

MAINE CENTER for Public Health

2010 LOCAL PUBLIC HEALTH SYSTEM ASSESSMENT



November 2010

Dear Colleague:

This report constitutes a systematic look at how public health services are coordinated, aligned and delivered by organizations of this District on behalf of the people who live, work, study and visit here. It sets a baseline from which to measure progress in aligning and coordinating the delivery of services provided by the District's public and private public health stakeholders.

The Department of Health and Human Services' Maine Center for Disease Control and Prevention provided federal funds to support the use of a national public health tool to assess the emerging public health systems in Maine's eight health districts. Over 352 public health stakeholders participated throughout Maine. All District Public Health System Assessment Reports are available at www.mainepublichealth.gov.

Working in partnership we can be more efficient, effective and focused in use of our resources within the District, and make Maine the healthiest state in the nation. We appreciate your interest and support for this vital work.

Sincerely,

Dora Anne Mills, MD, MPH

Oca Olmomiles

State Health Officer

Director, Maine Center for Disease Control and Prevention Maine Department of Health and Human Services

Central District Characteristics

How the District is organized

- The Central Public Health District covers Kennebec and Somerset counties.
- There are 62 municipal governments, including cities, towns, and plantations.
- The District serves all parts of its jurisdiction, including our townships (some with year-round or seasonal residents).
- The District's public health infrastructure includes a District Liaison, District Public Health Unit, and District Coordinating Council.

Who we are*

- 172,336 people with 35.9 persons per square mile (Census 2008 est.).
- 9,110 of us are younger than 5 years old, 35,772 are 18 years old, and 25,100 over 65 years old.
- 44.2% of our children are eligible for free or reduced school lunch.
- 15.3% of us are adults with a lifetime status of having less than a high school degree.
- We are enriched by our Franco-American heritage and growing diversity in race and ethnicity.
- Much more data on who we are can be found at www.mainepublichealth.gov.

^{*}see updated data from the new census at www.census.gov

2010 LOCAL PUBLIC HEALTH SYSTEM ASSESSMENT



This report is a snapshot in time that can serve as a roadmap to improve the delivery of public health services in our District. We had not appreciated how much all sectors of our community, not only health care organizations, can and do play a role in protecting and improving community health and quality of life. We came together to start a conversation about becoming more systematic about how we work together. We want to create the conditions that support everyone's chance to make healthy choices as live, learn, work and play.

Please feel free to use the information in this report in your own organization, either to leverage resources for your own organization or to find a place to contribute to our collective efforts in the vision we have for our District's emerging public health system. Now we have a baseline for measuring our progress!

We would appreciate your questions and feedback. Please tell us if this report has helped or influenced your organization. To contact us by phone or email, find our District's information at www.mainepublichealth.gov.

Emelie Van Egan Paula Thomson
Elected Central District Representative Central District
to the State Coordinating Council Public Health Liaison

Background

Strong and effective public health systems have the ability to...

- Improve the health of the public
- Protect the public's health
- Carry out the essential public health services
- Advocate on behalf of what's in the best interest of the public's health
- Work collaboratively with stakeholders, communities, volunteers, and others
- Decrease rising health care costs
- Secure public, private and foundation dollars for public health activities

The Instrument used to develop these scores comes from the National Public Health Performance Standards Program (NPHPSP) of the US CDC. It uses performance standards that represent the optimal level of performance that needs to exist so that the essential public health services provided will achieve the results we need and want.

The Maine Center for Disease Control and Prevention (MCDC) contracted with the Maine Center for Public Health (MCPH) to lead a formal District assessment process in 2009 for all eight Districts, including this one. This work required three meetings and a check-back meeting attended by a broad range of disparate partners representing the District jurisdiction, state and regional public health agency, community-based organizations, academic institutions, hospitals, health centers, public safety, school systems, environmental and social service organizations.

Scores were developed by submitting information on the local public health agency, the jurisdiction, the governing structure and entities represented during the assessment to the US CDC's online reporting system.

Participants were surveyed for their responses to the experience. Here as elsewhere the experience provided education about public health services, the interconnectedness of different sectors in contributing to public health, and opportunities for networking and new partnerships. The results will be used in the development of a District Health Improvement Plan and will inform Community Health Improvement Plans currently under development by the District's Healthy Maine Partnerships.

The full District report can be found at www.mainepublichealth.gov. It provides a brief overview of the ten essential public health services and the performance standards, and covers the purpose, tool, benefits and limitations. The report provides an overview of both the quantitative and qualitative results for each essential service.

2010 LOCAL PUBLIC HEALTH SYSTEM ASSESSMENT



Results

Overview

The Central Public Health Systems Assessment took place on March 24, 31 and April 8, meeting for approximately 3.5 hours each time. A total of 34 individuals participated in each, with an average attendance of 21. Because a limitation of this process is that the scores are subject to the biases and perspectives of those who participated in each meeting, the planning group attempted to recruit broadly across the district. Individuals at the meetings represented HMPs, health centers, hospitals, social service agencies, mental health organizations, tribes, land use planners, state agencies, local government, local health officers, emergency management agencies, law enforcement, schools and academic institutions.

Summary of Scores

EPH	S	SCORE	EPHS	SCORE
1.	Monitor Health Status to Identify Community Health Problems	36	6. Enforce Laws and Regulations that Protect Health and Ensure Safety	40
2.	Diagnose and Investigate Health Problems and Health Hazards	55	 Link People to Needed Personal Health Services and Assure the Provision of Health Care when Otherwise Unavailable 	25
3.	Inform, Educate, and Empower People about Health Issues	36	8 Assure a Competent Public and Personal Health Care Workforce	30
4. —	Mobilize Community Partnerships to Identify and Solve Health Problems	24	9. Evaluate Effectiveness, Accessibility, and Quality of Personal and Population-Based	
5.	Develop Policies and Plans that Support Individual and Community		Health Services 10. Research for New Insights and Innovative	39
-	Health Efforts	43	Solutions to Health Problems	21
Overall Performance Score 35				

Rank ordered performance scores for each Essential Service, by level of activity

