Town Farm Road Bridge (BR 5785) over Interstate 95 Wetland and Watercourse Delineation and Monarch Butterfly Habitat Assessment Report

Sidney, Maine WIN 27266.00

Prepared for: Maine Department of Transportation

Prepared by: Stantec Consulting Services Inc. February 28, 2025

Project/File: 195603436

Table of Contents

1	Introduction1	
2 2.1 2.2	Methodology 1 Wetland and Watercourse Delineation 1 Monarch Habitat Assessment 2	2
3 3.1 3.2	Results 3 Wetland and Watercourse Delineation 3 Monarch Habitat Assessment 5	3
List of		
Table 1.	Summary of Delineated Wetlands	ŀ
List of	Figures	
Figure 1	. Wetland and Watercourse Delineation Map7	,
List of	Appendices	

Appendix ARepresentative PhotographsAppendix BMaineDOT Wetland Data Forms



1 Introduction

The Maine Department of Transportation (MaineDOT) is proposing replacement of the Town Farm Road Bridge over Interstate 95 (I-95) in Sidney, Maine (BR 5785; hereafter, Project). Wetland and watercourse delineations as well as a habitat assessment for monarch (*Danaus plexippus*) was conducted by Stantec Consulting Services Inc. on January 10, 2025, in support of design planning as well as state and federal permitting requirements. This report summarizes the methodology and results of the field investigations.

2 Methodology

The delineations and habitat assessments were conducted within approximately 100 feet of the existing bridge locations, including the bridge superstructure and approaches that were safely accessible at the time of the field work (Figure 1).

2.1 Wetland and Watercourse Delineation

Wetland boundaries under potential federal and state jurisdiction were determined using the technical criteria described in the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) *Wetlands Delineation Manual*¹ and the *Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Northcentral and Northeast Regional Supplement (Version 2.0).*² Wetland boundaries were flagged with pink "WETLAND DELINEATION" flagging and labeled with a unique alpha-numeric code in accordance with MaineDOT nomenclatural conventions. Wetland flags were located using a Global Positioning System (GPS) receiver capable of achieving submeter horizontal accuracy. The GPS data were attributed in accordance with MaineDOT spatial data requirements. Field data were collected on dominant vegetation, evidence of wetland hydrology, hydric soil criteria (where possible), and wetland functions using MaineDOT's "Standard MaineDOT Information / F&V Form." The observed wetland functions and values were based on the USACE *Highway Methodology Workbook Supplement: Wetland Functions and Values - A Descriptive Approach.*³ Wetland classification was assigned based on the *Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States.*⁴ Wetlands of Special Significance (WoSS) were identified based on criteria in

³ Federal Geographic Data Committee. 2013. Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States. FGDC-STD-004-2013. Second Edition. Wetlands Subcommittee, Federal Geographic Data Committee and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, DC.



¹ Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual. Technical Report Y-87-1. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Waterways Experiment Station, Vicksburg, MS.

² U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2012. *Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Northcentral and Northeast Region (Version 2.0)*, ed. J. S. Wakeley, R. W. Lichvar, and C. V. Noble. ERDC/EL TR-12-1. Vicksburg, MS: U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center.

³ US Army Corps of Engineers. 1999. *Highway Methodology Workbook Supplement: Wetland Functions and Values - A Descriptive Approach*. New England Division. Publication no. NAEEP-360-1-30a. November 1995. 32 pp

Chapter 310 of the Natural Resources Protection Act⁵ and Chapter 335 Significant Wildlife Habitat.⁶ Identification of WoSS was limited to observable conditions within the Project site. Representative photographs were taken as appropriate.

Concurrent with the wetland delineation, streams and other potential Waters of the United States were delineated, if observed. These resources were identified using the regulatory criteria established by the Maine Department of Environmental Protection⁷ and the USACE.⁸ For streams less than approximately 5 feet wide (from the top of the bank) were flagged with blue flagging along their approximate centerline; streams over approximately 5 feet wide were flagged at the observed top of bank or ordinary high water mark, whichever was more conservative. Each flag was labeled with a unique alpha-numeric code in accordance with MaineDOT's nomenclatural convention and located with the GPS receiver. Data were recorded on apparent flow regime, substrate, bankfull widths, ordinary high water mark widths, water depths, and presence of aquatic organisms and vegetation. Delineated streams were assessed using the "MaineDOT Stream Assessment Form." Representative photographs were taken as appropriate.

Stantec identified potential vernal pools (PVP) within the Project area. As the delineation was conducted outside of the appropriate vernal pool survey season, PVPs were identified based on physical characteristics such as the presence of standing water or water marks within a confined basin If identified, PVPs were located as an approximate center point with the GPS receiver and general data on their approximate size, origin, hydroperiod, and physical characteristics were collected.

2.2 Monarch Habitat Assessment

During the delineation, Stantec assessed the existing habitats within the Project area relative to their potential to support monarch butterfly. The habitat assessment consisted primarily of a survey for milkweed (*Asclepias* spp.), the host plants for monarch larvae (caterpillars). Because the assessment was conducted outside of the growing season, observations of milkweed was limited to persistent remnants that remained identifiable at the time of the field assessment. Data were collected on approximate abundance and the spatial extent of the observed milkweed specimens was located with the GPS receiver. In addition, habitats within the Project area were characterized relative to their potential to support milkweed during normal growing season conditions based on observable associated vegetation, canopy cover, hydrology, and the type and approximate frequency of habitat disturbances (e.g., mowing). Representative photographs were taken to document existing conditions.

⁸ U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 2025. National Ordinary High Water Mark Field Delineation Manual for Rivers and Streams: Final Version. ERDC/CRREL TR-25-1, Vicksburg, MS: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Engineer Research and Development Center.



⁵ Maine Department of Environmental Protection. 26 January 2009. Natural Resources Protection Act Chapter 310: Wetlands and Waterbodies Protection Rules. Bureau of Land and Water Quality, DEPLW0297-D2009.

⁶ Maine Department of Environmental Protection. 7 January 2014. Natural Resources Protection Act Chapter 335: Significant Wildlife Habitat.

⁷ Danielson, T. J. 2018. *Natural Resource Protection Act (NRPA) Streams, Rivers, and Brooks*. Maine Department of Environmental Protection, Augusta, ME.

3 Results

3.1 Wetland and Watercourse Delineation

The wetland and watercourse delineation was conducted on January 10, 2025. The ground was free of snow; however, the soil was frozen throughout most of the Project area. Accordingly, a verification of the wetland boundaries identified during this effort is recommended to be conducted during appropriate seasonal conditions in order to assess hydric soil characteristics in accordance with USACE wetland delineation methodology.

A total of 2 wetlands were delineated within the Project area (Figure 1). No streams, other potential Waters of the United States, or PVPs were observed. Representative photographs are provided in Appendix A and Standard MaineDOT Information / F&V Forms are provided in Appendix B. Table 1 summarizes the delineated wetlands.



Table 1. Summary of Delineated Wetlands

Wetland Identifier	Wetland Classification ¹	Dominant and Characteristic Vegetation Type	Hydric Soil Criteria	WoSS Notes	Evidence of Hydrology	Comments
VE-01D	PEM	Herbs: reed canary grass (<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>), sensitive fern (<i>Onoclea sensibilis</i>)	Frozen – not assessed	No	Surface Water, Geomorphic Position, Drainage Patterns	Mowed drainage swale at base of road embankment and edge of mowed field; continuing easterly into drainage ditch outside of Project area
VE-01E	PEM	Herbs : sensitive fern, wrinkle-leaf goldenrod (<i>Solidago rugosa</i>), reed canary grass, cottongrass bulrush (<i>Scirpus cyperinus</i>)	Frozen – not assessed	No	Surface Water, Geomorphic Position, Drainage Patterns	Drainage swale at toe of road embankment and edge of field

¹Wetland classification follows Federal Geographic Data Committee (2013): PEM = Palustrine Emergent



3.2 Monarch Habitat Assessment

The Project area includes potentially suitable monarch habitat along the open roadway embankments and I-95 median. Common milkweed (*Asclepias syriaca*) was observed within the open upland habitats of the I-95 median. Approximately 8 to 10 remnant plants were observed within the Project to the north of the bridge location in the median with 5 to 8 additional plants scattered along the road shoulders and open habitats within the Project. The associated habitat consists of maintained early successional meadow and shrubland habitat and includes common associates such as Canadian goldenrod (*Solidago canadensis*), red fescue (*Festuca rubra*), Kentucky blue grass (*Poa pratensis*), staghorn sumac (*Rhus hirta*), setose blackberry (*Rubus setosus*), Morrow's honeysuckle (*Lonicera morrowii*), common red raspberry (*Rubus idaeus*), and yellow bristle grass (*Setaria pumila*).

The road embankments of Town Farm Road are shrub- and sapling-dominated habitats and include species such as staghorn sumac, European barberry (*Berberis vulgaris*), nanny-berry (*Viburnum lentago*), and quaking aspen (*Populus tremuloides*) and milkweed habitat is largely limited to the edges of the roadway and small and discrete gaps amongst the denser shrub and sapling growth along the road.

Open field and meadow habitat areas beyond the edge of the I-95 pavement are maintained periodically through mowing by MaineDOT as well as the abutting landowners. Frequent mowing largely limits the potential for common milkweed establishment and for monarch larvae to complete their life cycle. Steeper road embankments and the median appear to receive less mowing frequency than other areas within the Project area and may provide more viable habitat for monarch larvae development.

Small forest fragments are located in the Project area, largely as a linear visual and noise screen between I-95 and abutting properties. These areas have overstory vegetation including Norway maple (*Acer platanoides*), red pine (*Pinus resinosa*), Norway spruce (*Picea abies*), and eastern white pine (*Pinus strobus*) with a shrub-dominated understory containing European barberry (*Berberis vulgaris*), and nanny-berry and do not provide potential habitat for milkweed due to the increased understory shading of these areas and no remnant milkweed specimens were observed.

Representative habitat photographs are provided in Appendix A and the locations of observed milkweed are indicated on Figure 1.



Town Farm Road Bridge (BR 5785) over Interstate 95 Wetland and Watercourse Delineation and Monarch Butterfly Habitat Report

Figures





Disclaimer: This document has been prepared based on information provided by others as cited in the Notes section. Stantec has not verified the accuracy and/or completeness of this information and shall not be responsible for any errors or omissions which may be incorporated herein as a result. Stantec assumes no responsibility for data supplied in electronic format, and the recipient accepts full responsibility for verifying the accuracy and completeness of the data.

Town Farm Road Bridge (BR 5785) over Interstate 95 Wetland and Watercourse Delineation and Monarch Butterfly Habitat Report

Appendices



Appendix A Representative Photographs





Photo 1. Wetland VE-01D. Stantec. January 10, 2025.



Photo 2. Wetland VE-01E. Stantec. January 10, 2025.





Photo 3. Town Farm Road shoulder habitat along western approach. Stantec. January 10, 2025.



Photo 4. Town Farm Road shoulder habitat along eastern approach. Stantec. January 10, 2025.





Photo 5. Common milkweed habitat in I-95 median north of bridge. Stantec. January 10, 2025.



Photo 6. Common milkweed habitat in I-95 median south of bridge. Stantec. January 10, 2025.





Photo 7. Town Farm Road bridge meadow habitat along I-95, view to the north. Stantec. January 10, 2025.



Photo 8. Town Farm Road bridge meadow habitat along I-95, view to the north. Stantec. January 10, 2025.





Photo 9. Common milkweed remnant along Town Farm Road shoulder. Stantec. January 10, 2025.





Photo 10. Town Farm Road bridge, view to the southeast. Stantec. January 10, 2025.



Appendix B MaineDOT Wetland Data Forms



MaineDC)T Fu	nction	al Ass	essme	nt:										
1. Town: S	Sidney			Town R 5785	Farm Ro	bad	3. WIN: 27266.00								
4. Wetland VE-01D	4. Wetland ID/ Line ID: 5. Cowardin Class:							6. Stationing/Location							
									etland Morphology HGM Type: ed swale, no stream association						
Mowed we Surface wa Soil: Froze Lamoine si	 9. Wetland description including a soil description: Mowed wetland field at toe of road embankment and continuing easterly off-site via drainage ditch. Surface water present Soil: Frozen along edges – not assessed at time of delineation. USDA NRCS soil mapped as Lamoine silt loam 10. FVA Table: 														
Whole Wetl	and:										r	r	[1	
F/V	GRD	FFA	FSH	STR	NRRT	PE	SSS	WH	REC	ESV	U/H	VQA	ES		
Occurs				X											
Principal					Х										
Impacted area: TBD 11. Is this wetland part of larger complex? No.															
12. Impact Notes/Photos: Impacts TBD, see report photos															
Attach applicable ACOE Form															

Directions and Guidance

- 1. Town where project is located.
- 2. Route.
- 3. Work Identification Number (WIN).
- 4. Unique Identifier code for each wetland area.
- 5. Cowardin Classification Codes.
- 6. Approximated stationing location.
- 7. List the typical vegetation found within this wetland type. List any vegetation that may support a function of that wetland.
- 8. This should describe the morphology of the wetland. Need to describe if the wetland is in a basin or on a sloped area, whether it has a definite inlet or outlet and whether a stream is present in the wetland.
- 9. Notes any soils information, stream descriptions, habitat descriptions such as vernal pools or open aquatic areas.
- 10. This is the wetland function discussion block.
- 11. Is this wetland in a complex associated with other streams or wetlands?
- 12. Also any include more detailed description of area to be affected by the project as opposed to notes of the entire wetland, if known. Photos if applicable.

Standard MaineDOT Information/F&V Form

MaineD	OT Fu	nction	al Ass	essme	nt:									
1. Town: S	Farm Ro	oad	3. W	IN: 27	266.00									
4. Wetlan VE-01E	BR 57854. Wetland ID/ Line ID: VE-01E5. Cowardin Class: PEM						6. Stationing/Location							
7. Dominant Vegetation: Phalaris arundinacea, Onoclea 8. Wetland Morphology HGM Type: sensibilis, Solidago rugosa, Scirpus cyperinus Sloped swale, no stream association														
Mowed lin water pres Soil: Froze	 9. Wetland description including a soil description: Mowed linear swale at base of road embankment and edge of field; drainage patterns and surface water present Soil: Frozen- not assessed at time of delineation. USDA NRCS soil mapped as Lamoine silt loam 10. FVA Table: 													
Whole Wet	land:												r	
F/V	GRD	FFA	FSH	STR	NRRT	PE	SSS	WH	REC	ESV	U/H	VQA	ES	
Occurs				X										
Principal					Х									
Impacted area: TBD 11. Is this wetland part of larger complex? No.														
12. Impact Notes/Photos: Impacts TBD, see report photos														
Attach applicable ACOE Form														

Directions and Guidance

- 1. Town where project is located.
- 2. Route.
- 3. Work Identification Number (WIN).
- 4. Unique Identifier code for each wetland area.
- 5. Cowardin Classification Codes.
- 6. Approximated stationing location.
- 7. List the typical vegetation found within this wetland type. List any vegetation that may support a function of that wetland.
- 8. This should describe the morphology of the wetland. Need to describe if the wetland is in a basin or on a sloped area, whether it has a definite inlet or outlet and whether a stream is present in the wetland.
- 9. Notes any soils information, stream descriptions, habitat descriptions such as vernal pools or open aquatic areas.
- 10. This is the wetland function discussion block.
- 11. Is this wetland in a complex associated with other streams or wetlands?
- 12. Also any include more detailed description of area to be affected by the project as opposed to notes of the entire wetland, if known. Photos if applicable.