	5-26 General Purpose for Local Schoo						Comparison to FY 2					
Amounts o	do not included principal & interest for unbonded de	ebt or pending Sudde	en & Severe Adjustmen	ts (O)	(2)	(4)	Amounts do not include Mis			(0)	(0)	(10) CALLS with a destroop in State Share (Column #C)
Noto	osses in State Share from prior year (Co	aluma #6) ara	(1)	(2) Mil Expectation at	(3) 6.10	(4)	(5) 6.62	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9) FY 25	(10)         SAUs with a decrease in State Share (Column #6)           FY 25         SAUS with an increase of more than 9% State Share (Column #7)
	a result of reductions in pupil counts (Co			Min. Subsidy at	5%	FY	5%	Adjusted	Adjusted	Amount	to	to
	ncreases to town valuations (Column #9			Min. Spec. Ed. at	50%	FY 2025-26	50%	State Share	State Share	Change	FY 26	FY 26
		·).	FY 2025-26	FY 2025-26	FY 2025-26	Adjusted	FY2024-25	FY2024-25	FY2024-25	in Debt	% Change	% Change
			EPS	Adjusted	Adjusted	State Share	Enacted	to	to	Service	to	to Average
			Total	Required	Local	* Includes CTE Center	Adjusted	FY 2025-26	FY 2025-26	FY 2024-25	Valuations	Pupils
		Minimum	Allocation at	Local Share	Share	allocations 5B6	State Share		Gain or (Loss)	to	State Ave =	State Ave = Notes Regarding Column 6:
ORG	AOS SAUs - UNIX Order	Contributor	100%	(ED 279 Section 5A)	Mil Rate	(ED 279 Section 5A)	(ED 279 Section 5A)	(Col. 4 minus Col 5)	Percentage	FY 2025-26	13%	-1% Gain or Loss of State Share:
2	Acton	Yes	\$4,660,032.39	\$4,099,590.97	4.46	\$560,441.42	\$619,319.00	(\$58,877.58)	-9.51%	\$0.00	16%	4% 16% increase in Valuation, no change in SPED expenditures
4	877 Alexander		\$778,943.97	\$422,323.33	6.10	\$356,620.64	\$333,697.72	\$22,922.92	6.87%	\$796.06	9%	
												11% increase in Valuation, 2% decrease in Subsidy counts, 24% decrease in SPED
1734	Andover		\$851,156.11	\$648,836.67	6.10	\$202,319.44	\$223,838.14	(\$21,518.70)	-9.61%	\$0.00	11%	
9	Appleton		\$2,364,972.08	\$682,761.59	6.10	\$1,682,210.49	\$1,382,101.41	\$300,109.08	21.71%	\$0.00	12%	
1629 14	Athens		\$1,976,197.08	\$659,206.67	6.10	\$1,316,990.41	\$1,261,837.39	\$55,153.02	4.37%	\$0.00	12% 11%	
28	Auburn Augusta (CTE Center)		\$56,857,020.47 \$31,190,718.68	\$15,977,628.33 \$13,364,998.33	6.10 6.10	\$40,879,392.14 \$20,470,085.42	\$38,112,861.25 \$18,801,365.62	\$2,766,530.89 \$1,668,719.80	7.26% 8.88%	(\$256,513.21) (\$56,750.00)	11%	
38	890 Baileyville		\$2,951,068.29	\$2,164,178.33	6.10	\$20,470,085.42	\$686,064.96	\$100,824.99	14.70%	(\$30,750.00) \$0.00	5%	
42	Bangor		\$47,727,393.01	\$19,520,915.00	6.10	\$28,206,478.01	\$26,246,563.48	\$1,959,914.53	7.47%	\$0.00	10%	
42 53	891 Bar Harbor	Yes	\$5,273,522.22	\$4,425,961.81	3.04	\$20,200,478.01	\$739,925.31	\$107,635.11	14.55%	\$0.00	10%	
		103	ΨU, 21 U, UZZ. 2Z	ψ1,120,001.01	0.04	φ0 τ <i>1</i> ,000.41	φr 00,020.01	φ107,000.11	17.00 /0	ψ0.00	1470	23% increase in Subsidy counts, 133% increase in SPED counts, 30% increase in
62	Beals		\$546,001.61	\$407,356.93	6.10	\$138,644.68	\$69,562.80	\$69,081.88	99.31%	\$0.00	19%	
64	Beddington	Yes	\$62,981.70	\$60,615.45	1.05	\$2,366.25	\$2,171.98	\$194.27	8.94%	\$0.00	6%	8%
65	Biddeford (CTE Center)		\$36,065,077.58	\$22,462,640.00	6.10	\$17,125,831.03	\$17,670,369.41	(\$544,538.38)	-3.08%	(\$905,380.26)	16%	2% Decrease in debt, 16% increase in Valulation, 6% decrease in SPED counts
72	Blue Hill	Yes	\$4,934,625.74	\$4,498,847.18	5.19	\$435,778.56	\$450,262.80	(\$14,484.23)	-3.22%	\$6,170.45	6%	
74	Bowerbank	Yes	\$221,990.18	\$189,871.92	1.73	\$32,118.26	\$31,153.67	\$964.59	3.10%	\$0.00	8%	
78	Brewer		\$19,568,618.13	\$5,554,151.67	6.10	\$14,014,466.46	\$13,840,865.33	\$173,601.14	1.25%	(\$48,088.98)	8%	
86	Bridgewater		\$564,818.90	\$250,506.67	6.10	\$314,312.23	\$255,221.29	\$59,090.94	23.15%	\$0.00	6%	
1633	Brighton Plt.	Yes	\$95,096.30	\$84,151.38	5.96	\$10,944.92	\$13,550.15	(\$2,605.23)	-19.23%	\$0.00	4%	0% 4% increase in Valuation, 33% decrease in SPED counts 13% increase in Valuation, 18% decrease in Economic Disadvantaged counts,
88	893 Bristol	Yes	\$4,116,513.09	\$3,675,018.32	2.74	\$441,494.77	\$516,301.71	(\$74,806.94)	-14.49%	(\$17.97)	13%	
00		105	φ4,110,515.05	ψ <b>3</b> ,073,010.32	2.14	φ++1,+3+.11	φ310,301.71	(\$74,000.34)	-14.4370	(\$17.57)	1370	Increase in debt, 10% increase in Subsidy counts, 88% increase in Economic
90	Brooklin	Yes	\$1,356,919.11	\$1,210,756.72	2.98	\$146,162.39	\$45,688.82	\$100,473.57	219.91%	\$2,861.05	9%	
92	Brooksville	Yes	\$1,119,987.56	\$949,257.43	2.06	\$170,730.13	\$81,961.32	\$88,768.81	108.31%	(\$8,461.42)	8%	-4% Decrease in debt, 148% increase in Economic Disadvantaged counts
94	Brunswick		\$36,509,917.11	\$19,065,143.33	6.10	\$17,444,773.78	\$16,400,368.02	\$1,044,405.75	6.37%	(\$50,514.07)	12%	
1001			<b>*</b> ****	<b>*</b> ****	0.40	<b>*</b> ****	0000 545 00	(004 005 40)	0.000/	<b>*</b> ****		11% increase in Valuation, 4% decrease in Subsidy counts, 28% decrease in SPED
1824	Burlington		\$682,844.60	\$323,605.00	6.10	\$359,239.60	\$390,545.00	(\$31,305.40)	-8.02%	\$0.00	11%	
1825	Cherryfield	Yes	\$61,319.48	\$53,851.56	1.30	\$7,467.92	\$13,241.51	(\$5,773.59)	-43.60%	\$0.00	6%	13% 6% increase in Valuation, 67% decrease in SPED counts Decrease in Debt, 12% increase in Valuation, 6% decrease in Subsidy counts, 7%
108	Calais (CTE Center)		\$4,994,133.60	\$1,252,635.00	6.10	\$5,135,161.18	\$6,029,494.72	(\$894,333.54)	-14.83%	(\$392,004.92)	12%	
113	Cape Elizabeth		\$21,704,296.92	\$19,170,368.33	6.10	\$2,533,928.59	\$3,338,244.08	(\$804,315.48)	-24.09%	\$0.00	18%	
1402	Caratunk	Yes	\$106,467.62	\$99,034.64	2.00	\$7,432.98	\$7,125.10	\$307.88	4.32%	\$0.00	10%	
124	890 Carroll Plt.		\$202,351.86	\$163,785.00	6.10	\$38,566.86	\$21,935.16	\$16,631.70	75.82%	(\$995.29)	6%	
125	Castine	Yes	\$954,983.29	\$860,529.04	2.53	\$94,454.25	\$77,745.97	\$16,708.28	21.49%	(\$2,528.26)	11%	
127	Caswell		\$657,036.96	\$122,203.33	6.10	\$534,833.63	\$475,153.69	\$59,679.94	12.56%	\$0.00	6%	-1% 63% increase in SPED counts
130	877 Charlotte		\$429,210.02	\$235,460.00	6.10	\$193,750.02	\$132,944.58	\$60,805.44	45.74%	\$2,438.50	13%	
												7% increase in Subsidy counts, 5% increase in SPED counts, 47% increase in
1628	Cherryfield		\$1,714,976.28	\$700,076.67	6.10	\$1,014,899.61	\$834,345.89	\$180,553.72	21.64%	\$0.00	9%	
137	890 Cooper		\$214,303.03	\$175,985.00	6.10	\$38,318.03	\$42,040.22	(\$3,722.19)	-8.85%	(\$532.83)	7%	Decrease in Debt, 7% increase in Valuation, 5% decrease in Subsidy counts, 9% -5% decrease in Economic Disadvantaged counts
137	Coplin Plt.	Yes	\$88,436.30	\$82,695.77	1.56	\$5,740.53	\$6,954.15	(\$1,213.62)	-17.45%	\$0.00	10%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	891 Cranberry Isles	Yes	\$272,981.30	\$222,948.81	1.06	\$50,032.49	\$54,513.09	(\$4,480.60)	-8.22%	\$0.00	5%	
	877 Crawford	Yes	\$113,228.58	\$106,286.06	5.27	\$6,942.52	\$6,865.55	\$76.97	1.12%	\$0.00	4%	
	896 Cutler		\$1,008,539.03	\$479,968.33	6.10	\$528,570.70	\$525,967.91	\$2,602.80	0.49%	\$236.10	12%	
												Increase in debt, 19% increase in SPED counts, 24% increase in Economic
1661	Dayton		\$4,887,991.27	\$1,961,251.67	6.10	\$2,926,739.60	\$2,447,514.36	\$479,225.24	19.58%	\$39,708.24	12%	
	<b>B</b> 11 1		A	<b>*</b> 4 00 00 4 00		AUE 000.00	A40.450.40		11.05%	<b>*</b> ****		3% increase in Valuation, 18% decrease in Subsidy counts, 25% decrease in SPED
147	Deblois 847 Dadham	Yes	\$145,787.83	\$129,984.20	3.26	\$15,803.63	\$18,452.46 \$1.021.238.49	(\$2,648.83)		\$0.00	3%	
148 150	847 Dedham Dennistown Plt.		\$3,318,291.88 \$135,814.74	\$2,225,585.00 \$66,693.33	6.10 6.10	\$1,092,706.88 \$69,121.41	\$1,021,238.49 \$49,178.67	\$71,468.38 \$19,942.74	7.00% 40.55%	\$3,443.24	14% 5%	
130			φ133,014.74	¢00,093.33	0.10	φυ9, 12 1.4 I	φ49,1/0.0/	φ19,942.74	40.55%		3%	Increase in Debt, 8% increase in Valuation, 4% decrease in Subsidy counts, 13%
151	877 Dennysville		\$408,521.15	\$132,573.33	6.10	\$275,947.82	\$300,531.52	(\$24,583.70)	-8.18%	\$2,334.81	8%	
1998	Eagle Lake		\$892,523.80	\$641,618.33	6.10	\$250,905.47	\$254,718.89	(\$3,813.42)		\$0.00	10%	
										· · · · ·		Decrease in Debt, 10% increase in Valuation, 2% decrease in Subsidy counts, 14%
	896 East Machias		\$2,740,891.16	\$707,905.00	6.10	\$2,032,986.16	\$2,076,239.71	(\$43,253.55)		(\$7,017.11)	10%	
157	East Millinocket		\$2,671,809.91	\$482,001.67	6.10	\$2,189,808.24	\$2,155,130.62	\$34,677.62	1.61%	\$0.00	9%	
	Fastan		¢0 400 500 04	¢1 007 450 00	6.40	¢570.050.04	¢400 700 00	¢140.000.00	22.440/	¢0.00	5%	4% increase in Subsidy counts, 3% increase in SPED counts, 14% increase in 4% Economic Disadvantaged counts
	Easton		\$2,400,509.64	\$1,827,153.33	6.10	\$573,356.31	\$430,736.09	\$142,620.22	33.11%	\$0.00	5%	
160												14% increase in Valuation, 2% decrease in Subsidy counts, 5% decrease in SPED

FY 2025-26 General Purpose for Local Schools					Comparison to FY 2						
Amounts do not included principal & interest for unbonded debt or pending Su	۰			10	Amounts do not include Mis					(10)	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	SAUs with a decrease in State Share (Column #6)
<b>Note</b> : Losses in State Share from prior year (Column #6) are	ļ	Mil Expectation at	6.10	<b>F</b> 1/	6.62	A	Adlandad	A	FY 25	-	SAUS with an increase of more than 9% State Share (Column #7)
usually a result of reductions in pupil counts (Column #10),	í	Min. Subsidy at	5%	FY	5%	Adjusted	Adjusted	Amount	to	to	
and/or increases to town valuations (Column #9).	EV 2025 26	Min. Spec. Ed. at FY 2025-26	50%	FY 2025-26	50%	State Share	State Share	Change in Dobt	FY 26	FY 26	
	FY 2025-26		FY 2025-26	Adjusted	FY2024-25	FY2024-25	FY2024-25	in Debt	% Change	% Change	
	EPS	Adjusted	Adjusted	State Share	Enacted	to	to	Service	to	to Average	
	Total	Required	Local	* Includes CTE Center	Adjusted	FY 2025-26	FY 2025-26	FY 2024-25	Valuations	Pupils	
Minimum	Allocation at	Local Share	Share	allocations 5B6	State Share	Gain or (Loss)	Gain or (Loss)	to	State Ave =	State Ave =	Notes Regarding Column 6:
ORG AOS SAUs - UNIX Order Contributo		(ED 279 Section 5A)	Mil Rate	(ED 279 Section 5A)	(ED 279 Section 5A)	(Col. 4 minus Col 5)	Percentage	FY 2025-26	13%	-1%	Gain or Loss of State Share:
166 898 Edgecomb	\$2,237,697.55	\$1,773,575.00	6.10	\$464,122.55	\$421,528.11	\$42,594.45		(\$1,273.17)	10%		12% increase in Economic Disadvantaged counts
1663 Ellsworth (CTE Center)	\$18,089,918.93	\$8,173,085.00	6.10	\$12,416,157.56	\$11,480,450.03	\$935,707.53		(\$48,525.30)	12%		
1627 Eustis Yes	\$1,061,454.91	\$976,805.01	4.53	\$84,649.90	\$84,275.25	\$374.65	0.44%	\$0.00	13%	10%	
174 Falmouth	\$32,679,298.51	\$21,426,758.33	6.10	\$11,252,540.18	\$9,983,906.81	\$1,268,633.38	12.71%	(\$60,800.00)	12%	-1%	Decrease in Debt, 16% increase in Special Education counts, 188% increase in Economically Disadvantaged counts
	A	A4 004 070 07	0.40	A 40 4 007 05	AFA4 505 74	(***************	04.000	A4 500 00	100/		13% increase in Valuation, 7% decrease in Subsidy count, 9% decrease in
180 897 Fayette	\$1,769,873.92	\$1,364,976.67	6.10	\$404,897.25	\$534,595.71	(\$129,698.46)		\$1,596.02	13%		Economic Disadvantaged counts
188 898 Georgetown Yes	\$1,677,556.13	\$1,528,313.39	2.30	\$149,242.74	\$122,894.24	\$26,348.51	21.44%	\$0.00	17%		30% increase in Economic Disadvantaged counts
190 Gilead	\$402,223.95	\$226,920.00	6.10	\$175,303.95	\$92,417.41	\$82,886.54	89.69%	\$0.00	7%		6% increase in Economic Disadvantaged counts
191 Glenburn	\$7,591,259.12	\$2,519,096.67	6.10	\$5,072,162.45	\$5,203,058.91	(\$130,896.46)		(\$228.83)	12%		Decrease in Debt, 12% increase in Valuation, 4% decrease in Subsidy counts
193 Glenwood Plt.	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	0%		
194 Gorham	\$41,440,333.86	\$15,442,658.33	6.10	\$25,997,675.53	\$23,827,499.96	\$2,170,175.57	9.11%	\$20,478.75	10%		152% increase in Economic Disadvantaged counts
205 Grand Isle	\$393,202.44	\$173,545.00	6.10	\$219,657.44	\$193,635.74	\$26,021.70		\$0.00	7%		5% increase in Subsidy counts
207 890 Grand Lake Stream Plt. Yes	\$86,872.00	\$74,616.48	1.58	\$12,255.52	\$6,832.43	\$5,423.09		\$0.00	13%		200% increase in SPED counts
208 Greenbush	\$2,997,441.04	\$557,235.00	6.10	\$2,440,206.04	\$2,420,211.36	\$19,994.68		(\$386.76)	10%		
210 Greenville Yes	\$1,877,871.76	\$1,665,034.66	4.17	\$212,837.10	\$211,426.09	\$1,411.01	0.67%	\$0.00	17%	-3%	
											Increase in Debt, 6% increase in Subsidy counts, 7% increase in SPED counts, 55%
1664 Hancock	\$4,403,716.58	\$2,876,048.33	6.10	\$1,527,668.25	\$1,081,627.54	\$446,040.71	41.24%	\$807.18	12%		increase in Economic Disadvantaged counts
217 894 Harmony	\$1,133,333.46	\$435,336.67	6.10	\$697,996.79	\$628,323.68	\$69,673.11	11.09%	\$0.00	10%		22% increase in SPED counts, 7% increase in Economic Disadvantaged counts
219 Hermon	\$13,678,653.24	\$4,441,511.67	6.10	\$9,237,141.57	\$8,851,967.00	\$385,174.57	4.35%	\$0.00	12%	-2%	
004 Ukukharat Dh	<b>#00.000 F0</b>	\$00.050.00	4.50	<b>\$000.00</b>	<b>#0 570 00</b>	(\$5.754.00)	07 540/	<b>#0.00</b>	50/	000/	5% increase in Valuation, 20% decrease in Subsidy counts, 100% decrease in SPED
224 Highland Plt. Yes	\$20,880.50	\$20,059.60	1.58	\$820.90	\$6,572.88	(\$5,751.98)		\$0.00	5%		counts
225 Hope	\$2,278,501.72	\$1,121,067.62	6.10	\$1,157,434.10	\$902,044.94	\$255,389.16		\$0.00	12%		24% increase in Economic Disadvantaged counts
227 Isle Au Haut Yes	\$46,525.74	\$45,008.56	0.62	\$1,517.18	\$1,958.00	(\$440.82)		\$0.00	3%		3% increase in Valuation, 10% decrease in Subsidy counts
229 Islesboro Yes	\$942,052.18	\$840,224.96	1.67	\$101,827.22	\$74,079.15	\$27,748.07	37.46%	\$0.00	9%	-11%	60% increase in SPED counts, 178% increase in Economic Disadvantaged counts
235 893 Jefferson	\$5,389,143.20	\$3,082,635.00	6.10	\$2,306,508.20	\$2,388,762.01	(\$82,253.81)	-3.44%	(\$3,847.20)	18%	20/	Decrease in Debt, 18% increase in Valuation, 3% decrease in Subsidy counts, 12% decrease in SPED counts
237 896 Jonesboro	\$953,584.46	\$426,796.67	6.10	\$2,300,508.20	\$576,320.33	(\$49,532.54)		(\$3,847.20) (\$2,490.94)	9%		Decrease in Debt, 9% increase in Valuation, 5% decrease in Subsidy counts
		\$867,814.18					26.45%	\$0.00	12%		26% increase in Economic Disadvantaged counts
	\$1,144,284.67	. ,	6.10	\$276,470.49	\$218,636.92	\$57,833.57					26% increase in Economic Disadvantaged counts
241 Kingsbury Plt.	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	3%		
242 Kittery Yes	\$14,814,806.80	\$12,834,326.76	5.19	\$1,980,480.04	\$1,811,759.68	\$168,720.36	9.31%	\$0.00	10%	1%	36% increase in Subsidy counts, 100% increase in SPED counts, 213% increase in
1351 Lake View Plt. Yes	\$122,281.47	\$107,746.67	0.65	\$14,534.80	\$7,238.76	\$7,296.04	100.79%	\$0.00	18%	36%	Economic Disadvantaged counts
247 890 Lakeville Yes	\$50,006.61	\$40,220.73	0.00	\$9,785.88	\$9,682.56	\$103.32	1.07%	(\$702.83)	13%		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	φ30,000.01	φ40,220.73	0.44	\$9,705.00	\$9,002.00	φ103.32	1.07 /0	(\$702.03)	1370	070	Increase in debt, 14% increase in Subsidy counts, 33% increase in SPED counts,
1665 Lamoine	\$2,665,302.67	\$2,315,661.67	6.10	\$349,641.00	\$232,474.15	\$117,166.85	50.40%	\$2,808.02	15%	14%	79% increase in Subsidy counts,4% increase in SPED counts; 20% increase in Subsidy counts,4% increase in SPED counts; 20% increase in
250 Lewiston (CTE Center)	\$93,655,596.76	\$18,724,356.67	6.10	\$80,209,540.43	\$72.524.624.94	\$7.684.915.49	10.60%	(\$121,922.39)	15%	2%	Multilingual Learners; CTE Center increase
2040 Limestone	\$3,347,960.91	\$521,550.00	6.10	\$2,826,410.91	\$2,687,497.61	\$138,913.30		(\$13,191.94)	8%		<b>o</b>
263 Lincoln Plt.	\$0.00	\$521,550.00	0.00	\$2,820,410.91	\$2,007,497.01	\$138,913.30		\$0.00	2%		
264 Lincolnville	\$3,703,797.76	\$2.584.538.66	6.10	\$1.119.259.10	\$858,343.92	\$260,915.18		(\$2,526.22)	10%		22% increase in Economic Disadvantaged counts
266 Lisbon	\$16,714,417.78	\$4,976,176.67	6.10	\$11,738,241.11	\$11,735,334.48	\$2,906.62		(\$620,836.97)	13%		
275 891 Frenchboro Yes	\$82,076.65	\$59,041.74	3.84	\$11,730,241.11	\$11,735,334.46	\$347.48		\$0.00	6%		
	φυ2,070.05	φ0 <del>9</del> ,041.74	3.04	φ <b>2</b> 0,004.91	φ∠∠,001.43	φ <b>347.4</b> 8	1.00%	ອບ.ບປ	0%	-30%	6% increase in Subsidy counts, 25% increase is SPED counts, 19% increase in
1401 Lowell	\$518,888.56	\$414,291.67	6.10	\$104,596.89	\$64,319.85	\$40.277.04	62.62%	\$0.00	13%	6%	Economic Disadvantaged counts
277 896 Machias (CTE Center)	\$3,521,579.59	\$1,065,365.00	6.10	\$4,392,948.39	\$4,253,442.77	\$139,505.62		\$0.00	11%	-	
1412 896 Machiasport	\$1,170,120.03	\$983,828.33	6.10	\$186,291.70	\$133,810.08	\$52,481.63		(\$2,773.99)	14%		9% increase in Subsidy counts, 31% increase in SPED counts, 58% increase in Economic Disadvantaged counts
	ψ1,170,120.03	ψ300,020.00	0.10	ψ100,231.70	φ100,010.00	ψυ2,401.03	55.22 /0	(ψ2,110.39)	1470	, <u> </u>	22% increase in Subsidy counts, 100% increase in SPED counts, 22% increase in
281 890 Macwahoc Plt. Yes	\$75,496.17	\$65,904.63	4.11	\$9,591.54	\$6,775.15	\$2,816.39	41.57%	\$298.55	2%	22%	Economic Disadvantaged counts
282 Madawaska	\$5,392,152.03	\$2,269,911.67	6.10	\$3,122,240.36	\$3,026,812.20	\$95,428.16		\$0.00	4%		
290 896 Marshfield	\$846,880.53	\$269,721.67	6.10	\$577,158.86	\$577,313.11	(\$154.25)		(\$1,180.00)	7%		Decrease in debt, 8% decrease in Subsidy counts
293 890 Meddybemps Yes	\$70,650.83	\$61,627.55	1.89	\$9,023.28	\$8,935.95	\$87.33		\$0.00	8%		
294 866 Medway	\$1,721,827.09	\$483,018.33	6.10	\$1,238,808.76	\$1,251,991.82	(\$13,183.06)		\$0.00	13%		13% increase in Valuation, 14% decrease in SPED counts
296 Milford	\$4,791,427.05	\$1,409,201.67	6.10	\$3,382,225.38	\$3,218,365.35	\$163,860.03		(\$5,770.77)	9%		
298 Millinocket	\$5,910,205.03	\$1,336,510.00	6.10	\$4,573,695.03	\$3,949,638.20	\$624,056.83		\$0.00	13%		4% increase in Subsidy counts, 7% increase in SPED counts, 14% increase in
											Economic Disadvantaged counts
304 Monhegan Plt Yes	\$63,771.17	\$53,378.28	0.61	\$10,392.89	\$15,481.50	(\$5,088.61)		\$0.00	3%		3% increase in Valuation, 100% decrease in SPED counts
1995 Moro Plt Yes	\$11,774.30	\$11,227.85	0.92	\$546.45	\$6,901.35	(\$6,354.90)		\$0.00	4%		4% increase in Valuation, 100% decrease in SPED counts
311 891 Mount Desert Yes	\$2,368,983.28	\$2,030,147.57	1.19	\$338,835.71	\$313,474.46	\$25,361.25		\$0.00	12%		
315 Nashville Plt. Yes	\$80,146.64	\$72,880.13	1.30	\$7,266.51	\$6,907.61	\$358.90		\$0.00	5%		
317 New Sweden	\$913,678.01	\$274,906.67	6.10	\$638,771.34	\$651,541.32	(\$12,769.98)	-1.96%	\$0.00	7%	0%	7% increase in Valuation, 33% decrease in SPED counts

	neral Purpose for Local Schools						Comparison to FY 2						
Amounts do not inclu	ided principal & interest for unbonded debt	or pending Suda			(2)		Amounts do not include Mis			(0)	(0)	(10)	CALLE with a decrease in State Chara (Caluma #C)
Noto: Loopoo in	State Share from prior year (Calu	mp #6) ara	(1)	(2) Mil Expectation at	(3) 6.10	(4)	(5) 6.62	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9) FY 25		SAUs with a decrease in State Share (Column #6) SAUS with an increase of more than 9% State Share (Column #7)
	State Share from prior year (Colu of reductions in pupil counts (Colur			Min. Subsidy at	5%	FY	5%	Adjusted	Adjusted	Amount	to	to	SAUS with an increase of more than 9% State Share (Column #7)
	s to town valuations (Column #9).	nin #10),		Min. Spec. Ed. at	50%	FY 2025-26	50%	State Share	State Share	Change	FY 26	FY 26	
			FY 2025-26	FY 2025-26	FY 2025-26	Adjusted	FY2024-25	FY2024-25	FY2024-25	in Debt	% Change	% Change	۱ <u>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u>
	· — · — · — · — · — · — · — · — · — · —	— <i></i>	EPS	Adjusted	Adjusted	State Share	Enacted	to	to	Service	to	to Average	
			Total	Required	Local	* Includes CTE Center	Adjusted	FY 2025-26	FY 2025-26	FY 2024-25	Valuations	Pupils	
		Minimum	Allocation at	Local Share	Share	allocations 5B6	State Share	Gain or (Loss)	Gain or (Loss)	to	State Ave =	State Ave =	Notes Regarding Column 6:
ORG AOS SA	AUs - UNIX Order	Contributor	100%	(ED 279 Section 5A)	Mil Rate	(ED 279 Section 5A)	(ED 279 Section 5A)	(Col. 4 minus Col 5)	Percentage	FY 2025-26	13%	-1%	Gain or Loss of State Share:
319 893 No			\$3,283,167.62	\$2,656,550.00	6.10	\$626,617.62	\$564,698.44	\$61,919.18		(\$654.81)	13%		98% increase in Economic Disadvantaged counts
321 896 No		Yes	\$197,999.71	\$190,641.01	3.14	\$7,358.70	\$7,682.45	(\$323.75)		(\$2,082.50)	5%		Decrease in Debt, 5% increase in Valuation, 10% decrease in Subsidy counts
321 030 NC	ortimeta	165	ψ197,999.71	ψ130,041.01	5.14	ψ1,550.70	ψ1,002.40	(4020.10)	-4.2170	(ψ2,002.00)	570	-107	10% increase in Subsidy counts, 14% increase in SPED counts, 53% in crease in
1735 No	orthport	Yes	\$2,336,085.13	\$2,033,468.59	4.02	\$302,616.54	\$200,804.41	\$101,812.13	50.70%	(\$39,786.72)	14%	10%	Economic Disadvantaged counts
335 Or	rient	Yes	\$164,399.45	\$147,985.95	2.77	\$16,413.50	\$20,110.65	(\$3,697.15)	-18.38%	\$0.00	1%	-17%	17% decrease in Subsidy counts, 17% decrease Economic Disadvantaged counts
342 847 Or	rrington		\$6,475,663.86	\$2,807,931.67	6.10	\$3,667,732.19	\$3,995,559.38	(\$327,827.19)	-8.20%	\$4,732.00	11%	-6%	11% increase in Valuation, 6% decrease in Subsidy counts
													Decrease in Debt, 12% increase in Valuation, 7% decrease in Subsidy counts, 6%
345 Ot		Yes	\$1,148,813.86	\$1,012,698.16	4.72	\$136,115.70	\$158,195.40	(\$22,079.70)		(\$1,844.15)	12%		decrease in SPED counts, 7% decrease Economic Disadvantaged counts
	embroke		\$1,096,075.36	\$521,753.33	6.10	\$574,322.03	\$584,729.35	(\$10,407.32)		(\$576.36)	11%		Decrease in Debt, 11% increase in Valuation
351 Pe	enobscot	Yes	\$1,477,780.17	\$1,354,800.01	5.37	\$122,980.16	\$128,193.63	(\$5,213.47)	-4.07%	(\$7,954.91)	10%	0%	Decrease in Debt, 10% increase in Valuation, 16% decrease in SPED counts
050 077 0			¢4 404 070 05	Ø744 444 07	0.40	¢000.000.50	¢004 700 00	(64,000,00)	0.470/	A700.00	100/		12% increase in Valuation, 3% decrease in Subsidy counts, 29% decrease in SPED
353 877 Pe	,	N	\$1,104,378.25	\$714,411.67	6.10	\$389,966.58	\$391,796.86	(\$1,830.28)		\$768.33	12%		o counts
	leasant Rdge Pl	Yes	\$74,184.05	\$60,931.63	0.61	\$13,252.42	\$1,449.30	\$11,803.12		\$0.00	0%		29% increase in Subsidy counts, 29% increase in Economic Disadvantaged counts
	ortage Lake	Yes	\$569,175.88	\$423,844.09	4.91	\$145,331.79	\$180,173.41	(\$34,841.62)		(\$2,829.92)	2%		Decrease in Debt, 2% increase in valuation
	ortland (CTE Center)		\$107,918,121.49	\$88,191,156.67	6.10	\$23,451,627.61	\$22,857,699.60	\$593,928.02		(\$253,401.48)	14%		
387 Lo	ong Island	Yes	\$329,558.46	\$289,251.72	1.28	\$40,306.74	\$30,311.86	\$9,994.88	32.97%	\$0.00	9%	-8%	75% increase in SPED counts
200 000 0-	wine and a m		¢4 770 007 40	¢400.000.00	6.40	¢4 045 740 00	¢4.404.005.05	¢400 700 75	45 500/	¢0.057.04	00/	4.0/	Increase in Debt, 4% increase in Subsidy counts, 22% increase in Economic
389 890 Pr	rinceton		\$1,772,007.13	\$426,288.33	6.10	\$1,345,718.80	\$1,164,935.05	\$180,783.75	15.52%	\$2,057.24	8%	4%	Disadvantaged counts Increase in Debt, 16% increase in Subsidy counts, 5% increase in Economic
399 890 Re	eed Plt		\$131,460.94	\$111,020.00	6.10	\$20,440.94	\$16,934.13	\$3,506.81	20.71%	\$383.48	3%	16%	Disadvantaged counts
	ichmond		\$5,707,333.83	\$2,203,523.33	6.10	\$3,503,810.50	\$3,054,186.89	\$449,623.61	14.72%	\$0.00	9%		67% increase in Economic Disadvantaged counts
	obbinston		\$919,369.68	\$372,303.33	6.10	\$547,066.35	\$530,943.63	\$16,122.72		\$0.00	10%		•
	oque Bluffs	Yes	\$201,096.25	\$191,514.69	1.89	\$9,581.56	\$7,354.73	\$2,226.83	30.28%	(\$620.00)	18%		73% increase in Economic Disadvantaged counts
	aco	103	\$41,240,888.75	\$20,122,476.67	6.10	\$21,118,412.08	\$19,506,945.39	\$1,611,466.68		\$149,186.91	12%		
	aint George	Yes	\$4,907,337.19	\$4,228,181.72	3.89	\$679,155.47	\$776,962.98	(\$97,807.50)		\$4,807.16	12 %		14% increase in Valuation
	anford (CTE Center)	165	\$55,537,760.75	\$13,082,365.00	6.10	\$46,894,169.04	\$44,150,477.99	\$2,743,691.06		(\$179,815.53)	14%	1%	
	carborough		\$43,653,696.61	\$34,831,101.67	6.10	\$8,822,594.94	\$7,799,241.44	\$1,023,353.50	13.12%	\$0.00	14 %		4% increase in SPED counts, 110% increase in Ec. Dis. counts
	ebago	Yes	\$3,126,034.97	\$2,695,249.18	4.30	\$430,785.79	\$418,537.80	\$12,247.99		\$0.00	19%	-	
	eboeis Plt.	103	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	9%		
1555 56	ebbeis i it.		φ0.00	ψ0.00	0.00	ψ0.00	ψ0.00	ψ0.00	0.0070	φ0.00	370	-100 /	11% increase in Valuation, 5% decrease in Subsidy counts, 3% decrease in SPED
434 Se	edgwick		\$1,898,592.34	\$1,584,475.00	6.10	\$314,117.34	\$405,409.96	(\$91,292.62)	-22.52%	\$8,200.75	11%	-5%	counts
	hirley		\$428,874.22	\$235,155.00	6.10	\$193,719.22	\$164,412.28	\$29,306.94	17.83%	\$0.00	8%		3% increase in Subsidy counts, 25% increase in SPED counts
	,		,.	,,		, .		,					Increase in Debt, 2% increase in Subsidy counts, 19% increase in SPED counts,
440 893 Sc	outh Bristol	Yes	\$1,486,588.96	\$1,264,953.08	1.41	\$221,635.88	\$188,537.14	\$33,098.74	17.56%	\$6,225.10	14%	2%	22% increase in Economic Disadvantaged Counts
442 898 Sc	outhport	Yes	\$502,837.87	\$419,462.60	0.54	\$83,375.27	\$50,203.05	\$33,172.22	66.08%	(\$646.18)	6%	8%	8% increase in Subsidy counts, 772% increase in Economic Disadvantaged counts
444 Sc	outh Portland		\$51,339,228.65	\$36,048,255.00	6.10	\$15,290,973.65	\$14,582,995.47	\$707,978.17	4.85%	(\$134,375.00)	12%	-4%	
													35% increase in Special Education counts, 68% increase in Economic
456 891 Sc	outhwest Harbor	Yes	\$2,224,624.98	\$1,797,324.03	3.24	\$427,300.95	\$381,162.86	\$46,138.09	12.10%	\$0.00	11%	-7%	Disadvantaged counts
462 Su		Yes	\$2,240,930.94	\$2,075,033.64	4.81	\$165,897.30	\$193,193.71	(\$27 206 44)	-14.13%	(\$14 707 80)	10%	40/	Decrease in Debt, 10% increase in Valuation, 1% decrease in Subsidy counts, 34% decrease in SPED counts
402 SL	urry	res	<b>⊅∠,∠40,930.94</b>	φ∠,075,033.04	4.81	9 100,097.30	\$ 193, 193.7 T	(\$27,296.41)	-14.13%	(\$11,797.86)	10%	- 1%	6% increase in Valuation, 13% decrease in Subsidy counts, 100% decrease in
464 Ta	almadge		\$85,526.16	\$46,156.67	6.10	\$39,369.49	\$59,284.81	(\$19,915.32)	-33.59%	\$47.34	6%	-13%	5 SPED counts, 34% decrease in Economic Disadvantaged
	he Forks Plt.		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	10%		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			φ0.00	ψ0.00	0.00	φ0.00	ψ0.00	ψ0.00	0.0070		.070	100 /	3% increase in Special Education counts, 61% increase in Economic Disadvantaged
466 891 Tr	remont	Yes	\$2,347,999.36	\$1,907,591.45	4.15	\$440,407.91	\$343,084.11	\$97,323.79	28.37%	\$0.00	14%	-3%	counts
468 891 Tr	renton	Yes	\$3,101,509.50	\$2,605,004.14	5.90	\$496,505.36	\$332,551.13	\$163,954.23		\$0.00	13%	-9%	22% increase in Economic Disadvantaged counts
	pton	Yes	\$160,788.95	\$121,017.45	3.67	\$39,771.50	\$9,482.07	\$30,289.43		\$0.00	7%	0%	Special Education allocation increased
													13% increase in Subsidy counts, 50% increase in SPED counts, 68% increase in
	anceboro		\$148,759.17	\$63,236.67	6.10	\$85,522.50	\$41,539.24	\$43,983.26	105.88%	\$0.00	3%		Economic Disadvantaged counts
473 892 Va	assalboro		\$8,027,262.34	\$2,765,333.33	6.10	\$5,261,929.01	\$5,122,141.82	\$139,787.19	2.73%	(\$458.02)	16%	0%	
													Increase in Debt, 2% increase in Subsidy counts, 3% increase in SPED counts, 23%
475 Ve	eazie		\$3,666,863.53	\$1,761,985.00	6.10	\$1,904,878.53	\$1,667,394.66	\$237,483.87	14.24%	\$3,071.67	8%	2%	increase in Economic Disadvantaged counts
477 W	/aite		\$147,898.79	\$59,678.33	6.10	\$88,220.46	\$67,167.93	\$21,052.53	31.34%	\$1,085.60	2%	E0/	Increase in Debt, 5% increase in Subsidy counts, 50% increase in SPED counts, 122% increase in Economic Disadvantaged counts
	/aterville (CTE Center)			\$59,678.33			\$20,350,604.38			\$1,085.60	13%		•
400 892 W			\$25,169,862.42	<b>Φ</b> 0,044,391.07	6.10	\$22,215,124.57	a∠0,300,004.38	\$1,864,520.19	9.16%	\$U.UU	13%	0%	50% increase in Subsidy counts, 300% increase in SPED counts, 100% increase in
491 896 W	/eslev		\$181,911.21	\$160,938.33	6.10	\$20,972.88	\$9,931.76	\$11,041.12	111.17%	\$89.00	12%	50%	Economic Disadvantaged counts
	/est Bath	Yes	\$3,021,731.45	\$2,686,957.85	5.36	\$334,773.60	\$298,342.60	\$36,431.00		\$0.00	13%		43% increase in Economic Disadvantaged counts
	/estbrook (CTE Center)		\$36,375,415.14	\$18,542,576.67	6.10	\$20,290,925.75	\$19,429,336.71	\$861,589.04		(\$71,750.00)	13%	-	•
	/est Forks	Yes	\$119,772.76	\$111,905.71	3.52	\$7,867.05	\$7,236.08	\$630.97		\$0.00	19%		
	/estmanland		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00	\$0.00	\$7,415.97	(\$7,415.97)		\$0.00	5%		0 subsidy counts
000 10	osunanianu		φ0.00	φ0.00	0.00	φ0.00	φ1,410.91	(ψ/,410.97)	-100.00%	φ0.00	3%	-100%	

	General Purpose for Local Schools						Comparison to FY 2	024-25					
Amounts do no	t included principal & interest for unbonded debt	or pending Suda					Amounts do not include Mis						
			(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	SAUs with a decrease in State Share (Column #6)
	es in State Share from prior year (Colu			Mil Expectation at	6.10	-	6.62				FY 25	-	SAUS with an increase of more than 9% State Share (Column #7)
	sult of reductions in pupil counts (Colur	mn #10),		Min. Subsidy at	5%	FY	5%	Adjusted	Adjusted	Amount	to	to	
and/or incre	ases to town valuations (Column #9).		FY 2025-26	Min. Spec. Ed. at FY 2025-26	50% FY 2025-26	FY 2025-26 Adjusted	50% FY2024-25	State Share FY2024-25	State Share FY2024-25	Change in Debt	FY 26 % Change	FY 26 % Change	
			EPS	Adjusted	Adjusted	State Share	Enacted	to	to	Service	to	to Average	
			Total	Required	Local	* Includes CTE Center	Adjusted	FY 2025-26	FY 2025-26	FY 2024-25	Valuations	Pupils	
		Minimum	Allocation at	Local Share	Share	allocations 5B6	State Share	Gain or (Loss)	Gain or (Loss)	to	State Ave =	State Ave =	Notes Regarding Column 6:
ORG AO	S SAUs - UNIX Order	Contributor	100%	(ED 279 Section 5A)	Mil Rate	(ED 279 Section 5A)	(ED 279 Section 5A)	(Col. 4 minus Col 5)	Percentage	FY 2025-26	13%	-1%	Gain or Loss of State Share:
				1		1							14% increase in Subsidy counts, 17% increase in SPED counts, 55% increase in
1413 89	6 Whiting	Yes	\$580,075.11	\$479,410.65	5.46	\$100,664.46	\$63,580.14	\$37,084.33	58.33%	\$610.70	12%	14%	Economic Disadvantaged counts
508 89	6 Whitneyville		\$357,275.97	\$98,311.67	6.10	\$258,964.30	\$230,758.98	\$28,205.32	12.22%	\$44.50	5%	2%	2% increase in Subsidy counts, 20% increase in SPED counts
500	A / III	N	\$100 005 OF	\$450.050.44	0.05	<b>\$7 404 04</b>	<b>#05 040 04</b>	(\$40,705,07)	70.000/	(\$700.05)	100/	0.40/	12% increase in Valuation, 24% decrease in Subsidy counts, 80% decrease in
509 518 89	Willimantic 2 Winslow	Yes	\$160,985.05	\$153,850.11	2.35 6.10	\$7,134.94 \$10,391,546.15	\$25,840.21 \$9,607,239.42	(\$18,705.27) \$784,306.73	-72.39% 8.16%	(\$709.05) \$0.00	12%		SPED counts, 30% decrease in Economic Disadvantaged
1737	Winterville Plt.		\$15,468,576.15 \$334,360.04	\$5,077,030.00 \$239,323.33	6.10	\$10,391,546.15	\$9,007,239.42	\$7,84,306.73	3.41%	\$0.00	5%		
1757			\$334,300.04	\$239,323.33	0.10	\$95,050.71	\$91,901.29	φ3,133.4z	5.4170	φ0.00	570	2 /0	15% increase in Valuation, 13% decrease in SPED counts, 2% decrease in
524	Winthrop		\$10,246,808.72	\$5,170,868.33	6.10	\$5,075,940.39	\$5,088,739.76	(\$12,799.37)	-0.25%	\$0.00	15%	-1%	Economic Disadvantaged counts
													12% increase in Valuation, 5% decrease in Subsidy counts, 15% decrease in SPED
1671	Wiscasset	_	\$5,551,743.47	\$3,611,810.00	6.10	\$1,939,933.47	\$2,350,855.09	(\$410,921.62)	-17.48%	\$0.00	12%		counts
532	Woodland		\$1,889,045.13	\$438,285.00	6.10	\$1,450,760.13	\$1,488,177.24	(\$37,417.11)		\$0.00	8%	-	8% increase in Valuation, 6% decrease in Subsidy counts
534	Woodville		\$388,456.15	\$246,338.33	6.10	\$142,117.82	\$117,959.11	\$24,158.71	20.48%	\$0.00	7%		Increased Inflation Factor
537	Yarmouth	V	\$23,824,252.33	\$15,044,430.00	6.10	\$8,779,822.33	\$8,172,432.10	\$607,390.22	7.43%	\$0.00	14%	-	
542 547 87	York 7 Baring Plt.	Yes	\$24,689,148.03	\$21,030,637.53 \$94,753.33	3.49 6.10	\$3,658,510.50 \$305,639.37	\$3,116,322.40 \$254,124.39	\$542,188.10 \$51,514.98	17.40% 20.27%	\$0.00 \$0.00	13%		3% increase in SPED counts, 40% increase in Economic Disadvantaged counts 13% increase in Subsidy counts, 37% increase in Economic Disadvantaged counts
547 87 548	7 Baring Pit. Medford		\$400,392.70 \$555,806.83	\$94,753.33 \$175,070.00	6.10	\$305,639.37 \$380,736.83	\$254,124.39 \$374,591.11	\$51,514.98 \$6,145.72	20.27%	\$0.00	18%	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
546	Mediora		\$555,600.65	\$175,070.00	0.10	\$300,730.03	\$374,391.11	\$0,14J.7Z	1.04 /0	φ0.00	1070	570	Increase in Debt, 20% in SPED counts, 38% increase in Economic Disadvantaged
549	Carrabassett Val	Yes	\$783,780.62	\$739,080.74	0.81	\$44,699.88	\$35,070.58	\$9,629.30	27.46%	\$22,159.75	19%	-1%	counts
550	Beaver Cove	Yes	\$50,014.80	\$48,377.30	0.51	\$1,637.50	\$1,920.35	(\$282.85)	-14.73%	\$0.00	11%	-20%	11% increase in Valuation, 20% decrease in Subsidy counts
1433	Chebeague Island	Yes	\$642,663.59	\$560,382.24	1.67	\$82,281.35	\$67,427.54	\$14,853.81	22.03%	(\$83,841.08)	15%	-13%	Decrease in Debt
551	RSU 79/MSAD 01 (CTE Center)		\$21,650,499.00	\$5,431,744.99	6.10	\$17,938,739.96	\$17,707,354.19	\$231,385.76	1.31%	\$0.00	6%		
561	RSU 03/MSAD 03	Yes	\$16,216,027.81	\$6,789,488.62	6.08	\$9,426,539.19	\$9,337,066.48	\$89,472.71	0.96%	(\$99,647.84)	12%	-4%	
570			<b>\$0.047.040.07</b>	<b>*</b> 0.000.000.00	0.40	<b>#0.004.440.07</b>	<b>*</b> 0 444 040 55	(\$4.47.007.00)	4.000/	<b>#0.00</b>	100/	00/	10% increase in Valuation, 3% decrease in Subsidy counts, 3% decrease in SPED
570 587	RSU 80/MSAD 04 RSU 06/MSAD 06		\$6,247,010.87 \$48,317,114.03	\$2,982,900.00 \$24,159,659.99	6.10 5.76	\$3,264,110.87 \$24,157,454.04	\$3,411,348.55 \$22,545,441.99	(\$147,237.68) \$1,612,012.06	-4.32% 7.15%	\$0.00 (\$201,331.19)	10%		counts
601	RSU 07/MSAD 07	Yes	\$916,720.69	\$814,094.89	1.95	\$102,625.80	\$125,807.52	(\$23,181.72)	-18.43%	\$0.00	13%		13% increase in Valuation, 29% decrease in SPED counts
603	RSU 08/MSAD 08	Yes	\$2,488,470.92	\$1,993,985.27	3.35	\$494,485.65	\$307,112.03	\$187,373.63	61.01%	\$0.00	9%		5% increase in SPED counts, 100% increase in Economic Disadvantaged counts
000			ψ2,400,470.32	φ1,550,500.27	0.00	φ+0+,+00.00	ψ007,112.00	φ101,010.00	01.0170	φ0.00	570	-070	Decrease in Debt, 16% increase in Valuation, 3% decrease in Subsidy counts, 7%
617	RSU 11/MSAD 11		\$24,205,038.51	\$8,193,520.00	6.10	\$16,011,518.51	\$16,204,338.26	(\$192,819.75)	-1.19%	(\$53,760.00)	16%	-3%	decrease in SPED counts
													12% increase in Valuation, 8% decrease in Subsidy counts, 12% decrease in SPE
626	RSU 82/MSAD 12		\$1,860,343.90	\$988,505.00	6.10	\$871,838.90	\$903,015.54	(\$31,176.64)	-3.45%	\$0.00	12%	-8%	counts
628	RSU 83/MSAD 13		\$2,388,360.72	\$1,318,697.64	5.83	\$1,069,663.08	\$1,395,584.43	(\$325,921.35)	-23.35%	\$0.00	12%	-14%	12% increase in Valuation, 14% decrease in Subsidy counts, 24% decrease in SPED counts, 6% decrease in Economic Disadvantaged counts
633	RSU 84/MSAD 14		\$1,898,563.22	\$777,445.00	6.10	\$1,121,118.22	\$917,250.62	\$203,867.60	22.23%	\$0.00	10%		2% increase in Subsidy counts, 19% increase in Economic Disadvantaged counts
635	RSU 15/MSAD 15		\$25,911,099.33	\$13,733,946.66	6.10	\$12,177,152.67	\$11,833,679.27	\$343,473.40	2.90%	\$0.00	15%		
646	RSU 17/MSAD 17		\$40,796,232.95	\$18,803,607.24	5.59	\$21,992,625.71	\$22,103,335.05	(\$110,709.34)	-0.50%	(\$46,200.00)	15%		Decrease in Debt, 15% increase in Valuation, 2% decrease in Subsidy counts
	7 RSU 85/MSAD 19		\$1,613,470.25	\$1,453,223.33	6.10	\$160,246.92	\$171,391.00	(\$11,144.08)	-6.50%	\$5,630.37	16%		16% increase in Valuation, 8% decrease in Economic Disadvantaged
													3% increase in Valuation, 5% decrease in Subsidy counts, 17% decrease in SPED
664	RSU 86/MSAD 20		\$5,935,289.33	\$1,183,908.33	6.10	\$4,751,381.00	\$4,803,645.94	(\$52,264.95)	-1.09%	\$0.00	3%		counts, 7% decrease in Economic Disadvantaged counts
681	RSU 87/MSAD 23	_	\$10,117,629.37	\$2,989,508.34	6.10	\$7,128,121.03	\$7,029,908.90	\$98,212.13	1.40%	\$0.00	14%		
685	RSU 88/MSAD 24 (CTE Center)	N N	\$3,885,061.57	\$716,241.66	6.10	\$3,981,526.49	\$3,723,973.30	\$257,553.18	6.92%	\$0.00	7%		
703	RSU 28/MSAD 28	Yes	\$10,050,616.77	\$8,747,415.39	4.71	\$1,303,201.38	\$1,190,358.95	\$112,842.43	9.48%	\$0.00	10%		
707	RSU 29/MSAD 29		\$15,447,355.34	\$2,941,826.67	6.10	\$12,505,528.67	\$11,661,188.63	\$844,340.04	7.24%	\$0.00	8%	-3%	Increase in Debt, 2% increase in Subsidy counts, 8% increase in Economic
713 89	0 RSU 30/MSAD 30		\$3,390,549.08	\$839,685.41	6.05	\$2,550,863.67	\$2,311,851.75	\$239,011.92	10.34%	\$7,256.60	12%	2%	Disadvantaged counts
	3 RSU 31/MSAD 31		\$5,226,880.12	\$1,928,108.34	6.10	\$3,298,771.78	\$3,220,297.85	\$78,473.93	2.44%	\$0.00	11%		
722	RSU 32/MSAD 32		\$3,827,958.08	\$747,046.67	6.10	\$3,080,911.41	\$2,912,188.47	\$168,722.94	5.79%	(\$39,727.31)	6%		
726	RSU 33/MSAD 33 (CTE Center)		\$2,757,456.47	\$934,825.00	6.10	\$3,480,481.66	\$3,538,859.89	(\$58,378.23)	-1.65%	\$0.00	8%		8% increase in Valuation
743	RSU 35/MSAD 35		\$27,999,809.99	\$13,429,963.33	6.10	\$14,569,846.66	\$13,958,203.85	\$611,642.81	4.38%	\$0.00	10%		
753	RSU 37/MSAD 37		\$8,037,354.81	\$4,119,431.66	6.10	\$3,917,923.15	\$3,861,730.94	\$56,192.21	1.46%	\$0.00	11%		
765	RSU 40/MSAD 40		\$27,715,326.73	\$11,849,351.67	6.10	\$15,865,975.06	\$15,024,738.16	\$841,236.91	5.60%	(\$30,566.31)	14%		
774 84	3 RSU 41/MSAD 41		\$8,649,104.82	\$1,363,756.67	6.10	\$7,285,348.15	\$7,261,474.78	\$23,873.37	0.33%	\$0.00	11%	-3%	
700	DELLADIMEND 42		¢2 600 050 00	¢1 000 140 04	6.40	¢0.000.000.00	¢0,400,000,00	(000 007 04)	0.40%	¢0.00	00/	00/	9% increase in Valuation, 3% decrease in Subsidy counts, 4% decrease in SPED
780 789	RSU 42/MSAD 42 RSU 44/MSAD 44	-	\$3,609,052.03	\$1,239,113.34 \$7,195,499.60	6.10 3.70	\$2,369,938.69	\$2,430,206.00 \$571,057.65	(\$60,267.31) \$235,118.69	-2.48% 41.17%	\$0.00	9% 19%		counts, decrease in Special Education expenditures 14% increase in SPED counts, 40% increase in Economic Disadvantaged counts
789 795	RSU 44/MSAD 44 RSU 45/MSAD 45		\$8,001,675.94 \$3,881,118.12	\$7,195,499.60 \$919,270.00	6.10	\$806,176.34 \$2,961,848.12	\$571,057.65 \$2,858,019.24		41.17%	\$0.00 \$0.00	19%		5
795 826	RSU 45/MSAD 45 RSU 49/MSAD 49		\$3,881,118.12 \$26,823,174.49	\$919,270.00	6.10	\$2,961,848.12 \$19,328,409.49	\$2,858,019.24 \$16,901,657.90	\$103,828.88 \$2,426,751.59	3.63% 14.36%	\$0.00	12%		Significant Increase in Debt, 9% increase in SPED counts
826	RSU 49/MSAD 49 RSU 51/MSAD 51		\$33,207,232.44		6.10	\$19,328,409.49	\$15,614,832.30	\$2,426,751.59	7.75%	\$2,103,327.85 (\$634,808.92)	11%		
839 847	RSU 51/MSAD 51 RSU 52/MSAD 52		\$29,044,674.31	\$8,911,795.00	6.10	\$10,824,564.11 \$20,132,879.31	\$15,614,832.30	\$1,318,213.73	7.75%	(\$034,808.92) \$0.00	15%		
854	RSU 53/MSAD 52		\$10,646,895.81	\$3,281,291.66	6.10	\$7,365,604.15	\$7,267,739.91	\$97,864.24	1.35%	(\$2,206.56)	12%		
507			ψι0,0τ0,030.01	ψυ,201,231.00	0.10	ψ1,000,00 <del>4</del> .10	ψι,201,103.91	ψJ1,004.24	1.0070	(\\\\200.00)	12/0	-170	

	eneral Purpose for Local Schools						Comparison to FY 2						
Amounts do not in	cluded principal & interest for unbonded debt o	r pending Sudd					Amounts do not include Mi						
			(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	SAUs with a decrease in State Share (Column #6)
	in State Share from prior year (Colun			Mil Expectation at	6.10		6.62				FY 25	-	SAUS with an increase of more than 9% State Share (Column #7)
	It of reductions in pupil counts (Colum	nn #10),		Min. Subsidy at	5%	FY	5%	Adjusted	Adjusted	Amount	to	to	
and/or increas	ses to town valuations (Column #9).			Min. Spec. Ed. at	50%	FY 2025-26	50%	State Share	State Share	Change	FY 26	FY 26	
			FY 2025-26	FY 2025-26	FY 2025-26	Adjusted	FY2024-25	FY2024-25	FY2024-25	in Debt	% Change	% Change	
			EPS	Adjusted	Adjusted	State Share	Enacted	to	to	Service	to	to Average	
			Total	Required	Local	* Includes CTE Center	Adjusted	FY 2025-26	FY 2025-26	FY 2024-25	Valuations	Pupils	
		Minimum	Allocation at	Local Share	Share	allocations 5B6	State Share	Gain or (Loss)	Gain or (Loss)	to	State Ave =	State Ave =	Notes Regarding Column 6:
	SAUs - UNIX Order	Contributor	100%	(ED 279 Section 5A)	Mil Rate	(ED 279 Section 5A)	(ED 279 Section 5A)	(Col. 4 minus Col 5)	Percentage	FY 2025-26	13%	-1%	Gain or Loss of State Share:
860	RSU 54/MSAD 54 (CTE Center)		\$40,978,576.45	\$13,189,826.66	6.10	\$31,091,792.12	\$28,640,706.74	\$2,451,085.38	8.56%	\$529,042.22	10%	0%	
074			A 40 707 704 05	A0 707 445 00	0.40	<b>**</b> *** *** **		(\$407,774,04)	4 500	(0.1.1.050.1.1)	1000		Decrease in Debt, 13% increase in Valuation, 1% decrease in Subsidy counts, 3%
	RSU 55/MSAD 55		\$13,727,791.25	\$6,737,145.00	6.10	\$6,990,646.25	\$7,098,417.89	(\$107,771.64)		(\$41,652.14)	13%		decrease in Economic Disadvantaged Counts
	RSU 57/MSAD 57		\$41,262,273.70	\$24,356,781.66	6.00	\$16,905,492.04	\$16,093,130.59	\$812,361.45		\$0.00	14%		
	RSU 58/MSAD 58		\$7,669,336.81	\$2,642,621.67	6.10	\$5,026,715.14	\$4,675,366.47	\$351,348.67	7.51%	\$0.00	12%		
	RSU 59/MSAD 59		\$7,492,037.99	\$2,516,148.33	6.10	\$4,975,889.66	\$4,707,194.10	\$268,695.56	5.71%	\$0.00	12%		
	RSU 60/MSAD 60		\$39,948,770.45		6.10	\$22,950,815.45	\$21,194,328.58	\$1,756,486.87	8.29%	\$0.00	13%		
	RSU 61/MSAD 61 (CTE Center)	Yes	\$23,284,222.60	\$18,962,171.31	5.13	\$6,392,515.79	\$5,478,354.85	\$914,160.95		\$0.00	17%		9% increase in Economic Disadvantaged counts
	RSU 63/MSAD 63		\$9,186,537.20	\$4,337,811.67	6.10	\$4,848,725.53	\$4,424,871.69	\$423,853.84		\$13,929.85	12%		
	RSU 64/MSAD 64		\$14,807,069.92	\$3,825,818.35	6.10	\$10,981,251.57	\$10,823,515.89	\$157,735.68		(\$34,484.87)	12%		۶ 
	RSU 65/MSAD 65		\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00	3%		
	RSU 68/MSAD 68		\$12,415,802.46	\$4,150,033.33	6.10	\$8,265,769.13	\$7,813,206.36	\$452,562.77		(\$12,522.48)	12%		
	RSU 70/MSAD 70		\$5,666,294.77	\$1,985,506.57	5.27	\$3,680,788.20	\$3,719,671.01	(\$38,882.81)	·	\$0.00	15%		15% increase in Valuation, 10% decrease in SPED counts
	RSU 72/MSAD 72	Yes	\$17,817,941.56	\$10,113,919.27	4.68	\$7,704,022.29	\$7,867,520.66	(\$163,498.37)		\$6,853.81	14%		14% increase in Valuation, 2% decrease in Subsidy counts
	RSU 74/MSAD 74		\$8,390,773.39	\$3,804,442.28	5.46	\$4,586,331.11	\$4,739,234.90	(\$152,903.79)		(\$368,749.45)	15%	-	Decrease in Debt, 15% increase in Valuation, 2% decrease in Subsidy counts
	RSU 75/MSAD 75	Yes	\$38,937,746.83	\$17,887,185.08	4.07	\$21,050,561.75	\$20,845,741.83	\$204,819.92		(\$75,308.65)	11%		
	RSU 01 - LKRSU (CTE Center)	Yes	\$31,681,243.67	\$14,771,582.73	5.25	\$19,157,434.55	\$18,577,474.96	\$579,959.59	-	(\$176,635.62)	13%		
	RSU 02		\$22,217,759.81	\$8,718,120.00	6.10	\$13,499,639.81	\$12,343,414.26	\$1,156,225.55		(\$35,457.37)	14%		
	RSU 04		\$18,032,812.06	\$6,686,108.33	6.10	\$11,346,703.73	\$11,383,013.97	(\$36,310.24)		(\$433,655.76)	16%		Decrease in Debt, 16% increase in Valuation, 2% decrease in Subsidy counts,
	RSU 05	Yes	\$26,843,941.46	\$19,636,822.34	5.99	\$7,207,119.12	\$7,414,082.60	(\$206,963.48)		(\$36,897.12)	11%		Decrease in Debt, 11% increase in Valuation, 2% decrease in Subsidy counts
	RSU 09 (CTE Center)	Yes	\$34,558,943.28	\$10,791,602.68	5.84	\$27,296,644.64	\$26,003,822.54	\$1,292,822.10		(\$65,319.76)	15%		
	RSU 10	Yes	\$32,711,243.00	\$8,131,904.59	5.70	\$24,579,338.41	\$20,133,137.01	\$4,446,201.40		\$3,344,575.54	9%		Significant Increase in Debt, 9% increase in Economic Disadvantaged counts
	RSU 12	Yes	\$20,307,827.06	\$8,947,978.35	5.43	\$11,359,848.71	\$11,294,389.18	\$65,459.52		(\$370,569.61)	13%		
	RSU 13		\$24,222,187.78	\$16,216,678.03	5.97	\$8,005,509.75	\$7,811,950.37	\$193,559.39		\$0.00	14%		
	RSU 14		\$44,499,809.14	\$26,804,820.02	5.77	\$17,694,989.12	\$17,760,372.83	(\$65,383.71)		(\$1,286,375.00)	16%		Decrease in Debt, 16% increase in Valuation, 2% decrease in Subsidy counts
	RSU 16		\$22,821,542.23	\$9,554,938.34	6.10	\$13,266,603.89	\$12,957,400.04	\$309,203.85		\$0.00	16%		
	RSU 18		\$34,649,168.15		5.79	\$15,392,501.18	\$15,665,089.39	(\$272,588.22)		\$3,030.62	16%		16% increase in Valuation, 2% decrease in Subsidy counts
	RSU 19		\$29,115,684.63	\$8,612,996.67	6.10	\$20,502,687.96	\$20,373,281.90	\$129,406.07	0.64%	(\$67,710.16)	13%		
1459	RSU 20		\$7,707,869.78	\$3,998,346.67	6.10	\$3,709,523.11	\$3,515,800.79	\$193,722.32	5.51%	(\$12,031.06)	13%	-6%	
1100	DOLL 04		\$00.000 007 F	000 040 000 F :	1.0-	AF 0.45 700 65	#0.040.000 c=	(\$000 110	10.070	(0050 004 00)			Decrease in Debt, 13% increase in Valuation, 2% decrease in Subsidy counts, 3%
	RSU 21		\$36,289,627.53	\$30,943,920.54	4.05	\$5,345,706.99	\$6,213,823.87	(\$868,116.87)		(\$653,081.26)	13%		decrease in SPED counts
1615	RSU 22		\$31,271,680.72	\$9,694,425.00	6.10	\$21,577,255.72	\$21,406,990.28	\$170,265.45	0.80%	(\$59,911.28)	13%	-4%	
1461	RSU 23	Yes	\$10,819,793.61	\$9,043,497.22	3.66	\$1,776,296.39	\$2,013,025.54	(\$236,729.15)	-11.76%	\$0.00	13%	20/	13% increase in Valuation, 3% decrease in Subsidy counts, 19% decrease in Economic Disadvantaged counts
	RSU 23 RSU 24	Yes			4.82	\$6,503,912.97	\$6,294,494.75	\$209,418.22			13%		
	RSU 24 RSU 25	185	\$15,030,486.60 \$14,968,112.28	\$8,526,573.63 \$6,193,635.01	4.82	\$8,774,477.27		\$209,418.22		(\$109,278.94) \$4,893.63	10%		
	RSU 25 RSU 26		\$14,968,112.28	\$6,193,635.01	6.10	\$6,831,233.30	\$8,280,830.59 \$6,144,393.33	\$493,646.68	5.96%	\$4,893.63	8%		6% increase in SPED counts, 23% increase in Economic Disadvantaged counts
1400	100 20		y10,403,393.30	φ3,372,100.00	0.10	φ0,031,233.30	φυ, 144,393.33	φυου,ου9/	11.10%		8%	2%	Decrease in Debt, 16% increase in Valuation, 2% decrease in Subsidy counts, 7%
1466	RSU 34		\$17,524,094.14	\$5,388,740.00	6.10	\$12,135,354.14	\$12,318,371.29	(\$183,017.14)	) -1.49%	(\$579.54)	16%	-2%	decrease in SPED counts
	RSU 38		\$14,763,285.22	\$8,661,898.34	6.10	\$6,101,386.88	\$5,811,617.88	\$289,769.00	4.99%	\$0.00	15%		
	RSU 39 (CTE Center)		\$17,395,022.86	\$2,813,828.33	6.10	\$16,837,118.85	\$15,770,931.21	\$1,066,187.65		(\$49,764.37)	8%		
	RSU 48		\$8,526,679.53	\$6,285,872.25	5.74	\$2,240,807.28	\$2,150,792.43	\$90,014.85		\$5,270.46	12%		
	RSU 50		\$4,706,025.50	\$1,446,281.84	6.07	\$3,259,743.66	\$3,013,600.09	\$246,143.57	8.17%	\$0.00	7%		
	RSU 56		\$11,956,652.13	\$3,487,370.00	6.10	\$8,469,282.13	\$7,620,914.78	\$848.367.35		(\$36,042.34)	8%		10% increase in SPED counts, 8% increase in Economic Disadvantaged counts
	RSU 67		\$10,147,315.25		6.10	\$6,421,943.58	\$6,389,342.21	\$32,601.37	0.51%	\$0.00	15%		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	RSU 71		\$21,457,386.71		6.10	\$11,437,425.05	\$10,718,271.87	\$719,153.19		(\$394,422.85)	12%		
	RSU 73		\$20,160,516.43	\$5,132,336.66	6.10	\$15,028,179.77	\$14,735,817.55	\$292,362.21		\$0.00	12%		
	RSU 78	Yes	\$2,818,276.96	\$2,479,253.33	1.95	\$339,023.63	\$379,833.48	(\$40,809.85)		\$0.00	12%		16% increase in Valuation, 2% decrease in Subsidy counts, 21% decrease in SPED counts
	RSU 89		\$3,545,801.95	\$1,055,118.89	6.08	\$2,490,683.06	\$2,595,630.90	(\$104,947.84)		\$0.00	9%		9% increase in Valuation, 6% decrease in Subsidy counts, 11% decrease in SPED counts
1991	100.03		φ <del>3,343,001.95</del>	φ1,055,110.09	0.08	φ <b>∠,430,003.0</b> 0	φ2,090,000.90	(\$104,947.84)	/ -4.04%	φυ.00	9%	-0%	, oouna
616	MSAD 10		\$351,088.47	\$205,265.00	6.10	\$145,823.47	\$111,615.76	\$34,207.71	30.65%	\$0.00	5%	-2%	17% increase in SPED counts, 2% increase in Economic Disadvantaged counts
	MSAD 27		\$9,676,029.89	\$2,621,780.00	6.10	\$7,054,249.89	\$6,586,235.33	\$468,014.56		\$0.00	7%		
	MSAD 46 (CTE Center)		\$12,270,659.54	\$3,028,141.66	6.10	\$11,334,673.33	\$11,351,787.90	(\$17,114.57)		(\$215,493.07)	11%		Decrease in Debt, 2% decrease in Subsidy counts, 8% decrease in SPED counts
994 891		Yes	\$634,326.29	\$585,119.82	3.43	\$49,206.47	\$54,843.85	(\$5,637.38)		\$0.00	3%		40% decrease in SPED counts
994 891		res	3034,320.29	3000, I 19.82	3.43	\$49,200.47	\$04,843.85	(\$0,037.38)	-10.28%	<b>Φ</b> U.UU	3%	3%	40% uccrease in SPED counts

		General Purpose for Local Schools					Comparison to FY 2	024-25					
Amounts	do not in	ncluded principal & interest for unbonded debt or pending Sud	den & Severe Adjustmen	ts		Amounts do not include Miscellaneous Adjustments - Section 5B							
			(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	SAUs with a decrease in State Share (Column #6)
Note : Losses in State Share from prior year (Column #6) are			í	Mil Expectation at	6.10		6.62				FY 25	FY 25	SAUS with an increase of more than 9% State Share (Column #7)
usually	a resu	It of reductions in pupil counts (Column #10),		Min. Subsidy at	5%	FY	5%	Adjusted	Adjusted	Amount	to	to	
and/or	increas	ses to town valuations (Column #9).		Min. Spec. Ed. at	50%	FY 2025-26	50%	State Share	State Share	Change	FY 26	FY 26	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	FY 2025-26	FY 2025-26	FY 2025-26	Adjusted	FY2024-25	FY2024-25	FY2024-25	in Debt	% Change	% Change	
		<b>~~~~</b> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	EPS	Adjusted	Adjusted	State Share	Enacted	to	to	Service	to	to Average	9
			Total	Required	Local	* Includes CTE Center	Adjusted	FY 2025-26	FY 2025-26	FY 2024-25	Valuations	Pupils	-
		Minimum	Allocation at	Local Share	Share	allocations 5B6	State Share	Gain or (Loss)	Gain or (Loss)	to	State Ave =	State Ave =	Notes Regarding Column 6:
ORG	AOS	SAUs - UNIX Order Contributor	100%	(ED 279 Section 5A)	Mil Rate	(ED 279 Section 5A)	(ED 279 Section 5A)	(Col. 4 minus Col 5)	Percentage	FY 2025-26	13%	-1%	Gain or Loss of State Share:
									<b>_</b>	•			
													11% increase in Valuation, 2% decrease in Subsidy counts, decrease in Special
1009		Indian Island	\$1.671.035.11	\$76.148.33	6.10	\$1.594.886.78	\$1.896.899.94	(\$302,013.16)	-15.92%	\$0.00	11%	-2%	Education expenditures
			<b>*</b> •,••• •,•••••	<i></i>				(++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++					11% increase in Valuation, 11% decrease in SPED counts, decrease in Special
1011		Indian Township	\$2,610,414.39	\$24,095.00	6.10	\$2,586,319.39	\$2,823,480.74	(\$237,161.35)	-8.40%	\$0.00	11%	4%	Education expenditures
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·											11% increase in Valuation, 1% decrease in Subsidy counts, 11% decrease in
1013		Pleasant Point	\$3,365,831.51	\$14,436.67	6.10	\$3,351,394.84	\$3,564,763.14	(\$213,368.30)	-5.99%	\$0.00	11%	-1%	Economic Disadvantaged counts, decrease in Special Education expenditures
1031	898	Boothbay-Boothbay Hbr CSD Yes	\$6,460,635.47	\$5,682,863.42	2.53	\$777,772.05	\$820,353.22	(\$42,581.17)	-5.19%	\$0.00	15%		15% increase in Valuation, 4% decrease in Subsidy counts
1036	891	Mt Desert CSD Yes	\$5,815,859.34	\$4,920,518.86	2.52	\$895,340.48	\$796,728.40	\$98,612.08	12.38%	\$0.00	5%	-6%	48% increase in Economic Disadvantaged counts
													Decrease in Debt, 24% increase in Valuation, 10% decrease in Subsidy counts, 7%
1038	881	Airline CSD	\$747,235.00	\$441,567.45	2.91	\$305,667.55	\$339,758.34	(\$34,090.79)	-10.03%	(\$180.43)	24%	-10%	decrease in SPED counts
40.47		E 1. D 0.0D	A450 404 00	<b>*</b> 4 4 0 0 0 4 0 7	0.40	AD 000 05	<b>*</b> 4 4 000 <b>T</b> 0	(004.044.40)	77 5 4 94	<b>A</b> 101.01		0.000	7% increase in Valuation, 32% decrease in Subsidy counts, 0 Economic
1047	890	East Range CSD	\$152,194.32	\$142,231.67	6.10	\$9,962.65	\$44,306.78	(\$34,344.13)	-77.51%	\$161.64	7%	-32%	<ul> <li>Disadvantaged</li> <li>13% increase in Valuation, 1% decrease in Economic Disadvantaged counts,</li> </ul>
1049		Deer Isle-Stonington CSD Yes	\$4,656,852.11	\$3.914.541.22	3.79	\$742,310.89	\$800,044.62	(\$57,733.73)	-7.22%	\$0.00	13%	60/	decrease in Special Education expenditures
1049		Moosabec CSD	\$878,012.18	\$503,792.23	6.10	\$374,219,95	\$303,785.30	\$70,434.65	23.19%	\$0.00	6%		10% increase in Economic Disadvantaged counts
1058		Wells-Oqunquit CSD Yes	\$19,690,129.27	\$17,663,028.20	2.65	\$2.027.101.07	\$1,938,392.73	\$88,708.34	4.58%	\$0.00	12%	-10%	•
1060		Five Town CSD	\$9,721,742.32	\$7,997,587.63	5.67	\$2,027,101.07	\$1,507,205.48	\$216,949.21	14.39%	\$0.00	12%		27% increase in Economic Disadvantaged counts
1005		Five Town CSD	\$9,721,742.32	\$7,997,307.03	5.07	\$1,724,154.09	\$1,307,205.46	φZ10,949.Z1	14.39%	φ0.00	1470	170	
1701		Apadia Apadamy	2 004 057 44	¢0.00	0.00	¢0.004.057.44	¢0.400.040.04	¢00.040.04	0.05%	¢0.00	00/	2%	
1761		Acadia Academy	3,221,657.14	\$0.00	0.00	\$3,221,657.14	\$3,138,340.91	\$83,316.24	2.65%	\$0.00	0%		
1630		Baxter Academy for Technology and Sciences	5,049,904.13	\$0.00	0.00	\$5,049,904.13	\$4,662,441.17	\$387,462.96	8.31%	\$0.00	0%		
1510		Cornville Regional Charter School	4,924,218.06	\$0.00	0.00	\$4,924,218.06	\$4,612,073.86	\$312,144.20	6.77%	\$0.00	0%	1%	12% increase in Subsidy counts, 23% increase in SPED counts, 30% increase in
2071		Ecology Learning Center	1.544.895.53	\$0.00	0.00	\$1.544.895.53	\$1.240.283.21	\$304.612.31	24.56%	\$0.00	0%	120/	Economic Disadvantaged counts
1631		Fiddlehead School of Arts and Sciences	2,506,672.08	\$0.00	0.00	\$2,506,672.08	\$2,399,098.54	\$107.573.55	4.48%	\$0.00	0%	0%	•
1501		Maine Academy of Natural Sciences	2,506,672.08	\$0.00	0.00	\$2,506,672.08	\$2,399,098.54	(\$96,284.81)		\$0.00	0%	• • •	9% decrease in Subsidy counts, 16% decrease in SPED counts
												-	
1672		Maine Connections Academy	5,957,681.48	\$0.00	0.00	\$5,957,681.48	\$5,688,849.07	\$268,832.41	4.73%	\$0.00	0%		
1739		Maine Virtual Academy	5,507,134.23	\$0.00	0.00	\$5,507,134.23	\$5,061,615.56	\$445,518.67	8.80%	\$0.00	0%	6%	
1762		Maine Arts Academy (formerly Snow Pond)	3,692,736.59	\$0.00	0.00	\$3,692,736.59	\$2,838,415.34	\$854,321.25	30.10%	\$0.00	0%	31%	31% increase in Subsidy counts, 41% increase in Economic Disadvantaged counts