ANNUAL REPORT 1990

COMMISSION ON GOVERNMENTAL ETHICS AND ELECTION PRACTICES



INDEX

Administration	2, 3
Advisory recommendations	
Financial disclosure	4
Campaign financing	5
Audits	7
Commission members	1
Complaints	6
Computerization	9
Congressional candidates, U.S., 1990 spending	29, 30
Counsel	3
County candidates, contributions/expenditures	25-28
Ethics seminar	6
Fair campaign practices code	3, 6
Filings	7
Gubernatorial candidate contributions, expenditures, cost per vote	11, 12, 13
House candidates, contributions/expenditures	17-24
House candidates, ten most expensive campaigns, cost per vote	16
Municipal candidates	8
Objectives, 1991	10
Political action committees, 1990 spending	31-37
Publications	9
Secretary of State	3
Senate candidates, contributions/expenditures	14, 15
Senate candidates, five most expensive campaigns, cost per vote	13
Senatorial candidates, U.S., 1990 spending	30
Subcommittee activity	3-4

COMMISSION MEMBERS AS OF MAY 1990

*Chairman

Paul K. McCann

Surry

By election of Commission members 5/8/90

**Members

Appointing Authority

Henry G. Beyer

Cape Elizabeth

House Minority Leader Mary Clark Webster

Gregory G. Cyr

Portage

House Speaker John L. Martin

Joseph B. Ezhaya

Waterville

House Majority Leader Dan Gwadosky

Richard H. Pierce

Waterville

Senate Minority Leader Charles Webster

Richard L. Trafton

Auburn

Senate Majority Leader Nancy Randall Clark

Paul E. Violette

Portland

Senate President Charles P. Pray

COMMISSION STAFF

Director

Marilyn Canavan

Members

Timothy Cotnoir

Annette Jones

COMMISSION COUNSEL

Assistant Attorney General William Stokes

^{*}Outgoing chair: Arthur L. Lerman

^{**}Commission members were appointed in January 1990 and will serve a two year term from the date of appointment or until a successor is appointed and qualified.

The 1990 Commission includes five new members and two incumbents. This was an exceptionally busy year for the Commission. Not only were there Senate and House elections to plan for, but a Gubernatorial election as well. Although required to meet only four to six times during an election year, the Commission and its subcommittee met a total of nine times. In addition to reviewing complaints, the Commission ruled on the lateness of reports, issued advisory recommendations on campaign reporting and financial disclosure, proposed revisions in the ethics and campaign finance laws, and clarified procedures for handling of complaints.

NEW STAFF

In 1989, a Blue Ribbon Commission consisting of former Governor Kenneth Curtis, Congressman Stan Tupper, and Professor Eugene Mawhinney recommended that the Commission be given adequate staff to carry out its duties. To its credit, the Legislature approved two full-time staff positions, both of which were filled in February 1990. Additional staff made it possible to increase efforts to reach out and acquaint people involved in the political process with their responsibilities. The Commission believes that this type of activity reduces the number of violations of campaign law and makes participation in the process more enjoyable.

ADMINISTRATION

Staff Functions

To administer its affairs, the Commission employs a staff of three full-time employees. They are the commissioners' day-to-day presence in the office: the link between those who determine policy and priority (the commissioners) and those who carry it out (the staff). The director serves as spokesperson and liaison between the Legislature and the Commission, consulting with a Commission legislative subcommittee on issues. In the interim between commission meetings, the director consults with the chairman of the Commission on important matters.

The staff advises and assists Legislators, candidates, treasurers, political action committees, and others with questions concerning the requirements of laws within the Commission's jurisdiction. Throughout the business day, staff is available to answer questions over the telephone or in person in the Commission office. Staff also spends many hours assisting candidates in completing forms and reports required by law. Other duties include research, budget preparation, literature development, compilation of statistical information, response to written requests for information from both in-state and out-of-state entities, report audit, and filling of requests for photocopies of campaign finance reports and other public documents. Finally, the staff tries to keep abreast of significant issues relating to ethics and campaign financing on the national as well as the state level and brings relevant concerns to the attention of the Commission.

Commission Counsel

The Attorney General's staff advises the Commission and serves as counsel in proceedings. In recent years, campaigns have become more sophisticated, spending has skyrocketed, and political action committees (PACs) have played an increasingly prominent role in elections. This has resulted in more requests for legal advice on ever more complex issues. Consequently, in 1990, Commission counsel spent more time than ever before in advising the Commission. The commitment of both Commission counsel and an investigator contributed significantly to the overall effectiveness of the Commission.

Secretary of State

Since the Commission is "in but not of" the Department of the Secretary of State, that Department has no legal authority over the Commission; however, it does maintain Commission financial and payroll records. In addition, a systems analyst assists with the Commission's computer program. The important support provided the Commission staff by the staff of the Secretary of State is very much appreciated by the Commission. This support allows the small Commission staff to concentrate on its primary mission.

Authority

The duties and authority of the Commission are set forth in 1 M.R.S.A. Section 1008.

Location

The Commission is located in Room 221 of the State Office Building. In 1990, the Secretary of State renovated its quarters and reorganized its work force. As "tenants," the Commission negotiated for and was granted space in an area that is segregated from the mainstream of Secretary of State operations. The new location underscores the Commission's autonomy, while nicely accommodating new staff members; however, the Commission is still badly in need of a "public area" for patrons to review reports.

SUBCOMMITTEE ACTIVITY

In 1990, the Commission established subcommittees to issue advisory recommendations and review the following matters:

- 1. Fair Campaign Practices Code
- 2. Financial disclosure queries and legislation
- 3. Campaign finance legislation

The Commission's Fair Campaign Practices Subcommittee planned a workshop for the Spring of 1991 as part of a study mandated by P.L. 1989, Ch. 802.

The subcommittee on financial disclosure recommended amendments to the law that would exclude from reporting requirements: 1) credit card liabilities, 2) educational loans made or guaranteed by a governmental entity, educational institution or nonprofit organization, 3) loans made from a state or federally regulated financial institution for business purposes.

Subcommittee Activity (Continued)

The subcommittee on campaign finance legislation recommended simplifying current reporting requirements by eliminating dual filing for candidates and their committees. An additional proposal would tighten the law by requiring out-of-state political action committees to register and report to the Commission.

Bipartisan sponsors were obtained for all legislation proposed by the Commission.

ADVISORY RECOMMENDATIONS ON FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

The Commission approved the following guidelines for legislators:

- Income from IRA's is considered deferred income for tax purposes and thus reportable on statements of sources of income.
- In reporting income from a self-directed IRA, the names of each entity from which the filer derives dividends of \$1,000 or more must be reported.
- In reporting income from a self-directed IRA in which no one investment of the IRA yields \$1,000 or more, but the aggregate of all such investments yields \$1,000, the IRA must be listed as an income source.
- Where an individual owns stock in several different firms engaging in essentially the same economic activity that collectively yield \$1,000 or more, but no one of which yields \$1,000 or more, the sources of income need not be reported.
- Credit card liabilities of \$3,000 or more are considered unsecured loans and hence reportable. Likewise, lines of credit of \$3,000 or more are reportable.
- Sources of income derived from investments of a corporation in which the filer owns a substantial interest need not be reported.
- Where a filer has sole-proprietorship, he/she must report sources of income of \$1,000 or more derived from investments of that proprietorship.
- Sources of income from rentals must be disclosed even if no profit was realized from the rental.
- Only in commercial rentals must the name and address of tenants be reported. The names of tenants in noncommercial rentals need not be disclosed.
- Where there is joint ownership (as with a spouse or other) of stocks or other investments, and the total amount of income realized from those stocks is \$1,000 or more, the source of income must be disclosed.

ADVISORY RECOMMENDATIONS ON CAMPAIGN FINANCE LAWS

Commission staff issues ad hoc recommendations on questions concerning application of the campaign finance laws. Where questions touch on areas of the law that are subject to interpretation, Commission members provide guidance. The Commission issued the following recommendations during 1990:

- Funds transferred from a congressional to a gubernatorial campaign are subject to the contribution limitations set forth in 21-A M.R.S.A. Section 1014.
- Contributors may not designate for use in a general election any portion of a contribution made to a candidate prior to the primary election.
- Party committees are subject to the contribution limitation set forth in 21-A M.R.S.A. Section 1015(2).
- Funds accepted after an election by a candidate who has met all financial obligations could be deemed a gift if the candidate does not seek reelection.
- Under the reporting laws, the term "consulting" is sufficient to describe an expenditure.
- A candidate need not reprint signs and other printed materials to delete the name of a treasurer who resigns during the campaign.
- The law is silent on the question of whether a candidate who served as Legislator in 1986-87 may represent him/herself as an incumbent on campaign literature.
- A candidate need not report the occupation and place of business of individuals who make a joint contribution of \$100.00 or less to his/her campaign.
- The law is silent on the question of whether a subsidiary or affiliate of a corporation is considered a separate entity for purposes of the contribution limitations.
- Where candidate "A" appears in a TV advertisement produced by her spouse (candidate "B") for the sole purpose of furthering his candidacy, and where candidate A's name, office, and occupation are not mentioned in the ad, no part of the cost of the ad would constitute an in-kind contribution from candidate B to candidate A. Where candidate B introduces candidate A by title or states the fact of her candidacy, part of the cost of the ad would constitute a reportable in-kind contribution, the fair market value of which would be determined by the candidates.

ETHICS SEMINAR

In accordance with P.L. 1989, Ch. 561: An Act Regarding Governmental Ethics, the Commission conducted an ethics seminar for legislators in December 1990, in conjunction with the Attorney General and the Chair of the Legislative Council. Commission staff provided instructions for completing statements of income and Commission counsel discussed the responsibilities of Legislators under the conflict of interest laws. Counsel also distributed to newly elected legislators a compilation of the legislative ethics laws and a summary of advisory opinions pertaining to ethics and conduct. The Commission chairman urged legislators to consult with Commission staff on questions relating to conflicts of interest.

FAIR CAMPAIGN PRACTICES CODE

In accordance with P.L. 1989, Ch. 802, the Commission distributed to Senate and House candidates forms containing a copy of the Maine Code of Fair Campaign Practices. Of 336 candidates who received forms, 217 subscribed to the Code.

COMPLAINTS

When complaints are filed, the director conducts preliminary investigations and, in consultation with Commission Counsel, submits findings and recommendations to the Commission. The Commission then reviews the facts and determines whether a violation was committed and a penalty warranted. Complaints filed during calendar year 1990 totalled 17. A breakdown by category follows:

Number of complaints	Alleged violation
7	Disclaimer law
3	Fair Campaign Practices Code
2	Election laws
1	Contribution limitations
1	Conflict of interest
3	Other

The Commission investigated to determine the facts and obtain compliance regarding all reported disclaimer violations. A lengthy investigation of one such violation, conducted in conjunction with the Attorney General's office, was successfully concluded in January 1991. Complaints dealing with the Fair Campaign Practices Code were referred to the Commission's Subcommittee to study compliance with the Code. Complaints relating to alleged election violations and those categorized "other" were deemed not to be within the scope of the Commission's jurisdiction. The Commission conducted an extensive preliminary investigation regarding an allegation of noncompliance with contribution limitations, and upon reviewing the facts, voted not to pursue the matter. A complaint alleging conflict of interest was reviewed and filed, the Commission finding no basis for hearing the complaint.

COMMISSION FILINGS

Reports Processed

Much of what the Commission does revolves around filing of campaign finance reports. The Commission's reporting clients and the number of filings processed by the Commission during 1990 are as follows:

State and county candidates	2,034
State political action committees	560
Federal PACs	525
Financial disclosure - State Legislators	186
Senatorial and congressional candidates	79
Financial disclosure - Federal candidates	17
Party committees	10
Independent expenditure	1

Total number of reports processed 3,412

Report Audits

Commission staff reviews and audits filings of state and county candidates and state political action committees and makes periodic reports to the Commission on findings. Report review enables staff to contact filing entities and assist them in correcting and/or clarifying discrepancies in the reports. When errors and violations are found in reports, attempts are made to remedy the matter on an administrative level by notifying filers of the problems. If this fails to produce a response, the report is brought before the Commission who then determines whether the report substantially conforms to the law. In 1990, all deficient reports were duly amended, thus no filings were reviewed by the Commission.

The trend of candidates and political action committees to file lengthier and more detailed reports continued in 1990 making the audit process more complex and time consuming than in previous years. This was especially true of gubernatorial candidate reports. A total of 1868 candidate reports and 560 PAC reports underwent audit. A summary of staff findings follows:

Candidate reports

Number of reports with errors or violations 433

Technical errors 388 Violations 374

Total errors and violations 762

Political action committees

Number of reports with errors or violations 52

Technical errors 33 Violations 34

Total errors and violations 67

Report Audits (Continued)

A total of 155 candidates showed surpluses or deficits of more than \$50.00 on post-election reports. Those candidates will be required to show the disposition of surplus funds in semiannual filings.

Late Filers

Before filing deadlines, Commission staff sends notices to state and county candidates and political action committees. A total of 6,930 reminders were mailed in 1990. Additionally, late notices went out to delinquent filers in accordance with 21-A M.R.S.A. Section 1020. The Commission considered the lateness of campaign finance reports and registrations required of state and county candidates, political committees, and political action committees engaged in state and county political campaigns. After reviewing the filings of 45 candidates and 5 political action committees involved in the 1990 primary and general elections, the Commission ruled that 37 candidate reports and 2 political action committee reports were filed late. Also considered were late filings of three candidate revocation notices. The Commission determined that two such notices were filed late.

Fines were imposed as follows:

Candidates \$2,495.00 PACs 500.00

Total fines \$2,995.00

The total amount collected in calendar year 1990 -- \$3,602.00 -- includes \$1,227.00 in penalties owed from 1989. At the end of calendar year 1990, there remained \$620.00 in uncollected penalties.

The Commission considered the lateness of 25 candidate registrations and granted amnesty to all late filers.

MUNICIPAL CANDIDATES

At the request of the Legal Affairs Committee, Commission staff conducted a study of municipal candidate compliance with the campaign finance laws. Upon reviewing results, the Commission directed staff to provide municipal clerks with a summary of recording and reporting requirements to ensure that municipal candidates are duly notified of their legal responsibilities and that information provided them is consistent with that offered to state and county candidates. Accordingly, staff disseminated campaign literature, along with standardized registration and reporting forms, to all municipal clerks employed by cities of 10,000 or more -- candidates in those cities being governed by 30-A M.R.S.A., Section 2502, subsection 1.

COMPUTERIZATION

For the first time since its creation, the Commission used computer generated mailing lists to send notices to candidates, treasurers, and political action committees. The staff also keyed in total receipts and total expenditures of the 1990 elections. The information is used for two purposes: 1) public information 2) as a staff reference for determining candidates' financial status at the end of a campaign. The staff has been working with the systems analyst to add to and improve the existing program; however, the one computer shared by all staff members is inadequate for producing needed programs. Adding equipment and expanding the computer function would result in a smoother work flow and allow staff to devote more time to counseling people in the political process. A request for additional funds for computers was delayed because of the economic problems facing state government.

PUBLICATIONS

The Commission staff developed a candidate brochure and distributed 535 copies to newly registered candidates. Staff also prepared a summary of guidelines on campaign financing for inclusion in "Running for Office in Maine," a publication distributed by the Secretary of State. The Commission filled 375 requests for copies of the campaign finance laws, and 62 requests for copies of the laws on legislative ethics.

Other documents revised and distributed by the Commission in 1990 include:

Campaign finance reporting forms:

State and county candidates

State political action committees

48 hour reports

Registration forms:

State and county candidates

Political action committees

Candidate filing schedules:

State and county

Federal

Gubernatorial

Political action committees

Legislator sources of income statements

Termination forms for political action committees

Roster of political action committees currently registered in Maine Roster of 1990 candidates for State and County office and their treasurers

Campaign reports and finances law Laws on legislative ethics

Other available information includes:

*Totals of contributions and expenditures for:

State and county candidates

Gubernatorial candidates

State political action committees

U.S. congressional and senatorial candidates

*All totals included in this report.

1991 OBJECTIVES

As campaign costs mount and candidates are forced to wage increasingly difficult campaigns, the Commission, in turn, is called upon more and more to assist candidates with questions that are not expressly addressed in the campaign finance laws. For this reason, the Commission planned a public workshop in 1991 to air relevant issues and review the laws governing candidates and political action committees. Current budget constraints have forced the Commission to postpone the workshop until later; however, the Commission will continue to focus on timely issues in regular meetings.

BREAKDOWN OF RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES FOR JOHN MCKERNAN FOR GOVERNOR 1990

RECEIPTS:

Busin	Businesses/Corporations/Association			622,520.23
Indiv	iduals \$500 or	more		516,800.00
Party	Committees/Po	litical Committees		45,754.00
PACs:	In State	\$16,600.00		
	Out of State	24,250.00		40,850.00
Other				352,133.98
TOTAL	RECEIPTS		<u>\$1</u>	.,578,058.21

EXPENSES:

Media (Television and Print)	\$	798,000.37
Payroll/Salaries		59,886.05
Mail		123,095.47
Printing		98,571.35
Consulting		186,372.01
Poll		54,566.67
Research		2,500.00
Refunds		11,100.00
Miscellaneous		223,674.05
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$1	,557,765.97

BREAKDOWN OF RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES FOR JOSEPH BRENNAN FOR GOVERNOR 1990

RECEIPTS:

Businesses/Corporations/Associations	\$ 513,683.94
Individuals \$500 or more	410,001.03
Unions	23,850.00
Party Committees/Political Committees	28,932.81
PACs: In State \$ 41,717.00	
Out of State <u>113,249.50</u>	154,966.50
Other	354,431.01
TOTAL RECEIPTS	\$1,485,865.29

EXPENSES:

Media (Television and Print)	\$	753,409.56
Payroll/Salaries		116,998.08
Mail		59,901.25
Printing		50,998.60
Consulting		205,772.07
Poll		8,750.00
Research		2,000.00
Miscellaneous		283,942.42
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$1	,481,771.98

1990 ELECTION THE GUBERNATORIAL CAMPAIGN

<u>Candidate</u>	Amount Spent	Votes Rec'd.	Cost per Vote
John McKernan	\$ 1,557,765.97	244,366	\$ 6.38
Joseph Brennan	1,481,771.98	230,028	6.45

1990 ELECTION FIVE MOST EXPENSIVE SENATE CAMPAIGNS

<u>District</u>	<u>Candidate</u>	Amount Spent	Votes Rec'd.	Cost / Vote
18	McCormick Weymouth	\$ 51,687.51 <u>26,256.44</u> 77,943.95	9,392 8,116	\$ 5.50 3.24
5	Pray Hall	\$ 52,920.12	7,241 6,147	\$ 7.31 3.15
27	Rich Nelson	\$ 26,973.15 41,787.99 68,761.14	9,702 9,202	\$ 2.78 4.55
10	Baldacci Willey	\$ 33,460.14 <u>29,443.17</u> 62,903.31	6,801 5,811	\$ 4.99 5.07
6	Pearson Paradis	\$ 22,947.82 35,297.31 58,245.13	8,865 5,936	\$ 2.59 5.95

Date Printed: 05/03/91

Candidates for State Senate

					** Donorm	anta daduatad
			4 Maka 1	То но 1		ents deducted
D:	01:1-6-		* Total	Total	**Current	Cash
Dist.	Candidate		Contributions	Expenditures	Loans	Balance
1	Theriault	R.	\$3,405.20	\$3,350.34	\$0.00	\$54.86
1	Theriault	R.	\$10,067.70	\$9,895.71	\$0.00	\$171.99
1	Towns	W.	\$2,250.64	\$2,032.56	\$0.00	\$218.08
2	Collins	D.	\$10,829.33	\$6,364.49	\$0.00	\$4,464.84
3	Ludwig	М.	\$12,143.50	\$10,454.84	\$0.00	\$1,688.66
3	Taylor	R.	\$9,800.41	\$9,800.41	\$0.00	\$0.00
4	Webster	С.	\$16,175.32	\$14,340.93	\$0.00	\$1,834.39
4	White	D.	\$2,817.31	\$2,817.31	\$0.00	\$0.00
4	White	D.	\$15,531.05	\$15,483.23	\$0.00	\$47.82
5	Hall	S.	\$19,390.00	\$19,390.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
5	Morrow	D.	\$375.38	\$355.38	\$0.00	\$20.00
5	Pray	C.	\$53,853.28	\$52,920.12	\$0.00	\$933.16
6	Paradis	Ε.	\$35,297.31	\$35,297.31	\$0.00	\$0.00
6	Pearson	М.	\$24,771.03	\$22,947.82	\$0.00	\$1,823.21
7	Randa11	Ε.	\$13,555.00	\$13,508.77	\$0.00	\$46.23
7	Vose	Н.	\$14,552.71	\$14,552.71	\$0.00	\$0.00
8	Boivin	J.	\$1,138.90	\$1,138.90	\$0.00	\$0.00
8	Hotham	R.	\$20,239.00	\$20,239.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
8	Mills	J.	\$18,878.57	\$18,668.95	\$0.00	\$209.62
9	Corson	Ε.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
9	Emerson	J.	\$5,310.00	\$5,273.82	\$0.00	\$36.18
9	Perkins	С.	\$3,135.66	\$3,112.70	\$0.00	\$22.96
9	Perkins	C.	\$1,506.47	\$1,506.47	\$0.00	\$0.00
10	Baldacci	J.	\$33,500.00	\$33,460.14	\$0.00	\$39.86
10	Willey	N.	\$29,443.17	\$29,443.17	\$0.00	\$0.00
11	Bost	s.	\$15,250.41	\$12,464.64	\$0.00	\$2,785.77
11	Martin	F.	\$1,018.27	\$1,018.27	\$0.00	\$0.00
11	Martin	F.	\$1,815.54	\$1,815.54	\$0.00	\$0.00
12	Butler	W.	\$3,485.18	\$3,485.18	\$0.00	\$0.00
12	Foster	R.	\$8,145.00	\$6,802.31	\$0.00	\$1,342.69
13	Laliberty	R.	\$7,997.48	\$7,647.39	\$0.00	\$350.09
13	Matthews	z.	\$8,485.00	\$8,486.03	\$571.50	\$570.47
14	Gould	R.	\$8,936.29	\$6,760.90	\$0.00	\$2,175.39
14	Kent	C.	\$320.00	\$320.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
14	Sanborn	Ε.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
15	Twitchell	R.	\$16,086.85	\$16,086.85	\$0.00	\$0.00
16	Berube	G.	\$1,050.00	\$667.21	\$0.00	\$382.79
17	Boucher	J.	\$22,178.53	\$22,156.74	\$0.00	\$21.79
17	Kany	J.	\$13,291.45	\$13,291.45	\$0.00	\$0.00
18	McCormick	D.	\$49,831.04	\$51,687.51	\$1,900.00	\$43.53
18	Rines	N.	\$5,201.58	\$5,201.58	\$0.00	\$0.00
18	Weymouth	N.	\$26,256.44	\$26,256.44	\$0.00	\$0.00
19	Bustin	В.	\$13,578.88	\$8,448.87	\$0.00	\$5,130.01
19	Dulac	М.	\$13,692.00	\$13,659.18	\$0.00	\$32.82
17	Durac	rı.	γ±3,092.00	γ13,039.10	¥0.00	V 2 2 . 0 2

Date Printed: 05/03/91

Candidates for State Senate

Dist.	Candidate		Total Contributions	Total Expenditures	** Repaymo **Current Loans	ents deducted Cash Balance
20	Barlow	S.	\$739.27	\$739.27	\$0.00	\$0.00
20	Holloway	М.	\$6,519.85	\$4,227.67	\$0.00	\$2,292.18
21	Brawn	L.	\$12,602.88	\$12,585.68	\$0.00	\$17.20
21	Luttrell	Ρ.	\$579.93	\$579.93	\$0.00	\$0.00
21	Neilan	s.	\$29,369.57	\$29,324.32	\$0.00	\$45.25
22	Cleveland	J.	\$21,119.75	\$20,376.11	\$0.00	\$743.64
22	Turner	J.	\$27,842.78	\$28,914.30	\$1,075.00	\$3.48
23	Gauvreau	N.	\$630.00	\$622.40	\$0.00	\$7.60
24	Cahill	Ρ.	\$12,009.43	\$11,977.27	\$0.00	\$32.16
24	Therriault	R.	\$4,300.00	\$3,119.11	\$0.00	\$1,180.89
25	Simpson	L.	\$16,963.74	\$17,925.18	\$1,000.00	\$38.56
25	Titcomb	В.	\$17,704.53	\$17,003.33	\$0.00	\$701.20
26	Choate	Ρ.	\$7,223.00	\$7,223.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
26	Clark	N.	\$23,172.63	\$21,085.25	\$0.00	\$2,087.38
27	Ne1son	М.	\$43,298.00	\$41,787.99	\$0.00	\$1,510.01
27	Rich	D.	\$22,000.77	\$26,973.15	\$4,972.38	\$0.00
28	Esty	D.	\$6,441.09	\$5,835.20	\$0.00	\$605.89
29	Albert	В.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
29	Brannigan	J.	\$10,794.66	\$9,970.30	\$0.00	\$824.36
29	Rabine	J.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
30	Conley	G.	\$9,766.10	\$8,752.02	\$0.00	\$1,014.08
30	Evans	К.	\$8,400.59	\$7,977.78	\$0.00	\$422.81
30	Lambert	R.	\$9,355.96	\$9,355.96	\$0.00	\$0.00
31	Anthony	М.	\$1,308.00	\$1,308.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
31	Danton	Ρ.	\$18,820.58	\$18,820.58	\$0.00	\$0.00
31	Summers	C.	\$17,985.84	\$19,548.45	\$881.29	-\$681.32
32	Aspinall	s.	\$680.35	\$680.35	\$0.00	\$0.00
32	Gill	В.	\$16,211.22	\$15,282.36	\$0.00	\$928.86
32	Johnson	В.	\$10,214.54	\$10,214.54	\$0.00	\$0.00
33	Carpenter	D.	\$11,096.20	\$11,013.25	\$0.00	\$82.95
33	Tuttle	J.	\$12,785.24	\$12,756.21	\$0.00	\$29.03
34	Dutremble	D.	\$2,635.00	\$2,509.83	\$0.00	\$125.17
34	Dutremble	D.	\$24,619.00	\$23,359.10	\$0.00	\$1,259.90
34	Truman	Р.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
35	Estes	s.	\$15,032.60	\$15,001.53	\$0.00	\$31.07
35	McPherson	0.	\$19,737.17	\$17,966.07	\$0.00	\$1,771.10
35	Moulton	В.	\$1,356.38	\$1,356.38	\$0.00	\$0.00

^{*}Totals include balances carried forward from a previous campaign

1990 ELECTION
TEN MOST EXPENSIVE HOUSE CAMPAIGNS

<u>District</u>	<u>Candidate</u>	Amount Spent	Votes Rec'd.	Cost / Vote
21	Simonds Webster	\$ 8,767.04 20,859.75 29,626.79	2,460 2,426	\$ 3.56 8.60
28	Richardson Hewes	\$ 21,491.78 5,587.31 27,079.09	2,034 933	\$10.57 5.99
90	Lipman Hickey	\$ 12,137.98 13,542.90 25,680.88	1,495 1,482	\$ 8.12 9.14
92	Treat Dellert	\$ 15,850.81 5,143.41 20,994.22	2,165 1,443	\$ 7.32 3.56
84	Savage Bowers	\$ 5,165.39 9,438.00 14,603.39	2,300 2,183	\$ 2.25 4.32
99	Gwadosky Murphy	\$ 13,378.71 1,164.31 14,543.02	2,431 702	\$ 5.50 1.66
50	Bennett Quinn	\$ 8,945.11 5,483.05 14,428.16	2,273 1,474	\$ 3.94 3.72
79	Spear Eaton	\$ 5,330.42 8,948.07 14,278.49	2,412 2,099	\$ 2.21 4.26
151	Martin Tardie	\$ 9,712.03 4,045.59 13,757.62	1,876 791	\$ 5.18 5.11
80	Mayo*	\$ 13,319.74 13,319.74	2,810	\$ 4.74

*Unopposed

Date Printed: 05/03/91

					** Repayme	ents deducted
			*Total	Total	**Current	Cash
Dist.	Candidate		Contributions		Loans	Balance
2220.				mirpoilar out ou	200115	2010100
1	Andrews	Α.	\$1,854.01	\$1,854.01	\$0.00	\$0.00
1	Lawrence	М.	\$7,802.69	\$7,758.06	\$0.00	\$44.63
2	Cheney	Ρ.	\$2,961.20	\$2,961.20	\$0.00	\$0.00
2	McIntosh	М.	\$984.40	\$984.05	\$0.00	\$0.35
2	Ott	D.	\$5,160.00	\$3,771.05	\$35.00	\$1,423.95
3	Hichens	W.	\$1,033.42	\$1,033.42	\$0.00	\$0.00
3	Moriarty	М.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
3	Young	s.	\$1,617.70	\$1,617.70	\$0.00	\$0.00
4	Farnum	W.	\$3,463.10	\$3,463.10	\$0.00	\$0.00
4	Glaze	М.	\$4,323.94	\$4,298.59	\$0.00	\$25.35
5	Murphy	Ε.	\$1,761.95	\$1,761.95	\$0.00	\$0.00
5	Stewart	D.	\$555.45	\$553.23	\$0.00	\$2.22
6	Carleton	Ј.	\$3,014.18	\$3,466.29	\$500.00	\$47.89
6	Fournier	М.	\$4,368.57	\$4,324.00	\$0.00	\$44.57
7	Libby	J.	\$3,370.00	\$1,656.93	\$0.00	\$1,713.07
7	Miller	Ј.	\$448.40	\$448.40	\$0.00	\$0.00
8	Bartlett	C.	\$3,731.96	\$3,722.60	\$0.00	\$9.36
8	Seavey	Н.	\$200.00	\$50.00	\$0.00	\$150.00
8	Wentworth	J.	\$3,951.45	\$3,920.61	\$0.00	\$30.84
9	Gean	D.	\$4,607.08	\$4,329.93	\$0.00	\$277.15
9	Lewis	М.	\$763.53	\$763.53	\$0.00	\$0.00
9	Morrison	Ε.	\$2,501.90	\$1,922.79	\$0.00	\$579.11
9	Ridley	V.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
10	Bailey	R.	\$3,142.44	\$3,142.44	\$0.00	\$0.00
10	Hale	М.	\$3,033.29	\$3,033.29	\$0.00	\$0.00
10	Vachon	J.	\$15.20	\$15.20	\$0.00	\$0.00
11	Garnier	D.	\$370.00	\$758.15	\$400.00	\$11.85
11	Paul	N.	\$1,075.00	\$893.34	\$0.00	\$181.66
12	Ingalls	Н.	\$26.50	\$26.50	\$0.00	\$0.00
12	Sheltra	С.	\$1,789.48	\$1,789.48	\$0.00	\$0.00
13	Dutremble	L.	\$854.00	\$916.47	\$65.00	\$2.53
13	Normand	R.	\$1,910.25	\$1,910.25	\$0.00	\$0.00
14	Dennett	В.	\$1,517.51	\$1,517.51	\$0.00	\$0.00
14	Plourde	С.	\$4,187.68	\$3,663.32	\$0.00	\$524.36
15	Lord	W.	\$3,565.00	\$2,802.94	\$0.00	\$762.06
15	Monteith	J.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
16	Donald	Н.	\$5,994.91	\$5,994.91	\$0.00	\$0.00
16	Waterman	М.	\$3,227.05	\$3,227.05	\$0.00	\$0.00
17	Bernier	L.	\$300.23	\$300.23	\$0.00	\$0.00
17	Kerr	G.	\$4,564.19	\$4,564.19	\$0.00	\$0.00
17	Tousignant	R.	\$499.12	\$499.12	\$0.00	\$0.00
17	Weinstein	N.	\$2,135.00	\$5,806.72	\$4,250.00	\$578.28
18	Nadeau	G.	\$2,485.00	\$2,085.00	\$0.00	\$400.00
18	Petersen	R.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Date Printed: 05/03/91

			Total	Total	** Repayme	ents deducted Cash
Dist.	Candidate		${\tt Contributions}$	Expenditures	Loans	Balance
19	Pendleton	Ρ.	\$3,655.00	\$3,655.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
19	Roy	R.	\$1,756.61	\$1,756.61	\$0.00	\$0.00
20	Morse	Κ.	\$3,212.50	\$2,578.70	\$0.00	\$633.80
20	Pendexter	J.	\$3,465.55	\$3,465.55	\$0.00	\$0.00
21	Simonds	S.	\$8,814.92	\$8,767.04	\$0.00	\$47.88
21	Webster	М.	\$21,020.00	\$20,859.75	\$0.00	\$160.25
22	DiPietro	S.	\$3,222.35	\$3,222.35	\$0.00	\$0.00
22	Morong.	F.	\$155.92	\$155.92	\$0.00	\$0.00
22	Usinger	М.	\$682.37	\$682.37	\$0.00	\$0.00
23	Anthony	С.	\$6,084.43	\$4,053.51	\$0.00	\$2,030.92
23	Carpenter	J.	\$1,268.15	\$1,268.15	\$0.00	\$0.00
23	Dougherty	М.	\$879.76	\$867.55	\$0.00	\$12.21
24	Macomber	Н.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
25	Rand	Α.	\$2,426.40	\$2,426.40	\$0.00	\$0.00
25	Rand	Т.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
26	Oliver	J.	\$300.00	\$150.00	\$0.00	\$150.00
27	Adams	Н.	\$349.00	\$349.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
28	Hewes	R.	\$5,635.13	\$5,587.31	\$0.00	\$47.82
28	Philbrook	R.	\$2,396.00	\$2,396.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
28	Richardson	F.	\$929.93	\$929.93	\$0.00	\$0.00
28	Richardson	F.	\$20,561.85	\$20,561.85	\$0.00	\$0.00
29	Manning	Ρ.	\$1,645.90	\$976.28	\$0.00	\$669.62
29	Pike	С.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
30	Ketover	Н.	\$3,040.32	\$2,634.00	\$0.00	\$406.32
30	Lawson	Τ.	\$2,118.37	\$1,615.46	\$0.00	\$502.91
31	Gurney	С.	\$225.00	\$96.00	\$0.00	\$129.00
31	Nace	W.	\$50.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$50.00
32 32	Beckwith	S.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
32 32	Dorler	V.	\$119.91	\$119.91	\$0.00	\$0.00
33	Hoglund	Α.	\$3,205.28	\$2,947.69	\$0.00	\$257.59
	McGuirk O'Gara	Κ.	\$2,749.00	\$3,678.44	\$929.44	\$0.00
33 34	Carrier	W.	\$2,275.00	\$2,111.34	\$0.00	\$163.66
34 34	Curran	J.	\$647.00 \$4,276.48	\$647.00 \$4,276.48	\$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00
34 34	Lemke	P. W.	\$7,250.00	\$5,964.45	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$1,285.55
35	Boyden	w. J.	\$1,350.00	\$1,342.45	\$0.00	\$7.55
35	McKeen	Ε.	\$3,266.29	\$3,265.45	\$0.00	\$0.84
36	Larrivee	A.	\$2,677.15	\$2,854.02	\$210.98	\$34.11
37	Kontos	C.	\$9,677.63	\$9,655.76	\$0.00	\$21.87
37	Strout	в.	\$2,430.28	\$2,401.51	\$0.00	\$28.77
38	Bryant	М.	\$500.00	\$500.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
38	Butland	J.	\$3,025.00	\$2,870.50	\$0.00	\$154.50
39	Reed	G.	\$8,707.04	\$3,556.84	\$0.00	\$5,150.20
39	Snow	R.	\$1,995.00	\$1,995.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
3,	D110 W	к.	γ 1 , 7,7,3.00	Q1,000	¥0.00	¥0.00

Date Printed: 05/03/91

Dist.	Candidate		Total Contributions	Total Expenditures	** Repaymo **Current Loans	ents deducted Cash Balance
40	Foss	Ј.	\$4,900.06	\$2,794.02	\$0.00	\$2,106.04
40	Hoyt	G.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
40	Shiah	D.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
41	Cutler	Р.	\$1,798.07	\$1,798.07	\$0.00	\$0.00
41	Mitchell	J.	\$3,747.00	\$3,710.63	\$0.00	\$36.37
42	Ryde11	C.	\$1,601.01	\$1,008.47	\$0.00	\$592.54
43	Banks	R.	\$2,197.82	\$2,303.22	\$105.40	\$0.00
43	Pfeiffer	S.	\$7,055.94	\$6,488.87	\$0.00	\$567.07
43	Quinn	Α.	\$883.09	\$883.09	\$0.00	\$0.00
44	Carrol1	D.	\$3,253.33	\$2,463.12	\$0.00	\$790.21
45	Saunders	В.	\$3,936.00	\$2,944.53	\$0.00	\$991.47
45	Simpson	Ρ.	\$4,922.52	\$4,922.52	\$0.00	\$0.00
46	Kutasi	L.	\$2,353.00	\$2,353.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
46	Van Waes	R.	\$6,955.00	\$6,954.58	\$0.00	\$0.42
47	Greenlaw	Ε.	\$5,168.35	\$3,410.52	\$0.00	\$1,757.83
47	Lymburner	D.	\$4,537.71	\$4,532.75	\$0.00	\$4.96
48	Hastings	Р.	\$2,664.83	\$1,932.39	\$0.00	\$732.44
48	MacDonald	М.	\$569.00	\$530.69	\$0.00	\$38.31
49	Barth	Α.	\$4,816.90	\$4,406.48	\$0.00	\$410.42
49	Fraser	s.	\$3,366.85	\$3,363.75	\$0.00	\$3.10
49	Howe	s.	\$2,214.23	\$2,214.23	\$0.00	\$0.00
50	Bellwood	J.	\$1,489.38	\$1,391.04	\$0.00	\$98.34
50	Bennett	R.	\$8,952.50	\$8,945.11	\$0.00	\$7.39
50	Gray	D.	\$841.90	\$841.90	\$0.00	\$0.00
50	Quinn	М.	\$5,483.05	\$5,483.05	\$0.00	\$0.00
51	Dublin	Р.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
51	Hanley	D.	\$2,050.00	\$2,050.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
52	Luther	М.	\$1,731.55	\$1,708.86	\$0.00	\$22.69
53	Erwin	Р.	\$1,966.45	\$2,166.73	\$200.28	\$0.00
53	Miller	R.	\$373.46	\$373.46	\$0.00	\$0.00
54	Black	R.	\$2,995.00	\$2,993.00	\$0.00	\$2.00
54	Heeschen	С.	\$4,480.34	\$4,350.17	\$0.00	\$130.17
55	Dexter	Ε.	\$4,250.00	\$4,241.00	\$0.00	\$9.00
55	Powers	В.	\$5,806.74	\$5,806.74	\$0.00	\$0.00
56	Bailey	R.	\$1,385.00	\$673.13	\$0.00	\$711.87
56	Young	D.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
57 57	Greenleaf	R.	\$260.00	\$899.49	\$639.49	\$0.00
57 50	Pineau	Ε.	\$3,778.47	\$3,101.64	\$0.00	\$676.83
58	Cummings	G.	\$446.00	\$477.23	\$31.23	\$0.00
58 50	Tracy	R.	\$4,409.39	\$3,639.13	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$770.26
	Nickerson	R.	\$1,139.38	\$1,139.38	\$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00
	Nutting	J.	\$3,194.91	\$3,194.91 \$2,828.71	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00
	Aikman	R.	\$4,848.66	\$2,828.71 \$537.57	\$0.00	\$0.00
60	Freeley	К.	\$537.57	\$221.21	ŞU.UU	ŞU.UU

Date Printed: 05/03/91

Candidates for State Representative

						ents deducted
			Total	Total	**Current	Cash
Dist.	Candidate		Contributions	Expenditures	Loans	Balance
61	Lapointe	J.	\$247.30	\$247.30	\$0.00	\$0.00
62	Cote	C.	\$4,970.00	\$4,965.58	\$0.00	\$4.42
62	Trask	Ε.	\$2,042.00	\$2,042.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
63	Dore	s.	\$5,833.49	\$4,693.62	\$0.00	\$1,139.87
63	Slack	D.	\$2,737.15	\$2,737.15	\$0.00	\$0.00
64	St. Onge	V.	\$3,612.46	\$3,517.61	\$100.00	\$194.85
64	Telow	J.	\$5,349.32	\$5,349.32	\$0.00	\$0.00
65	Aliberti	J.	\$2,225.00	\$1,718.58	\$0.00	\$506.42
65	Peters	N.	\$3,146.08	\$3,170.64	\$0.00	-\$24.56
66	Boutilier	В.	\$275.00	\$120.00	\$0.00	\$155.00
67	Butler	R.	\$5,237.42	\$5,229.65	\$0.00	\$7.77
67	Fincher	G.	\$193.58	\$193.58	\$0.00	\$0.00
67	Ricker	G.	\$460.80	\$460.80	\$0.00	\$0.00
68	Handy	J.	\$4,326.01	\$4,324.63	\$0.00	\$1.38
68	Snyder	J.	\$3,216.89	\$3,121.13	\$0.00	\$95.76
69	Chaloux	Р.	\$328.76	\$328.76	\$0.00	\$0.00
69	Pouliot	R.	\$3,511.30	\$3,058.71	\$0.00	\$452.59
70	Clark	М.	\$8,131.94	\$7,536.98	\$0.00	\$594.96
70	Linne	V.	\$805.94	\$805.94	\$0.00	\$0.00
71	Jalbert	J.	\$242.95	\$67.95	\$0.00	\$175.00
72	Rauscher	U.	\$1,357.45	\$1,357.45	\$0.00	\$0.00
72	Stevens	Α.	\$4,708.86	\$2,951.50	\$0.00	\$1,757.36
73	Billings	D.	\$5,808.41	\$5,733.38	\$0.00	\$75.03
73	Chonko	L.	\$5,747.57	\$5,370.94	\$0.00	\$376.63
74	Anderson	К.	\$3,489.89	\$2,879.26	\$0.00	\$610.63
74	Ho1t	М.	\$2,040.50	\$1,864.60	\$0.00	\$175.90
75 	McPhee	Α.	\$2,319.33	\$1,558.34	\$0.00	\$760.99
75	Small	М.	\$2,489.17	\$1,996.56	\$0.00	\$492.61
76	Barnes	G.	\$5,597.70	\$5,597.70	\$0.00	\$0.00
76	Coles	J.	\$5,206.00	\$5,206.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
77	Brewer	Α.	\$7,059.30	\$6,756.86	\$0.00	\$302.44
77 7 0	Heino	С.	\$5,663.03	\$5,935.36	\$272.33	\$0.00
78	Kilkelly	М.	\$9,394.26	\$7,900.23	\$0.00	\$1,494.03
78	Tirrell	С.	\$850.00	\$812.70	\$0.00	\$37.30
79	Eaton	Ε.	\$8,948.07	\$8,948.07	\$0.00	\$0.00
79	Spear	R.	\$5,375.84	\$5,330.42	\$0.00	\$45.42
80	Mayo	J.	\$13,319.74	\$13,319.74	\$0.00	\$0.00
80	Wilcox	С.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
81	Brown	W.	\$1,305.00	\$2,438.52	\$1,618.46	\$484.94
81	Skoglund	J.	\$5,128.00	\$3,250.33	\$0.00	\$1,877.67
	Melendy	R.	\$10,054.37	\$6,680.41	\$0.00	\$3,373.96
82	Thurston	Α.	\$1,337.94	\$1,337.94	\$0.00	\$0.00
83 83	Jones Moisle	R.	\$2,076.49	\$2,076.49	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$53.23
03	Meisle	N.	\$9,592.50	\$9,539.27	ş0.00	ŞJJ.4J

Date Printed: 05/03/91

Dist	. Candidate		Total Contributions	Total	** Repaym **Current Loans	ents deducted Cash Balance
				P		
83	Nash	L.	\$3,536.01	\$3,505.25	\$0.00	\$30.76
84	Bowers	J.	\$9,714.00	\$9,438.00	\$0.00	\$276.00
84	Mann	Н.	\$2,046.88	\$2,046.88	\$0.00	\$0.00
84	Savage	Ε.	\$5,195.48	\$5,165.39	\$0.00	\$30.09
84	Taylor	Α.	\$1,233.57	\$1,233.57	\$0.00	\$0.00
85	Kelly	R.	\$510.00	\$469.24	\$0.00	\$40.76
85	Parent	Р.	\$1,537.03	\$1,537.03	\$0.00	\$0.00
86	Carter	D.	\$1,007.46	\$1,007.46	\$0.00	\$0.00
86	Morrison	М.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
87	Burke	С.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
87	Mitchell	Ε.	\$4,861.00	\$3,943.09	\$0.00	\$917.91
87	Philbrick	М.	\$1,021.15	\$1,021.15	\$0.00	\$0.00
88	Daggett	В.	\$316.75	\$132.17	\$0.00	\$184.58
89	Dostie	J.	\$383.19	\$383.19	\$0.00	\$0.00
89	Paradis	Ρ.	\$6,105.00	\$3,640.03	\$0.00	\$2,464.97
90	Hickey	D.	\$926.61	\$926.61	\$0.00	\$0.00
90	Hickey	D.	\$12,661.29	\$12,616.29	\$0.00	\$45.00
90	Lipman	S.	\$11,660.03	\$12,137.98	\$500.00	\$22.05
91	Farnsworth	s.	\$8,375.08	\$7,200.48	\$0.00	\$1,174.60
91	Jacobs	М.	\$1,200.00	\$1,650.83	\$484.32	\$33.49
92	Dellert	J.	\$5,177.66	\$5,143.41	\$0.00	\$34.25
92	Monroe	R.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
92	Treat	s.	\$13,450.34	\$15,850.81	\$2,623.76	\$223.29
93	Marsh	J.	\$1,885.70	\$1,885.70	\$0.00	\$0.00
93	Pray	W.	\$2,154.41	\$2,154.41	\$0.00	\$0.00
94	Ault	W.	\$1,250.34	\$1,250.34	\$0.00	\$0.00
94	Sturtevant	Τ.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
95 05	Norton	0.	\$3,935.03	\$2,683.28	\$0.00	\$1,251.75
95	Tibbetts	0.	\$3,433.00	\$3,432.68	\$0.00	\$0.32
96 96	Finemore Poulin	V. T.	\$1,479.96 \$3,440.75	\$1,479.96	\$0.00	\$0.00
97	Jacques	P.	\$1,025.00	\$3,095.36 \$748.53	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$345.39 \$276.47
98	Joseph	R.	\$1,915.00	\$1,613.98	\$0.00	\$301.02
99	Gwadosky	D.	\$15,092.09	\$13,378.71	\$0.00	\$1,713.38
99	Murphy	В.	\$1,203.00	\$1,164.31	\$0.00	\$38.69
100	Hatch	Р.	\$6,690.25	\$6,119.40	\$0.00	\$570.85
100	Hepburn	М.	\$3,344.53	\$1,591.67	\$0.00	\$1,752.86
101	Brooks	М.	\$3,614.81	\$3,614.81	\$0.00	\$0.00
101	Goodridge	т.	\$8,171.50	\$8,056.72	\$0.00	\$114.78
102	Dean	N.	\$2,378.67	\$2,377.35	\$0.00	\$1.32
102	Ketterer	Α.	\$5,596.00	\$5,596.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
102	Webber	R.	\$486.49	\$481.94	\$0.00	\$4.55
103	Coombs	к.	\$6,650.33	\$6,650.33	\$0.00	\$0.00
103	Lloyd	М.	\$284.49	\$284.49	\$0.00	\$0.00

Date Printed: 05/03/91

Candidates for State Representative

Dist.	Candidate		Total Contributions	Total Expenditures	** Repaym **Current Loans	ents deducted Cash Balance
103	Rotondi	D.	\$4,926.47	\$4,926.47	\$0.00	\$0.00
103	Trafton	L.	\$1,780.00	\$1,727.14	\$0.00	\$52.86
104	Gould	R.	\$6,564.00	\$5,747.22	\$0.00	\$816.78
104	Lander	D.	\$2,100.00	\$2,100.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
105	Hussey	R.	\$2,070.00	\$2,050.88	\$0.00	\$19.12
105	Stirling	С.	\$635.00	\$635.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
106	Golob	L.	\$7,518.24	\$7,518.24	\$0.00	\$0.00
106	Merrill	К.	\$3,805.26	\$3,759.98	\$0.00	\$45.28
107	Lombard	С.	\$901.81	\$901.81	\$0.00	\$0.00
107	McKinley	М.	\$220.27	\$220.27	\$0.00	\$0.00
107	Reed	W.	\$4,213.00	\$4,213.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
107	Whitney	R.	\$2,144.02	\$2,144.02	\$0.00	\$0.00
108	Tardy	R.	\$1,900.00	\$875.66	\$0.00	\$1,024.34
109	Casey	Α.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
109 109	LaCava	Α.	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00
109	Page Stevenson	A. M.	\$2,575.70	\$0.00 \$2,575.70	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00
110	Walsh	Р.	\$2,684.10	\$2,658.15	\$0.00	\$25.95
110	Whitcomb	W.	\$3,767.96	\$3,767.96	\$0.00	\$0.00
111	Hill	у. J.	\$4,885.06	\$4,885.06	\$0.00	\$0.00
111	Marsano	F.	\$5,725.00	\$4,024.46	\$0.00	\$1,700.54
112	Crowley	N.	\$2,836.54	\$2,350.91	\$0.00	\$485.63
112	Hills	S.	\$3,157.14	\$3,157.14	\$0.00	\$0.00
113	Chase	Ρ.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
113	Richards	J.	\$4,201.34	\$3,060.17	\$0.00	\$1,141.17
114	Duffy	F.	\$1,050.00	\$2,217.88	\$1,267.88	\$100.00
114	Strout	D.	\$958.54	\$958.54	\$0.00	\$0.00
115	Cyr	G.	\$310.29	\$310.29	\$0.00	\$0.00
115	Lebowitz	С.	\$1,912.42	\$1,912.42	\$0.00	\$0.00
115	Sack	К.	\$3,302.20	\$3,302.20	\$0.00	\$0.00
116	Duffy	Τ.	\$4,566.06	\$4,554.35	\$0.00	\$11.71
116	Lewis	D.	\$1,735.00	\$1,454.97	\$100.00	\$380.03
117	Chotkowski	D.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
117	Southard	н.	\$2,305.00	\$3,548.13	\$1,243.13	\$0.00
117	Stevens	Ρ.	\$2,483.38	\$2,391.00	\$0.00	\$92.38
118	Baldacci	R.	\$4,138.33	\$4,138.33	\$0.00	\$0.00
118	Garland	J.	\$5,544.80	\$5,544.80	\$0.00	\$0.00
118	Soulas	R.	\$435.56	\$435.56	\$0.00	\$0.00
118	Stone	W.	\$975.00	\$975.00	\$0.00 \$344.70	\$0.00 \$0.00
119 119	Morrison Pederson	Н. Е.	\$3,677.26 \$3,850.65	\$4,021.96 \$3,850.65	\$344.70 \$0.00	\$0.00
120	Robertson	G.	\$2,280.00	\$2,244.21	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$35.79
120	Ruhlin	R.	\$3,929.00	\$3,918.73	\$0.00	\$10.27
121	Judd	R.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Date Printed: 05/03/91

Dist	. Candidate		Total Contributions	Total Expenditures	** Repayme **Current Loans	ents deducted Cash Balance
121	Tupper	Н.	\$2,350.26	\$2,350.26	\$0.00	\$0.00
122	Gross	R.	\$266.00	\$216.00	\$0.00	\$50.00
122	Swazey	Ε.	\$975.00	\$931.91	\$0.00	\$43.09
123	Gray	G.	\$3,609.75	\$3,609.75	\$0.00	\$0.00
123	Hutchins	s.	\$2,435.75	\$2,593.80	\$500.00	\$341.95
124	Abelson	R.	\$130.00	\$130.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
124	Carroll	J.	\$550.00	\$525.00	\$0.00	\$25.00
125	Constantine	V.	\$2,813.50	\$2,775.80	\$0.00	\$37.70
125	Foss	Ε.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
126	Dedam	J.	\$1,998.18	\$4,072.54	\$2,075.00	\$0.64
126	Farren	F.	\$3,247.00	\$3,200.17	\$0.00	\$46.83
127	Cunniff	J.	\$2,345.10	\$2,345.10	\$0.00	\$0.00
127	Salisbury	D.	\$4,398.34	\$4,365.50	\$0.00	\$32.84
127	Walker	Ε.	\$5,404.90	\$4,149.86	\$0.00	\$1,255.04
128	Duplessis	S.	\$4,345.00	\$4,345.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
128	Townsend	E .	\$5,553.22	\$5,553.22	\$0.00	\$0.00
129	Cathcart	М.	\$5,091.87	\$3,551.76	\$0.00	\$1,540.11
130	Dodge	R.	\$1,722.45	\$1,175.20	\$0.00	\$547.25
130	O'Dea	J.	\$6,574.97	\$6,248.66	\$0.00	\$326.31
131	Cashman	J.	\$5,862.08	\$5,862.08	\$0.00	\$0.00
131	Thomas	S.	\$1,870.00	\$1,755.15	\$0.00	\$114.85
132	Hichborn	C.	\$599.15	\$599.15	\$0.00	\$0.00
132	Merletti	Р.	\$243.95	\$243.95	\$0.00	\$0.00
133	Cahill	М.	\$3,618.00	\$3,617.17	\$0.00	\$0.83
133	Rand	L.	\$4,824.36	\$4,824.36	\$0.00	\$0.00
134	Michaud	М.	\$8,233.57	\$6,428.95	\$0.00	\$1,804.62
135	Clark	н.	\$3,600.88	\$3,600.88	\$0.00	\$0.00
135	Thibodeau	D.	\$1,394.36	\$1,390.95	\$0.00	\$3.41
136	Gilmore	J.	\$3,226.08	\$3,226.08	\$0.00	\$0.00
136	Look	т.	\$2,420.21	\$2,279.37	\$0.00	\$140.84
136	Mallar	R.	\$34.30	\$34.30	\$0.00	\$0.00
137 137	Clarke	Ε.	\$951.09	\$951.09	\$0.00	\$0.00
138	Townsend Davis	G. E.	\$1,781.50	\$1,426.64	\$0.00	\$354.86
138	Tammaro		\$211.20 \$3,212.77	\$211.20	\$0.00	\$0.00
139	Bailey	А. Н.	\$4,916.17	\$3,212.77 \$4,668.77	\$0.00 \$0.00	\$0.00 \$247.40
139	Moholland	F.	\$2,768.96	\$2,768.95	\$0.00	\$0.01
140	Bowers	R.	\$1,769.06	\$1,759.84	\$0.00	\$9.22
140	Smith	С.	\$1,709.00	\$1,739.04	\$0.00	\$0.00
141	Graham	N.	\$2,736.46	\$2,569.27	\$0.00	\$167.19
141	Wood	М.	\$3,912.32	\$3,912.32	\$0.00	\$0.00
142	Ginty	J.	\$813.69	\$813.69	\$0.00	\$0.00
142	MacBride	М.	\$3,275.00	\$3,074.53	\$0.00	\$200.47
143	Donnelly	J.	\$3,976.69	\$3,966.36	\$0.00	\$10.33

Date Printed: 05/03/91

Candidates for State Representative

			Total	Total	** Repayme **Current	ents deducted Cash
Dist.	Candidate		Contributions	Expenditures	Loans	Balance
143	Lisnik	J.	\$4,730.35	\$4,398.81	\$0.00	\$331.54
143	Martin	D.	\$2,090.00	\$1,983.91	\$0.00	\$106.09
144	Mahany	В.	\$8,271.59	\$8,149.03	\$0.00	\$122.56
144	Porter	Ε.	\$3,638.75	\$3,638.75	\$0.00	\$0.00
145	O'Neal	G.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
145	Pines	s.	\$900.00	\$834.00	\$0.00	\$66.00
146	Bell	W.	\$915.00	\$899.81	\$0.00	\$15.19
146	Matthews	К.	\$350.21	\$350.21	\$0.00	\$0.00
147	Anderson	М.	\$4,120.68	\$3,319.60	\$0.00	\$801.08
147	Lucey	Ρ.	\$1,533.00	\$1,515.30	\$0.00	\$17.70
148	Anderson	D.	\$1,953.00	\$1,953.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
148	Martin	Н.	\$4,331.07	\$4,331.07	\$0.00	\$0.00
149	Dumais	J.	\$75.00	\$75.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
149	McHenry	Ε.	\$3,251.21	\$2,909.64	\$0.00	\$341.57
149	Perreault	G.	\$2,259.12	\$2,259.12	\$0.00	\$0.00
150	Paradis	J.	\$450.00	\$366.25	\$130.00	\$213.75
150	Perreault	J.	\$600.00	\$600.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
151	Martin	J.	\$11,056.90	\$9,712.03	\$0.00	\$1,344.87
151	Tardie	С.	\$4,045.59	\$4,045.59	\$0.00	\$0.00

^{*}Totals include balances carried forward from a previous campaign

Date Printed: 05/03/91

Candidates for County Office

				** Repayments deducted		
		Total	Total	**Current	Cash	
*Off	. Candidate	Contributions	Expenditures	Loans	Balance	
SH	Moore, Harry	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	Androscoggin
CC	Jacques, Emile	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
CT	Labbe, Normand	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
JP	Raymond, Laurier	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
RD	Bergeron, Jeannine	\$151.20	\$151.20	\$0.00	\$0.00	
SH	Dougherty, James	\$1,834.11	\$1,834.11	\$0.00	\$0.00	
SH	Gagnon, Ronald	\$2,850.00	\$2,850.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
SH	Touchette, Darryl	\$595.66	\$595.66	\$0.00	\$0.00	
CC	Adams, Paul	\$121.70	\$121.70	\$0.00	\$0.00	Aroostook
CC	Rhoda, Cecilia	\$385.00	\$385.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
CT	McBrearity, James	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
DA	Adams, Neale	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
RD	Caron, Louise	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
RD	Dow, Evelyn	\$201.74	\$201.74	\$0.00	\$0.00	
RP	Briggs, James	\$1,145.47	\$1,145.47	\$0.00	\$0.00	
RP	Hoyt, John	\$390.24	\$390.24	\$0.00	\$0.00	
SH	Crandall, Darrell	\$2,633.24	\$2,633.24	\$0.00	\$0.00	
SH	Wheeler, Edgar	\$2,869.07	\$2,864.08	\$0.00	\$4.99	
CC	Plummer, Gary	\$151.16	\$151.16	\$0.00	\$0.00	Cumberland
CT	Gurney, Diane Lee	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
CT	Hammond, Norman	\$862.00	\$862.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
DA	Anderson, Stephanie	\$21,256.70	\$20,871.83	\$0.00	\$384.87	
DA	Devine, Stephen	\$6,983.92	\$6,983.92	\$0.00	\$0.00	
DA	Perkins, David	\$20,330.00	\$21,981.52	\$3,060.00	\$1,408.48	
DA	Romanow, Richard	\$16,181.00	\$16,000.30	\$0.00	\$180.70	
JP	Childs, Dana	\$4,715.90	\$4,715.90	\$0.00	\$0.00	
JP	Mazziotti, Joseph	\$10,767.52	\$10,767.52	\$0.00	\$0.00	
RD	Laffin, Tuffy	\$3,201.87	\$3,201.87	\$0.00	\$0.00	
RD	McDonough, Richard	\$593.09	\$570.75	\$0.00	\$22.34	
RD	O'Brien, John	\$925.90	\$925.90	\$0.00	\$0.00	
RD	Titcomb, Robert	\$1,409.61	\$1,409.61	\$0.00	\$0.00	
SH	Babin, Michael	\$7,462.21	\$7,462.06	\$0.00	\$0.15	
SH	Foster, Philip	\$5,598.91	\$5,598.91	\$0.00	\$0.00	
SH	McLaughlin, William	\$17,830.41	\$18,266.52	\$1,500.00	\$1,063.89	
SH	Ridlon, Wesley	\$23,113.65	\$23,113.65	\$0.00	\$0.00	
CC	Bean, Stephen	\$99.90	\$99.90	\$0.00	\$0.00	Franklin
CC	Burrill, James Robert	\$518.95	\$524.52	\$0.00	-\$5.57	
CT	Woodside, William	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
DA	Mills, Janet	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
JP	Morton, Richard	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
RD	Black, Susan	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
SH	Durrell, Ronald	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
CC	Churchill, Eugene	\$540.67	\$540.67	\$0.00	\$0.00	Hancock
CC	Domincovich, Paul	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	

Date Printed: 05/03/91

Candidates for County Office

				** Repaymen	its deducted	1
		Total	Total	**Current	Cash	
01	ff. Candidate	Contributions	Expenditures	Loans	Balance	
C	Gugel, Margaret	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	Hancock
Ci	0,0	\$114.55	\$114.55	\$0.00	\$0.00	(contd.)
CI	•	\$68.18	\$68.18	\$0.00	\$0.00	(conca.)
DA	9 , 1	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
JI	•	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
RI	•	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
RI	- ·	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
SH		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
CC		\$670.60	\$630.60	\$0.00	\$40.00	Kennebec
CC	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	\$924.91	\$924.91	\$0.00	\$0.00	Remiesee
CT	•	\$38.95	\$38.95	\$0.00	\$0.00	
CT	, ,	\$1,217.60	\$1,182.60	\$0.00	\$35.00	
CT		\$1,544.39	\$1,544.39	\$0.00	\$0.00	
DA	,	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
JP	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
RD		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
RP	_	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
SH		\$62.92	\$62.92	\$0.00	\$0.00	
SH		\$6,210.99	\$5,949.59	\$0.00	\$261.40	
CC		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	Knox
CT		·	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	KIIOX
JP	-	\$1,906.54	\$1,906.54	\$0.00	\$0.00	
JP	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$3,445.00	\$3,394.87	\$0.00	\$50.13	
RD		\$848.50	\$882.82	\$34.32	\$0.00	
RD	•	\$821.30	\$821.30	\$0.00	\$0.00	
SH	-	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0 . 00	\$0.00	
CC	Stevens, Rupert	\$37.78	\$37.78	\$0.00	\$0.00	Lincoln
CT		\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
RD	Huber, Jean	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
RP	Fossett, Chester	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
SH	Carter, William	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
CC	Carey, Albert	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	Oxford
CT	Perkins, William	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
RD	Pulkkinen, Gayla Sue	\$150.50	\$150.50	\$0.00	\$0.00	
RD	Rich, Jane	\$179.76	\$179.76	\$0.00	\$0.00	
RD	Watson, Jean	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
SH	Averill, Bertrum	\$2,978.79	\$2,971.41	\$0.00	\$7.38	
SH	Herrick, Lloyd	\$14,914.62	\$14,886.38	\$0.00	\$28.24	
SH	Marston, Wayne	\$5,416.63	\$5,416.63	\$0.00	\$0.00	
CC	Blanchard, Richard	\$300.00	\$300.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	Penobscot
CT	Bloomer, Lois	\$529.33	\$465.94	\$0.00	\$63.39	
CT	Burke, Irene	\$70.80	\$70.80	\$0.00	\$0.00	
DA	Almy, R. Christopher	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
JP	Woodcock, Allan	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	

Date Printed: 05/03/91

Candidates for County Office

Of	ff. Candidate	Total Contributions	Total Expenditures	** Repayme **Current Loans	nts deducted Cash Balance	
RI	Dowd, Ann	\$1,677.93	\$1,670.79	\$0.00	\$7.14	Penobscot
RD) Growe, Cynthia Gallan	\$902.61	\$902.61	\$0.00	\$0.00	(contd.)
RD	Hutchins, Corinne Mar	\$100.00	\$100.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
SH	I Reynolds, Edward	\$10,454.41	\$9,860.81	\$0.00	\$593.60	
SH		\$3,520.46	\$3,520.46	\$0.00	\$0.00	
CC	Morin, Joseph L.	\$1,100.00	\$1,100.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	Piscataquis
CC	Sullivan, James	\$1,335.00	\$1,335.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	-
CT	Marren, Philip	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
RD	Bolduc, Priscilla	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
RP	Lord, Virginia	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
RP		\$681.98	\$681.98	\$0.00	\$0.00	
SH	Goggin, John	\$2,563.66	\$2,563.66	\$0.00	\$0.00	
SH	McGrath, Robert	\$9,007.14	\$8,978.58	\$0.00	\$28.56	
CC	•	\$811.02	\$811.02	\$0.00	\$0.00	Sagadahoc
CC	Filler, Stephen	\$1,025.00	\$1,022.27	\$0.00	\$2.73	
CC	Howe, J. Franklin	\$1,358.39	\$1,358.39	\$0.00	\$0.00	
CT	Barnes, Gloria	\$491.82	\$491.82	\$0.00	\$0.00	
CT	Sturgeon, Barry	\$3,391.38	\$3,391.38	\$0.00	\$0.00	
RD	Mattor, Laurene	\$100.00	\$100.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
RD	McClenahan, Elizabeth	\$110.00	\$110.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
RP	Arenstam, Susan	\$3,353.93	\$3,345.10	\$0.00	\$8.83	
RP	Kakos, Nicholas	\$100.00	\$100.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
CC		\$60.00	\$60.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	Somerset
CT	Poland, Ruth Ann	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
RD	Farrin, Marguerite	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
SH	Havey, Spencer	\$4,616.36	\$4,051.24	\$0.00	\$565.12	
SH	<u> </u>	\$1,505.79	\$1,505.79	\$0.00	\$0.00	
CC	, ,	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	Waldo
CT	•	\$332.08	\$332.08	\$0.00	\$0.00	
CT		\$247.15	\$247.15	\$0.00	\$0.00	
DA		\$85.00	\$85.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
RD	.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
SH	•	\$8,073.59	\$8,073.59	\$0.00	\$0.00	
SH		\$1,494.94	\$1,494.94	\$0.00	\$0.00	
CC	• •	\$320.00	\$320.00	\$0.00		Washington
CC		\$51.50	\$365.55	\$333.55	\$19.50	
CC	Smith, Preston	\$1,437.72	\$1,437.72	\$0.00	\$0.00	
CT	Geel, Theresa	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
JP	Holmes, Lyman	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
RD	Strout, Sharon	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
RP	Grant, Thelma	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
SH	Crowley, John	\$3,596.35	\$3,594.42	\$0.00	\$1.93	
SH	Parker, Terrence	\$889.54	\$891.72	\$0.00	-\$2.18	
SH	Porter, Arthur	\$433.24	\$433.24	\$0.00	\$0.00	

Date Printed: 05/03/91

Candidates for County Office

				** Repayme		
		Total	Total	**Current	Cash	
Off	. Candidate	Contributions	Expenditures	Loans	Balance	
						Washington
SH	Prescott, Harold	\$1,948.13	\$1,948.13	\$0.00	\$0.00	(contd.)
CC	Plamondon, Deborah	\$735.26	\$735.26	\$0.00	\$0.00	York
CC	Wentworth, Alberta	\$1,350.50	\$1,340.78	\$0.00	\$9.72	
CT	McMahon, James	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	
DA	Cantara, Michael	\$2,005.71	\$1,453.74	\$0.00	\$551.97	
RD	Stone, Anne Normand	\$1,871.23	\$1,871.23	\$0.00	\$0.00	
RD	Woodsome, Donna	\$2,148.71	\$4,416.75	\$2,268.04	\$0.00	
SH	Bemis, Michael	\$11,986.32	\$12,785.11	\$1,000.00	\$201.21	
SH	Dutremble, Richard	\$5,020.00	\$6,282.82	\$1,262.82	\$0.00	
SH	Moreau, Raymond	\$4,487.30	\$4,487.30	\$0.00	\$0.00	
SH	Ullenbruch, Robert	\$1,984.00	\$1,952.12	\$0.00	\$31.88	

*Key to abbreviations:

CC - County Commissioner

CT - County Treasurer

DA - District Attorney

JP - Judge of Probate RD - Register of Deeds

RP - Register of Probate

SH - Sheriff

	ressional Candida District 1		The second of th	MITCHELL, ELIZABETH	PIERWEY, JAMES E.	/ 7	U.S. COMPANY.		291
	CASH BALANCE ON HAND AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD	0.00	ç 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Jan 31, 1990 Quarterly	RECEIPTS	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	15,842.00	0.00	
	DISBURSMENTS	0.00	7 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	15,500.10	0.00	
Apr 15, 1990 Quarterly	RECEIPTS	50,170.25	65,870.00	31,109.56	61,793.48	0.00	43,180.36	11,253.62	
	DISBURSMENTS	39,217.51	42,551.10	24,427.77	27,322.87	0.00	99,043.18	5,686.61	
May 31, 1990 12-Day	RECEIPTS	44,070.54	79,469.63	42,932.01	69,400,97	6,345.00	32,408.25	14,279.26	
Pre-Primary	DISBURSMENTS	49,080.90	72,585.16	41,831.31	64,172.13	6,136.51	26,872.41	10,501.10	
Jul 15, 1990 Quarterly	RECEIPTS	58,435.99	89,686.47	13,860.67	33,969.95	982.77	40,463.37	5,616.41	
	DISBURSMENTS	52,078.36	87,695.27	17,211.88	74,820.15	34.60	31,591.80	12,874.35	
Oct 15, 1990 Quarterly	RECEIPTS	4,837.95	228,367.04	667.02	7,091.65	112.00	166,126.46	1,340.08	
	DISBURSMENTS	17,279.05	* 191,442.77 [*]	4,057.45	5,914.94	7.94	162,603.80	3,427.31	
Oct 25, 1990 12-Day Pre-General	RECEIPTS		82,416.00				66,119.66		
	DISBURSMENTS		i 113,784.40				70,405.56		
Dec 6, 1990 12-Day Post-Election	RECEIPTS		2140,912.16				100,237.19		
2-2-2-2-3-1	DISBURSMENTS		178,531.71,				116,322.99		
	ON HAND AT	EPORTED <u>368.91</u> CTUAL - <u>141.09</u>	130.89	1,040.85	<u>25.96</u>	1,260.72	2,037.39	0	

*510.00 ERROR WAS FOUND IN OCT 15 QUARTERLY REPORT. INCORRECTLY CARRIED CASH BALANCE FORWARD.

	ressional Candida District 2 torial Candidates	ONAN, PATI	Shore, Chickery, Co.	(A) 28.88 (B)	ROLDE, WELL	COMEN, WILLIAM S.	<u>ક</u>	
	CASH BALANCE ON HAND AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD	0.00	17,394.98		0.00	341,536.71		
Jan 31, 1990 Quarterly	RECEIPTS	0.00	50,801.86		31,337.11	384,039.79		
	DISBURSMENTS	0.00	12,181.65		8,315.06	58,667.64		
Apr 15, 1990 Quarterly	RECEIPTS	20,094.50	18,724.07		57,360.57	121,671.39		
	DISBURSMENTS	5,656.10	8,419.96		80,125.75	92,678.96	Tarket and the Control of the Contro	
May 31, 1990 12-Day Pre-Primary	RECEIPTS	21,166.12	26,273.39		214,523.98	168,595,84		
The Trimery	DISBURSMENTS	16,738.93	9,342.42		209,770.15	136,437.43		
Jul 15, 1990 Quarterly	RECEIPTS	15,779.28	10,361.13		153,757.19	169,387.34	************************	
	DISBURSMENTS	14,440.47	14,878.90		149,641.10	73,932.73		
Oct 15, 1990 Quarterly	RECEIPTS	96,633.07	81,652.07		572,708.72	177,896.30		
	DISBURSMENTS	79,243.08	97,598.81		575,528.24	575,967.86		
Oct 25, 1990 12-Day Pre-General	RECEIPTS	21,119.61	16,251.00		380,381.50	79,928.42		
	DISBURSMENTS	27,418.18	32,157.56		288,341.26	121,048.89		
Dec 6, 1990 12-Day Post-Election	RECEIPTS	50,661.77	69,048.36		222,940.18	111,612.72		
	DISBURSMENTS	79,805.52	102,692.59		294,134.57	466,435.18		
	CASH BALANCE ON HAND AT END OF PERIOD	2,152.07	<u>13,234.97</u>		<u>27,153.12</u>	29,499.82		

Political Action Committees

In 1990, 88 State political action committees participated in the political process in Maine. This represents a 19 percent increase over the 1988 total of 74. Twenty-six new PACs registered in 1990, and 5 terminated.

Total PAC spending in 1988 was \$1,322,743.00. Expenditures in 1990 totalling \$1,670,198.00, represent a 27.15 percent increase over 1988 spending. Total PAC contributions and expenditures for 1990 are as follows:

STATE OF MAINE RECEIPTS AND EXPENDITURES OF POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEES REGISTERED DURING 1990

Committees Organized for the Purpose of Supporting or Opposing Candidates for State and County Office

	PAC NAME	REGISTRATION DATE	TOTAL RECEIPTS	TOTAL EXPENDITURES
	AIA (American Insurance Association)	10/30/84	\$11,382.68	\$11,200.00
	A. L. WILLIAMS PAC (Network of independent businesspersons marketing insurance and other financial products)	03/14/88	5,483.14	48.85
*	AROOSTOOK MUNICIPAL ASSOCIATION	10/24/89	-0-	-0-
	AROOSTOOK PAC	07/07/86	42,423.50	40,173.56
	BEAN-JONES REPUBLICAN LEGISLATIVE PAC	07/11/88	69.10	50.00
*	CAMPAIGN '90	04/14/89	5,468.38	5,468.38
	CAREPAC OF MAINE (Employees of Blue Cross and Blue Shield)	07/22/86	7,528.34	5,862.66
	CARPENTER, MICHAEL E. (MEC PAC)	12/26/89	4,314.00	5,839.14 (Outstanding loan: \$1,850)
	CENTRAL MAINE LABOR COUNCIL - (COPE)	10/31/90	5,269.85	5,010.00
	CITIZENS FOR JUSTICE IN MAINE	07/16/88	35,594.87	27,161.88
	COMMITTEE FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE	03/24/89	16,616.38	15,255.44
*	COMMITTEE FOR MAINE'S FUTURE	03/16/87	8,888.73	8,888.73
	COMMITTEE FOR A RESPONSIBLE SENATE	01/02/86	24,017.96	21,548.80
*	CONCERNED CITIZENS FOR BALANCED GROWTH	02/29/88	61.24	61.24
	DIRIGO ALLIANCE	07/28/88	17,011.53	16,158.83

PAC NAME	REGISTRATION DATE	TOTAL RECEIPTS	TOTAL EXPENDITURES
ENERGY PAC	03/07/86	19,411.83	18,826.15
FLEET BANK OF MAINE - FLEET BANKPAC		26,291.95	20,110.00
FRIENDS OF GOOD GOVERNMENT (FOGG)	09/18/87	8,897.96	7,993.08
* FUND FOR A DEMOCRATIC MAJORITY	05/01/90	5,000.00	5,000.00
HARNESS INDUSTRY PAC (HIPAC)	05/09/90	50.00	50.00
HOUSE REPUBLICAN COMMITTEE	04/29/85	46,258.55	48,450.66 (Outstanding loan: \$2,750)
* JAC PAC (John Cashman)	05/01/86	23,429.99	23,429.99
JAMES E. TIERNEY PAC (JETPAC)	12/08/87	11,785.95	5,171.00
KEY BANCSHARES OF MAINE PAC	01/20/86	2,957.00	2,713.00
* KILBRETH FOR A.G.	05/31/90	25,056.58	23,525.98
* LAWYERS FOR DAVID PERKINS D.A.	10/19/90	500.00	498.15
LEADERSHIP FOR THE 90's	07/20/90	10,249.52	14,160.48 (Outstanding loan: \$4,000)
LEGISLATIVE HOUSE CAMPAIGN FUND	01/22/86	115,438.87	107,943.78
* LOCAL 545 POLITICAL ACTION FUND	10/31/90	1,452.00	1,434.98
LOCAL 1253 PAC	07/12/89	42,640.56	24,553.38
MAINE ABC PAC (Associated Builders and Contractors)	07/30/86	5,697.72	5,122.02
MAINE AFL-CIO COPE	07/18/88	58,385.75	57,291.24
MAINE ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS	01/03/86	16,168.78	15,029.12
MAINE BANK PAC - STATE	01/31/85	13,639.47	12,787.65
MAINE BIKERS PAC	04/12/89	2,523.99	1,955.90

PAC NAME	REGISTRATION DATE	TOTAL RECEIPTS	TOTAL EXPENDITURES
MAINE BUSINESS PAC	01/22/86	37,011.98	34,620.76
* MAINE CITIZENS FOR INDEPENDENCE	07/22/88	132.40	4.62
MAINE COMMUNITY HOSPITAL PAC	01/28/87	9,430.00	8,921.55
MAINE CREDIT UNION LEAGUE LEGISLATIVE ACTION COMMITTEE	07/18/88	974.47	775.00
MAINE DENTAL PAC	12/29/86	27,645.07	21,647.76
MAINE HEALTH CARE ASSOCIATION	10/16/86	8,764.93	6,895.23
MAINE IMPACT COALITION (MICPAC)	04/03/86	2,513.75	2,358.00
MAINE LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS	10/14/86	2,368.55	1,959.84
MAINE LIFE UNDERWRITERS PAC (LUPAC)	07/20/84	9,414.16	8,212.41
MAINE NATIONAL BANK PAC	01/24/86	451.62	450.00
MAINE NOW PAC (National Organization of Women)	01/28/86	9,781.37	9,500.63
MAINE OPTOMETRISTS PAC	10/01/86	4,261.37	3,633.52
MAINE TEACHERS ASSOCIATION PAC	01/30/84	38,909.54	30,600.57
MAINE TRUCK PAC	04/28/86	13,375.26	11,422.36
MAINE UAW PAC COUNCIL (United Auto Workers)	05/29/90	12,000.00	10,000.00
* MAINE VETERANS FOR RESPONSIBLE GOVERNMENT	09/13/90	-0-	-0-
MERCHANTS ALLIANCE FOR BETTER GOVERNMENT	04/13/84	5,609.51	5,486.02
MICHAEL MICHAUD PAC	10/18/90	5,583.70	2,872.54
MPA CAMPAIGN VOTE!	10/20/86	1,619.68	1,525.60
NRA POLITICAL VICTORY FUND	04/01/88	12,450.00	12,450.00
PATIENTS AND DOCTORS PAC (PADPAC)	04/07/88	20,292.23	18,588.87

	PAC NAME	REGISTRATION DATE	TOTAL RECEIPTS	TOTAL EXPENDITURES
	PHARMACISTS OF MAINE PAC (POMPAC)	08/21/90	1,695.60	952.70
	PINETREE PAC	02/21/86	210.62	187.38
	POLITICAL ACTION FOR GOVERNEMENT EMPLOYEES (PAGE)	03/21/86	2,326.38	2,280.60
*	PRIEST, CHARLES PAC	03/16/90	50.00	50.00
	PRUDENTIAL-BACHE STATE AND LOCAL GOOD GOVERNMENT	11/27/89	17,474.07	16,354.79
	SENATE DEMOCRATIC FUND	10/10/84	89,931.17	84,635.56
	SHAPIRO LEGISLATIVE PAC	05/10/90	23,650.44	23,186.99
	SMOKELESS TOBACCO COUNCIL	06/20/88	1,200.00	1,200.00
*	SOUTH PORTLAND FREEDOM FIGHTERS FOR PROPERTY TAX RELIEF	10/31/90	1,505.00	1,487.00
	SPORTSMAN'S ALLIANCE OF MAINE (SAMPAC)	10/07/86	698.50	677.00
	T.E.A.M. '90	12/20/89	6,713.00	6,389.29
	THRIFT PAC MAINE	03/30/84	20,641.35	14,896.05
	VICTORY '90 FUND	07/06/88	55,776.31	54,987.30
*	VISION TRUST	09/10/90	9,296.59	9,171.03
*	VOSE VICTORY '90	10/15/90	1,689.86	1,689.86
	1990 TOTALS - CANDIDATE	PACS	\$1,075,414.65	\$968,844.90

PACs Organized for the Purpose of Supporting or Opposing a 1990 Ballot Question

PAC NAME	REGISTRATION DATE	TOTAL RECEIPTS	TOTAL EXPENDITURES
* CITIZENS FOR CHOICE ON SUNDAY	09/20/90	153,179.42	153,054.09
CITIZENS TO SAVE MAINE'S HERITAGE	10/01/90	26,559.17	90,441.54 (Outstanding loan: \$70,000)
PROPERTY TAX RELIEF NOW!	09/12/90	252.00	252.00
RAILVISION	08/31/90	9,374.08	8,270.49
RETAIL FEDERATION OF MAINE PAC	08/21/90	275,654.73	323,674.71 (Outstanding loan: \$50,000)
* SAVE OUR SUNDAYS	07/18/90	53,560.70	53,547.92
1990 TOTALS - PACS SUPPORTING A 1990 BALI	OR OPPOSING OT QUESTION	\$518,580.10	\$629,240.75
PACs Organized for the Purpose of Initiating a Ballot Question			
CAMPAIGN FOR SENSIBLE TRANSPORTATION	09/17/90	34,298.41	32,485.45
FAIR SCHOOL FUNDING	11/16/90	1,086.00	175.00
GUNS AND CHILDREN ACTION COMMITTEE	08/31/90	6,487.71	6,131.53
MAINE FREEDOM FIGHTERS	10/05/90	6,487.57	5,261.72
1990 TOTALS - PACs INITIATING A BALLOT QUESTION		\$48,359.69	\$44,053.70

PACs Which Supported or Opposed a Ballot Question in a Year Prior to 1990

PAC NAME	REGISTRATION DATE	TOTAL RECEIPTS	TOTAL EXPENDITURES
* COALITION FOR THE CRUISE MISSILE	04/28/89	527.00	527.00
* FRIENDS OF V.T.I.'s	09/29/89	2,019.21	1,553.46
MAINE CITIZENS FOR SAFE WASTE DISPOSAL	10/10/85	15,879.56	470.00
MAINE NUCLEAR REFERENDUM COMMITTEE	05/03/86	35,275.31	35,039.44
* MAINE PEOPLE FOR THE UNIVERSITY	04/01/88	5,391.55	5,391.75
9-1-1 FOR MAINE COMMITTEE	10/12/88	921.31	-0-
TELEPHONE CUSTOMERS COALITION	08/07/85	-0-	-0- (Outstanding debt: \$4,427)
1990 TOTALS - PACs SUPPORTING A BALLOT QUESTION P		\$60,013.94	\$42,981.45

ALL PACS REGISTERED IN MAINE - 1990:

TOTAL RECEIPTS \$1,702,368.38

TOTAL EXPENDITURES \$1,685,120.80

^{*} These PACs now terminated