## Maine Sea Urchin Research Fund Projects

| Commercial<br>Catch Sampling<br>Status<br>Ongoing since<br>1994. Annual<br>reports available.                                   | Researchers<br>Kerry Lyons and<br>Margaret Hunter,<br>Department of<br>Marine Resources<br>Cost from Fund<br>Approx. \$35,000.<br>annually. | <b>Summary</b><br>DMR biologists visit one port or buyer in each Zone each week, weather permitting. As<br>many different sites as possible are visited, to cover the state as completely as possible.<br>During each trip, data are collected from harvester and buyer interviews about catch,<br>fishing effort, weather, market price, and roe quality. A sample of urchins from each<br>catch is weighed and measured. Various statistics on catch, fishing effort, and catch per<br>unit effort are calculated. Catch per unit effort (lbs per hour) can be used as an index of<br>stock abundance. Size composition data are used to analyze the impacts of, and<br>compliance with, legal minimum and maximum size limits. Diameter-weight<br>relationships are used to develop stock biomass estimates. |
|---|---|---|
| Commercial<br>Landings Data<br>from Logbooks<br>Status<br>Ongoing since<br>1996. Landings<br>data available.                    | Researchers<br>Kerry Lyons and<br>Margaret Hunter,<br>DMR<br>Cost from Fund<br>Approx. \$25,000.<br>annually.                               | <b>Summary</b><br>All sea urchin dealers are required to submit logbooks that report the date, harvester, pounds, roe index, and price for every lot of urchins purchased from harvesters. These data are analyzed to calculate total landings by month, season, county, zone, and statewide. Daily prices and catches are computed and are useful in setting future seasons. Harvester data are used to estimate the total effort in the fishery.  |
| An Economic<br>Analysis of the<br>Market for<br>Maine Sea<br>Urchins<br>Status<br>Complete, 1997.<br>Report available.<br>88pp. | Researchers<br>Dr. James Wilen, U<br>of CA and Dr. Cathy<br>Wessells, U of RI<br>Cost from Fund<br>\$34,465.                                | Summary<br>The sea urchin market structure and the mechanisms which determine prices were<br>described. Included is an analysis of the complete marketing chain, from harvest to<br>final consumption in Japan. (Maine harvester→buyer→processor →export/ import<br>market→Japanese wholesale market→ Japanese retail→consumer.) The study analyzed<br>the Japanese market for various sea urchin roe products and examined trends in<br>worldwide urchin supply. Primary urchin supplier nations are Chile, Japan, USA,<br>Russia, and Canada. Primary factors influencing roe prices are: country of origin, roe<br>quality, the Japanese economy and average income, price of competing products,<br>customs and traditional holidays, and the yen-dollar exchange rate.                                     |

| Density-related      | Researchers      | Summary   |
|----------------------|------------------|---|
| Reproductive         | Richard A.       | Population surveys were conducted at seven mid-coast Maine sites to determine sea           |
| Trade-offs in the    | Wahle, Ph.D.,    | urchin population density, gamete production, and kelp cover at sites representing a        |
| Green Sea Urchin     | Hoyt Peckham,    | natural range of population densities. Field experiments were conducted to determine        |
| & Implications to    | Bigelow Lab,     | the influence of body size, urchin density, and kelp canopy on fertilization success of     |
| the Maine Fishery    | West Boothbay    | urchins at different levels of aggregation. Results suggested that 1) urchins at high       |
| -                    | Harbor, Maine    | density and in deeper water are food-limited; 2) fertilization rates are density dependent  |
| Status               | Cost from Fund   | and decline much faster with decreasing density than individual gamete production           |
| Complete, 1998.      | \$50,931.        | increases, so depleting sea urchin populations may result in a disproportionate decline     |
| Report available.    |                  | in larval production. This also suggests that dense patches of urchins, with low            |
| 37pp.                |                  | individual roe counts, may contribute significantly to urchin reproduction.                 |
| Temporal and         | Researchers      | Summary   |
| Spatial Variability  | Dr. Robert L.    | This project studied sea urchin growth and reproduction, and found that spawning            |
| in the Relationships | Vadas, U of      | (which varied by about 8 weeks from west to east) correlated with temperature and           |
| Between Adult Size,  | Maine at Orono,  | phytoplankton pigments. Grade A roe decreased from west to east. Urchins begin              |
| Maturity and         | and Dr. Brian F. | contributing to reproduction at about 30-40 mm size, and become sexually mature at          |
| Fecundity in Green   | Beal, U of Maine | about 45mm size (1.77in.) although this ranged from 42-54 mm. This confirmed that           |
| Sea Urchins          | at Machias       | the 2-inch (51mm) size limit allowed for some fully mature urchins to reproduce before      |
| Status               | Cost from Fund   | capture, but there is not a large safety factor in this measure. Urchins took about 5-7     |
| Complete, 1999.      | \$132,938.       | years to reach 2 inches, although this varied greatly with location and habitat. In         |
| Report available.    |                  | general, larger urchins produced many more eggs than small urchins, supporting the          |
| 136pp.               |                  | concept of a maximum size limit. The study also suggested that there may be "runt"          |
| · · ·                |                  | populations of small urchins that never reach 2 inches, no matter how old they become.      |
| Settlement and       | Researchers      | Summary   |
| Survival of the      | Douglas C.       | The project examined larval settlement and survival at several sites at York, Pemaguid,     |
| Green Sea Urchin     | McNaught and     | Mt. Dessert, and Jonesport. Results: 1) The supply and settlement of urchin larvae was      |
| in Maine: Effects of | Dr. Robert       | lowest in Jonesport and increased to the west; 2) Mt. Dessert and Pemaguid had the          |
| Algal Habitat        | Steneck, U of ME | most young urchins: 3) Even though the York sites had the highest supply of settling        |
| Status               | Cost from Fund   | larvae, they had the poorest rates of survival; 4) Kelp and understory algae had a          |
| Complete 1999        | \$169 534        | negative effect on survival of newly settled urchins; 5) More micropredators (small         |
| Report available     | φ107,554.        | crabs) were found in kelp beds; and 6) urchin harvesting, which removes the primary         |
| 59nn                 |                  | kelp grazer, causes an increase in algal habitat which may directly inhibit the survival of |
| <i>55</i> pp.        |                  | newly settling urchins, suggesting that overharvested areas may not recover quickly.        |

| An <i>In Situ</i> Study of<br>the Impact of Sea<br>Urchin Dragging<br>on the Benthos<br>Status<br>Complete, 1999.<br>Report available.<br>22pp.   | Researcher<br>Dr. Richard A.<br>Wahle, Bigelow<br>Lab, Boothbay<br>Harbor<br>Cost from Fund<br>\$91,353.  | <b>Summary</b><br>The study documented the short-term impacts of dragging on the seabed by different<br>urchin dragging gear on different substrates. It was conducted during the 1997-98<br>season. Local urchin dragger fishermen participated. A light, "pipe" drag was used in<br>Zone 1 to compare impacts on cobble with ledge. In Zone 2, the effects of a pipe drag,<br>a heavier scallop drag, and a typical urchin drag were compared on a cobble bottom.<br>The scallop drag collected more rocks and caused significantly more damage to urchins<br>and habitat than the other two drags. Drag efficiency was reduced at the cobble sites,<br>where crevices offered some refuge for urchins, as compared with ledge.  |
|---|---|--|
| Sea Urchin Drag<br>Study  | Researchers<br>Edwin P. Creaser,<br>Wayne Weeks,<br>DMR, West<br>Boothbay Harbor  | <b>Summary</b><br>The first part of the study evaluated data from urchin samples collected from the<br>commercial catch by port samplers during the 96-97 and 97-98 seasons. About 25% of<br>the urchins caught in scallop drags were visibly damaged, 15% of those caught by other<br>drags were damaged, and about 1% of those caught by divers were damaged. In the<br>second part of the study, sea samplers rode aboard commercial urchin draggers (66  |
| <b>Status</b><br>Complete, 1998.<br>Report available.<br>29pp.  | <b>Cost from Fund</b><br>\$41,666.  | trips) and recorded information on factors that might influence damage, such as drag<br>type, weight, chain window size, towing speed and duration, wind speed and direction,<br>tidal stage, bottom type, and sea state. In fact, there were so many variables that it was<br>difficult to identify trends. Heavy scallop drags produced the most heavily damaged<br>urchins, "pipe" and "Runtz" style drags the least. Lobsters were encountered in<br>approximately 1 of every 3 to 8 tows, and were usually small and undamaged.   |
| Sea Urchin No-Fish<br>Areas in Maine:<br>Rates of Recovery,<br>Gonad Indices, and<br>Algal Habitats, in<br>Fished and<br><u>Unfished Areas</u><br>Status<br>Complete, 2003.<br>Reports available. | Researchers<br>John Vavrinec,<br>Susanne Meidel<br>Ph.D., Richard<br>Wahle, Ph.D.,<br>Robert Steneck,<br>Ph.D., U Maine<br>Cost from Fund<br>\$179,018.<br>for 1999-2001,<br>\$142,081.<br>for 2002-2003. | <b>Summary</b><br>In 1999, six small areas (2 at York and Jonesport, 1 each at Pemaquid and Schoodic)<br>were closed to urchin fishing. This study has been tracking their recovery rates, algal<br>abundances, urchin roe indices, size, abundance, and growth rates, compared with<br>nearby fished areas. The study has also monitored larval settlement, continuing the<br>time series begun by McNaught and Steneck above. Larval settlement in 2000 and 2001<br>increased from a low in 1999. Urchins reach legal size in 4-6 years in appropriate<br>habitat. Three sites with established algal beds have not shown any sign of urchin<br>recovery. Two sites have shown some recovery, the sixth has been poached. Algal cover<br>at all the sites, as well as sites in the Mt. Desert area, except for Jonesport, is increasing.<br>This shift from urchin barren to algal bed is moving along the coast from west to east,<br>and is no longer just a Zone 1 problem. Closing an area may be beneficial only if it is |

| Reseeding the<br>Green Sea Urchin<br>in Depleted<br>Habitats<br>Status<br>Complete, 2002.<br>Report avail, 25pp. | Researchers<br>Amanda Leland,<br>Robert Steneck,<br>Ph.D., U Maine<br>Darling Center<br>Cost from Fund<br>\$95,205 for 2000,<br>\$71,809 for 2001.                        | <b>Summary</b><br>Since newly settling urchins may not survive well in algal beds, it is possible that over-<br>harvested areas will not recover quickly without some help. In August 2000, a depleted<br>area at Cape Elizabeth was reseeded with sub-legal sized urchins harvested nearby. The<br>urchins were promptly eaten by crabs ( <i>Cancer</i> sp.) and none survived. The experiment<br>was repeated using larger urchins the following April, when temperatures are more<br>favorable for urchins and crab activity is low. The urchins survived well at their new<br>site for about four months, but again were eaten by crabs in August.   |
|--|---|--|
| Cobscook Bay Sea<br>Urchin Relocation<br>Status<br>Complete, 2001.<br>Report avail. 16pp.                        | Researchers<br>Robert Russell,<br>DMR, Cobscook<br>Bay Fish. Asso.<br>Cost from Fund<br>\$5,000.  | Summary<br>In March 2001, about 200 totes of poor quality urchins were dragged from a deep water<br>site in Cobscook Bay and moved to two nearby shallower, depleted areas with good<br>food supplies. At one site there was 74% mortality within a few weeks. The other site<br>was disturbed by a dragger and possibly a barge, but had at least 53% mortality. Roe<br>content for the surviving moved urchins increased to 18% in September 2001,<br>compared with 3% at the deep source site.  |
| Maine Assessment<br>Survey for Green<br>Sea Urchins<br>Status<br>Ongoing. Annual<br>reports available.           | Researchers<br>Robert Russell,<br>Margaret Hunter,<br>DMR, Dr. Yong<br>Chen, Robert<br>Grabowski, Kate<br>Jones, U Maine<br>Cost from Fund<br>About \$35,000<br>annually. | <b>Summary</b><br>A stock assessment is likely to be biased if data derived only from the fishery are used.<br>A fishery-independent survey can 1) provide unbiased urchin abundance data for formal<br>assessments, 2) establish a baseline of urchin abundance data against which future<br>survey results can be compared, 3) detect changes caused by die-offs, and 4) quantify<br>new urchins coming along. During the summer of 2001, a DMR/SUZC/UMaine/urchin<br>industry cooperative survey was completed, evaluating urchin abundances, sizes, and<br>algal cover at 292 sites from Kittery to Eastport, using urchin industry vessels and<br>divers. The DMR continues to conduct a similar survey every year. |

| Developing,<br>Evaluating, and<br>Refining a Stock<br>Assessment<br>Framework for the<br>Maine Sea Urchin<br>Status<br>Complete. 2003.<br>Report available,<br>113 pp. | Researchers<br>Yong Chen,<br>Ph.D., U Maine,<br>Orono, Margaret<br>Hunter, DMR, W.<br>Boothbay Harbor<br>Cost from Fund<br>\$39,038. | <b>Summary</b><br>The project will evaluate and improve a computer model and its framework that has<br>been developed and used to simulate the Maine sea urchin fishery and stock. The<br>model incorporates data from several of the projects above to calculate estimates of<br>stock biomass, fishing mortality rates, and other population parameters. It can be used<br>to evaluate different management options, and has the ability to predict whether stocks<br>will go up or down in the future, depending on the level of catch.  |
|--|--|---|
| Testing Two Large-<br>Mesh Sea Urchin<br>Diver Catch Bags<br>Status<br>Complete, 2002.<br>Report avail. 31pp.  | Researchers<br>Margaret Hunter,<br>DMR, West<br>Boothbay Harbor<br>Cost from Fund<br>\$1,045.  | <b>Summary</b><br>Two diver catch bags with mesh sizes of approximately 1½-inch and 2-inches square<br>were tested to see whether they retained, or allowed the escape of small sea urchins,<br>when used by divers who "bailed". Between 8% and 26% of the small, sub-legal sized<br>urchins that were put in the bags fell out through the meshes. Between 0% and 5% of<br>the legal-sized urchins also fell out of the 2 <sup>°</sup> mesh bag, none from the 1½°. The urchins<br>used in the tests were long-spined. Escapement would probably have been greater if<br>they had been short-spined. Use of large mesh is recommended.  |
| Sea Urchin<br>Harvester Surveys<br>Zone 1: Dec 2002,<br>Zone 2: Feb 2003<br>Status<br>Complete. 2003.<br>Reports available for<br>each zone, 15, 26pp.                 | Researchers<br>Margaret Hunter,<br>Kerry Lyons,<br>DMR, West<br>Boothbay Harbor<br>Cost from Fund<br>About \$900.                    | <b>Summary</b><br>Two mail surveys, or opinion polls, were conducted, one to Zone 1 harvesters in Nov-<br>Dec. 2002, and one to Zone 2 harvesters in Jan-Feb 2003. Zone 1 harvesters were asked<br>whether they favored an emergency closure of the fishery (51 no, 38 yes), and how long<br>the season should be next year (60 days was median response). Zone 2 harvesters were<br>asked whether they favored cutbacks for next season (78 yes, 76 no), whether they<br>thought there were more, the same, or fewer urchins in their favorite fishing grounds<br>from, say, two years ago (14 more, 47 same, 89 fewer), and how long the season should<br>be next year (89 days was median response). There were also many comments and<br>recommendations for other types of conservation. |

For copies of any of the reports, please contact the DMR Fishermen's Library, PO Box 8, West Boothbay Harbor, ME 04575, Telephone: (207) 633-9551, E-mail: <u>library@bigelow.org</u>. Some of the reports are available online at <u>http://www.maine.gov/dmr/rm/seaurchin/research.htm</u>.