Substance Abuse Strategic Prevention Plan for Cumberland County June 2007

Introduction

In September 2006, Communities Promoting Health Coalition (CPHC), a program of the People's Regional Opportunity Program (PROP), was awarded a grant from the Maine Office of Substance Abuse to complete an assessment of substance abuse and create a strategic prevention plan for Cumberland County. The 10-month project was managed and organized by staff at CPHC but the process was carried out through the Cumberland County Strategic Planning and Environmental Programming Collective (known as "The Collective"). The Collective included representatives from every town in Cumberland County, school administrators, prevention specialists, enforcement officers, treatment providers, community organizers, and concerned community members. The Collective met monthly to complete an initial assessment, gather additional data and then use the information to create an informed strategic plan based on the needs specific to Cumberland County.

The assessment included pre-existing data from the following sources: Maine Youth Drug & Alcohol Use Surveys '06, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, ONE ME assessments, county arrest & overdose data, existing CPHC Community Assessment, Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance Survey, and Cultural Subpopulation Studies. In addition, focus groups were conducted with parents, youth, key community leaders, and other sub-groups. With the consideration of time and resources, the Collective had to prioritize the problem areas for assessment and for future action. The 5 preliminary priority areas assessed more closely were:

- High-risk drinking among youth
- High-risk drinking among young adults
- Marijuana use
- Prescription drug misuse
- Cocaine use.

In the strategic planning phase, it was determined that marijuana use and cocaine use were areas in which the Collective needs to build greater capacity in order to collect more definitive data. Evidence-based strategies informed by consequence and consumption data were able to be determined for the prevention of high-risk drinking among youth and young adults as well as prescription drug misuse. These 3 problem areas, with the addition of capacity building, make up the strategic plan for substance abuse prevention efforts within Cumberland County for the next 3 to 5 years. The focus of the first year will be limited to implementing strategies to decrease high-risk drinking among youth and young adults as well as establishing regional substance abuse action teams. The Collective will remain an advisory group for substance abuse prevention in Cumberland County while the regional substance abuse action teams and the community organizations and coalitions will implement the strategies.

Vision

"To build an inspired community response to drug and alcohol misuse where people of all ages are invested in creating and sustaining a safe, just, and healthy community"

Description of Geographic Areas Covered in the Strategic Plan and Collaborating Partners

The strategic plan created by the Cumberland County SPEP Collective covers all of

Cumberland County, with the exception of Brunswick and Harpswell. Those two areas have been traditionally serviced by Sagadahoc County and were therefore included into their substance abuse strategic planning process.

Cumberland County is one of the most diverse counties in the state of Maine geographically and demographically. Geographically, a third of the towns border Casco Bay and have ocean water access. A third of the towns are situated around Sebago Lake and are in the foothills of the Maine Mountains. Demographically, in the eastern section of the county there are high population density areas in Portland, South Portland, and Westbrook, while in the western area the population is much more spread out and rural. There are high poverty areas throughout the county as well as very affluent areas, mostly within Portland, and in the immediate suburban communities. Portland is very ethnically and racially diverse, where as towns in the western region are mostly Caucasian. Many of the towns in between are becoming more ethnically diverse with migration from Portland and direct immigration from other countries.

One of the goals of this project was to have representation on the Collective from as many communities as possible. Throughout the assessment and strategic planning process, the project manager and the core group were recruiting new members to ensure broad representation. By the end of the process, almost all towns were represented on the collective, numerous countywide organizations were participating, and people representing various ethnic groups were involved. Below is a list of all the participating members and their organizational affiliation:

Name Organization

Liz Blackwell- Communities Promoting Health Coalition

Moore

Dona Forke Community Partnership Bridgton Community Center

Carmen Lone Bridgton Community Center
Christina Lamarre Raymond Mentoring Partnership

Sandy Hale Westbrook School Dept.

Kaki Dimock
 Lucie Rioux
 Amanda Beal
 Erica Schmitz
 Youth and Resiliency Project at PROP
 Communities Promoting Health Coalition
 Medical Care Development/ 21 Reasons

Malory Shaughnessy Maine Association of Prevention Programs/ Cumberland County

Commissioners Office

Ronnie Katz Health and Human Services

Bethany Sanborn City of Portland Public Health Division
Tina Harnett City of Portland Public Health Division

Pettingil

Lee Anne Dodge USM

Steve Danzig Counseling Services

Scott Gagnon Day One

Carol Troy The Women's Project

Margaret Jones Day One

Bob Scarpelli South Portland Police Dept.
Carter Friend United Way of Greater Portland

mike Clifford Portland Public Schools

Peter Vollk Cumberland Police Department

Karen Caprio MSAD #15

Robin Haley Falmouth High School

John Kenney Greely Middle and High School

Melissa Fochesato 21 Reasons

Colleen Taylor- Healthy Androscoggin and Community member of Gray

Capano

Lynn Doxey Minortiy Health at Portland Public Health

Stephanie Duggan District Attorney's office

Martin Lynch Gray/New Gloucester Middle School

Description of Planning Team and Process

Cumberland County had one SPEP project manager overseeing the assessment and strategic planning project. Guiding the process was the "Core Group", made up of 2 DFC managers (CPHC region and Portland), one substance abuse prevention specialist from the City of Portland, one HMP director, one youth and resiliency director from PROP, and the SPEP project manager. This core group met at least monthly to help the project manager set the agenda for the larger Collective meetings, determine what information and data was useful and important to the process, and how to approach the assessment and planning process. This group was not the decision making body, but helped the project manager to steer the process towards completion with their knowledge and expertise in substance abuse prevention, public health, collaborations, and/or strategic planning.

The Cumberland County SPEP Collective, (known as "The Collective") was the larger planning team representing the communities of Cumberland County. The Collective met monthly throughout the project and was tasked with making all the decisions about the direction of the assessment and strategic plan. Here is a timeline of the major decisions in the Collective process:

November '06: Determined the initial substance abuse areas of concern

January '06: Reviewed initial assessment and determined what information still needed to be gathered

February '07: Prioritized which groups of people to have in the focus groups and who to invite to the key leader meetings

April '07: Prioritized the intervening variables (high importance and high changeability)

April '07: Created the strategic plan

May '07: Prioritized the strategic plan to create a one-year action plan

Processes Used to Interpret Information and Make Decisions

Throughout the project, the project manager gathered and aggregated all the data and information. The Core group assisted the project manager in determining what information was crucial to the process and what could be left behind. This was accomplished through consensus of all 6 members of the group. When there was disagreement, all information pertaining to the disagreement remained.

The Collective was then able to produce a summary of important information, from which they could make informed decisions. The project manager facilitated the Collective

meetings and led the collective through the decision-making processes. Often the Collective would break into small groups, come up with answers to any questions that were on the table, and report back to the larger group for discussion and consensus. During the many prioritization processes, each member of the collective would receive a dot to place by the item they wished to prioritize. The item with the most dots was ranked #1 and so on. There was always a discussion after the voting to ensure that everyone agreed to the order and that nothing needed to be changed or altered.

After the Collective made decisions, the project manager would synthesize the decision made in the meeting minutes or in a separate document and send back to the Collective. This was to make certain that people who could not attend the meeting were still on track with the process and could raise concerns if they had any.

Prioritization of Goals and Objectives

There were 2 separate processes that took place to prioritize the goals and the objectives. Prioritizing the goals was a multi-part process. The first round of prioritization came in the beginning of the project after the initial assessment was completed. To identify what additional data needed to be gathered, the Collective had to determine what substances were the priority in Cumberland County. Using guidelines from OSA, the Collective chose the OSA priorities of high-risk drinking for youth and young adults, marijuana, as well as prescription drug misuse and cocaine use. After the focus groups and key leader meetings were completed, the Collective again prioritized the goals for the strategic plan. The Collective was separated into 4 small groups to answer a series of questions that led them to prioritize the substances of concern. The group came up with high-risk drinking for youth and young adults and prescription drug misuse. Cocaine became the priority for capacity building activities, as did marijuana. After the strategic plan was created, the group prioritized the goals again by voting to determine what the group could begin to work on in year one. Prescription drug misuse was dropped from the priorities through this process.

In terms of the objectives, the Collective established the priority objectives during the strategic planning process. Using the prioritization matrix given to the Project manager by OSA, the Collective assessed which intervening variables were of high importance and high changeability. After creating the priority list, the Collective was shown the OSA priorities to gauge how OSA's work may affect the ability of this group to change intervening variables once assumed to be to difficult to tackle. Once the intervening variables were determined, the group worked on building the strategies and capacity to achieve the goals

Strategic Planning Grid:

Please see the Appendix D: Comprehensive Approach Strategy Models for details on the resources and citations for the strategies listed in the Strategic Planning Grid.

Please see the Planning Grid below.

Strategic Plan

Summary of Strategies for High Risk Drinking for 12-17 year olds

Problem: High incidence of under age drinking, binge drinking and negative consequences from alcohol use for 12-17 year olds.

Goal: Reduce high risk drinking among youth 12-17 years old

Objective	Strategies	Benchmarks	CapacityBuilding Actions
Increase effectiveness of law enforcement policies & practices	Primary: Coalition building between law enforcement and prevention community 1. Increase enforcement actions 2. Department Policies 3. Training of Officers 4. Publicizing incidents related to underage alcohol use	Increase the number of violations of underage drinking, hosting, and furnishing -Use '08 and '10 MYDAUS data for change in youth getting caught by police or parents, and the number of youth who believe their parents and the community disapprove of alcohol use among youth	
Increase use of recommended parental monitoring practices	Primary: Social Marketing Campaign and parent media campaign 1. Disseminate materials at parent events (PTA/PTO, parent/teacher conferences, school activities) 2. Disseminate materials to Dr.'s office 3. Policy at schools for parental notification of use by child and dissemination of materials on monitoring	-Use '08 and '10 MYDAUS Data to see change in the number of youth who believe their parents and the community disapprove of alcohol use among youth	Build relationships with schools, physicians,
Increase effectiveness of retailers' policies & practices that restrict underage access	1. Build local coalitions to contact the local retailers to help them be in compliance with laws and to create policies to decrease underage access to alcohol 2. Retail compliance checks 3. Merchant/ seller education	-Use '08 and '10 MYDAUS data to assess any change in the ease of retail access for youth	Build local substance abuse action teams in areas that current do not have them

	4. Work to implement policies at retail stores around training requirements, checking ID,		
Increase Knowledge of Risks of underage drinking	1. Disseminate material about the health risks associated with alcohol use to parents during "parental monitoring" intervention 2. Involve parents in school substance abuse curriculum-homework for kids that includes parents and/or guardians 3. "Protecting You/Protecting Me" (model program that is curriculum based) 4. Peer to peer education around risks of alcohol use	-Use '08 and '10 MYDAUS data to assess any change in perception of risk among youth -Conduct a parent survey to assess knowledge of risks	-Build relationship with schools and workplaces to lubricate the flow of information to parents at school and work -Increase ability to evaluate parents knowledge by looking for more grant funding
Decrease social access to alcohol	1. Combined with Enforcement, use media to publicize the penalties and consequences of furnishing to minors. 2. Sticker Shock 3. "Parents who host lose the most" information dissemination 4. Furnishing education sessions with people over 21	-Use '08 and '10 MYDAUS data to assess any change in perception of the ease of access -Conduct parent survey to assess knowledge of furnishing laws and furnishing behavior	
Increase effectiveness of School substance abuse policies	1. Utilize YAP programs to change school climate through peer to peer activities 2. Utilize LRP programs to engage high-risk youth to foster skills for carrying out community projects that benefit peers and community. 3. Support Natural Helpers (Day one) programs in schools that provide peer listening and support programs for	-At least 30% of schools in the county operating YAP Programs -Use YAP and LRP evaluations to see change in substance abuse among participants -All schools in the county administer MYDAUS -30% of high schools following OSA guidelines for school policies -Use '08 and '10 MYDAUS data to see	Continue to build relationships with schools, school guidance, principles, and superintendents

	youth to not use substances 4. Establish youth councils as liason's to school board, town council and policy creation boards 5. Train Schools how to use MYDAUS data 6. Support Mentoring programs in the schools	change in youth substance abuse and specifically youth high-risk drinking	
Increase community involvement in substance abuse prevention	1. Positive Tickets- which includes increasing effectiveness of enforcement practices, increasing knowledge of health risks, and increasing effectiveness of practices that limit social access 2. Building local coalitions that have the capacity to work on the other intervening variables required by OSA	-Use youth crime data and '08 and '10 MYDAUS data to assess youth perception of community involvement	Build local coalitions to address substance abuse prevention in their communities
Decrease initiation and increased use during developmental transition periods	Primary: Implement prevention strategies during known developmental transition periods (puberty, adolescence, graduation from school) 1. Teacher education on detecting students at risk and referral to youth programming or counseling	-Use '08 and '10 MYDAUS data to see change in initiation and use	Continue building relationships between schools and local substance abuse action teams

Summary of Strategies for High Risk Drinking for 18-25 year olds

Problem: High incidence of under age drinking, binge drinking and negative consequences from alcohol use for 18-25 year olds.

Goal: Reduce high risk drinking among young adults age 18-25 years old

Intervening Variable	Strategies	Benchmarks	CapacityBuilding Actions
Increase knowledge of		Use results from USM survey's	

health risks of high-risk	1. Distribute information about	on drug and alcohol use to see	
drinking	personalized assessment-feedback and brief	changes in knowledge	
umming	intervention services	-Create an evaluation tool to	
	2. Media advocacy around health	survey young adults in the	
	and safety risks of high-risk drinking	broader community who may not	
	3. Work with colleges and	attend college	
	workplaces to distribute informational	attend conege	
	materials and make an employers drug-free		
	workplace policy known to all employees		
	4. Use e-CHUG as educational tool		
	5. Promote alternative activities to		
	alcohol use		
	6. Work with students to create peer		
	to peer education around risks of high-risk		
	drinking and furnishing		
Decrease promotions and		-Count number of visible	Build relationships with
pricing that encourage high-	1. Collaborate with retailers to limit	promotions at various stores pre	retailers
risk drinking	promotions that encourage high-risk	and post- implementation	
	drinking	- Large employers of young	
	2. Educate merchants about the	adults and 100% of colleges	
	negative impacts of low pricing and	receive education material about	
	promotions	high-risk drinking at sanctioned	
	3. Use media to increase public	events	
	awareness	-Increase number of compliance	
	4. Help create or educate about	checks and violations by 25%	
	policies at Colleges and workplaces that		
	reduce high-risk drinking at parties		
	supported by the college or employer		
	5. Work with law enforcement to		
	check compliance of pricing and promotion		
	laws		
Increase knowledge of	1.0.111	-Use college surveys to assess	-Build relationships with large
negative effects of high-risk	1. Social Norms Campaign on	knowledge of students.	employers of the 18-25 year
drinking in the School and	Campuses	-Survey employees to assess	old population

Workplace Environments	2. Work with Schools to create or revisit comprehensive policies to reduce high-risk drinking 3. Work with employers to maintain drug-free workplace, provide employees with materials on policies, health and occupational risks of use, and enforcement of policies 4. Provide technical assistance to workplaces in policy creation, back-to-work agreements, treatment options, etc.	knowledge	- Increase ability to evaluate employees knowledge by looking for more grant funding
Increase effectiveness of law enforcement policies & practices	Consistent enforcement of underage drinking/furnishing and hosting laws on and off campus Colistribute warning posters to remind servers of liquor and liability laws Collaborate with police and campus security to come up with policies for enforcement	-Use college data to assess change -Survey college security to assess perception of effectiveness of enforcement	
Decrease access to alcohol for underage	1. Provide information to a broad audience about furnishing and consequences of furnishing 2. Advocate for alternative activities		

Summary of Strategies for: Rx Misuse

Problem: Misuse of Prescription drugs **Goal:** Reduce prescription drug misuse

Intervening Variable	Strategies	Benchmarks	CapacityBuilding Actions
Increase knowledge of risk		-Use '08 and '10	Create a Rx task force to build
	1. Educate youth and parents	MYDAUS data to	partnerships to disseminate
	about the risks of misusing prescription	assess knowledge	educational material
	drugs		
	2. Educate general population		

	about proper disposal of Rx		
Increase effectiveness of prevention efforts on College campuses	1. Collaborate with schools, enforcement, and prevention partners to put Rx misuse on the radar as a problem for youth and young adults 2. Work with school nurses to educate student body about dangers of misusing prescription drugs	-Use college data collection to assess Rx use	
Build Capacity of the Collective to address other substance abuse problems	1. Continue to collaborate on a county-wide level through the Collective 2. Identify funding opportunities that allow for data collection and continued assessment of substance abuse problems		

Capacity Building Priorities

Throughout the strategic planning process, the Collective identified areas of concern that were seemingly very problematic in Cumberland County based on the limited data available. Because of the limited data, the Collective was not able to pinpoint the contributing factors of these particular problems. Without knowing the contributing factors, strategies that could be employed to prevent these problems would potentially be ineffective because their causal factors are still unknown. Therefore, the Collective chose the following areas to concentrate on in our capacity building so that in the future the contributing factors can be identified and strategies implemented to ensure effective prevention:

- 1. Continued data collection on non-college 18-25 year old population
- 2. Continued data collection for Cocaine and creation of a task force
- 3. Finding consequence data for Marijuana use

Action Plan

In the first year, the 4 regions of Cumberland County will be establishing the substance abuse action teams. Members of the Collective and of the Cumberland County Underage Drinking Enforcement Task Force (CCUDETF), will be recruiting members from the local community, establishing a meeting site and time, as well as building the energy and capacity of the teams. In the first year, the teams will take on all the required OSA objectives and will also work to increase the effectiveness of school substance abuse policies. The Collective prioritized these objectives based on the importance and changeability of the objectives, as well as the capacity of the fledgling action teams to carry out the objectives in year one. Below is the one-year Action Plan:

	One Year Action Plan					
Goals	Objectives	Prevention Activities and Capacity Building Activities	Timeline	Who is Responsible	Measures	
Reduce high-risk drinking among youth (12- 17)	Increase effectiveness of law enforcement policies & practices	Activities: -Continue to support the Cumberland County Underage Drinking Enforcement Task Force (CCUDETF) in their collaborative effort to increase the effectiveness of police department policies, train officers in best practices, and publicize incidents related to underage alcohol useContinue to support the mini-grant process that the CCUDETF has utilized to help departments staff overtime "Party Patrol" officers on weekends and have funds to do compliance checks on alcohol	Begin in Sept. '07	Substance Abuse Project Staff (Lead) The Cumberland County Underage Drinking Task Force (CCUDETF) The Cumberland County SPEP Collective (The	Increase the number of violations of underage drinking, Hosting, furnishing by 25% -Use '08 and '10 MYDAUS data for change in number of youth who believe that they'd be caught by police or parents, and the number of youth who believe their parents and the community disapprove of alcohol use among youth	
Reduce high-risk drinking among youth (12- 17)	Increase use of recommended parental monitoring practices	retailers Activities: -Utilize the parental monitoring material created by OSA for distribution in a local social marketing campaign -Disseminate materials at parent events (PTA/PTO, parent teacher conferences, school activities) -Disseminate materials to Dr's offices -Advocate for school policies that employ the use of the parental monitoring materials for parents of students who do not follow the school substance abuse policies Capacity Activities: -Build relationships with Dr's offices, and continue to build relationships with schools Activities:	Begin in Oct. '07	Collective) OSA to provide some materials Substance Abuse Project Staff (Lead) The Collective Action Teams Community Groups and Coalitions	-Distribute materials to parents in every school district -Distribute materials to 10% of Doctor's offices -Use '08 and '10 MYDAUS data to see change in the number of youth who believe their parents and the community disapprove of alcohol use among youth	

Reduce	Increase	-Build local coalitions within the county	Begin in	OSA to provide	-50 % (350 of 790) of retailers
high-risk	effectiveness of	to engage with local retailers on	Oct. '07	info on CARD	receive education materials and
drinking	retailers' policies &	compliance with laws, creating policies to	361. 07	ME campaign	invitations to trainings
among	practices that	decrease underage access to alcohol, and		WIE campaign	-10% (80 of 790) of retailers
youth (12-	restrict underage	required trainings for employees		Substance Abuse	trained by end of year 1
17)	access	regarding underage drinking		Project Staff	-10% of retailers have suggested
1/)	uccess	-Hold educational trainings for		(Lead)	policies in place
		merchants/retailers on store policies,		(Lead)	-Use '08 and '10 MYDAUS data
		compliance checks, checking Ids, training		The Collective	to assess any change in the ease of
		requirements, etc.			access for youth
		Capacity Building:		Action Teams	access for yourn
		-Continue to engage with retailers to get		Community	
		them involved on local substance abuse		Groups and	
		action teams		Coalitions	
		Activities:			-Add 5 new YAP Programs
Reduce	Increase	-Utilize YAP programs to change school	Begin in	OSA to provide	-All YAP and LRP programs
high-risk	effectiveness of	Climate through peer to peer prevention	Sept. '07	policy information	administer a pre and post-
drinking	School substance	activities	1	for schools	evaluation
among	abuse policies	-Utilize LRP programs to engage high-			-All school districts in the county
youth (12-	1	risk youth to foster skills for carrying out		Substance Abuse	invited to a MYDAUS training
17)		community projects that benefit peers and		Project Staff	-All middle and high-schools
,		the community		(Lead)	receive educational materials
		-Support Natural Helpers programs in			regarding OSA guidelines for
		schools that provide peer listening and		The Collective	effective school substance abuse
		support program for youth to not use			policies
		substances		Action Teams	-30% of high schools following
		-Establish youth councils as liaison's to			OSA guidelines for school policies
		school board, town council and policy		Community	-Use '08 MYDAUS data to see
		creation boards		Groups and	change in the number of youth
		- Train schools how to use MYDAUS		Coalitions	who believe their school policy is
		data			an effective deterrent to alcohol
		- Review & distribute OSA school policy			use
		recommendations by Collective, regional			
		Action Teams, and local coalitions;			
		Develop dissemination plans			
		Capacity Activities:			

Reduce high-risk drinking among young adults (18- 25)	Increase knowledge of health risks of high-risk drinking	-Continue to build relationships with Schools -Get commitment from schools to continue to administer MYDAUS Activities: -Distribute information about personalized assessment-feedback and brief intervention services -Media advocacy around health and safety risks of high-risk drinking -Work with colleges and workplaces to distribute informational materials and make an employers drug-free workplace policy known to all employees -Work with Colleges to create peer to peer education around risks of high-risk drinking and furnishing Capacity Activities: -Adapt information from colleges to workplace setting -Create an evaluation tool for non-college population	Oct. '07	Substance Abuse Project Staff (Lead) The Collective Action Teams Community Groups and Coalitions	-Use results from USM survey's on drug and alcohol use to see changes in knowledge -Create an evaluation tool to survey young adults in the broader community who may not attend college
Reduce high-risk drinking among young adults (18- 25)	Decrease promotions and pricing that encourage high-risk drinking	Activities: -Educate merchants about negative impact and advocate for limiting promotions that encourage high-risk drinking -Help create or educate about policies at colleges and workplaces that reduce high-risk drinking at parties supported by the college or an employer -Work with Law enforcement to check compliance of pricing and promotion laws Capacity Activity: -Increase knowledge around mitigating the negative financial effects to retailers	Begin in Oct. '07	Substance Abuse Project Staff (Lead) The Collective Action Teams Community Groups and Coalitions	-Count number of visible promotions at various stores pre and post- implementation -50% (350 out of 790) of retailers receive educational material about the negative impacts of promotions Large employers of young adults and 100% of colleges receive education material about high-risk drinking at sanctioned events -increase number of compliance checks and violations by 25%

Sustainability

Communities Promoting Health Coalition along with the City of Portland, Public Health Division, wrote the proposal for SPF-SIG Phase III funding for Cumberland County. This grant will cover most of the cost of the strategies to meet the objectives outlined in the one-year work plan. 21 Reasons in Portland, and CPCH in the River's Region, are recipients of DFC funds. The DFC program allows these two regions to build the capacity of their substance abuse coalitions over the next few years and there is a full time substance abuse staff member available to help build that capacity. CPHC plans to write a proposal for a mentoring grant in order to receive funds for a DFC grant in the Lakes Region as well. This would enable the regions to have a full time staff person to build on the capacity of the substance abuse action teams, while the funds from the SPF-SIG would allow the action teams to have programming money to meet the objectives.

In order to fund strategies to meet the objectives on the broader 3-5 year strategic plan, various organizations on the Collective will be applying, or have already applied for funds from other grants. Any funds that an organization receives to meet the objectives on the strategic plan within Cumberland County will benefit the collective work as well. The funding sources listed below and the applicants of the grants are what is currently known. Collective member organizations are dedicated to constantly seeking out new funding sources and securing funding to meet their objectives.

	Funding Plan							
Planned activities/strategies (pull these from your action plan)	Estimated level of funding necessary	Potential funding sources	Steps to secure funding	Who is responsible				
Mini-grant awards to police departments to increase law enforcement policies and practices	At the minimum 10 PD's and support time \$57,000	SPF-SIG phase II	Completed proposal for grant	Substance Abuse Project Staff				
Coalition Building in the 4 regions of the county	48 meetings at \$50 per meeting \$2,400	-SPF-SIG Phase II -Drug Free Communities (DFC) Grant -DFC mentoring Grant	-Proposal Completed -Already receive DFC funding in 2 regions -Will apply for DFC mentoring in '08	- Substance Abuse Project Staff -CPHC DFC staff and 21 Reasons -CPHC DFC staff				
Parental monitoring campaign	\$4, 500 for radio campaign \$3,500 for materials \$8000	SPF-SIG Phase II	Completed proposal for the grant	Substance Abuse Project Staff				

Utilize YAP and LRP programs to change school climate and increase effectiveness of school policies	10 groups at \$1000 \$10,000	-SPF-SIG Phase II -DFC grant	-Completed proposal for the grant -Already receive DFC funding	Substance Abuse Project Staff
Distribute information about health risks of high-risk drinking	Materials for \$500	SPF-SIG Phase II	Completed proposal for the grant	Substance Abuse Project Staff
Train and educate retailers to increase effectives of policies and practices that restrict underage drinking	Train 80 retailers for \$8 each \$640	SPF-SIG Phase II	Completed proposal for the grant	Substance Abuse Project Staff
Educate retailers about the negative effects of promotions and low pricing and work to engage them on coalitions	Materials for \$500	SPF-SIG Phase II	Completed proposal for the grant	Substance Abuse Project Staff
Implementing a "Positive Tickets" campaign	\$74,000	Juvenile Justice Advisory Group`	Completed proposal for the grant	OMOP staff
Build capacity of the Collective to address additional substance abuse problems	Facilitator Cocaine Task Force \$5000	CBDG funds Alcohol tax money Future OSA funds	Continue to Advocate for re-alignment of existing funds	The Collective
Educate legislators of the importance of substance abuse prevention in order to build the capacity of the Collective and increase the effectiveness of all activities	\$50,000	Drug Policy Alliance	Completed proposal for the grant	CPHC substance abuse prevention staff