



# **Speech or Language Impairment Eligibility Form**Maine Unified Special Education Regulations (MUSER VII.2.K)

Date of Meeting:			SAU:		
Child's Name:			School:		
Date of Birth:		Grade:	School Phone:		
Parent/Guardian Name:			School Address:		
Parent/Guardian Address:			City, State Zip:		
Parent/Guardian City, State Zip:			School Contact:		
A child must meet at least one of the four criteria listed and to such a degree that it adversely affects educational performance and requires special education for the child to benefit from his/her education program. This form is to be completed by the IEP Team whenever there is a meeting to determine eligibility or consider a change in eligibility (including dismissal from special education).  Best Practice: Document conversation/completion of the form in Written Notice					
	Docomon C			C 101111 III WIIIICH WONCC	

Compliance: Entire Form						
□ Decision is based on a variety of sources						
$lue{}$ Information from sources is documented for Yes or No						
<ul> <li>IEP Team must first complete the four severity ra</li> </ul>	iting scales t	that follow				
<ul> <li>If No due to checking "No Assessment Needed</li> </ul>	d" on Severi	ty Rating				
Scale, verification should indicate: "Not an are		-				
disability"						
Best Practice: Entire Form						
☐ Check the correct box for each question						
<ul> <li>Include summaries and explanations according to for</li> </ul>	m direction	<b>S</b>				
<ul> <li>Sources of verification could include: standardized as</li> </ul>						
samples, checklists, criterion-referenced assessments, ra		language				
samples, checklisis, chieflon-referenced assessments, ra	illig scales					
1. Does the child exhibit an <b>articulation impairment</b> based on the	YES	NO				
articulation severity rating scale?						
Verification:						
☐ Compliance: Information from sources is documented OR "Not an area of						
suspected disability"						
	YES	NO				
2. Does the child exhibit a language impairment based on the language severity rating scale?						
Verification:						
☐ Compliance: Information from sources is documented OR "Not an area of						
suspected disability"						
3. Does the child exhibit a <b>fluency impairment</b> based on the	YES	NO				
fluency severity rating scale?  Verification:	Ш	Ш				
□ Compliance: Information from sources is documented OR "Not an area of						
suspected disability"	ca ok Hor	an area or				
sospecica disability						
4. Does the child exhibit a <b>voice impairment</b> based on the voice	YES	NO				
severity rating scale?						
Verification:	- 1 OD "N 1					
☐ Compliance: Information from sources is documented	ed OK "Not (	an area of				
suspected disability"						

Date:

If the team has checked YES to any of Questions 1-4, check YES on Question 5, then go to question 6. If not, check NO on Question 5; the child does not qualify as a child with a speech or language impairment.

Child's Name:

Child's Name:	Date:		
5. Does a <b>speech or language impairment</b> exist?		YES	NO
☐ Best Practice: Check the correct box (	Yes, No)		
	•		
6. Does the child's speech or language impairment of	dversely _		
affect his/her educational performance?		YES	NO
☐ Best Practice: Check the correct box (	Yes, No)		
Verification:			
☐ Best Practice: Sources of data may incl	ude: classrooi	m grades, c	hild work
products, measures of attainment of literac			
tests of academic achievement (including	-		
			-
teacher and parent reports, evidence of fu			
evidence of social cognitive strengths and			
attendance, disciplinary evidence or beha	vior rating sca	iles, and obs	servations
or ratings of social/emotional functioning			
	~		
If the answer to Question 6 is YES, go to Question 7. If No	), the child does r	not quality as a	child with a
speech or language impairment.			
7. If there is a speech or language impairment, the in	npairment is of suc	ch nature and o	dearee that
[check one and summarize the basis for that selec			_
a. the child requires special education.			
□ b. it can be adequately addressed through	h general educa	tion interventio	ns and/or
accommodations.			
Verification:			
☐ Compliance: Must include a summary			
☐ Best Practice: Check a box (a/b)			
f the box in question 7(a) is checked, the child qualifies			
mpairment. If the box in question 7(b) is checked, the	child does not quo	alify as a child v	with a speech or
language impairment.			
	al la alcoal a libra (		
Compliance: IEP Team must complete an	a include the t	our severity	rating scales
that follow			



No articulation

### Speech or Language Impairment Eligibility Form/Severity Rating Scales

# **Articulation Severity Rating Scale**

To find the presence of an impairment, ratings in

**Articulation impairment:** The abnormal production of speech sounds including: substitutions, omissions, distortions or additions of speech sounds not commensurate with child's chronological age or cultural linguistic background and not related to dialect.

Compliance: Check when not raised as an area of suspected disability

needed.	Description of Afficulation, Standardized Assessments and Informal Assessments <u>MUST</u> fall within the moderate or severe category.				
☐ Compliance:	: Must fill, if "no assessment needed" is not checked				
	<ul><li>No apparent problem</li></ul>	☐ Mild	☐ Moderate	□ Severe	
Description of Articulation	No sound errors present or production is developmentally appropriate.	<ul> <li>Sound errors are intelligible but noticeable.</li> <li>Errors consist of common types of substitutions and/or distortions.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>More numerous articulation errors are present.         Intelligibility is difficult for an unfamiliar listener.     </li> <li>Excessive use (40% or more) of substitution or omission processes which are inappropriate for age.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Many articulation errors are present.</li> <li>Speech is frequently unintelligible to most listeners.</li> <li>Excessive use (40% or more) of omission processes or unique processes which are inappropriate for age.</li> </ul>	
	<ul><li>No apparent problem</li></ul>	☐ Mild	☐ Moderate		
Standardized Assessments	<ul> <li>A standard score     &lt;1.0 standard     deviation below     the mean.</li> <li>A standard score     of ≥86.</li> <li>16<sup>th</sup> percentile or     above.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1 to 1.4 standard deviations below the mean.</li> <li>7-15<sup>th</sup> percentile.</li> <li>A standard score of 78-85.</li> <li>≤2 speech sound errors outside developmental guidelines. Children may be stimulable for error sounds.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1.5 to 1.9 standard deviations below the mean.</li> <li>2-6<sup>th</sup> percentile.</li> <li>A standard score of 70-77.</li> <li>Substitutions, distortions and some omissions may be present. There is limited stimulability for the error phonemes.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>≥2 standard deviations below the mean.</li> <li>&lt;2nd percentile.</li> <li>A standard score &lt;70.</li> <li>Deviations may range from extensive substitutions and many omissions to extensive omissions.</li> </ul>	
Informal Assessments	<ul><li>No apparent problem</li></ul>	☐ Mild	☐ Moderate	☐ Severe	
Ages 3-4 Ages 4-5	Intelligible >80% of the time in connected speech.  Intelligible >80% of the speech of		Intelligible 40-60% of the time in connected speech. Intelligible 60-80% of the time in	Intelligible <40% of the time in connected speech. Intelligible <60% of the time in	
Ages 5-20	Intelligible >80% of the special speci		connected speech. Intelligible 60-80% of the time in	connected speech. Intelligible <60% of the time in	



### Speech or Language Impairment Eligibility Form/Severity Rating Scales

## **Language Severity Rating Scale**

To find the presence of an impairment, ratings in

Language impairment: Any deviation in form of language (phonology, morphology and syntax), the content of language (vocabulary, semantics), and/or the functional use of language (pragmatics) perceived to be outside the allowable range for an individual's communication competence and not related to dialect or linguistic/cultural background. A language impairment adversely affects the child's educational performance as reflected by his/her social interaction, behavior, emotional development, vocational performance, communication, and/or participation in classroom activities as well as academic achievement.

☐ Compliance: Check when not raised as an area of suspected disability

	assessment needed.	Standardized Assessments and Informal Assessments  MUST fall within the moderate or severe category.			
)	□ Compliance	: Must fill, if "no d	assessment need	ded" is not chec	ked
		<ul><li>No apparent problem</li></ul>	☐ Mild	☐ Moderate	□ Severe
,	Standardized Assessments	<ul> <li>A composite standard score &lt;1.0 standard deviation below the mean.</li> <li>Language quotient or standard score of ≥86.</li> <li>≥17<sup>th</sup> percentile.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A composite standard score of 1.0 to 1.4 standard deviations below the mean.</li> <li>Language quotient or standard score of 78-85.</li> <li>8-16th percentile.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A composite standard score of 1.5 to 2 standard deviations below the mean.</li> <li>Language quotient or standard score of 71-77.</li> <li>3-7th percentile.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A composite standard score of &gt;2 standard deviations below the mean.</li> <li>Language quotient or standard score at or &lt;70.</li> <li>≤2nd percentile.</li> </ul>
	Informal Assessments	<ul><li>No apparent problem</li></ul>	☐ Mild	☐ Moderate	
	<ul> <li>Criterion referenced</li> <li>Language or speech samples</li> <li>Structured observation</li> </ul>	The child's language skills are within his/her expected language performance range on an informal assessment instrument.	Informal assessment indicates a language deficit.	Informal assessment indicates a language deficit that usually interferes with communication.	Informal assessment indicates the pupil has limited functional language skills. Communication is an effort. Child is nonverbal and cognitive ability has not been ascertained.

Child's Name:	Date:	



## Speech or Language Impairment Eligibility Form/Severity Rating Scales

# Fluency Severity Rating Scale

Fluency impairment: Abnormal speech production with reference to continuity, smoothness, rate and effort.

□ Compliance: Check when not raised as an area of suspected disability				
□ No fluency assessment needed.	To find the presence of an impairment, ratings in <b>Description of Fluency</b> and <b>Informal Assessments</b> <u>MUST</u> fall within the moderate or severe category.			
☐ Compliance:	Must fill, if "no a	ssessment need	ed" is not check	ed
Standardized Assessments/ Description of Fluency	Fluency of speech does not draw attention to the child and is developmentally appropriate.	<ul> <li>Mild</li> <li>3-5% dysfluent syllables of total syllables spoken with two or more speech samples of at least 100 syllables.</li> <li>No secondary characteristics, frustration and avoidance behaviors present.</li> <li>Fluent speech predominates.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Moderate</li> <li>6-10% dysfluent syllables of total syllables spoken with two or more speech samples of at least 100 syllables.</li> <li>Secondary characteristics, frustration and avoidance behaviors may be present.</li> </ul>	■ Severe  • ≥11% dysfluent syllables of total syllables spoken with two or more speech samples of at least 100 syllables. • Secondary characteristics, frustration and avoidance behaviors are present, typically noticeable and distracting.
	<ul><li>No apparent problem</li></ul>	☐ Mild	☐ Moderate	□ Severe
Informal Assessments		Transitory dysfluencies are observed in specific situations.	Frequent dysfluencies are observed in many situations.	Habitual dysfluent behaviors are observed in a majority of situations.

Child's Name: Date:



### Speech or Language Impairment Eligibility Form/Severity Rating Scales

## **Voice Severity Rating Scale**

When a child is referred for a voice impairment, a medical referral is indicated.

**Voice impairment:** The absence or abnormal production of voice characterized by: deviant initiation/duration, tonal quality, pitch, loudness and/or resonance for age or speaking situation.

Compliance: Check when not raised as an area of suspected disability				
No voice assessment needed.	To find the presence of an impairment, ratings in <b>Description of Voice</b> and <b>Informal Assessments</b> <u>MUST</u> fall within the moderate or severe category.			
□ Compliance:	Must fill, if "no a	ssessment need	ed" is not checl	ced
	No apparent problem  Voice production	☐ <b>Mild</b> Inconsistent	☐ <b>Moderate</b> Persistent	□ Severe  Persistent
Description of Voice	quality (tension, resonance), pitch and intensity are not unusual.	noticeable differences in voice production quality (tension, resonance), pitch or intensity.	noticeable differences in voice production quality (tension, resonance), pitch or intensity.	noticeable extreme differences in voice production quality (tension, resonance), pitch or intensity.
	☐ No apparent problem	☐ Mild	☐ Moderate	
Informal Assessments	<b>J.</b> 0.0	Voice difference including hoarseness, hypernasality, hyponasality, pitch or intensity is somewhat inappropriate for the child's age. Voice difference is of little or no concern to a physician.	Voice difference including hoarseness, hypernasality, hyponasality, pitch or intensity is significantly inappropriate for the child's age. Voice difference is of concern to a physician.	Voice difference including hoarseness, hypernasality, hyponasality, pitch or intensity is distinctly abnormal for the child's age. Voice difference is of concern to a physician.