed knowledge of moose and bear is greatest among landowners living in the North/East Region. (This was run by where the landowner lived rather than the location of the largest tract.)
Among hunters, regional results show that North/East Region hunters (based on where they live) claim more knowledge than hunters from other regions regarding moose and bear, but they claim the least amount of knowledge about wild turkey. (Regarding deer, the regions are about the same.)
How interested are you in wildlife in Maine, on a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 is not at all interested and 10 is extremely interested? (Overall)

General Population mean: 8.0
Landowners mean: 8.8
Hunters mean: 9.0

Don’t know
How interested are you in wildlife in Maine, on a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 is not at all interested and 10 is extremely interested? (General Population)

- North/East mean: 8.3
- Central mean: 8.0
- South mean: 7.9
Generally, which of the following best describes your feelings about wildlife around your home or on your property? (Overall)

- **I enjoy seeing and having wildlife around my home or on my property**: 80% (General Population), 80% (Landowners), 80% (Hunters)
- **I enjoy seeing a few animals around my home or on my property but worry about the problems they cause**: 18% (General Population), 15% (Landowners), 21% (Hunters)
- **I generally regard wildlife around my home or on my property as a nuisance**: 2% (General Population), 1% (Landowners), 1% (Hunters)
- **I generally regard wildlife around my home or on my property as dangerous**: 1% (General Population), 1% (Landowners), 0% (Hunters)
- **I have no particular feeling about wildlife around my home or on my property**: 6% (General Population), 4% (Landowners), 1% (Hunters)
Percent of respondents who know a great deal or a moderate amount about each of the following. (Overall)

- Deer: 75% (General Population), 88% (Landowners), 95% (Hunters)
- Moose: 59% (General Population), 64% (Landowners), 73% (Hunters)
- Wild turkey: 55% (General Population), 64% (Landowners), 62% (Hunters)
- Bear: 44% (General Population), 50% (Landowners), 59% (Hunters)
Percent of respondents who know nothing at all about each of the following. (Overall)

- Bear: 15% (General Population), 11% (Landowners), 10% (Hunters)
- Wild turkey: 11% (General Population), 5% (Landowners), 9% (Hunters)
- Moose: 10% (General Population), 5% (Landowners), 5% (Hunters)
- Deer: 3% (General Population), 2% (Landowners), 1% (Hunters)

Legend:
- ■ General Population (n=933)
- □ Landowners (n=304)
- ▣ Hunters (n=956)
Percent of respondents who know each of the levels shown about each of the following. (General Population)

- **Deer**
  - A great deal: 34
  - A moderate amount: 41
  - A little: 21
  - Nothing at all: 3

- **Moose**
  - A great deal: 21
  - A moderate amount: 38
  - A little: 31
  - Nothing at all: 10

- **Bear**
  - A great deal: 10
  - A moderate amount: 34
  - A little: 41
  - Nothing at all: 15

- **Wild turkey**
  - A great deal: 19
  - A moderate amount: 36
  - A little: 34
  - Nothing at all: 11

Percent (n=933)
Percent of respondents who know a great deal or a moderate amount about each of the following. (General Population)

- Deer: 83% in North/East Region, 80% in Central Region, 69% in South Region
- Moose: 71% in North/East Region, 63% in Central Region, 51% in South Region
- Wild turkey: 45% in North/East Region, 58% in Central Region, 56% in South Region
- Bear: 54% in North/East Region, 48% in Central Region, 37% in South Region

Legend:
- ■ Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)
- □ Lives in the Central Region (n=331)
- ▣ Lives in the South Region (n=301)
Percent of respondents who know nothing at all about each of the following. (General Population)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=331)
- Lives in the South Region (n=301)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal</th>
<th>North/East</th>
<th>Central</th>
<th>South</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bear</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild turkey</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moose</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent
### Percent of respondents who know each of the levels shown about each of the following.

*(Landowners)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal</th>
<th>A great deal</th>
<th>A moderate amount</th>
<th>A little</th>
<th>Nothing at all</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deer</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moose</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bear</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild turkey</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Percent (n=304)**
Percent of respondents who know a great deal or a moderate amount about each of the following. (Landowners)

- Deer: 85% (North/East Region), 91% (Central Region), 83% (South Region)
- Wild turkey: 54% (North/East Region), 67% (Central Region), 68% (South Region)
- Moose: 47% (North/East Region), 69% (Central Region), 68% (South Region)
- Bear: 37% (North/East Region), 49% (Central Region), 62% (South Region)

Legend:
- ■: Lives in the North/East Region (n=102)
- □: Lives in the Central Region (n=112)
- ▣: Lives in the South Region (n=90)
Percent of respondents who know nothing at all about each of the following. (Landowners)

- **Bear**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=102): 4
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=112): 11
  - Lives in the South Region (n=90): 22

- **Wild turkey**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=102): 3
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=112): 1
  - Lives in the South Region (n=90): 1

- **Moose**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=102): 1
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=112): 7
  - Lives in the South Region (n=90): 7

- **Deer**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=102): 1
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=112): 3
  - Lives in the South Region (n=90): 0
Percent of respondents who know each of the levels shown about each of the following.
(Hunters)

- Deer:
  - A great deal: 64
  - A moderate amount: 31
  - A little: 5
  - Nothing at all: 5

- Moose:
  - A great deal: 34
  - A moderate amount: 39
  - A little: 23
  - Nothing at all: 4

- Bear:
  - A great deal: 19
  - A moderate amount: 41
  - A little: 30
  - Nothing at all: 10

- Wild turkey:
  - A great deal: 22
  - A moderate amount: 40
  - A little: 29
  - Nothing at all: 9

Percent (n=955)
Percent of respondents who know a great deal or a moderate amount about each of the following. (Hunters)

- Deer: 97% know a great deal or moderate amount.
- Moose: 75% know a great deal or moderate amount.
- Bear: 57% know a great deal or moderate amount.
- Wild turkey: 67% know a great deal or moderate amount.

Legend:
- Solid black: Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)
- Light gray: Lives in the Central Region (n=258)
- Dark gray: Lives in the South Region (n=256)
- Dotted gray: Nonresident (n=179)
Percent of respondents who know nothing at all about each of the following. (Hunters)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=258)
- Lives in the South Region (n=256)
- Nonresident (n=179)

### Deer
- North/East: 0%
- Central: 3%
- South: 4%
- Nonresident: 9%

### Moose
- North/East: 0%
- Central: 3%
- South: 4
- Nonresident: 9

### Bear
- North/East: 6%
- Central: 9
- South: 13
- Nonresident: 12

### Wild Turkey
- North/East: 5%
- Central: 7
- South: 11
- Nonresident: 16
PARTICIPATION IN HUNTING

The survey asked about hunting the four primary species of interest.

- The overwhelming majority of hunters (91%) had hunted for deer in the past 5 years.
  - Regional results show that nonresidents have the lowest rate of hunting deer, apparently reticent to go out of state for such a common species.
- About a third of hunters (35%) had hunted moose in the past 15 years (note the timeframe for moose is 15 years on this question, in part to get a larger group in follow-up questions about moose hunting). Also, half of hunters (51%) say that they typically apply for a moose permit in Maine.
  - Regional results show that hunters living in the North/East Region are the most likely to have hunted moose, closely followed by Central Region hunters, and then more distantly followed by South Region hunters and nonresident hunters. The regional results regarding applying for a moose permit mirror the results regarding hunting moose.
- A third of hunters (33%) had hunted bear in Maine in the past 15 years (note that this also uses a 15-year timeframe).
  - Nonresidents were the most likely to have hunted bear in Maine; South Region residents were the least likely.
- Just under a third of hunters (30%) had hunted turkey in Maine in the past 5 years.
  - Hunters living in the Central and South Regions were the most likely to have hunted turkey in the past 5 years; hunters living in the North/East Region and nonresidents were the least likely to have hunted turkey.

- When asked in which county they most often hunt, hunters most commonly said Aroostook County, followed by Penobscot, Oxford, York, and Somerset (all with at least 8% of hunters hunting in it most often).
  - Regional results are shown. Interestingly, in the landowner regional results, those who live in the Central Region are the most likely to go hunting outside of their region of residence.
Motivations for hunting the various species were explored. For each species, hunters who had hunted that species were given a list of possible reasons for hunting for that species, and they were asked to choose the reason that was their most important. For each species, the list contained the same six items: for the meat, for a trophy, to be with family and friends, for the sport/recreation/challenge, to be close to nature, or to see a deer/moose/bear/turkey. For the deer question, another item was added: to reduce damage to crops.

- The most important reasons given for hunting deer were for the meat (50% of deer hunters chose this reason) or for the sport, recreation, and challenge (26%).
  - “For the meat” as the primary reason for hunting deer was strongest among hunters who hunted most often in the South Region.
- As was the case with deer, the most important reasons given for hunting moose were for the meat (58%) or for the sport, recreation, and challenge (26%).
  - The differences among regions were slight.
- The most important reasons for hunting bear were for the sport, recreation, or challenge (40%) or for the meat (36%).
  - Those who most often hunt in the North/East Region are the most likely to hunt bear for the sport/recreation/challenge.
- Hunters most commonly hunt turkey for the sport/recreation/challenge (42%) or for the meat (33%).
  - For the sport/recreation/challenge is more commonly given as a reason by hunters who most often hunt in the Central Region, relative to those who most often hunt in the other regions.
Did you hunt deer in Maine in the past 5 years? (Hunters)

- Yes: 91%
- No: 9%

Percent (n=956)
Did you hunt deer in Maine in the past 5 years? (Hunters)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North/East Region</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Region</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Region</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=258)
- Lives in the South Region (n=256)
- Nonresident (n=180)
Did you hunt moose in Maine in the past 15 years? (Hunters)

- Yes: 35%
- No: 64%
- Don't know: Less than 0.5%
- Percent (n=956)
Did you hunt moose in Maine in the past 15 years? (Hunters)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=258)
- Lives in the South Region (n=256)
- Nonresident (n=180)
Do you typically apply for a moose permit in Maine? (Hunters)

- Yes: 51
- No: 49
- Don't know: 1

Percent (n=956)
Do you typically apply for a moose permit in Maine? (Hunters)

- **Yes**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 63%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 52%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 50%
  - Nonresident (n=180): 49%

- **No**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 37%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 48%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 50%
  - Nonresident (n=180): 48%

- Don't know
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 1%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 1%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 0%
  - Nonresident (n=180): 0%
Did you hunt bear in Maine in the past 15 years? (Hunters)

Yes: 33%
No: 67%

Percent (n=956)
Did you hunt bear in Maine in the past 15 years? (Hunters)

- Yes
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258)
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256)
  - Nonresident (n=180)

- No
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258)
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256)
  - Nonresident (n=180)

- Don't know
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258)
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256)
  - Nonresident (n=180)
Did you hunt turkey in Maine in the past 5 years? (Hunters)

- Yes: 30%
- No: 70%
- Don't know: 1%

Percent (n=956)
Did you hunt turkey in Maine in the past 5 years? (Hunters)

- **Yes**: 22 (North/East Region: 40, Central Region: 38, South Region: 38, Nonresident: 9)
- **No**: 76 (North/East Region: 60, Central Region: 63, South Region: 63, Nonresident: 91)
- **Don't know**: 2 (North/East Region: 0, Central Region: 0, South Region: 0, Nonresident: 0)

- **Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)**
- **Lives in the Central Region (n=258)**
- **Lives in the South Region (n=256)**
- **Nonresident (n=180)**
In which county do you hunt most often? (Asked of those who have participated in recreational hunting in Maine in the past 2 years.) (Hunters)

- Aroostook: 14%
- Penobscot: 10%
- Oxford: 9%
- York: 9%
- Somerset: 8%
- Cumberland: 7%
- Kennebec: 7%
- Piscataquis: 6%
- Washington: 5%
- Androscoggin: 5%
- Waldo: 5%
- Hancock: 4%
- Franklin: 4%
- Lincoln: 3%
- Sagadahoc: 2%
- Knox: 2%
- Don't know: 1%

Percent (n=956)
In which county do you hunt most often? (Asked of those who have participated in recreational hunting in Maine in the past 2 years.) (Hunters)

- Aroostook: 38%
- Penobscot: 27%
- Oxford: 16%
- York: 10%
- Somerset: 8%
- Cumberland: 4%
- Kennebec: 3%
- Piscataquis: 11%
- Washington: 18%
- Androscoggin: 10%
- Waldo: 9%
- Hancock: 5%
- Franklin: 6%
- Lincoln: 5%
- Sagadahoc: 2%
- Knox: 2%
- Don't know: 3%

Legend:
- ■ Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)
- □ Lives in the Central Region (n=258)
- ● Lives in the South Region (n=256)
- □ Nonresident (n=180)
Region hunted in as determined by answered county, township, and landmark (Landowners)

- Most often hunts in the North/East Region: 96% (n=38)
  - Lives in the North/East Region: 90%
  - Lives in the Central Region: 4%
  - Lives in the South Region: 6%
- Most often hunts in the Central Region: 78% (n=36)
  - Lives in the North/East Region: 0%
  - Lives in the Central Region: 76%
  - Lives in the South Region: 2%
- Most often hunts in the South Region: 92% (n=24)
  - Lives in the North/East Region: 0%
  - Lives in the Central Region: 0%
  - Lives in the South Region: 92%
- Region most often hunts in is unknown: 4% (n=36)
  - Lives in the North/East Region: 4%
  - Lives in the Central Region: 4%
  - Lives in the South Region: 0%
What was your most important reason for hunting deer in the past 5 years? (Asked of those who hunted deer in Maine in the past 5 years.) (Hunters)

- For the meat: 50%
- For the sport, recreation, and challenge: 26%
- To be with family and friends: 11%
- To be close to nature: 10%
- For a trophy: 2%
- To reduce damage to crops: 1%
- To see a deer: 1%
- Don't know: 0%

Percent (n=869)
What was your most important reason for hunting deer in the past 5 years? (Asked of those who hunted deer in Maine in the past 5 years.) (Hunters)

- For the meat
- For the sport, recreation, and challenge
- To be with family and friends
- To be close to nature
- For a trophy
- To reduce damage to crops
- To see a deer
- Don’t know

Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=336)
Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=308)
Most often hunts in the South Region (n=224)
What was or would be your most important reason for hunting moose? (Asked of those who hunted moose in Maine in the past 15 years or typically apply for a moose permit.) (Hunters)

- For the meat: 58%
- For the sport, recreation, and challenge: 26%
- To be with family and friends: 9%
- For a trophy: 3%
- To be close to nature: 3%
- To see a moose: 1%
- Don't know: 1%
What was or would be your most important reason for hunting moose? (Asked of those who hunted moose in Maine in the past 15 years or typically apply for a moose permit.)

(Hunters)

- For the meat: 60% (North/East Region), 54% (Central Region), 59% (South Region)
- For the sport, recreation, and challenge: 25% (North/East Region), 27% (Central Region), 28% (South Region)
- To be with family and friends: 6% (North/East Region), 13% (Central Region), 1% (South Region)
- For a trophy: 4% (North/East Region), 2% (Central Region), 1% (South Region)
- To be close to nature: 3% (North/East Region), 3% (Central Region), 1% (South Region)
- To see a moose: 1% (North/East Region), 1% (Central Region), 1% (South Region)
- Don't know: 1% (North/East Region), 1% (Central Region), 1% (South Region)
What was your most important reason for hunting bear? (Asked of those who hunted bear in Maine in the past 15 years.) (Hunters)

- For the sport, recreation, and challenge: 40%
- For the meat: 36%
- To be with family and friends: 12%
- To be close to nature: 6%
- For a trophy: 3%
- To see a bear: 3%
- Don't know: 1%
What was your most important reason for hunting bear? (Asked of those who hunted bear in Maine in the past 15 years.) (Hunters)

- For the sport, recreation, and challenge: 36% in North/East Region, 34% in Central Region, 46% in South Region
- For the meat: 34% in North/East Region, 39% in Central Region, 36% in South Region
- To be with family and friends: 8% in North/East Region, 16% in Central Region, 10% in South Region
- To be close to nature: 6% in North/East Region, 10% in Central Region, 4% in South Region
- For a trophy: 4% in North/East Region, 2% in Central Region, 2% in South Region
- To see a bear: 3% in North/East Region, 3% in Central Region, 5% in South Region
- Don't know: 4% in North/East Region, 0% in Central Region, 0% in South Region
What was your most important reason for hunting turkey in the past 5 years? (Asked of those who hunted turkey in Maine in the past 5 years.) (Hunters)

- For the sport, recreation, and challenge: 42%
- For the meat: 33%
- To be with family and friends: 14%
- To be close to nature: 4%
- To see a turkey: 3%
- For a trophy: 2%
- Don't know: 2%
What was your most important reason for hunting turkey in the past 5 years? (Asked of those who hunted turkey in Maine in the past 5 years.) (Hunters)

- For the sport, recreation, and challenge: 48% in the North/East Region, 34% in the Central Region, 40% in the South Region.
- For the meat: 38% in the North/East Region, 29% in the Central Region, 37% in the South Region.
- To be with family and friends: 15% in the North/East Region, 14% in the Central Region, 13% in the South Region.
- To be close to nature: 8% in the North/East Region, 6% in the Central Region, 0% in the South Region.
- To see a turkey: 2% in the North/East Region, 4% in the Central Region, 1% in the South Region.
- For a trophy: 2% in the North/East Region, 2% in the Central Region, 2% in the South Region.
- Don't know: 2% in the North/East Region, 2% in the Central Region, 2% in the South Region.
SATISFACTION AND DISSATISFACTION WITH HUNTING

- The survey asked about hunters’ satisfaction levels for hunting for the four primary species of interest in the survey over the past 5 years (for deer and turkey) or over the past 15 years (for moose and bear). All those who did not answer that they were very satisfied (and those who answered “don’t know”) were then asked why they had not been more satisfied.
  - The large majority of deer hunters were satisfied with their deer hunting: 82% were satisfied; 15% were dissatisfied.
    o The highest satisfaction levels are from hunters who most often hunt in the South Region.
    o Lack of harvest success is, by far, the most common reason for not being more satisfied with deer hunting.
  - The large majority of moose hunters were satisfied with their moose hunting: 91% were satisfied; 7% were dissatisfied.
    o Although the regions are not greatly different (because all are so high in satisfaction), hunters who most often hunted in the North/East Region are the most satisfied. (It is important to note that the crosstabulation is by where the hunter hunted the most often, which may or may not have been the same place he/she hunted moose).
    o Lack of harvest success is the most common reason for not being more satisfied with moose hunting.
  - The large majority of bear hunters were satisfied with their bear hunting: 90% were satisfied; 7% were dissatisfied.
    o The regional analysis is shown, based on the county in which the hunter most often hunted, with slightly less satisfaction/more dissatisfaction among hunters in the South Region. (Again, it is important to note that the crosstabulation is by where the hunter hunted the most often, which may or may not have been the same place he/she hunted bear).
    o As with other species, lack of harvest success is the most common reason for not being more satisfied with bear hunting.
  - The large majority of turkey hunters were satisfied with their turkey hunting: 92% were satisfied; only 5% were dissatisfied.
    o The regions are almost identical to one another on this question.
    o Again, lack of harvest success is the most common reason for not being more satisfied with turkey hunting.
In general, how satisfied or dissatisfied were you with your deer hunting experiences in Maine in the past 5 years? (Asked of those who hunted deer in Maine in the past 5 years.) (Hunters)

- Very satisfied: 60 (82%)
- Somewhat satisfied: 22
- Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied: 2
- Somewhat dissatisfied: 8 (15%)
- Very dissatisfied: 7
In general, how satisfied or dissatisfied were you with your deer hunting experiences in Maine in the past 5 years? (Asked of those who hunted deer in Maine in the past 5 years.)

(Hunters)

![Bar chart showing satisfaction levels and regional preferences.]

- **Very satisfied**
  - North/East Region: 63 (77%)
  - Central Region: 49 (83%)
  - South Region: 70 (88%)

- **Somewhat satisfied**
  - North/East Region: 28 (21%)
  - Central Region: 20 (15%)
  - South Region: 18 (9%)

- **Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied**
  - North/East Region: 1 (1%)
  - Central Region: 2 (1%)
  - South Region: 4 (2%)

- **Somewhat dissatisfied**
  - North/East Region: 12 (9%)
  - Central Region: 8 (6%)
  - South Region: 6 (5%)

- **Very dissatisfied**
  - North/East Region: 10 (8%)
  - Central Region: 7 (5%)
  - South Region: 3 (2%)

* Rounding on graph causes apparent discrepancy in sum; calculation made on unrounded numbers.
Why weren't you more satisfied with your deer hunting experiences? (Asked of those who hunted deer in Maine in the past 5 years and were not 'very satisfied'.) (Hunters)

- Too few deer / lack of harvest success: 70%
- Buck / doe imbalance: 8%
- Not enough permits / mismanagement of regulations: 7%
- Hunter overcrowding / lack of access: 7%
- Not enough time / season too short: 6%
- Poor behavior from other hunters: 2%
- Other: 6%
- Don't know: 2%

Multiple Responses Allowed
Percent (n=350)
In general, how satisfied or dissatisfied were you with your moose hunting experiences in Maine in the past 15 years? (Asked of those who hunted moose in Maine in the past 15 years.) (Hunters)

- Very satisfied: 80 (91%)
- Somewhat satisfied: 11
- Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied: 1
- Somewhat dissatisfied: 4 (7%)
- Very dissatisfied: 3
- Don't know: 0

Percent (n=342)
In general, how satisfied or dissatisfied were you with your moose hunting experiences in Maine in the past 15 years? (Asked of those who hunted moose in Maine in the past 15 years.) (Hunters)

![Bar chart showing the percentage of satisfied or dissatisfied responses among moose hunters in different regions of Maine.]

- **Very satisfied**: 96% (Most often hunts in the North/East Region), 88% (Most often hunts in the Central Region), 91% (Most often hunts in the South Region).
- **Somewhat satisfied**: 3% (North/East), 10% (Central), 7% (South).
- **Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied**: 0% (North/East), 1% (Central), 0% (South).
- **Somewhat dissatisfied**: 2% (North/East), 5% (Central), 5% (South).
- **Very dissatisfied**: 2% (North/East), 5% (Central), 1% (South).
- **Don’t know**: 0% (North/East), 1% (Central), 0% (South).

* Rounding on graph causes apparent discrepancy in sum; calculation made on unrounded numbers.
Why weren’t you more satisfied with your moose hunting experiences? (Asked of those who hunted moose in Maine in the past 15 years and were not 'very satisfied'.) (Hunters)
In general, how satisfied or dissatisfied were you with your bear hunting experiences in Maine in the past 15 years? (Asked of those who hunted bear in Maine in the past 15 years.) (Hunters)

- Very satisfied: 73%
- Somewhat satisfied: 17%
- Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied: 3%
- Somewhat dissatisfied: 3%
- Very dissatisfied: 3%
- Don't know: 1%

* Rounding on graph causes apparent discrepancy in sum; calculation made on unrounded numbers.
In general, how satisfied or dissatisfied were you with your bear hunting experiences in Maine in the past 15 years? (Asked of those who hunted bear in Maine in the past 15 years.) (Hunters)

- **Very satisfied**
  - North/East Region (n=154): 71%
  - Central Region (n=105): 86%
  - South Region (n=51): 92%

- **Somewhat satisfied**
  - North/East Region (n=154): 17%
  - Central Region (n=105): 6%
  - South Region (n=51): 12%

- **Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied**
  - North/East Region (n=154): 2%
  - Central Region (n=105): 6%
  - South Region (n=51): 6%

- **Somewhat dissatisfied**
  - North/East Region (n=154): 3%
  - Central Region (n=105): 4%
  - South Region (n=51): 2%

- **Very dissatisfied**
  - North/East Region (n=154): 16%
  - Central Region (n=105): 2%
  - South Region (n=51): 2%

- **Don't know**
  - North/East Region (n=154): 1%
  - Central Region (n=105): 0%
  - South Region (n=51): 0%

* Rounding on graph causes apparent discrepancy in sum; calculation made on unrounded numbers.
Why weren't you more satisfied with your bear hunting experiences? (Asked of those who hunted bear in Maine in the past 15 years and were not 'very satisfied'.) (Hunters)

- Too few bear / lack of harvest success: 73%
- Season inappropriately timed: 10%
- Hunter overcrowding / lack of access: 6%
- Other: 13%
- Don't know: 1%

Multiple Responses Allowed

Percent (n=81)
In general, how satisfied or dissatisfied were you with your turkey hunting experiences in Maine in the past 5 years? (Asked of those who hunted turkey in Maine in the past 5 years.) (Hunters)

- Very satisfied: 72%
- Somewhat satisfied: 20%
- Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied: 2%
- Somewhat dissatisfied: 3%
- Very dissatisfied: 3%
- Don't know: 1%

* Rounding on graph causes apparent discrepancy in sum; calculation made on unrounded numbers.
In general, how satisfied or dissatisfied were you with your turkey hunting experiences in Maine in the past 5 years? (Asked of those who hunted turkey in Maine in the past 5 years.)

(Hunters)
Why weren't you more satisfied with your turkey hunting experiences? (Asked of those who hunted turkey in Maine in the past 5 years and were not 'very satisfied'.) (Hunters)

- Too few turkey / lack of harvest success: 59%
- Hunter overcrowding / lack of access: 9%
- Not enough time / season too short: 8%
- Restrictions on harvests: 5%
- Other: 15%
- Don't know: 4%
CONSTRANTS TO HUNTING

Hunters who had hunted deer in the past 5 years were asked about any things that might have prevented them from hunting deer as much as they would have liked in the past 5 years. For 41% of these deer hunters, nothing had prevented them. Otherwise, lack of time/other obligations was the most common reason—a reason over which agencies have little sway.

Hunters who had not hunted a species were asked why they had not done so.

- Regarding not hunting deer, distance, time, and not enough deer are common reasons given.
  - Note that there is a low sample size (because only those hunters who did not hunt deer got the question) among resident hunters; the regional graph is shown primarily to show how nonresidents affect the results. Not surprisingly, they are more likely than residents to claim that going to Maine to hunt deer is too far a distance.

- Common reasons for not hunting moose include lack of interest, time, expense, and difficulty getting permits.
  - There are minor differences regionally.

- Common reasons to not hunt bear include lack of interest, a dislike of the meat, and lack of time.
  - There are minor differences regionally.

- Regarding turkey, common reasons for not hunting them include lack of interest, lack of time, and restrictive permits/regulations.
  - There are minor differences regionally.
Are there things that have prevented you from going deer hunting as much as you would like in the past 5 years? (Asked of those who hunted deer in Maine in the past 5 years.) (Hunters)

Multiple Responses Allowed

- No: 41
- Other obligations / not enough time: 32
- Age or health: 9
- Not enough access / overcrowding: 9
- Incompatible season scheduling / lack of Sunday hunting: 3
- Too few deer: 3
- Poor weather: 2
- Cost or financial reasons: 2
- Other: 3
What are your most important reasons for not hunting deer in Maine in the past 5 years? (Asked of those who did not hunt deer in Maine in the past 5 years.) (Hunters)

- Too far away: 33%
- Not enough time: 30%
- Not enough deer / more elsewhere: 29%
- Not interested: 18%
- Hunt species other than deer: 11%
- Permits / restrictive regulations: 3%
- Age / health: 3%
- Other: 5%
- Don't know: 2%

Multiple Responses Allowed
What are your most important reasons for not hunting deer in Maine in the past 5 years? (Asked of those who did not hunt deer in Maine in the past 5 years.) (Hunters)

Multiple Responses Allowed

- Too far away
- Not enough time
- Not enough deer / more elsewhere
- Not interested
- Hunt species other than deer
- Permits / restrictive regulations
- Age / health
- Other
- Don’t know

Percent

Lives in the North/East Region (n=13)
Lives in the Central Region (n=4)
Lives in the South Region (n=12)
Nonresident (n=58)
What are your most important reasons for not hunting moose in Maine? (Asked of those who did not hunt moose in Maine in the past 15 years and do not typically apply for a moose permit.) (Hunters)

- Not interested: 24%
- Not enough time: 21%
- Too expensive: 17%
- Permits / restrictive regulations: 17%
- Too big / too much work: 11%
- Too far away: 9%
- Hunt species other than moose: 5%
- Don’t like / need meat: 4%
- Age / health: 2%
- Not enough moose / more elsewhere: 2%
- Other: 5%
- Don’t know: 3%
What are your most important reasons for not hunting moose in Maine? (Asked of those who did not hunt moose in Maine in the past 15 years and do not typically apply for a moose permit.) (Hunters)

Multiple Responses Allowed

- Not interested
- Not enough time
- Too expensive
- Permits / restrictive regulations
- Too big / too much work
- Too far away
- Hunt species other than moose
- Don't like / need meat
- Age / health
- Not enough moose / more elsewhere
- Other
- Don't know

Lives in the North/East Region (n=80)
Lives in the Central Region (n=108)
Lives in the South Region (n=112)
Nonresident (n=97)
What are your most important reasons for not hunting bear in Maine in the past 15 years? (Asked of those who did not hunt bear in Maine in the past 15 years.) (Hunters)

- Not interested: 41%
- Don't like / need meat: 19%
- Not enough time: 18%
- Not enough bear / more elsewhere: 5%
- Too expensive: 4%
- Objects to bear hunting / baiting: 4%
- Hunt species other than bear: 4%
- Too far away: 3%
- Too big / too much work: 2%
- Permits / restrictive regulations: 1%
- Age / health: 1%
- Other: 4%
- Don't know: 5%

Multiple Responses Allowed

Percent (n=643)
What are your most important reasons for not hunting bear in Maine in the past 15 years? (Asked of those who did not hunt bear in Maine in the past 15 years.) (Hunters)

- Not interested: 38/39/44
- Don't like / need meat: 23/0/29
- Not enough time: 12/18/22
- Not enough bear / more elsewhere: 2/7/10
- Too expensive: 3/5/8
- Objects to bear hunting / baiting: 4/3/5
- Hunt species other than bear: 4/3/5
- Too far away: 2/8
- Too big / too much work: 2/3
- Permits / restrictive regulations: 3/3/2
- Age / health: 1/1/1
- Other: 4/8/3
- Don't know: 9

Multiple Responses Allowed

Legend:
- Lives in the North/East Region (n=167)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=173)
- Lives in the South Region (n=198)
What are your most important reasons for not hunting turkey in Maine in the past 5 years? (Asked of those who did not hunt turkey in Maine in the past 5 years.) (Hunters)

- Not interested: 29%
- Not enough time: 24%
- Permits / restrictive regulations: 14%
- Not enough turkey / more elsewhere: 10%
- Too far away: 9%
- Don't like / need meat: 7%
- Too expensive: 7%
- Hunt species other than turkey: 5%
- Too much work: 3%
- Age / health: 2%
- Objects to turkey hunting: 1%
- Other: 6%
- Don't know: 3%
What are your most important reasons for not hunting turkey in Maine in the past 5 years? (Asked of those who did not hunt turkey in Maine in the past 5 years.) (Hunters)

Multiple Responses Allowed

- Not interested: Lives in the North/East Region (n=199), Lives in the Central Region (n=155), Lives in the South Region (n=160), Nonresident (n=163)
- Not enough time: Lives in the North/East Region (n=199), Lives in the Central Region (n=155), Lives in the South Region (n=160), Nonresident (n=163)
- Permits / restrictive regulations: Lives in the North/East Region (n=199), Lives in the Central Region (n=155), Lives in the South Region (n=160), Nonresident (n=163)
- Not enough turkey / more elsewhere: Lives in the North/East Region (n=199), Lives in the Central Region (n=155), Lives in the South Region (n=160), Nonresident (n=163)
- Too far away: Lives in the North/East Region (n=199), Lives in the Central Region (n=155), Lives in the South Region (n=160), Nonresident (n=163)
- Don't like / need meat: Lives in the North/East Region (n=199), Lives in the Central Region (n=155), Lives in the South Region (n=160), Nonresident (n=163)
- Too expensive: Lives in the North/East Region (n=199), Lives in the Central Region (n=155), Lives in the South Region (n=160), Nonresident (n=163)
- Hunt species other than turkey: Lives in the North/East Region (n=199), Lives in the Central Region (n=155), Lives in the South Region (n=160), Nonresident (n=163)
- Too much work: Lives in the North/East Region (n=199), Lives in the Central Region (n=155), Lives in the South Region (n=160), Nonresident (n=163)
- Age / health: Lives in the North/East Region (n=199), Lives in the Central Region (n=155), Lives in the South Region (n=160), Nonresident (n=163)
- Objects to turkey hunting: Lives in the North/East Region (n=199), Lives in the Central Region (n=155), Lives in the South Region (n=160), Nonresident (n=163)
- Other: Lives in the North/East Region (n=199), Lives in the Central Region (n=155), Lives in the South Region (n=160), Nonresident (n=163)
- Don't know: Lives in the North/East Region (n=199), Lives in the Central Region (n=155), Lives in the South Region (n=160), Nonresident (n=163)
OPINIONS ON HUNTING

➢ Landowners were asked about their approval/disapproval of hunting in general: the overwhelming majority (93%) approve.
  • There are some regional differences, but mostly in the strongly support or moderately support rather than overall support. Landowners whose largest tract is in the North/East Region are the most likely to strongly support.

➢ The landowner survey asked about support for or opposition to legal hunting as a method to help manage wildlife populations in Maine. An overwhelming majority of landowners (91%) support.
  • Regional results mirror those in the question discussed immediately above.

➢ The survey asked about approval of hunting of the four primary species of interest in the survey: deer, moose, bear, and turkey.
  • Approval of deer hunting was high across the board: from 93% to 100% approve. At the other end, no more than 6% disapproved.
  • Approval of moose hunting is also high (from 90% to 98%). Compared to approval of deer hunting, the results for approval of moose hunting show a slight shift into moderate approval at the expense of strong approval (although a majority of each group still strongly approve).
  • Approval of bear hunting is high as well, but a little less robust than for moose hunting: from 84% to 97% approve, and again moderate approval is not insubstantial. Bear has the highest disapproval of the four species, but only as high as 12%.
  • Finally, approval of turkey is likewise high: from 92% to 99% approve.
The survey explored opinions on bear hunting for various reasons, with five possible reasons to hunt bear presented to respondents. The most support is for bear hunting as a way to manage bear populations or bear hunting for the meat. Bear hunting to economically benefit rural areas has middling support. At the low end, there is little support for human-centered reasons (for a trophy—the least supported—or for recreation).

- Hunters express markedly more support than the other groups, with landowners narrowly edging out the general population in support.

- A majority of all three groups strongly support bear hunting as a method to help manage bear populations in Maine (59% to 83% strongly support) and for the meat (61% to 79% strongly support). These are the only two reasons with a majority of the general population or landowners in strong support.

  - Four graphs are shown of the series: strongly support by itself, strongly and moderately support combined, strongly and moderately oppose combined, and then strongly oppose by itself.

  - A regional breakdown of landowners by where their largest tract is located shows some slight differences. In particular, landowners whose largest tract is in the South Region are more likely to oppose hunting bear for a trophy, for recreation, or for economic benefits to rural areas, compared to landowners whose largest tract is in another region.
In general, do you approve or disapprove of legal hunting? (Landowners)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opinion</th>
<th>Percent (n=304)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly support</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately support</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither support nor oppose</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately oppose</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly oppose</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Rounding on graph causes apparent discrepancy in sum; calculation made on unrounded numbers.
In general, do you approve or disapprove of legal hunting? (Landowners)

- Strongly support: 80%, 72%
- Moderately support: 17%, 20%, 30%
- Neither support nor oppose: 1%, 4%, 3%
- Moderately oppose: 0%, 0%, 1%
- Strongly oppose: 2%, 4%, 5%

* Rounding on graph causes apparent discrepancy in sum; calculation made on unrounded numbers.
Do you support or oppose legal hunting as a method to help manage wildlife populations in Maine? (Landowners)

- Strongly support: 71% (n=304)
- Moderately support: 20%
- Neither support nor oppose: 3%
- Moderately oppose: 0%
- Strongly oppose: 4%
- Don't know: 1%

* Rounding on graph causes apparent discrepancy in sum; calculation made on unrounded numbers.
Do you support or oppose legal hunting as a method to help manage wildlife populations in Maine? (Landowners)

- Strongly support: 75% (63 respondents)
- Moderately support: 18% (31 respondents)
- Neither support nor oppose: 4% (7 respondents)
- Moderately oppose: 4% (2 respondents)
- Strongly oppose: 5% (4 respondents)
- Don't know: 4% (2 respondents)

- Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105)
- Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112)
- Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87)

* Rounding on graph causes apparent discrepancy in sum; calculation made on unrounded numbers.
In general, do you approve or disapprove of legal deer hunting? (Overall)

- **Strongly approve**: 75% (General Population), 81% (Landowners), 100% (Hunters)
- **Moderately approve**: 18% (General Population), 12% (Landowners), 0% (Hunters)
- **Neither approve nor disapprove**: 2% (General Population), 0% (Landowners), 0% (Hunters)
- **Moderately disapprove**: 3% (General Population), 1% (Landowners), 0% (Hunters)
- **Strongly disapprove**: 3% (General Population), 0% (Landowners), 0% (Hunters)
- **Don't know**: 0% (General Population), 0% (Landowners), 0% (Hunters)

* Rounding on graph causes apparent discrepancy in sum; calculation made on unrounded numbers.
In general, do you approve or disapprove of legal moose hunting? (Overall)

- **Strongly approve**: 64 General Population, 68 Landowners, 90 Hunters
- **Moderately approve**: 26 General Population, 22 Landowners, 3 Hunters
- **Neither approve nor disapprove**: 2 General Population, 2 Landowners, 0 Hunters
- **Moderately disapprove**: 2 General Population, 3 Landowners, 0 Hunters
- **Strongly disapprove**: 6 General Population, 4 Landowners, 1 Hunters
- **Don’t know**: 0 General Population, 0 Landowners, 0 Hunters

* Rounding on graph causes apparent discrepancy in sum; calculation made on unrounded numbers.
In general, do you approve or disapprove of legal bear hunting? (Overall)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opinion</th>
<th>General Population (n=933)</th>
<th>Landowners (n=304)</th>
<th>Hunters (n=956)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly approve</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately approve</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither approve nor disapprove</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately disapprove</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly disapprove</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Rounding on graph causes apparent discrepancy in sum; calculation made on unrounded numbers.
In general, do you approve or disapprove of legal turkey hunting? (Overall)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>General Population (n=933)</th>
<th>Landowners (n=304)</th>
<th>Hunters (n=956)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly approve</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td></td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately approve</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td></td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neith approve nor disapprove</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately disapprove</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly disapprove</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent
As a method to help manage bear populations in Maine

For the meat

For economic benefits to rural areas

For recreation

For a trophy

Percent of respondents who would strongly support hunting bear for each of the following reasons. (Overall)

- As a method to help manage bear populations in Maine: General Population 59%, Landowners 62%, Hunters 83%
- For the meat: General Population 61%, Landowners 64%, Hunters 79%
- For economic benefits to rural areas: General Population 38%, Landowners 43%, Hunters 58%
- For recreation: General Population 25%, Landowners 31%, Hunters 52%
- For a trophy: General Population 10%, Landowners 12%, Hunters 26%

Percent
Percent of respondents who would strongly support or moderately support hunting bear for each of the following reasons. (Overall)

- As a method to help manage bear populations in Maine: General Population (n=933) - 87%, Landowners (n=304) - 91%, Hunters (n=956) - 95%
- For the meat: General Population (n=933) - 86%, Landowners (n=304) - 87%, Hunters (n=956) - 94%
- For economic benefits to rural areas: General Population (n=933) - 67%, Landowners (n=304) - 71%, Hunters (n=956) - 81%
- For recreation: General Population (n=933) - 51%, Landowners (n=304) - 56%, Hunters (n=956) - 75%
- For a trophy: General Population (n=933) - 30%, Landowners (n=304) - 32%, Hunters (n=956) - 53%
Percent of respondents who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose hunting bear for each of the following reasons. (Overall)

- For a trophy: General Population 61%, Landowners 19%, Hunters 38%
- For recreation: General Population 37%, Landowners 19%, Hunters 38%
- For economic benefits to rural areas: General Population 20%, Landowners 12%, Hunters 12%
- For the meat: General Population 8%, Landowners 4%, Hunters 11%
- As a method to help manage bear populations in Maine: General Population 7%, Landowners 3%, Hunters 9%
Percent of respondents who would strongly oppose hunting bear for each of the following reasons. (Overall)

- For a trophy: 49% of respondents, 48% of overall population.
- For recreation: 29% of respondents, 27% of overall population.
- For economic benefits to rural areas: 12% of respondents, 10% of overall population.
- For the meat: 6% of respondents, 5% of overall population.
- As a method to help manage bear populations in Maine: 5% of respondents, 4% of overall population.
As a method to help manage bear populations in Maine

For the meat

For economic benefits to rural areas

For recreation

For a trophy

Percent of respondents who would strongly support or moderately support hunting bear for each of the following reasons. (Landowners)
Percent of respondents who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose hunting bear for each of the following reasons. (Landowners)

- For a trophy: Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105) - 57%, Central Region (n=112) - 37%, South Region (n=87) - 18%
- For recreation: Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105) - 60%, Central Region (n=112) - 33%, South Region (n=87) - 19%
- For economic benefits to rural areas: Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105) - 69%, Central Region (n=112) - 45%, South Region (n=87) - 25%
- For the meat: Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105) - 18%, Central Region (n=112) - 8%, South Region (n=87) - 5%
- As a method to help manage bear populations in Maine: Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105) - 8%, Central Region (n=112) - 8%, South Region (n=87) - 5%
HUNTING ACCESS

- Although a majority of hunters rate access to hunting lands in Maine as excellent or good (64%), there is a substantial percentage giving a fair or poor rating (34%).
  - A regional breakdown of hunters, based on where they live, shows that those who live in the Central Region tend to give the worse ratings of the three regions.
  - A second regional breakdown is shown based on the region in which hunters most often hunt (based on the question that asked them to name the county in which they most often hunted).

- Paralleling the results above, 34% of hunters agree that lack of access to hunting lands in Maine has caused them to not hunt as much as they would have liked in the past 5 years. On the other end, 61% disagree.
  - South and Central Region hunters are more likely to agree with this statement than are North/East Region hunters and nonresidents.
  - Another crosstabulation is shown based on the region in which the hunter most often hunts. The least agreement/most disagreement is from hunters hunting in the North/East Region.

- Landowners were asked about allowing access for hunting on their land. Most of them do so: 81% allow people other than household members to access their largest tract of land for hunting. In breaking down type of access, 42% allow access by permission, and 28% allow open access.
  - In the regional analysis, landowners whose largest tract is in the North/East Region are the most likely to allow open access.
    - A follow-up question asked for the main reasons landowners did not allow access to the land for hunting other than family or friends. Common reasons are a disapproval of hunting, firearm safety concerns, disrespectful behavior of hunters, property damage, and privacy concerns.
In general, how would you rate access to hunting lands in Maine? (Hunters)

- Excellent: 20
- Good: 44
- Fair: 27
- Poor: 7
- Don't know: 1

Percent (n=956)
In general, how would you rate access to hunting lands in Maine? (Hunters)

- Excellent: Lives in the North/East Region (n=262) = 14, Lives in the Central Region (n=258) = 20, Lives in the South Region (n=256) = 15, Nonresident (n=180) = 41
- Good: Lives in the North/East Region (n=262) = 39, Lives in the Central Region (n=258) = 42, Lives in the South Region (n=256) = 48
- Fair: Lives in the North/East Region (n=262) = 27, Lives in the Central Region (n=258) = 38, Lives in the South Region (n=256) = 22
- Poor: Lives in the North/East Region (n=262) = 8, Lives in the Central Region (n=258) = 9, Lives in the South Region (n=256) = 7
- Don't know: Lives in the North/East Region (n=262) = 2, Lives in the Central Region (n=258) = 1, Lives in the South Region (n=256) = 0, Nonresident (n=180) = 2
In general, how would you rate access to hunting lands in Maine? (Hunters)

- Excellent: Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=396) - 28
  - Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=326) - 42
  - Most often hunts in the South Region (n=234)
- Good: 16
- Fair: 21
- Poor: 5
- Don't know: 1

Percent
Do you agree or disagree that a lack of access to hunting lands in Maine has caused you to not hunt any species as much as you would like in the past 5 years? (Hunters)

- Strongly agree: 19 (34%)
- Moderately agree: 15
- Neither agree nor disagree: 5
- Moderately disagree: 27 (61%*)
- Strongly disagree: 33
- Don't know: 1

* Rounding on graph causes apparent discrepancy in sum; calculation made on unrounded numbers.
Do you agree or disagree that a lack of access to hunting lands in Maine has caused you to not hunt any species as much as you would like in the past 5 years? (Hunters)

- **Strongly agree**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 13
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 14
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 21
  - Nonresident (n=180): 23

- **Moderately agree**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 15
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 17
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 18
  - Nonresident (n=180): 8

- **Neither agree nor disagree**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 5
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 3
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 4
  - Nonresident (n=180): 9

- **Moderately disagree**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 31
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 28
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 27
  - Nonresident (n=180): 23

- **Strongly disagree**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 36
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 29
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 28
  - Nonresident (n=180): 46

- **Don’t know**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 1
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 1
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 1
  - Nonresident (n=180): 0
Do you agree or disagree that a lack of access to hunting lands in Maine has caused you to not hunt any species as much as you would like in the past 5 years? (Hunters)

- Strongly agree
  - North/East Region: 13%
  - Central Region: 12%
  - South Region: 7%
  - Don't know: 1%

- Moderately agree
  - North/East Region: 22%
  - Central Region: 15%
  - South Region: 19%
  - Don't know: 1%

- Neither agree nor disagree
  - North/East Region: 20%
  - Central Region: 15%
  - South Region: 19%
  - Don't know: 1%

- Moderately disagree
  - North/East Region: 27%
  - Central Region: 23%
  - South Region: 30%
  - Don't know: 1%

- Strongly disagree
  - North/East Region: 30%
  - Central Region: 38%
  - South Region: 33%
  - Don't know: 1%
Do you typically allow open hunting access, allow hunting access by permission, or allow limited hunting access to family and friends only? (Landowners)

- Open access: 28
- Access by permission: 42
- Limited access to family and friends only: 11
- Does not allow access to those outside their household: 19
Do you typically allow open hunting access, allow hunting access by permission, or allow limited hunting access to family and friends only? (Landowners)

- **Open access**
  - Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105)
  - Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112)
  - Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87)

- **Access by permission**

- **Limited access to family and friends only**

- **Does not allow access to those outside their household**

Percent

0 20 40 60 80 100
What are the main reasons you do not allow access to the land for hunting other than for family and friends? (Asked of those who do not allow access beyond members of their household) (Landowners)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multiple Responses Allowed</th>
<th>Percent (n=53)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disapprove of hunting or harming animals</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firearm-related safety concerns</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disrespectful behavior by hunters</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property damage</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not want strangers on land</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HUNTING LICENSING AND FEES

➤ The majority of hunters think the current hunting license fees are about right (74%); otherwise, 22% say that they are too much, compared to only 3% who say that they are not high enough.

➤ Hunters’ disagreement exceeds their agreement that the current requirement to purchase separate permits for some species prevents them from hunting those species as much as they would like. While a majority (59%) disagree, a substantial percentage (35%) agree.
In general, do you think current hunting license fees are too much, about right, or not enough? (Hunters)

- Too much: 22%
- About right: 74%
- Not enough: 3%
- Don't know: 2%

Percent (n=956)
Do you agree or disagree that the current requirement to purchase separate permits for some species, such as wild turkey and bear, prevents you from hunting for those species as much as you would like? (Hunters)

- Strongly agree: 21 (35%)
- Moderately agree: 14
- Neither agree nor disagree: 5
- Moderately disagree: 27 (59%)
- Strongly disagree: 32
- Don't know: 1

Percent (n=956)
OPINIONS ON REGULATIONS AND SPECIFIC ASPECTS OF HUNTING

- Opinion is closely split regarding a law requiring that harvested bucks have at least 3 points on one side: 46% of hunters would support, but 50% would oppose.
  - Most of the differences among the regions (based on the region in which the hunter most often hunted) are in the *strong* responses rather than overall support (ranging from 42% to 53%) or overall opposition (ranging from 43% to 54%).

- Moose hunters and those who typically apply for a permit (even if they did not hunt moose) were asked which month they most preferred for the moose hunting season. The majority of these moose hunters/potential moose hunters chose October (60%), distantly followed by September (22%) and November (12%).
  - In the regional analysis, the North/East Region has a higher percentage than the other regions choosing September, but the difference is slight.
  - Follow-up questions asked respondents why they would most prefer having the season in the month they chose. Graphs are shown for those preferring September, October, and November.
    - Those who prefer September most commonly cite the timing of the rut/that there is greater moose activity then.
    - Those who prefer October most commonly say that they prefer the weather then, with the timing of the rut/greater moose activity being the second ranked reason.
    - Those who prefer November most commonly say that they prefer the weather then, with the timing of the rut/more moose activity a distant second.

- A 6-day season with fewer hunters is preferred over a 12-day season with more hunters: among moose hunters/potential moose hunters, 58% choose the 6-day season, while 34% choose the 12-day season.
  - The regional differences are slight, but hunters who most often hunt in the Central Region are the most likely of hunters of the three regions to say that they would prefer a 12-day season.
Moose hunters and those who typically apply for a permit were asked to choose among two alternatives: having the Department offer more moose permits with a lower harvest rate or offering fewer moose permits with a higher harvest rate. Although a slight majority of moose hunters/potential moose hunters (52%) choose fewer permits/higher harvest rate over the alternative, there is still a substantial percentage (38%) who choose more permits/lower harvest rate.

- In the regional analysis by where the hunter most often hunts, those who most often hunt in the South Region are the most likely to want fewer permits/higher harvest rate.
Would you support or oppose a law requiring that harvested bucks have at least 3 points on one side? (Hunters)

- Strongly support: 32, 46%
- Moderately support: 14, 17%
- Neither support nor oppose: 4, 5%
- Moderately oppose: 17, 50%
- Strongly oppose: 33, 35%
- Don't know: 0
Would you support or oppose a law requiring that harvested bucks have at least 3 points on one side? (Hunters)

Strongly support

Moderately support

Neither support nor oppose

Moderately oppose

Strongly oppose

Don't know

Percent

* Rounding on graph causes apparent discrepancy in sum; calculation made on unrounded numbers.
Which month do you most prefer for the moose hunting season? (Asked of those who hunted moose in Maine in the past 15 years or typically apply for a moose permit.) (Hunters)

- September: 22%
- October: 60%
- November: 12%
- No preference: 4%
- Don’t know: 1%

Percent (n=557)
Which month do you most prefer for the moose hunting season? (Asked of those who hunted moose in Maine in the past 15 years or typically apply for a moose permit.) (Hunters)

- September: 25% (Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=257))
- October: 64% (Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=173))
- November: 14% (Most often hunts in the South Region (n=127))
- No preference: 6%
- Don’t know: 1%
Why do you most prefer for the moose hunting season to be in September? (Asked of those who hunted moose in Maine in the past 15 years or typically apply for a moose permit and prefer for moose hunting in September.) (Hunters)

- Timing of rutting season / greater moose activity: 60%
- Weather preference: 17%
- Lack of conflict with other hunting seasons: 13%
- Fits better with personal schedule: 6%
- Other: 7%
- Don't know: 2%
Why do you most prefer for the moose hunting season to be in October? (Asked of those who hunted moose in Maine in the past 15 years or typically apply for a moose permit and prefer for moose hunting in October.) (Hunters)

- Weather preference: 50%
- Timing of rutting season / greater moose activity: 28%
- Lack of conflict with other hunting seasons: 15%
- Overlap with other hunting seasons: 6%
- Fits better with personal schedule: 6%
- Other: 8%
- Don’t know: 2%

Multiple Responses Allowed

Percent (n=330)
Why do you most prefer for the moose hunting season to be in November? (Asked of those who hunted moose in Maine in the past 15 years or typically apply for a moose permit and prefer for moose hunting in November.) (Hunters)
If you had to choose, would you prefer a 6-day season with fewer hunters, or would you prefer a 12-day season with more hunters? (Asked of those who hunted moose in Maine in the past 15 years or typically apply for a moose permit.)

(Hunters)

- 6-day season with fewer hunters: 58%
- 12-day season with more hunters: 34%
- No preference: 6%
- Don't know: 1%
If you had to choose, would you prefer a 6-day season with fewer hunters, or would you prefer a 12-day season with more hunters? (Asked of those who hunted moose in Maine in the past 15 years or typically apply for a moose permit.)

(Hunters)

Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=257)

Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=173)

Most often hunts in the South Region (n=127)
Would you prefer the Department offer more moose permits but a lower harvest success rate or fewer moose permits with a higher harvest success rate? (Of those who hunted moose in ME in the past 15 years or typically apply for a moose permit.) (Hunters)
Would you prefer the Department offer more moose permits but a lower harvest success rate or fewer moose permits with a higher harvest success rate? (Of those who hunted moose in ME in the past 15 years or typically apply for a moose permit.) (Hunters)
LAND MANAGEMENT IN GENERAL

- Just under half of landowners (48%) actively manage for wildlife on their land.
  - There is little difference regionally, based on where landowners’ largest tract of land is located.

- The survey asked respondents to rate the management of each of the four primary species of interest in the survey, the results of which are shown individually in the following sections about deer management, moose management, bear management, and turkey management. However, the results are first shown here with all four species compared.
  - Among the findings: hunters have a markedly better opinion regarding bear management than do the general population or landowners. Otherwise, those three groups are similar to each other.
    - Four graphs are shown: excellent by itself, excellent and good combined, fair and poor combined, and then poor by itself.
    - Another way to look at the same data is presented. For each group, their ratings for each species are shown in a stacked-bar graph: a graph of the general population’s ratings of each species, a graph of landowners’ ratings, and a graph of hunters’ ratings.
    - Regional results are shown for the general population, which show that the Central Region tends to give the most positive ratings and the North/East Region tends to give the most negative ratings.
    - In the landowners survey, the results of this question were examined based on where the landowner’s land was located. The best ratings of deer management and moose management are from landowners whose land is in the South and Central Regions; the best ratings of bear management are from landowners whose land is in the North/East Region. For turkey, the ratings are about the same among the regions.
    - Among hunters, regional results show that hunters who live in the South Region are the most positive about deer and turkey; hunters living in the North/East Region are the most negative about deer and moose management. (All regions are about the same regarding bear.)
The last question in this section concerned coyote management. There is much more support for (from 71% to 91%) than opposition to (from 6% to 20%) a coyote management program, which may cause an increase in deer and/or wild turkey populations in local areas.

- The regional analysis of the general population results shows that the most support is in the North/East Region (79% support) and the least support is in the South Region (66% support).
- Among landowners, the regional analysis finds the most opposition to landowners whose largest tract is in the Central Region.
Do you actively manage for wildlife on your land? (Landowners)

- Yes: 48
- No: 48
- Don't know: 4

Percent (n=304)
Do you actively manage for wildlife on your land? (Landowners)

- Yes: 52%
- No: 49%
- Don't know: 2%

Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105)
Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112)
Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87)
Percent of respondents who rated the management of each of the following species as excellent in Maine. (Overall)

- Deer: General Population (24%), Landowners (20%), Hunters (21%)
- Moose: General Population (19%), Landowners (28%), Hunters (36%)
- Bear: General Population (23%), Landowners (25%), Hunters (36%)
- Turkey: General Population (18%), Landowners (18%), Hunters (24%)
Percent of respondents who rated the management of each of the following species as excellent or good in Maine. (Overall)

- **Deer**: General Population (n=933) - 67%, Landowners (n=304) - 61%, Hunters (n=956) - 70%
- **Moose**: General Population (n=933) - 63%, Landowners (n=304) - 67%, Hunters (n=956) - 63%
- **Bear**: General Population (n=933) - 57%, Landowners (n=304) - 57%, Hunters (n=956) - 72%
- **Turkey**: General Population (n=933) - 49%, Landowners (n=304) - 52%, Hunters (n=956) - 58%
Percent of respondents who rated the management of each of the following species as fair or poor in Maine. (Overall)

- **Deer**:
  - General Population: 25%
  - Landowners: 25%
  - Hunters: 36%

- **Moose**:
  - General Population: 20%
  - Landowners: 22%
  - Hunters: 22%

- **Bear**:
  - General Population: 28%
  - Landowners: 13%
  - Hunters: 21%

- **Turkey**:
  - General Population: 33%
  - Landowners: 28%
  - Hunters: 28%

Legend:
- General Population (n=933)
- Landowners (n=304)
- Hunters (n=956)
Percent of respondents who rated the management of each of the following species as poor in Maine. (Overall)

- Deer:
  - General Population (n=933): 5%
  - Landowners (n=304): 5%
  - Hunters (n=956): 10%

- Moose:
  - General Population (n=933): 4%
  - Landowners (n=304): 5%
  - Hunters (n=956): 6%

- Bear:
  - General Population (n=933): 5%
  - Landowners (n=304): 6%
  - Hunters (n=956): 3%

- Turkey:
  - General Population (n=933): 11%
  - Landowners (n=304): 17%
  - Hunters (n=956): 13%
Percent of respondents who rated the management of each of the following species as each of the levels shown in Maine. (General Population)

- **Deer**
  - Excellent: 24
  - Good: 43
  - Fair: 19
  - Poor: 5
  - Don't know: 8

- **Moose**
  - Excellent: 24
  - Good: 39
  - Fair: 15
  - Poor: 4
  - Don't know: 18

- **Bear**
  - Excellent: 23
  - Good: 34
  - Fair: 16
  - Poor: 5
  - Don't know: 22

- **Turkey**
  - Excellent: 18
  - Good: 31
  - Fair: 22
  - Poor: 11
  - Don't know: 18
Percent of respondents who rated the management of each of the following species as each of the levels shown in Maine.

(Landowners)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Good</th>
<th>Fair</th>
<th>Poor</th>
<th>Don't know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deer</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moose</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bear</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Percent of respondents who rated the management of each of the following species as each of the levels shown in Maine. (Hunters)

- Bear: 36% Excellent, 36% Good, 10% Fair, 3% Poor, 15% Don't know
- Moose: 28% Excellent, 40% Good, 16% Fair, 6% Poor, 11% Don't know
- Turkey: 24% Excellent, 34% Good, 15% Fair, 13% Poor, 14% Don't know
- Deer: 21% Excellent, 40% Good, 25% Fair, 10% Poor, 3% Don't know

(Percent n=956)
Percent of respondents who rated the management of each of the following species as excellent or good in Maine. (General Population)

- Deer
- Moose
- Bear
- Turkey

Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)
Lives in the Central Region (n=331)
Lives in the South Region (n=301)
Percent of respondents who rated the management of each of the following species as fair or poor in Maine. (General Population)

- Deer: 37% (North/East Region), 37% (Central Region), 30% (South Region)
- Moose: 30% (North/East Region), 19% (Central Region), 14% (South Region)
- Bear: 27% (North/East Region), 22% (Central Region), 19% (South Region)
- Turkey: 43% (North/East Region), 26% (Central Region), 26% (South Region)
Percent of respondents who rated the management of each of the following species as excellent or good in Maine. (Landowners)

- Deer: 59% (North/East Region), 74% (Largest tract)
- Moose: 56% (North/East Region), 63% (Central Region), 69% (Largest tract)
- Bear: 51% (North/East Region), 54% (Central Region), 70% (Largest tract)
- Turkey: 53% (North/East Region), 52% (Central Region), 51% (South Region, Largest tract)

Legend:
- ■ Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105)
- □ Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112)
- ▪ Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87)
Percent of respondents who rated the management of each of the following species as fair or poor in Maine. (Landowners)

- Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105)
- Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112)
- Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87)
Percent of respondents who rated the management of each of the following species as excellent or good in Maine. (Hunters)

- Deer
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 62%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 59%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 66%
  - Nonresident (n=180): 48%

- Moose
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 71%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 68%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 72%
  - Nonresident (n=180): 69%

- Bear
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 75%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 72%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 72%
  - Nonresident (n=180): 66%

- Turkey
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 70%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 57%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 57%
  - Nonresident (n=180): 48%
Percent of respondents who rated the management of each of the following species as fair or poor in Maine. (Hunters)

- Deer: 50% rated as fair or poor
  - North/East Region (n=262): 36%
  - Central Region (n=258): 29%
  - South Region (n=256): 16%
  - Nonresident (n=180): 14%

- Moose: 34% rated as fair or poor
  - North/East Region (n=262): 25%
  - Central Region (n=258): 18%
  - South Region (n=256): 11%
  - Nonresident (n=180): 4%

- Bear: 31% rated as fair or poor
  - North/East Region (n=262): 27%
  - Central Region (n=258): 16%
  - South Region (n=256): 14%
  - Nonresident (n=180): 10%

- Turkey: 36% rated as fair or poor
  - North/East Region (n=262): 36%
  - Central Region (n=258): 27%
  - South Region (n=256): 28%
  - Nonresident (n=180): 16%
Would you support or oppose a coyote management program in Maine, which may cause an increase in deer and/or wild turkeys in local areas? (Overall)

![Bar chart showing percent support or opposition among different groups.]

- **Strongly support**: 80% (General Population), 59% (Landowners), 47% (Hunters)
- **Moderately support**: 23% (General Population), 11% (Landowners), 7% (Hunters)
- **Neither support nor oppose**: 14% (General Population), 12% (Landowners), 11% (Hunters)
- **Moderately oppose**: 6% (General Population), 7% (Landowners), 8% (Hunters)
- **Strongly oppose**: 4% (General Population), 12% (Landowners), 8% (Hunters)
- **Don't know**: 1% (General Population), 4% (Landowners), 5% (Hunters)

* Rounding on graph causes apparent discrepancy in sum; calculation made on unrounded numbers.
Would you support or oppose a coyote management program in Maine, which may cause an increase in deer and/or wild turkeys in local areas? (General Population)

- Strongly support: 47 (North/East), 42 (Central), 62 (South)
- Moderately support: 23 (North/East), 26 (Central), 17 (South)
- Neither support nor oppose: 7 (North/East), 6 (Central), 0 (South)
- Moderately oppose: 9 (North/East), 13 (Central), 6 (South)
- Strongly oppose: 8 (North/East), 10 (Central), 7 (South)
- Don't know: 6 (North/East), 5 (Central), 4 (South)

* Rounding on graph causes apparent discrepancy in sum; calculation made on unrounded numbers.
Would you support or oppose a coyote management program in Maine, which may cause an increase in deer and/or wild turkeys in local areas? (Landowners)

- Strongly support: 54, 60, 66 (77%)
- Moderately support: 14, 17, 10
- Neither support nor oppose: 4, 4, 4
- Moderately oppose: 8, 7, 6
- Strongly oppose: 17
- Don't know: 7

**Note:**
- Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105)
- Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112)
- Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87)

* Rounding on graph causes apparent discrepancy in sum; calculation made on unrounded numbers.
DEER MANAGEMENT

- Ratings of deer management in Maine are more positive than negative, although there are substantial percentages giving ratings in the lower half of the scale. While from 61% to 70% give a rating of excellent or good (the top half of the scale), from 25% to 36% give a rating of fair or poor.

- In the general population survey, North/East Region residents are more likely than are residents of the other regions to give negative ratings (37% of them give a fair or poor rating, compared to 26% of Central Region residents and 18% of South Region residents).

- Among landowners, the best ratings are in the South Region; the worst are in the North/East Region.

- The regional analysis of the hunter survey found that hunters living in the North/East Region give the worst ratings, compared to the other regions and nonresidents. (Note that this regional analysis was done based on where the hunter lived.)

- Another indication of opinion on deer management comes in the question regarding whether the deer population in the area where the respondent lives should be increased, remain the same, or be decreased. The majority of each group says it should remain the same (from 57% to 73%). Otherwise, each group would rather the population be increased (20% of the general population, 19% of landowners, and 34% of hunters—the latter perhaps motivated by their desire to have a good chance of harvest) than decreased (7% of all three groups).

- Regional results of the general population survey show that North/East Region residents are the most likely, compared to residents of the other regions, to want to see an increase (33% of North/East Region residents want an increase, compared to 23% of Central Region residents and 13% of South Region residents).

- The regional results of the hunter survey also show those living in the North/East Region wanting an increase in the deer population where they live.

  - Those who wanted to see a decrease in the deer population were asked why they wanted a decrease. The most common reasons are that respondents feel that there is currently an overabundance in general; other more concrete responses include the risk of vehicle collisions, damage to property, damage to habitat, and risk of disease.
Those who supported an increase in the deer population were asked about a series of possible consequences of an increase in the deer population. For each, they were asked if they would support or oppose an increase in the deer population.

- Negative ecological consequences cause the most former supporters to no longer support. Poor health overall for the deer herd has the least support (only 15% to 23% still support an increase with this consequence), and the consequence that more deer would die from starvation during winter also has low support (only 12% to 27%). Also with less than a majority of any group in support are less food and poorer quality habitat for other wildlife (support ranges from 29% to 41%) and an increased risk of disease such as Lyme disease (36% to 46%).

- The human-centered reasons do not cause much defection from support, as a majority of each group still support an increase even if Department biologists would spend more time on deer management and less on other species, that private citizens would be required to resolve deer nuisance issues on their own, that there would be more damage to gardens and landscaping, and that there might be more damage to agriculture.

  - The results of all nine possible consequences are shown together on four graphs: strongly support by itself, strongly and moderately support combined, strongly and moderately oppose combined, and then strongly oppose by itself.

  - The regional analysis shows that South Region residents in the general population survey have more opposition than residents of other regions for increasing the deer herd if it means any of the negative ecological consequences (poor health of deer, more starvation deaths, less food/poorer quality habitat). Also, Central Region residents appear to be the most concerned about damage to gardens and landscaping.

The survey presented to respondents a series of eight possible factors that could be considered in the management of deer. For each factor, respondents rated it from 0 (not at all important) to 10 (extremely important).

- The top-rated factor is the health of the typical deer in the herd (mean ratings of 8.1 or higher)—an ecological factor. Then comes providing deer hunting opportunities (mean of 7.6 or higher), the opportunity to see deer (6.9 or higher), and then another ecological one—impacts on habitat (6.5 or higher).
• Damage to gardens/landscaping damage to agriculture are the lowest rated.
  o The regional breakdown of the landowner survey shows that landowners whose largest tract is located in the South Region give the highest ratings of the three regions to damage to agricultural crops and damage to gardens and ornamental plants (although these are not the highest rated factors in any of the regions).

➤ Support for legal deer hunting as a method to help manage deer is high: from 92% to 98% support, most of it strong support. Only 4% or less oppose it.

➤ A series of possible deer management options in areas where deer become overabundant was presented in the survey. For each, respondents were asked whether they would support or oppose each one. There were seven possible options presented.
  • For all options except two, there are majorities in support. At the top are the creation of a special archery season, targeted doe permits, and a controlled hunt with a limited number of hunters (the lowest support among any group for any of these three was 72%).
  • The two items with less than a majority of all three groups in support are a longer firearms season (supported by a majority of the general population and hunters, but just less than half of landowners) and sharpshooters (no more than 48% of any group in support).
    o Four graphs are shown: strongly support by itself, strongly and moderately support combined, strongly and moderately oppose combined, and then strongly oppose by itself.
    o The regional analysis found that overall support among the general population was about the same in the three regions, but strong support had some differences, with North/East Region residents being more supportive than those from other regions for a longer firearms season and for a controlled hunt with a limited number of hunters.
    o The landowner survey’s regional analysis (by the region in which the largest tract is located) suggests that those whose largest tract is in the South Region are markedly more supportive of a controlled hunt and for sharpshooters. On the other hand, landowners whose largest tract is in the North/East are more supportive of allowing hunters to harvest multiple deer and for having a longer firearms season.
In the regional analysis of the hunter survey, there were marked differences among the regions when looking at strong support. The South Region and nonresidents tend to be more supportive of the options, particularly an additional legal hunting season, targeted doe permits, allowing harvest of multiple deer, and a controlled hunt with a limited number of hunters.

A final question in this section concerned deer and moose together. First, respondents were informed of the following: “Because moose and deer* have very different habitat requirements, it is difficult to have large numbers of moose and deer* in the same area.” Respondents were then asked to choose on a continuum from abundant moose/very few deer to relatively few moose/moderate numbers of deer in northern Maine.* Respondents favor lower moose numbers, most commonly choosing either the lowest moose or the middle option in the continuum; relatively few chose to have abundant moose/few deer.

- In the general population survey, the regions are slightly different on this, with North/East Region residents the most likely of the three regions to want relatively few moose with moderate numbers of deer.
- In the hunter survey, the regional results mirror those of the general population: hunters who live in the North/East Region are the most likely to want few moose/moderate numbers of deer.

*The sample was halved on this question, with one half getting “moose and deer” (i.e. in that order) and the other half getting “deer and moose” in the wording. Also, northern Maine was defined as referring to the counties of Aroostook, Piscataquis, northern Franklin, northern Somerset, and northern Penobscot.
Overall, how would you rate deer management in Maine? (Overall)

- **Excellent**: 24% (General Population), 25% (Landowners), 36% (Hunters)
- **Good**: 43% (General Population), 51% (Landowners), 40% (Hunters)
- **Fair**: 19% (General Population), 20% (Landowners), 25% (Hunters)
- **Poor**: 10% (General Population), 10% (Landowners), 5% (Hunters)
- **Don't know**: 3% (General Population), 5% (Landowners), 8% (Hunters)

* Rounding on graph causes apparent discrepancy in sum; calculation made on unrounded numbers.
Overall, how would you rate deer management in Maine? (General Population)

- **Excellent**: 27% in the North/East Region, 23% in the Central Region, 21% in the South Region.
- **Good**: 42% in the North/East Region, 45% in the Central Region, 38% in the South Region.
- **Fair**: 22% in the North/East Region, 15% in the Central Region, 26% in the South Region.
- **Poor**: 14% in the North/East Region, 4% in the Central Region, 11% in the South Region.
- **Don't know**: 14% in the North/East Region, 4% in the Central Region, 4% in the South Region.

* Rounding on graph causes apparent discrepancy in sum; calculation made on unrounded numbers.
Overall, how would you rate deer management in Maine? (Landowners)

- Excellent: 9 (North/East), 31 (Central), 20 (South), 31 (Overall)
- Good: 20 (North/East), 50 (Central), 44 (South), 54 (Overall)
- Fair: 29 (North/East), 20 (Central), 10 (South), 29 (Overall)
- Poor: 7 (North/East), 3 (Central), 6 (South), 3 (Overall)
- Don't know: 5 (North/East), 10 (Central), 3 (South), 10 (Overall)
Overall, how would you rate deer management in Maine? (Hunters)

- **Excellent**: 16 (North/East Region), 15 (Central Region), 22 (South Region), 29 (Nonresident)
- **Good**: 22 (North/East Region), 40 (Central Region), 26 (South Region), 43 (Nonresident)
- **Fair**: 29 (North/East Region), 21 (Central Region), 22 (South Region), 22 (Nonresident)
- **Poor**: 10 (North/East Region), 8 (Central Region), 7 (South Region), 8 (Nonresident)
- **Don't know**: 1 (North/East Region), 2 (Central Region), 1 (South Region), 12 (Nonresident)

Legend:
- ■: Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)
- □: Lives in the Central Region (n=258)
- ■: Lives in the South Region (n=256)
- □: Nonresident (n=180)
In your opinion, should the deer population in the area where you live be increased, remain the same, or be decreased? Or are there no deer in the area where you live? (Asked of Maine residents.) (Overall)

- Increased
  - General Population (n=933): 20
  - Landowners (n=304): 19
  - Hunters (n=376): 34

- Remain the same
  - General Population (n=933): 65
  - Landowners (n=304): 73
  - Hunters (n=376): 57

- Decreased
  - General Population (n=933): 7
  - Landowners (n=304): 7
  - Hunters (n=376): 7

- There are no deer in the area
  - General Population (n=933): 6
  - Landowners (n=304): 0
  - Hunters (n=376): 0

- Don’t know
  - General Population (n=933): 2
  - Landowners (n=304): 2
  - Hunters (n=376): 1
In your opinion, should the deer population in the area where you live be increased, remain the same, or be decreased? Or are there no deer in the area where you live? (General Population)

- Increased
  - Lives in the North/East Region: 23
  - Lives in the Central Region: 53
  - Lives in the South Region: 33

- Remain the same
  - Lives in the North/East Region: 63
  - Lives in the Central Region: 70
  - Lives in the South Region: 63

- Decreased
  - Lives in the North/East Region: 10
  - Lives in the Central Region: 8
  - Lives in the South Region: 4

- There are no deer in the area
  - Lives in the North/East Region: 8
  - Lives in the Central Region: 6
  - Lives in the South Region: 1

- Don't know
  - Lives in the North/East Region: 3
  - Lives in the Central Region: 2
  - Lives in the South Region: 3

Percent
In your opinion, should the deer population in the area where you live be increased, remain the same, or be decreased? Or are there no deer in the area where you live? (Asked of Maine residents.) (Hunters)

- Increased: 54
- Remain the same: 70
- Decreased: 5
- There are no deer in the area: 1
- Don't know: 2

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=124)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=121)
- Lives in the South Region (n=131)
Why do you think the deer population in your area should be decreased? (Asked of Maine residents who think the deer population in their area should be decreased.) (Overall)

- Overabundance (general): 56% (General Population), 52% (Landowners), 31% (Hunters)
- Vehicle collisions: 27% (General Population), 26% (Landowners), 31% (Hunters)
- Damage to property or habitat: 11% (General Population), 19% (Landowners), 11% (Hunters)
- Risk of disease: 27% (General Population), 10% (Landowners), 8% (Hunters)
- Desire to increase hunting: 12% (General Population), 6% (Landowners), 8% (Hunters)
- Not enough resources to sustain population: 12% (General Population), 5% (Landowners), 5% (Hunters)
- Other: 12% (General Population), 2% (Landowners), 2% (Hunters)
Percent who would strongly support increasing deer population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the deer population in their area should be increased.) (Overall)

- Private citizens would be required to resolve deer nuisance issues on their own
  - General Population (n=216): 39%
  - Landowners (n=68): 48%
  - Hunters (n=136): 40%

- That Department biologists would spend more time on deer management and less time on other species
  - General Population (n=216): 31%
  - Landowners (n=68): 34%
  - Hunters (n=136): 50%

- An increased likelihood that deer would damage gardens or landscaping
  - General Population (n=216): 27%
  - Landowners (n=68): 40%
  - Hunters (n=136): 45%

- An increased likelihood that deer would damage agricultural crops
  - General Population (n=216): 28%
  - Landowners (n=68): 37%
  - Hunters (n=136): 41%

- An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with deer
  - General Population (n=216): 15%
  - Landowners (n=68): 20%
  - Hunters (n=136): 29%

- Less food and poorer quality habitat for other wildlife
  - General Population (n=216): 11%
  - Landowners (n=68): 26%
  - Hunters (n=136): 22%

- An increased risk of disease, such as Lyme disease
  - General Population (n=216): 12%
  - Landowners (n=68): 22%
  - Hunters (n=136): 21%

- More deer would die from starvation during winter
  - General Population (n=216): 7%
  - Landowners (n=68): 8%
  - Hunters (n=136): 16%

- Poor health overall for the deer herd
  - General Population (n=216): 9%
  - Landowners (n=68): 10%
  - Hunters (n=136): 12%
Percent who would strongly support or moderately support increasing deer population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the deer population in their area should be increased.) (Overall)

- That Department biologists would spend more time on deer management and less time on other species
- Private citizens would be required to resolve deer nuisance issues on their own
- An increased likelihood that deer would damage gardens or landscaping
- An increased likelihood that deer would damage agricultural crops
- An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with deer
- An increased risk of disease, such as Lyme disease
- Less food and poorer quality habitat for other wildlife
- More deer would die from starvation during winter
- Poor health overall for the deer herd

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>General Population (n=216)</th>
<th>Landowners (n=68)</th>
<th>Hunters (n=136)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>That Department biologists would spend more time on deer management and less time on other species</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private citizens would be required to resolve deer nuisance issues on their own</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood that deer would damage gardens or landscaping</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood that deer would damage agricultural crops</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with deer</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased risk of disease, such as Lyme disease</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less food and poorer quality habitat for other wildlife</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More deer would die from starvation during winter</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor health overall for the deer herd</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Percent who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose increasing deer population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the deer population in their area should be increased.)

(Overall)

- Poor health overall for the deer herd: 80% general population, 70% landowners, 83% hunters.
- More deer would die from starvation during winter: 72% general population, 77% landowners, 64% hunters.
- Less food and poorer quality habitat for other wildlife: 52% general population, 56% landowners, 53% hunters.
- An increased risk of disease, such as Lyme disease: 49% general population, 45% landowners, 55% hunters.
- An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with deer: 41% general population, 36% landowners, 34% hunters.
- An increased likelihood that deer would damage agricultural crops: 28% general population, 22% landowners, 27% hunters.
- An increased likelihood that deer would damage gardens or landscaping: 26% general population, 21% landowners, 30% hunters.
- Private citizens would be required to resolve deer nuisance issues on their own: 21% general population, 28% landowners, 25% hunters.
- That Department biologists would spend more time on deer management and less time on other species: 16% general population, 20% landowners, 14% hunters.
Percent who would strongly oppose increasing deer population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the deer population in their area should be increased.) (Overall)

- More deer would die from starvation during winter: 48% General Population, 53% Landowners, 53% Hunters
- Poor health overall for the deer herd: 43% General Population, 49% Landowners, 53% Hunters
- An increased risk of disease, such as Lyme disease: 22% General Population, 30% Landowners, 30% Hunters
- Less food and poorer quality habitat for other wildlife: 22% General Population, 26% Landowners, 28% Hunters
- An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with deer: 15% General Population, 20% Landowners, 21% Hunters
- Private citizens would be required to resolve deer nuisance issues on their own: 12% General Population, 15% Landowners, 15% Hunters
- An increased likelihood that deer would damage agricultural crops: 10% General Population, 4% Landowners, 10% Hunters
- An increased likelihood that deer would damage gardens or landscaping: 9% General Population, 9% Landowners, 9% Hunters
- That Department biologists would spend more time on deer management and less time on other species: 7% General Population, 0% Landowners, 5% Hunters
Percent who would strongly support or moderately support increasing deer population in area where they live if it meant the following.  
(Asked of ME residents who think the deer population in their area should be increased.)  
(General Population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aspect</th>
<th>North/East Region</th>
<th>Central Region</th>
<th>South Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>That Department biologists would spend more time on deer management and less time on other species</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private citizens would be required to resolve deer nuisance issues on their own</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood that deer would damage agricultural crops</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood that deer would damage gardens or landscaping</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with deer</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased risk of disease, such as Lyme Disease</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less food and poorer quality habitat for other wildlife</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More deer would die from starvation during winter</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor health overall for the deer herd</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Percent who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose increasing deer population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the deer population in their area should be increased.) (General Population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>North/East Region (n=101)</th>
<th>Central Region (n=80)</th>
<th>South Region (n=35)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poor health overall for the deer herd</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More deer would die from starvation during winter</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased risk of disease, such as Lyme Disease</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less food and poorer quality habitat for other wildlife</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with deer</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood that deer would damage agricultural crops</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood that deer would damage gardens or landscaping</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private citizens would be required to resolve deer nuisance issues on their own</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>That Department biologists would spend more time on deer management and less time on other species</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose increasing deer population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the deer population in their area should be increased.) (General Population)
On a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important do you think each of the following factors should be for managing the deer population in Maine? (Overall)

- The health of the typical deer in the herd
- Providing deer hunting opportunities
- The opportunity to see deer
- Impacts on habitat
- Risk of vehicle collisions with deer
- Risk of human disease, such as Lyme Disease
- Damage to agricultural crops
- Damage to gardens and ornamental plants

Mean

- General Population (604 ≤ n ≤ 661)
- Landowners (179 ≤ n ≤ 194)
- Hunters (266 ≤ n ≤ 303)
On a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important do you think each of the following factors should be for managing the deer population in Maine? (Landowners)

- The health of the typical deer in the herd
- Providing deer hunting opportunities
- The opportunity to see deer
- Impacts on habitat
- Risk of vehicle collisions with deer
- Risk of human disease, such as Lyme Disease
- Damage to agricultural crops
- Damage to gardens and ornamental plants

Largest tract is in the North/East Region (57 ≤ n ≤ 69)
Largest tract is in the Central Region (64 ≤ n ≤ 76)
Largest tract is in the South Region (45 ≤ n ≤ 59)
Do you support or oppose legal hunting as a method to help manage the deer populations in Maine? (Overall)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opinion</th>
<th>General Population (n=933)</th>
<th>Landowners (n=304)</th>
<th>Hunters (n=956)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly support</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately support</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither support nor oppose</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately oppose</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly oppose</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Rounding on graph causes apparent discrepancy in sum; calculation made on unrounded numbers.
Percent of respondents who would strongly support each of the following deer management options in areas of Maine where the deer population became overabundant. (Overall)

- A special archery season: 60%
- Targeted doe permits valid only in specific towns or locations: 55%
- An additional legal hunting season: 69%
- Allowing hunters to harvest multiple deer: 71%
- A longer firearms season: 61%
- A controlled hunt with a limited number of hunters: 52%
- Sharpshooters: 26%

General Population (575 ≤ n ≤ 627)
Landowners (171 ≤ n ≤ 177)
Hunters (254 ≤ n ≤ 288)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deer Management Option</th>
<th>General Population (575 ≤ n ≤ 627)</th>
<th>Landowners (171 ≤ n ≤ 177)</th>
<th>Hunters (254 ≤ n ≤ 288)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A special archery season</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targeted doe permits valid only in specific towns or locations</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A controlled hunt with a limited number of hunters</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An additional legal hunting season</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allowing hunters to harvest multiple deer</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A longer firearms season</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharpshooters</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Percent of respondents who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose each of the following deer management options in areas of Maine where the deer population became overabundant. (Overall)

- **Sharpshooters**: 64%
- **A longer firearms season**: 50%
- **Allowing hunters to harvest multiple deer**: 32%
- **An additional legal hunting season**: 34%
- **A controlled hunt with a limited number of hunters**: 23%
- **Targeted doe permits valid only in specific towns or locations**: 21%
- **A special archery season**: 19%

**Percent**

- **Sharpshooters**, **Landowners (171 \(\leq n \leq 177\))**: 47%
- **Landowners (171 \(\leq n \leq 177\))**: 20%
- **General Population (575 \(\leq n \leq 627\))**: 45%
- **General Population (575 \(\leq n \leq 627\))**: 27%
- **General Population (575 \(\leq n \leq 627\))**: 16%
- **General Population (575 \(\leq n \leq 627\))**: 10%

**Percent**

- **Hunters (254 \(\leq n \leq 288\))**: 24%
- **Hunters (254 \(\leq n \leq 288\))**: 20%
- **Hunters (254 \(\leq n \leq 288\))**: 13%
- **Hunters (254 \(\leq n \leq 288\))**: 10%
Percent of respondents who would strongly oppose each of the following deer management options in areas of Maine where the deer population became overabundant. (Overall)

- Sharpshooters: General Population 30%, Landowners 17%, Hunters 38%
- A longer firearms season: General Population 30%, Landowners 10%, Hunters 30%
- Allowing hunters to harvest multiple deer: General Population 19%, Landowners 7%, Hunters 14%
- An additional legal hunting season: General Population 22%, Landowners 14%, Hunters 8%
- A controlled hunt with a limited number of hunters: General Population 10%, Landowners 12%, Hunters 12%
- Targeted doe permits valid only in specific towns or locations: General Population 8%, Landowners 9%, Hunters 6%
- A special archery season: General Population 6%, Landowners 2%, Hunters 12%
Percent of respondents who would strongly support each of the following deer management options in areas of Maine where the deer population became overabundant. (General Population)

- A special archery season
- Targeted doe permits valid only in specific towns or locations
- An additional legal hunting season
- Allowing hunters to harvest multiple deer
- A longer firearms season
- A controlled hunt with a limited number of hunters
- Sharpshooters

Legend:
- ■ Lives in the North/East Region (166 ≤ n ≤ 183)
- □ Lives in the Central Region (244 ≤ n ≤ 273)
- □ Lives in the South Region (151 ≤ n ≤ 192)
Percent of respondents who would strongly support or moderately support each of the following deer management options in areas of Maine where the deer population became overabundant. (Landowners)

- Targeted doe permits valid only in specific towns or locations: 86%
- A controlled hunt with a limited number of hunters: 82%
- A special archery season: 75%
- Allowing hunters to harvest multiple deer: 71%
- An additional legal hunting season: 63%
- Sharpshooters: 61%
- A longer firearms season: 58%

Legend:
- Largest tract is in the North/East Region (57≤n≤64)
- Largest tract is in the Central Region (60≤n≤70)
- Largest tract is in the South Region (47≤n≤53)
Percent of respondents who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose each of the following deer management options in areas of Maine where the deer population became overabundant. (Landowners)

- A longer firearms season
- Sharpshooters
- An additional legal hunting season
- Allowing hunters to harvest multiple deer
- A controlled hunt with a limited number of hunters
- A special archery season
- Targeted doe permits valid only in specific towns or locations

Percent of respondents who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose each of the following deer management options in areas of Maine where the deer population became overabundant. (Landowners)

- Largest tract is in the North/East Region (57≤n≤64)
- Largest tract is in the Central Region (60≤n≤70)
- Largest tract is in the South Region (47≤n≤53)
Percent of respondents who would strongly support each of the following deer management options in areas of Maine where the deer population became overabundant. (Hunters)

- A special archery season: 64% in the North/East Region, 66% in the Central Region, 63% in the South Region, 45% in the Nonresident region.
- Allowing hunters to harvest multiple deer: 61% in the North/East Region, 61% in the Central Region, 63% in the South Region, 18% in the Nonresident region.
- Targeted doe permits valid only in specific towns or locations: 63% in the North/East Region, 66% in the Central Region, 71% in the South Region, 17% in the Nonresident region.
- An additional legal hunting season: 58% in the North/East Region, 63% in the Central Region, 75% in the South Region, 19% in the Nonresident region.
- A longer firearms season: 54% in the North/East Region, 55% in the Central Region, 67% in the South Region, 18% in the Nonresident region.
- A controlled hunt with a limited number of hunters: 44% in the North/East Region, 45% in the Central Region, 58% in the South Region, 19% in the Nonresident region.
- Sharpshooters: 9% in the North/East Region, 17% in the Central Region, 19% in the South Region, 19% in the Nonresident region.
In northern Maine, which of the following scenarios would you most prefer? (Overall)

- Abundant moose populations with very few deer: 15% General Population, 16% Landowners, 19% Hunters
- Moderate numbers of moose with relatively few deer: 40% General Population, 35% Landowners, 33% Hunters
- Relatively few moose with moderate numbers of deer: 32% General Population, 31% Landowners, 39% Hunters
- Don't know: 13% General Population, 18% Landowners, 9% Hunters
In northern Maine, which of the following scenarios would you most prefer? (General Population)

Abundant moose populations with very few deer
- Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=331)
- Lives in the South Region (n=301)

Moderate numbers of moose with relatively few deer
- Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=331)
- Lives in the South Region (n=301)

Relatively few moose with moderate numbers of deer
- Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=331)
- Lives in the South Region (n=301)

Don't know
- Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=331)
- Lives in the South Region (n=301)
In northern Maine, which of the following scenarios would you most prefer? (Hunters)

Abundant moose populations with very few deer
- Lives in the North/East Region (n=262) 13%
- Lives in the Central Region (n=258) 16%
- Lives in the South Region (n=256) 24%
- Nonresident (n=180) 21%

Moderate numbers of moose with relatively few deer
- Lives in the North/East Region (n=262) 32%
- Lives in the Central Region (n=258) 34%
- Lives in the South Region (n=256) 34%
- Nonresident (n=180) 29%

Relatively few moose with moderate numbers of deer
- Lives in the North/East Region (n=262) 48%
- Lives in the Central Region (n=258) 39%
- Lives in the South Region (n=256) 39%
- Nonresident (n=180)

Don't know
- Lives in the North/East Region (n=262) 7%
- Lives in the Central Region (n=258) 9%
- Lives in the South Region (n=256) 10%
- Nonresident (n=180) 11%
MOOSE MANAGEMENT

Large majorities of the groups (from 63% to 67%) give positive ratings of moose management—excellent or good. On the other end, from 19% to 22% give ratings of fair or poor. The percentages who do not know range from 11% to 18%.

- The most negative ratings in the regional analysis of the general population survey come from residents of the North/East Region.
- Among landowners, the best ratings are from those whose largest tract is in the South Region; the worst ratings are from those whose largest tract is in the North/East Region.
- Similar to the general population results, the results of the regional analysis of the hunter survey found that the most negative ratings come from hunters who live in the North/East Region.

The majority or a near-majority of each group says the moose population should remain the same (from 49% to 61%). Otherwise, each group has a higher percentage who would rather see the population be increased (15% of the general population, 15% of landowners, and 23% of hunters) than decreased (from 4% to 6% of the groups).

- In the regional analysis of the general population survey, North/East residents were the most likely of the three regions to want to see a change (they were the most likely to want an increase, but another contingent of them made them also the most to want to see a decrease—this is possible because the other regions had a lower percentage who even had moose in their area and, therefore, had a lower percentage able to give a response of increase, remain the same, or decrease).
- In the hunter survey, hunters living in the North/East Region are the most likely of the three regions to want to see a decrease.
Those who supported an increase in the moose population were asked about a series of possible consequences of an increase in the moose population (some consequences that have counterparts in the deer section of the survey, and some unique to moose). For each of four consequences, respondents were asked if they would support or oppose an increase in the moose population.

- The possible negative ecological consequences cause the most defection from support. No more than 26% of any of the groups would still support an increase if it meant poor health overall for the moose herd, and just under half (from 45% to 46%) would still support if it meant that forest regeneration would be negatively impacted.

- An increase in agricultural damage caused little defection: from 58% to 71% would support an increase if this was the consequence. An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions also does not cause mass defection, as a majority of each group would still support the increase with this consequence—from 50% (a majority because it is rounded from 50.4) to 62%.

  - The results of all the possible consequences are shown together on four graphs: strongly support by itself, strongly and moderately support combined, strongly and moderately oppose combined, and then strongly oppose by itself.

  - The regional results of the general population survey suggest that Central Region residents are the more opposed, relative to those from the other regions, to an increase in the moose population when the increase would mean poor health overall of the moose herd or that forest regeneration would be negatively impacted.

  - In the hunter survey’s results, the regional analysis shows that South Region hunters are more likely to change to oppose when the consequence is poor health overall for the moose herd. Central Region hunters are the most likely in the regional analysis to oppose when the consequence is that forest regeneration would be negatively impacted.
The survey presented to respondents a series of possible factors that could be considered in the management of moose. For each factor, respondents rated it from 0 (not at all important) to 10 (extremely important).

- The top-rated factor is the health of the typical moose in the herd (mean ratings ranging from 8.0 to 8.4)—an ecological factor. Next in the ranking by mean is providing moose hunting opportunities (mean of 7.2 to 7.7) and the opportunity to see moose (7.1 to 7.4). Risk of vehicle collisions is next at 6.9 to 7.2.
- After that, the importance markedly drops for impacts on habitat (6.1 to 6.6) and damage to agriculture (4.2 to 5.1).
  - Regarding the landowner survey, the regional differences are slight, but damage to agricultural crops was markedly lower rated by those whose largest tract is in the Central Region (although this is the lowest rated of all the factors in all three groups).

- Support for legal moose hunting as a method to help manage moose is high: from 91% to 97% support, most of it strong support. Only 7% or less oppose it.

- One question asked about “adjusting the hunting harvest of female moose” to improve the health of the moose population, but included the caveat, “even if it meant fewer moose in Maine.” A majority support this adjustment (68% of the general population, 66% of landowners, and 80% of hunters). Nonetheless, opposition ranges from 21% among the general population down to 10% among hunters.

- There is much more support for (ranging from 54% to 68%) than opposition to (9% to 13%) the current moose hunting season in southern Maine.
  - Among landowners, the most support is from those whose largest tract is in the South Region.
  - The regional analysis of the hunter survey found that support is strongest among hunters who live in the South Region.
The final question in this section concerned deer and moose together and was shown in the Deer Management section of this report. Recall that respondents were presented with a continuum from abundant moose to few moose, and they were asked to choose whether moose should be abundant (at the expense of deer) or whether there should be relatively few moose (with more deer) in northern Maine. Respondents favor lower moose numbers, most commonly choosing either the lowest moose population or the middle option; relatively few chose to have abundant moose with few deer. (This graph is presented in the section titled, “Deer Management.”)
Overall, how would you rate moose management in Maine? (Overall)

![Bar chart showing opinions of residents, landowners, and hunters on moose management in Maine.](chart)

- **Excellent**: General Population (n=933), 24% (63%); Landowners (n=304), 19% (63%); Hunters (n=956), 22% (67% *).
- **Good**: General Population (n=933), 39% (63%); Landowners (n=304), 44% (63%); Hunters (n=956), 22% (67% *).
- **Fair**: General Population (n=933), 15% (19%); Landowners (n=304), 16% (20%); Hunters (n=956), 22% (22%).
- **Poor**: General Population (n=933), 4% (5%); Landowners (n=304), 5% (6%); Hunters (n=956), 22% (6%).
- **Don’t know**: General Population (n=933), 18% (18%); Landowners (n=304), 18% (18%); Hunters (n=956), 18% (18%).

* Rounding on graph causes apparent discrepancy in sum; calculation made on unrounded numbers.
Overall, how would you rate moose management in Maine? (General Population)

- Excellent: 23% (North/East), 22% (Central), 26% (South)
- Good: 30% (North/East), 19% (Central), 37% (South)
- Fair: 21% (North/East), 17% (Central), 30% (South) *
- Poor: 8% (North/East), 3% (Central), 3% (South)
- Don't know: 10% (North/East), 11% (Central), 27% (South)

* Rounding on graph causes apparent discrepancy in sum; calculation made on unrounded numbers.
Overall, how would you rate moose management in Maine? (Landowners)

- Excellent: 13, 18, 28
- Good: 43, 45, 41
- Fair: 17, 17, 17
- Poor: 10, 7, 7
- Don't know: 16, 20, 15

Legend:
- ■ Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105)
- □ Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112)
- □ Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87)
Overall, how would you rate moose management in Maine? (Hunters)

- **Excellent**
  - North/East Region (n=262): 19%
  - Central Region (n=258): 28%
  - South Region (n=256): 30%
  - Nonresident (n=180): 32%

- **Good**
  - North/East Region (n=262): 34%
  - Central Region (n=258): 40%
  - South Region (n=256): 42%

- **Fair**
  - North/East Region (n=262): 21%
  - Central Region (n=258): 20%
  - South Region (n=256): 14%

- **Poor**
  - North/East Region (n=262): 13%
  - Central Region (n=258): 3%
  - South Region (n=256): 3%

- **Don't know**
  - North/East Region (n=262): 23%
  - Central Region (n=258): 7%
  - South Region (n=256): 11%
  - Nonresident (n=180): 23%
In your opinion, should the moose population in the area where you live be increased, remain the same, or be decreased? Or are there no moose in the area where you live? (Asked of Maine residents.) (Overall)

- **Increased**
  - General Population: 15%
  - Landowners: 15%
  - Hunters: 23%

- **Remain the same**
  - General Population: 49%
  - Landowners: 52%
  - Hunters: 61%

- **Decreased**
  - General Population: 4%
  - Landowners: 6%
  - Hunters: 5%

- **There are no moose in the area**
  - General Population: 16%
  - Landowners: 17%
  - Hunters: 30%

- **Don't know**
  - General Population: 3%
  - Landowners: 3%
  - Hunters: 2%
In your opinion, should the moose population in the area where you live be increased, remain the same, or be decreased? Or are there no moose in the area where you live? (Asked of Maine residents.) (General Population)

- **Increased**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=301): 17
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=331): 20
  - Lives in the South Region (n=301): 11

- **Remain the same**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=301): 54
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=331): 44
  - Lives in the South Region (n=301): 11

- **Decreased**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=301): 14
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=331): 2
  - Lives in the South Region (n=301): 1

- **There are no moose in the area**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=301): 26
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=331): 11
  - Lives in the South Region (n=301): 11

- **Don't know**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=301): 3
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=331): 3
  - Lives in the South Region (n=301): 3
In your opinion, should the moose population in the area where you live be increased, remain the same, or be decreased? Or are there no moose in the area where you live? (Asked of Maine residents.) (Hunters)

- **Increased**: 27% (Lives in the North/East Region), 23% (Central Region), 21% (South Region)
- **Remain the same**: 51% (North/East), 57% (Central), 45% (South)
- **Decreased**: 13% (North/East), 2% (Central), 7% (South)
- **There are no moose in the area**: 25% (North/East), 17% (Central), 17% (South)
- **Don't know**: 6% (North/East), 1% (Central), 1% (South)
An increased likelihood that moose would damage agricultural crops

An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with moose

Forest regeneration would be negatively impacted

Poor health overall for the moose herd

Percent who would strongly support increasing moose population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the moose population in their area should be increased.) (Overall)

General Population (n=154)

Landowners (n=53)

Hunters (n=93)
Percent who would strongly support or moderately support increasing moose population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the moose population in their area should be increased.) (Overall)

- An increased likelihood that moose would damage agricultural crops: 69% (Overall), 58% (General Population), 71% (Landowners), 50% (Hunters).
- An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with moose: 54% (Overall), 50% (General Population), 62% (Landowners), 50% (Hunters).
- Forest regeneration would be negatively impacted: 45% (Overall), 46% (General Population), 46% (Landowners), 46% (Hunters).
- Poor health overall for the moose herd: 26% (Overall), 16% (General Population), 19% (Landowners), 19% (Hunters).
Percent who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose increasing moose population in area where they live if it meant the following.
(Asked of ME residents who think the moose population in their area should be increased.)
(Overall)

- Poor health overall for the moose herd:
  - General Population (n=154): 65%
  - Landowners (n=53): 41%
  - Hunters (n=93): 28%

- Forest regeneration would be negatively impacted:
  - General Population (n=154): 78%
  - Landowners (n=53): 75%
  - Hunters (n=93): 41%

- An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with moose:
  - General Population (n=154): 40%
  - Landowners (n=53): 40%
  - Hunters (n=93): 25%

- An increased likelihood that moose would damage agricultural crops:
  - General Population (n=154): 37%
  - Landowners (n=53): 37%
  - Hunters (n=93): 18%
Percent who would strongly oppose increasing moose population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the moose population in their area should be increased.) (Overall)

- Poor health overall for the moose herd: General Population (53%), Landowners (18%), Hunters (17%)
- Forest regeneration would be negatively impacted: General Population (17%), Landowners (18%), Hunters (16%)
- An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with moose: General Population (19%), Landowners (13%), Hunters (13%)
- An increased likelihood that moose would damage agricultural crops: General Population (12%), Landowners (7%), Hunters (3%)
Percent who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose increasing moose population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the moose population in their area should be increased.)

(General Population)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=63)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=61)
- Lives in the South Region (n=30)

- Poor health overall for the moose herd: 62% (North/East), 51% (Central), 37% (South)
- Forest regeneration would be negatively impacted: 36% (North/East), 37% (Central), 41% (South)
- An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with moose: 38% (North/East), 25% (Central), 21% (South)
- An increased likelihood that moose would damage agricultural crops: 32% (North/East), 15% (Central), 11% (South)
Percent who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose increasing moose population in area where they live if it meant the following.
(Asked of ME residents who think the moose population in their area should be increased.)
(Hunters)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=39)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=29)
- Lives in the South Region (n=25)

- Poor health overall for the moose herd: 64% (North/East), 76% (Central), 88% (South)
- Forest regeneration would be negatively impacted: 31% (North/East), 52% (Central), 36% (South)
- An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with moose: 31% (North/East), 21% (Central), 24% (South)
- An increased likelihood that moose would damage agricultural crops: 28% (North/East), 14% (Central), 12% (South)
On a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important do you think each of the following factors should be for managing the moose population in Maine? (Overall)

- The health of the typical moose in the herd
- Providing moose hunting opportunities
- The opportunity to see moose
- Risk of vehicle collisions with moose
- Impacts on habitat
- Damage to agricultural crops

General Population
Landowners
Hunters
On a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important do you think each of the following factors should be for managing the moose population in Maine? (Landowners)

- Largest tract is in the North/East Region ($63 \leq n \leq 75$)
- Largest tract is in the Central Region ($66 \leq n \leq 75$)
- Largest tract is in the South Region ($52 \leq n \leq 63$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The health of the typical moose in the herd</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing moose hunting opportunities</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The opportunity to see moose</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk of vehicle collisions with moose</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impacts on habitat</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damage to agricultural crops</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Do you support or oppose legal hunting as a method to help manage the moose populations in Maine? (Overall)

- Strongly support: 68% (General Population), 67% (Landowners), 97% (Hunters)
- Moderately support: 24% (General Population), 24% (Landowners), 2% (Hunters)
- Neither support nor oppose: 8% (General Population), 1% (Landowners), 1% (Hunters)
- Moderately oppose: 2% (General Population), 3% (Landowners), 1% (Hunters)
- Strongly oppose: 4% (General Population), 4% (Landowners), 1% (Hunters)
- Don't know: 0% (General Population), 1% (Landowners), 0% (Hunters)
Would you support or oppose adjusting the hunting harvest of female moose to help minimize the impacts of parasites and improve the overall health of the moose population, even if it meant fewer moose in Maine?  (Overall)

![Graph showing support and opposition percentages]

- **Strongly support**: General Population 39%, Landowners 23%, Hunters 37%
- **Moderately support**: General Population 27%, Landowners 23%, Hunters 31%
- **Neither support nor oppose**: General Population 9%, Landowners 6%, Hunters 7%
- **Moderately oppose**: General Population 9%, Landowners 4%, Hunters 12%
- **Strongly oppose**: General Population 9%, Landowners 6%, Hunters 9%
- **Don't know**: General Population 4%, Landowners 7%, Hunters 4%

### Overall Percentages
- **Strongly support**: 68%
- **Moderately support**: 66%
- **Neither support nor oppose**: 10%
- **Moderately oppose**: 18%
- **Strongly oppose**: 21%
- **Don't know**: 6%
Do you support or oppose the current moose hunting season in southern Maine? (Overall)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>General Population (n=933)</th>
<th>Landowners (n=304)</th>
<th>Hunters (n=956)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly support</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately support</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither support nor oppose</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately oppose</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly oppose</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Rounding on graph causes apparent discrepancy in sum; calculation made on unrounded numbers.
Do you support or oppose the current moose hunting season in Southern Maine? (Landowners)

- **Strongly support**
  - North/East Region (n=105): 36 (52%)
  - Central Region (n=112): 44 (50%)
  - South Region (n=87): 29 (65%)

- **Moderately support**
  - North/East Region: 21 (16%)
  - Central Region: 22 (19%)
  - South Region: 16 (19%)

- **Neither support nor oppose**
  - North/East Region: 16 (13%)
  - Central Region: 16 (11%)
  - South Region: 8 (10%)

- **Moderately oppose**
  - North/East Region: 7 (6%)
  - Central Region: 4 (4%)
  - South Region: 5 (6%)

- **Strongly oppose**
  - North/East Region: 5 (5%)
  - Central Region: 7 (6%)
  - South Region: 13 (15%)

- **Don’t know**
  - North/East Region: 12 (10%)
  - Central Region: 22 (19%)
  - South Region: 22 (25%)

* Rounding on graph causes apparent discrepancy in sum; calculation made on unrounded numbers.
Do you support or oppose the current moose hunting season in Southern Maine? (Hunters)

- Strongly support
- Moderately support
- Neither support nor oppose
- Moderately oppose
- Strongly oppose
- Don't know

Percentages:
- Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=258)
- Lives in the South Region (n=256)
- Nonresident (n=180)

- 60%
- 65%
- 79%
- 68%
BEAR MANAGEMENT

- Ratings of bear management in Maine are more positive than negative, with substantial percentages, nonetheless, giving ratings in the lower half of the scale. Majorities of 57% to 72% give a rating of excellent or good (the top half of the scale), while 13% to 28% give a rating of fair or poor. The percentages who do not know range from 15% to 22%.
  - The regional analysis of the general population survey shows that, of the three regions, North/East Region residents are the most negative.
  - Among landowners, the best ratings are among those whose largest tract is in the North/East Region.

- The majority of each group says the bear population should remain the same (56% of the general population, but 67% and 71% among the other two groups). Otherwise, there is a fairly even split among the remainder (from 4% to 8% want an increase, and from 4% to 8% want a decrease).
  - The largest regional differences in the general population survey occur primarily because there are more bear in the North/East Region: that region has a higher percentage of residents choosing “remain the same” and a slightly higher percentage wanting a decrease than in the other regions primarily because those other regions have more respondents choosing the response, “there are no bear in the area.”
  - Among hunters, the same general regional differences occur: hunters living in the North/East Region have a higher percentage responding with “remain the same” or “decreased” and have a much lower percentage saying that “there are no bear in the area.”

- Those who supported an increase in the bear population were asked about a series of possible consequences of an increase in the bear population. For each consequence, respondents were asked if they would support or oppose an increase in the bear population.
  - The ecological consequence caused the most defection among those who had supported an increase: only from 15% to 28% of the groups would still support an increase if it meant poor health overall for the bear population.
• Less than a majority of the general population and landowners would still support an increase if it meant an increased risk to public safety.

• Human-centered reasons do not cause this defection from support, as a majority of all groups would still support an increase if it meant that additional/special bear hunting seasons would need to be opened to manage bear, if bear-proof trash containers were necessitated, if more bears would be killed because of conflicts with humans, and if government staff would spend more time on bears.
  o The results of all the possible consequences (there were nine of them tested) are shown together on four graphs: strongly support by itself, strongly and moderately support combined, strongly and moderately oppose combined, and then strongly oppose by itself.
  o The regional results of the general population survey suggest that North/East Region residents (of those who support an increase in bear population) would still be more supportive of an increase with nearly all the consequences, compared to Central Region residents. (South Region residents could not be included in the regional analysis because not enough of them wanted to see an increase in the bear population to get the follow-up questions.)

➢ The survey presented to respondents a series of possible factors that could be considered in the management of bear. For each factor, respondents rated it from 0 (not at all important) to 10 (extremely important).

• The top-rated factor is the health of the typical bear (mean ratings ranging from 7.6 to 8.4)—an ecological factor. This was followed by providing moose hunting opportunities (mean of 7.0 to 8.1)—these top two being markedly above the others. Risks to public safety and risk to pets/livestock are in the middle, along with impacts on other wildlife.

• After that, the importance markedly drops for damage to agriculture, risks of vehicle collisions, and damage to gardens.
  o The regional analysis of the landowner survey finds some differences. Those landowners whose largest tract is in the North/East Region give a markedly higher rating to the importance of impacts on other wildlife as a factor. Meanwhile, those
whose largest tract is in the Central Region give a markedly lower rating to risks to public safety or risks to pets/livestock.

- Support for legal bear hunting as a method to help manage bear is high: from 87% to 95% support, most of it strong support. Only 9% or less oppose it.

- Each group is split on opinion regarding allowing the bear population to expand into central and southern Maine. While a majority of each group support doing so, there is substantial opposition. Among the general population, 54% support, but 30% oppose. Among landowners, 54% support, while 29% oppose. Finally, among hunters, 63% support, but 25% oppose.
  - In the general population survey, the regional analysis finds residents of the South Region with slightly higher opposition.
  - A regional breakdown of the landowners, based on where the largest tract is located, finds that the differences are primarily between strong and moderate answers rather than overall support or overall opposition.
  - Interestingly, while the regions are about the same in the regional analysis of the hunter survey, nonresidents are more supportive of allowing the bear population to expand further into central and southern Maine.
Overall, how would you rate bear management in Maine? (Overall)

- **Excellent**
  - General Population (n=933): 25%
  - Landowners (n=304): 36%
  - Hunters (n=956): 36%

- **Good**
  - General Population (n=933): 10%
  - Landowners (n=304): 32%
  - Hunters (n=956): 36%

- **Fair**
  - General Population (n=933): 16%
  - Landowners (n=304): 22%
  - Hunters (n=956): 21%

- **Poor**
  - General Population (n=933): 3%
  - Landowners (n=304): 5%
  - Hunters (n=956): 13%

- **Don't know**
  - General Population (n=933): 15%
  - Landowners (n=304): 15%
  - Hunters (n=956): 13%
Overall, how would you rate bear management in Maine? (General Population)

- Excellent: 29% (North/East), 22% (Central), 21% (South)
- Good: 29% (North/East), 36% (Central), 34% (South)
- Fair: 21% (North/East), 17% (Central), 13% (South)
- Poor: 6% (North/East), 5% (Central), 6% (South)
- Don't know: 15% (North/East), 20% (Central), 20% (South)

Legend:
- ■ Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)
- □ Lives in the Central Region (n=331)
- ■ Lives in the South Region (n=301)
Overall, how would you rate bear management in Maine? (Landowners)

- Excellent: 33% (n=105)
- Good: 30% (n=112)
- Fair: 26% (n=87)
- Poor: 11% (n=87)
- Don't know: 18% (n=112)

- Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105)
- Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112)
- Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87)
In your opinion, should the bear population in the area where you live be increased, remain the same, or be decreased? Or are there no bear in the area where you live? (Asked of Maine residents.) (Overall)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>General Population (n=933)</th>
<th>Landowners (n=304)</th>
<th>Hunters (n=400)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remain the same</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There are no bear in the area</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent
In your opinion, should the bear population in the area where you live be increased, remain the same, or be decreased? Or are there no bear in the area where you live? (Asked of Maine residents.) (General Population)

- Increased
- Remain the same
- Decreased
- There are no bear in the area
- Don't know

Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)
Lives in the Central Region (n=331)
Lives in the South Region (n=301)
In your opinion, should the bear population in the area where you live be increased, remain the same, or be decreased? Or are there no bear in the area where you live? (Asked of Maine residents.) (Hunters)

- **Increased**: 8 Lives in the North/East Region (n=138), 7 Lives in the Central Region (n=137), 10 Lives in the South Region (n=125)
- **Remain the same**: 74 Lives in the North/East Region (n=138), 70 Lives in the Central Region (n=137), 56 Lives in the South Region (n=125)
- **Decreased**: 14 Lives in the North/East Region (n=138), 2 Lives in the Central Region (n=137), 4 Lives in the South Region (n=125)
- **There are no bear in the area**: 18 Lives in the North/East Region (n=138), 31 Lives in the Central Region (n=137), 4 Lives in the South Region (n=125)
- **Don't know**: 1 Lives in the North/East Region (n=138), 1 Lives in the Central Region (n=137), 2 Lives in the South Region (n=125)
Percent who would strongly support increasing bear population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the bear population in their area should be increased.) (Overall)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change in Bear Population</th>
<th>General Population (n=59)</th>
<th>Landowners (n=18)</th>
<th>Hunters (n=32)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Additional or special bear hunting seasons had to be opened to manage the bear population</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood that bear would damage agricultural crops, gardens, and beehives</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government staff would need to spend more time on bear nuisance or conflict complaints</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More bears had to be killed in response to conflicts</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased likelihood that bear would damage private property in general</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased risk to public safety</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor health overall for the bear population</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Percent who would strongly support or moderately support increasing bear population in area where they live if it meant the following.
(Asked of ME residents who think the bear population in their area should be increased.)

(Overall)

- Additional or special bear hunting seasons had to be opened to manage the bear population: 92%
- Private citizens would be required to purchase bear-resistant garbage containers: 64%
- More bears had to be killed in response to conflicts: 68%
- Government staff would need to spend more time on bear nuisance or conflict complaints: 60%
- Private citizens would be required to resolve bear nuisance issues on their own: 64%
- Increased likelihood that bear would damage private property in general: 66%
- An increased likelihood that bear would damage agricultural crops, gardens, and beehives: 56%
- An increased risk to public safety: 46%
- Poor health overall for the bear population: 24%

General Population (n=59)
Landowners (n=18)
Hunters (n=32)
Percent who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose increasing bear population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the bear population in their area should be increased.)

(Overall)

- Poor health overall for the bear population: 65% (66% General Population, 75% Landowners, 65% Hunters)
- An increased likelihood that bear would damage agricultural crops, gardens, and beehives: 39% (34% General Population, 37% Landowners, 37% Hunters)
- An increased risk to public safety: 38% (36% General Population, 36% Landowners, 36% Hunters)
- Increased likelihood that bear would damage private property in general: 42% (34% General Population, 30% Landowners, 34% Hunters)
- Private citizens would be required to resolve bear nuisance issues on their own: 50% (40% General Population, 27% Landowners, 50% Hunters)
- More bears had to be killed in response to conflicts: 37% (37% General Population, 33% Landowners, 37% Hunters)
- Private citizens would be required to purchase bear-resistant garbage containers: 34% (34% General Population, 32% Landowners, 34% Hunters)
- Government staff would need to spend more time on bear nuisance or conflict complaints: 31% (25% General Population, 8% Landowners, 25% Hunters)
- Additional or special bear hunting seasons had to be opened to manage the bear population: 24% (5% General Population, 8% Landowners, 24% Hunters)
Percent who would strongly oppose increasing bear population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the bear population in their area should be increased.) (Overall)

- Poor health overall for the bear population: 58% General Population, 49% Landowners, 58% Hunters
- Private citizens would be required to resolve bear nuisance issues on their own: 26% General Population, 19% Landowners, 17% Hunters
- More bears had to be killed in response to conflicts: 17% General Population, 15% Landowners, 17% Hunters
- Private citizens would be required to purchase bear-resistant garbage containers: 17% General Population, 11% Landowners, 17% Hunters
- An increased risk to public safety: 10% General Population, 10% Landowners, 11% Hunters
- Government staff would need to spend more time on bear nuisance or conflict complaints: 10% General Population, 3% Landowners, 10% Hunters
- An increased likelihood that bear would damage agricultural crops, gardens, and beehives: 13% General Population, 1% Landowners, 13% Hunters
- Increased likelihood that bear would damage private property in general: 15% General Population, 7% Landowners, 7% Hunters
- Additional or special bear hunting seasons had to be opened to manage the bear population: 5% General Population, 1% Landowners, 5% Hunters
Additional or special bear hunting seasons had to be opened to manage the bear population.

Private citizens would be required to purchase bear-resistant garbage containers.

Government staff would need to spend more time on bear nuisance or conflict complaints.

More bears had to be killed in response to conflicts.

Private citizens would be required to resolve bear nuisance issues on their own.

An increased likelihood that bear would damage agricultural crops, gardens, and beehives.

An increased risk to public safety.

Increased likelihood that bear would damage private property in general.

Poor health overall for the bear population.

Percent who would strongly support or moderately support increasing bear population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the bear population in their area should be increased.)

(General Population)

Lives in the North/East Region (n=21)

Lives in the Central Region (n=32)
On a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important do you think each of the following factors should be for managing the bear population in Maine? (Overall)

- The health of the typical bear: Mean = 7.6 (General Population), 7.6 (Landowners), 8.4 (Hunters)
- Providing bear hunting opportunities: Mean = 7.0 (General Population), 7.1 (Landowners), 8.1 (Hunters)
- Risks to public safety: Mean = 5.3 (General Population), 5.7 (Landowners)
- Risk to pets or livestock: Mean = 5.2 (General Population), 5.2 (Landowners), 5.2 (Hunters)
- Impacts on other wildlife: Mean = 5.1 (General Population), 5.4 (Landowners)
- Damage to agricultural crops: Mean = 4.7 (General Population), 4.8 (Landowners), 4.6 (Hunters)
- Risk of vehicle collisions with bear: Mean = 3.6 (General Population), 4.1 (Landowners), 4.1 (Hunters)
- Damage to gardens: Mean = 4.2 (General Population), 4.1 (Landowners), 4.0 (Hunters)
On a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important do you think each of the following factors should be for managing the bear population in Maine? (Landowners)

- The health of the typical bear
- Providing bear hunting opportunities
- Risks to public safety
- Risk to pets or livestock
- Damage to agricultural crops
- Impacts on other wildlife
- Damage to gardens
- Risk of vehicle collisions with bear

- Largest tract is in the North/East Region (51 ≤ n ≤ 73)
- Largest tract is in the Central Region (58 ≤ n ≤ 82)
- Largest tract is in the South Region (44 ≤ n ≤ 60)
Do you support or oppose legal hunting as a method to help manage the bear populations in Maine? (Overall)

- **Strongly support**: 59% (62 in total)
- **Moderately support**: 28% (29 in total)
- **Neither support nor oppose**: 3% (4 in total)
- **Moderately oppose**: 2% (2 in total)
- **Strongly oppose**: 1% (1 in total)
- **Don't know**: 1% (1 in total)

* Rounding on graph causes apparent discrepancy in sum: calculation made on unrounded numbers.
Do you support or oppose allowing the bear population to expand further into central and southern Maine? (Overall)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>General Population (n=845)</th>
<th>Landowners (n=304)</th>
<th>Hunters (n=956)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly support</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately support</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither support nor oppose</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately oppose</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly oppose</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Rounding on graph causes apparent discrepancy in sum; calculation made on unrounded numbers.
Do you support or oppose allowing the bear population to expand further into central and southern Maine? (General Population)

- **Strongly support**: 57% *
  - North/East Region (n=301): 57%
  - Central Region (n=243): 52%
  - South Region (n=301): 57%
- **Moderately support**: 32%
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=243)
  - Lives in the South Region (n=301)
- **Neither support nor oppose**: 31%
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=243)
  - Lives in the South Region (n=301)
- **Moderately oppose**: 20%
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=243)
  - Lives in the South Region (n=301)
- **Strongly oppose**: 15%
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=243)
  - Lives in the South Region (n=301)
- **Don’t know**: 5%
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=243)
  - Lives in the South Region (n=301)

* Rounding on graph causes apparent discrepancy in sum; calculation made on unrounded numbers.
Do you support or oppose allowing the bear population to expand further into central and southern Maine? (Landowners)

- **Strongly support**: 18, 29 (51%)
- **Moderately support**: 26, 36 (55%)
- **Neither support nor oppose**: 12, 8 (25%)
- **Moderately oppose**: 11, 19 (31%)
- **Strongly oppose**: 7, 18 (29%)
- **Don't know**: 1, 7

* Rounding on graph causes apparent discrepancy in sum; calculation made on unrounded numbers.
Do you support or oppose allowing the bear population to expand further into central and southern Maine? (Hunters)

- **Strongly support**:
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262) 59%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258) 60%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256) 64%
  - Nonresident (n=180) 73%

- **Moderately support**:
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262) 23%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258) 30%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256) 25% *
  - Nonresident (n=180) 15%

- **Neither support nor oppose**:
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262) 10%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258) 6%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256) 8%
  - Nonresident (n=180) 9%

- **Moderately oppose**:
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262) 13%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258) 17%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256) 6%
  - Nonresident (n=180)

- **Strongly oppose**:
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262) 10%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258) 13%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256) 9%
  - Nonresident (n=180) 14%

- **Don’t know**:
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262) 8%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258) 4%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256) 4%
  - Nonresident (n=180) 2%

* Rounding on graph causes apparent discrepancy in sum; calculation made on unrounded numbers.
Ratings of turkey management in Maine are more positive than negative, with 49% to 58% giving a positive rating of excellent or good, but 28% to 34% giving a rating of turkey management as only fair or poor. The percentages who do not know range from 14% to 18%.

- The region with the most positive ratings is the South Region in the general population survey (54% of them give a rating of excellent or good, compared to no more than 45% in the other regions); the region with the most negative ratings is the Central Region (43% of them give a rating of fair or poor, compared to no more than 30% of any other region).
- In the landowner survey, the regional breakdown by where the largest tract is located finds poor ratings higher among South Region landowners than in the other regions.
- The regional analysis of hunters based on where they live finds some differences: the best ratings come from hunters living in the South Region, while hunters living in the Central Region have a slightly higher percentage giving a poor rating than the other Regions.

The majority of each group says the turkey population should remain the same (from 52% to 62%). However, the remainder more often want a decrease than an increase: from 27% to 31% want to see a decrease, compared to just 6% to 13% who want an increase. This is the only species of the four in the survey for which decrease is markedly more than increase.

- Of the three regions, residents in the general population survey of the North/East Region are the most likely to want to see an increase; residents of the Central Region are the most likely to want to see a decrease.
- The regional analysis of the landowner survey found that landowners living in the Central Region are the region most often wanting to see the population remain the same; landowners living in the North/East Region are the most likely of the three regions to want an increase.
- In the hunter survey, the regional analysis shows that hunters who live in the North/East Region are the most likely to want an increase.
A series of follow-up questions was asked of those who said that they wanted to see an increase in turkey populations, asking if they would support or oppose an increase based on seven possible consequences of an increase.

- In the face of ecological consequences (more turkey dying from starvation in winter; poor health overall for the turkey population), most of those who formerly supported an increase no longer support it.
- The human-centered consequences do not cause this defection from support (that private citizens would be required to resolve problems on their own; that there would be an increase in damage to gardens, landscaping, or agriculture), nor does the consequence of an increase in predators (being seen, perhaps, as a positive ecological consequence or at least a “natural” ecological consequence).

The results of all seven questions are shown together. There are four graphs: strongly support on its own, strongly and moderately support combined, strongly and moderately oppose combined, and then strongly oppose on its own.

- The regional results of the general population survey suggest that Central Region residents are more likely to change to opposition when presented with negative ecological consequences or with negative impacts to agriculture than are residents of other regions.

The survey presented to respondents a series of possible factors that could be considered in the management of turkey. For each factor, respondents rated it from 0 (not at all important) to 10 (extremely important).

- Turkey hunting is valued in Maine, as the top-rated factor is providing turkey hunting opportunities (mean of 7.4 to 8.3), closely followed by the health of the typical turkey in the population (mean of 7.4 to 7.9). There is a drop in importance placed on the next items: the opportunity to see turkey (6.1 to 6.9) and damage to agriculture (5.8 to 6.5).
- Lowest in importance are damage to gardens (5.2 to 5.7) and the risk of vehicle collisions with turkey (4.6 to 5.0).

- In the landowner survey, the regional analysis finds that those landowners whose largest tract is in the South Region are more concerned about damage to gardens and risk of vehicle collisions.
Support for legal turkey hunting as a method to help manage turkey is high: from 92% to 98% support, most of it strong support. Only 5% or less oppose it.

A series of possible turkey management options in the event that turkey became overabundant in an area was presented in the survey, and respondents were asked whether they would support or oppose each one. For each of all four options, an overwhelming majority would support it (no less than 77% among any group for any option), and in fact a majority of the general population would strongly support it, ranging from 54% to 61%. Strong support is a little higher among landowners, ranging from 55% up to 71%, and then markedly higher among hunters, ranging from 78% to 82%. For all groups, the least favored is extending the hunting season later into the fall or winter; the three other options are about even with one another and just slightly higher than that last-placed option. Opposition is no more than 17% among any group for any of the four options.

The three items with the most support are: implementing a controlled hunt in areas experiencing damage from turkeys, allowing landowners to apply for a permit to remove turkeys that are causing problems on their land, and increasing the bag limit for turkey in areas experiencing damage.

- Four graphs are shown: strongly support by itself, strongly and moderately support combined, strongly and moderately oppose combined, and then strongly oppose by itself.
- Two graphs are included that suggest that South Region residents in the general population survey are different than residents of the other regions regarding their opinion on extending the hunting season later into the fall or winter, being more opposed to it than their counterparts in other regions.
Overall, how would you rate turkey management in Maine? (Overall)

- Excellent: 18% Excellent, 18% Good, 24% Fair, 34% Poor, 34% Don't know
  - General Population (n=933)
  - Landowners (n=304)
  - Hunters (n=956)

* Rounding on graph causes apparent discrepancy in sum; calculation made on unrounded numbers.
Overall, how would you rate turkey management in Maine? (General Population)

- Overall:
  - Excellent: 24%
  - Good: 30%
  - Fair: 28%
  - Poor: 15%
  - Don't know: 20%

- Regionally:
  - North/East: 44% (n=301)
  - Central: 43% (n=331)
  - South: 26% (n=301)

* Rounding on graph causes apparent discrepancy in sum; calculation made on unrounded numbers.
Overall, how would you rate turkey management in Maine? (Landowners)

- **Excellent**: 22% (Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105))
- **Good**: 38% (Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112))
- **Fair**: 29% (Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87))
- **Poor**: 24% (Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87))
- **Don’t know**: 17% (Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87))

* Rounding on graph causes apparent discrepancy in sum; calculation made on unrounded numbers.
Overall, how would you rate turkey management in Maine? (Hunters)

- Excellent: 34% Lives in the North/East Region, 36% Lives in the Central Region, 32% Lives in the South Region, 31% Nonresident
- Good: 32% Lives in the North/East Region, 33% Lives in the Central Region, 36% Lives in the South Region, 56% Nonresident
- Fair: 20% Lives in the North/East Region, 18% Lives in the Central Region, 19% Lives in the South Region, 14% Nonresident
- Poor: 17% Lives in the North/East Region, 14% Lives in the Central Region, 13% Lives in the South Region, 10% Nonresident
- Don't know: 42% Nonresident

* Rounding on graph causes apparent discrepancy in sum; calculation made on unrounded numbers.
In your opinion, should the turkey population in the area where you live be increased, remain the same, or be decreased? Or are there no turkey in the area where you live? (Asked of Maine residents.) (Overall)

- Increased: General Population (n=933), 8% increased, Landowners (n=304), 6% increased, Hunters (n=386), 13% increased
- Remain the same: General Population (n=933), 55% remain the same, Landowners (n=304), 52% remain the same, Hunters (n=386), 62% remain the same
- Decreased: General Population (n=933), 27% decreased, Landowners (n=304), 29% decreased, Hunters (n=386), 31% decreased
- There are no turkey in the area: General Population (n=933), 6% don't know, Landowners (n=304), 2% don't know, Hunters (n=386), 4% don't know
- Don't know: General Population (n=933), 3% don't know, Landowners (n=304), 1% don't know, Hunters (n=386), 1% don't know
In your opinion, should the turkey population in the area where you live be increased, remain the same, or be decreased? Or are there no turkey in the area where you live? (Asked of Maine residents.) (General Population)

- Increased: 21 (5 in North/East, 5 in Central, 11 in South)
- Remain the same: 51 (23 in North/East, 51 in Central, 35 in South)
- Decreased: 46 (23 in North/East, 35 in Central, 35 in South)
- There are no turkey in the area: 11 (6 in North/East, 5 in Central, 11 in South)
- Don't know: 4 (3 in North/East, 4 in Central, 4 in South)
In your opinion, should the turkey population in the area where you live be increased, remain the same, or be decreased? Or are there no turkey in the area where you live? (Asked of Maine residents.) (Landowners)

- Increased: 13 (North/East), 5 (Central), 27 (South)
- Remain the same: 56 (North/East), 53 (Central), 69 (South)
- Decreased: 25 (North/East), 27 (Central), 38 (South)
- There are no turkey in the area: 9 (North/East), 0 (Central), 0 (South)
- Don't know: 1 (North/East), 1 (Central), 1 (South)

Lives in the North/East Region (n=102)
Lives in the Central Region (n=112)
Lives in the South Region (n=90)
In your opinion, should the turkey population in the area where you live be increased, remain the same, or be decreased? Or are there no turkey in the area where you live? (Asked of Maine residents.) (Hunters)

- Increased
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=118): 8
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=133): 10
  - Lives in the South Region (n=135): 30

- Remain the same
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=118): 41
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=133): 53
  - Lives in the South Region (n=135): 57

- Decreased
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=118): 20
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=133): 32
  - Lives in the South Region (n=135): 35

- There are no turkey in the area
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=118): 8
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=133): 3
  - Lives in the South Region (n=135): 1

- Don't know
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=118): 1
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=133): 1
  - Lives in the South Region (n=135): 0
Percent who would strongly support increasing turkey population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the turkey population in their area should be increased.) (Overall)

- Private citizens would be required to resolve turkey nuisance issues on their own
- An increased likelihood that turkey would damage gardens or landscaping
- An increase in predator populations, such as bobcat or coyotes
- An increased likelihood that turkey would damage agricultural crops
- That Department biologists would spend more time on turkey management and less time on other species
- Poor health overall for the turkey population
- More turkey would die from starvation during winter

Legend:
- General Population (100 ≤ n ≤ 103)
- Landowners (n=22)
- Hunters (n=59)
Percent who would strongly support or moderately support increasing turkey population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the turkey population in their area should be increased.) (Overall)

- Private citizens would be required to resolve turkey nuisance issues on their own: 77% (General Population), 74% (Landowners), 84% (Hunters)
- An increased likelihood that turkey would damage gardens or landscaping: 64% (General Population), 59% (Landowners), 59% (Hunters)
- An increase in predator populations, such as bobcat or coyotes: 64% (General Population), 56% (Landowners), 53% (Hunters)
- An increased likelihood that turkey would damage agricultural crops: 56% (General Population), 54% (Landowners), 53% (Hunters)
- That Department biologists would spend more time on turkey management and less time on other species: 52% (General Population), 25% (Landowners), 45% (Hunters)
- Poor health overall for the turkey population: 25% (General Population), 14% (Landowners), 32% (Hunters)
- More turkey would die from starvation during winter: 31% (General Population), 21% (Landowners), 25% (Hunters)
Percent who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose increasing turkey population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the turkey population in their area should be increased.)

(Overall)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>General Population (100 ≤ n ≤ 103)</th>
<th>Landowners (n=22)</th>
<th>Hunters (n=59)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More turkey would die from starvation during winter</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor health overall for the turkey population</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>That Department biologists would spend more time on turkey management and less time on other species</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood that turkey would damage agricultural crops</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increase in predator populations, such as bobcat or coyotes</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood that turkey would damage gardens or landscaping</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private citizens would be required to resolve turkey nuisance issues on their own</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Percent who would strongly oppose increasing turkey population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the turkey population in their area should be increased.) (Overall)

- More turkey would die from starvation during winter
  - General Population (100 ≤ n ≤ 103): 47%
  - Landowners (n=22): 45%
  - Hunters (n=59): 45%

- Poor health overall for the turkey population
  - General Population (100 ≤ n ≤ 103): 38%
  - Landowners (n=22): 44%
  - Hunters (n=59): 43%

- That Department biologists would spend more time on turkey management and less time on other species
  - General Population (100 ≤ n ≤ 103): 49%
  - Landowners (n=22): NaN
  - Hunters (n=59): 49%

- An increased likelihood that turkey would damage agricultural crops
  - General Population (100 ≤ n ≤ 103): 17%
  - Landowners (n=22): 17%
  - Hunters (n=59): 17%

- An increase in predator populations, such as bobcat or coyotes
  - General Population (100 ≤ n ≤ 103): 13%
  - Landowners (n=22): 14%
  - Hunters (n=59): 12%

- An increased likelihood that turkey would damage gardens or landscaping
  - General Population (100 ≤ n ≤ 103): 19%
  - Landowners (n=22): 10%
  - Hunters (n=59): 2%

- Private citizens would be required to resolve turkey nuisance issues on their own
  - General Population (100 ≤ n ≤ 103): 5%
  - Landowners (n=22): 3%
  - Hunters (n=59): 8%
Percent who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose increasing turkey population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the turkey population in their area should be increased.) (General Population)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=65)
- Lives in the Central Region (15≤n≤18)
- Lives in the South Region (n=20)

- Poor health overall for the turkey population: 63%
- More turkey would die from starvation during winter: 71%
- An increased likelihood that turkey would damage agricultural crops: 64%
- That Department biologists would spend more time on turkey management and less time on other species: 35%
- An increased likelihood that turkey would damage gardens or landscaping: 38%
- An increase in predator populations, such as bobcat or coyotes: 33%
- Private citizens would be required to resolve turkey nuisance issues on their own: 23%

Percent
On a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important do you think each of the following factors should be for managing the turkey population in Maine? (Overall)

- Providing turkey hunting opportunities
  - General Population: 7.6
  - Landowners: 8.3
  - Hunters: 7.4

- The health of the typical turkey in the population
  - General Population: 7.4
  - Landowners: 7.9
  - Hunters: 7.8

- The opportunity to see wild turkey
  - General Population: 6.1
  - Landowners: 6.9
  - Hunters: 6.9

- Damage to agricultural crops
  - General Population: 5.8
  - Landowners: 6.3
  - Hunters: 6.5

- Damage to gardens
  - General Population: 5.2
  - Landowners: 5.4
  - Hunters: 5.7

- The risk of vehicle collisions with turkey
  - General Population: 5.0
  - Landowners: 4.6
  - Hunters: 5.0

Mean

Legend:
- ■ General Population (577≤n≤671)
- □ Landowners (196≤n≤207)
- ▪ Hunters (297≤n≤334)
On a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important do you think each of the following factors should be for managing the turkey population in Maine? (Landowners)

- The health of the typical turkey in the population
- Providing turkey hunting opportunities
- The opportunity to see wild turkey
- Damage to agricultural crops
- Damage to gardens
- The risk of vehicle collisions with turkey

Legend:
- ▲ Largest tract is in the North/East Region (65 ≤ n ≤ 72)
- ■ Largest tract is in the Central Region (70 ≤ n ≤ 79)
- □ Largest tract is in the South Region (54 ≤ n ≤ 62)
Do you support or oppose legal hunting as a method to help manage the turkey population in Maine? (Overall)

- **Strongly support:**
  - General Population (n=933): 74%
  - Landowners (n=304): 93%
  - Hunters (n=956): 98%

- **Moderately support:**
  - General Population (n=933): 22%
  - Landowners (n=304): 18%
  - Hunters (n=956): 7%

- **Neither support nor oppose:**
  - General Population (n=933): 3%
  - Landowners (n=304): 2%
  - Hunters (n=956): 2%

- **Moderately oppose:**
  - General Population (n=933): 2%
  - Landowners (n=304): 18%
  - Hunters (n=956): 1%

- **Strongly oppose:**
  - General Population (n=933): 2%
  - Landowners (n=304): 0%
  - Hunters (n=956): 0%

- **Don't know:**
  - General Population (n=933): 1%
  - Landowners (n=304): 0%
  - Hunters (n=956): 1%

* Rounding on graph causes apparent discrepancy in sum; calculation made on unrounded numbers.
Percent of respondents who would strongly support each of the following turkey management options in areas of Maine where the turkey population became overabundant.

(Overall)

- Increasing the number of turkeys that hunters can harvest in areas experiencing damage by turkey: 61%
- Implementing a controlled hunt in areas experiencing damage by turkeys: 60%
- Allowing landowners to apply for a permit to remove turkeys that are causing problems on their land: 60%
- Extending the hunting season later into the fall or winter: 54%

General Population (n=933)
Landowners (n=304)
Hunters (n=485)
Implementing a controlled hunt in areas experiencing damage by turkeys

Allowing landowners to apply for a permit to remove turkeys that are causing problems on their land

Increasing the number of turkeys that hunters can harvest in areas experiencing damage by turkey

Extending the hunting season later into the fall or winter

Percent of respondents who would strongly support or moderately support each of the following turkey management options in areas of Maine where the turkey population became overabundant. (Overall)

- General Population (n=933)
- Landowners (n=304)
- Hunters (n=485)
Percent of respondents who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose each of the following turkey management options in areas of Maine where the turkey population became overabundant. (Overall)

- Extending the hunting season later into the fall or winter
- Increasing the number of turkeys that hunters can harvest in areas experiencing damage by turkey
- Allowing landowners to apply for a permit to remove turkeys that are causing problems on their land
- Implementing a controlled hunt in areas experiencing damage by turkeys

General Population (n=933)
Landowners (n=304)
Hunters (n=485)
Percent of respondents who would strongly oppose each of the following turkey management options in areas of Maine where the turkey population became overabundant.

(Overall)

- Extending the hunting season later into the fall or winter: 6% General Population, 7% Landowners, 3% Hunters
- Increasing the number of turkeys that hunters can harvest in areas experiencing damage by turkey: 4% General Population, 6% Landowners, 3% Hunters
- Allowing landowners to apply for a permit to remove turkeys that are causing problems on their land: 3% General Population, 3% Landowners, 4% Hunters
- Implementing a controlled hunt in areas experiencing damage by turkeys: 3% General Population, 3% Landowners, 3% Hunters
Percent of respondents who would strongly support or moderately support each of the following turkey management options in areas of Maine where the turkey population became overabundant. (General Population)

- Implementing a controlled hunt in areas experiencing damage by turkeys
- Allowing landowners to apply for a permit to remove turkeys that are causing problems on their land
- Increasing the number of turkeys that hunters can harvest in areas experiencing damage by turkey
- Extending the hunting season later into the fall or winter

Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)
Lives in the Central Region (n=331)
Lives in the South Region (n=301)
Percent of respondents who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose each of the following turkey management options in areas of Maine where the turkey population became overabundant. (General Population)

- Extending the hunting season later into the fall or winter
- Increasing the number of turkeys that hunters can harvest in areas experiencing damage by turkeys
- Allowing landowners to apply for a permit to remove turkeys that are causing problems on their land
- Implementing a controlled hunt in areas experiencing damage by turkeys

Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)
Lives in the Central Region (n=331)
Lives in the South Region (n=301)
Percent of respondents who would strongly support or moderately support each of the following turkey management options in areas of Maine where the turkey population became overabundant. (Landowners)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=102)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=112)
- Lives in the South Region (n=90)

- Implementing a controlled hunt in areas experiencing damage by turkeys
  - North/East: 95%
  - Central: 89%
  - South: 90%

- Allowing landowners to apply for a permit to remove turkeys that are causing problems on their land
  - North/East: 90%
  - Central: 90%
  - South: 88%

- Increasing the number of turkeys that hunters can harvest in areas experiencing damage by turkey
  - North/East: 89%
  - Central: 87%
  - South: 89%

- Extending the hunting season later into the fall or winter
  - North/East: 81%
  - Central: 75%
  - South: 80%
Percent of respondents who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose each of the following turkey management options in areas of Maine where the turkey population became overabundant. (Landowners)

- Extending the hunting season later into the fall or winter
- Increasing the number of turkeys that hunters can harvest in areas experiencing damage by turkey
- Allowing landowners to apply for a permit to remove turkeys that are causing problems on their land
- Implementing a controlled hunt in areas experiencing damage by turkeys

Legend:
- ■ Lives in the North/East Region (n=102)
- □ Lives in the Central Region (n=112)
- ▪ Lives in the South Region (n=90)
PROBLEMS WITH WILDLIFE

- While most landowners in the survey (61%) did not experience any problems with wildlife on their largest tract of land in the past 2 years, the obverse of this means that a fairly large percentage (39%) reported having problems.
  - The most common culprits were coyotes, deer, and turkeys.
  - Landowners whose largest tract is in the Central Region are the most likely to have experienced problems.
In the past 2 years, have you experienced any problems with wildlife on your largest tract of land? (Landowners)

- No: 61
- Problems with coyotes: 14
- Problems with deer: 9
- Problems with turkeys: 9
- Problems with other animals: 8
- Problems with bears: 2
- Yes (unspecified species): 2
- Problems with moose: 1
- Other: 1

Percent (n=304)
In the past 2 years, have you experienced any problems with wildlife on your largest tract of land? (Landowners)

Multiple Responses Allowed

- No: 66%
- Problems with coyotes: 15%
- Problems with deer: 10%
- Problems with turkeys: 10%
- Problems with other animals: 6%
- Problems with bears: 10%
- Yes (unspecified species): 3%
- Problems with moose: 5%
- Other: 1%

Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105)
Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112)
Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87)
DEMOGRAPHIC DATA

The following demographic data were gathered:

- **Gender**: This is shown for the general population (the general population sample was weighted by gender and age to match the actual population) and for hunters—the latter being 90% male. Gender is not shown for landowners because many land parcels were owned by more than one person (e.g., a husband and wife), so in many cases there is not a gender associated with the land, and the survey data would only show the gender of the person who took the survey.

- **Age**: The general population sample was weighted by this characteristic. Adult hunters’ mean age is 51.6 years old (the means shown are *among adults*, as nobody under 18 years old was interviewed; had children been interviewed, the mean ages would be lower). Again, age is not shown for landowners for the same reason as discussed above regarding gender.

- **County of residence**: This is shown for all three groups. (Note that some questions were based on the county in which the hunter most often hunted or the county in which the landowner’s largest tract was located.) Region of residence is also shown.
  
  - A regional breakdown is shown of county of residence by where the hunter most often hunts.

- For hunters, state of residence is shown (because just under 20% of hunters were from out of state).

- **Education**: This is shown for the general population sample and for hunters; the latter group is slightly less educated than the general population. Education is not shown for landowners for the same reason as discussed above regarding gender and age.

- **Residential character of where the respondent lives**: Rural areas and small cities/towns predominate among the general population of Maine as well as among hunters. Because a criteria for the survey among landowners was ownership of a tract at least 25 acres, rural areas predominate. (Note that some landowners did not live on their property that was the subject of the survey; ergo, the small percentage that live in an urban area/suburban area or a small city/town. Most, however, lived on the tract about which the survey asked.)
Respondent's gender (not asked; observed by interviewer). (Overall)

- Male
  - General Population (n=933)
  - Hunters (n=956)
  - Percent: 48
  - Percent: 90

- Female
  - General Population (n=933)
  - Hunters (n=956)
  - Percent: 10
  - Percent: 52
May I ask your age? (Overall)

General Population mean: 48.9
Hunters mean: 51.6

Note that the mean is among adults only; residents under 18 years old and hunters under 16 years old were not included in the survey. Had they been, the mean ages would be younger.
What county do you live in? (Asked of Maine residents.) (Overall)

- Cumberland
- Penobscot
- York
- Kennebec
- Aroostook
- Androscoggin
- Oxford
- Somerset
- Hancock
- Sagadahoc
- Washington
- Waldo
- Lincoln
- Knox
- Franklin
- Piscataquis
- Don't know

Percent

- General Population (n=933)
- Landowners (n=304)
- Hunters (n=769)
What county do you live in? (Asked of Maine residents.) (Ranked by the total.) (Hunters)

- Penobscot: 17%
- Cumberland: 33%
- York: 34%
- Kennebec: 19%
- Aroostook: 29%
- Oxford: 17%
- Somerset: 13%
- Androscoggin: 11%
- Washington: 14%
- Hancock: 14%
- Waldo: 9%
- Lincoln: 12%
- Sagadahoc: 10%
- Franklin: 5%
- Piscataquis: 9%
- Knox: 8%
- Don't know: 0%

Percent

- ■ Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=302)
- □ Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=264)
- △ Most often hunts in the South Region (n=210)
Region of residence. (Overall)

- Lives in the North/East Region
  - General Population (n=933): 15%
  - Landowners (n=304): 19%
  - Hunters (n=956): 26%

- Lives in the Central Region
  - General Population (n=933): 41%
  - Landowners (n=304): 37%
  - Hunters (n=956): 52%

- Lives in the South Region
  - General Population (n=933): 44%
  - Landowners (n=304): 22%
  - Hunters (n=956): 24%

- Nonresident
  - General Population (n=933): 0%
  - Landowners (n=304): 0%
  - Hunters (n=956): 20%

Percent
What state do you live in? (Hunters)

- Maine: 80.4%
- Massachusetts: 5.8%
- New Hampshire: 2.4%
- Pennsylvania: 2.4%
- Vermont: 1.6%
- Connecticut: 1.4%
- New York: 1.2%
- Florida: 0.4%
- Maryland: 0.4%
- New Jersey: 0.4%
- Arizona: 0.3%
- Georgia: 0.3%
- Ohio: 0.3%
- Texas: 0.3%
- Illinois: 0.2%
- Rhode Island: 0.2%
- Virginia: 0.2%
- Alabama: 0.1%
- Alaska: 0.1%
- Arkansas: 0.1%
- California: 0.1%
- Colorado: 0.1%
- Idaho: 0.1%
- Indiana: 0.1%
- Nebraska: 0.1%
- Nevada: 0.1%
- North Carolina: 0.1%
- Washington: 0.1%
- West Virginia: 0.1%

Percent (n=956)
What state do you live in? (Shows only those state with at least 2% overall.) (Hunters)

- Maine: 84.1%
- Massachusetts: 5.7%
- Pennsylvania: 5.6%
- New Hampshire: 6.3%
- Vermont: 5.4%
- Connecticut: 5.3%
- New York: 3.1%
- Florida: 2.9%
- Maryland: 2.0%
- New Jersey: 1.9%
- Arizona: 1.8%
- Georgia: 1.5%
- Ohio: 1.5%
- Texas: 1.2%
- Illinois: 1.0%
- Rhode Island: 0.3%
- Virginia: 0.3%

- Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=396)
- Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=326)
- Most often hunts in the South Region (n=234)
What is the highest level of education you have completed? (Overall)

- Not a high school graduate: 2% (General Population: 3%)
- High school graduate or equivalent: 25% (General Population: 37%)
- Some college or trade school, no degree: 18% (General Population: 19%)
- Associate’s or trade school degree: 11% (General Population: 13%)
- Bachelor’s degree: 28% (General Population: 19%)
- Master’s degree: 11% (General Population: 5%)
- Professional or doctorate degree: 3% (General Population: 3%)
Do you consider your place of residence to be a large city or urban area, a suburban area, a small city or town, a rural area on a farm, or a rural area not on a farm? (Overall)

- **General Population (n=933)**
  - Large city or urban area: 6%
  - Suburban area: 3.5%
  - Small city or town: 40%
  - Rural area on a farm: 11%
  - Rural area NOT on a farm: 47%
  - Refused: 1%
- **Landowners (n=304)**
  - Large city or urban area: 1%
  - Suburban area: 7%
  - Small city or town: 33%
  - Rural area on a farm: 12%
  - Rural area NOT on a farm: 40%
  - Refused: 0%
- **Hunters (n=956)**
  - Large city or urban area: 1%
  - Suburban area: 7%
  - Small city or town: 38%
  - Rural area on a farm: 8%
  - Rural area NOT on a farm: 47%
  - Refused: 1%
APPENDIX A: ALL REGIONAL RESULTS

This appendix has three main sections: the first presents the regional results of the general population survey, the second of the landowner survey, and the third of the hunter survey. Each of these three sections is organized in the same way as the body of the report, with the graphs presented in sub-sections based on theme, as shown below, with the questions in each section as indicated. Note, however, that some sub-sections are not included under a particular survey when the questions in that sub-section were not asked of a particular group. For instance, the general population was not asked about satisfaction/dissatisfaction with hunting, so this sub-section is not within the general population section.

**Interest in and Knowledge of Wildlife**
- Overall interest in wildlife.
- Feelings about wildlife around home.
- Level of knowledge about each species.

**Participation in Hunting**
- Where hunted.
- Participation in deer, moose, bear, and turkey hunting.
- Reasons for hunting deer, moose, bear, and turkey.
- Applying for moose permits.

**Satisfaction and Dissatisfaction With Hunting**
- Satisfaction with deer, moose, bear, and turkey hunting.
- Reasons for not being more satisfied.

**Constraints to Hunting**
- Constraints to deer hunting.
- Reasons for not hunting deer, moose, bear, and turkey.

**Opinions on Hunting**
- Approval/disapproval of hunting.
- Support for/opposition to hunting to help manage species/land.
- Support for/opposition to hunting bear for various reasons.

**Hunting Access**
- Hunters: ratings of access.
- Whether lack of access has been constraint to hunting participation.
- Landowners: allowing access on land.
- Types of access allowed.
- Reasons for not allowing access.
Hunting Licensing and Fees
Whether cost of hunting fees is too much.
Whether separate purchase requirements inhibit hunting.

Opinions on Regulations and Specific Aspects of Hunting
Opinions on the 3-point rule.
Which month preferred for moose hunting.
Why that month is preferred.
Opinion on a split moose season.
Opinions regarding tradeoff on number of permits versus chance of harvest.
Support for/opposition to coyote management.

Land Management in General
Whether landowners actively manages land for wildlife.

Deer Management
Ratings of deer management.
Opinions on the size of the deer population.
Why the deer population should be decreased.
Support for increasing deer population with various caveats.
Factors to be considered in managing deer.
Support for/opposition to hunting as a way to manage deer.
Support for/opposition to various methods to control deer.
Opinions on deer and moose tradeoffs.

Moose Management
Ratings of moose management.
Opinions on the size of the moose population.
Support for increasing moose population with various caveats.
Factors to be considered in managing moose.
Support for/opposition to hunting as a way to manage moose.
Opinion on adjusting moose harvest for health of the moose population.
Opinion on moose hunting in southern Maine.

Bear Management
Ratings of bear management.
Opinions on the size of the bear population.
Support for increasing bear population with various caveats.
Factors to be considered in managing bear.
Support for/opposition to hunting as a way to manage bear.
Opinion on allowing bear populations to expand south.
Turkey Management
Ratings of turkey management.
Opinions on the size of the turkey population.
Support for increasing turkey population with various caveats.
Factors to be considered in managing turkey.
Support for/opposition to hunting as a way to manage turkey.
Opinion on methods to control turkey if they become overabundant.

Problems With Wildlife
Experiencing problems with wildlife.
Which wildlife caused problems.

Demographic Data
Gender.
Age.
Education.
Residential character of where residence is located.
GENERAL POPULATION SURVEY

Interest in and Knowledge of Wildlife—General Population

Overall interest in wildlife.
Feelings about wildlife around home.
Level of knowledge about each species.

How interested are you in wildlife in Maine, on a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 is not at all interested and 10 is extremely interested? (General Population)

North/East mean: 8.3
Central mean: 8.0
South mean: 7.9
Generally, which of the following best describes your feelings about wildlife around your home or on your property? (General Population)

- I enjoy seeing and having wildlife around my home or on my property: 70%
- I enjoy seeing a few animals around my home or on my property but worry about the problems they cause: 21%
- I generally regard wildlife around my home or on my property as a nuisance: 2%
- I generally regard wildlife around my home or on my property as dangerous: 0%
- I have no particular feeling about wildlife around my home or on my property: 5%
- Don’t know: 0%

Lives in the North/East Region (n=301):
- I enjoy seeing and having wildlife around my home or on my property: 70%
- I enjoy seeing a few animals around my home or on my property but worry about the problems they cause: 21%
- I generally regard wildlife around my home or on my property as a nuisance: 2%
- I generally regard wildlife around my home or on my property as dangerous: 0%
- I have no particular feeling about wildlife around my home or on my property: 5%
- Don’t know: 0%

Lives in the Central Region (n=331):
- I enjoy seeing and having wildlife around my home or on my property: 70%
- I enjoy seeing a few animals around my home or on my property but worry about the problems they cause: 21%
- I generally regard wildlife around my home or on my property as a nuisance: 2%
- I generally regard wildlife around my home or on my property as dangerous: 0%
- I have no particular feeling about wildlife around my home or on my property: 5%
- Don’t know: 0%

Lives in the South Region (n=301):
- I enjoy seeing and having wildlife around my home or on my property: 70%
- I enjoy seeing a few animals around my home or on my property but worry about the problems they cause: 22%
- I generally regard wildlife around my home or on my property as a nuisance: 2%
- I generally regard wildlife around my home or on my property as dangerous: 0%
- I have no particular feeling about wildlife around my home or on my property: 5%
- Don’t know: 0%
Percent of respondents who know a great deal about each of the following. (General Population)

- Deer: 43% in North/East Region, 37% in Central Region, 30% in South Region
- Moose: 29% in North/East Region, 23% in Central Region, 16% in South Region
- Bear: 19% in North/East Region, 11% in Central Region, 7% in South Region
- Wild turkey: 8% in North/East Region, 21% in Central Region, 21% in South Region
Percent of respondents who know a great deal or a moderate amount about each of the following. (General Population)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=331)
- Lives in the South Region (n=301)

- Deer: 83% (North/East), 80% (Central), 69% (South)
- Moose: 71% (North/East), 63% (Central), 51% (South)
- Bear: 54% (North/East), 48% (Central), 37% (South)
- Wild turkey: 58% (North/East), 56% (Central)
Percent of respondents who know a great deal, a moderate amount, or a little about each of the following. (General Population)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=331)
- Lives in the South Region (n=301)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal</th>
<th>North/East Region</th>
<th>Central Region</th>
<th>South Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deer</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moose</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bear</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild turkey</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Percent of respondents who know nothing at all about each of the following. (General Population)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=331)
- Lives in the South Region (n=301)
Opinions on Hunting—General Population
Approval/disapproval of hunting.
Support for/opposition to hunting bear for various reasons.

In general, do you approve or disapprove of legal deer hunting? (General Population)

- Strongly approve: 82%
- Moderately approve: 13%
- Neither approve nor disapprove: 0%
- Moderately disapprove: 2%
- Strongly disapprove: 0%
- Don't know: 0%

Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)
Lives in the Central Region (n=331)
Lives in the South Region (n=301)
In general, do you approve or disapprove of legal moose hunting? (General Population)

- **Strongly approve**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=301): 68
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=331): 56
  - Lives in the South Region (n=301): 74

- **Moderately approve**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=301): 30
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=331): 24
  - Lives in the South Region (n=301): 19

- **Neither approve nor disapprove**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=301): 3
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=331): 0
  - Lives in the South Region (n=301): 2

- **Moderately disapprove**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=301): 1
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=331): 4
  - Lives in the South Region (n=301): 2

- **Strongly disapprove**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=301): 6
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=331): 7
  - Lives in the South Region (n=301): 3

- **Don't know**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=301): 0
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=331): 0
  - Lives in the South Region (n=301): 1
In general, do you approve or disapprove of legal bear hunting? (General Population)

- Strongly approve: 69%
- Moderately approve: 17%
- Neither approve nor disapprove: 3%
- Moderately disapprove: 3%
- Strongly disapprove: 7%
- Don't know: 1%

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=331)
- Lives in the South Region (n=301)
Percent of respondents who would strongly support hunting bear for each of the following reasons. (General Population)

- For the meat: 69% (North/East), 65% (Central), 55% (South)
- As a method to help manage bear populations in Maine: 68% (North/East), 60% (Central), 55% (South)
- For economic benefits to rural areas: 45% (North/East), 37% (Central), 36% (South)
- For recreation: 31% (North/East), 24% (Central), 23% (South)
- For a trophy: 15% (North/East), 11% (Central), 8% (South)
As a method to help manage bear populations in Maine

For the meat

For economic benefits to rural areas

For recreation

For a trophy

Percent of respondents who would strongly support or moderately support hunting bear for each of the following reasons. (General Population)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=331)
- Lives in the South Region (n=301)
Percent of respondents who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose hunting bear for each of the following reasons. (General Population)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=331)
- Lives in the South Region (n=301)

- For a trophy:
  - Lives in the North/East Region: 66
  - Lives in the Central Region: 66
  - Lives in the South Region: 62

- For recreation:
  - Lives in the North/East Region: 48
  - Lives in the Central Region: 44
  - Lives in the South Region: 42

- For economic benefits to rural areas:
  - Lives in the North/East Region: 25
  - Lives in the Central Region: 24
  - Lives in the South Region: 22

- For the meat:
  - Lives in the North/East Region: 11
  - Lives in the Central Region: 9
  - Lives in the South Region: 11

- As a method to help manage bear populations in Maine:
  - Lives in the North/East Region: 9
  - Lives in the Central Region: 10
  - Lives in the South Region: 8
Percent of respondents who would strongly oppose hunting bear for each of the following reasons. (General Population)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=331)
- Lives in the South Region (n=301)

For a trophy
- Lives in the North/East Region: 47%
- Lives in the Central Region: 49%
- Lives in the South Region: 49%

For recreation
- Lives in the North/East Region: 30%
- Lives in the Central Region: 28%
- Lives in the South Region: 31%

For economic benefits to rural areas
- Lives in the North/East Region: 11%
- Lives in the Central Region: 12%
- Lives in the South Region: 12%

For the meat
- Lives in the North/East Region: 8%
- Lives in the Central Region: 6%
- Lives in the South Region: 6%

As a method to help manage bear populations in Maine
- Lives in the North/East Region: 4%
- Lives in the Central Region: 6%
- Lives in the South Region: 4%
In general, do you approve or disapprove of legal turkey hunting? (General Population)

- Strongly approve: 73%
- Moderately approve: 21%
- Neither approve nor disapprove: 2%
- Moderately disapprove: 1%
- Strongly disapprove: 2%
- Don't know: 1%

(Values represent the number of responses)
Land Management in General—General Population
Opinions on management of all four species shown together. Support for/opposition to coyote management.

Percent of respondents who rated the management of each of the following species as excellent in Maine. (General Population)

- Deer
- Moose
- Bear
- Turkey

Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)
Lives in the Central Region (n=331)
Lives in the South Region (n=301)
Percent of respondents who rated the management of each of the following species as excellent or good in Maine. (General Population)

- Deer
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=301): 58%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=331): 68%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=301): 70%

- Moose
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=301): 61%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=331): 70%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=301): 58%

- Bear
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=301): 58%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=331): 58%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=301): 55%

- Turkey
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=301): 45%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=331): 44%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=301): 54%
Percent of respondents who rated the management of each of the following species as fair or poor in Maine. (General Population)

- Deer: 37% (North/East Region), 26% (South Region)
- Moose: 30% (Central Region), 19% (North/East Region), 14% (South Region)
- Bear: 27% (North/East Region), 22% (South Region)
- Turkey: 43% (Central Region), 30% (South Region)

Legend:
- ■ Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)
- □ Lives in the Central Region (n=331)
- ■ Lives in the South Region (n=301)
Percent of respondents who rated the management of each of the following species as poor in Maine. (General Population)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=331)
- Lives in the South Region (n=301)

- Deer: 
  - North/East: 4%
  - Central: 3%
  - South: 6%
  - Total: 11%

- Moose: 
  - North/East: 5%
  - Central: 3%
  - South: 6%
  - Total: 8%

- Bear: 
  - North/East: 6%
  - Central: 5%
  - South: 6%
  - Total: 6%

- Turkey: 
  - North/East: 9%
  - Central: 15
  - South: 9
  - Total: 24%

Percent
Would you support or oppose a coyote management program in Maine, which may cause an increase in deer and/or wild turkeys in local areas? (General Population)

- **Strongly support**: 62 percent
- **Moderately support**: 47 percent
- **Neither support nor oppose**: 42 percent
- **Moderately oppose**: 26 percent
- **Strongly oppose**: 23 percent
- **Don't know**: 17 percent

*Legend:*
- ■ Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)
- □ Lives in the Central Region (n=331)
- ■ Lives in the South Region (n=301)
Deer Management—General Population
Ratings of deer management.
Opinions on the size of the deer population.
Why the deer population should be decreased.
Support for increasing deer population with various caveats.
Factors to be considered in managing deer.
Support for/opposition to hunting as a way to manage deer.
Support for/opposition to various methods to control deer.
Opinions on deer and moose tradeoffs.
Overall, how would you rate deer management in Maine? (General Population)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=331)
- Lives in the South Region (n=301)
In your opinion, should the deer population in the area where you live be increased, remain the same, or be decreased? Or are there no deer in the area where you live? (General Population)

- Increased: 33
- Remain the same: 63
- Decreased: 4
- There are no deer in the area: 8
- Don't know: 3

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=331)
- Lives in the South Region (n=301)
Why do you think the deer population in your area should be decreased? (Asked of Maine residents who think the deer population in their area should be decreased.) (General Population)

- Overabundance (general): 59% (General Population), 34% (North/East Region), 35% (Central Region), 26% (South Region)
- Vehicle collisions: 49% (General Population), 21% (North/East Region), 31% (Central Region), 21% (South Region)
- Damage to property or habitat: 26% (General Population), 9% (North/East Region), 7% (Central Region), 9% (South Region)
- Risk of disease: 10% (General Population), 9% (North/East Region), 11% (Central Region), 9% (South Region)

Multiple Responses Allowed
- Desire to increase hunting: 15% (General Population), 8% (North/East Region), 15% (Central Region), 8% (South Region)
- Not enough resources to sustain population: 7% (General Population), 7% (North/East Region), 7% (Central Region), 7% (South Region)
- Other: 0% (General Population), 0% (North/East Region), 0% (Central Region), 0% (South Region)
Percent who would strongly support increasing deer population in area where they live if it meant the following. (As asked of ME residents who think the deer population in their area should be increased.) (General Population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>North/East Region (n=101)</th>
<th>Central Region (n=80)</th>
<th>South Region (n=35)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Private citizens would be required to resolve deer nuisance issues on their own</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>That Department biologists would spend more time on deer management and less time on other species</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood that deer would damage agricultural crops</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood that deer would damage gardens or landscaping</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with deer</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased risk of disease, such as Lyme Disease</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less food and poorer quality habitat for other wildlife</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor health overall for the deer herd</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More deer would die from starvation during winter</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Percent who would strongly support or moderately support increasing deer population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the deer population in their area should be increased.) (General Population)

- That Department biologists would spend more time on deer management and less time on other species
- Private citizens would be required to resolve deer nuisance issues on their own
- An increased likelihood that deer would damage agricultural crops
- An increased likelihood that deer would damage gardens or landscaping
- An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with deer
- An increased risk of disease, such as Lyme Disease
- Less food and poorer quality habitat for other wildlife
- More deer would die from starvation during winter
- Poor health overall for the deer herd

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Strong Support</th>
<th>Moderate Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North/East (n=101)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central (n=80)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South (n=35)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benefit</th>
<th>North/East</th>
<th>Central</th>
<th>South</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More time on deer management and less time on other species</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private citizens would be required to resolve deer nuisance issues on their own</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood that deer would damage agricultural crops</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood that deer would damage gardens or landscaping</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with deer</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased risk of disease, such as Lyme Disease</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less food and poorer quality habitat for other wildlife</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More deer would die from starvation during winter</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor health overall for the deer herd</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Percent who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose increasing deer population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the deer population in their area should be increased.) (General Population)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=101)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=80)
- Lives in the South Region (n=35)

- Poor health overall for the deer herd
- More deer would die from starvation during winter
- An increased risk of disease, such as Lyme Disease
- Less food and poorer quality habitat for other wildlife
- An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with deer
- An increased likelihood that deer would damage agricultural crops
- An increased likelihood that deer would damage gardens or landscaping
- Private citizens would be required to resolve deer nuisance issues on their own
- That Department biologists would spend more time on deer management and less time on other species

Percent who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose increasing deer population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the deer population in their area should be increased.) (General Population)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=101)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=80)
- Lives in the South Region (n=35)
Percent who would strongly oppose increasing deer population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the deer population in their area should be increased.) (General Population)

- More deer would die from starvation during winter
- Poor health overall for the deer herd
- Less food and poorer quality habitat for other wildlife
- An increased risk of disease, such as Lyme Disease
- An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with deer
- Private citizens would be required to resolve deer nuisance issues on their own
- An increased likelihood that deer would damage agricultural crops
- An increased likelihood that deer would damage gardens or landscaping
- That Department biologists would spend more time on deer management and less time on other species

![Chart showing percentage of residents, landowners, and hunters in different regions who would strongly oppose increasing deer population.](chart)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=101)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=80)
- Lives in the South Region (n=35)
On a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important do you think each of the following factors should be for managing the deer population in Maine? (General Population)

- The health of the typical deer in the herd
- Providing deer hunting opportunities
- The opportunity to see deer
- Risk of vehicle collisions with deer
- Impacts on habitat
- Risk of human disease, such as Lyme Disease
- Damage to agricultural crops
- Damage to gardens and ornamental plants

Lives in the North/East Region (174 ≤ n ≤ 198)
Lives in the Central Region (255 ≤ n ≤ 268)
Lives in the South Region (170 ≤ n ≤ 201)
Do you support or oppose legal hunting as a method to help manage the deer populations in Maine? (General Population)

- **Strongly support**: 82 (North/East) 78 (Central) 69 (South)
- **Moderately support**: 16 (North/East) 16 (Central) 23 (South)
- **Neither support nor oppose**: 2 (North/East) 2 (Central) 23 (South)
- **Moderately oppose**: 1 (North/East) 2 (Central) 3 (South)
- **Strongly oppose**: 2 (North/East) 1 (Central) 2 (South)
- **Don’t know**: 0 (North/East) 1 (Central) 0 (South)
Percent of respondents who would strongly support each of the following deer management options in areas of Maine where the deer population became overabundant. (General Population)

- A special archery season: 66%
- Targeted doe permits valid only in specific towns or locations: 56%
- An additional legal hunting season: 53%
- Allowing hunters to harvest multiple deer: 43%
- A longer firearms season: 52%
- A controlled hunt with a limited number of hunters: 49%
- Sharpshooters: 26%

Legend:
- ■ Lives in the North/East Region (166≤n≤183)
- □ Lives in the Central Region (244≤n≤273)
- □ Lives in the South Region (151≤n≤192)
Percent of respondents who would strongly support or moderately support each of the following deer management options in areas of Maine where the deer population became overabundant. (General Population)

- A special archery season
- Targeted doe permits valid only in specific towns or locations
- A controlled hunt with a limited number of hunters
- An additional legal hunting season
- Allowing hunters to harvest multiple deer
- A longer firearms season
- Sharpshooters

Legend:
- Lives in the North/East Region (166 ≤ n ≤ 183)
- Lives in the Central Region (244 ≤ n ≤ 273)
- Lives in the South Region (151 ≤ n ≤ 192)
Percent of respondents who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose each of the following deer management options in areas of Maine where the deer population became overabundant. (General Population)

- **Sharpshooters**: 47% in the North/East Region, 44% in the Central Region, 44% in the South Region.
- **A longer firearms season**: 31% in the North/East Region, 37% in the Central Region, 35% in the South Region.
- **Allowing hunters to harvest multiple deer**: 28% in the North/East Region, 37% in the Central Region, 37% in the South Region.
- **An additional legal hunting season**: 17% in the North/East Region, 23% in the Central Region, 27% in the South Region.
- **A controlled hunt with a limited number of hunters**: 20% in the North/East Region, 21% in the Central Region, 19% in the South Region.
- **Targeted doe permits valid only in specific towns or locations**: 12% in the North/East Region, 19% in the Central Region, 19% in the South Region.
- **A special archery season**: 9% in the North/East Region, 9% in the Central Region, 10% in the South Region.

Percent of respondents living in different regions and how they would oppose the options.
Percent of respondents who would strongly oppose each of the following deer management options in areas of Maine where the deer population became overabundant. (General Population)

- Sharpshooters
- Allowing hunters to harvest multiple deer
- A longer firearms season
- An additional legal hunting season
- A controlled hunt with a limited number of hunters
- Targeted doe permits valid only in specific towns or locations
- A special archery season

Legend:
- □ Lives in the North/East Region (166≤n≤183)
- ■ Lives in the Central Region (244≤n≤273)
- □ Lives in the South Region (151≤n≤192)
In northern Maine, which of the following scenarios would you most prefer? (General Population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>North/East (n=301)</th>
<th>Central (n=331)</th>
<th>South (n=301)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abundant moose populations with very few deer</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate numbers of moose with relatively few deer</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relatively few moose with moderate numbers of deer</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent
Moose Management—General Population
Ratings of moose management.
Opinions on the size of the moose population.
Support for increasing moose population with various caveats.
Factors to be considered in managing moose.
Support for/opposition to hunting as a way to manage moose.
Opinion on adjusting moose harvest for health of the moose population.
Opinion on moose hunting in southern Maine.
Overall, how would you rate moose management in Maine? (General Population)

- **Excellent**: Lives in the North/East Region (n=301) - 23, Lives in the Central Region (n=331) - 26, Lives in the South Region (n=301) - 22
- **Good**: Lives in the North/East Region (n=301) - 37, Lives in the Central Region (n=331) - 44, Lives in the South Region (n=301) - 36
- **Fair**: Lives in the North/East Region (n=301) - 21, Lives in the Central Region (n=331) - 17, Lives in the South Region (n=301) - 11
- **Poor**: Lives in the North/East Region (n=301) - 8, Lives in the Central Region (n=331) - 3, Lives in the South Region (n=301) - 3
- **Don't know**: Lives in the North/East Region (n=301) - 10, Lives in the Central Region (n=331) - 11, Lives in the South Region (n=301) - 27
In your opinion, should the moose population in the area where you live be increased, remain the same, or be decreased? Or are there no moose in the area where you live? (Asked of Maine residents.) (General Population)

- Increased: 20 (North/East), 52 (Central), 11 (South), 17 (North/East), 44 (Central), 3 (South)
- Remain the same: 44 (North/East), 54 (Central), 44 (South), 44 (North/East), 54 (Central), 44 (South)
- Decreased: 2 (North/East), 14 (Central), 1 (South), 1 (North/East), 1 (Central), 1 (South)
- There are no moose in the area: 11 (North/East), 26 (Central), 41 (South), 11 (North/East), 26 (Central), 41 (South)
- Don't know: 3 (North/East), 3 (Central), 3 (South), 3 (North/East), 3 (Central), 3 (South)
Percent who would strongly support increasing moose population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the moose population in their area should be increased.) (General Population)

- An increased likelihood that moose would damage agricultural crops
- An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with moose
- Forest regeneration would be negatively impacted
- Poor health overall for the moose herd
An increased likelihood that moose would damage agricultural crops

An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with moose

Forest regeneration would be negatively impacted

Poor health overall for the moose herd
Percent who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose increasing moose population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the moose population in their area should be increased.)

(General Population)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=63)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=61)
- Lives in the South Region (n=30)

1. Poor health overall for the moose herd:
   - Lives in the North/East Region: 62%
   - Lives in the Central Region: 51%
   - Lives in the South Region: 25%

2. Forest regeneration would be negatively impacted:
   - Lives in the North/East Region: 36%
   - Lives in the Central Region: 47%
   - Lives in the South Region: 21%

3. An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with moose:
   - Lives in the North/East Region: 38%
   - Lives in the Central Region: 41%
   - Lives in the South Region: 15%

4. An increased likelihood that moose would damage agricultural crops:
   - Lives in the North/East Region: 32%
   - Lives in the Central Region: 21%
   - Lives in the South Region: 15%
Percent who would strongly oppose increasing moose population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the moose population in their area should be increased.) (General Population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Northeast Region (n=63)</th>
<th>Central Region (n=61)</th>
<th>South Region (n=30)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poor health overall for the moose herd</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest regeneration would be negatively impacted</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with moose</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood that moose would damage agricultural crops</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important do you think each of the following factors should be for managing the moose population in Maine? (General Population)

- The health of the typical moose in the herd
- Risk of vehicle collisions with moose
- Providing moose hunting opportunities
- The opportunity to see moose
- Impacts on habitat
- Damage to agricultural crops

Lives in the North/East Region (183 ≤ n ≤ 205)
Lives in the Central Region (258 ≤ n ≤ 285)
Lives in the South Region (178 ≤ n ≤ 208)
Do you support or oppose legal hunting as a method to help manage the moose populations in Maine? (General Population)

- **Strongly support**
  - North/East Region (n=301): 69
  - Central Region (n=331): 63
  - South Region (n=301): 79

- **Moderately support**
  - North/East Region (n=301): 15
  - Central Region (n=331): 24
  - South Region (n=301): 27

- **Neither support nor oppose**
  - North/East Region (n=301): 2
  - Central Region (n=331): 1
  - South Region (n=301): 1

- **Moderately oppose**
  - North/East Region (n=301): 1
  - Central Region (n=331): 1
  - South Region (n=301): 3

- **Strongly oppose**
  - North/East Region (n=301): 3
  - Central Region (n=331): 4
  - South Region (n=301): 5

- **Don’t know**
  - North/East Region (n=301): 0
  - Central Region (n=331): 0
  - South Region (n=301): 0
Would you support or oppose adjusting the hunting harvest of female moose to help minimize the impacts of parasites and improve the overall health of the moose population, even if it meant fewer moose in Maine? (General Population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)</th>
<th>Lives in the Central Region (n=331)</th>
<th>Lives in the South Region (n=301)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly support</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately support</td>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither support nor oppose</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately oppose</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly oppose</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Do you support or oppose the current moose hunting season in Southern Maine? (General Population)

- Strongly support
- Moderately support
- Neither support nor oppose
- Moderately oppose
- Strongly oppose
- Don’t know

Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)
Lives in the Central Region (n=331)
Lives in the South Region (n=301)

Percent
Bear Management—General Population
Ratings of bear management.
Opinions on the size of the bear population.
Support for increasing bear population with various caveats.
Factors to be considered in managing bear.
Support for/opposition to hunting as a way to manage bear.
Opinion on allowing bear populations to expand south.
Overall, how would you rate bear management in Maine? (General Population)

- **Excellent**: 29% (North/East), 36% (Central), 22% (South), 21% (Don't know)
- **Good**: 29% (North/East), 34% (Central), 21% (South), 17% (Don't know)
- **Fair**: 21% (North/East), 13% (Central), 17% (South), 13% (Don't know)
- **Poor**: 6% (North/East), 5% (Central), 6% (South), 6% (Don't know)
- **Don't know**: 15% (North/East), 20% (Central), 27% (South), 34% (Don't know)
In your opinion, should the bear population in the area where you live be increased, remain the same, or be decreased? Or are there no bear in the area where you live? (Asked of Maine residents.) (General Population)

- **Increased**: 70%
- **Remain the same**: 58%
- **Decreased**: 8%
- **There are no bear in the area**: 43%
- **Don't know**: 12%

- **Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)**
- **Lives in the Central Region (n=331)**
- **Lives in the South Region (n=301)**
Percent who would strongly support increasing bear population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the bear population in their area should be increased.) (General Population)

- Additional or special bear hunting seasons had to be opened to manage the bear population: 73% (North/East), 70% (Central), 61% (South)
- Private citizens would be required to purchase bear-resistant garbage containers: 35% (North/East), 35% (Central), 81% (South)
- An increased likelihood that bear would damage agricultural crops, gardens, and beehives: 52% (North/East), 69% (Central), 20% (South)
- Private citizens would be required to resolve bear nuisance issues on their own: 58% (North/East), 28% (Central), 19% (South)
- Government staff would need to spend more time on bear nuisance or conflict complaints: 51% (North/East), 39% (Central), 22% (South)
- More bears had to be killed in response to conflicts: 59% (North/East), 19% (Central), 20% (South)
- Increased likelihood that bear would damage private property in general: 41% (North/East), 32% (Central), 17% (South)
- An increased risk to public safety: 34% (North/East), 19% (Central), 19% (South)
- Poor health overall for the bear population: 25% (North/East), 18% (Central), 0% (South)
Percent who would strongly support or moderately support increasing bear population in area where they live if it meant the following.

(Asked of ME residents who think the bear population in their area should be increased.)

(General Population)

- Additional or special bear hunting seasons had to be opened to manage the bear population
- Private citizens would be required to purchase bear-resistant garbage containers
- Government staff would need to spend more time on bear nuisance or conflict complaints
- More bears had to be killed in response to conflicts
- Private citizens would be required to resolve bear nuisance issues on their own
- An increased likelihood that bear would damage agricultural crops, gardens, and beehives
- An increased risk to public safety
- Increased likelihood that bear would damage private property in general
- Poor health overall for the bear population

(Lives in the North/East Region (n=21))
(Lives in the Central Region (n=32))
(Lives in the South Region (n=6))
Percent who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose increasing bear population in area where they live if it meant the following. 
(Asked of ME residents who think the bear population in their area should be increased.)
(General Population)

- Poor health overall for the bear population: 72%
- Increased likelihood that bear would damage private property in general: 51%
- An increased likelihood that bear would damage agricultural crops, gardens, and beehives: 49%
- An increased risk to public safety: 47%
- More bears had to be killed in response to conflicts: 51%
- Private citizens would be required to resolve bear nuisance issues on their own: 62%
- Private citizens would be required to purchase bear-resistant garbage containers: 31%
- Government staff would need to spend more time on bear nuisance or conflict complaints: 32%
- Additional or special bear hunting seasons had to be opened to manage the bear population: 18%

Lives in the North/East Region (n=21)
Lives in the Central Region (n=32)
Lives in the South Region (n=6)
Percent who would strongly oppose increasing bear population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the bear population in their area should be increased.) (General Population)

- Poor health overall for the bear population
- Private citizens would be required to resolve bear nuisance issues on their own
- More bears had to be killed in response to conflicts
- Government staff would need to spend more time on bear nuisance or conflict complaints
- An increased risk to public safety
- Private citizens would be required to purchase bear-resistant garbage containers
- Increased likelihood that bear would damage private property in general
- An increased likelihood that bear would damage agricultural crops, gardens, and beehives
- Additional or special bear hunting seasons had to be opened to manage the bear population

Legend:
- Lives in the North/East Region (n=21)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=32)
- Lives in the South Region (n=6)
On a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important do you think each of the following factors should be for managing the bear population in Maine? (General Population)

- The health of the typical bear
- Providing bear hunting opportunities
- Risks to public safety
- Risk to pets or livestock
- Impacts on other wildlife
- Damage to agricultural crops
- Risk of vehicle collisions with bear
- Damage to gardens

Legend:
- □ Lives in the North/East Region (157≤n≤205)
- ■ Lives in the Central Region (254≤n≤266)
- ▲ Lives in the South Region (172≤n≤194)
Do you support or oppose allowing the bear population to expand further into central and southern Maine? (General Population)

- **Strongly support**: Lives in the North/East Region (n=301) - 30, Lives in the Central Region (n=243) - 28, Lives in the South Region (n=301) - 31
- **Moderately support**: Lives in the North/East Region (n=301) - 21, Lives in the Central Region (n=243) - 32, Lives in the South Region (n=301) - 31
- **Neither support nor oppose**: Lives in the North/East Region (n=301) - 10, Lives in the Central Region (n=243) - 14, Lives in the South Region (n=301) - 15
- **Moderately oppose**: Lives in the North/East Region (n=301) - 14, Lives in the Central Region (n=243) - 14, Lives in the South Region (n=301) - 20
- **Strongly oppose**: Lives in the North/East Region (n=301) - 11, Lives in the Central Region (n=243) - 13, Lives in the South Region (n=301) - 15
- **Don’t know**: Lives in the North/East Region (n=301) - 6, Lives in the Central Region (n=243) - 5, Lives in the South Region (n=301) - 5

Percent
Turkey Management—General Population
Ratings of turkey management.
Opinions on the size of the turkey population.
Support for increasing turkey population with various caveats.
Factors to be considered in managing turkey.
Support for/opposition to hunting as a way to manage turkey.
Opinion on methods to control turkey if they become overabundant.
Overall, how would you rate turkey management in Maine? (General Population)

- **Excellent**: Lives in the North/East Region (n=301) - 13%, Lives in the Central Region (n=331) - 14%, Lives in the South Region (n=301) - 24%
- **Good**: Lives in the North/East Region (n=301) - 33%, Lives in the Central Region (n=331) - 30%, Lives in the South Region (n=301) - 30%
- **Fair**: Lives in the North/East Region (n=301) - 21%, Lives in the Central Region (n=331) - 17%, Lives in the South Region (n=301) - 28%
- **Poor**: Lives in the North/East Region (n=301) - 8%, Lives in the Central Region (n=331) - 15%, Lives in the South Region (n=301) - 15%
- **Don't know**: Lives in the North/East Region (n=301) - 25%, Lives in the Central Region (n=331) - 20%, Lives in the South Region (n=301) - 20%
In your opinion, should the turkey population in the area where you live be increased, remain the same, or be decreased? Or are there no turkey in the area where you live? (Asked of Maine residents.) (General Population)

- Increased: 21 (5 Lives in the North/East Region, 5 Lives in the Central Region)
- Remain the same: 51 (63 Lives in the North/East Region, 51 Lives in the Central Region, 35 Lives in the South Region)
- Decreased: 35 (23 Lives in the North/East Region, 18 Lives in the Central Region)
- There are no turkey in the area: 11 (6 Lives in the North/East Region, 5 Lives in the Central Region, 6 Lives in the South Region)
- Don't know: 4 (4 Lives in the North/East Region, 3 Lives in the Central Region, 4 Lives in the South Region)
Percent who would strongly support increasing turkey population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the turkey population in their area should be increased.) (General Population)

- Private citizens would be required to resolve turkey nuisance issues on their own
- An increase in predator populations, such as bobcat or coyotes
- An increased likelihood that turkey would damage gardens or landscaping
- That Department biologists would spend more time on turkey management and less time on other species
- An increased likelihood that turkey would damage agricultural crops
- Poor health overall for the turkey population
- More turkey would die from starvation during winter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Lives in the North/East Region (n=65)</th>
<th>Lives in the Central Region (15≤n≤18)</th>
<th>Lives in the South Region (n=20)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Private citizens</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increase in predators</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>That Department biologists</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor health overall</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More turkey would die</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Percent who would strongly support or moderately support increasing turkey population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the turkey population in their area should be increased.) (General Population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>North/East Region (n=65)</th>
<th>Central Region (15≤n≤18)</th>
<th>South Region (n=20)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Private citizens would be required to resolve turkey nuisance issues on their own</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood that turkey would damage gardens or landscaping</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increase in predator populations, such as bobcat or coyotes</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood that turkey would damage agricultural crops</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>That Department biologists would spend more time on turkey management and less time on other species</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor health overall for the turkey population</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More turkey would die from starvation during winter</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Percent who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose increasing turkey population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the turkey population in their area should be increased.)

(General Population)

- Poor health overall for the turkey population
- More turkey would die from starvation during winter
- An increased likelihood that turkey would damage agricultural crops
- That Department biologists would spend more time on turkey management and less time on other species
- An increased likelihood that turkey would damage gardens or landscaping
- An increase in predator populations, such as bobcat or coyotes
- Private citizens would be required to resolve turkey nuisance issues on their own

Percent

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=65)
- Lives in the Central Region (15≤n≤18)
- Lives in the South Region (n=20)
Percent who would strongly oppose increasing turkey population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the turkey population in their area should be increased.) (General Population)

- More turkey would die from starvation during winter
- Poor health overall for the turkey population
- An increase in predator populations, such as bobcat or coyotes
- That Department biologists would spend more time on turkey management and less time on other species
- An increased likelihood that turkey would damage agricultural crops
- An increased likelihood that turkey would damage gardens or landscaping
- Private citizens would be required to resolve turkey nuisance issues on their own

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Lives in the North/East Region (n=65)</th>
<th>Lives in the Central Region (15≤n≤18)</th>
<th>Lives in the South Region (n=20)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More turkey would die from starvation during winter</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor health overall for the turkey population</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increase in predator populations, such as bobcat or coyotes</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>That Department biologists would spend more time on turkey management and</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>less time on other species</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood that turkey would damage agricultural crops</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood that turkey would damage gardens or landscaping</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private citizens would be required to resolve turkey nuisance issues on</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>their own</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent
On a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important do you think each of the following factors should be for managing the turkey population in Maine? (General Population)

- Providing turkey hunting opportunities
- The health of the typical turkey in the population
- The opportunity to see wild turkey
- Damage to agricultural crops
- Damage to gardens
- The risk of vehicle collisions with turkey

(Lives in the North/East Region (180 ≤ n ≤ 213))
(Lives in the Central Region (186 ≤ n ≤ 276))
(Lives in the South Region (187 ≤ n ≤ 202))
Do you support or oppose legal hunting as a method to help manage the turkey population in Maine? (General Population)

- Strongly support: 77% (71 in North/East Region, 66 in Central Region)
- Moderately support: 21% (24 in South Region)
- Neither support nor oppose: 3% (3 in North/East Region, 2 in Central Region, 0 in South Region)
- Moderately oppose: 2% (3 in South Region)
- Strongly oppose: 2% (3 in South Region)
- Don’t know: 1% (1 in South Region)
Percent of respondents who would strongly support each of the following turkey management options in areas of Maine where the turkey population became overabundant. (General Population)

- Increasing the number of turkeys that hunters can harvest in areas experiencing damage by turkey: 66\% (North/East Region), 61\% (Central Region), 58\% (South Region)

- Implementing a controlled hunt in areas experiencing damage by turkeys: 69\% (North/East Region), 64\% (Central Region), 54\% (South Region)

- Allowing landowners to apply for a permit to remove turkeys that are causing problems on their land: 69\% (North/East Region), 63\% (Central Region), 54\% (South Region)

- Extending the hunting season later into the fall or winter: 64\% (North/East Region), 62\% (Central Region), 43\% (South Region)
Percent of respondents who would strongly support or moderately support each of the following turkey management options in areas of Maine where the turkey population became overabundant. (General Population)

- Implementing a controlled hunt in areas experiencing damage by turkeys
- Allowing landowners to apply for a permit to remove turkeys that are causing problems on their land
- Increasing the number of turkeys that hunters can harvest in areas experiencing damage by turkey
- Extending the hunting season later into the fall or winter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)</th>
<th>Lives in the Central Region (n=331)</th>
<th>Lives in the South Region (n=301)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Implementing a controlled hunt in areas experiencing damage by turkeys</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allowing landowners to apply for a permit to remove turkeys that are</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>causing problems on their land</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing the number of turkeys that hunters can harvest in areas</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>experiencing damage by turkey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extending the hunting season later into the fall or winter</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)
Lives in the Central Region (n=331)
Lives in the South Region (n=301)
Percent of respondents who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose each of the following turkey management options in areas of Maine where the turkey population became overabundant. (General Population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>North/East Region (n=301)</th>
<th>Central Region (n=331)</th>
<th>South Region (n=301)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extending the hunting season later into the fall or winter</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increasing the number of turkeys that hunters can harvest in areas experiencing damage by turkey</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allowing landowners to apply for a permit to remove turkeys that are causing problems on their land</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementing a controlled hunt in areas experiencing damage by turkeys</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent of respondents who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose each of the following turkey management options in areas of Maine where the turkey population became overabundant.
Percent of respondents who would strongly oppose each of the following turkey management options in areas of Maine where the turkey population became overabundant. (General Population)

- Extending the hunting season later into the fall or winter
- Increasing the number of turkeys that hunters can harvest in areas experiencing damage by turkey
- Allowing landowners to apply for a permit to remove turkeys that are causing problems on their land
- Implementing a controlled hunt in areas experiencing damage by turkeys

Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)
Lives in the Central Region (n=331)
Lives in the South Region (n=301)
Demographic Data—General Population
Gender.
Age.
Education.
Residential character of where residence is located.
Respondent's gender (not asked; observed by interviewer). (General Population)

Male
- Lives in the North/East Region (n=301): 49%
- Lives in the Central Region (n=331): 48%
- Lives in the South Region (n=301): 51%

Female
- Lives in the North/East Region (n=301): 52%
- Lives in the Central Region (n=331): 52%
- Lives in the South Region (n=301): 52%
May I ask your age? (General Population)

North/East mean: 50.8
Central mean: 47.8
South mean: 49.2

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=301)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=331)
- Lives in the South Region (n=301)
What is the highest level of education you have completed? (General Population)

- Not a high school graduate: 3% (North/East), 2% (Central), 2% (South)
- High school graduate or equivalent: 33% (North/East), 29% (Central), 21% (South)
- Some college or trade school, no degree: 19% (North/East), 17% (Central), 17% (South)
- Associate’s or trade school degree: 12% (North/East), 11% (Central), 11% (South)
- Bachelor’s degree: 18% (North/East), 28% (Central), 32% (South)
- Master’s degree: 7% (North/East), 9% (Central), 14% (South)
- Professional or doctorate degree: 2% (North/East), 4% (Central), 4% (South)
- Don’t know: 0% (North/East), 0% (Central), 0% (South)
- Refused: 1% (North/East), 2% (Central), 1% (South)
Do you consider your place of residence to be a large city or urban area, a suburban area, a small city or town, a rural area on a farm, or a rural area not on a farm? (General Population)

- Large city or urban area:
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=301): 2%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=331): 6%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=301): 9%
- Suburban area:
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=301): 2%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=331): 5%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=301): 18%
- Small city or town:
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=301): 34%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=331): 44%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=301): 43%
- Rural area on a farm or ranch:
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=301): 11%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=331): 12%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=301): 4%
- Rural area NOT on a farm or ranch:
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=301): 28%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=331): 39%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=301): 42%
- Don't know:
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=301): 0%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=331): 1%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=301): 1%
- Refused:
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=301): 0%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=331): 0%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=301): 0%
LANDOWNER SURVEY

Interest in and Knowledge of Wildlife—Landowners
Overall interest in wildlife.
Feelings about wildlife around home.
Level of knowledge about each species.

How interested are you in wildlife in Maine, on a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 is not at all interested and 10 is extremely interested? (Landowners)

North/East mean: 8.8
Central mean: 8.9
South mean: 8.9

Lives in the North/East Region (n=102)
Lives in the Central Region (n=112)
Lives in the South Region (n=90)

Don't know
Percent
Generally, which of the following best describes your feelings about wildlife around your home or on your property? (Landowners)

- I enjoy seeing and having wildlife around my home or on my property
- I enjoy seeing a few animals around my home or on my property but worry about the problems they cause
- I generally regard wildlife around my home or on my property as a nuisance
- I generally regard wildlife around my home or on my property as dangerous
- I have no particular feeling about wildlife around my home or on my property
- Don't know

(Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105)

(Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112)

(Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87)
Percent of respondents who know a great deal about each of the following. (Landowners)

- Deer
  - Lives in the North/East Region: 41%
  - Lives in the Central Region: 36%
  - Lives in the South Region: 35%
- Moose
  - Lives in the North/East Region: 29%
  - Lives in the Central Region: 20%
  - Lives in the South Region: 9%
- Bear
  - Lives in the North/East Region: 16%
  - Lives in the Central Region: 11%
  - Lives in the South Region: 4%
- Wild turkey
  - Lives in the North/East Region: 21%
  - Lives in the Central Region: 18%
  - Lives in the South Region: 34%
Percent of respondents who know a great deal or a moderate amount about each of the following. (Landowners)

- Deer
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=102): 85%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=112): 83%
- Moose
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=102): 69%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=112): 68%
- Bear
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=102): 62%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=112): 49%
- Wild turkey
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=102): 54%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=112): 67%
Percent of respondents who know a great deal, a moderate amount, or a little about each of the following. (Landowners)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=102)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=112)
- Lives in the South Region (n=90)

- Deer: 97%
- Moose: 93%
- Bear: 89%
- Wild turkey: 97%
Percent of respondents who know nothing at all about each of the following. (Landowners)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=102)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=112)
- Lives in the South Region (n=90)

- Deer
  - North/East Region: 1
  - Central Region: 3
  - South Region: 0

- Moose
  - North/East Region: 1
  - Central Region: 7
  - South Region: 7

- Bear
  - North/East Region: 4
  - Central Region: 11
  - South Region: 22

- Wild turkey
  - North/East Region: 3
  - Central Region: 14
  - South Region: 1

Percent
Opinions of Residents, Landowners, and Hunters on Deer, Moose, Bear, and Turkey

Opinions on Hunting—Landowners
Approval/disapproval of hunting.
Support for/opposition to hunting to help manage species/land.
Support for/opposition to hunting bear for various reasons.

In general, do you approve or disapprove of legal hunting? (Landowners)

![Bar chart showing opinions of landowners on legal hunting]

- **Strongly support**: 79%
  - North/East Region: 72%
  - Central Region: 65%
  - South Region: 27%

- **Moderately support**: 18%
  - Central Region: 21%

- **Neither support nor oppose**: 4%
  - North/East Region: 3%

- **Moderately oppose**: 1%
  - South Region: 1%

- **Strongly oppose**: 4%
  - North/East Region: 4%
In general, do you approve or disapprove of legal hunting? (Landowners)

- Strongly support: 80%
  - North/East Region: 72%
  - Central Region: 62%
  - South Region: 30%
- Moderately support: 17%
- Neither support nor oppose: 4%
- Moderately oppose: 1%
- Strongly oppose: 4%
In general, do you approve or disapprove of legal deer hunting? (Landowners)

- Strongly approve: 89 (n=102), 79 (n=112), 75 (n=90)
- Moderately approve: 7 (n=102), 13 (n=112), 18 (n=90)
- Neither approve nor disapprove: 4 (n=102), 1 (n=112), 1 (n=90)
- Moderately disapprove: 3 (n=102), 1 (n=112), 1 (n=90)
- Strongly disapprove: 4 (n=102), 3 (n=112), 4 (n=90)
In general, do you approve or disapprove of legal deer hunting? (Landowners)

Strongly approve
- North/East Region (n=105): 89%
- Central Region (n=112): 72%
- South Region (n=87): 80%

Moderately approve
- North/East Region (n=105): 7%
- Central Region (n=112): 12%
- South Region (n=87): 21%

Neither approve nor disapprove
- North/East Region (n=105): 0%
- Central Region (n=112): 4%
- South Region (n=87): 1%

Moderately disapprove
- North/East Region (n=105): 1%
- Central Region (n=112): 1%
- South Region (n=87): 1%

Strongly disapprove
- North/East Region (n=105): 2%
- Central Region (n=112): 3%
- South Region (n=87): 4%
In general, do you approve or disapprove of legal moose hunting? (Landowners)

![Bar chart showing opinions of landowners on legal moose hunting]

- Strongly approve: 72% (n=102), 73% (n=112), 70% (n=90)
- Moderately approve: 18% (n=102), 27% (n=112), 20% (n=90)
- Neither approve nor disapprove: 3% (n=102), 3% (n=112), 2% (n=90)
- Moderately disapprove: 3% (n=102), 3% (n=112), 4% (n=90)
- Strongly disapprove: 4% (n=102), 4% (n=112), 5% (n=90)
In general, do you approve or disapprove of legal moose hunting? (Landowners)

- Strongly approve: 73% (64 respondents)
- Moderately approve: 26% (19 respondents)
- Neither approve nor disapprove: 2% (2 respondents)
- Moderately disapprove: 3% (3 respondents)
- Strongly disapprove: 4% (4 respondents)

Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105)
Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112)
Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87)
In general, do you approve or disapprove of legal bear hunting? (Landowners)

- Strongly approve: 74% (58/80), 67% (67/100)
- Moderately approve: 17% (17/100), 23% (23/100)
- Neither approve nor disapprove: 1% (1/100), 3% (3/100)
- Moderately disapprove: 2% (2/100), 7% (7/100)
- Strongly disapprove: 6% (6/100), 8% (8/100)
- Don't know: 0% (0/100), 1% (1/100)

Legend:
- ■ Lives in the North/East Region (n=102)
- □ Lives in the Central Region (n=112)
- ▲ Lives in the South Region (n=90)
In general, do you approve or disapprove of legal bear hunting? (Landowners)

- Strongly approve: 75% (58 respondents)
- Moderately approve: 24% (16 respondents)
- Neither approve nor disapprove: 6% (3 respondents)
- Moderately disapprove: 7% (4 respondents)
- Strongly disapprove: 5% (3 respondents)
- Don't know: 1% (1 respondent)

Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105)
Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112)
Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87)
Percent of respondents who would strongly support hunting bear for each of the following reasons. (Landowners)

- For the meat
- As a method to help manage bear populations in Maine
- For economic benefits to rural areas
- For recreation
- For a trophy

For the meat:
- Lives in the North/East Region (n=102): 61%
- Lives in the Central Region (n=112): 65%
- Lives in the South Region (n=90): 62%

As a method to help manage bear populations in Maine:
- Lives in the North/East Region (n=102): 60%
- Lives in the Central Region (n=112): 61%
- Lives in the South Region (n=90): 67%

For economic benefits to rural areas:
- Lives in the North/East Region (n=102): 38%
- Lives in the Central Region (n=112): 45%
- Lives in the South Region (n=90): 47%

For recreation:
- Lives in the North/East Region (n=102): 34%
- Lives in the Central Region (n=112): 31%
- Lives in the South Region (n=90): 26%

For a trophy:
- Lives in the North/East Region (n=102): 14%
- Lives in the Central Region (n=112): 11%
- Lives in the South Region (n=90): 14%
Percent of respondents who would strongly support or moderately support hunting bear for each of the following reasons. (Landowners)

- As a method to help manage bear populations in Maine:
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=102): 93%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=112): 89%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=90): 90%

- For the meat:
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=102): 90%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=112): 85%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=90): 87%

- For economic benefits to rural areas:
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=102): 75%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=112): 69%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=90): 71%

- For recreation:
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=102): 57%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=112): 55%

- For a trophy:
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=102): 33%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=112): 33%
Percent of respondents who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose hunting bear for each of the following reasons. (Landowners)

- For a trophy
- For recreation
- For economic benefits to rural areas
- For the meat
- As a method to help manage bear populations in Maine

Lives in the North/East Region (n=102)
Lives in the Central Region (n=112)
Lives in the South Region (n=90)
Percent of respondents who would strongly oppose hunting bear for each of the following reasons. (Landowners)

- For a trophy
  - North/East Region (n=102): 47%
  - Central Region (n=112): 51%
  - South Region (n=90): 48%

- For recreation
  - North/East Region (n=102): 26%
  - Central Region (n=112): 28%
  - South Region (n=90): 28%

- For economic benefits to rural areas
  - North/East Region (n=102): 14%
  - Central Region (n=112): 12%
  - South Region (n=90): 8%

- For the meat
  - North/East Region (n=102): 6%
  - Central Region (n=112): 4%
  - South Region (n=90): 5%

- As a method to help manage bear populations in Maine
  - North/East Region (n=102): 12%
  - Central Region (n=112): 3%
  - South Region (n=90): 3%
Percent of respondents who would strongly support hunting bear for each of the following reasons. (Landowners)

- For the meat
  - Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105): 63%
  - Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112): 65%
  - Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87): 60%

- As a method to help manage bear populations in Maine
  - Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105): 62%
  - Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112): 61%
  - Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87): 64%

- For economic benefits to rural areas
  - Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105): 41%
  - Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112): 44%
  - Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87): 44%

- For recreation
  - Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105): 35%
  - Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112): 32%
  - Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87): 24%

- For a trophy
  - Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105): 16%
  - Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112): 10%
  - Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87): 12%
As a method to help manage bear populations in Maine

- Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105)
- Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112)
- Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87)

For the meat

- Percent of respondents who would strongly support or moderately support hunting bear for the meat.

For economic benefits to rural areas

- Percent of respondents who would strongly support or moderately support hunting bear for economic benefits to rural areas.

For recreation

- Percent of respondents who would strongly support or moderately support hunting bear for recreation.

For a trophy

- Percent of respondents who would strongly support or moderately support hunting bear for a trophy.
Percent of respondents who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose hunting bear for each of the following reasons. (Landowners)

- **For a trophy**: 60% (69% in the North/East Region, 37% in the Central Region, 18% in the South Region)
- **For recreation**: 45% (45% in the North/East Region, 33% in the Central Region, 19% in the South Region)
- **For economic benefits to rural areas**: 25% (19% in the North/East Region, 25% in the Central Region, 25% in the South Region)
- **For the meat**: 8% (7% in the North/East Region, 8% in the Central Region, 8% in the South Region)
- **As a method to help manage bear populations in Maine**: 5% (8% in the North/East Region, 5% in the Central Region, 8% in the South Region)
Percent of respondents who would strongly oppose hunting bear for each of the following reasons. (Landowners)

- Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105)
- Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112)
- Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87)

1. For a trophy
   - North/East Region: 46%
   - Central Region: 47%
   - South Region: 53%

2. For recreation
   - North/East Region: 27%
   - Central Region: 26%
   - South Region: 31%

3. For economic benefits to rural areas
   - North/East Region: 11%
   - Central Region: 7%
   - South Region: 17%

4. For the meat
   - North/East Region: 5%
   - Central Region: 4%
   - South Region: 5%

5. As a method to help manage bear populations in Maine
   - North/East Region: 3%
   - Central Region: 5%
   - South Region: 4%
In general, do you approve or disapprove of legal turkey hunting? (Landowners)

- **Strongly approve**
  - North/East Region (n=102): 77%
  - Central Region (n=112): 76%
  - South Region (n=90): 82%

- **Moderately approve**
  - North/East Region (n=102): 18%
  - Central Region (n=112): 14%
  - South Region (n=90): 14%

- **Neither approve nor disapprove**
  - North/East Region (n=102): 0%
  - Central Region (n=112): 5%
  - South Region (n=90): 1%

- **Moderately disapprove**
  - North/East Region (n=102): 4%
  - Central Region (n=112): 1%
  - South Region (n=90): 1%

- **Strongly disapprove**
  - North/East Region (n=102): 4%
  - Central Region (n=112): 1%
  - South Region (n=90): 1%
In general, do you approve or disapprove of legal turkey hunting? (Landowners)

- **Strongly approve**: 78%
- **Moderately approve**: 17%
- **Neither approve nor disapprove**: 13%
- **Moderately disapprove**: 4%
- **Strongly disapprove**: 1%

Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105)
Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112)
Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87)
Hunting Access—Landowners

Landowners: allowing access on land and types of access allowed.

Reasons for not allowing access.
What are the main reasons you do not allow access to the land for hunting other than for family and friends? (Asked of those who do not allow access beyond members of their household) (Landowners)

- Disapprove of hunting or harming animals
- Firearm-related safety concerns
- Disrespectful behavior by hunters
- Property damage
- Do not want strangers on land
- Other

Multiple Responses Allowed

- Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=23)
- Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=17)
- Largest tract is in the South Region (n=13)
Land Management in General—Landowners
Whether landowners actively manages land for wildlife.
Opinions on management of all four species shown together.
Support for/opposition to coyote management.

Do you actively manage for wildlife on your land?  (Landowners)

- Yes: 52%
- No: 46%
- Don't know: 2%

Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105)
Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112)
Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87)
Percent of respondents who rated the management of each of the following species as excellent in Maine. (Landowners)

- Deer: Lives in the North/East Region (n=102) - 10%, Lives in the Central Region (n=112) - 19%, Lives in the South Region (n=90) - 33%
- Moose: Lives in the North/East Region (n=102) - 14%, Lives in the Central Region (n=112) - 18%, Lives in the South Region (n=90) - 29%
- Bear: Lives in the North/East Region (n=102) - 20%, Lives in the Central Region (n=112) - 25%, Lives in the South Region (n=90) - 32%
- Turkey: Lives in the North/East Region (n=102) - 13%, Lives in the Central Region (n=112) - 23%, Lives in the South Region (n=90) - 23%

Percent
Percent of respondents who rated the management of each of the following species as excellent or good in Maine. (Landowners)

- Deer:
  - Lives in the North/East Region: 59%
  - Lives in the Central Region: 72%
  - Lives in the South Region: 78%

- Moose:
  - Lives in the North/East Region: 57%
  - Lives in the Central Region: 62%
  - Lives in the South Region: 71%

- Bear:
  - Lives in the North/East Region: 69%
  - Lives in the Central Region: 51%
  - Lives in the South Region: 57%

- Turkey:
  - Lives in the North/East Region: 50%
  - Lives in the Central Region: 54%
  - Lives in the South Region: 50%
Percent of respondents who rated the management of each of the following species as fair or poor in Maine. (Landowners)

- **Deer**: Lives in the North/East Region (n=102) - 24%, Lives in the Central Region (n=112) - 16%, Lives in the South Region (n=90) - 24%
- **Moose**: Lives in the North/East Region (n=102) - 26%, Lives in the Central Region (n=112) - 19%, Lives in the South Region (n=90) - 13%
- **Bear**: Lives in the North/East Region (n=102) - 23%, Lives in the Central Region (n=112) - 31%, Lives in the South Region (n=90) - 26%
- **Turkey**: Lives in the North/East Region (n=102) - 32%, Lives in the Central Region (n=112) - 30%, Lives in the South Region (n=90) - 42%
Percent of respondents who rated the management of each of the following species as poor in Maine. (Landowners)

- Deer
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=102): 3%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=112): 11%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=90): 14%

- Moose
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=102): 6%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=112): 5%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=90): 8%

- Bear
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=102): 7%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=112): 6%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=90): 17%

- Turkey
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=102): 7%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=112): 24%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=90): 24%
Percent of respondents who rated the management of each of the following species as excellent in Maine. (Landowners)

- Deer
  - Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105): 9%
  - Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112): 20%
  - Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87): 31%

- Moose
  - Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105): 13%
  - Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112): 18%
  - Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87): 28%

- Bear
  - Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105): 20%
  - Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112): 24%
  - Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87): 33%

- Turkey
  - Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105): 14%
  - Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112): 22%
  - Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87): 22%
Percent of respondents who rated the management of each of the following species as excellent or good in Maine. (Landowners)

- Deer: Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105) - 59%, Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112) - 74%, Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87) - 75%
- Moose: Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105) - 56%, Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112) - 63%, Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87) - 69%
- Bear: Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105) - 51%, Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112) - 54%, Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87) - 53%
- Turkey: Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105) - 52%, Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112) - 51%, Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87) - 51%
Percent of respondents who rated the management of each of the following species as fair or poor in Maine. (Landowners)

- Deer
  - Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105)
  - Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112)
  - Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87)

- Moose
  - Largest tract is in the North/East Region
  - Largest tract is in the Central Region
  - Largest tract is in the South Region

- Bear
  - Largest tract is in the North/East Region
  - Largest tract is in the Central Region
  - Largest tract is in the South Region

- Turkey
  - Largest tract is in the North/East Region
  - Largest tract is in the Central Region
  - Largest tract is in the South Region
Percent of respondents who rated the management of each of the following species as poor in Maine. (Landowners)

- Deer: Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105), Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112), Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87)
- Moose: Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105), Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112), Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87)
- Bear: Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105), Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112), Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87)
- Turkey: Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105), Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112), Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87)
Would you support or oppose a coyote management program in Maine, which may cause an increase in deer and/or wild turkeys in local areas? (Landowners)

- **Strongly support**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=102): 55
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=112): 59
  - Lives in the South Region (n=90): 65

- **Moderately support**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=102): 11
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=112): 13
  - Lives in the South Region (n=90): 18

- **Neither support nor oppose**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=102): 4
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=112): 4
  - Lives in the South Region (n=90): 4

- **Moderately oppose**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=102): 7
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=112): 8
  - Lives in the South Region (n=90): 7

- **Strongly oppose**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=102): 10
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=112): 16
  - Lives in the South Region (n=90): 6

- **Don’t know**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=102): 4
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=112): 3
  - Lives in the South Region (n=90): 7
Would you support or oppose a coyote management program in Maine, which may cause an increase in deer and/or wild turkeys in local areas? (Landowners)

- Strongly support: 66%
- Moderately support: 17%
- Neither support nor oppose: 4%
- Moderately oppose: 8%
- Strongly oppose: 7%
- Don’t know: 4%
Deer Management—Landowners
Ratings of deer management.
Opinions on the size of the deer population.
Why the deer population should be decreased.
Support for increasing deer population with various caveats.
Factors to be considered in managing deer.
Support for/opposition to hunting as a way to manage deer.
Support for/opposition to various methods to control deer.
Opinions on deer and moose tradeoffs.
Overall, how would you rate deer management in Maine? (Landowners)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>North/East (n=105)</th>
<th>Central (n=112)</th>
<th>South (n=87)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>9 (9%)</td>
<td>20 (18%)</td>
<td>31 (36%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>50 (48%)</td>
<td>54 (48%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>29 (28%)</td>
<td>20 (18%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>7 (7%)</td>
<td>3 (3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>5 (5%)</td>
<td>3 (3%)</td>
<td>10 (12%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In your opinion, should the deer population in the area where you live be increased, remain the same, or be decreased? Or are there no deer in the area where you live? (Asked of Maine residents.) (Landowners)

- Increased: 23 (North/East), 17 (Central), 18 (South)
- Remain the same: 68 (North/East), 75 (Central), 75 (South)
- Decreased: 6 (North/East), 7 (Central), 6 (South)
- There are no deer in the area: 0 (North/East), 0 (Central), 0 (South)
- Don't know: 3 (North/East), 1 (Central), 1 (South)
Percent who would strongly support increasing deer population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the deer population in their area should be increased.) (Landowners)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=28)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=24)
- Lives in the South Region (n=16)

- An increased likelihood that deer would damage agricultural crops
- An increased likelihood that deer would damage gardens or landscaping
- That Department biologists would spend more time on deer management and less time on other species
- Private citizens would be required to resolve deer nuisance issues on their own
- Less food and poorer quality habitat for other wildlife
- An increased risk of disease, such as Lyme Disease
- An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with deer
- Poor health overall for the deer herd
- More deer would die from starvation during winter
Percent who would strongly support or moderately support increasing deer population in area where they live if it meant the following.
(Asked of ME residents who think the deer population in their area should be increased.)

(Landowners)

- An increased likelihood that deer would damage agricultural crops
- An increased likelihood that deer would damage gardens or landscaping
- That Department biologists would spend more time on deer management and less time on other species
- Private citizens would be required to resolve deer nuisance issues on their own
- An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with deer
- An increased risk of disease, such as Lyme Disease
- Less food and poorer quality habitat for other wildlife
- Poor health overall for the deer herd
- More deer would die from starvation during winter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lives in the North/East Region (n=28)</th>
<th>Lives in the Central Region (n=24)</th>
<th>Lives in the South Region (n=16)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood that deer would damage agricultural crops</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood that deer would damage gardens or landscaping</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>That Department biologists would spend more time on deer management and less time on other species</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private citizens would be required to resolve deer nuisance issues on their own</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with deer</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased risk of disease, such as Lyme Disease</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less food and poorer quality habitat for other wildlife</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor health overall for the deer herd</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More deer would die from starvation during winter</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Percent who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose increasing deer population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the deer population in their area should be increased.) (Landowners)

- Poor health overall for the deer herd
- More deer would die from starvation during winter
- Less food and poorer quality habitat for other wildlife
- An increased risk of disease, such as Lyme Disease
- An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with deer
- An increased likelihood that deer would damage gardens or landscaping
- Private citizens would be required to resolve deer nuisance issues on their own
- An increased likelihood that deer would damage agricultural crops
- That Department biologists would spend more time on deer management and less time on other species
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- Lives in the North/East Region (n=28)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=24)
- Lives in the South Region (n=16)
Percent who would strongly oppose increasing deer population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the deer population in their area should be increased.) (Landowners)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Lives in the North/East Region (n=28)</th>
<th>Lives in the Central Region (n=24)</th>
<th>Lives in the South Region (n=16)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poor health overall for the deer herd</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More deer would die from starvation during winter</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased risk of disease, such as Lyme Disease</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less food and poorer quality habitat for other wildlife</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with deer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private citizens would be required to resolve deer nuisance issues on their own</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood that deer would damage agricultural crops</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood that deer would damage gardens or landscaping</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>That Department biologists would spend more time on deer management and less time on other species</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important do you think each of the following factors should be for managing the deer population in Maine? (Landowners)

- The health of the typical deer in the herd
- Providing deer hunting opportunities
- The opportunity to see deer
- Impacts on habitat
- Risk of vehicle collisions with deer
- Risk of human disease, such as Lyme Disease
- Damage to agricultural crops
- Damage to gardens and ornamental plants

(Largest tract is in the North/East Region (57≤n≤69)
Largest tract is in the Central Region (64≤n≤76)
Largest tract is in the South Region (45≤n≤59)
Do you support or oppose legal hunting as a method to help manage the deer populations in Maine? (Landowners)

- Strongly support: 82 (Lives in the North/East Region), 73 (Lives in the Central Region), 77 (Lives in the South Region)
- Moderately support: 14 (Lives in the North/East Region), 16 (Lives in the Central Region), 15 (Lives in the South Region)
- Neither support nor oppose: 1 (Lives in the North/East Region), 5 (Lives in the Central Region), 0 (Lives in the South Region)
- Moderately oppose: 0 (Lives in the North/East Region), 0 (Lives in the Central Region), 3 (Lives in the South Region)
- Strongly oppose: 1 (Lives in the North/East Region), 4 (Lives in the Central Region), 4 (Lives in the South Region)
- Don't know: 1 (Lives in the North/East Region), 1 (Lives in the Central Region), 1 (Lives in the South Region)
Do you support or oppose legal hunting as a method to help manage the deer populations in Maine? (Landowners)

- Strongly support: 83
- Moderately support: 14
- Neither support nor oppose: 1
- Moderately oppose: 0
- Strongly oppose: 0
- Don't know: 1

Percent

Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105)
Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112)
Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87)
Targeted doe permits valid only in specific towns or locations
A special archery season
An additional legal hunting season
A controlled hunt with a limited number of hunters
Allowing hunters to harvest multiple deer
A longer firearms season
Sharpshooters

Percent of respondents who would strongly support each of the following deer management options in areas of Maine where the deer population became overabundant.

(Landowners)

- Lives in the North/East Region (54≤n≤62)
- Lives in the Central Region (60≤n≤70)
- Lives in the South Region (48≤n≤54)
Percent of respondents who would strongly support or moderately support each of the following deer management options in areas of Maine where the deer population became overabundant. (Landowners)

- Targeted doe permits valid only in specific towns or locations: 84%, 82%, 85%
- A controlled hunt with a limited number of hunters: 70%, 69%, 82%
- A special archery season: 73%, 69%, 76%
- Allowing hunters to harvest multiple deer: 63%, 77%
- An additional legal hunting season: 63%, 64%, 63%
- Sharpshooters: 41%, 51%, 60%
- A longer firearms season: 45%, 57%
Percent of respondents who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose each of the following deer management options in areas of Maine where the deer population became overabundant. (Landowners)

- A longer firearms season
- Sharpshooters
- An additional legal hunting season
- Allowing hunters to harvest multiple deer
- A controlled hunt with a limited number of hunters
- A special archery season
- Targeted doe permits valid only in specific towns or locations

### Percent of respondents who moderately or strongly oppose each option

- **Lives in the North/East Region (54≤n≤62)**
  - A longer firearms season: 41%
  - Sharpshooters: 37%
  - An additional legal hunting season: 32%
  - Allowing hunters to harvest multiple deer: 20%
  - A controlled hunt with a limited number of hunters: 14%
  - A special archery season: 23%
  - Targeted doe permits valid only in specific towns or locations: 15%

- **Lives in the Central Region (60≤n≤70)**
  - A longer firearms season: 39%
  - Sharpshooters: 34%
  - An additional legal hunting season: 37%
  - Allowing hunters to harvest multiple deer: 24%
  - A controlled hunt with a limited number of hunters: 16%
  - A special archery season: 20%
  - Targeted doe permits valid only in specific towns or locations: 13%

- **Lives in the South Region (48≤n≤54)**
  - A longer firearms season: 53%
  - Sharpshooters: 52%
  - An additional legal hunting season: 34%
  - Allowing hunters to harvest multiple deer: 31%
  - A controlled hunt with a limited number of hunters: 26%
  - A special archery season: 20%
  - Targeted doe permits valid only in specific towns or locations: 12%
Percent of respondents who would strongly oppose each of the following deer management options in areas of Maine where the deer population became overabundant. (Landowners)

- Sharpshooters: 29% (North/East: 29%), 31% (Central: 31%), 46% (South: 46%)
- A longer firearms season: 19% (North/East: 19%), 34% (Central: 34%), 25% (South: 25%)
- An additional legal hunting season: 20% (North/East: 20%), 17% (Central: 17%), 25% (South: 25%)
- Allowing hunters to harvest multiple deer: 17% (North/East: 17%), 9% (Central: 9%), 15% (South: 15%)
- A controlled hunt with a limited number of hunters: 11% (North/East: 11%), 11% (Central: 11%), 16% (South: 16%)
- A special archery season: 13% (North/East: 13%), 13% (Central: 13%), 9% (South: 9%)
- Targeted doe permits valid only in specific towns or locations: 13% (North/East: 13%), 8% (Central: 8%), 7% (South: 7%)
Percent of respondents who would strongly support each of the following deer management options in areas of Maine where the deer population became overabundant.

(Landowners)

- Targeted doe permits valid only in specific towns or locations
  - Largest tract is in the North/East Region (57≤n≤64)
  - Largest tract is in the Central Region (60≤n≤70)
  - Largest tract is in the South Region (47≤n≤53)

- A special archery season
  - Percent: 54%
  - Largest tract is in the North/East Region (57≤n≤64)
  - Largest tract is in the Central Region (60≤n≤70)
  - Largest tract is in the South Region (47≤n≤53)

- An additional legal hunting season
  - Percent: 53%
  - Largest tract is in the North/East Region (57≤n≤64)
  - Largest tract is in the Central Region (60≤n≤70)
  - Largest tract is in the South Region (47≤n≤53)

- A controlled hunt with a limited number of hunters
  - Percent: 42%
  - Largest tract is in the North/East Region (57≤n≤64)
  - Largest tract is in the Central Region (60≤n≤70)
  - Largest tract is in the South Region (47≤n≤53)

- Allowing hunters to harvest multiple deer
  - Percent: 51%
  - Largest tract is in the North/East Region (57≤n≤64)
  - Largest tract is in the Central Region (60≤n≤70)
  - Largest tract is in the South Region (47≤n≤53)

- A longer firearms season
  - Percent: 43%
  - Largest tract is in the North/East Region (57≤n≤64)
  - Largest tract is in the Central Region (60≤n≤70)
  - Largest tract is in the South Region (47≤n≤53)

- Sharpshooters
  - Percent: 29%
  - Largest tract is in the North/East Region (57≤n≤64)
  - Largest tract is in the Central Region (60≤n≤70)
  - Largest tract is in the South Region (47≤n≤53)
Percent of respondents who would strongly support or moderately support each of the following deer management options in areas of Maine where the deer population became overabundant. (Landowners)

- Targeted doe permits valid only in specific towns or locations: 85% strongly support, 82% moderately support, 86% total support.
- A controlled hunt with a limited number of hunters: 71% strongly support, 68% moderately support, 82% total support.
- A special archery season: 75% strongly support, 69% moderately support, 75% total support.
- Allowing hunters to harvest multiple deer: 79% strongly support, 62% moderately support, 71% total support.
- An additional legal hunting season: 65% strongly support, 63% moderately support, 63% total support.
- Sharpshooters: 49% strongly support, 42% moderately support, 61% total support.
- A longer firearms season: 58% strongly support, 44% moderately support, 41% total support.

Largest tract is in the North/East Region (57 ≤ n ≤ 64)
Largest tract is in the Central Region (60 ≤ n ≤ 70)
Largest tract is in the South Region (47 ≤ n ≤ 53)
Percent of respondents who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose each of the following deer management options in areas of Maine where the deer population became overabundant. (Landowners)

- A longer firearms season
- Sharpshooters
- An additional legal hunting season
- Allowing hunters to harvest multiple deer
- A controlled hunt with a limited number of hunters
- A special archery season
- Targeted doe permits valid only in specific towns or locations

Largest tract is in the North/East Region (57 ≤ n ≤ 64)
Largest tract is in the Central Region (60 ≤ n ≤ 70)
Largest tract is in the South Region (47 ≤ n ≤ 53)
Percent of respondents who would strongly oppose each of the following deer management options in areas of Maine where the deer population became overabundant.

(Landowners)

- **Sharpshooters**: 32% strongly oppose.
- **A longer firearms season**: 18% strongly oppose.
- **An additional legal hunting season**: 19% strongly oppose.
- **Allowing hunters to harvest multiple deer**: 16% strongly oppose.
- **A controlled hunt with a limited number of hunters**: 11% strongly oppose.
- **A special archery season**: 12% strongly oppose.
- **Targeted doe permits valid only in specific towns or locations**: 8% strongly oppose.

Legend:
- ■ Largest tract is in the North/East Region (57 ≤ n ≤ 64)
- □ Largest tract is in the Central Region (60 ≤ n ≤ 70)
- ● Largest tract is in the South Region (47 ≤ n ≤ 53)
In northern Maine, which of the following scenarios would you most prefer? (Landowners)

- Abundant moose populations with very few deer
- Moderate numbers of moose with relatively few deer
- Relatively few moose with moderate numbers of deer
- Don't know

(Landowners)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=102)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=112)
- Lives in the South Region (n=90)
Moose Management—Landowners
Ratings of moose management.
Opinions on the size of the moose population.
Support for increasing moose population with various caveats.
Factors to be considered in managing moose.
Support for/opposition to hunting as a way to manage moose.
Opinion on adjusting moose harvest for health of the moose population.
Opinion on moose hunting in southern Maine.
Overall, how would you rate moose management in Maine? (Landowners)

Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105)
Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112)
Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87)
In your opinion, should the moose population in the area where you live be increased, remain the same, or be decreased? Or are there no moose in the area where you live? (Asked of Maine residents.) (Landowners)

- Increased
  - North/East Region: 12
  - Central Region: 15
  - South Region: 19
  - Total: 46
- Remain the same
  - North/East Region: 65
  - Central Region: 52
  - South Region: 29
  - Total: 146
- Decreased
  - North/East Region: 0
  - Central Region: 5
  - South Region: 12
  - Total: 17
- There are no moose in the area
  - North/East Region: 13
  - Central Region: 10
  - South Region: 4
  - Total: 27
- Don't know
  - North/East Region: 4
  - Central Region: 4
  - South Region: 4
  - Total: 12

Percent

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=102)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=112)
- Lives in the South Region (n=90)
Percent who would strongly support increasing moose population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the moose population in their area should be increased.) (Landowners)

- An increased likelihood that moose would damage agricultural crops
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=22): 51
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=16): 38
  - Lives in the South Region (n=15): 29

- An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with moose
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=22): 29
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=16): 29
  - Lives in the South Region (n=15): 12

- Forest regeneration would be negatively impacted
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=22): 17
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=16): 11
  - Lives in the South Region (n=15): 27

- Poor health overall for the moose herd
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=22): 15
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=16): 1
  - Lives in the South Region (n=15): 2
Percent who would strongly support or moderately support increasing moose population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the moose population in their area should be increased.) (Landowners)

- An increased likelihood that moose would damage agricultural crops
- An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with moose
- Forest regeneration would be negatively impacted
- Poor health overall for the moose herd

Legend:
- Lives in the North/East Region (n=22)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=16)
- Lives in the South Region (n=15)
Percent who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose increasing moose population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the moose population in their area should be increased.) (Landowners)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=22)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=16)
- Lives in the South Region (n=15)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>North/East</th>
<th>Central</th>
<th>South</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poor health overall for the moose herd</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with moose</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood that moose would damage agricultural crops</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest regeneration would be negatively impacted</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Percent who would strongly oppose increasing moose population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the moose population in their area should be increased.) (Landowners)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=22)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=16)
- Lives in the South Region (n=15)

- Poor health overall for the moose herd
  - North/East: 39%
  - Central: 33%
  - South: 36%

- An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with moose
  - North/East: 29%
  - Central: 22%
  - South: 12%

- Forest regeneration would be negatively impacted
  - North/East: 29%
  - Central: 9%
  - South: 11%

- An increased likelihood that moose would damage agricultural crops
  - North/East: 15%
  - Central: 9%
  - South: 11%

Percent
On a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important do you think each of the following factors should be for managing the moose population in Maine? (Landowners)

- The health of the typical moose in the herd
- Providing moose hunting opportunities
- The opportunity to see moose
- Risk of vehicle collisions with moose
- Impacts on habitat
- Damage to agricultural crops

Largest tract is in the North/East Region (63≤n≤75)
Largest tract is in the Central Region (66≤n≤75)
Largest tract is in the South Region (52≤n≤63)
Do you support or oppose legal hunting as a method to help manage the moose populations in Maine? (Landowners)

- Strongly support: 67%, 66%, 68%
- Moderately support: 29%
- Neither support nor oppose: 0%, 3%, 2%
- Moderately oppose: 1%, 3%, 4%
- Strongly oppose: 1%, 5%, 5%
- Don’t know: 1%, 0%, 1%
Do you support or oppose legal hunting as a method to help manage the moose populations in Maine? (Landowners)

- Strongly support: 69 (66), 27 (65)
- Moderately support: 21, 25
- Neither support nor oppose: 0, 3, 0
- Moderately oppose: 1, 3, 4
- Strongly oppose: 1, 6, 5
- Don’t know: 1, 1, 0

Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105)
Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112)
Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87)
Would you support or oppose adjusting the hunting harvest of female moose to help minimize the impacts of parasites and improve the overall health of the moose population, even if it meant fewer moose in Maine? (Landowners)

- **Strongly support**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=102):
    - 42\% support
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=112):
    - 37\% support
  - Lives in the South Region (n=90):
    - 41\% support

- **Moderately support**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=102):
    - 25\% support
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=112):
    - 26\% support
  - Lives in the South Region (n=90):
    - 31\% support

- **Neither support nor oppose**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=102):
    - 8\% support
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=112):
    - 10\% support
  - Lives in the South Region (n=90):
    - 8\% support

- **Moderately oppose**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=102):
    - 7\% support
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=112):
    - 12\% support
  - Lives in the South Region (n=90):
    - 7\% support

- **Strongly oppose**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=102):
    - 5\% support
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=112):
    - 10\% support
  - Lives in the South Region (n=90):
    - 9\% support

- **Don’t know**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=102):
    - 7\% support
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=112):
    - 8\% support
  - Lives in the South Region (n=90):
    - 7\% support

Percent
Would you support or oppose adjusting the hunting harvest of female moose to help minimize the impacts of parasites and improve the overall health of the moose population, even if it meant fewer moose in Maine? (Landowners)

- Strongly support: 42% (41% in North/East Region, 36% in Central Region, 25% in South Region)
- Moderately support: 30% (26% in North/East Region, 25% in Central Region, 26% in South Region)
- Neither support nor oppose: 8% (9% in North/East Region, 8% in Central Region, 8% in South Region)
- Moderately oppose: 7% (11% in North/East Region, 10% in Central Region, 7% in South Region)
- Strongly oppose: 8% (9% in North/East Region, 9% in Central Region, 9% in South Region)
- Don't know: 6% (8% in North/East Region, 7% in Central Region, 7% in South Region)
Do you support or oppose the current moose hunting season in Southern Maine? (Landowners)

- **Strongly support**: 33 (North/East), 44 (Central), 31 (South)
- **Moderately support**: 20 (North/East), 22 (Central), 15 (South)
- **Neither support nor oppose**: 15 (North/East), 17 (Central), 5 (South)
- **Moderately oppose**: 7 (North/East), 4 (Central), 5 (South)
- **Strongly oppose**: 10 (North/East), 8 (Central), 5 (South)
- **Don’t know**: 21 (North/East), 12 (Central), 31 (South)

Legend:
- ■ Lives in the North/East Region (n=102)
- □ Lives in the Central Region (n=112)
- ▼ Lives in the South Region (n=90)
Do you support or oppose the current moose hunting season in Southern Maine? (Landowners)

Strongly support

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Support</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Neither</th>
<th>Oppose</th>
<th>Oppose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North/East</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent

Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105)
Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112)
Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87)
**Bear Management—Landowners**
Ratings of bear management.
Opinions on the size of the bear population.
Support for increasing bear population with various caveats.
Factors to be considered in managing bear.
Support for/opposition to hunting as a way to manage bear.
Opinion on allowing bear populations to expand south.
Overall, how would you rate bear management in Maine? (Landowners)

- **Excellent**: 33%
  - North/East Region: 20%
  - Central Region: 31%
  - South Region: 26%
- **Good**: 37%
  - North/East Region: 24%
  - Central Region: 30%
- **Fair**: 26%
  - Central Region: 18%
- **Poor**: 11%
  - South Region: 6%
- **Don't know**: 18%
  - North/East Region: 8%
  - Central Region: 11%
In your opinion, should the bear population in the area where you live be increased, remain the same, or be decreased? Or are there no bear in the area where you live? (Asked of Maine residents.) (Landowners)

- Increased
- Remain the same
- Decreased
- There are no bear in the area
- Don't know

Percent

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=102)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=112)
- Lives in the South Region (n=90)
On a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important do you think each of the following factors should be for managing the bear population in Maine? (Landowners)

- The health of the typical bear
- Providing bear hunting opportunities
- Risks to public safety
- Risk to pets or livestock
- Damage to agricultural crops
- Impacts on other wildlife
- Damage to gardens
- Risk of vehicle collisions with bear

Largest tract is in the North/East Region (51≤n≤73)
Largest tract is in the Central Region (58≤n≤82)
Largest tract is in the South Region (44≤n≤60)
Do you support or oppose allowing the bear population to expand further into central and southern Maine? (Landowners)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opinion</th>
<th>North-East Region (n=102)</th>
<th>Central Region (n=112)</th>
<th>South Region (n=90)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly support</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately support</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither support nor oppose</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately oppose</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly oppose</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent
Do you support or oppose allowing the bear population to expand further into central and southern Maine? (Landowners)

- **Strongly support**: 18% (29 total), 19% (36 total)
- **Moderately support**: 33% (18 total), 26% (36 total)
- **Neither support nor oppose**: 12% (8 total), 12% (8 total)
- **Moderately oppose**: 18% (19 total), 19% (18 total)
- **Strongly oppose**: 7% (13 total)
- **Don’t know**: 1% (12 total)

Legend:
- ■ Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105)
- □ Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112)
- ▲ Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87)
Turkey Management—Landowners
Ratings of turkey management.
Opinions on the size of the turkey population.
Support for increasing turkey population with various caveats.
Factors to be considered in managing turkey.
Support for/opposition to hunting as a way to manage turkey.
Opinion on methods to control turkey if they become overabundant.
Overall, how would you rate turkey management in Maine? (Landowners)

- **Excellent**: 22%
- **Good**: 38%
- **Fair**: 17%
- **Poor**: 24%
- **Don't know**: 17%

- **Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105)**
- **Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112)**
- **Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87)**
In your opinion, should the turkey population in the area where you live be increased, remain the same, or be decreased? Or are there no turkey in the area where you live? (Asked of Maine residents.) (Landowners)

- Increased: 3 (North/East), 5 (Central), 13 (South)
- Remain the same: 56 (North/East), 69 (Central), 53 (South)
- Decreased: 27 (North/East), 25 (Central), 38 (South)
- There are no turkey in the area: 0 (North/East, Central, South)
- Don't know: 1 (North/East, Central, South)
Percent who would strongly support increasing turkey population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the turkey population in their area should be increased.) (Landowners)

- Private citizens would be required to resolve turkey nuisance issues on their own
- An increased likelihood that turkey would damage gardens or landscaping
- An increased likelihood that turkey would damage agricultural crops
- An increase in predator populations, such as bobcat or coyotes
- Poor health overall for the turkey population
- More turkey would die from starvation during winter
- That Department biologists would spend more time on turkey management and less time on other species
Percent who would strongly support or moderately support increasing turkey population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the turkey population in their area should be increased.) (Landowners)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>North/East (n=15)</th>
<th>Central (n=2)</th>
<th>South (n=5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Private citizens would be required to resolve turkey nuisance issues on their own</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood that turkey would damage gardens or landscaping</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increase in predator populations, such as bobcat or coyotes</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood that turkey would damage agricultural crops</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More turkey would die from starvation during winter</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>That Department biologists would spend more time on turkey management and less time on other species</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor health overall for the turkey population</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Percent who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose increasing turkey population in area where they live if it meant the following.  
(Asked of ME residents who think the turkey population in their area should be increased.)  
(Landowners)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>North/East Region (n=15)</th>
<th>Central Region (n=2)</th>
<th>South Region (n=5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poor health overall for the turkey population</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More turkey would die from starvation during winter</td>
<td></td>
<td>71</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>That Department biologists would spend more time on turkey management and less time on other species</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increase in predator populations, such as bobcat or coyotes</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood that turkey would damage agricultural crops</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood that turkey would damage gardens or landscaping</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private citizens would be required to resolve turkey nuisance issues on their own</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Percent who would strongly oppose increasing turkey population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the turkey population in their area should be increased.) (Landowners)

- That Department biologists would spend more time on turkey management and less time on other species
- Poor health overall for the turkey population
- More turkey would die from starvation during winter
- An increase in predator populations, such as bobcat or coyotes
- An increased likelihood that turkey would damage agricultural crops
- Private citizens would be required to resolve turkey nuisance issues on their own
- An increased likelihood that turkey would damage gardens or landscaping

Lives in the North/East Region (n=15)
Lives in the Central Region (n=2)
Lives in the South Region (n=5)
On a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important do you think each of the following factors should be for managing the turkey population in Maine? (Landowners)
Do you support or oppose legal hunting as a method to help manage the turkey population in Maine? (Landowners)

- **Strongly support**: 75% in the North/East Region, 73% in the Central Region, 77% in the South Region
- **Moderately support**: 20% in the North/East Region, 18% in the Central Region, 18% in the South Region
- **Neither support nor oppose**: 1 in the North/East Region, 4 in the Central Region, 0 in the South Region
- **Moderately oppose**: 1 in the North/East Region, 3 in the Central Region, 3 in the South Region
- **Strongly oppose**: 3 in the North/East Region, 3 in the Central Region, 3 in the South Region
Do you support or oppose legal hunting as a method to help manage the turkey population in Maine? (Landowners)

Strongly support

Moderately support

Neither support nor oppose

Moderately oppose

Strongly oppose

Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105)

Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112)

Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87)
Percent of respondents who would strongly support each of the following turkey management options in areas of Maine where the turkey population became overabundant.

(Landowners)

- Allowing landowners to apply for a permit to remove turkeys that are causing problems on their land
- Increasing the number of turkeys that hunters can harvest in areas experiencing damage by turkey
- Implementing a controlled hunt in areas experiencing damage by turkeys
- Extending the hunting season later into the fall or winter

(Lives in the North/East Region (n=102)
Lives in the Central Region (n=112)
Lives in the South Region (n=90)
Percent of respondents who would strongly support or moderately support each of the following turkey management options in areas of Maine where the turkey population became overabundant. (Landowners)

- Implementing a controlled hunt in areas experiencing damage by turkeys
- Allowing landowners to apply for a permit to remove turkeys that are causing problems on their land
- Increasing the number of turkeys that hunters can harvest in areas experiencing damage by turkey
- Extending the hunting season later into the fall or winter

Percent of respondents:
- Lives in the North/East Region (n=102)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=112)
- Lives in the South Region (n=90)
Percent of respondents who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose each of the following turkey management options in areas of Maine where the turkey population became overabundant. (Landowners)

- Extending the hunting season later into the fall or winter
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=102)
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=112)
  - Lives in the South Region (n=90)

- Increasing the number of turkeys that hunters can harvest in areas experiencing damage by turkey
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=102)
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=112)
  - Lives in the South Region (n=90)

- Allowing landowners to apply for a permit to remove turkeys that are causing problems on their land
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=102)
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=112)
  - Lives in the South Region (n=90)

- Implementing a controlled hunt in areas experiencing damage by turkeys
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=102)
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=112)
  - Lives in the South Region (n=90)
Percent of respondents who would strongly oppose each of the following turkey management options in areas of Maine where the turkey population became overabundant. (Landowners)

- Extending the hunting season later into the fall or winter
- Increasing the number of turkeys that hunters can harvest in areas experiencing damage by turkey
- Implementing a controlled hunt in areas experiencing damage by turkeys
- Allowing landowners to apply for a permit to remove turkeys that are causing problems on their land

![Bar chart showing percent of respondents who would strongly oppose each of the following turkey management options in areas of Maine where the turkey population became overabundant.](chart.png)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=102)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=112)
- Lives in the South Region (n=90)
Percent of respondents who would strongly support each of the following turkey management options in areas of Maine where the turkey population became overabundant. (Landowners)

- Allowing landowners to apply for a permit to remove turkeys that are causing problems on their land
- Increasing the number of turkeys that hunters can harvest in areas experiencing damage by turkey
- Implementing a controlled hunt in areas experiencing damage by turkeys
- Extending the hunting season later into the fall or winter

Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105)
Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112)
Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87)
Percent of respondents who would strongly support or moderately support each of the following turkey management options in areas of Maine where the turkey population became overabundant. (Landowners)

- Implementing a controlled hunt in areas experiencing damage by turkeys
- Allowing landowners to apply for a permit to remove turkeys that are causing problems on their land
- Increasing the number of turkeys that hunters can harvest in areas experiencing damage by turkey
- Extending the hunting season later into the fall or winter

Graph showing the percentage of respondents who would support each option, with the largest tract in each region: North/East Region (n=105), Central Region (n=112), South Region (n=87).
Percent of respondents who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose each of the following turkey management options in areas of Maine where the turkey population became overabundant. (Landowners)

- Extending the hunting season later into the fall or winter
- Increasing the number of turkeys that hunters can harvest in areas experiencing damage by turkey
- Allowing landowners to apply for a permit to remove turkeys that are causing problems on their land
- Implementing a controlled hunt in areas experiencing damage by turkeys

Legend:
- ■ Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105)
- □ Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112)
- ▪ Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87)
Percent of respondents who would strongly oppose each of the following turkey management options in areas of Maine where the turkey population became overabundant. (Landowners)

- Extending the hunting season later into the fall or winter
- Increasing the number of turkeys that hunters can harvest in areas experiencing damage by turkey
- Implementing a controlled hunt in areas experiencing damage by turkeys
- Allowing landowners to apply for a permit to remove turkeys that are causing problems on their land

(Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105)
Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112)
Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87)
Problems With Wildlife—Landowners
Experiencing problems with wildlife.
Which wildlife caused problems.

In the past 2 years, have you experienced any problems with wildlife on your largest tract of land? (Landowners)

- No
- Problems with coyotes
- Problems with deer
- Problems with turkeys
- Problems with other animals
- Problems with bears
- Yes (unspecified species)
- Problems with moose
- Other

Multiple Responses Allowed

- Largest tract is in the North/East Region (n=105)
- Largest tract is in the Central Region (n=112)
- Largest tract is in the South Region (n=87)
HUNTER SURVEY

Interest in and Knowledge of Wildlife—Hunters

Overall interest in wildlife.
Feelings about wildlife around home.
Level of knowledge about each species.

How interested are you in wildlife in Maine, on a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 is not at all interested and 10 is extremely interested? (Hunters)

North/East mean: 9.0
Central mean: 9.1
South mean: 8.9
Nonresident mean: 9.0
Generally, which of the following best describes your feelings about wildlife around your home or on your property? (Hunters)

- I enjoy seeing and having wildlife around my home or on my property: 79% (North/East), 81% (Central), 79% (South), 82% (Nonresident)
- I enjoy seeing a few animals around my home or on my property but worry about the problems they cause: 18% (North/East), 14% (Central), 15% (South), 13% (Nonresident)
- I generally regard wildlife around my home or on my property as a nuisance: 1% (North/East), 1% (Central), 1% (South), 1% (Nonresident)
- I generally regard wildlife around my home or on my property as dangerous: 1% (North/East), 1% (Central), 1% (South), 1% (Nonresident)
- I have no particular feeling about wildlife around my home or on my property: 2% (North/East), 4% (Central), 4% (South), 4% (Nonresident)
- Don't know: 1% (North/East), 0% (Central), 0% (South), 0% (Nonresident)
Percent of respondents who know a great deal about each of the following. (Hunters)

- Deer
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 69%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 57%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 63%
  - Nonresident (n=179): 63%

- Moose
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 34%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 30%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 27%
  - Nonresident (n=179): 25%

- Bear
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 25%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 19%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 15%
  - Nonresident (n=179): 15%

- Wild turkey
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 27%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 15%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 25%
  - Nonresident (n=179): 15%
Percent of respondents who know a great deal or a moderate amount about each of the following. (Hunters)

- Deer
- Moose
- Bear
- Wild turkey

Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)
Lives in the Central Region (n=258)
Lives in the South Region (n=256)
Nonresident (n=179)
Percent of respondents who know a great deal, a moderate amount, or a little about each of the following. (Hunters)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=258)
- Lives in the South Region (n=256)
- Nonresident (n=179)
Percent of respondents who know nothing at all about each of the following. (Hunters)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=258)
- Lives in the South Region (n=256)
- Nonresident (n=179)
Participation in Hunting—Hunters
Where hunted.
Participation in deer, moose, bear, and turkey hunting.
Applying for moose permits.
Reasons for hunting deer, moose, bear, and turkey.
In which county do you hunt most often? (Asked of those who have participated in recreational hunting in Maine in the past 2 years.) (Hunters)
Did you hunt deer in Maine in the past 5 years?  
(Hunters)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=258)
- Lives in the South Region (n=256)
- Nonresident (n=180)
Did you hunt deer in Maine in the past 5 years? (Hunters)

- **Yes**: 83% (95% of respondents)
- **No**: 17% (5% of respondents)
- **Don't know**: 0% (4% of respondents)

- Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=396)
- Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=326)
- Most often hunts in the South Region (n=234)
What was your most important reason for hunting deer in the past 5 years? (Asked of those who hunted deer in Maine in the past 5 years.) (Hunters)

- For the meat
- For the sport, recreation, and challenge
- To be with family and friends
- To be close to nature
- For a trophy
- To reduce damage to crops
- To see a deer
- Don’t know

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>North/East Region (n=336)</th>
<th>Central Region (n=308)</th>
<th>South Region (n=224)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>For the meat</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For the sport, recreation, and challenge</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To be with family and friends</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To be close to nature</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For a trophy</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To reduce damage to crops</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To see a deer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Did you hunt moose in Maine in the past 15 years? (Hunters)

- **Yes**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 44%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 39%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 34%
  - Nonresident (n=180): 22%

- **No**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 56%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 61%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 66%
  - Nonresident (n=180): 78%

- **Don't know**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 0%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 0%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 0%
  - Nonresident (n=180): 0%
Did you hunt moose in Maine in the past 15 years? (Hunters)

- Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=396)
- Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=326)
- Most often hunts in the South Region (n=234)
Do you typically apply for a moose permit in Maine? (Hunters)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Don't know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)</td>
<td>Lives in the Central Region (n=258)</td>
<td>Lives in the South Region (n=256)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63%</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Do you typically apply for a moose permit in Maine? (Hunters)

- Yes: 46%
- No: 53%
- Don't know: 1%

Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=396)
Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=326)
Most often hunts in the South Region (n=234)
What was or would be your most important reason for hunting moose? (Asked of those who hunted moose in Maine in the past 15 years or typically apply for a moose permit.)

(Hunters)

- For the meat: 60%
- For the sport, recreation, and challenge: 25%
- To be with family and friends: 6%
- For a trophy: 2%
- To be close to nature: 3%
- To see a moose: 1%
- Don't know: 1%

Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=257)
Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=173)
Most often hunts in the South Region (n=127)
Did you hunt bear in Maine in the past 15 years? (Hunters)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=258)
- Lives in the South Region (n=256)
- Nonresident (n=180)
Did you hunt bear in Maine in the past 15 years? (Hunters)

- Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=396)
- Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=326)
- Most often hunts in the South Region (n=234)
What was your most important reason for hunting bear? (Asked of those who hunted bear in Maine in the past 15 years.) (Hunters)

- For the sport, recreation, and challenge: 36, 34, 46
- For the meat: 34, 39, 36
- To be with family and friends: 8, 10, 16
- To be close to nature: 6, 4, 10
- For a trophy: 3, 2, 4
- To see a bear: 2, 3, 5
- Don't know: 0, 0, 4

Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=154)
Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=105)
Most often hunts in the South Region (n=51)
Did you hunt turkey in Maine in the past 5 years? (Hunters)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Don't know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lives in the Central Region (n=258)</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lives in the South Region (n=256)</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident (n=180)</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Did you hunt turkey in Maine in the past 5 years? (Hunters)

- Yes:
  - Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=396)
  - Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=326)
  - Most often hunts in the South Region (n=234)

- No:
  - 79%
  - 66%
  - 62%

- Don't know:
  - 1%
What was your most important reason for hunting turkey in the past 5 years? (Asked of those who hunted turkey in Maine in the past 5 years.) (Hunters)

- **For the sport, recreation, and challenge**
  - **North/East Region**: 40%
  - **Central Region**: 34%
  - **South Region**: 37%

- **For the meat**
  - **North/East Region**: 48%
  - **Central Region**: 37%
  - **South Region**: 38%

- **To be with family and friends**
  - **North/East Region**: 13%
  - **Central Region**: 15%
  - **South Region**: 14%

- **To be close to nature**
  - **North/East Region**: 0%
  - **Central Region**: 8%
  - **South Region**: 6%

- **To see a turkey**
  - **North/East Region**: 1%
  - **Central Region**: 4%
  - **South Region**: 2%

- **For a trophy**
  - **North/East Region**: 2%
  - **Central Region**: 2%
  - **South Region**: 1%

- **Don't know**
  - **North/East Region**: 0%
  - **Central Region**: 0%
  - **South Region**: 2%

Percent
Satisfaction and Dissatisfaction With Hunting—Hunters
Satisfaction with deer, moose, bear, and turkey hunting.
Reasons for not being more satisfied.

In general, how satisfied or dissatisfied were you with your deer hunting experiences in Maine in the past 5 years? (Asked of those who hunted deer in Maine in the past 5 years.)

(Hunters)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Satisfaction Level</th>
<th>North/East Region (n=336)</th>
<th>Central Region (n=308)</th>
<th>South Region (n=224)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very satisfied</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat satisfied</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat dissatisfied</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very dissatisfied</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In general, how satisfied or dissatisfied were you with your moose hunting experiences in Maine in the past 15 years? (Asked of those who hunted moose in Maine in the past 15 years.) (Hunters)
In general, how satisfied or dissatisfied were you with your bear hunting experiences in Maine in the past 15 years? (Asked of those who hunted bear in Maine in the past 15 years.)

(Hunters)

- **Very satisfied**: 76% (71 hunters), 68% (68 hunters)
- **Somewhat satisfied**: 16% (16 hunters), 18% (18 hunters), 17% (17 hunters)
- **Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied**: 2% (2 hunters), 3% (3 hunters), 2% (2 hunters)
- **Somewhat dissatisfied**: 4% (4 hunters), 2% (2 hunters), 6% (6 hunters)
- **Very dissatisfied**: 2% (2 hunters), 4% (4 hunters), 6% (6 hunters)
- **Don’t know**: 1% (1 hunter), 1% (1 hunter), 0% (0 hunters)

- **Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=154)**
- **Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=105)**
- **Most often hunts in the South Region (n=51)**
In general, how satisfied or dissatisfied were you with your turkey hunting experiences in Maine in the past 5 years? (Asked of those who hunted turkey in Maine in the past 5 years.)

(Hunters)

- **Very satisfied**
  - Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=78): 71
  - Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=107): 69
  - Most often hunts in the South Region (n=88): 75

- **Somewhat satisfied**
  - Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=78): 20
  - Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=107): 18
  - Most often hunts in the South Region (n=88): 22

- **Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied**
  - Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=78): 1
  - Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=107): 3

- **Somewhat dissatisfied**
  - Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=78): 3
  - Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=107): 2
  - Most often hunts in the South Region (n=88): 1

- **Very dissatisfied**
  - Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=78): 4
  - Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=107): 2
  - Most often hunts in the South Region (n=88): 2

- **Don't know**
  - Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=78): 0
  - Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=107): 2
  - Most often hunts in the South Region (n=88): 0
Why weren't you more satisfied with your deer hunting experiences? (Asked of those who hunted deer in Maine in the past 5 years and were not 'very satisfied'.) (Hunters)

- Too few deer / lack of harvest success
- Buck / doe imbalance
- Not enough permits / mismanagement of regulations
- Hunter overcrowding / lack of access
- Not enough time / season too short
- Poor behavior from other hunters
- Other
- Don't know

Multiple Responses Allowed

Percent

- Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=169)
- Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=114)
- Most often hunts in the South Region (n=67)
Why weren’t you more satisfied with your moose hunting experiences? (Asked of those who hunted moose in Maine in the past 15 years and were not ‘very satisfied’.) (Hunters)

- Too few moose / lack of harvest success
  - Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=25)
  - Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=24)
  - Most often hunts in the South Region (n=16)

- Could not get appropriate permit
  - Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=25)
  - Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=24)
  - Most often hunts in the South Region (n=16)

- Hunter overcrowding / lack of access
  - Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=25)
  - Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=24)
  - Most often hunts in the South Region (n=16)

- Season inappropriately timed
  - Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=25)
  - Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=24)
  - Most often hunts in the South Region (n=16)

- Other
  - Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=25)
  - Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=24)
  - Most often hunts in the South Region (n=16)

- Don’t know
Why weren't you more satisfied with your bear hunting experiences? (Asked of those who hunted bear in Maine in the past 15 years and were not 'very satisfied'.) (Hunters)

- Too few bear / lack of harvest success
- Season innapropriately timed
- Hunter overcrowding / lack of access
- Other
- Don't know

Multiple Responses Allowed

- Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=36)
- Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=29)
- Most often hunts in the South Region (n=16)
Why weren't you more satisfied with your turkey hunting experiences? (Asked of those who hunted turkey in Maine in the past 5 years and were not 'very satisfied'.) (Hunters)

- Too few turkey / lack of harvest success: 49% (66% overall)
- Hunter overcrowding / lack of access: 18% (4% overall)
- Not enough time / season too short: 11% (11% overall)
- Restrictions on harvests: 6% (4% overall)
- Other: 16% (16% overall)
- Don't know: 11% (overall)

Multiple Responses Allowed

Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=21)
Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=25)
Most often hunts in the South Region (n=27)
Constraints to Hunting—Hunters
Constraints to deer hunting.
Reasons for not hunting deer, moose, bear, and turkey.

Are there things that have prevented you from going deer hunting as much as you would like in the past 5 years? (Asked of those who hunted deer in Maine in the past 5 years.)
(Hunters)

- No
- Other obligations / not enough time
- Age or health
- Not enough access / overcrowding
- Incompatible season scheduling / lack of Sunday hunting
- Too few deer
- Poor weather
- Cost or financial reasons
- Other

Multiple Responses Allowed

Percent

Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=107)
Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=104)
Most often hunts in the South Region (n=76)
What are your most important reasons for not hunting deer in Maine in the past 5 years? (Asked of those who did not hunt deer in Maine in the past 5 years.) (Hunters)
What are your most important reasons for not hunting moose in Maine? (Asked of those who did not hunt moose in Maine in the past 15 years and do not typically apply for a moose permit.) (Hunters)

- Not interested
- Not enough time
- Too expensive
- Permits / restrictive regulations
- Too big / too much work
- Too far away
- Hunt species other than moose
- Don’t like / need meat
- Age / health
- Not enough moose / more elsewhere
- Other
- Don’t know

Multiple Responses Allowed

Lives in the North/East Region (n=80)
Lives in the Central Region (n=108)
Lives in the South Region (n=112)
Nonresident (n=97)
What are your most important reasons for not hunting bear in Maine in the past 15 years? ( Asked of those who did not hunt bear in Maine in the past 15 years.) (Hunters)

- Not interested
- Don't like / need meat
- Not enough time
- Not enough bear / more elsewhere
- Too expensive
- Objects to bear hunting / baiting
- Hunt species other than bear
- Too far away
- Too big / too much work
- Permits / restrictive regulations
- Age / health
- Other
- Don't know

Multiple Responses Allowed

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=167)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=173)
- Lives in the South Region (n=198)
What are your most important reasons for not hunting turkey in Maine in the past 5 years? (Asked of those who did not hunt turkey in Maine in the past 5 years.) (Hunters)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason</th>
<th>Lives in the North/East Region (n=199)</th>
<th>Lives in the Central Region (n=155)</th>
<th>Lives in the South Region (n=160)</th>
<th>Nonresident (n=163)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not interested</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not enough time</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permits / restrictive regulations</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not enough turkey / more elsewhere</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too far away</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't like / need meat</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too expensive</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunt species other than turkey</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Too much work</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age / health</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objects to turkey hunting</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Multiple Responses Allowed

Percent
Opinions on Hunting—Hunters
Approval/disapproval of hunting.
Support for/opposition to hunting bear for various reasons.

In general, do you approve or disapprove of legal deer hunting? (Hunters)
In general, do you approve or disapprove of legal moose hunting? (Hunters)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=258)
- Lives in the South Region (n=256)
- Nonresident (n=180)
In general, do you approve or disapprove of legal bear hunting? (Hunters)

- Strongly approve
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 84%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 86%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 87%
  - Nonresident (n=180): 91%
- Moderately approve
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 13%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 12%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 7%
- Neither approve nor disapprove
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 1%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 1%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 2%
- Moderately disapprove
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 1%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 2%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 0%
- Strongly disapprove
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 0%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 0%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 0%
- Don't know
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 1%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 0%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 1%
  - Nonresident (n=180): 0%
In general, do you approve or disapprove of legal turkey hunting? (Hunters)

- Strongly approve
- Moderately approve
- Neither approve nor disapprove
- Moderately disapprove
- Strongly disapprove
- Don't know

Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)
Lives in the Central Region (n=258)
Lives in the South Region (n=256)
Nonresident (n=180)
Percent of respondents who would strongly support hunting bear for each of the following reasons. (Hunters)

- As a method to help manage bear populations in Maine
- For the meat
- For economic benefits to rural areas
- For recreation
- For a trophy

Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)
Lives in the Central Region (n=258)
Lives in the South Region (n=256)
Nonresident (n=180)
Percent of respondents who would strongly support or moderately support hunting bear for each of the following reasons. (Hunters)

- As a method to help manage bear populations in Maine
- For the meat
- For economic benefits to rural areas
- For recreation
- For a trophy

Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)
Lives in the Central Region (n=258)
Lives in the South Region (n=256)
Nonresident (n=180)
Percent of respondents who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose hunting bear for each of the following reasons. (Hunters)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=258)
- Lives in the South Region (n=256)
- Nonresident (n=180)

- For a trophy
- For recreation
- For economic benefits to rural areas
- For the meat
- As a method to help manage bear populations in Maine
Percent of respondents who would strongly oppose hunting bear for each of the following reasons. (Hunters)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=258)
- Lives in the South Region (n=256)
- Nonresident (n=180)

For a trophy:
- Lives in the North/East Region: 24%
- Lives in the Central Region: 26%
- Lives in the South Region: 28%
- Nonresident: 15%

For recreation:
- Lives in the North/East Region: 12%
- Lives in the Central Region: 11%
- Lives in the South Region: 9%
- Nonresident: 7%

For economic benefits to rural areas:
- Lives in the North/East Region: 5%
- Lives in the Central Region: 7%
- Lives in the South Region: 5%
- Nonresident: 7%

For the meat:
- Lives in the North/East Region: 4%
- Lives in the Central Region: 2%
- Lives in the South Region: 2%
- Nonresident: 3%

As a method to help manage bear populations in Maine:
- Lives in the North/East Region: 2%
- Lives in the Central Region: 2%
- Lives in the South Region: 0%
- Nonresident: 1%
Hunting Access—Hunters
Hunters: ratings of access.
Whether lack of access has been constraint to hunting participation.

In general, how would you rate access to hunting lands in Maine? (Hunters)

- Excellent: Lives in the North/East Region (n=262) - 20%, Lives in the Central Region (n=258) - 14%, Lives in the South Region (n=256) - 15%, Nonresident (n=180) - 41%
- Good: Lives in the North/East Region (n=262) - 50%, Lives in the Central Region (n=258) - 39%, Lives in the South Region (n=256) - 48%, Nonresident (n=180) - 42%
- Fair: Lives in the North/East Region (n=262) - 38%, Lives in the Central Region (n=258) - 27%, Lives in the South Region (n=256) - 38%, Nonresident (n=180) - 27%
- Poor: Lives in the North/East Region (n=262) - 9%, Lives in the Central Region (n=258) - 8%, Lives in the South Region (n=256) - 8%, Nonresident (n=180) - 7%
- Don't know: Lives in the North/East Region (n=262) - 2%, Lives in the Central Region (n=258) - 1%, Lives in the South Region (n=256) - 2%, Nonresident (n=180) - 2%
In general, how would you rate access to hunting lands in Maine? (Hunters)

- **Excellent**: 28
- **Good**: 44
- **Fair**: 33
- **Poor**: 5
- **Don't know**: 1

- **Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=396)**
- **Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=326)**
- **Most often hunts in the South Region (n=234)**
Do you agree or disagree that a lack of access to hunting lands in Maine has caused you to not hunt any species as much as you would like in the past 5 years? (Hunters)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opinion</th>
<th>North/East Region</th>
<th>Central Region</th>
<th>South Region</th>
<th>Nonresident</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly agree</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately agree</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither agree nor disagree</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately disagree</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly disagree</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't know</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Do you agree or disagree that a lack of access to hunting lands in Maine has caused you to not hunt any species as much as you would like in the past 5 years? (Hunters)

- Strongly agree: 13 (North/East), 22 (Central), 38 (South), 100 (total)
- Moderately agree: 12 (North/East), 15 (Central), 23 (South), 50 (total)
- Neither agree nor disagree: 7 (North/East), 4 (Central), 3 (South), 14 (total)
- Moderately disagree: 30 (North/East), 27 (Central), 27 (South), 84 (total)
- Strongly disagree: 30 (North/East), 30 (Central), 33 (South), 93 (total)
- Don't know: 1 (North/East), 1 (Central), 1 (South), 3 (total)

Percent

- Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=396)
- Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=326)
- Most often hunts in the South Region (n=234)
Hunting Licensing and Fees—Hunters

Whether cost of hunting fees is too much.
Whether separate purchase requirements inhibit hunting.

In general, do you think current hunting license fees are too much, about right, or not enough? (Hunters)

- **Too much**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258)
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256)
  - Nonresident (n=180)

- **About right**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258)
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256)
  - Nonresident (n=180)

- **Not enough**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258)
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256)
  - Nonresident (n=180)

- **Don't know**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258)
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256)
  - Nonresident (n=180)
Do you agree or disagree that the current requirement to purchase separate permits for some species, such as wild turkey and bear, prevents you from hunting for those species as much as you would like? (Hunters)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=258)
- Lives in the South Region (n=256)
- Nonresident (n=180)
Opinions on Regulations and Specific Aspects of Hunting—Hunters

Opinions on the 3-point rule.
Which month preferred for moose hunting.
Why that month is preferred.
Opinion on a split moose season.
Opinions regarding tradeoff on number of permits versus chance of harvest.

Would you support or oppose a law requiring that harvested bucks have at least 3 points on one side? (Hunters)

- Strongly support: 29% (38) for North/East, 26% (17) for Central, 40% (36) for South
- Moderately support: 14% (17) for North/East, 13% (17) for Central, 4% (4) for South
- Neither support nor oppose: 4% (3) for North/East, 12% (19) for Central, 3% (4) for South
- Moderately oppose: 26% (36) for North/East, 17% (19) for Central, 19% (12) for South
- Strongly oppose: 29% (38) for North/East, 14% (17) for Central, 4% (4) for South
- Don't know: 1% (1) for North/East, 1% (0) for Central, 0% for South

Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=382)
Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=320)
Most often hunts in the South Region (n=232)
Which month do you most prefer for the moose hunting season? (Asked of those who hunted moose in Maine in the past 15 years or typically apply for a moose permit.) (Hunters)

- **September**: 25 (Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=257)), 19
- **October**: 53 (Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=257)), 64 (Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=173)), 65
- **November**: 14, 11 (Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=173)), 10
- **No preference**: 5, 3 (Most often hunts in the South Region (n=127)), 6
- **Don’t know**: 2, 1
Why do you most prefer for the moose hunting season to be in September? (Asked of those who hunted moose in Maine in the past 15 years or typically apply for a moose permit and prefer for moose hunting in September.) (Hunters)
Why do you most prefer for the moose hunting season to be in October? (Asked of those who hunted moose in Maine in the past 15 years or typically apply for a moose permit and prefer for moose hunting in October.) (Hunters)
Why do you most prefer for the moose hunting season to be in November? (Asked of those who hunted moose in Maine in the past 15 years or typically apply for a moose permit and prefer for moose hunting in November.) (Hunters)
If you had to choose, would you prefer a 6-day season with fewer hunters, or would you prefer a 12-day season with more hunters? (Asked of those who hunted moose in Maine in the past 15 years or typically apply for a moose permit.) (Hunters)
Would you prefer the Department offer more moose permits but a lower harvest success rate or fewer moose permits with a higher harvest success rate? (Of those who hunted moose in ME in the past 15 years or typically apply for a moose permit.) (Hunters)

- More permits with lower harvest rate:
  - North/East Region (n=257): 40%
  - Central Region (n=173): 39%
  - South Region (n=127): 34%

- Fewer permits with higher harvest rate:
  - North/East Region (n=257): 49%
  - Central Region (n=173): 53%
  - South Region (n=127): 57%

- Don't know:
  - North/East Region (n=257): 10%
  - Central Region (n=173): 8%
  - South Region (n=127): 9%
Land Management in General—Hunters
Opinions on management of all four species shown together.
Support for/opposition to coyote management.

Percent of respondents who rated the management of each of the following species as excellent in Maine. (Hunters)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=258)
- Lives in the South Region (n=256)
- Nonresident (n=180)
Percent of respondents who rated the management of each of the following species as excellent or good in Maine. (Hunters)

- Deer: 49% (North/East Region), 59% (Central Region), 62% (South Region), 71% (Nonresident)
- Moose: 63% (North/East Region), 66% (Central Region), 68% (South Region), 72% (Nonresident)
- Bear: 56% (North/East Region), 57% (Central Region), 69% (South Region), 72% (Nonresident)
- Turkey: 48% (North/East Region), 57% (Central Region), 62% (South Region), 70% (Nonresident)
Percent of respondents who rated the management of each of the following species as fair or poor in Maine. (Hunters)

- Deer: Lives in the North/East Region (n=262) - 50% (36 respondents rated), Lives in the Central Region (n=258) - 36% (29 respondents rated), Lives in the South Region (n=256) - 34% (28 respondents rated), Nonresident (n=180) - 28% (11 respondents rated).
- Moose: Lives in the North/East Region (n=262) - 34% (25 respondents rated), Lives in the Central Region (n=258) - 25% (18 respondents rated), Lives in the South Region (n=256) - 18% (16 respondents rated), Nonresident (n=180) - 11% (11 respondents rated).
- Bear: Lives in the North/East Region (n=262) - 31% (16 respondents rated), Lives in the Central Region (n=258) - 16% (16 respondents rated), Lives in the South Region (n=256) - 14% (16 respondents rated), Nonresident (n=180) - 4% (10 respondents rated).
- Turkey: Lives in the North/East Region (n=262) - 36% (27 respondents rated), Lives in the Central Region (n=258) - 27% (27 respondents rated), Lives in the South Region (n=256) - 27% (27 respondents rated), Nonresident (n=180) - 27% (27 respondents rated).

Percent

- Deer: 50%
- Moose: 34%
- Bear: 31%
- Turkey: 36%
Percent of respondents who rated the management of each of the following species as poor in Maine. (Hunters)

- Deer
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 10%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 8%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 3%
  - Nonresident (n=180): 7%

- Moose
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 13%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 3%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 3%
  - Nonresident (n=180): 5%

- Bear
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 4%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 3%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 2%
  - Nonresident (n=180): 1%

- Turkey
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 19%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 13%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 3%
  - Nonresident (n=180): 3%

Percent
Would you support or oppose a coyote management program in Maine, which may cause an increase in deer and/or wild turkeys in local areas? (Hunters)

- Strongly support: Lives in the North/East Region (n=262), Lives in the Central Region (n=258), Lives in the South Region (n=256), Nonresident (n=180)
- Moderately support: 3, 13, 8, 11, 10, 8
- Neither support nor oppose: 2, 2, 2, 3, 2, 3, 2, 3, 2, 3
- Moderately oppose: 4, 5, 2, 3, 2, 3, 2, 3, 2, 3
- Strongly oppose: 2, 0, 0, 1
- Don't know: 2, 0, 0, 1

Percent
**Deer Management—Hunters**

Ratings of deer management.
Opinions on the size of the deer population.
Support for increasing deer population with various caveats.
Factors to be considered in managing deer.
Support for/opposition to hunting as a way to manage deer.
Support for/opposition to various methods to control deer.
Opinions on deer and moose tradeoffs.
Overall, how would you rate deer management in Maine? (Hunters)

- Excellent: Lives in the North/East Region (n=262), Lives in the Central Region (n=258), Lives in the South Region (n=256), Nonresident (n=180)
- Good: Lives in the North/East Region (n=262), Lives in the Central Region (n=258), Lives in the South Region (n=256), Nonresident (n=180)
- Fair: Lives in the North/East Region (n=262), Lives in the Central Region (n=258), Lives in the South Region (n=256), Nonresident (n=180)
- Poor: Lives in the North/East Region (n=262), Lives in the Central Region (n=258), Lives in the South Region (n=256), Nonresident (n=180)
- Don't know: Lives in the North/East Region (n=262), Lives in the Central Region (n=258), Lives in the South Region (n=256), Nonresident (n=180)
Overall, how would you rate deer management in Maine? (Hunters)

- Excellent: 16% (North/East Region), 21% (Central Region), 29% (South Region)
- Good: 36% (North/East Region), 41% (Central Region), 44% (South Region)
- Fair: 24% (North/East Region), 20% (Central Region), 31% (South Region)
- Poor: 7% (North/East Region), 10% (Central Region), 12% (South Region)
- Don't know: 5% (North/East Region), 4% (Central Region), 1% (South Region)

Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=396)
Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=326)
Most often hunts in the South Region (n=234)
In your opinion, should the deer population in the area where you live be increased, remain the same, or be decreased? Or are there no deer in the area where you live? (Asked of Maine residents.) (Hunters)

- Increased: 54%
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=124)
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=121)
  - Lives in the South Region (n=131)

- Remain the same: 59%

- Decreased: 9%

- There are no deer in the area: 1%

- Don't know: 2%
Percent who would strongly support increasing deer population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the deer population in their area should be increased.) (Hunters)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Percent who would strongly support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>That Department biologists would spend more time on deer management and less time on other species</td>
<td>Lives in the North/East Region (n=67): 51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private citizens would be required to resolve deer nuisance issues on their own</td>
<td>Lives in the North/East Region (n=67): 63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood that deer would damage gardens or landscaping</td>
<td>Lives in the North/East Region (n=67): 49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood that deer would damage agricultural crops</td>
<td>Lives in the North/East Region (n=67): 43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with deer</td>
<td>Lives in the North/East Region (n=67): 34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less food and poorer quality habitat for other wildlife</td>
<td>Lives in the North/East Region (n=67): 24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased risk of disease, such as Lyme Disease</td>
<td>Lives in the North/East Region (n=67): 22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More deer would die from starvation during winter</td>
<td>Lives in the North/East Region (n=67): 12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor health overall for the deer herd</td>
<td>Lives in the North/East Region (n=67): 10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Percent who would strongly support or moderately support increasing deer population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the deer population in their area should be increased.)

(Hunters)

- That Department biologists would spend more time on deer management and less time on other species
- An increased likelihood that deer would damage gardens or landscaping
- Private citizens would be required to resolve deer nuisance issues on their own
- An increased likelihood that deer would damage agricultural crops
- An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with deer
- An increased risk of disease, such as Lyme Disease
- Less food and poorer quality habitat for other wildlife
- More deer would die from starvation during winter
- Poor health overall for the deer herd

(Asked of ME residents who think the deer population in their area should be increased.)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=67)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=39)
- Lives in the South Region (n=30)
Percent who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose increasing deer population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the deer population in their area should be increased.)

(Hunters)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=67)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=39)
- Lives in the South Region (n=30)

- Poor health overall for the deer herd
- More deer would die from starvation during winter
- Less food and poorer quality habitat for other wildlife
- An increased risk of disease, such as Lyme Disease
- An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with deer
- An increased likelihood that deer would damage agricultural crops
- Private citizens would be required to resolve deer nuisance issues on their own
- An increased likelihood that deer would damage gardens or landscaping
- That Department biologists would spend more time on deer management and less time on other species

Percent
Percent who would strongly oppose increasing deer population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the deer population in their area should be increased.) (Hunters)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=67)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=39)
- Lives in the South Region (n=30)

- More deer would die from starvation during winter: 62%
- Poor health overall for the deer herd: 60%
- An increased risk of disease, such as Lyme Disease: 31%
- Less food and poorer quality habitat for other wildlife: 30%
- An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with deer: 26%
- Private citizens would be required to resolve deer nuisance issues on their own: 19%
- An increased likelihood that deer would damage agricultural crops: 15%
- An increased likelihood that deer would damage gardens or landscaping: 13%
- That Department biologists would spend more time on deer management and less time on other species: 10%

Percent
On a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important do you think each of the following factors should be for managing the deer population in Maine? (Hunters)

- The health of the typical deer in the herd
- Providing deer hunting opportunities
- The opportunity to see deer
- Impacts on habitat
- Risk of vehicle collisions with deer
- Risk of human disease, such as Lyme Disease
- Damage to agricultural crops
- Damage to gardens and ornamental plants

Mean

- Lives in the North/East Region (74≤n≤81)
- Lives in the Central Region (69≤n≤81)
- Lives in the South Region (67≤n≤101)
- Nonresident (47≤n≤66)
Do you support or oppose legal hunting as a method to help manage the deer populations in Maine? (Hunters)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=258)
- Lives in the South Region (n=256)
- Nonresident (n=180)

Percent

- Strongly support: 90 (91% in North/East), 95 (95% in Central), 98 (98% in South), 100 (99% in Nonresident)
- Moderately support: 8 (3% in North/East), 7 (3% in Central), 6 (2% in South), 0 (0% in Nonresident)
- Neither support nor oppose: 0 (0% in North/East), 0 (0% in Central), 0 (0% in South), 0 (0% in Nonresident)
- Moderately oppose: 1 (0% in North/East), 1 (0% in Central), 0 (0% in South), 0 (0% in Nonresident)
- Strongly oppose: 1 (0% in North/East), 1 (0% in Central), 0 (0% in South), 0 (0% in Nonresident)
- Don't know: 0 (0% in North/East), 0 (0% in Central), 0 (0% in South), 0 (0% in Nonresident)
Percent of respondents who would strongly support each of the following deer management options in areas of Maine where the deer population became overabundant. (Hunters)

- Lives in the North/East Region (59≤n≤80)
- Lives in the Central Region (64≤n≤77)
- Lives in the South Region (69≤n≤78)
- Nonresident (42≤n≤58)

- A special archery season
- Allowing hunters to harvest multiple deer
- Targeted doe permits valid only in specific towns or locations
- An additional legal hunting season
- A longer firearms season
- A controlled hunt with a limited number of hunters
- Sharpshooters

Percent of respondents who would strongly support each of the following deer management options in areas of Maine where the deer population became overabundant. (Hunters)
Percent of respondents who would strongly support or moderately support each of the following deer management options in areas of Maine where the deer population became overabundant. (Hunters)

- A special archery season
- Targeted doe permits valid only in specific towns or locations
- Allowing hunters to harvest multiple deer
- An additional legal hunting season
- A longer firearms season
- A controlled hunt with a limited number of hunters
- Sharpshooters

Lives in the North/East Region (59 ≤ n ≤ 80)
Lives in the Central Region (64 ≤ n ≤ 77)
Lives in the South Region (69 ≤ n ≤ 78)
Nonresident (42 ≤ n ≤ 58)
Percent of respondents who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose each of the following deer management options in areas of Maine where the deer population became overabundant. (Hunters)

- Sharpshooters
- A controlled hunt with a limited number of hunters
- A longer firearms season
- An additional legal hunting season
- Allowing hunters to harvest multiple deer
- Targeted doe permits valid only in specific towns or locations
- A special archery season

Lives in the North/East Region (59≤n≤80)
Lives in the Central Region (64≤n≤77)
Lives in the South Region (69≤n≤78)
Nonresident (42≤n≤58)
Percent of respondents who would strongly oppose each of the following deer management options in areas of Maine where the deer population became overabundant. (Hunters)

- **Sharpshooters**
  - Lives in the North/East Region: 47%
  - Lives in the Central Region: 52%
  - Lives in the South Region: 51%
  - Nonresident: 48%

- **A controlled hunt with a limited number of hunters**
  - Lives in the North/East Region: 8%
  - Lives in the Central Region: 10%
  - Lives in the South Region: 14%
  - Nonresident: 12%

- **A longer firearms season**
  - Lives in the North/East Region: 12%
  - Lives in the Central Region: 8%
  - Lives in the South Region: 14%
  - Nonresident: 7%

- **An additional legal hunting season**
  - Lives in the North/East Region: 9%
  - Lives in the Central Region: 4%
  - Lives in the South Region: 14%
  - Nonresident: 4%

- **Allowing hunters to harvest multiple deer**
  - Lives in the North/East Region: 15%
  - Lives in the Central Region: 11%
  - Lives in the South Region: 14%
  - Nonresident: 4%

- **Targeted doe permits valid only in specific towns or locations**
  - Lives in the North/East Region: 10%
  - Lives in the Central Region: 7%
  - Lives in the South Region: 5%
  - Nonresident: 8%

- **A special archery season**
  - Lives in the North/East Region: 5%
  - Lives in the Central Region: 1%
  - Lives in the South Region: 2%
  - Nonresident: 1%
In northern Maine, which of the following scenarios would you most prefer? (Hunters)

- Abundant moose populations with very few deer
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 13%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 16%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 21%
  - Nonresident (n=180): 24%

- Moderate numbers of moose with relatively few deer
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 32%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 34%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 34%
  - Nonresident (n=180): 29%

- Relatively few moose with moderate numbers of deer
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 48%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 39%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 39%
  - Nonresident (n=180): 33%

- Don't know
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 7%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 9%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 10%
  - Nonresident (n=180): 11%
Moose Management—Hunters
Ratings of moose management.
Opinions on the size of the moose population.
Support for increasing moose population with various caveats.
Factors to be considered in managing moose.
Support for/opposition to hunting as a way to manage moose.
Opinion on adjusting moose harvest for health of the moose population.
Opinion on moose hunting in southern Maine.
Overall, how would you rate moose management in Maine? (Hunters)

- **Excellent**
  - North/East Region: 19%
  - Central Region: 28%
  - South Region: 30%
  - Nonresident: 32%
  - Total: 30%

- **Good**
  - North/East Region: 44%
  - Central Region: 40%
  - South Region: 42%
  - Nonresident: 34%
  - Total: 41%

- **Fair**
  - North/East Region: 8%
  - Central Region: 21%
  - South Region: 20%
  - Nonresident: 14%
  - Total: 14%

- **Poor**
  - North/East Region: 13%
  - Central Region: 5%
  - South Region: 3%
  - Nonresident: 3%
  - Total: 6%

- **Don't know**
  - North/East Region: 23%
  - Central Region: 11%
  - South Region: 7%
  - Nonresident: 23%
  - Total: 22%

Legend:
- □ Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)
- □ Lives in the Central Region (n=258)
- □ Lives in the South Region (n=256)
- □ Nonresident (n=180)
Overall, how would you rate moose management in Maine? (Hunters)

Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=396)
Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=326)
Most often hunts in the South Region (n=234)
In your opinion, should the moose population in the area where you live be increased, remain the same, or be decreased? Or are there no moose in the area where you live? (Asked of Maine residents.) (Hunters)

- Increased: Lives in the North/East Region (n=144) - 27%, Lives in the Central Region (n=125) - 23%, Lives in the South Region (n=121) - 21%
- Remain the same: Lives in the North/East Region (n=144) - 51%, Lives in the Central Region (n=125) - 45%, Lives in the South Region (n=121) - 57%
- Decreased: Lives in the North/East Region (n=144) - 13%, Lives in the Central Region (n=125) - 3%, Lives in the South Region (n=121) - 2%
- There are no moose in the area: Lives in the North/East Region (n=144) - 7%, Lives in the Central Region (n=125) - 17%, Lives in the South Region (n=121) - 25%
- Don't know: Lives in the North/East Region (n=144) - 1%, Lives in the Central Region (n=125) - 1%, Lives in the South Region (n=121) - 6%
Percent who would strongly support increasing moose population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the moose population in their area should be increased.) (Hunters)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=39)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=29)
- Lives in the South Region (n=25)

- An increased likelihood that moose would damage agricultural crops
- An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with moose
- Forest regeneration would be negatively impacted
- Poor health overall for the moose herd
Percent who would strongly support or moderately support increasing moose population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the moose population in their area should be increased.) (Hunters)

- **Lives in the North/East Region (n=39)**
  - An increased likelihood that moose would damage agricultural crops: 76%
  - An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with moose: 64%
  - Forest regeneration would be negatively impacted: 62%
  - Poor health overall for the moose herd: 12%

- **Lives in the Central Region (n=29)**
  - An increased likelihood that moose would damage agricultural crops: 59%
  - An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with moose: 62%
  - Forest regeneration would be negatively impacted: 31%
  - Poor health overall for the moose herd: 14%

- **Lives in the South Region (n=25)**
  - An increased likelihood that moose would damage agricultural crops: 56%
  - An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with moose: 60%
  - Forest regeneration would be negatively impacted: 52%
  - Poor health overall for the moose herd: 33%
Percent who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose increasing moose population in area where they live if it meant the following.
(Asked of ME residents who think the moose population in their area should be increased.)
(Hunters)

- Poor health overall for the moose herd: 88%
- Forest regeneration would be negatively impacted: 52%
- An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with moose: 24%
- An increased likelihood that moose would damage agricultural crops: 12%

Lives in the North/East Region (n=39)
Lives in the Central Region (n=29)
Lives in the South Region (n=25)
Percent who would strongly oppose increasing moose population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the moose population in their area should be increased.) (Hunters)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=39)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=29)
- Lives in the South Region (n=25)

- Poor health overall for the moose herd
- Forest regeneration would be negatively impacted
- An increased likelihood of vehicle collisions with moose
- An increased likelihood that moose would damage agricultural crops
On a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important do you think each of the following factors should be for managing the moose population in Maine? (Hunters)

- Lives in the North/East Region (85 ≤ n ≤ 102)
- Lives in the Central Region (78 ≤ n ≤ 85)
- Lives in the South Region (69 ≤ n ≤ 83)
- Nonresident (47 ≤ n ≤ 59)

- The health of the typical moose in the herd
- Providing moose hunting opportunities
- The opportunity to see moose
- Risk of vehicle collisions with moose
- Impacts on habitat
- Damage to agricultural crops

Mean

0 2 4 6 8 10
Do you support or oppose legal hunting as a method to help manage the moose populations in Maine? (Hunters)

- Strongly support
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 89
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 86
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 89
  - Nonresident (n=180): 92

- Moderately support
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 9
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 9
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 6
  - Nonresident (n=180):

- Neither support nor oppose
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 1
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 1
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 1
  - Nonresident (n=180):

- Moderately oppose
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 0
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 2
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 1
  - Nonresident (n=180): 1

- Strongly oppose
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 1
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 1
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 2
  - Nonresident (n=180): 0

- Don't know
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 1
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 0
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 1
  - Nonresident (n=180): 0
Would you support or oppose adjusting the hunting harvest of female moose to help minimize the impacts of parasites and improve the overall health of the moose population, even if it meant fewer moose in Maine? (Hunters)

- **Strongly support**: Lives in the North/East Region (n=262), Lives in the Central Region (n=258), Lives in the South Region (n=256), Nonresident (n=180)
  - 51% (57%)
  - 55% (64%)
  - 25 (24)
  - 19
  - 6 (3)
  - 7 (8)
  - 5 (4)
  - 3 (1)

- **Moderately support**: Lives in the North/East Region (n=262), Lives in the Central Region (n=258), Lives in the South Region (n=256), Nonresident (n=180)
  - 25 (24)
  - 25
  - 25
  - 19

- **Neither support nor oppose**: Lives in the North/East Region (n=262), Lives in the Central Region (n=258), Lives in the South Region (n=256), Nonresident (n=180)
  - 6 (3)
  - 7 (8)

- **Moderately oppose**: Lives in the North/East Region (n=262), Lives in the Central Region (n=258), Lives in the South Region (n=256), Nonresident (n=180)
  - 5 (4)
  - 4
  - 3
  - 1

- **Strongly oppose**: Lives in the North/East Region (n=262), Lives in the Central Region (n=258), Lives in the South Region (n=256), Nonresident (n=180)
  - 9 (7)
  - 7
  - 5
  - 3

- **Don't know**: Lives in the North/East Region (n=262), Lives in the Central Region (n=258), Lives in the South Region (n=256), Nonresident (n=180)
  - 4 (4)
  - 4
  - 4

Percent
Do you support or oppose the current moose hunting season in Southern Maine? (Hunters)

- **Strongly support**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 33%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 43%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 51%
  - Nonresident (n=180): 60%

- **Moderately support**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 27%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 22%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 17%
  - Nonresident (n=180): 19%

- **Neither support nor oppose**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 12%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 10%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 8%
  - Nonresident (n=180): 7%

- **Moderately oppose**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 2%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 4%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 3%
  - Nonresident (n=180): 3%

- **Strongly oppose**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 3%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 7%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 8%
  - Nonresident (n=180): 2%

- **Don't know**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 23%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 14%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 19%
  - Nonresident (n=180): 19%
Bear Management—Hunters
Ratings of bear management.
Opinions on the size of the bear population.
Support for increasing bear population with various caveats.
Factors to be considered in managing bear.
Support for/opposition to hunting as a way to manage bear.
Opinion on allowing bear populations to expand south.
Overall, how would you rate bear management in Maine? (Hunters)

- **Excellent**: Lives in the North/East Region (n=262) - 35, Lives in the Central Region (n=258) - 34, Lives in the South Region (n=256) - 39, Nonresident (n=180) - 39
- **Good**: Lives in the North/East Region (n=262) - 34, Lives in the Central Region (n=258) - 38, Lives in the South Region (n=256) - 33, Nonresident (n=180) - 35
- **Fair**: Lives in the North/East Region (n=262) - 12, Lives in the Central Region (n=258) - 12, Lives in the South Region (n=256) - 3, Nonresident (n=180) - 3
- **Poor**: Lives in the North/East Region (n=262) - 2, Lives in the Central Region (n=258) - 3, Lives in the South Region (n=256) - 1, Nonresident (n=180) - 9
- **Don't know**: Lives in the North/East Region (n=262) - 14, Lives in the Central Region (n=258) - 13, Lives in the South Region (n=256) - 12, Nonresident (n=180) - 27
Overall, how would you rate bear management in Maine? (Hunters)

- **Excellent**: 38%
  - Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=396)
  - Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=326)
  - Most often hunts in the South Region (n=234)

- **Good**: 36%
  - Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=396)
  - Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=326)
  - Most often hunts in the South Region (n=234)

- **Fair**: 12%
  - Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=396)
  - Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=326)
  - Most often hunts in the South Region (n=234)

- **Poor**: 3%
  - Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=396)
  - Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=326)
  - Most often hunts in the South Region (n=234)

- **Don't know**: 15%
  - Most often hunts in the North/East Region (n=396)
  - Most often hunts in the Central Region (n=326)
  - Most often hunts in the South Region (n=234)
In your opinion, should the bear population in the area where you live be increased, remain the same, or be decreased? Or are there no bear in the area where you live? (Asked of Maine residents.) (Hunters)

![Bar chart showing opinions on bear population by region]

- Increased
- Remain the same
- Decreased
- There are no bear in the area
- Don't know

Legend:
- Lives in the North/East Region (n=138)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=137)
- Lives in the South Region (n=125)
Percent who would strongly support increasing bear population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the bear population in their area should be increased.) (Hunters)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=11)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=9)
- Lives in the South Region (n=12)

- Additional or special bear hunting seasons had to be opened to manage the bear population: 78% in North/East, 75% in Central, 78% in South.
- Private citizens would be required to resolve bear nuisance issues on their own: 44% in North/East, 42% in Central, 44% in South.
- Private citizens would be required to purchase bear-resistant garbage containers: 56% in North/East, 42% in Central, 56% in South.
- Government staff would need to spend more time on bear nuisance or conflict complaints: 44% in North/East, 44% in Central, 44% in South.
- An increased risk to public safety: 56% in North/East, 18% in Central, 56% in South.
- More bears had to be killed in response to conflicts: 33% in North/East, 33% in Central, 33% in South.
- An increased likelihood that bear would damage agricultural crops, gardens, and beehives: 44% in North/East, 18% in Central, 44% in South.
- Increased likelihood that bear would damage private property in general: 45% in North/East, 17% in Central, 33% in South.
- Poor health overall for the bear population: 33% in North/East, 17% in Central, 33% in South.
Percent who would strongly support or moderately support increasing bear population in area where they live if it meant the following.
(Asked of ME residents who think the bear population in their area should be increased.)
(Hunters)

- Additional or special bear hunting seasons had to be opened to manage the bear population: 91% (Lives in the North/East Region n=11), 83% (Lives in the Central Region n=9), 67% (Lives in the South Region n=12)
- More bears had to be killed in response to conflicts: 55% (Lives in the North/East Region), 67% (Lives in the Central Region), 67% (Lives in the South Region)
- Private citizens would be required to resolve bear nuisance issues on their own: 55% (Lives in the North/East Region), 67% (Lives in the Central Region), 67% (Lives in the South Region)
- Increased likelihood that bear would damage private property in general: 55% (Lives in the North/East Region), 67% (Lives in the Central Region), 67% (Lives in the South Region)
- Government staff would need to spend more time on bear nuisance or conflict complaints: 45% (Lives in the North/East Region), 50% (Lives in the Central Region), 64% (Lives in the South Region)
- An increased risk to public safety: 33% (Lives in the North/East Region), 50% (Lives in the Central Region), 64% (Lives in the South Region)
- Private citizens would be required to purchase bear-resistant garbage containers: 45% (Lives in the North/East Region), 42% (Lives in the Central Region), 78% (Lives in the South Region)
- An increased likelihood that bear would damage agricultural crops, gardens, and beehives: 45% (Lives in the North/East Region), 50% (Lives in the Central Region), 67% (Lives in the South Region)
- Poor health overall for the bear population: 9% (Lives in the North/East Region), 25% (Lives in the Central Region), 33% (Lives in the South Region)
Percent who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose increasing bear population in area where they live if it meant the following.
(Asked of ME residents who think the bear population in their area should be increased.)
(Hunters)

- Poor health overall for the bear population: 82% in the North/East Region, 67% in the Central Region, 55% in the South Region.
- An increased likelihood that bear would damage agricultural crops, gardens, and beehives: 55% in the North/East Region, 42% in the Central Region, 36% in the South Region.
- An increased risk to public safety: 36% in the North/East Region, 30% in the Central Region, 22% in the South Region.
- Private citizens would be required to purchase bear-resistant garbage containers: 55% in the North/East Region, 33% in the Central Region, 22% in the South Region.
- Government staff would need to spend more time on bear nuisance or conflict complaints: 55% in the North/East Region, 25% in the Central Region, 22% in the South Region.
- Increased likelihood that bear would damage private property in general: 36% in the North/East Region, 33% in the Central Region, 22% in the South Region.
- Private citizens would be required to resolve bear nuisance issues on their own: 36% in the North/East Region, 25% in the Central Region, 22% in the South Region.
- More bears had to be killed in response to conflicts: 27% in the North/East Region, 25% in the Central Region, 22% in the South Region.
- Additional or special bear hunting seasons had to be opened to manage the bear population: 9% in the North/East Region, 8% in the Central Region, 9% in the South Region.
Percent who would strongly oppose increasing bear population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the bear population in their area should be increased.) (Hunters)

- Poor health overall for the bear population
- Private citizens would be required to resolve bear nuisance issues on their own
- Private citizens would be required to purchase bear-resistant garbage containers
- More bears had to be killed in response to conflicts
- An increased likelihood that bear would damage agricultural crops, gardens, and beehives
- An increased risk to public safety
- Government staff would need to spend more time on bear nuisance or conflict complaints
- Increased likelihood that bear would damage private property in general
- Additional or special bear hunting seasons had to be opened to manage the bear population

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=11)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=9)
- Lives in the South Region (n=12)
On a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important do you think each of the following factors should be for managing the bear population in Maine? (Hunters)

- The health of the typical bear (Mean: 8.0)
- Providing bear hunting opportunities (Mean: 8.0)
- Risks to public safety (Mean: 5.0)
- Impacts on other wildlife (Mean: 5.3)
- Risk to pets or livestock (Mean: 5.0)
- Damage to agricultural crops (Mean: 4.5)
- Risk of vehicle collisions with bear (Mean: 3.7)
- Damage to gardens (Mean: 3.6)

Legend:
- Lives in the North/East Region (80≤n≤89)
- Lives in the Central Region (68≤n≤94)
- Lives in the South Region (68≤n≤84)
- Nonresident (43≤n≤57)
Do you support or oppose allowing the bear population to expand further into central and southern Maine? (Hunters)

- **Strongly support**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 35%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 36%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 36%
  - Nonresident (n=180): 52%

- **Moderately support**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 24%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 24%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 28%

- **Neither support nor oppose**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 10%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 9%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 8%

- **Moderately oppose**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 13%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 17%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 10%

- **Strongly oppose**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 10%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 13%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 9%

- **Don't know**
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262): 8%
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258): 4%
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256): 4%
  - Nonresident (n=180): 2%
Turkey Management—Hunters
Ratings of turkey management.
Opinions on the size of the turkey population.
Support for increasing turkey population with various caveats.
Factors to be considered in managing turkey.
Support for/opposition to hunting as a way to manage turkey.
Opinion on methods to control turkey if they become overabundant.
Overall, how would you rate turkey management in Maine? (Hunters)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=258)
- Lives in the South Region (n=256)
- Nonresident (n=180)
Overall, how would you rate turkey management in Maine? (Hunters)

- **Excellent**
  - North/East Region (n=396): 18%
  - Central Region (n=326): 25%
  - South Region (n=234): 33%

- **Good**
  - North/East Region (n=396): 36%
  - Central Region (n=326): 33%
  - South Region (n=234): 33%

- **Fair**
  - North/East Region (n=396): 14%
  - Central Region (n=326): 17%
  - South Region (n=234): 14%

- **Poor**
  - North/East Region (n=396): 9%
  - Central Region (n=326): 15%
  - South Region (n=234): 15%

- **Don't know**
  - North/East Region (n=396): 23%
  - Central Region (n=326): 11%
  - South Region (n=234): 6%
In your opinion, should the turkey population in the area where you live be increased, remain the same, or be decreased? Or are there no turkey in the area where you live? (Asked of Maine residents.) (Hunters)

- Increased: 30%
- Remain the same: 53%
- Decreased: 35%
- There are no turkey in the area: 8%
- Don't know: 1%

Lives in the North/East Region (n=118)
Lives in the Central Region (n=133)
Lives in the South Region (n=135)
Private citizens would be required to resolve
turkey nuisance issues on their own

An increase in predator populations, such as
bobcat or coyotes

An increased likelihood that turkey would
damage gardens or landscaping

An increased likelihood that turkey would
damage agricultural crops

That Department biologists would spend more
time on turkey management and less time on
other species

Poor health overall for the turkey population

More turkey would die from starvation during
winter
Percent who would strongly support or moderately support increasing turkey population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the turkey population in their area should be increased.) (Hunters)

- Private citizens would be required to resolve turkey nuisance issues on their own
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=35)
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=11)
  - Lives in the South Region (n=13)

- An increased likelihood that turkey would damage gardens or landscaping

- An increased likelihood that turkey would damage agricultural crops

- An increase in predator populations, such as bobcat or coyotes

- That Department biologists would spend more time on turkey management and less time on other species

- Poor health overall for the turkey population

- More turkey would die from starvation during winter
Percent who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose increasing turkey population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the turkey population in their area should be increased.)

(Hunters)

- More turkey would die from starvation during winter
- Poor health overall for the turkey population
- An increased likelihood that turkey would damage agricultural crops
- That Department biologists would spend more time on turkey management and less time on other species
- An increased likelihood that turkey would damage gardens or landscaping
- An increase in predator populations, such as bobcat or coyotes
- Private citizens would be required to resolve turkey nuisance issues on their own

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>North/East Region (n=35)</th>
<th>Central Region (n=11)</th>
<th>South Region (n=13)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More turkey would die from starvation during winter</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor health overall for the turkey population</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood that turkey would damage agricultural crops</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>That Department biologists would spend more time on turkey management</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and less time on other species</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increased likelihood that turkey would damage gardens or landscaping</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An increase in predator populations, such as bobcat or coyotes</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private citizens would be required to resolve turkey nuisance issues on their own</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Percent who would strongly oppose increasing turkey population in area where they live if it meant the following. (Asked of ME residents who think the turkey population in their area should be increased.) (Hunters)

- More turkey would die from starvation during winter
- Poor health overall for the turkey population
- An increased likelihood that turkey would damage gardens or landscaping
- That Department biologists would spend more time on turkey management and less time on other species
- An increased likelihood that turkey would damage agricultural crops
- An increase in predator populations, such as bobcat or coyotes
- Private citizens would be required to resolve turkey nuisance issues on their own

Legend:
- Lives in the North/East Region (n=35)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=11)
- Lives in the South Region (n=13)
On a scale of 0 to 10, where 0 is not at all important and 10 is extremely important, how important do you think each of the following factors should be for managing the turkey population in Maine? (Hunters)

- Providing turkey hunting opportunities
- The health of the typical turkey in the population
- The opportunity to see wild turkey
- Damage to agricultural crops
- Damage to gardens
- The risk of vehicle collisions with turkey

- Lives in the North/East Region (72≤n≤82)
- Lives in the Central Region (82≤n≤94)
- Lives in the South Region (77≤n≤105)
- Nonresident (53≤n≤68)
Opinions of Residents, Landowners, and Hunters on Deer, Moose, Bear, and Turkey

Do you support or oppose legal hunting as a method to help manage the turkey population in Maine? (Hunters)

- Strongly support
- Moderately support
- Neither support nor oppose
- Moderately oppose
- Strongly oppose
- Don’t know

Percent

Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)
Lives in the Central Region (n=258)
Lives in the South Region (n=256)
Nonresident (n=180)
Percent of respondents who would strongly support each of the following turkey management options in areas of Maine where the turkey population became overabundant. (Hunters)

- Increasing the number of turkeys that hunters can harvest in areas experiencing damage by turkey
- Implementing a controlled hunt in areas experiencing damage by turkeys
- Allowing landowners to apply for a permit to remove turkeys that are causing problems on their land
- Extending the hunting season later into the fall or winter

![Bar chart showing percent of respondents who would strongly support each option by region and nonresident status.]

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=118)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=133)
- Lives in the South Region (n=135)
- Nonresident (n=99)
Percent of respondents who would strongly support or moderately support each of the following turkey management options in areas of Maine where the turkey population became overabundant. (Hunters)

- Increasing the number of turkeys that hunters can harvest in areas experiencing damage by turkey
- Implementing a controlled hunt in areas experiencing damage by turkeys
- Allowing landowners to apply for a permit to remove turkeys that are causing problems on their land
- Extending the hunting season later into the fall or winter

Percent of respondents who would strongly support or moderately support each of the following turkey management options in areas of Maine where the turkey population became overabundant. (Hunters)

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=118)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=133)
- Lives in the South Region (n=135)
- Nonresident (n=99)
Percent of respondents who would moderately oppose or strongly oppose each of the following turkey management options in areas of Maine where the turkey population became overabundant. (Hunters)

- Extending the hunting season later into the fall or winter
- Allowing landowners to apply for a permit to remove turkeys that are causing problems on their land
- Implementing a controlled hunt in areas experiencing damage by turkeys
- Increasing the number of turkeys that hunters can harvest in areas experiencing damage by turkey

Lives in the North/East Region (n=118)
Lives in the Central Region (n=133)
Lives in the South Region (n=135)
Nonresident (n=99)
Percent of respondents who would strongly oppose each of the following turkey management options in areas of Maine where the turkey population became overabundant. (Hunters)

- Allowing landowners to apply for a permit to remove turkeys that are causing problems on their land
- Implementing a controlled hunt in areas experiencing damage by turkeys
- Extending the hunting season later into the fall or winter
- Increasing the number of turkeys that hunters can harvest in areas experiencing damage by turkeys

Legend:
- Lives in the North/East Region (n=118)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=133)
- Lives in the South Region (n=135)
- Nonresident (n=99)
Demographic Data—Hunters
Gender.
Age.
Education.
Residential character of where residence is located.

Respondent’s gender (not asked; observed by interviewer). (Hunters)
May I ask your age? (Hunters)

North/East mean: 52.2  
Central mean: 50.9  
South mean: 50.6  
Nonresident mean: 54.0

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=258)
- Lives in the South Region (n=256)
- Nonresident (n=180)
What is the highest level of education you have completed? (Hunters)

- Not a high school graduate
- High school graduate or equivalent
- Some college or trade school, no degree
- Associate's or trade school degree
- Bachelor's degree
- Master's degree
- Professional or doctorate degree
- Don't know
- Refused

- Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)
- Lives in the Central Region (n=258)
- Lives in the South Region (n=256)
- Nonresident (n=180)
Do you consider your place of residence to be a large city or urban area, a suburban area, a small city or town, a rural area on a farm, or a rural area not on a farm? (Hunters)

- Large city or urban area
  - Lives in the North/East Region (n=262)
  - Lives in the Central Region (n=258)
  - Lives in the South Region (n=256)
  - Nonresident (n=180)

- Suburban area
- Small city or town
- Rural area on a farm or ranch
- Rural area NOT on a farm or ranch
- Don't know
- Refused

Percent
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