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Executive Summary

This report takes into account the primary objectives of the Office of Substance Abuse (OSA): to identify substance abuse patterns in defined geographical areas, establish substance abuse trends, detect emerging substances, and provide information for policy development and program planning. It also highlights all the prevention priorities identified in the OSA strategic plan: underage drinking, high-risk drinking among 18-25 year olds, misuse of prescription drugs among 18-25 year olds, and marijuana use in 12-25 year olds; as well as monitors the progress being made to address these priorities. This report includes data available through December 2011 and when possible updates the May 2011 report which included data through December 2010.

Key findings of this report include:

Consumption of Substances

- Just over one-quarter of high school students in Maine reported consuming alcohol in the past month. This has been decreasing since 2001 and there was a significant drop between 2005 and 2011.
- Although the rate of reported high-risk “binge” alcohol use among high school students has been decreasing, in 2011 close to one in five students still reported engaging in high-risk use during the past month.
- Among high school students who had consumed alcohol in 2011, just under one-third reported starting before the age of 13. This appears to be decreasing since 2001.
- Alcohol is the most frequently used substance among adults in Maine, with more than half of adults indicating they had consumed it within the past month. In 2010, the rates of heavy drinking appeared about the same across the ages of 18 to 34 (previously, there had been variations by age group).
- Young adults have the highest rates of binge drinking but the gap appears to be closing. In particular, binge drinking among young adults between the ages of 21 and 29 decreased in 2010.
- The use of any cigarettes by high school students has fluctuated between 15 and 20 percent since 2005. The rate of smoking regularly among high school students appears to be declining.
- Between 2001 and 2010 there have been decreases in the proportion of adults who reported smoking in the past month (14 percent reported daily cigarette use in 2010).
- In 2011, one in seven high school students in Maine reported misusing a prescription drug at least once in their lifetime; less than one in ten reported doing so within the past month. Both appear to have decreased since 2009.
- Adult prescription drug abuse appears to be highest among those ages 18 to 25.
- Marijuana is the most often used illegal drug in Maine. One in five high school students reported using it within the past month; similar rates are seen within the young adult population.
Among students who had used marijuana, just over one-fifth started before the age of 13. The proportion starting before the age of 13 had been decreasing since 2001.

Among high school students, the reported rates of cocaine use decreased from 2009 to 2011. Among adults, those between the ages of 18 to 25 reported the highest rates of cocaine use within the past year.

The current rate of inhalant use among high school students was about half the lifetime rate. It appears that lifetime rates for inhalant use in high school decreased in 2011 while past 30 day use has remained stable.

Consequences Resulting from Substance Use and Abuse

- In 2010, less than one in five women reported smoking in the last trimester and six percent reported drinking alcohol. Women who were 35 years old or older were most likely to drink in the last three months in 2010 although this appears to be declining.
- Since 2007, about five percent of all women who have been admitted to substance abuse treatment were pregnant; of those, admissions primarily due to synthetic opiates have increased.
- More adult arrests related to alcohol came from OUIs than from violations of liquor laws in 2010, whereas alcohol-related arrests among juveniles show the opposite pattern (that there are more arrests for liquor law violations than OUIs).
- Most drug-related offenses in 2010 were for possession rather than sale and manufacturing. Since 2000, it appears that adult arrests related to drugs have remained stable, while juvenile arrests have generally declined.
- In 2010, the overall number of motor vehicle crashes in which alcohol was involved appeared to be declining. However, more than one-third of all fatal motor vehicle crashes involved alcohol, a finding that has been consistent over the past 10 years.
- In 2010, drivers between the ages of 21 and 24 had the highest alcohol-related crash rates, followed closely by drivers between the ages of 16 and 20. Males have the highest rate of alcohol-related motor vehicle crash fatalities tends to be among males, particularly those between the ages of 16 to 34.
- In 2009, inpatient admissions related to substance use decreased for alcohol and opiates after rising sharply in 2008. Outpatient visits related to substance abuse also increased in 2009, driven largely by opiates which were indicated as the substance of concern nearly twice as often as alcohol.
- About four percent of all poisoning calls received by the Poison Center in 2011 were substance abuse cases which represents an increase since 2009. Outpatient hospital visits for substance-related poisonings have also increased. Among inpatient hospital admissions for substance poisoning, psychotropic medications are indicated most often as the substance of concern followed by opiates.
- There were an estimated 169 overdose deaths in Maine in 2010, a slight decrease from the previous year.
- Overdose deaths associated with pharmaceuticals—including methadone, oxycodone, and benzodiazepines—are on the rise, while overdose deaths associated with illicit drugs
have declined. Benzodiazepines appear to be responsible for the largest proportion of drug-related overdoses in 2010.

- Ischemic cerebrovascular diseases were more prevalent among Mainers in 2010 than cardiovascular diseases and alcoholic cirrhosis. Cirrhosis and liver disease related to alcohol were more likely among men than women.
- The overall rates of violence deaths involving suicide or homicide have remained fairly stable from 2005 to 2010. Suicides appear to be much more prevalent than homicides. Suicides are more likely among men and people between the ages of 40 and 59.

Factors Contributing to Substance Use and Abuse

- Overall, about two out of three high school students think it would be easy to obtain alcohol and social access appears to be a primary way that underage youth obtain alcohol, about the same as 2009.
- Social access appears to be a primary way that underage youth obtain alcohol. That is, they obtained it from someone they knew.
- Over half of high school students believed that marijuana was easy to obtain, although this has decreased slightly since 2009.
- The percentage of high school students who report they were sold, offered or given an illegal drug on school property appears to have increased recently.
- Although most high students think there is moderate to great risk of harm from drinking alcohol regularly, two out of five students in 2011 did not think regular use was risky.
- While four out of five high school students thought that binge drinking a few times a week posed a moderate to great risk of harm (an increase since 2009), only one in five young adults thought that binge drinking a few times a week was risky.
- Although most high students think there is moderate to great risk of harm from smoking marijuana regularly, more than two out of five students in 2011 did not think regular use was risky. Among adults, those between 18 and 25 years of age were the least likely to view a great risk in smoking marijuana once per a month.
- High school students continue to think they are more likely to be caught by their parents for drinking alcohol than by the police and few think they will be caught by police for smoking marijuana.
- Although less than half of all high school students think that alcohol use and marijuana use would be seen as “cool” by their peers, about two in five continue to think that using substances would be seen as cool. This has decreased slightly since 2009.
- High school students generally believe that their parents and adults in their community think it would be wrong for them to drink alcohol or smoke marijuana, although perceptions of disapproval for using marijuana may be decreasing. Most students in Maine report that their family has clear rules around alcohol and drug use.
Mental Health, Suicide and Co-occurring Disorders

- Young adults (18 to 25) are more likely to report experiencing any mental illness in the past year than older adults (one in three); they are also more likely than other age groups to experience a major depressive episode in the past year.
- About one-fifth of adults in Maine report having ever been diagnosed with depression or anxiety, and almost a quarter of high school students have reported feeling sad or helpless during the past year.
- In 2011, about one in ten high school students considered or planned a suicide. The proportion reporting an actual suicide attempt in the past year is slightly lower. This has changed little since 2009.
- High school students who consumed alcohol in the past month were more than two times as likely to have attempted or planned suicide compared to those students who had not consumed alcohol.
- In 2011, just over half of all substance abuse treatment admissions also involved a mental health disorder. Over one quarter had received outpatient mental health services in the past year.

Treatment Admissions for Substance Abuse

- The overall number of Mainers seeking treatment has been declining since 2007, from 14,843 to 11,380 in 2011. Mainers continued to seek out treatment for abuse involving a wide array of substances besides alcohol; in 2011 there were 4,421 admissions for alcohol as the primary substance. This was followed by synthetic opioids (3,630) and marijuana (1,094).
- Alcohol continues to be the most frequent substance for which Mainers seek treatment, although the number of treatment admissions for alcohol has decreased since 2007.
- Synthetic opiates are the second most frequent substance for which treatment is sought in Maine. After a three-year period (2008-2010) of stability, the number of treatment admissions related to synthetic opiates appears to have decreased slightly.
- Marijuana tends to be listed as a secondary or tertiary substance for which treatment is sought. Overall, treatment admissions for marijuana have been decreasing.
- The total number of treatment admissions for heroin or morphine has been decreasing since 2009, although primary admissions related to heroin or morphine increased slightly from 2010 to 2011.
- After gradually decreasing from 2007 to 2010, the proportions of primary, secondary, and tertiary admissions in which treatment for crack or cocaine was sought increased in 2011.
- The number of total admissions where methadone was the primary substance for which treatment was sought decreased in 2011, although it appears to be slowly rising as a proportion of total treatment admissions. Both the number and proportion of total treatment admissions involving benzodiazepines have remained relatively stable since 2007.
Introduction

Overview of Maine

The state of Maine has a population of 1,328,361 people in 2010. Maine is considered an “aging” state, with 16 percent of the population being 65 years old and over, a higher rate than the overall US population (13 percent). On the other hand, 21 percent of the state’s population is under the age of 18 years old, a lower rate than the United States average (24 percent). According to the 2010 U.S. Census, 95 percent of Maine’s population is White, non-Hispanic, followed by 1.3 percent Hispanic, 1.2 percent who are Black, 1.0 percent who are Asian, and 0.6 percent who are American Indian. There are five Native American tribal communities in Maine, the Penobscot, the Passamaquoddy (Pleasant Point and Indian Township), the Maliseet and the Micmac whose numbers likely are underreported on the census. In Washington County, 4.9 percent of the population reports being Native American. Androscoggin and Cumberland counties are the most diverse communities, each home to communities with many ethnic backgrounds and national origins due in large part to refugee resettlement programs there.

Maine has four metropolitan areas throughout the state, numerous small towns and communities and vast areas that are virtually unpopulated. While the average number of people per square mile was 43.1 in 2010, this greatly varies by county. The most populated counties were Cumberland with 337.2 per square mile and Androscoggin with 220.8 persons per square mile, while the least densely populated counties were Piscataquis with 4.4, Aroostook with 10.8 and Somerset with 13.3 persons per square mile.

Maine is also a diverse state economically. The median household income was $46,933 in 2010, lower than the United States median income of $51,914. Income varies greatly by location in a similar fashion as population density. The southern coastal counties, such as Cumberland (where most of the population is located) have much higher median incomes than the northern, rural, and less densely populated counties such as Piscataquis and Washington. At $55,658, Cumberland has the highest median income and is one of only three Maine counties where the median income is higher than the United States median income (the others are Sagadahoc at $55,486 and York at $55,008). At the other end of this range, Washington County has the lowest median income at $34,859 a year. Piscataquis, the county with the lowest population density, has a median income of $34,016, the second lowest in the state.

It is within the context of these demographic characteristics that substance abuse in Maine must be examined.

Purpose of this Report

This report takes into account the primary objectives of the Office of Substance Abuse (OSA): to identify substance abuse patterns in defined geographical areas, establish substance abuse trends, detect emerging substances, and provide information for policy development and program planning. It also highlights all the prevention priorities identified in the OSA strategic
plan: underage drinking, high-risk drinking among 18-25 year olds, misuse of prescription drugs among 18-25 year olds, marijuana use in 12-25 year olds, and slowing the spread of methamphetamine abuse; as well as monitors the progress being made to address these priorities.

This report includes data available through December 2011 and when possible updates the May 2011 report which included data through December 2010. Older and unchanged data are included when more recent data were not available. Five major types of indicators are included: self-reported substance consumption, consequences of substance use, factors contributing to substance use, indicators about mental health and substance abuse, and treatment admissions. Previous reports are available at the www.maineosa.org website.

**Organization of the Report**

This report is used by a variety of people for many reasons. Some need a snapshot of the current status of a particular substance, while others are looking for longer-term trends. Still others may be seeking information on a particular population. Sometimes these points of view do not require new data, but rather special comparisons or presentations. To accommodate these diverse needs, the report is organized as follows:

- The **Executive Summary** provides the reader with a brief overview of the larger report. It includes statistics and findings, but does not contain graphical illustrations, long-term trends or comparative findings.
- The section **Data Sources, Indicators and Selection Criteria** describes the data sources and indicators that are included in the profile, as well as the process used to decide which indicators should be included in the profile.
- The **Full Report** presents the reader with more in-depth comparative and trend analyses for indicators that are critical to substance abuse and is broken into five major sections.
  - *Consumption* trends and patterns among some of the most abused substances, in order to provide the reader a deeper understanding of those substances.
  - *Consequences* related to substance use, such as traffic accidents and poisonings.
  - *Factors* that contribute to substance use overall, such as norms and perceptions.
  - *Mental Health* indicators and how they relate to substance abuse.
  - Recent trends in *substance abuse treatment* admissions.
Data Sources, Indicators and Selection Criteria

This report includes data that was gathered from a number of data sources. A detailed description of each source is provided below, consisting of information about the data included in each source, the strengths and weaknesses, and retrieval or contact information. The report includes data that were available through December 2011 and updates the May 2011 report.

A number of criteria were used to determine what information should be included in this report. A small SEOW workgroup applied these standards to each indicator and selected the best possible data source (or sources) to be included. Indicators that were determined to be redundant, no longer useful, or too confusing were updated in order to provide the reader with a streamlined and more comprehensive report. Each criterion is defined below:

- **Relevance:** Each of the indicators must be directly related to substance use to be included in this report. The indirect effects of substance use reach throughout society in such areas as crime, health and education. However, this report limits indicators to those which can be directly related to substance use (e.g., hospital admissions in which substance use was recorded as a factor, rather than generating an estimate of the percentage of all hospital admissions that could be related to substance use).

- **Timeliness:** Each of the indicators includes the most updated data available from the source. The timeliest data included are from the previous six months or year, but some data as old as three years may be included, if the most recently collected data from the source are not yet available for use due to the timing of data collection and publication of this report. Some of these sources in which 2008 data is the most recent available (including the National Survey on Substance Use and Health, for which the most recent data available are from 2007-08) are also the most relevant and reliable, so their data are included.

- **Availability:** For an indicator to be included in this report, data regarding its use must be available from a reliable source. That is, a question must be asked on a representative survey or an office must record incidents, and the source must be willing to release the results either to the general population, or the SEOW and/or its members. As stated above, the most recent data available from those sources are included in this report.

- **Reliability:** In order to include trended data in this report, the data available for each indicator must be reliable and comparable from year to year. They need to reflect the same indicator in the same manner for the same population each year.

- **Trending:** Trends are included in this survey for indicators in which reliable data are available from multiple years. In some instances, trending is limited or not possible due to limited availability of the data. For example, questions regarding the use of specific substances have been included and discontinued in use surveys as those substances have become more or less of a concern. Therefore, trending is only available for their use in the years those questions were included in the survey.

As described previously, there are multiple purposes for this report. One is to provide a snapshot of the most recent data regarding substance abuse, while another is to examine...
trends over time. Therefore, each indicator may have multiple sources of data that are included. While each indicator provides a unique and important perspective on drug use in Maine, none should individually be interpreted as providing a full picture of drug trends in Maine. In particular, the percentages and figures from one data source do not always align with the data and percentages from a similar source. Older data are often included in order to examine an indicator among a specific population or to find trends over time. When discussing rates of prevalence, however, the user should rely upon the most recent data source available.

**Description of Data Sources**

**Alcohol Epidemiologic Data System (AEDS).** The Alcohol Epidemiologic Data System (AEDS) is operated by CSR, Incorporated under contract to the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIAAA) and acts as a centralized, national repository of alcohol-related data sets. Among other indicators, AEDS contains data on apparent per capita alcohol consumption by State, type of alcoholic beverage, for the years from 1970 through 2007. For an understanding of the methods used in calculating apparent per capita alcohol consumption, see:


**Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS).** The BRFSS is a national survey administered on an ongoing basis by the National Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) to adults in all 50 states and several districts and territories. The instrument collects data on adult risk behaviors, including alcohol abuse. BRFSS defines heavy drinking as adult men having more than two drinks per day and adult women having more than one drink per day, and binge drinking as males having five or more drinks on one occasion and females having four or more drinks on one occasion. The most recent data available are from 2010. Older data are also included for trending analyses. Both state and national data are available.

Retrieval: [http://www.cdc.gov/brfss](http://www.cdc.gov/brfss)

**Maine Department of Public Safety (DPS), Uniform Crime Reports (UCR).** UCR data include drug and alcohol arrests. Drug arrests include sale and manufacturing as well as possession of illegal substances. Liquor arrests include all liquor law violations. OUI arrests are arrests for operating a motor vehicle under the influence of a controlled substance. DPS data are now available from 2010. Arrest data may reflect differences in resources or focus of law enforcement efforts, so may not be directly comparable from year to year.

Maine Health Data Organization (MHDO). MHDO data includes all inpatient admissions to all hospitals in Maine for calendar year 2009. Data categories created by the authors include alcohol, opioids, illegal drugs, and pharmaceuticals. All drug categories include intoxication, abuse, dependence, and poisoning cases related to the drug. The opioid category includes methadone, heroin, and opiates. The illegal drug category includes crack/cocaine, cannabis, and hallucinogens. The pharmaceuticals category includes all other non-opioid medications (including stimulants and depressants). Data are compiled annually and are therefore not available on a more frequent basis. Contact: Maine Health Data Organization (MHDO), lisa.parker@maine.gov; (207) 287-3225.

Maine Integrated Youth Health Survey (MIYHS). The MIYHS is a statewide survey administered biennially through a collaborative partnership by the Maine Office of Substance Abuse (OSA) the Maine Center for Disease Control and Prevention and the Maine department of Education to students in grades 5 through 12. The survey collects information on student substance use, risk factors related to substance use, as well as consequences, perceptions and social risk factors related to substances, and collects information on many other health factors. MIYHS defines binge-drinking as consuming five or more drinks in a row. As of the date of this report, the most recent data available are from 2011. Contact: Anne Rogers, Data and Research Manager, Office of Substance Abuse; anne.rogers@maine.gov; (207) 287-4706

Maine Office of the Chief Medical Examiner. The Maine Office of the Chief Medical Examiner maintains records of all deaths associated with drug overdose. Drug categories include methadone, cocaine, benzodiazepines, oxycodone and heroin/morphine. The death data are compiled on an annual basis and must be finalized prior to release, and so are not available to track changes that may occur over shorter time frames. Contact: Dr. Marcella Sorg, Director, Rural Drug & Alcohol Research Program, Margaret Chase Smith Policy Center, University of Maine; marcella.sorg@umit.maine.edu; (207) 581-2596

National Survey on Substance Use and Health (NSDUH). The NSDUH is a national survey administered annually by the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) to youth grades 6 through 12 and adults ages 18 and up. The instrument collects information on substance use and health at the national, regional and state levels. The advantage of NSDUH is that it allows comparisons to be made across the lifespan (that is, ages 12 and up). However, NSDUH is not as current as other data sources; as of this report, data at the state level are available from 2008-2009. Older data are included for trending and comparative purposes. NSDUH defines Illicit Drugs as marijuana/hashish, cocaine (including crack), heroin, hallucinogens, inhalants, or any prescription-type psychotherapeutic used non-medically; Binge Alcohol Use as drinking five or more drinks on the same occasion (i.e., at the same time or within a couple of hours of each other) on at least one day in the past 30 days; Dependence or abuse based on definitions found in the fourth edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV); and Serious Mental Illness (SMI) as a diagnosable mental, behavioral, or emotional disorder that met the criteria found in the fourth edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV) and resulted in
functional impairment that substantially interfered with or limited one or more major life activities. Retrieval: https://nsduhweb.rti.org/

Northern New England Poison Center (NNEPC). The Northern New England Poison Center provides services to Maine, New Hampshire, and Vermont. A poisoning case represents a single individual's contact with a potentially toxic substance. Intentional poisoning includes those related to substance abuse, suicide and misuse. Data include the number of confirmed cases where exposures are judged to be substance abuse-related (i.e., an individual's attempt to get high). NNEPC collects detailed data on specific substances involved in poisonings, including the categories of stimulants/street drugs, alcohol, opioids, asthma/cold and cough, benzodiazepines, antidepressants, and pharmaceuticals, as well as other substances. The category of stimulants/street drugs includes marijuana and other cannabis, amphetamine and amphetamine-like substances, cocaine (salt and crack), amphetamine/dextroamphetamine, caffeine tablets/capsules, ecstasy, methamphetamine, GHB, and other/unknown stimulants/street drugs. The category alcohol includes alcohol-containing products such as mouthwash. The opioid category includes Oxycodone, Hydrocodone, buprenorphine, methadone, tramadol, morphine, propoxyphene, codeine, hydromorphone, stomach opioids, Meperidine (Demerol), heroin, Fentanyl, and other/unknown opioids. The asthma/cold and cough category includes eye, ear, nose, and throat medications. Data available from the poison center are reported on a continual daily basis and are included through December 2011. These data are only reflective of cases in which the Poison Center was contacted. Contact: Karen Simone, Director, Northern New England Poison Center; simonk@mmc.org; (207) 662-7221.

Office of Data, Research and Vital Statistics (ODRVS). ODRV is a program within the Maine CDC. The data include Maine resident deaths in which the death certificate statistical file included any mention that alcohol or drug use may have had a role. Data include unintentional, self-inflicted, assault and undetermined intent deaths. Contact: Kim Haggan, Office of Data, Research and Vital Statistics, Maine Center for Disease Control and Prevention; kim.e.haggan@maine.gov; (207) 287-5459.

Pregnancy Risk Assessment Monitoring System (PRAMS). PRAMS is an ongoing, population-based surveillance system designed to identify and monitor selected maternal behaviors and experiences before, during, and after pregnancy among women who have recently given birth to a live infant. Data are collected monthly from women using a mail/telephone survey. For more information contact: Maine.Prams@maine.gov; 207. 287. 5469. Retrieval: http://www.maine.gov/dhhs/mecdc/public-health-systems/data-research/prams/

Prescription Monitoring Program (PMP). PMP maintains a database of all transactions for class C-II through C-IV drugs dispensed in the state of Maine. Drug categories used in this report include narcotics, tranquilizers, stimulants, and other prescriptions. Other prescriptions includes those drugs that are not classified as narcotics, tranquilizers or stimulants, including products such as endocrine and metabolic drugs, analgesics and anesthetics, gastrointestinal agents, and nutritional products. Prescription counts do not reflect amounts in terms of dosage or quantity of pills, but rather represent the volume of active prescriptions during the time
The counts included in this report represent the number of prescriptions filled between 2006 and 2011. Contact: Patricia Lapera, PMP Coordinator, Office of Substance Abuse; patricia.lapera@maine.gov; (207) 287-3363.

**Treatment Data System (TDS).** TDS is a statewide database that includes information about clients admitted to treatment in OSA-funded facilities through December 2011. Analyses in this report are based on clients’ reported primary, secondary and tertiary drug(s) of choice as well as other demographic and background information that is collected at intake. Drug categories included in this report are alcohol, marijuana, cocaine, heroin, synthetic opiates, methadone/buprenorphine and benzodiazepines. Contact: Stacey Chandler, Office Specialist I, Office of Substance Abuse; stacey.chandler@maine.gov; (207) 287-6337.
Retrieval: https://portalx.bisoex.state.me.us/jav/osa_TDSreports/home.do

**Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System (YRBSS).** The YRBSS is national survey administered biennially by the National Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) to students in grades 9 through 12. The survey collects information on youth risk behaviors, including substance use. The YRBSS defines binge drinking as consuming five or more drinks of alcohol in a row; first drink of alcohol as first drink other than a few sips; and inhalant use as sniffed glue, breathed the contents of aerosol spray cans, or inhaled any paints or sprays to get high. The most recent YRBSS data is available for 2011, and older data is included as well for trending reports. Both state and national data are available. Due to the changes in the MIYHS described above, data from the YRBSS are included to provide trending analysis in this report.
Retrieval: http://www.cdc.gov/healthyyouth/yrbs/index.htm
Consumption of Substances

Consuming harmful substances can have detrimental effects on an individual’s well-being, including increased risks of morbidity, addiction and mortality, and has a harmful effect on society as a whole including increased motor vehicle accidents and crime. However, it is the manner and frequency with which people drink, smoke, and use drugs that are often linked to particular substance-related consequences. To understand fully the magnitude of substance use consequences, it is important to first understand the prevalence of substance use consumption itself. Consumption includes overall use of substances, acute or heavy consumption, and consumption by high risk groups (e.g., youth, college students, pregnant women, etc.).

As demonstrated by the indicators below, alcohol remains the substance most often used by Mainers across the lifespan. In particular, high-risk drinking among the 18 to 25 year old population continues to be a concern, although it appears that the rates of use among those who are below the legal age to drink are declining. Prescription drugs and marijuana are the two most commonly used drugs in Maine. Again, the young adult population rises to the top in terms of high rates of using these substances.
Alcohol

Indicator Description: CURRENT ALCOHOL USE AMONG YOUTH. This measure shows the percentage of high school students who reported having had one or more alcoholic drinks on one or more days within the past month.

Why Indicator is Important: Alcohol is the most often used substance among youth in Maine. In addition to the risks alcohol consumption carries for adults, developing adolescent brains are especially susceptible to the health risks of alcohol consumption. Adolescents who consume alcohol are more likely to have poor grades and be at risk for experiencing social problems, depression, suicidal thoughts, assault, and violence.

Data Source(s): MIYHS, 2009-2011; YRBSS, 2001-2011.

Summary: The proportion of high school students in Maine who report consuming alcohol in the past month has been decreasing since 2001 and there was a significant drop between 2005 and 2011.

Figure 1. Percent of high school students reporting alcohol use in the past month: 2009 and 2011

Source: MIYHS, 2009-2011

- According to the MIYHS, the percentage of High School students consuming alcohol in the past month fell from 32 percent in 2009 to 28 percent in 2011.
According to the YRBSS, the percentage of students who have had at least one drink of alcohol during the past 30 days decreased dramatically from 48 percent in 2001 to 29 percent in 2011.
**Indicator Description:** CURRENT HIGH-RISK ALCOHOL USE AMONG YOUTH. This indicator presents the percentage of youth who reported having had five or more alcoholic drinks in a row in the past two weeks and on at least one day within the past month.

**Why Indicator is Important:** Youth are more likely than adults to binge drink when they consume alcohol. High risk alcohol use contributes to violence and motor vehicle crashes and can result in negative health consequences for the consumer, including injuries and chronic liver disease. Youth who engage in high-risk drinking also are more likely to use drugs and engage in risky and antisocial behavior.

**Data Source(s):** MIYHS, 2009-2011.

**Summary:** From 2009 to 2011 there has been a decrease in the proportion of high school students who report binge drinking within the past month.

**Figure 3.** Percent of high school students who had five or more drinks in a row at least once in the past month: 2009 and 2011

- The percentage of high school students who reported having consumed five or more drinks in a row one or more times during the past two weeks fell from 19 percent in 2009 to 17 percent in 2011.
**Indicator Description:** EARLY INITIATION OF ALCOHOL USE. This measure examines the percentage of students who had more than a few sips of alcohol before age 13, and the age range in which students who drank alcohol first did so. This analysis is limited to students who reported consuming alcohol.

**Why Indicator is Important:** The early initiation of alcohol use has been linked to more risky consumption patterns and greater risk for alcohol abuse and dependence in adolescence and adulthood.

**Data Source(s):** MIYHS 2011; YRBSS, 2001-2011

**Summary:** In 2011, just under one-third of high school students reported having their first drink before the age of 13. This appears to be decreasing since 2001.

*Figure 4. Percent of high school students by age at which they drank alcohol for the first time (other than a few sips): 2011*

- In 2011, 29 percent of high school students who ever consumed alcohol reported having their first drink of alcohol before the age of 13; 16 percent had their first drink when they were 10 years old or younger. An additional 33 percent started between the ages of 13 and 15.
The percentage of high school students who drank alcohol for the first time before they were 13 years old went from 22 percent in 2001 to a low of 15 percent in 2007; however the rate increased in 2009 and then decreased to 16 percent in 2011.

Source: YRBSS, 2001-2011
**Indicator Description:** CURRENT ALCOHOL USE AMONG ADULTS. This indicator portrays the percentage of adults who reported having consumed one or more alcoholic drinks on one or more days within the past month.1

**Why Indicator is Important:** Alcohol is the most often used substance in Maine adults. Excessive and high risk alcohol use may contribute to violence and result in many negative health consequences for the consumer. Moderate drinking can also have negative health effects and lead to such consequences as alcohol-related motor vehicle crashes and increased injuries. Current alcohol use in pregnant women is also linked to low birth weight babies, sudden infant death, and other developmental delays in children.

**Data Source(s):** BRFSS, 2001-2010; NSDUH, 2002-03 to 2008-09

**Summary:** Alcohol is the most frequently used substance among adults in Maine, with more than half of adults indicating they had consumed it within the past month. Young adults ages 18 to 25 exhibit the highest rates of use.

![Figure 6. Percent of adults reporting drinking in past 30 days: 2001-2010](image)

*Source: BRFSS, 2001-2010*

- Among Mainers over the age of 18, 57 percent reported consuming any alcohol in 2010. Although not pictured, 14.5 percent reported binge alcohol use and 5.2 percent reported heavy alcohol use. These proportions have generally been steady, but declined slightly since 2008 (from 15.8 percent and 6.9 percent, respectively).

1 NSDUH defines current use as use within the past month, while BRFSS, MIYHS, and YRBSS define it as use within the past 30 days.
In the time period of 2008-09, the highest rate of past month alcohol use was among 18 to 25 year olds at about 66 percent compared to 58 percent among those 26 years old and older.

Source: NSDUH, 2002-03 to 2008-09
**Indicator Description:** CURRENT HEAVY ALCOHOL USE. This indicator examines the percentage of Maine residents who reported heavy drinking one more than one day in the month. This is defined as two drinks per day for a man or one drink per day for a woman.

**Why Indicator is Important:** Heavy drinking is considered to be a type of high-risk drinking, meaning it increases the risk for many health and social related consequences. People who consume alcohol heavily are at increased risk for a variety of negative health consequences, including alcohol abuse and dependence, liver disease, certain cancers, pancreatitis, heart disease, and death. It has also been found that the more heavily a person drinks the greater the potential for problems at home, work, and with friends.²

**Data Source(s):** BRFSS, 2001-2010

**Summary:** In 2010, the rates of heavy drinking appeared about the same among adults between the ages of 18 to 34.

![Figure 8. Percent of adults reporting heavy drinking in past 30 days, by age group: 2001-2010](image)

Source: BRFSS, 2001-2010

- Among different age groups, the rates of heavy drinking varied between 2001 and 2010. While young adults over the legal drinking age had the highest rates of heavy drinking starting in 2004, by 2010 they were about the same as other age groups at five percent (down from 13 percent in 2008).

**Indicator Description:** **CURRENT HIGH-RISK ALCOHOL USE AMONG ADULTS.** This indicator reflects the percentage of adults who reported consuming five or more alcoholic drinks in a row on at least one day within the past month.\(^3\)

**Why Indicator is Important:** Binge drinking is considered to be a type of high-risk drinking, meaning it increases the risk for many health and social related consequences. High-risk alcohol use has been linked to injury (such as falls, fights, and suicides), violence, crime rates, motor vehicle crashes, stroke, chronic liver disease, addiction, and some types of cancer.

**Data Source(s):** BRFSS, 2001-2010; NSDUH, 2002-03 to 2008-09

**Summary:** Young adults have the highest rates of binge drinking but the gap appears to be closing. In particular, binge drinking among young adults between the ages of 21 and 29 decreased in 2010.

**Source:** BRFSS, 2001-2010

- Among those who are between the ages of 18 and 20, binge drinking decreased greatly between 2008 and 2009, from 23 percent to 12 percent, and remained low in 2010.
- Although the rate of binge drinking among those who are between 21 and 29 remains higher compared to any other group, in 2010 it dipped to the lowest observed rate in a decade (29 percent).

---

\(^3\) BRFSS defines binge drinking as five or more drinks in one sitting for a male and four or more drinks in one sitting for a female.
Young adults age 18 to 25 reported the highest rates of binge drinking during the past month at about 45 percent in 2008-09, and this has remained fairly stable since 2002-03.
Tobacco

**Indicator Description:** CURRENT TOBACCO USE AMONG YOUTH. This indicator illustrates the percentage of youth who reported using of cigarettes, cigars, and smokeless tobacco on at least one occasion in the past month.

**Why Indicator is Important:** Use of tobacco is associated with greater risk of negative health outcomes, including cancer, cardiovascular, and chronic respiratory diseases, as well as death.

**Data Source(s):** YRBSS, 2001-2011

**Summary:** The use of any cigarettes by high school students has fluctuated between 15 and 20 percent since 2005. The rate of regular smoking among high school students appears to be declining.

![Figure 11. Percent of high school students who smoked cigarettes during past month: 2001-2011](image)

*Source: YRBSS, 2001-2011*

- The proportion of high school students who reported having smoked any cigarettes on at least one day during the 30 days before the survey has remained fairly consistent since 2005 (between 15% and 20%).
- High school students who reported having smoked cigarettes on 20 or more days during the previous 30 days decreased steadily, from 14 percent in 2001 to seven percent in 2011.
In 2011, cigarettes continued to be the chosen form of tobacco for high school students who reported using tobacco during the previous 30 days (15 percent), closely followed by cigars (13 percent), and then smokeless tobacco (eight percent). The rate of cigarette use has remained fairly stable between 2001 and 2011, while the use of smokeless tobacco appears to have increased slightly.
Indicator Description: CURRENT CIGARETTE USE AMONG ADULTS. This measure depicts the percentage of adults who reported smoking cigarettes on at least one occasion in the past month.

Why Indicator is Important: Tobacco use has been linked to several negative health outcomes, including cancer, cardiovascular, and chronic respiratory diseases, as well as death. Second-hand smoke is also associated with many negative health outcomes, such as increased colds, flu, asthma, bronchitis, lung cancer, low birth weight babies.

Data Source(s): BRFSS, 2001-2010

Summary: Between 2001 and 2010 there have been decreases in the proportion of adults who reported having smoked at all within the past month and those having smoked daily in the past month.

Figure 13. Percent of adults reporting cigarette use in past month: 2001-2010

Source: BRFSS, 2001-2010

- In 2010, 14 percent of Maine adults reported daily cigarette use, and 18 percent said they had smoked at least once in the past month.
**Prescription Drugs**

**Indicator Description: MISUSE OF PRESCRIPTION DRUGS AMONG YOUTH.** This indicator presents the percentage of youth who reported using prescription drugs that were not prescribed to them by a doctor. The indicator examines both current use (i.e., within the past month) and lifetime use (i.e., ever).

**Why Indicator is Important:** Young people are increasingly using available prescription drugs, including stimulants and opiates, instead of illegal drugs to get high. Abuse of prescription drugs may lead to consequences such as unintentional poisonings or overdose, automobile crashes, addiction, and increased crime.

**Data Source(s):** MIYHS, 2009-2011.

**Summary:** It appears that among high school students, the rates for lifetime as well as past month usage of prescription drugs that were not prescribed have decreased from 2009 to 2011. In 2011, about one in seven high school students reported misusing a prescription drug in their lifetime.

![Figure 14. Percent of high school students who have taken prescription drugs that were not prescribed to them in their lifetime and in the past month: 2009 and 2011](image)

*Source*: MIYHS, 2009-2011

- From 2009 to 2011, the proportion of high school students who reported having taken a prescription drug that had not been prescribed to them by a doctor at least once in their lifetime decreased from 18 percent to 15 percent. The rate of students who reported having done so within the past month fell from nine percent in 2009 to seven percent in 2011.
Indicator Description: NONMEDICAL USE OF PAIN RELIEVERS AMONG ADULTS. This measure reflects the percentage of adults who reported using prescription drugs, particularly prescription pain relievers, for reasons other than their intended purpose.

Why Indicator is Important: Mainers are increasingly misusing available prescription drugs (including stimulants and opiates) instead of illegal drugs to get high. Abuse of prescription drugs may lead to consequences such as unintentional poisonings, overdose, dependence and increased crime.

Data Source(s): NSDUH, 2003-04 to 2008-09

Summary: Adult prescription drug misuse is highest among those ages 18 to 25.

Source: NSDUH, 2003-04 to 2008-09

- The highest reported non-medical use of pain relievers among Mainers was among 18 to 25 year olds at 14 percent in 2008-09. Those ages 26 and older were consistent at three percent across all years.
Other Illegal Drugs

Indicator Description: CURRENT MARIJUANA USE. This measure shows the percentage of Maine residents who reported using marijuana in the past month. This is presented for high school students and across the lifespan (i.e., among Mainers over the age of 12).

Why Indicator is Important: Marijuana can be addictive and is associated with increased risk for respiratory illnesses and memory impairment. Even occasional use can have consequences on learning and memory, muscle coordination, and mental health symptoms.

Data Source(s): MIYHS 2009-2011; NSDUH, 2002-03 to 2008-09

Summary: One in five high school students reported using marijuana within the past month; similar rates are seen within the young adult population.

Figure 16. Percent of high school students who have used marijuana at least once in the past month: 2009 and 2011

Source: MIYHS, 2009-2011

- The percentage of high school students who used marijuana one or more times during the previous 30 days has maintained at a rate of 22 percent from 2009 to 2011.
Twenty-six percent of Maine residents between the ages of 18 and 25 used marijuana in the past month in 2008-09, an increase since 2007-08. In this same time period, marijuana use in the past month was lower for people ages 26 and older (eight percent).
**Indicator Description:** EARLY INITIATION OF MARIJUANA USE. Among those high school students who used marijuana, the percentage who used before age 13; and the age range in which students who used marijuana first used.

**Why Indicator is Important:** Youth who begin smoking marijuana at an early age are more likely to develop substance abuse and dependence later in life. Studies show that while alcohol and tobacco use typically precede marijuana use, early use of marijuana was found to increase early use of other illicit drugs.\(^4\) Early marijuana use (prior to 12 years of age) was also found to be associated with later adolescent problems that limit skills for employment, increase the risk of contracting human immunodeficiency virus and using illicit drugs.\(^5,6\)

**Data Source(s):** MIYHS, 2011; YRBSS, 2001-2011

**Summary:** Among students who had used marijuana, just over a fifth started before the age of 13. Overall, the proportion starting before the age of 13 has been decreasing since 2001.

![Figure 18. Age at first use of marijuana among high school students who have ever used marijuana: 2011](image)

**Source:** MIYHS, 2011

- In 2011, the majority of high school students who had ever used marijuana did so when they were between the ages 13 and 16 (70 percent). Twenty-two percent had tried marijuana for the first time before the age of 13. Ten percent did so at the age of 10 or younger.

---


Figure 19. Percent of high school students who tried marijuana for the first time before age 13: 2001-2011

Source: YRBSS, 2001-2011

- The percent of high school students who reported trying marijuana for the first time before the age of 13 decreased between 2001 and 2011, from 12 percent to seven percent.
**Indicator Description:** COCAINE USE. This indicator illustrates the percentage of Maine residents who used cocaine. For youth, the measure shows rates of lifetime use (i.e., if a youth ever used cocaine). For adults, the measure reflects rates of use within the past year.

**Why Indicator is Important:** Cocaine is highly addictive. Use of cocaine is associated with adverse health effects such as cardiac events, seizures, and stroke. It also increases the risk of cognitive impairment, injury, and crime.

**Data Source(s):** MIYHS, 2009-2011; NSDUH, 2002-03 to 2008-09

**Summary:** Among adults, those between the ages of 18 to 25 reported the highest rates of cocaine use in the past year. It appears that the proportion of high school students who have used cocaine in their lifetime decreased from 2009 to 2011.

*Figure 20. Percent of high school students who have used cocaine in their lifetime, 2009 and 2011*

- Among high school students, the reported rates of lifetime cocaine use have decreased from 10 percent in 2009 to seven percent in 2011.

*Source: MIYHS, 2009-2011*
Young adults ages 18 to 25 reported the highest rates of cocaine use in the past year at eight percent in 2008-09, compared to just two percent among those 26 years old and older.

Source: NSDUH, 2002-03 to 2008-09
**Indicator Description:** INHALANT USE. This indicator depicts the percentage of high school students who reported having used inhalants in their lifetime and in the past month. Inhalants include substances such as glue, aerosol spray cans, paints or sprays.

**Why Indicator is Important:** Chronic use of inhalants risks impaired brain function and damage to the nervous system and other organs. Even occasional use may cause heart attack, suffocation, or death.

**Data Source(s):** MIHYS, 2009-2011; YRBSS, 2001-2011

**Summary:** The current rate of inhalant use among high school students was about half the lifetime rate. It appears that lifetime rates for inhalant use in high school decreased in 2011 while past 30 day use has remained stable.

**Figure 22.** Percent of high school students who have used inhalants to get high in their lifetime and in the past month: 2009 and 2011

- From 2009 to 2011 the percentage of high school students who reported having used inhalants to get high at any point in their life decreased from 14 percent to 12 percent while the rate of past month usage remained constant at five percent.
The rate of reported lifetime inhalant use among high school students has been fairly steady since 2001 (around 13 percent). After increasing slightly in 2009, the reported rate of use appears to have declined in 2011.

Source: YRBSS, 2001-2011
Consequences Resulting from Substance Use and Abuse

Both individuals and communities suffer the consequences of substance abuse in terms of increased health care needs and criminal justice resources. While a great deal of information regarding substance use can be obtained from the data described in the previous section, information on the effects of that use on individuals and communities can be derived from what has come to be called “consequence” data. Consequences are defined as the social, economic, and health problems associated with the use of alcohol and illicit drugs. Examples of these include illnesses related to alcohol, drug overdose deaths, property and personal crimes, as well as driving accidents, poisonings, and suicides that involve alcohol or drugs.

Although fatal crashes involving alcohol are decreasing, alcohol was still involved in more than one-third of fatal motor vehicle crashes in 2011; the rate is particularly high among males between the ages of 21 to 24. In recent years, the number of substance abuse-related hospital visits, poisoning cases and overdose deaths appear to be increasing.
Substance Use and Pregnancy

Indicator Description: SUBSTANCE USE DURING THE LAST TRIMESTER. This measure reflects the percentage of mothers who reported smoking cigarettes or drinking any alcohol during the last three months of pregnancy.

Why Indicator is Important: Exposure to alcohol can cause damage to the fetus during all stages of pregnancy. Because the minimum quantity of alcohol required to produce those damaging effects is unknown, the American Academy of Pediatrics recommends complete abstinence from alcohol for pregnant women. Babies born to mothers who smoked during pregnancy have lower birth weights than their peers whose mothers did not smoke. The Surgeon General warns against smoking during pregnancy. Substance use during pregnancy can cause a host of short term and long term developmental delays to the fetus and child.

Data Source(s): PRAMS, 2001-2010

Summary: The proportion of women reporting alcohol and/or cigarette use decreased from 2009 to 2010. Less than one in five women reported smoking in the last trimester, and six percent reported drinking alcohol.

![Figure 24. Percent of women reporting alcohol and/or cigarette use during last trimester of pregnancy: 2001-2010](chart)

Source: PRAMS, 2001-2010

- Alcohol and cigarette use during the last three months of pregnancy remained relatively constant between 2001 and 2010. Eighteen percent of women reported smoking cigarettes during the last three months of pregnancy in 2010, and alcohol was reportedly used by six percent of women during the same timeframe.
The highest rates of drinking alcohol during the last three months of pregnancy were among women who were 35 years old or older (nine percent in 2010) although this has been decreasing from a high of 18 percent in 2005. The lowest rates for 2010 were among women who were younger than 20 years old (one percent) followed by 20 to 24 year olds (four percent) and 25 to 35 year olds (seven percent).
In 2009 and 2010, mothers who had an income of $50,000 or more a year reported the highest rates of drinking any alcohol during the last three months of pregnancy. This appears to have decreased from 12 percent in 2009 to nine percent in 2010 and closing the observed differences in alcohol use rates among pregnant women of various income levels.

Rates dropped in all four income levels from 2009 to 2011. Three percent of mothers with an income of less than $10,000 reported drinking during the last three months of pregnancy in 2010, a decrease from seven percent in 2009.
Indicator Description: SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT ADMISSIONS WHILE PREGNANT. This indicator explores the primary substances for which pregnant women sought treatment.

Why Indicator is Important: Exposure to alcohol and drugs can cause damage to the fetus during all stages of pregnancy. Babies born to mothers who used drugs during pregnancy are at greater risk of experiencing long-term behavioral difficulties and developmental delays. The American Academy of Pediatrics recommends complete abstinence from alcohol and drugs for pregnant women.

Data Source(s): TDS, 2007-2011

Summary: Since 2007, about five percent of all women who have been admitted to substance abuse treatment were pregnant; of those, admissions primarily due to synthetic opioids have increased steadily since 2007.

Figure 27. Percent of pregnant treatment admissions, by primary substance: 2005-2011

Source: TDS, 2005-2011

- Since 2007, about five percent of all women who have been admitted to substance abuse treatment were pregnant; in 2011, this represented 262 women. Of those, 52 percent were seeking treatment for synthetic opioids, followed by alcohol (12 percent), methadone/buprenorphine (11 percent), and heroin/morphine (seven percent).
- The proportion of pregnant women who were admitted for treatment primarily due to synthetic opiates has increased since 2007, from 38 percent. Over the same period, the proportion of pregnant women admitted for alcohol, heroin and crack/cocaine has decreased.
Criminal Justice Involvement

**Indicator Description:** ARRESTS RELATED TO ALCOHOL. This indicator reflects arrests related to alcohol and includes Operating Under the Influence (OUI) and liquor law violations. The data includes those who were released without having been formally charged.

**Why Indicator is Important:** OUI and liquor law arrest rates can be an indication of the rate of criminal behavior, but it is important to note that they are also an indication of the level of law enforcement. Arrests rates are expected to increase with increased enforcement regardless of whether a decline in criminal behavior is observed. The education component of Maine’s Driver Education and Evaluation Program services an average of 4,000 Maine residents annually who receive alcohol OUIs.

**Data Source(s):** DPS-UCR, 2000-2010

**Summary:** More adult arrest related to alcohol came from OUIs than from violations of liquor laws, whereas alcohol-related arrests among juveniles show the opposite pattern (that there are more arrests for liquor law violations than OUIs).

![Figure 28. Adult arrests (18+ years old) related to alcohol, by arrest type: 2000-2010](image-url)

*Source: DPS-UCR, 2000-2010*

- More adult arrests related to alcohol come from OUIs than from violations of liquor laws. In 2010, there were 6,165 adult arrests for OUIs compared to 3,845 arrests for breaking liquor laws. However, OUIs have been decreasing since 2007.
Alcohol related arrests among juveniles differ from adult arrests related to alcohol in that there are more arrests for liquor law violations OUIs. In 2010, there were more arrests for breaking liquor laws (1,105) than there were for OUIs (40). Arrests due to breaking liquor laws have risen since 2000, but juvenile OUIs generally decreased in that same time period from 160 in 2000.
As previously noted, it appears that the number of arrests related to OUI and liquor law violations differs among adults and juveniles. This pattern remains when comparing the number of arrests among those of legal drinking age to those who are under 21. In 2010, there were 1,105 liquor law violations for people under 18 and 3,071 for people between the ages of 18 to 20. This is compared to 386 liquor law violations for those between the ages of 21 and 29, and even fewer among older age groups.

Conversely, the opposite can be seen in OUI violations: in 2010, there were 40 arrests for those under the age of 18 and 441 for 18 to 20 year olds, compared to 2,142 OUIs for those between the ages of 21 and 29. The number of OUIs appears to decrease across the lifespan.

Source: DPS-UCR, 2010
**Indicator Description:** ARRESTS RELATED TO DRUGS. This indicator reflects the number of arrests that were related to drugs and includes manufacturing, sales, and possession.

**Why Indicator is Important:** Arrest rates for drug sales, manufacturing and drug possession can be an indication of the rate of criminal behavior, but it is important to note that they are also an indication of the level of law enforcement. Arrests rates are expected to increase with increased enforcement regardless of whether a decline in criminal behavior is observed.

**Data Source(s):** DPS-UCR, 2000-2010

**Summary:** Most drug-related offenses in 2010 were for possession rather than sale and manufacturing. Since 2000, it appears that adult arrests related to drugs have remained stable, while juvenile arrests have generally declined.

---

**Figure 31. Adult and juvenile drug offenses, by type: 2010**

- Most drug offenses in 2010 for both juveniles and adults were for possession (478 for juveniles, 3,912 for adults) rather than sales/manufacturing (89 for juveniles and 1,433 for adults).
While adult arrests related to drugs climbed between 2000 and 2006 (4,195 in 2000 up to 5,161 in 2006), the number appears to have stabilized in recent years, climbing just slightly to 5,345 in 2010.

Source: DPS-UCR, 2000-2010
Juvenile arrests related to drugs have generally declined, from 895 arrests in 2000 to 567 arrests in 2010.
**Motor Vehicle Crashes Involving Alcohol**

**Indicator Description:** MOTOR VEHICLE CRASHES INVOLVING ALCOHOL. Number of motor vehicle crashes in which alcohol was a factor, meaning at least one driver had consumed alcohol.

**Why Indicator is Important:** About five percent of all reported motor vehicle crashes involve alcohol. However, the resulting injuries and fatalities from alcohol-related crashes tend to be much higher. Motor Vehicle crashes are the second leading cause of traumatic brain injury, with 29 percent of traumatic brain injuries occurring from motor vehicle crashes.  

**Data Source(s):** MDOT, 2007-2010

**Summary:** In 2010, the overall number of motor vehicle crashes in which alcohol was involved appeared to be declining.

![Figure 34. Number of Motor Vehicle Crashes that were Alcohol-related: 2005-2010](chart)

**Source:** MDOT, 2007-2010

- In 2010, alcohol was involved in 4.6 percent of all motor vehicle crashes in Maine. The overall number of motor vehicle crashes in which alcohol was involved appears to be declining, from 1,698 in 2007 to 1,275 in 2010.

---

Indicator Description: NUMBER OF FATAL MOTOR VEHICLE CRASHES INVOLVING ALCOHOL. This indicator presents the number fatal motor vehicle crashes where alcohol was a factor in the crash. This means that at least one driver had consumed alcohol. It is important to note that small fluctuations from year to year do not indicate overall trends.

Why Indicator is Important: Alcohol-related crash fatalities are a major consequence of alcohol consumption. Although alcohol was involved in only five percent of all crashes, more than one-third all of all fatal motor vehicle crashes in 2009 involved alcohol.

Data Source(s): MDOT, 2000-2010

Summary: In 2010, more than one-fourth (28%) of fatal motor vehicle crashes involved alcohol.

Source: MDOT, 2000-2010

- Although alcohol was involved in five percent of total motor vehicle crashes, it was involved in 28 percent of fatal crashes in 2010 (45 out of 161 total fatal crashes). This rate has remained fairly stable between 20 and 30 percent over the past 10 years, although there were observed increases in alcohol-related fatal crashes between 2004 and 2007.
**Indicator Description:** **ALCOHOL-RELATED MOTOR VEHICLE CRASH RATE.** This indicator presents the number of motor vehicle crashes involving alcohol, relative to the licensed population. The rate per 100,000 allows us to see frequency with which an occurrence shows up within a population over time. In this case, the population is the number of licensees in Maine. Where applicable, the number of licensees used to calculate the rate reflects the relevant age group or gender.

**Why Indicator is Important:** More than one-third all of motor vehicle crashes resulting in fatalities involve alcohol.

Data Source(s): MDOT, 2007-2010

**Summary:** In 2010, drivers between the ages of 21 and 24 had the highest alcohol-related crash rates, followed closely by drivers between the ages of 16 and 20.

![Figure 36. Alcohol-related motor vehicle crash rate per 100,000, by age group: 2007-2010](image)

*Source: MDOT, 2007-2010*

- Following a substantial decrease in alcohol-related crash rate among young adults between the ages of 21 and 24 in 2009, the proportion rose to 444.1 per 100,000 licensees in 2010.
- Conversely, in 2010 the rate of alcohol-related crashes decreased among 16 to 20 year olds to 233.8 per 100,000 licensees from 377 in 2009 and 306 in 2007 per 100,000 licensees.
**Indicator Description:** **ALCOHOL-RELATED MOTOR VEHICLE CRASH FATALITY RATE.** This indicator presents the number of fatalities resulting from motor vehicle crashes that involved alcohol, relative to the licensed population. The rate per 100,000 allows us to see frequency with which an occurrence shows up within a population over time. In this case, the population is the number of licensees in Maine. Where applicable, the number of licensees used to calculate the rate reflects the relevant age group or gender.

**Why Indicator is Important:** More than one-third of all motor vehicle crashes resulting in fatalities involve alcohol.

Data Source(s): MDOT, 2007-2010

**Summary:** Among younger populations, rates of alcohol-related motor vehicle crash fatality appear to have decreased since 2007 although the numbers are too small to determine whether this is an actual trend.

![Figure 37. Alcohol-related motor vehicle crash fatality rate per 100,000, by age: 2007-2010](image)

**Source:** MDOT, 2007-2010

- In 2010, the rate of fatalities from alcohol-related motor vehicle crashes among 25 to 34 year olds decreased from 11 crashes per 100,000 licensees in 2007 to 6.7 crashes per 100,000 licensees in 2010. Across all age ranges, fatality rates appear to have declined or remained the same since 2007.
- Although not pictured, the highest rate of alcohol-related motor vehicle crash fatalities tends to be among males, particularly those between the ages of 16 to 34, although the rates appear to be decreasing.
Hospital Visits Related to Substance Abuse

**Indicator Description:** INPATIENT ADMISSIONS RELATED TO SUBSTANCE USE. Number of inpatient hospital admissions where alcohol, opiates, or other drugs was recorded as the primary diagnosis for which services were sought at admission. “Inpatient” refers to a patient whose treatment needs at least one night’s residence in a hospital. The substance for which treatment was received was identified through hospital codes (ICD-9 codes) and includes those related to alcohol and psychoactive substances (303-305).

**Why Indicator is Important:** Hospital admissions related to substance use are an indication of injury sustained through substance use and the impact it has on the healthcare system.

**Data Source(s):** MHDO, 2006-2009

**Summary:** In 2009, inpatient admissions related to substance use decreased for alcohol and opiates after rising sharply in 2008.

**Figure 38. Inpatient hospital admissions related to substance use: 2006-2009**

*Includes prescription narcotics, methadone, and heroin.

- Among inpatient admissions for substance use, alcohol the substance most often associated with inpatient visits in 2009 (686), followed by opiates (216). Inpatient admissions related to substance use decreased in 2009 after rising sharply in 2008.
Indicator Description: OUTPATIENT HOSPITAL VISITS RELATED TO SUBSTANCE USE. Number of outpatient hospital admissions where alcohol, opiates, or other drugs was recorded as the primary diagnosis for which services were received. “Outpatient” refers to patients who receive treatment at a hospital or clinic but are not admitted overnight. The substance for which treatment was received was identified through hospital codes (ICD-9 codes) and includes those related to alcohol psychoactive substances (303-305).

Why Indicator is Important: Outpatient hospital visits related to substance use are an indication of injury sustained through substance use and the impact it has on the healthcare system.

Data Source(s): MHDO, 2006-2009

Summary: Outpatient hospital visits related to opiate abuse have been steadily increasing since 2006. Opiates were the substance of concern more than twice as often as alcohol in 2009.

Figure 39. Outpatient hospital visits related to substance use: 2006-2009

Source: MHDO, 2006-2009

*Includes prescription narcotics, methadone, and heroin.

- Hospital outpatient visits related to substance abuse continued to increase sharply in 2009, driven primarily by visits related to opiates (including prescription narcotics, methadone, and heroin) which increased from 30,451 visits in 2008 to 35,950 in 2009.
- Outpatient visits related to alcohol have remained steady, decreasing only slightly between 2008 and 2009 (from 16,319 to 15,770). Outpatient visits related to other drugs have been low in comparison and also remained steady in 2009.
Poisonings Related to Substance Use

Indicator Description: POISONING CASES DOCUMENTED BY THE POISON CENTER. This measure reflects the number of calls to the Northern New England Poison Center in which the Center determined that a poisoning occurred. These calls are for the state of Maine only. The Center reports poisonings in three categories: unintentional, meaning those that are accidental; suspected substance abuse cases, meaning cases where the Center believes the intent is for an individual to get high; and suspected suicides, meaning staff at the Center determine that the individual attempted suicide. The categories reflect the caller’s self-report and are not considered clinical or medical diagnoses.

Why Indicator is Important: The exposure to and ingestion of damaging substances can have many physiologic side effects. Poisonings can be influenced by programs to prevent substance abuse, accidental poisoning, suicide and fatal interaction among medications.

Data Source(s): NNEPC, 2009-2011

Summary: Both the number and proportion of total poisonings related to substance abuse have increased since 2009.

![Figure 40. Poisonings reported to Northern New England Poison Center, by intent: 2009 and 2011](chart.png)

Source: NNEPC, 2009-2011

- The majority of calls to the Northern New England Poison Center between 2009 and 2011 in which a poisoning occurred were related to unintentional poisonings. It appears that poisonings related to substance abuse have been increasing over this period. About four percent (557) of all poisoning calls received in 2011 were substance abuse cases, up from two percent (388) in 2009.
**Indicator Description:** **INPATIENT ADMISSIONS RELATED TO SUBSTANCE POISONING.** Number of inpatient hospital admissions in which the recorded reason for admission included poisoning by alcohol and drugs. “Inpatient” refers to a patient whose treatment needs at least one night's residence in a hospital. The substance for which treatment was received was identified through hospital codes (ICD-9 codes) and includes those related to poisoning by drugs, medicinal and biological substances (960-979) and toxic effects of alcohol (980).

**Why Indicator is Important:** Hospital admissions related to substance poisoning are an indication of injury sustained through substance use and the impact it has on the healthcare system.

**Data Source(s):** MHDO, 2006-2009

**Summary:** In 2009, psychotropic medications continued to be the substance of concern most often cited among inpatient admissions for substance poisoning, followed by opiates.

---

**Figure 41. Inpatient hospital admissions related to poisoning from alcohol and drugs: 2006-2009**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Alcohol</th>
<th>Opiates*</th>
<th>Sedatives</th>
<th>Psychotropics</th>
<th>Depressants</th>
<th>Stimulants</th>
<th>Other Pharmaceuticals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>385</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes prescription narcotics, methadone, and heroin.
**Includes legal pharmaceuticals not included elsewhere.

- Since 2006, psychotropic medications have been the most often cited substance of concern in inpatient substance poisonings; the number increased in 2009 to 491. This was followed by poisoning by other legal pharmaceuticals (385) and opiates (174).
**Indicator Description:** OUTPATIENT VISITS RELATED TO SUBSTANCE POISONING. Number of outpatient hospital visits in which the recorded reason for the visit included poisoning by alcohol and other drugs. “Outpatient” refers to patients who receive treatment at a hospital or clinic but are not admitted overnight. The substance for which treatment was received was identified through hospital codes (ICD-9 codes) and includes those related to poisoning by drugs, medicinal and biological substances (960-979) and toxic effects of alcohol (980).

**Why Indicator is Important:** Hospital visits related to substance poisoning are an indication of injury sustained through substance use and the impact it has on the healthcare system.

**Data Source(s):** MHDO, 2006-2009

**Summary:** Outpatient hospital visits for substance-related poisonings have increased.

![Figure 42. Outpatient hospital visits for substance-related poisonings: 2006-2009](chart)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Alcohol</th>
<th>Opiates*</th>
<th>Sedatives</th>
<th>Psychotropics</th>
<th>Depressants</th>
<th>Stimulants</th>
<th>Other Pharmaceuticals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>752</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1,005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes prescription narcotics, methadone, and heroin.

**Includes legal pharmaceuticals not included elsewhere.**

- In 2009, most outpatient hospital visits related to substance poisoning were associated with depressants (752) followed by opiates (225). Overall, outpatient hospital visits related to substance poisoning have been steadily increasing.
Overdose Deaths

**Indicator Description:** **DEATHS DUE TO SUBSTANCE ABUSE.** This measure reflects the number of deaths where the cause of death was directly related to the consumption of one or more substances. This excludes deaths where a substance may have been ingested prior to engaging in a behavior that resulted in death (e.g., drunk driving) or where lifetime substance use and abuse may have impacted health (e.g., cirrhosis).

**Why Indicator is Important:** One of the most extreme consequences of alcohol and drug abuse is overdose death; that is, the substance(s) consumed played a direct role in an individual’s death. These are seen as potentially preventable deaths.

**Data Source(s):** Office of Chief Medical Examiner, 2000-2010

**Summary:** There were an estimated 169 overdose deaths in Maine in 2010. The proportion of overdose deaths associated with pharmaceuticals is on the rise while overdose deaths associated with illicit drugs have declined.

![Figure 43. Number of deaths due to substance abuse or overdose: 2000-2010](image)

*Source: Office of the Chief Medical Examiner, 2000-2010*

*Deaths related to pharmaceutical or illicit overdose are not mutually exclusive. For example, an overdose death could have been caused by both pharmaceutical and illicit substances simultaneously.*

---

Since 2001 total overdose deaths have been rising, peaking at 179 total deaths in 2009 and falling slightly in 2010 to 169. Of note, those involving pharmaceutical drugs (such as methadone, oxycodone, and benzodiazepines), opposed to illicit drugs, have been rising dramatically over the same period. By 2010, 95 percent of overdose deaths involved pharmaceuticals.
**Indicator Description:** DRUG OVERDOSE DEATHS ASSOCIATED WITH SPECIFIC DRUG TYPES.

When a death is investigated, the Medical Examiner determines what substances contributed to the individual’s death. This measure examines the percent of drug deaths associated with certain types of substances. Note that more than one substance can be determined as contributing to death. Pharmaceuticals are drugs or medicine prepared or dispensed in pharmacies and used in medical treatment; illicit drugs are those illegally produced and sold outside of medical channels. Data from 2010 are still “estimated” because in some cases the cause of death has not been finalized.

**Why Indicator is Important:** In addition to the fact that some substances are used in greater numbers than others, some substances are more lethal than others.

**Data Source(s):** Office of Chief Medical Examiner, 2001-2010

**Summary:** Benzodiazepines appear to be responsible for the largest proportion of drug-related overdoses in 2010; it has been steadily rising since 2001. The proportions of deaths caused from overdoses by benzodiazepines, oxycodone, methadone, or cocaine increased from 2009 to 2010. Deaths due to heroin overdose have been steadily decreasing since 2005.

**Figure 44. Percent of drug deaths involving specific drug types: 2001-2010**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Methadone</th>
<th>Oxycodone</th>
<th>Benzodiazepines</th>
<th>Heroin/morphine</th>
<th>Cocaine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010*</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*2010 data are estimated.

---

• The proportion of drug overdose deaths involving oxycodone and benzodiazepines appear to be rising (29% and 33%, respectively, in 2010). Drug overdose deaths involving methadone began declining in 2004; estimates from 2010 showed a slight increase in the proportion of drug deaths involving methadone (30%, up from 27% in 2009).

• Drug deaths related to cocaine increased from five percent in 2009 to 10 percent in 2010.
**Indicator Description:** RATE OF DEATHS DUE TO SUBSTANCE ABUSE. This measure estimates the rate of deaths due to substance abuse or overdose per 100,000 people. The rate per 100,000 allows us to see the frequency with which an occurrence shows up within a population over time.

**Why Indicator is Important:** Drug-induced deaths are influenced by programs to prevent: substance abuse, accidental poisoning, suicide and fatal interaction among medications.

**Data Source(s):** NVSS, 2005-2009

**Summary:** Adults between the ages of 35 to 54 had the highest rate of death due to substance abuse or overdose during 2009, followed by those between the ages of 21 and 29.

![Figure 45. Substance abuse and overdose deaths, per 100,000, by age group: 2005-2009](chart)

- At 24.9 deaths per 100,000, people between the ages of 35 to 54 had the highest rate of death due to substance abuse or overdose during 2009. The second highest rate was among people between the ages of 21 to 29 years old at 19.8 per 100,000.
- Since 2005, the highest rates of substance abuse and overdose deaths per 100,000 have been among adults between the ages of 21 and 54.
- Notably, the substance abuse and overdose death rate among 30 to 34 year olds declined sharply in 2009 to 12.4 per 100,000. Also noteworthy is that the overdose death rate has doubled among the 65+ year old population since 2005.

*Source: NVSS, 2005-2010*
Morbidity and Mortality

Indicator Description: **RATES OF DEATH FROM CHRONIC CONDITIONS ASSOCIATED WITH SUBSTANCE USE.** Every death in Maine has a recorded cause. This indicator examines the rate of chronic diseases commonly associated with substance use, including ischemic cerebrovascular diseases (commonly known as stroke), cardiovascular diseases, and alcohol-related liver diseases. In this case, a rate per 100,000 of the state population is used to compare the prevalence across certain populations.

**Why Indicator is Important:** Prolonged and lifelong use of substances, including tobacco and alcohol, can often result in chronic health problems later in life. As a consequence of substance abuse, these health-related deaths are considered potentially preventable.

**Data Source(s):** NVSS, 2005-2010

**Summary:** Ischemic cerebrovascular diseases were more prevalent among Mainers in 2010 than cardiovascular diseases and alcoholic cirrhosis. Cirrhosis and liver disease related to alcohol were more likely among men than women.

![Figure 46. Deaths from chronic diseases related to substance use, per 100,000 of the population: 2005-2010](source)

Source: NVSS, 2005-2010

- At 152.9 deaths per 100,000, ischemic cerebrovascular diseases were more prevalent among Mainers in 2010 than cardiovascular diseases (70.7) and alcoholic cirrhosis (6.2).
- Although not pictured, deaths from cerebrovascular and cardiovascular diseases afflict the older population (those 60 and older) at higher rates, whereas alcoholic cirrhosis/liver disease has a high rate of incidence among adults over the age of 40.
In 2010, cirrhosis and liver disease related to alcohol were more likely among men (7.8 per 100,000) than women (4.6 per 100,000). This pattern has been consistent since 2005 although there appears to be some fluctuation among women.

Source: NVSS, 2005-2010
**Indicator Description: RATE OF VIOLENT DEATHS.** Every death in Maine has a recorded cause. This indicator examines deaths that were the result of violence, i.e., those classified as a suicide or homicide. In this case, a rate per 100,000 of the state population is used to compare the prevalence across certain populations.

**Why Indicator is Important:** Although not the leading cause of death, substance use and abuse is often a factor in homicides and suicides. For example, the federal Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) has estimated that about 47 percent of homicides and 23 percent of suicides are attributable to alcohol nationally.

**Data Source(s):** NVSS, 2005-2010

**Summary:** The overall rates of violence deaths involving suicide or homicide have remained fairly stable from 2005 to 2010. Suicides appear to be much more prevalent than homicides. Suicides are more likely among men and people between the ages of 40 and 59.

![Figure 48. Deaths from suicide or homicide per 100,000 of the population: 2005-2010](chart)

*Source: NVSS, 2005-2010*

- The rate of suicide deaths in Maine decreased from 14.9 per 100,000 in 2009 to 13.5 per 100,000 in 2010. The overall rate of homicide deaths in Maine has remained steady, increasing slightly from 1.6 per 100,000 in 2005 to 1.8 per 100,000 in 2010.
In 2010, deaths from suicide as well as deaths from homicide were most prevalent among the 40 to 59 year old population at a rate of 19.6 per 100,000 for suicides and 2.9 per 100,000 for homicides.
Suicide deaths were much more common among men in 2010 (22.5 per 100,000), compared to women (4.7 per 100,000).

Although the homicide rate is much lower, the rate for men was double the homicide rate for women in 2010 at 2.5 per 100,000 and 1.2 per 100,000 respectively. Although not shown here, these rates have been relatively consistent between 2005 and 2010.

Source: NVSS, 2010
Factors Contributing to Substance Use and Abuse

A body of substance abuse prevention research has identified certain groups of factors that “cause” or have an impact on substance use and the consequences related to use. That is, they appear to influence the occurrence and magnitude of substance use and its related consequences. Generically, these causal factors (also known as contributing factors) are categorized into groups which include:

- Social Access (e.g., getting drugs and alcohol from friends or family)
- Retail Availability (e.g., retailer not carding properly)
- Pricing & Promotion (e.g., two-for-one specials, industry sponsorships or signage)
- Social/Community Norms (e.g., parental/community attitudes and beliefs)
- Enforcement (e.g., lack of compliance checks)
- Perceptions of Harm (e.g., individuals’ belief that using a substance is harmful)
- Perceived Risk of Being Caught (e.g., individuals’ belief that s/he will be caught by parents or police)\(^{10,11}\)

Substance abuse prevention in Maine is undertaken with the assumption that making changes to these factors at the community level will result in changing behaviors around substance use and related problems. It is through positively impacting these factors that Maine can achieve population-level changes in substance consumption and consequences.

Although most high school students seem to perceive that regularly use of substance poses a risk of harm and that their parents and community think it wrong, few think they will be caught by the police and most think it is easy to obtain alcohol and marijuana. Among adults, young adults are least likely to perceive risks of harm from using alcohol and marijuana regularly.


Availability and Accessibility

Indicator Description: EASE OF OBTAINING ALCOHOL BY UNDERAGE YOUTH. This indicator reflects the percentage of high school students (grades 9 to 12) who reported that it would be easy or very easy for them to get alcohol if they wanted some.

Why Indicator is Important: In 2011, students who reported that they thought alcohol was easy to obtain were three times as likely to report consuming alcohol within the past month compared to students who did not think it was easy obtain.

Data Source(s): MIYHS, 2009-2011

Summary: Overall, about two out of three high school students think it would be easy to obtain alcohol.

![Figure 51. Percent of high school students who reported it would be easy to get alcohol: 2009 and 2011](source: MIYHS, 2009-2011)

- In 2011, two out of three high school students (or 67%) reported it would be easy to get alcohol, compared to 69 percent in 2009.


**Indicator Description:** UNDERAGE YOUTH RECEIVING ALCOHOL FROM OTHERS. Among high school students who drank within the past 30 days, this measure reflects the percentage reporting that they usually obtain the alcohol they drink from someone giving it to them.

**Why Indicator is Important:** Easy social access to alcohol is a major contributing factor to underage drinking. Students who report that alcohol is easy to get are three times as likely to drink as their peers who report it is not easy.

**Data Source(s):** MIYHS 2009-2011

**Summary:** Social access appears to be a primary way that underage youth obtain alcohol.

![Figure 52. Percent of high school students who obtained alcohol by someone giving it to them, among those who drank in past month: 2009 and 2011](image)

*Source: MIYHS 2009-2011*

- In 2011, one in three high school students who consumed alcohol in the past month reported that someone gave them the alcohol they consumed. This has decreased slightly from 35 percent in 2009.
**Indicator Description: EASE OF OBTAINING MARIJUANA BY YOUTH.** The percentage of high school students reporting it would be easy or very easy to obtain marijuana if they wanted it.

**Why Indicator is Important:** In 2011, students who reported that they thought marijuana was easy to obtain were seven times as likely to use marijuana in the past 30 days compared to their peers who thought it was difficult to obtain.

**Data Source(s):** MIYHS, 2009-2011

**Summary:** In 2011 over half of high school students believe that marijuana is easy to obtain. This has decreased slightly from 2009.

![Figure 53. Percent of high school students who reported it would be easy to get marijuana: 2009 and 2011](image)

*Source: MIYHS, 2009-2011*

- In 2011, well over half (57%) of high school students felt it would be easy to get marijuana.
**Indicator Description:** ILLEGAL DRUGS ON SCHOOL PROPERTY. The percentage of high school students reporting they were sold, offered or given an illegal drug on school property during the past year.

**Why Indicator is Important:** In 2011, students who reported they were offered drugs at school were 2.5 times as likely to use marijuana as their peers who were not offered drugs at school.

**Data Source(s):** MIYHS, 2009-2011

**Summary:** The percentage of high school students who were sold, offered or given an illegal drug on school property appears to have increased from 2009 to 2011.

*Figure 54. Percent of high school students who were sold, offered, or given an illegal drug on school property in past year: 2009 and 2011*

- The percentage of high school students who were sold, offered or given an illegal drug on school property during the previous year has increased from 21 percent in 2009 to 24 percent in 2011.

*Source: MIYHS, 2009-2011*
**Indicator Description:** **NUMBER OF SCHEDULE II PRESCRIPTIONS FILLED.** This indicator reflects the number of narcotic, tranquilizer, stimulant, and other prescriptions filled in Maine and the average number of days’ supply of each. This includes only prescription drugs that are classified “Schedule II” drugs, meaning those with a high potential for abuse. It is important to note that the number of prescriptions does not indicate the overall number of pills prescribed, or the size/dosage of the pills. All pharmacies in Maine report to the Prescription Monitoring Program.

**Why Indicator is Important:** The number of prescriptions filled and the average number of days’ supply for each prescription indicate the volume of prescription pills potentially available in the community for diversion (e.g., gift, sale, or theft). A higher level of availability contributes to misuse by individuals without a prescription.

**Data Source(s):** PMP, 2006-2011

**Summary:** Overall, the number of prescriptions filled has decreased from 2010 to 2011. Narcotics accounted for most prescriptions filled in Maine. Between 2006 and 2011, the average number of days’ supply per prescription increased for both tranquilizers and narcotics.

![Figure 55. Number of prescriptions filled in Maine (thousands), by type: 2006-2011](source: PMP, 2006-2011)

- The number of prescriptions filled including narcotics, tranquilizers, and stimulants has decreased from 4,387 in 2010 to 4,266 in 2011. Narcotics accounted for most prescriptions filled in Maine between the years of 2006 to 2011. This was followed by tranquilizers and, at a much lower number, stimulants.
**Indicator Description:** SUBSTANCES REQUESTED FOR VERIFICATION. This indicator shows the number of requests by non-law enforcement for medication verification through the Northern New England Poison Center. A person may call the NNEPC for many reasons, one being to help identify a medication or substance which another person has consumed or that has been found. The calls reflected in this indicator have been characterized by NNEPC as likely related to substance abuse, although NNEPC staff do not make a formal or clinical assessment.

**Why Indicator is Important:** The increased volume of medication verification calls suggests a greater availability of those drugs in the community. This measure also suggests that there is a higher awareness among the community and parents for potential misuse of prescription pills which is prompting calls.

**Data Source(s):** NNEPC, 2006-2011

**Summary:** Most calls to NNEPC requesting substance verification involved opioids, followed by benzodiazepines. The overall number of requests has decreased since 2010.

**Figure 56. Substances most frequently requested for medication verification by non-law enforcement, by type: 2006-2011**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substances</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Opioids</td>
<td>10,127</td>
<td>14,178</td>
<td>16,306</td>
<td>19,249</td>
<td>19,693</td>
<td>13,687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzodiazepines</td>
<td>3,539</td>
<td>4,525</td>
<td>5,556</td>
<td>7,691</td>
<td>8,654</td>
<td>7,035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-opioid analgesics</td>
<td>2,021</td>
<td>2,404</td>
<td>2,575</td>
<td>2,865</td>
<td>2,827</td>
<td>2,145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antidepressants</td>
<td>1,871</td>
<td>2,163</td>
<td>2,334</td>
<td>2,427</td>
<td>2,562</td>
<td>1,995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stimulants/street drugs</td>
<td>1,063</td>
<td>1,429</td>
<td>1,920</td>
<td>2,801</td>
<td>3,045</td>
<td>2,961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular</td>
<td>1,660</td>
<td>2,016</td>
<td>2,297</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>2,762</td>
<td>2,363</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** NNEPC, 2006-2011

- In 2011, the Northern New England Poison Center received 13,687 calls requesting verification for substances that were identified as opioids. This is nearly twice the number of verification calls related to benzodiazepines, which was the second most requested drug type at 8,648. The volume of calls for both these substances has decreased since 2010.
**Indicator Description:** **ANNUAL GALLONS OF ETHANOL SOLD PER CAPITA.** This indicator captures the total sales of ethanol in beer, wine, and spirits per year, estimated in gallons of ethanol, per capita. Measuring ethanol takes into account the range of alcohol content per volume.

**Why Indicator is Important:** A higher quantity of alcohol and alcoholic beverages available in the community presents greater opportunity for use, abuse, and dependence. Per capita consumption of absolute alcohol has been used historically as an indicator of overall drinking within a state and has been shown to be correlated with many types of alcohol problems.

**Data Source(s):** AEDS, 1990-2008

**Summary:** Most alcohol in Maine is sold as beer, followed by spirits and then wine. This has not changed since 1990.

**Figure 57. Gallons of ethanol sold per capita, by type: 1990-2008**

- Since 1990, gallons of beer purchased per capita have increased somewhat, from 1.21 in 1990 to 1.26 in 2008. Gallons of wine purchased per capita have also increased slightly over the same timeframe (from 0.31 to 0.42), while gallons of spirits purchased per capita has remained steady at 0.82.

**Source:** AEDS, 1990-2008
**Perceived Harm**

**Indicator Description:** **PERCEIVED RISK FROM REGULAR ALCOHOL USE.** This indicator reflects the percentage of high school students who report that there is moderate to great risk of harm from drinking one or two alcoholic beverages every day.

**Why Indicator is Important:** High School students who do not perceive regular alcohol use (one to two drinks per day) as risky were almost two times as likely to drink in the past month than students who did perceive harm.

**Data Source(s):** MIYHS, 2009-2011

**Summary:** Although most high students think there is moderate to great risk of harm from drinking alcohol regularly, two out of five students in 2011 did not think regular use was risky. Perception of harm from regular alcohol use decreased from 2009 to 2011.

*Figure 58. Percent of high school students perceiving moderate to great risk from drinking 1-2 drinks every day: 2009 and 2011*

![Chart showing percentage of high school students perceiving moderate to great risk from drinking 1-2 drinks every day: 61% in 2009 and 59% in 2011.]

*Source: MIYHS, 2009-2011*

- The proportion of high students who reported that people risk harming themselves if they drink one or two drinks every day decreased from 61 percent in 2009 to 59 percent in 2011.
Indicator Description: **PERCEIVED RISK FROM BINGE DRINKING**. This indicator reflects the percentage of individuals who perceive that there is moderate to great risk from drinking five or more drinks in a row once or twice per week.

Why Indicator is Important: High School students who do not perceive a moderate to great risk of harm from binge drinking once or twice a week are more than twice as likely to drink in the past month as High school students who do perceive risk of harm.

Summary: Perception of risk of harm for binge drinking among high school students has increased from 2009 to 2011. While perception that binge drinking a few times a week posed a moderate to great risk of harm has increased, one in five young adults thought that binge drinking a few times a week wasn’t risky.

*Figure 59. Percent of high school students perceiving moderate to great risk from drinking five or more drinks once or twice per week: 2009 and 2011*

Source: MIYHS, 2009-2011

- In 2011, 79 percent of high school students reported that people risk harming themselves if they consume five or more alcoholic drinks in a row once or twice a week. This represents a substantial increase since 2009 from 73 percent.
In 2008-09, 39 percent of Mainers ages 26 and up reported that drinking five or more drinks once or twice per week posed some risk of harm. Young adults ages 18 to 25 year olds were much less likely to perceive a great risk of harm from drinking five or more drinks once or twice a week, at 26 percent in 2008-09. These trends have remained generally the same since 2002-03.
**Indicator Description:** PERCEIVED RISK OF REGULAR MARIJUANA USE. This measure demonstrates the percentage of individuals who perceive a moderate to great risk of harm from smoking marijuana regularly.

**Why Indicator is Important:** High school students who do not believe there is moderate to great risk in smoking marijuana regularly are 6.5 times as likely to smoke marijuana as their peers who do perceive risk of harm.

**Data Source(s):** MIYHS, 2009-2011; NSDUH, 2002-03 to 2008-09

**Summary:** Perception of risk of harm from regular marijuana use appears to have decreased from 2009 to 2011 among high school students. Among adults, those between 18 and 25 years of age were the least likely to view a great risk in smoking marijuana once per a month.

![Figure 61. Percent of high school students perceiving moderate to great risk from smoking marijuana regularly: 2009 and 2011](source: MIYHS, 2009-2011)

- The proportion of high school students who perceived a moderate to great risk of harm from smoking marijuana regularly decreased from 61 percent in 2009 to 56 percent in 2011. Conversely, this means that in 2011, 44 percent felt that there was little to no risk of harm involved.
Figure 62. Maine residents (age 18 and older) perceiving great risk from smoking marijuana once per month: 2002-03 through 2008-09

Source: NSDUH, 2002-03 to 2008-09

- In the 2008-09 period, young adults between the ages of 18 to 25 year old were the least likely to view a great risk in smoking marijuana once per a month at 15 percent, compared to 30 percent for Mainers who were 26 years old or older. For those ages 26 and older, the percentage has decreased from 37 percent in 2003-04.
**Perceived Enforcement**

**Indicator Description**: YOUTH PERCEIVED RISK OF BEING CAUGHT FOR DRINKING ALCOHOL. The percentage of high school students perceiving they would be caught by their parents and by police if they drank alcohol.

**Why Indicator is Important**: High school students who believe they would be caught by their parents or the police are less likely to drink alcohol than their peers.

**Data Source(s)**: MIYHS, 2009-2011

**Summary**: High school students think they are more likely to be caught by their parents for drinking alcohol than by the police. Perception of not being caught by parents decreased slightly from 2009 to 2011.

*Figure 63. Percent of high school students reporting they would not be caught by parents or the police if they drank: 2009 and 2011*

- In 2011, 56 percent of students reported that they did not think they would be caught by their parents for drinking alcohol revealing a decrease of two percentage points since 2009. Students who reported that kids in the community would not be caught by the police if they drank alcohol increased slightly, from 84 percent in 2009 to 85 percent in 2011.

*Source: MIYHS, 2009-2011*
**Indicator Description:** YOUTH PERCEIVED RISK OF BEING CAUGHT FOR SMOKING MARIJUANA.
The percentage of high school students perceiving they would be caught by police if they smoked marijuana.

**Why Indicator is Important:** High school students who believe they would be caught by the police are less than half as likely to smoke marijuana as their peers.

**Data Source(s):** MIYHS, 2009-2011

**Summary:** The majority of high school students do not think they will be caught by police for smoking marijuana.

![Figure 64. Percent of high school students reporting they would not get caught by the police if they smoked marijuana: 2009 and 2011](image)

**Source:** MIYHS, 2009-2011

- In both 2009 and 2011, three quarters of high school students felt kids in the community would not be caught by police for smoking marijuana, whereas 25 percent felt they would be caught.
Community and Cultural Norms

Indicator Description: YOUTH PERCEPTION OF PEER ATTITUDES TOWARD SUBSTANCE USE.
This measure reflects the percentage of high school students perceiving that they would be seen as cool if they began drinking alcohol or smoking marijuana.

Why Indicator is Important: High school students who believe they would be seen as cool are more likely to engage in drinking and marijuana use than their peers.

Data Source(s): MIYHS, 2009-2011

Summary: Although more than half of all high school students think that alcohol use and marijuana use would not be seen as “cool” by their peers, about two out of five continue to think that using substances would be seen as cool. This has decreased slightly since 2009.

Figure 65. Percent of high school students who reported they would be seen as "cool" for drinking alcohol or smoking marijuana: 2009 and 2011

Source: MIYHS, 2009-2011

- The proportion of high school students who believed that their peers would see them as “cool” if they drank alcohol decreased from 42 percent in 2009 to 39 percent in 2011 while students who perceived they would be seen as “cool” if they smoked marijuana remained the same at 39 percent.
**Indicator Description:** YOUTH PERCEPTION OF ADULT ATTITUDES TOWARD ALCOHOL USE.
This indicator depicts the percentage of high school students who thought that their parents feel it would be wrong for them to drink regularly. It also examines the proportion who reported that adults in their community think it would be wrong for kids their age to consume alcohol.

**Why Indicator is Important:** High school students who do not believe their parents feel it would be wrong for them to drink are 2.5 times as likely to drink as their peers who do feel their parents would think it was wrong. A similar pattern can be observed in relation to adult attitudes towards drinking.

**Data Source(s):** MIYHS, 2009-2011

**Summary:** High school students generally believe that their parents and adults in their community think it would be wrong for them to drink alcohol. The perception of disapproval increased in both parents and adults in community from 2009 to 2011.

**Figure 66.** Percent of high school students who reported perceiving that parents and adults in their community think student alcohol use is wrong: 2009 and 2011

- The proportion of high school students who thought their parents felt it would be wrong for them to drink regularly increased from 83 percent in 2009 to 86 percent in 2011.
- The rate of students who reported that adults in their community think it is wrong for youth to use alcohol increased from 73 percent in 2009 to 75 percent in 2011.

*Source: MIYHS, 2009-2011*
**Indicator Description:** YOUTH PERCEPTION OF ADULT ATTITUDES TOWARD MARIJUANA USE. This indicator shows the percentage of high school students who reported that their parents feel it would be wrong for them to smoke marijuana. It also examines the proportion who thought adults in their neighborhood feel it would be wrong for kids their age to smoke marijuana.

**Why Indicator is Important:** High school students who believe their parents feel it is wrong for them to smoke marijuana are one quarter as likely to use marijuana as students who do not believe their parents would think it is wrong. Students who believe adults in their neighborhood do not think it is wrong for kids to use marijuana are three times as likely to use it as their peers.

**Data Source(s):** MIYHS, 2009-2011

**Summary:** Although high school students generally believe that their parents and adults in their community think it would be wrong for them to smoke marijuana, perception of disapproval for both parents and adults in the community decreased from 2009 to 2011.

**Figure 67. Percent of high school students who reported that parents and adults in community think smoking marijuana is wrong: 2009 and 2011**

- The proportion of high school students who reported their parents feel it would be wrong for them to smoke marijuana decreased from 87 percent in 2009 to 84 percent in 2011. The rate of high school students who reported that adults in their community would feel it would be wrong to smoke marijuana also decreased by three percentage points from 2009 to 2011.
**Indicator Description:** YOUTH PERCEPTION OF FAMILY RULES TOWARD SUBSTANCE USE. This indicator reflects the percentage of high school students who reported that their family has clear rules about substance use.

**Why Indicator is Important:** High school students who believe their parents have clear rules about substance use are half as likely as their peers to drink alcohol.

**Data Source(s):** MIYHS, 2009-2011

**Summary:** Most students in Maine report that their family has clear rules around alcohol and drug use.

![Figure 68. Percent of high school students who reported their family has clear rules about alcohol and drug use: 2009 and 2011](image)

*Source: MIYHS, 2009-2011*

- High school students who agreed their family has clear rules about alcohol and drug use decreased slightly from 86 percent in 2009 to 85 percent in 2011.
Mental Health, Suicide and Co-occurring Disorders

The relationship between substance use and mental health has been well documented. There are great efforts underway at the Substance Abuse Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) and throughout Maine to better integrate mental health promotion and substance abuse prevention. At the individual level, it is important to know if one exists because the symptoms of each can affect the other; that is, a person who is depressed may abuse alcohol in an effort to feel better. At the community level, it is important to understand how the prevalence of one interacts with the other so that prevention and intervention efforts can better address the needs of both. The data indicators included below represent multiple mental health indicators that can be routinely monitored in relation to substance abuse in hopes that this will lead to better prevention and intervention.

About one in five adults in Maine report having ever been diagnosed with depression or anxiety. Young adults are more likely to report experiencing serious psychological distress in the past year than older adults (one in five). About one in ten high school students planned for suicide in 2011. Just over half of all substance abuse treatment admissions in 2011 also involved a mental health disorder and one quarter had received outpatient mental health services in the past year.
Mental Illness, Depression and Anxiety

Indicator Description: MENTAL ILLNESS AND DEPRESSIVE EPISODES AMONG ADULTS. This indicator reflects the percentage of Maine residents age 18 and older reporting experiencing mental illness in the past year or having experienced at least one major depressive episode.12

Why Indicator is Important: Experiencing psychological distress in the past year is associated with higher rates of substance abuse.

Data Source(s): NSDUH, 2008-09

Summary: Young adults (18 to 25) are more likely to report experiencing any mental illness in the past year than older adults (one in three); they are also more likely than other age groups to experience a major depressive episode in the past year.

Source: NSDUH, 2002-03 to 2005-07

- In 2008-09, 35 percent of young adults (18 to 25) reported experiencing any mental illness in the past year, compared to 19 percent of adults ages 26 and older.

---

12 Any mental illness is defined as having a diagnosable mental, behavioral, or emotional disorder, other than a substance use disorder, that met the criteria found in the 4th edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV). Major depressive episode is defined as a period of at least 2 weeks when a person experienced a depressed mood or loss of interest or pleasure in daily activities and had a majority of specified depression symptoms.
In 2008-09, major depressive episodes were most prevalent among young adults ages 18 to 25 (nine percent) compared to other age groups. This has remained stable since 2004-05.
Indicator Description: **DIAGNOSIS OF ANXIETY AND DEPRESSION AMONG ADULTS.** This indicator examines the percentage of Maine residents age 18 and older who have been told they have a depression or anxiety disorder.

Why Indicator is Important: The link between mental health and substance abuse is well documented. Experiencing anxiety or depression in the past year is associated with higher rates of substance abuse.

Data Source(s): BRFSS, 2008-2010

Summary: About one-fifth of adults in Maine report having ever been diagnosed with depression or anxiety.

**Figure 71. Percent of adults who have been told they have a depression or anxiety disorder: 2007-2010**

Source: BRFSS 2008-2010

- In 2010, 21 percent of adults in Maine reported having ever been diagnosed with depression, while 17 percent reported having ever been diagnosed with anxiety. Both indicators have remained relatively stable over the past three years.
**Indicator Description:** DEPRESSION AMONG YOUTH. This indicator measures the percentage of high school students reporting they felt sad or hopeless almost every day for two weeks in a row during the past year.

**Why Indicator is Important:** Experiencing depression in the past year is associated with higher rates of substance abuse. Among youth, depression is also associated with problems with relationships and academic achievement.

**Data Source(s):** MIYHS 2009-2011

**Summary:** Almost a quarter of high school students have reported feeling sad or helpless during the past year.

**Figure 72.** Percent of high school students who reported feeling sad or hopeless in past year: 2009 and 2011

- The proportion of high school students who reported feeling so sad or helpless during the past year that they stopped doing some usual activities increased slightly, from 22 percent in 2009 to 23 percent in 2011.

*Source: MIYHS 2009-2011*
Suicide and Suicidal Ideation

Indicator Description: SUICIDAL IDEATION AMONG YOUTH. This measure examines the percentage of high school students who reported that they seriously considered attempting suicide, made a plan about how they would attempt suicide, or attempted to commit suicide during the past year.

Why Indicator is Important: Suicide is the most tragic consequence of major depressive disorders. Abuse of alcohol or other drugs may increase emotional problems leading to suicidal ideation and suicidal behavior.

Data Source(s): MIYHS 2009-2011

Summary: In 2011, about one in ten high school students considered, planned, or attempted suicide.

Figure 73. Percent of high school students who considered, planned, or attempted suicide in past year: 2009 and 2011

Source: MIYHS 2009-2011

- In 2011, 13 percent of high school students reported that they seriously considered suicide in the past year and nine percent reported that they planned for it; eight percent reported actually attempting suicide. High school students who reported that they had planned suicide decreased from 11 percent in 2009 to nine percent in 2011.
Mental Health and Substance Abuse Co-Occurrence

Indicator Description: CO-OCCURRING SUBSTANCE USE AND SUICIDAL BEHAVIOR AMONG YOUTH. This indicator explores the relationship between alcohol use within the past 30 days and suicidal behavior. It reflects the likelihood of high school students to report that they planned or attempted suicide during the past year by whether they reported consuming alcohol in the past month.

Why Indicator is Important: The link between mental health and substance abuse is well documented. Alcohol is a depressant and its use by depressed individuals may increase suicidal behavior.

Data Source(s): MIYHS, 2009-2011

Summary: In 2009 and 2011, high school students who drank in the past month were more than twice as likely to have planned or attempted suicide as those who abstained.

Figure 74. Percent of students reporting suicidal behavior in the past year, by alcohol use in the past month: 2009 and 2011

Source: MIYHS 2009-2011

- In 2011, Fifteen percent of high school students who had consumed alcohol in the previous 30 days reported they had planned suicide and 12 percent reported that they attempted suicide in the past year. This compares to those students who did not drink alcohol in the previous 30 days, where only seven percent planned suicide and five percent attempted suicide.
Indicator Description: CO-OCCURRING MENTAL HEALTH AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT. This indicator reflects the proportion of treatment admissions for substance abuse where the individual has a mental health diagnosis or has previously received mental health services.

Why Indicator is Important: The link between mental health and substance abuse is well documented. In terms of treatment, it is important to know if one exists since the symptoms of each can affect the other.

Data Source(s): TDS, 2007-2011

Summary: In 2011, just over half of all substance abuse treatment admissions also involved a mental health disorder. More than one quarter had received outpatient mental health services in the past year.

![Figure 75. Percent of total treatment admissions with reported mental health disorders: 2007-2011](source: TDS, 2007-2011)

- In 2011, 52 percent of all substance abuse treatment admissions also had a diagnosed mental health disorder. The proportion has increased by seven percent since 2007. Beginning in 2007, Maine engaged in an initiative to better diagnose and treat individuals with co-occurring substance abuse and mental health disorders, which likely accounts for the observed increases.
In 2011, 29 percent of all substance abuse treatment admissions had received outpatient mental health services in the past year; this has increased from 24 percent in 2007.

Ten percent of substance abuse treatment admissions in 2011 reported a psychiatric admission (meaning hospitalization due to mental health) within the past two years. This has remained relatively stable since 2007.
Treatment Admissions for Substance Abuse

Substance abuse treatment admissions are an indicator of how many people receive treatment for a substance abuse problem. Treatment admission data should not be used as an indicator of the magnitude of the problems related to substance abuse. Rather, treatment should been seen a major consequence stemming from substance use and one that requires many resources. Information regarding treatment admissions also provides useful information about the patterns of substance use among various populations.

Treatment related to substance abuse is measured in two forms: substance abuse treatment program admissions and general hospital admissions related to substance abuse problems. These admissions can be voluntary, but they can also be court-ordered. Hospital admissions (including both inpatient and outpatient services) with the primary diagnosis related to substance abuse problems are an indicator of how many people experiencing hospitalization are doing so with substance abuse problems. These substance-related problems can include diagnoses of intoxication, substance abuse or dependence, and poisonings. As previously stated, these data should not be used to measure the prevalence of substance abuse within Maine.

The overall number of Mainers seeking treatment has been declining since 2007, from 14,843 to 11,380 in 2011. Mainers continued to seek out treatment for abuse involving a wide array of substances besides alcohol; in 2011 there were 4,421 admissions for alcohol as the primary substance. This was followed by synthetic opioids (3,630) and marijuana (1,094).

**Figure 77. Primary treatment admissions by substance: 2011**

Source: TDS, 2011
Alcohol

Indicator Description: TREATMENT ADMISSIONS RELATED TO ALCOHOL. This measure reflects substance abuse treatment admissions in which alcohol was listed as the primary, secondary, or tertiary substance for which treatment was sought. The analysis excludes admissions for shelter/detoxification services.

Why Indicator is Important: The number of substance abuse treatment admissions is bound by both the need and the capacity for treatment. Therefore, treatment admissions data do not provide a good indication of substance use, abuse or dependence. They do, however, provide an indication of service usage and the impact of substance use on the behavioral healthcare system.

Data Source(s): TDS, 2007-2011

Summary: Alcohol continues to be the most frequent substance for which Mainers seek treatment, although the number of treatment admissions for alcohol has decreased since 2007. The proportion in which alcohol accounts for primary admissions has been gradually decreasing since 2007.

![Figure 78. Number of treatment admissions where alcohol was the primary, secondary, or tertiary substance: 2007-2011](chart)

Source: TDS, 2007-2011

- Overall, the number of treatment admissions for alcohol has decreased since 2007 as a primary (4,421), secondary (921) or tertiary (553) substance for which treatment was sought.
In 2011, 39 percent of all primary treatment admissions were alcohol related which has decreased from 51 percent in 2007. As a proportion of secondary or tertiary substances, alcohol admissions have remained relatively stable over that time period.

Source: TDS, 2007-2011
**Synthetic Opioids**

**Indicator Description:** TREATMENT ADMISSIONS RELATED TO SYNTHETIC OPIOIDS. This measure reflects substance abuse treatment admissions in which synthetic opioids are listed as the primary, secondary, or tertiary substance for which treatment is sought. This excludes methadone, buprenorphine, heroin, morphine or opium. This analysis also excludes admissions for shelter/detoxification services.

**Why Indicator is Important:** The number of substance abuse treatment admissions is bound by both the need and the capacity for treatment. Therefore, treatment admission data do not provide a good indication of substance use, abuse or dependence. They do, however, provide an indication of service usage and the impact of substance use on the behavioral healthcare system.

**Data Source(s):** TDS, 2007-2011

**Summary:** Synthetic opiates are the second most frequent substance for which treatment is sought in Maine. After a three year period (2008-2010) of stability, the number of treatment admissions related to synthetic opiates appears to have decreased slightly.

![Figure 80. Number of treatment admissions where synthetic opioids was the primary, secondary, or tertiary substance: 2007-2011](image)

**Source:** TDS, 2007-2011

- In 2011, there were 3,630 primary treatment admissions involving synthetic opiates. The number of admissions where synthetic opiates are listed as a secondary substance has recently decreased, after a steady rise from 2007 to 2010.
In 2011, synthetic opiates were the primary substance for which treatment was sought in 32 percent of all treatment admissions and were listed the secondary substance in 28 percent of admissions that listed a second substance.

Source: TDS, 2007-2011
Marijuana

**Indicator Description:** TREATMENT ADMISSIONS RELATED TO MARIJUANA. This measure reflects substance abuse treatment admissions in which marijuana is listed as the primary, secondary, or tertiary substance for which treatment is sought. This analysis excludes admissions for shelter/detoxification services.

**Why Indicator is Important:** The number of substance abuse treatment admissions is bound by both the need and the capacity for treatment. Therefore, treatment admissions data do not provide a good indication of substance use, abuse or dependence. They do, however, provide an indication of service usage and the impact of substance use on the behavioral healthcare system.

**Data Source(s):** TDS, 2007-2011

**Summary:** Marijuana tends to be listed as a secondary or tertiary substance for which treatment is sought. Overall, treatment admissions for marijuana have been decreasing since 2008.

![Figure 82. Number of treatment admissions where marijuana was the primary, secondary, or tertiary substance: 2007-2011](source)

- In 2011, marijuana was listed a secondary substance in more treatment admissions than as a primary substance (1,974 compared to 1,035). This pattern has remained relatively consistent since 2007. Total treatment admissions for marijuana have decreased from 5,577 in 2008 to 4,103 in 2011.
In 2011, marijuana accounted for a small proportion of primary treatment admissions (10 percent), but accounted for 28 percent of secondary admissions; a five percent decrease since 2007. Marijuana also accounted for about one-quarter (27 percent) of tertiary admissions in 2011.

Source: TDS, 2007-2011
Heroin/Morphine

Indicator Description: **TREATMENT ADMISSIONS RELATED TO HEROIN/MORPHINE.** This measure reflects substance abuse treatment admissions in which heroin or morphine is listed as the primary, secondary, or tertiary substance for which treatment is sought. This analysis excludes admissions for shelter/detoxification services.

**Why Indicator is Important:** The number of substance abuse treatment admissions is bound by both the need and the capacity for treatment. Therefore, treatment admissions data do not provide a good indication of substance use, abuse or dependence. They do, however, provide an indication of service usage and the impact of substance use on the behavioral healthcare system.

**Data Source(s):** TDS, 2007-2011

**Summary:** Total treatment admissions for heroin or morphine have been decreasing since 2009. The proportion of primary admissions related to heroin or morphine increased slightly from 2010 to 2011.

![Figure 84. Number of treatment admissions where heroin/morphine was the primary, secondary, or tertiary substance: 2007-2011](image)

- In 2011, there were 992 admissions in which heroin or morphine was the primary substance for which treatment was sought; they were listed as a secondary substance in 515 cases. After increasing in 2009 to 2,240 total treatment admissions for heroin or morphine, overall admissions related to these substances appear to have decreased to 1,740 in 2011.
Heroin was listed as the primary substance in 8.7 percent of all treatment admissions in 2011, representing an increase of almost two percentage points since 2010. Secondary and tertiary admissions for heroin have remained fairly stable since 2007.

Source: TDS, 2007-2011
Cocaine/ Crack

Indicator Description: TREATMENT ADMISSIONS RELATED TO CRACK/COCAINE. This measure reflects substance abuse treatment admissions in which cocaine or crack is listed as the primary, secondary, or tertiary substance for which treatment is sought. This analysis excludes admissions for shelter/detoxification services.

Why Indicator is Important: The number of substance abuse treatment admissions is bound by both the need and the capacity for treatment. Therefore, treatment admissions data do not provide a good indication of substance use, abuse or dependence. They do, however, provide an indication of service usage and the impact of substance use on the behavioral healthcare system.

Data Source(s): TDS, 2007-2011

Summary: After gradually decreasing from 2007 to 2010, the proportions of primary, secondary, and tertiary admissions in which treatment for crack or cocaine was sought increased in 2011.

Figure 86. Number of treatment admissions where cocaine/crack was the primary, secondary, or tertiary substance: 2007-2011

- In 2011, cocaine and crack were listed as the primary substance for which treatment was sought in 539 admissions and was more likely to be listed as a secondary (671) substance. Treatment admissions for cocaine or crack as the primary, secondary, and tertiary reason for treatment has been steadily decreasing, from 2,881 in 2007 to 1,631 in 2011.
Figure 87. Percent of total treatment admissions where cocaine/crack was the primary, secondary, or tertiary substance: 2007-2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Primary</th>
<th>Secondary</th>
<th>Tertiary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: TDS, 2007-2011

- Treatment admissions for crack or cocaine increased from three to four percent as a primary substance, from nine to 10 percent as a secondary substance, and from 12 to 14 percent as a tertiary substance.
Methadone

Indicator Description: **TREATMENT ADMISSIONS RELATED TO METHADONE.** This measure reflects substance abuse treatment admissions in which methadone is listed as the primary, secondary, or tertiary substance for which treatment is sought. This analysis excludes admissions for shelter/detoxification services.

**Why Indicator is Important:** The number of substance abuse treatment admissions is bound by both the need and the capacity for treatment. Therefore, treatment admissions data do not provide a good indication of substance use, abuse or dependence. They do, however, provide an indication of service usage and the impact of substance use on the behavioral healthcare system.

Data Source(s): TDS, 2007-2011

Summary: Although the number of admissions where methadone was the primary substance for which treatment was sought decreased in 2011, the proportions of total treatment admissions where methadone was the primary, secondary, or tertiary substance have been slowly increasing since 2007.

![Figure 88. Number of treatment admissions where methadone was the primary, secondary, or tertiary substance: 2007-2011](source)

- After a steady increase between 2007 and 2010, the total number of admissions decreased from 1,123 in 2010 to 1,089 in 2011. There were 528 admissions in which methadone was listed as the primary substance for which treatment was sought, 315 admissions in which it was listed as a secondary substance, and 246 admissions in which it was listed as a tertiary substance.
Methadone as a tertiary substance for treatment accounts for 6.3 percent of admissions, an increase of 1.4 percent since 2007. The proportion of admissions for methadone as a primary substance increased from 2.4 percent in 2007 to 4.6 percent in 2011.
Benzodiazepines

Indicator Description: **TREATMENT ADMISSIONS RELATED TO BENZODIAZEPINES.** This measure reflects substance abuse treatment admissions in which benzodiazepines are listed as the primary, secondary, or tertiary substance for which treatment is sought. Benzodiazepines are psychoactive drugs that are used to treat anxiety, insomnia, agitation, seizures, muscle spasms and alcohol withdrawal. This analysis excludes admissions for shelter/detoxification services.

Why Indicator is Important: The number of substance abuse treatment admissions is bound by both the need and the capacity for treatment. Therefore, treatment admissions data do not provide a good indication of substance use, abuse or dependence. They do, however, provide an indication of service usage and the impact of substance use on the behavioral healthcare system.

Data Source(s): TDS, 2007-2011

Summary: Both the number and proportion of total treatment admissions involving benzodiazepines have remained relatively stable since 2007.

Figure 90. Number of treatment admissions where benzodiazepines were the primary, secondary, or tertiary substance: 2007-2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tertiary</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: TDS, 2007-2011

- In 2011, benzodiazepines were listed as a secondary substance for which treatment was sought in 324 admissions and as a tertiary substance for 258 admissions. Overall, treatment admissions for benzodiazepines have remained fairly stable since 2007.
Figure 91. Percent of total treatment admissions where benzodiazepines was the primary, secondary, or tertiary substance: 2007-2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertiary</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: TDS, 2007-2011

- As a proportion of total primary, secondary and tertiary admissions, benzodiazepines have remained relatively stable since 2007.
Conclusion

Alcohol is the substance most often used by Mainers across the lifespan and the substance for which most seek treatment. Great progress has been made towards reducing the rate of alcohol use among Maine’s youth, as evidenced by the most recent data trends that show an overall decline in both lifetime use and past 30-day use of alcohol. However, among high school students who had consumed alcohol, close to one-third reported starting before the age of 13. Moreover, young adults are the most likely age group to binge drink and to drink heavily although it appears that rates of use among 18 to 20 year olds have declined recently. This age group also has the highest rates of motor vehicle crashes and crash fatalities, especially young men. Finally, alcohol is the primary presenting factor in most substance abuse treatment admissions in Maine.

Prescription drugs represent a serious public health concern for Maine. While pinpointing the extent of prescription drug use is difficult because of a wide range of definitions among various data sources and lack of comparable data, seven percent of high school students had used prescription drugs for a reason other than their intended purpose in the past 30 days. Among adults, 14 percent of young adults ages 18-25 had used pain relievers for non-medical purposes within the past year. Finally, prescription drug misuse continues to have a large impact on treatment and hospitalizations in Maine.

In terms of illicit drugs, the most commonly used illegal drug in Maine is marijuana. Among the 18-25 year old population, 26 percent of young adults used marijuana in the past month, and only 15 percent think smoking marijuana once per month poses great risks. Moreover, recent data indicate that 22 percent of high school students in Maine have used marijuana in the past 30 days and more than one-fifth of current users started using marijuana before the age of 13. While over half of all high school students think that regular use of marijuana poses moderate to great risks of harm, this appears to be decreasing. Although there are few consequences directly related to marijuana, early use has been associated with later adolescent problems that limit skills for employment, increase the risk of contracting human immunodeficiency virus (HIV/AIDS) and of using illicit drugs.

The relationship between substance use and mental health has been well documented. About one-fifth of adults in Maine report having ever been diagnosed with depression. Young adults are more likely to report experiencing serious any mental illness in the past year than older adults (one in three). And about one in ten high school students considered or planned for suicide in 2011. Just over half of all substance abuse treatment admissions in 2011 also involved a mental health disorder; close to one third of those admitted had received outpatient mental health services in the past year. These mental health indicators should continue to be monitored in terms of the relationship they have with substance use and abuse.