

HYDROLOGY REPORT

MaineDOT developed a range of flows for Wilson Stream that flows under the bridge based on USGS Regression Equations and StreamStats (MaineDOT, 2023). The range of flows for Wilson Stream are summarized in the table below.

SUMMARY			
Drainage Area	7.75	mi ²	
Q1.1	175	ft ³ /s	
Q10	625	ft ³ /s	
Q25	790	ft ³ /s	
Q50	920	ft ³ /s	
Q100	1,050	ft ³ /s	
Q500	1,350	ft ³ /s	

Note: All elevations based on North American Vertical Datum (NAVD) of 1988.

HYDRAULIC REPORT

The hydraulic performance of the existing bridge and the proposed bridge was analyzed using 1-dimensional unsteady flow hydraulic models. HEC RAS version 6.4.1 software developed by the Hydrologic Engineering Center for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers was used to model the various flow and tidal conditions as outlined below.

Stream cross sections created from 2023 survey data were used to develop a model of Wilsons Stream in the vicinity of the project. The modeled reach extends 258' upstream of the bridge and 442' downstream of the bridge into the tidal flat zone. The downstream distance is determined by engineering judgement to be sufficient to accurately capture the hydraulic behavior of the brook as the channel opens into the relatively wide tidal flat zone. The stream slope ranges from a minimum of -0.4% slightly upstream of the bridge to a maximum of 2.3% at both the upstream-most section of the modeled reach and downstream of the bridge. The stream slope at the proposed bridge location is 1.4%. There is a scour hole approximately 7.2' deep at the outlet of the existing bridge.

The streambed upstream of the existing bridge consists of gravel, cobbles, and small to large boulders. The banks of the brook are lined with medium brush and small trees upstream. Manning's n values were determined for channel sides and bottom based on *Open Channel Hydraulics* by Chow (1959). Manning's n values of 0.055 and 0.070 were used to model the streambed channel and overbank roughness upstream. The downstream streambed consists of gravel, small to large boulders and tidal flat material. Manning's n values of 0.035 and 0.060 were used to model the streambed channel and overbank roughness downstream.

EXISTING CULVERT

The existing box culvert, as shown in the 1937 plans, consists of a concrete footing with concrete sides and top. The waterway opening is 8'-0" wide and 8'-0" high. The inlet and outlet invert elevation are taken to be 10.9' based on survey data and the 1937 plans. The low chord is at elevation 18.9'.

RECOMMENDED REPLACEMENT BRIDGE

The proposed bridge will be located along the same highway alignment as the existing bridge and will be a precast NEBT beam composite concrete deck superstructure with integral abutments. The stream channel under the bridge will have a 9' wide flat bottom along the thalweg with 1.75:1 side slopes up to the abutments with 2'-6" shelves located at the abutments. The proposed inlet elevation is 8.5' and the proposed outlet elevation is 8.0'. The low chord will be located at elevation 24'.

ANALYSIS

The bridge hydraulics are influenced by stream flows and tidal cycles. The stream flows at Q1.1, Q10, Q50, Q100, Q500 were inputted as constant upstream boundary conditions. The tidal cycles were inputted using stage hydrographs to model the time-varying downstream boundary conditions. Stage hydrographs were developed for four tidal scenarios: average tides, average tide plus 4 ft of sea level rise (SLR), 2% annual chance (50 yr) storm tides, and 2% annual chance (50 yr) storm tides plus 4 ft of SLR.

Tidal data was collected from two sources; the NOAA Tide Gage in Eastport, Maine and MaineDOT data loggers installed at the Smelt Brook Bridge in Perry. A comparison of the recorded tidal levels at Eastport to the recorded tidal levels at Perry for the time period of June 8, 2023 to July 6, 2023 found that the Perry water elevations were on average 0.2 ft higher. For hydraulic modelling, the stage hydrographs representing average tides were the water surface elevations recorded at Perry for the 5-day period from June 8, 2023 to June 12, 2023. To represent the average tide plus SLR, the average tide stage hydrograph were adjusted up linearly by 4.0 ft. Due to the close proximity of the Perry bridge location to the Dennysville site, the tidal conditions and analysis from the Perry site were used.

The stage hydrographs representing 2% annual chance (50 yr) storm surge events were developed using the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Study (FIS) for Washington County dated 2017. The 2% annual chance stillwater elevation in Cobscook Bay is 14.0 ft as obtained from FEMA FIS Coastal Transect Location #19. The average peak tide at Perry for the period from June 9, 2023 to June 13, 2023 was 9.8 ft. The tidal data was adjusted linearly upwards by 4.2 ft so the peak water surface elevation reached EL 14.0 ft. To represent the 2% annual chance storm tides plus SLR, the stage hydrograph was adjusted up linearly by 4.0 ft.

The range of stream flows and tidal cycles were analyzed in the HEC RAS model using twelve scenarios for the existing and proposed structures. The hydraulic results for these scenarios are summarized in the table below.

The hydraulic model was not calibrated with recorded water surface levels since this data was not available in the FEMA FIS for Wilson Stream. However, the accuracy of the model is improved by using the tidal data that was collected at the nearby Perry site for the downstream boundary conditions. Since it was anticipated that the tidal flows would play a larger role in the determination of water surface levels, a sensitivity study of the Manning's n values used to model the channel and overbank roughness was not performed.

CONCLUSIONS

The results indicate that the tidal cycles have minimum impact at both high and low average tides. Tidal cycles govern the crossing hydraulics at the highest astronomical tides and under the storm event and sea level rise conditions. The proposed structure is located high in the modeled reach with a stream bed elevation relatively high related to the tides. The tidal transparency guidance of allowing up to a maximum 0.25-ft head difference between upstream and downstream at mean-higher-high-water (MHHW) as outlined in the General Design Criteria for Tidal Drainage Structures does not apply as the headwater and tailwater elevations of the proposed opening are governed predominantly by flow and river geometry, but not by the downstream tide water elevation.

The proposed hydraulic opening provides 5.9' of freeboard at Q10 flows at MHW tides plus 4' of sea level rise including wave heights. This is greater than the 2' minimum per the General Design Criteria for Tidal Drainage Structures. For this calculation, a wave height of 4.6 ft was conservatively chosen. The 4.6 ft wave height is the significant wave height for a 1% (100 yr) annual chance obtained from FEMA FIS Coastal Transect Location #20 in Cobscook Bay. This is conservative since the wave height is for 1% annual event and the actual bridge location is in a fetch-restricted in a cove further inland from transect location #20. It was conservatively chosen to use transect #20 for the wave height despite using transect #19 for the storm surge water elevation.

SUMMARY

Scenario	Value		Existing Structure	Recommended Structure
			8'x8' Box Culvert	Single Span Bridge
Total Area of Waterway Opening		ft ²	64	885
Q _{1.1} Avg. Tides	Peak Headwater: High Tide	ft	15.08	11.99
	Peak Tailwater: High Tide	ft	10.68	10.48
	PHHD: High Tide	ft	4.4	1.51*
	Freeboard: High Tide	ft	14.92	12.21
	Velocity at Peak High Tide	ft/s	8.31	4.51
	Headwater: Low Tide	ft	15.08	11.99
	Tailwater: Low Tide	ft	10.68	10.43
	Peak Velocity at Low Tide	ft/s	8.31	4.62

Q _{1.1} Avg. Tides 4 ft SLR	Peak Headwater: High Tide	ft	15.13	13.87
	Peak Tailwater: High Tide	ft	13.89	13.79
	PHHD: High Tide	ft	1.24	0.08
	Freeboard: High Tide	ft	14.87	10.33
	Velocity at Peak High Tide	ft/s	3.34	1.23
	Headwater: Low Tide	ft	15.08	11.99
	Tailwater: Low Tide	ft	10.68	10.43
	Peak Velocity at Low Tide	ft/s	8.31	4.62
Q _{1.1} 2% annual chance coastal storm event	Peak Headwater: High Tide	ft	15.24	14.02
	Peak Tailwater: High Tide	ft	13.92	13.99
	PHHD: High Tide	ft	1.32	0.03
	Freeboard: High Tide	ft	14.76	10.18
	Velocity at Peak High Tide	ft/s	3.34	1.19
	Headwater: Low Tide	ft	15.08	11.99
	Tailwater: Low Tide	ft	10.68	10.43
	Peak Velocity at Low Tide	ft/s	8.31	4.62
Q _{1.1} 2% annual chance coastal storm event + 4 ft SLR	Peak Headwater: High Tide	ft	18.22	18.01
	Peak Tailwater: High Tide	ft	17.97	18.00
	PHHD: High Tide	ft	0.25	0.01
	Freeboard: High Tide	ft	11.78	6.19
	Velocity at Peak High Tide	ft/s	1.78	0.53
	Headwater: Low Tide	ft	15.08	11.99
	Tailwater: Low Tide	ft	10.68	10.43
	Peak Velocity at Low Tide	ft/s	8.31	4.62
Q ₁₀ Avg. Tides	Headwater at MHHW:	ft	20.82	14.34
	Peak Headwater: High Tide	ft	20.82	14.34
	Peak Tailwater: High Tide	ft	13.51	11.72
	PHHD: High Tide	ft	7.31	2.62*
	Freeboard: High Tide	ft	9.18	9.86
	Velocity at Peak High Tide	ft/s	12.46	8.86
	Headwater: Low Tide	ft	20.82	14.34
	Tailwater: Low Tide	ft	13.51	11.72
	Peak Velocity at Low Tide	ft/s	12.46	8.86
Q ₁₀ Avg. Tides 4 ft SLR	Peak Headwater: High Tide	ft	20.82	14.60
	Peak Tailwater: High Tide	ft	13.53	13.70
	PHHD: High Tide	ft	7.29	0.90*
	Freeboard: High Tide	ft	9.18	9.60
	Velocity at Peak High Tide	ft/s	12.4	4.51
	Headwater: Low Tide	ft	20.82	14.34
	Tailwater: Low Tide	ft	13.51	11.72
	Peak Velocity at Low Tide	ft/s	12.46	8.86

Q ₅₀ Avg. Tides	Headwater at MHHW:	ft	24.73	15.37
	Peak Headwater: High Tide	ft	24.73	15.37
	Peak Tailwater: High Tide	ft	14.98	12.52
	PHHD: High Tide	ft	9.75	2.85*
	Freeboard: High Tide	ft	5.27	8.83
	Velocity at Peak High Tide	ft/s	13.97	9.63
	Headwater: Low Tide	ft	24.73	15.37
	Tailwater: Low Tide	ft	14.98	12.52
	Peak Velocity at Low Tide	ft/s	13.97	9.63
Q ₅₀ Avg. Tides 4 ft SLR	Peak Headwater: High Tide	ft	24.73	15.34
	Peak Tailwater: High Tide	ft	14.99	13.55
	PHHD: High Tide	ft	9.74	1.79*
	Freeboard: High Tide	ft	5.27	8.86
	Velocity at Peak High Tide	ft/s	13.94	6.93
	Headwater: Low Tide	ft	24.73	15.37
	Tailwater: Low Tide	ft	14.98	12.52
	Peak Velocity at Low Tide	ft/s	13.97	9.63
Q ₁₀₀ Avg. Tides	Headwater at MHHW:	ft	26.73	15.76
	Peak Headwater: High Tide	ft	26.73	15.76
	Peak Tailwater: High Tide	ft	15.54	12.81
	PHHD: High Tide	ft	11.19	2.95*
	Freeboard: High Tide	ft	3.27	8.44
	Velocity at Peak High Tide	ft/s	14.57	9.95
	Headwater: Low Tide	ft	26.73	15.76
	Tailwater: Low Tide	ft	15.54	12.81
	Peak Velocity at Low Tide	ft/s	14.57	9.95
Q ₁₀₀ Avg. Tides 4 ft SLR	Peak Headwater: High Tide	ft	26.73	15.70
	Peak Tailwater: High Tide	ft	15.57	13.45
	PHHD: High Tide	ft	11.16	2.25*
	Freeboard: High Tide	ft	3.27	8.50
	Velocity at Peak High Tide	ft/s	14.5	8.15
	Headwater: Low Tide	ft	26.73	15.76
	Tailwater: Low Tide	ft	15.54	12.81
	Peak Velocity at Low Tide	ft/s	14.57	9.95
Q ₅₀₀ Avg. Tides	Peak Headwater: High Tide	ft	32.26	16.57
	Peak Tailwater: High Tide	ft	16.84	13.45
	PHHD: High Tide	ft	15.42	3.12*
	Freeboard: High Tide	ft	-2.26	7.63
	Velocity at Peak High Tide	ft/s	15.61	10.48
	Headwater: Low Tide	ft	32.26	16.57
	Tailwater: Low Tide	ft	16.84	13.45

	Peak Velocity at Low Tide	ft/s	15.61	10.48
Q ₅₀₀ Avg. Tides 4 ft SLR	Peak Headwater: High Tide	ft	32.26	16.57
	Peak Tailwater: High Tide	ft	16.84	13.46
	PHHD: High Tide	ft	15.42	3.11*
	Freeboard: High Tide	ft	-2.26	7.63
	Velocity at Peak High Tide	ft/s	15.61	10.43
	Headwater: Low Tide	ft	32.26	16.57
	Tailwater: Low Tide	ft	16.84	13.45
	Peak Velocity at Low Tide	ft/s	15.61	10.48

Notes:

1. *The requirement of tidal transparency does not apply as streambed elevation is high relative to tides.
2. PHHD refers to the Hydraulic Head Difference between the peak headwater elevation and the peak tailwater elevation.
3. Freeboard is measured as the distance from the peak headwater elevation to the road surface elevation (approximately El. 30.0 ft) for the existing culvert crossing and from the peak headwater elevation to the low chord El. 24 ft for the proposed bridge structure.
4. Note: All elevations based on North American Vertical Datum (NAVD) of 1988.

Summary of NOAA Tidal Information

NOAA Tides and Currents	
MHHW	9.34 feet
MHW	8.86 feet
MLW	-9.49 feet
MLLW	-9.93 feet
NAVD88 Datum	0.00 feet
Great Diurnal Range	19.27 feet
Mean Range of Tide	18.35 feet
Max Tide	14.44 feet
Highest Astronomical Tide	12.83 feet

Summary of Tidal Heights:

Maximum Tidal Height Conditions	
Average Tide	9.8 feet
Average Tide + 4' Sea Level Rise (SLR)	13.8 feet = 9.8 + 4.0
2% Annual Chance (50 yr) Storm Tide	14.0 feet
2% Annual Chance (50 yr) Storm Tide +SLR	18.0 feet = 14.0 + 4.0
MHW + SLR + 4.6' Wave Height	17.5 feet = 8.9 + 4.0 + 4.6

Reported by: Benjamin Pomeroy
Date: June 14, 2024

Appendix C

Hydraulics Data

WIN: 26630.08
 Town: Dennysville
 Route No. US1
 Asset ID: 47382
 Lat: 44.93382 Long: -67.22610

Project Name:
 Stream Name: Wilson Str
 Bridge Name: n/n
 Analysis by: csh
 Date: 8/15/2023

Peak Flow Calculations by USGS Regression Equations (Lombard/Hodgkins, 2021; Hodgkins, 1999 & Lombard/Hodgkins, 2015)

Enter data in blue cells only!

	km ²	mi ²	ac
A	20.07	7.75	4960.0
W	1.73	0.7	426.6
P _c	637287	4980226	
County	Washington		

Enter data in [mi²]

Watershed Area *DRNAREA*
 Wetlands area (by NWI)

watershed centroid (E, N; UTM 19N; meters)
 choose county from drop-down menu

ver. 2021 Jan 01

Worksheet prepared by:

Charles S. Hebson, PE
 Environmental Office
 Maine Dept. Transportation
 Augusta, ME 04333-0016
 207-557-1052
Charles.Hebson@maine.gov

Watershed Characteristics from StreamStats

STORAGE	8.31	
STORNWI	8.60	NWI Wetlands %
SANDGRAVF	0.00	sand & gravel aquifer as decimal fraction of watershed A
ELEV	164.3	mean basin elevation (ft)
BSLDEM10M	4.6	mean basin slope (%)
COASTDIST	38.90	distance from the coast (mi)
ELEVMAX	343.9	maximum basin elevation (ft)
LC06WATER	0.16	percent of drainage basin land cover as open water
PRECIP	46.1	mean annual precipitation
STATSGOA	10.2	mean basin percentage of hydrological soil group A

References:

Hodgkins, G.A., 1999.
 Estimating the magnitude of peak flows for streams in Maine
 for Selected Recurrence Intervals
WRIR 99-4008, USGS Augusta, ME

Lombard, P.J. & G.A. Hodgkins, 2015.
 Peak flow regression equations for small, ungaged streams:
 in Maine: Comparing Map-Based to Field-Based Variables
SIR 2015-4059, USGS, Augusta, ME

Lombard, P.J. & G.A. Hodgkins, 2020.
 Estimating Flood Magnitude and Frequency on Gaged and
 Ungaged Streams in Maine
SIR 2020-5092, USGS, Augusta, ME.


Ret Pd	I24	Q _T (ft ³ /s)		Q _T (ft ³ /s)
T (yr)		1999 / 2015	2020	Design
1.1			173	175
2	3.14	247	333	335
5	3.85	392	505	505
10	4.43	494	626	625
25	5.24	650	790	790
50	5.85	760	920	920
100	6.48	891	1052	1050
200	7.17	1021	1179	1180
500	8.14	1205	1352	1350

Calculated Bankfull Width: 25.5 ft

Instructions:

Enter values in blue cells only, watershed data from StreamStats
 Copy I24 values from Stream Stats
 Use results under "Design"
 Check against gage data and FEMA studies if available
 Questions? Check with ENV / Hydrology Section

Log-Normal Probability Plot



WIN: 26630.08
 Town: Dennysville
 Route No. US1
 Asset ID: 47382
 Lat: 44.93382 Long: -67.22610

Project Name: 0
 Stream Name: Wilson Str
 Bridge Name: n/n
 Analysis by: csh
 Date: 8/15/2023

DO NOT ENTER ANY DATA ON THIS PAGE; EVERYTHING IS CALCULATED

MAINE MONTHLY MEDIAN FLOWS and HYDRAULIC GEOMETRY BY USGS REGRESSION EQUATIONS (2004, 2013, 2015)


Value	Variable	Explanation
7.75	A	Area (mi ²)
637287	P _c	Watershed centroid (E,N; UTM; Zone 19; meters)
38.14	DIST	Distance from Coastal reference line (mi)
46.1	pptA	Mean Annual Precipitation (inches)
0.00	SG	Sand & Gravel Aquifer (decimal fraction of watershed area)

Month	Q _{median} (ft ³ /s)	(m ³ /s)
Jan	8.31	0.2355
Feb	7.79	0.2208
Mar	29.92	0.8479
Apr	26.70	0.7565
May	7.74	0.2192
Jun	4.31	0.1221
Jul	1.31	0.0372
Aug	0.50	0.0142
Sep	0.51	0.0144
Oct	3.19	0.0903
Nov	13.48	0.3819
Dec	13.71	0.3886

Q _{bf}	44.6
ann avg	16.1
ann med	6.8
Q _{1.002}	81.2
Q _{1.01}	106.3
Q _{1.05}	147.0
Q _{1.1}	173.1
Q _{bf}	105.9

assume v = 4ft/s


W _{bf}	25.5	estimated bankfull width (ft)
d _{bf}	1.2	estimated bankfull depth (ft)
A _{bf}	26.5	estimated bankfull flow area (ft ²)



References

Dudley, 2013. FY2013 Progress Report - Phase 1 ..., USFWS QRP Project
 Dudley, 2004. Estimating Monthly Streamflows ... , SIR 2004-5026
 Dudley, 2015. Regression Equations for Monthly & Annual Mean..., USGS SIR 2015-5151

Daily Average Flow Distribution



Daily Avg Flow Dist

$A_{ws} = (mi^2)$ 7.8

$Q (ft^3/s)$

Pctl	Median	84 th pctl
1.00E-06	0.00	0.00
1	0.66	1.18
5	1.22	1.96
10	1.81	2.72
15	2.33	3.40
20	2.95	4.13
25	3.61	4.84
30	4.27	5.51
35	5.12	6.30
40	6.00	7.24
45	6.99	8.19
50	8.05	9.66
55	9.35	11.25
60	11.10	13.20
65	12.99	15.38
70	15.24	17.95
75	18.47	21.58
80	23.03	25.77
85	29.06	33.02
90	38.50	44.34
95	57.14	68.95
99	120.83	159.07

Q_{bf}	44.6
$Q_{1.002}$	81.2
$Q_{1.1}$	173.1
Q_2	333.3


Dennysville 26630.08 LC47382 US1 @ Wilson Stream

Region ID: ME

Workspace ID: ME20230815132417416000

Clicked Point (Latitude, Longitude): 44.93389, -67.22616

Time: 2023-08-15 09:24:47 -0400



+ Collapse All

➤ Basin Characteristics

Parameter Code	Parameter Description	Value	Unit
BSLDEM10M	Mean basin slope computed from 10 m DEM	4.6	percent
CENTROIDX	Basin centroid horizontal (x) location in state plane coordinates	637286.51	meters
CENTROIDY	Basin centroid vertical (y) location in state plane units	4980225.95	meters
COASTDIST	Shortest distance from the coastline to the basin centroid	38.9	miles
DRNAREA	Area that drains to a point on a stream	7.75	square miles
ELEV	Mean Basin Elevation	164.3	feet
ELEVMAX	Maximum basin elevation	343.9	feet
I24H100Y	Maximum 24-hour precipitation that occurs on average once in 100 years	6.48	inches
I24H10Y	Maximum 24-hour precipitation that occurs on average once in 10 years	4.43	inches
I24H200Y	Maximum 24-hour precipitation that occurs on average once in 200 years	7.17	inches
I24H25Y	Maximum 24-hour precipitation that occurs on average once in 25 years	5.24	inches
I24H2Y	Maximum 24-hour precipitation that occurs on average once in 2 years - Equivalent to precipitation intensity index	3.14	inches
I24H500Y	Maximum 24-hour precipitation that occurs on average once in 500 years	8.14	inches
I24H50Y	Maximum 24-hour precipitation that occurs on average once in 50 years	5.85	inches
I24H5Y	Maximum 24-hour precipitation that occurs on average once in 5 years	3.85	inches
JULAVPRE	Mean July Precipitation	3.13	inches
LC06WATER	Percent of open water, class 11, from NLCD 2006	0.16	percent
LC11DEV	Percentage of developed (urban) land from NLCD 2011 classes 21-24		percent

Parameter Code	Parameter Description	Value	Unit
LC11IMP	Average percentage of impervious area determined from NLCD 2011 impervious dataset		percent
PCTSNDGRV	Percentage of land surface underlain by sand and gravel deposits	0	percent
PRDECFEB90	Basin average mean precipitation for December to February from PRISM 1961-1990	12	inches
PRECIP	Mean Annual Precipitation	46.1	inches
SANDGRAVAF	Fraction of land surface underlain by sand and gravel aquifers	0	dimensionless
SANDGRAVAP	Percentage of land surface underlain by sand and gravel aquifers	0	percent
STATSGOA	Percentage of area of Hydrologic Soil Type A from STATSGO	10.2	percent
STORAGE	Percentage of area of storage (lakes ponds reservoirs wetlands)	8.308	percent
STORNWI	Percentage of storage (combined water bodies and wetlands) from the National Wetlands Inventory	8.6	percent

➤ Peak-Flow Statistics

Peak-Flow Statistics Parameters [Statewide multiparameter peakflows SIR 2020 5092]

Parameter Code	Parameter Name	Value	Units	Min Limit	Max Limit
DRNAREA	Drainage Area	7.75	square miles	0.26	5680
I24H2Y	24 Hour 2 Year Precipitation	3.14	inches	1.92	4.17
STORAGE	Percent Storage	8.308	percent	0	29.4
I24H5Y	24 Hour 5 Year Precipitation	3.85	inches	2.48	5.38
I24H10Y	24 Hour 10 Year Precipitation	4.43	inches	2.84	6.38

Parameter Code	Parameter Name	Value	Units	Min Limit	Max Limit
I24H25Y	24 Hour 25 Year Precipitation	5.24	inches	3.3	7.75
I24H50Y	24 Hour 50 Year Precipitation	5.85	inches	3.65	8.79
I24H100Y	24 Hour 100 Year Precipitation	6.48	inches	3.99	9.88
I24H200Y	24 Hour 200 Year Precipitation	7.17	inches	5.26	11.1
I24H500Y	24 Hour 500 Year Precipitation	8.14	inches	5.95	13.1

Peak-Flow Statistics Flow Report [Statewide multiparameter peakflows SIR 2020 5092]

PII: Prediction Interval-Lower, Plu: Prediction Interval-Upper, ASEp: Average Standard Error of Prediction, SE: Standard Error (other -- see report)

Statistic	Value	Unit	PII	Plu	ASEp
50-percent AEP flood	333	ft ³ /s	179	619	39.1
20-percent AEP flood	505	ft ³ /s	276	924	38.1
10-percent AEP flood	626	ft ³ /s	338	1160	38.9
4-percent AEP flood	790	ft ³ /s	421	1480	39.9
2-percent AEP flood	920	ft ³ /s	482	1750	39.7
1-percent AEP flood	1050	ft ³ /s	554	1990	40.7
0.5-percent AEP flood	1180	ft ³ /s	602	2310	42.8
0.2-percent AEP flood	1350	ft ³ /s	680	2680	43.8

Peak-Flow Statistics Citations

Lombard, P.J., and Hodgkins, G.A., 2020, Estimating flood magnitude and frequency on gaged and ungaged streams in Maine: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2020–5092, 56 p. (<https://doi.org/10.3133/sir20205092>)

➤ Annual Flow Statistics

Annual Flow Statistics Parameters [Statewide Annual SIR 2015 5151]

Parameter Code	Parameter Name	Value	Units	Min Limit	Max Limit
DRNAREA	Drainage Area	7.75	square miles	14.9	1419
SANDGRAVAF	Fraction of Sand and Gravel Aquifers	0	dimensionless	0	0.212
ELEV	Mean Basin Elevation	164.3	feet	239	2120

Annual Flow Statistics Disclaimers [Statewide Annual SIR 2015 5151]

One or more of the parameters is outside the suggested range. Estimates were extrapolated with unknown errors.

Annual Flow Statistics Flow Report [Statewide Annual SIR 2015 5151]

Statistic	Value	Unit
Mean Annual Flow	16.1	ft ³ /s

Annual Flow Statistics Citations

Dudley, R.W., 2015, Regression equations for monthly and annual mean and selected percentile streamflows for ungaged rivers in Maine: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2015–5151, 35 p. (<http://dx.doi.org/10.3133/sir20155151>)

➤ Flow Percentile Statistics

Flow Percentile Statistics Parameters [Statewide Annual SIR 2015 5151]

Parameter Code	Parameter Name	Value	Units	Min Limit	Max Limit
DRNAREA	Drainage Area	7.75	square miles	14.9	1419
SANDGRAVAF	Fraction of Sand and Gravel Aquifers	0	dimensionless	0	0.212
ELEV	Mean Basin Elevation	164.3	feet	239	2120

Flow Percentile Statistics Disclaimers [Statewide Annual SIR 2015 5151]

One or more of the parameters is outside the suggested range. Estimates were extrapolated with unknown errors.

Flow Percentile Statistics Flow Report [Statewide Annual SIR 2015 5151]

Statistic	Value	Unit
1st Percentile Flow	0.0252	ft ³ /s
5th Percentile Flow	0.149	ft ³ /s
10th Percentile Flow	0.402	ft ³ /s
25th Percentile Flow	1.98	ft ³ /s
50th Percentile Flow Median	6.77	ft ³ /s
75th Percentile Flow	17.8	ft ³ /s
90th Percentile Flow	39.9	ft ³ /s
95th Percentile Flow	62.3	ft ³ /s
99th Percentile Flow	147	ft ³ /s

FEMA Flood Insurance Study, Washington County, Maine, July 18, 2017




FIGURE 9

Federal Emergency Management Agency
WASHINGTON COUNTY, ME
(ALL JURISDICTIONS)

0 1 2 3 4 5
Miles

TRANSECT LOCATION MAP

FEMA Flood Insurance Study, Washington County, Maine, July 18, 2017

Table 17: Coastal Transect Parameters – (continued)

Flood Source	Coastal Transect	Starting Wave Conditions for the 1% Annual Chance		Starting Stillwater Elevations (ft NAVD88) Range of Stillwater Elevations (ft NAVD88)				
		Significant Wave Height H _s (feet)	Peak Wave Period T _p (seconds)	10% Annual Chance	4% Annual Chance	2% Annual Chance	1% Annual Chance	0.2% Annual Chance
Cobscook Bay	15	3.9	2.8	13.5 *	* *	14.2 *	14.6 14.6-14.6	15.2 *
Cobscook Bay	16	3.4	2.6	13.6 *	* *	14.3 *	14.6 14.6-14.6	15.3 *
Cobscook Bay	17	4.3	3.0	13.7 *	* *	14.4 *	14.7 14.6-14.7	15.3 *
Cobscook Bay	18	4.4	3.0	13.4 *	* *	14.0 *	14.4 14.4-14.4	15.1 *
Cobscook Bay	19	4.1	2.9	13.4 *	* *	14.0 *	14.4 14.4-14.4	15.0 *
Cobscook Bay	20	4.6	3.0	13.1 *	* *	13.7 *	14.2 14.4-14.2	14.8 *
Cobscook Bay	21	3.8	2.7	13.4 *	* *	14.0 *	14.4 14.4-14.4	15.0 *

*Not calculated for this Flood Risk project



(<https://www.noaa.gov/>)

**TIDES &
CURRENTS**

(/)



[Home \(/\)](#) / [Products \(products.html\)](#) / [Datums \(stations.html?type=Datums\)](#) /
[8410140 Eastport, ME](#) [Favorite Stations](#)

[Station Info](#)

[Tides/Water Levels](#)

[Meteorological Obs. \(/met.html?id=8410140\)](#)

[Phys. Oceanography \(/physocean.html?id=8410140\)](#)

Datums for 8410140, Eastport ME

NOTICE: All data values are relative to the NAVD88.

Elevations on NAVD88

Station: 8410140, Eastport, ME

Status: Accepted (Apr 17 2003)

Units: Feet

Control Station:

T.M.: 0

Epoch: ([/datum_options.html#NTDE](#)) 1983-2001


Datum: NAVD88

Datum	Value	Description
MHHW (/datum_options.html#MHHW)	9.34	Mean Higher-High Water
MHW (/datum_options.html#MHW)	8.86	Mean High Water
MTL (/datum_options.html#MTL)	-0.31	Mean Tide Level
MSL (/datum_options.html#MSL)	-0.23	Mean Sea Level
DTL (/datum_options.html#DTL)	-0.30	Mean Diurnal Tide Level
MLW (/datum_options.html#MLW)	-9.49	Mean Low Water
MLLW (/datum_options.html#MLLW)	-9.93	Mean Lower-Low Water
NAVD88 (/datum_options.html)	0.00	North American Vertical Datum of 1988
STND (/datum_options.html#STND)	-14.73	Station Datum
GT (/datum_options.html#GT)	19.27	Great Diurnal Range
MN (/datum_options.html#MN)	18.35	Mean Range of Tide
DHQ (/datum_options.html#DHQ)	0.48	Mean Diurnal High Water Inequality



Datum	Value	Description
DLQ (/datum_options.html#DLQ)	0.45	Mean Diurnal Low Water Inequality
HWI (/datum_options.html#HWI)	3.30	Greenwich High Water Interval (in hours)
LWI (/datum_options.html#LWI)	9.69	Greenwich Low Water Interval (in hours)
Max Tide (/datum_options.html#MAXTIDE)	14.44	Highest Observed Tide
Max Tide Date & Time (/datum_options.html#MAXTIDEDT)	04/10/2020 08:54	Highest Observed Tide Date & Time
Min Tide (/datum_options.html#MINTIDE)	-14.61	Lowest Observed Tide
Min Tide Date & Time (/datum_options.html#MINTIDEDT)	08/09/1972 00:00	Lowest Observed Tide Date & Time
HAT (/datum_options.html#HAT)	12.83	Highest Astronomical Tide
HAT Date & Time	11/15/2016 15:48	HAT Date and Time
LAT (/datum_options.html#LAT)	-13.38	Lowest Astronomical Tide
LAT Date & Time	04/01/2033 10:48	LAT Date and Time

Tidal Datum Analysis Periods


01/01/1983 - 12/31/2001



EXISTING HEC-RAS CROSS-SECTION LOCATIONS



PROPOSED HEC-RAS CROSS-SECTION LOCATIONS



PROPOSED STRUCTURE MAXIMUM WATER DEPTHS

FIGURE 1: Q1.1, AVERAGE TIDES – MAXIMUM WATER DEPTHS, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE

FIGURE 2: Q1.1, AVERAGE TIDES PLUS 4 FT SLR – MAXIMUM WATER DEPTHS, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE

FIGURE 3: Q1.1, 2% ANNUAL CHANCE COASTAL STORM EVENT – MAXIMUM WATER DEPTHS, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE

FIGURE 4: Q1.1, 2% ANNUAL CHANCE COASTAL STORM EVENT PLUS 4FT SLR – MAXIMUM WATER DEPTHS, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE

FIGURE 5: Q10, AVERAGE TIDES – MAXIMUM WATER DEPTHS, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE

FIGURE 6: Q10, AVERAGE TIDES PLUS 4 FT SLR – MAXIMUM WATER DEPTHS, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE

FIGURE 7: Q50, AVERAGE TIDES – MAXIMUM WATER DEPTHS, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE

FIGURE 8: Q50, AVERAGE TIDES PLUS 4 FT SLR – MAXIMUM WATER DEPTHS, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE

FIGURE 9: Q100, AVERAGE TIDES – MAXIMUM WATER DEPTHS, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE

FIGURE 10: Q100, AVERAGE TIDES PLUS 4 FT SLR – MAXIMUM WATER DEPTHS, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE

FIGURE 11: Q500, AVERAGE TIDES – MAXIMUM WATER DEPTHS, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE

FIGURE 12: Q500, AVERAGE TIDES PLUS 4 FT SLR – MAXIMUM WATER DEPTHS, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE




FIGURE 1: Q1.1, AVERAGE TIDES – MAXIMUM WATER DEPTHS, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE




FIGURE 2: Q1.1, AVERAGE TIDES PLUS 4 FT SLR – MAXIMUM WATER DEPTHS, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE




FIGURE 3: Q1.1, 2% ANNUAL CHANCE COASTAL STORM EVENT – MAXIMUM WATER DEPTHS, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE




FIGURE 4: Q1.1, 2% ANNUAL CHANCE COASTAL STORM EVENT PLUS 4FT SLR – MAXIMUM WATER DEPTHS, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE




FIGURE 5: Q10, AVERAGE TIDES – MAXIMUM WATER DEPTHS, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE




FIGURE 6: Q10, AVERAGE TIDES PLUS 4 FT SLR – MAXIMUM WATER DEPTHS, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE




FIGURE 7: Q50, AVERAGE TIDES – MAXIMUM WATER DEPTHS, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE




FIGURE 8: Q50, AVERAGE TIDES PLUS 4 FT SLR – MAXIMUM WATER DEPTHS, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE




FIGURE 9: Q100, AVERAGE TIDES – MAXIMUM WATER DEPTHS, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE




FIGURE 10: Q100, AVERAGE TIDES PLUS 4 FT SLR – MAXIMUM WATER DEPTHS, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE




FIGURE 11: Q500, AVERAGE TIDES – MAXIMUM WATER DEPTHS, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE




FIGURE 12: Q500, AVERAGE TIDES PLUS 4 FT SLR – MAXIMUM WATER DEPTHS, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE

PROPOSED STRUCTURE MAXIMUM WATER VELOCITIES AT LOW TIDE

FIGURE 13: Q1.1, AVERAGE TIDES – MAXIMUM VELOCITIES AT LOW TIDE, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE

FIGURE 14: Q1.1, AVERAGE TIDES PLUS 4 FT SLR – MAXIMUM VELOCITIES AT LOW TIDE, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE

FIGURE 15: Q1.1, 2% ANNUAL CHANCE COASTAL STORM EVENT – MAXIMUM VELOCITIES AT LOW TIDE, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE

FIGURE 16: Q1.1, 2% ANNUAL CHANCE COASTAL STORM EVENT PLUS 4FT SLR – MAXIMUM VELOCITIES AT LOW TIDE, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE

FIGURE 17: Q10, AVERAGE TIDES – MAXIMUM VELOCITIES AT LOW TIDE, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE

FIGURE 18: Q10, AVERAGE TIDES PLUS 4 FT SLR – MAXIMUM VELOCITIES AT LOW TIDE, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE

FIGURE 19: Q50, AVERAGE TIDES – MAXIMUM VELOCITIES AT LOW TIDE, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE

FIGURE 20: Q50, AVERAGE TIDES PLUS 4 FT SLR – MAXIMUM VELOCITIES AT LOW TIDE, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE

FIGURE 21: Q100, AVERAGE TIDES – MAXIMUM VELOCITIES AT LOW TIDE, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE

FIGURE 22: Q100, AVERAGE TIDES PLUS 4 FT SLR – MAXIMUM VELOCITIES AT LOW TIDE, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE

FIGURE 23: Q500, AVERAGE TIDES – MAXIMUM VELOCITIES AT LOW TIDE, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE

FIGURE 24: Q500, AVERAGE TIDES PLUS 4 FT SLR – MAXIMUM VELOCITIES AT LOW TIDE, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE




FIGURE 13: Q1.1, AVERAGE TIDES – MAXIMUM VELOCITIES AT LOW TIDE, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE




FIGURE 14: Q1.1, AVERAGE TIDES PLUS 4 FT SLR – MAXIMUM VELOCITIES AT LOW TIDE, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE




FIGURE 15: Q1.1, 2% ANNUAL CHANCE COASTAL STORM EVENT – MAXIMUM VELOCITIES AT LOW TIDE, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE




FIGURE 16: Q1.1, 2% ANNUAL CHANCE COASTAL STORM EVENT PLUS 4FT SLR – MAXIMUM VELOCITIES AT LOW TIDE, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE




FIGURE 17: Q10, AVERAGE TIDES – MAXIMUM VELOCITIES AT LOW TIDE, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE




FIGURE 18: Q10, AVERAGE TIDES PLUS 4 FT SLR – MAXIMUM VELOCITIES AT LOW TIDE, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE




FIGURE 19: Q50, AVERAGE TIDES – MAXIMUM VELOCITIES AT LOW TIDE, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE




FIGURE 20: Q50, AVERAGE TIDES PLUS 4 FT SLR – MAXIMUM VELOCITIES AT LOW TIDE, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE




FIGURE 21: Q100, AVERAGE TIDES – MAXIMUM VELOCITIES AT LOW TIDE, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE




FIGURE 22: Q100, AVERAGE TIDES PLUS 4 FT SLR – MAXIMUM VELOCITIES AT LOW TIDE, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE




FIGURE 23: Q500, AVERAGE TIDES – MAXIMUM VELOCITIES AT LOW TIDE, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE




FIGURE 24: Q500, AVERAGE TIDES PLUS 4 FT SLR – MAXIMUM VELOCITIES AT LOW TIDE, PROPOSED SINGLE SPAN BRIDGE STRUCTURE