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## TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

MR. DUCHESNE: Well, good evening and thank you all for coming. I now call to order this public testimony session of the public hearing of the Maine Board of Environmental Protection on Nordic Aquafarms' proposal for an Atlantic salmon land-based aquaculture facility in Belfast and Northport. This hearing is to evaluate the applications submitted by Nordic for permits under the Natural Resources Protection Act, the Site Location of Development Act, the Water Pollution Control Laws and the Improvement and Protection of Air Laws.

This hearing is governed by the Maine Administrative Procedures Act, Title 5 of the Department of Environmental Protection's Rules Concerning the Processing of Applications, which is Chapter 2, and the Department's Rules Concerning the Conduct of Licensing Hearings, which is Chapter 3. Notice of this hearing was given in accordance with the Maine Administrative Procedures Act and our rules governing hearings. Notice was published three times in each of the following Maine newspapers, the Bangor Daily News, the Republican Journal, the Camden Herald and the Courier Gazette. While Nordic's permit applications will
ultimately be judged by the Board on all of the criteria of the permits it is seeking, this hearing is focusing on specific permitting criteria. We ask as best you can that you focus your testimony this evening on the following criteria, this is what most enables the Board to make a good decision; Nordic's financial capacity to construct and operate the proposed project, weight usage -- or water usage, I beg your pardon, potential impacts to wetlands including streams, freshwater wetlands and coastal wetlands, stormwater management and erosion and sedimentation control, potential impacts to exiting neighboring uses, potential impacts from blasting and odor, air emissions and wastewater discharge to Belfast Bay. That will be most interesting to the Board when it renders its decision.

And my name is Robert Duchesne. I am a member of the Board and the Presiding Officer for this hearing. I live in Hudson. Other members of the Board here this evening are Mark Draper of Caribou, Susan Lessard of Bucksport, James Parker of Veazie, Steven Pelletier of Yarmouth and Robert Sanford of Gorham.

Joining me from the Department of Environmental Protection this evening are Gregg Wood,

Dawn Hallowell, Beth Callahan, Kevin Martin, Dr. John Hopeck and David Madore up front here. Also here are Peggy Bensinger and Laura Jensen, Assistant Attorneys General and Counsel to the Department, Cynthia Bertocci, Board Executive Analyst and Ruth Ann Burke, Board Administrative Assistant.

Our hearing today is being transcribed by Dostie Reporting Service. In order to ensure an accurate transcript, I ask you to clarify the pronunciation of -- or spelling of your name when you do start to testify. Please speak clearly and not too quickly for the sake of the court reporter.

If you wish to speak, I hope you have signed up. If you have not, there are sign-up sheets just out by the doorway. Please sign-in on one of the appropriate sign-in sheets on the table in the corridor in support of the project or opposed to the project or neither for nor against the project. I will call names from the sign-in sheets one at a time for you to come to the microphone and speak. To ensure everyone gets an opportunity to speak, people wishing to testify tonight will have three minutes to speak. And I want to emphasize this is not out of disrespect to the people who have something to say over the first course of the evening but out of
respect to the people on the back half of the evening who need an equal amount of time to express their views and concerns to the Board so that we do get to hear from everybody as best we can. We have a timekeeper who will let me know when you are about to exceed your three minutes. As time permits, I will be lenient because we are very anxious to hear what you have to say. When I tell you that your time is up, please wrap up quickly and I will ask you to summarize. I ask that you be concise so that everyone has a chance to speak and please focus your testimony on the Department's hearing criteria.

Prior to presenting your testimony, please state your name, where you are from and who you are affiliated with. You may submit a written copy of your testimony if you wish to by handing it to David Madore. There are two reason for this, or really just one reason, we want to make it easy on our reporter. She doesn't necessarily have an easy time catching every word especially if the acoustics are bad on any given microphones, so if she has a copy of what you've provided after you're done delivering it that makes her job easier. Prior to presenting your testimony, please state your name -- I said that.

All participants in the public hearing this
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evening are expected to be courteous towards the Board, the Department Staff, each other and the general public. Please direct all testimony to me. Do not address or speak to the audience. No booing, cheering or clapping allowed. If you need to, get that out of your system now. If anyone is unable to conduct themselves appropriately, I will take necessary action. Generally that's not necessary, but it may include excluding an individual from further participation in these proceedings, but this is Belfast and I know it's a good bunch.

At this time, please silence or turn off your electronic devices, including cell phones, so that there will not be interruptions.

Please note the location of the emergency exits and do not block the doorways.

All witnesses will be -- at the hearing will be sworn. Witnesses testifying this evening are subject to cross-examination by the parties and questioning by Board members and staff. I expect the parties to let me know if they would like to cross-examine any of the witnesses this evening.

A copy of the application is located on the side table right over here. After the hearing, the application will be available for public review by
arrangement during regular business hours at the Department's Augusta Office. The project file is available on the Department's webpage at maine.gov/dep/projects.html.

At this time, $I$ ask all persons planning to testify to stand and raise their right hand. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you are about to give is the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
(Witnesses affirm.)
MR. DUCHESNE: Thank you. Just a procedural matter, two things that I mentioned, if you have testimony you can give to David after you're done with it, he will collect it for Robin. And when you come up, we're going to -- I'm going to be calling everybody and telling you who is on deck as well so that you have some idea two or three speakers down the road when your turn is. I would ask you to come up an aisle and stand in line there so that we can make sure that the doors to the room are not blocked. That makes the staff here really much happier.

And with that in mind, $I$ believe we're ready to proceed. So we will start with Sidney Block. I have on deck Pat Kaplan, Lew McGregor and Steve Byers.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Good evening. My name
is Sidney Block. I live in Northport.
MR. DUCHESNE: You need to turn that on.
MS. BENSINGER: Our tech person is coming to make sure the microphone is on.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Sidney Block. I live in Northport. I am speaking as a private citizen. 50 years ago, I was called to duty in the United States Air Force. I took an oath to protect our country. I served honorably. I followed the rules. I did what $I$ was supposed to do. For the last two years, I have watched Nordic Aquafarms do what it is supposed to do. It is an investment company whose job it is to make us want to buy their farm whether or not we need it, whether or not it is good for us. It is classic Madison Avenue.

I am surprised how readily our governor, our legislators and local officials bought into Nordic's pitch, swallowed the financial bait and then found themselves either convinced or hooked despite accumulating facts in evidence. Their amplification of Nordic's promotion has engendered an unrealistic expectation of significant economic benefits for this city. When all of the final costs and consequences are tallied Belfast taxpayers are going to be justifiably disappointed.

Nordic's promises have unnecessarily divided equally well-meaning citizens of Waldo County. Sadly, whether or not Nordic comes, it will have built one thing, an unnecessary and unwanted wall between our economic and environmental interests. Nordic has wounded our community and the scar will be slow to heal.

Frankly, my biggest disappointment is with our regulatory agencies, supposedly expert, independent, featured by fairness and viewed with integrity first, these agents bypassed the foremost fundamental determination of TRI, which exists to save themselves time and energy and taxpayers money. Then they ignored, bent and stretched their own permitting rules while constraining and confining their hearings to unfairly favor and follow political pressure, suspect science and financial fantasies.

My parents, your parents and grandparents survived the Great Depression, rebuilt our economy and protected our country by their selfless service in the Second World War. They have aptly been called the greatest generation. Sadly, we, our generation, we have not been the best stewards of what the -- of the world they left us. What will we leave in our environment for those who will follow us?

Because I am not optimistic about the outcome of these hearings, I speak this evening for the record so that one day when our Little River forest and wildlife habitat are but a memory, our Belfast water is once again polluted and our economy and residential neighborhoods demeaned, when our children and grandchildren ask how did this happen, they will learn that $I$, amongst others, did care.

I was lucky during the Vietnam War, stationed stateside, still nonetheless every so often a stranger thanks me for my military service and I reply I only did what I was supposed to do. I would like to be able to thank you for your service to Maine. Do what are you supposed to do. You are the Bureau of Environmental Protection. You are supposed to protect our environment. Do your duty. If not you, who? If not now, when? And if not here, where? Thank you.

MR. DUCHESNE: Thank you very much. Are there any questions from anybody? Seeing none, I'll got to Pat Kaplan with Lew McGregor on deck.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Good evening. I am Pat Kaplan and I live in Belmont, Maine about five miles out of the center of town. I would ask us to listen to the silence. (Pause.) Thank you.
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Over the course of the past two years Nordic Aquafarms has made many assurance -- assurances that its Belfast industrial fish factory will be quiet. But now we learn that Nordic Aquafarms is saying it will fill and truck away 14,000 truck loads of earth from its proposed construction site. Surely Nordic Aquafarms knows this process will not be quiet. Can we consider for a minute the sound of the earth -excuse me, of the 14,000 truck loads of earth rumbling through our formerly peaceful and quiet community for days, probably weeks and possibly months on end.

And how can we believe Nordic's assurances anyway? After Nordic told residents of Fredrikstad, Norway that its industrial fish farm there would not only be quiet but silent only to make so much noise that neighbors of the factory were up in arms. Neighbors repeatedly besieged Nordic to do something about that noise that penetrated the walls of their homes where families and children once lived in peace and quiet.

I am hopeful that this trusted body and trusted by the good people of Maine to protect and defend the environment upon which we all depend for our lives will listen with open hearts as well as
technical experience and say this project is not suitable nor fair to our struggling planet. Thank you.

MR. DUCHESNE: Are there questions? Seeing none. Lew McGregor is next. Steve Byers and Eileen Wolper on deck. And, again, if you have any written testimony you can leave it with Mr. Madore when you're done.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Hi, there. Thank you. Lew McGregor from Belfast. And I have been here since 10 or 10:30 this morning listening to testimony regarding water usage and I -- it reminds me of the feeling I've had about Nordic the last couple years I've followed this process of moving the goal post and seeming rather elusive in terms of details that remind me of the cliche of like raising teenagers is like trying to nail Jello to a tree.

So I'd like to be able to support the project, but $I$ feel like it's -- it's not fully formed, it's not fully contained in its plan for Belfast and I would like you to put the brakes on to just really slow the process down. Thank you very much.

MR. DUCHESNE: Thank you. Seeing no questions. We'll go to Steve Byers, Eileen Wolper on
deck, Marsden Brewer I believe it is following with Jennifer Hill after that.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Hello. My name is Steve Byers. I'm a resident of Waldo, Town of Waldo, and I'm a small business owner here in Belfast. I am here just to ask that you please deny Nordic Aquafarms their permits for their pipeline request. It puts the Belfast Bay and the greater Penobscot Bay and even greater the coast of Maine and beyond at risk for disturbing the buried HoltraChem mercury. According to the Maine Lobstering Union the value of the Waldo County lobster catch has an economic worth to the Maine -- to Maine and the mid-coast economies in excess of $\$ 9$ million and $\$ 15$ million annually. As a new company to Maine, Nordic has failed to demonstrate adequate understanding of how potent such a loss could be to Mainers. Imagine the mid-coast without lobster. Imagine the mid-coast with so many lost jobs and lost lobstering business.

I am a homesteader and work a part-time job and have my own business and my family, we catch alewives. We go to the Ducktrap and we -- this last year we caught 250 alewives and we're reclaiming the old tradition of salting and smoking them, eating them and also feeding them to our pig who is pregnant
and having babies in April. It's concerning to me that when a record number of alewives is returning to the Penobscot Bay when in 150 years we haven't seen this many alewives that the BEP would allow such a pipeline to come in and disrupt the resurgence in their population. Dwayne Shaw of the Downeast Salmon Federation has said if you let -- if you bring back the small fish, you bring back the big fish. So if we bring back the alewives we'll see more of a resilient comeback of the salmon and other species.

So I end with how are we to trust the promises of Nordic, this young very wealthy invested company? Their track record demonstrates that they cannot be trusted. Will we pass permits with a trial by error approach such as what they did in Fredrikstad where they had to -- where their building is falling to the ground? Please consider just for a moment asking the bay, does the bay want this? Please ask the children, do they wish to swim in water contaminated by industrial waste? Would you like to see signs that say caution, swim at own risk, swimming not recommended? We take our children down to the bay and there is a whole bunch of kids in the back who aren't going to speak tonight, but they're all kids that I've seen swimming in this bay here and

I can guarantee you that they will not be able to swim in the bay if construction for this devastating industry is allowed. Do the lobstermen want it? The answer is no. The risk is too great. Belfast will resist to the end and so will the bay. Thank you. MR. DUCHESNE: Thank you very much. I need to check with my timekeeper. When you indicate one finger --

MS. BURKE: One minute left.
MR. DUCHESNE: One minute. Good.
MS. BURKE: Yes.
MR. DUCHESNE: And it doesn't mean times up. Good. I think we're good for the course. Next, Marsden Brewer and Jennifer Hill. They will be followed by Nancy Durand-Larson.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Hi. I'm Eileen Wolper. I've lived in Belfast for 30 years and for 28 years of those 30 years I've been a nurse at Waldo County and every day of my commute I drive by the Passagassawamkeag River and it is a joy to see it in all its seasons.

Thank you for allowing me to speak. I am going to address the environmentally inappropriate site chosen by Nordic. Even if this project had some merit, the Little River is the wrong place for it to
be. Compared with Bucksport, for example, Nordic's concrete will not be laid upon already industrialized ground, instead at Little River it will destroy a precious environmentally important and sensitive green space with a mature forest and wildlife habitat.

Two, Nordic's pipelines will trespass through a residential area with a covenant prohibiting commercial use and then through a registered conservation area. Furthermore, Nordic will discharge its daily waste not into a rapidly flowing river like the Penobscot, but instead into a shallow 35 feet of water close to and thereby a threat to another thriving residential community, meaning Northport. The rate of dissipation in this area of the bay is so low that the effluent will never be fully diluted or completely gone for however long the Nordic plant exists. There will be an ever present plume of nitrogen water, a threat to other marine life and economically important aquaculture's interests. Because of the site chosen alone you should not grant Nordic the permit it seeks. Thank you.

MR. DUCHESNE: Thank you. Are there questions? Seeing none, thank you very much.

Jennifer Hill. I'm sorry, I beg your pardon, Marsden first.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Marsden Brewer.) Yup. MR. DUCHESNE: Jennifer Hill on deck, Nancy Dorand-Lanson and I believe Robert -- I can't read his name.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: I'd like to thank you guys for the opportunity to speak. My name is Marsden, $M-A-R-S-D-E-N$, Brewer, $B-R-E-W-E-R$. I am a commercial fisherman down in Stonington. I am also a farmer. I've got a few goats, raise a garden, but more importantly, I grow scallops in Penobscot Bay off of Deere Isle. I sell whole scallops, okay. Whole scallops are considered a high risk species. You take a mussel or an oyster and you have a plume in the summertime with red tide or ASP or PSP. With ASP and a scallop if you increase the level too much that scallop will hold the ASP for the rest of its life making it next to worthless for an aquaculturist.

There is another farm up above me and there is a farm in North Haven growing scallops. There is a lot of interests around the bay. I'm President of the Maine Aquaculture Co-op. That's a group of fishermen that have banded together and farmers, you
know, to develop scallop aquaculture in Maine looking for a sustainable fishery and an opportunity and so far it looks real good. We'd like to see it stay that way. Penobscot Bay is noted for its lack of PSP and ASP. I would hate to see it change. We're seeing a lot of good things happening in the bay. The cod fish are all the way up to the lower end of Deere Isle now. They haven't been there in 30 years. They're up around the north end of North Haven. They, you know, this is schools of fish, not just an occasional rock cod. They haven't been there in a long time. There is small hake and scallops up around Little Deere Isle, they haven't been there in a long time. Granted, that mill has only been closed four or five years, but when you stop operating with that chlorine being allowed into it for the bleaching process the bay seems to be coming back to it a little bit. You've got salmon coming up in the rivers. You breached some dams. You've got the herring -- I mean, the alewives. The other stuff all returned. There is all kinds of good stuff going on in the bay.

And the outfall from growing 60 million -producing 60 million pounds of fish a year, that 10 percent of fine solids, suspended solids that's going
to be coming out through the pipe that they're not capturing that's going to drift for a long ways around the bay and it's also -- it builds up in the wintertime. If we had 12 hours of daylight and 12 hours of darkness we'd be good, okay, because we could photosynthesize and manufacture all of that stuff, but when the sun angle goes down photosynthesis stops from late October, okay, until April before it starts to pick up, end of March. So that just allows all this nitrogen to accumulate and sets you up for bloom in the summertime. It's -it's wrong for the bay. It's wrong, you know, for the species. I just -- I don't know. I can't say enough wrong with it.

I was asked a week ago to fill out a survey on aquaculture and, you know, it -- the first question was, you know, do you support it or do you oppose it. And it's like how the hell do you answer it? On one end you're, you know, vehemently opposed and on the other end you're very supportive, you know you wish the mussel -- the oyster guys could get rid of their things, but anyway, I'll leave it at that.

MR. DUCHESNE: You actually put it very well and it's very enlightening. And it's -- it's actually encouraging to hear the positive things you
have to say about our fisheries, so.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Marsden Brewer.) It is and it just -- please don't screw them up.

MR. DUCHESNE: Okay. Thank you. Jennifer
Hill will be next, then Nancy Durand-Lanson, I believe, is following that and Robert Brewer, Chris Wright and Lucky Skidgell appears to be following. You may proceed.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jennifer Hill.) The Board of Environmental Protection, thank God you're here. Belfast Little River district needs you. Tonight rousing myself to leave the warmth of our family's hearth for the chill and slush of a February evening, I think of all those people whom I know won't bother. Simple folk who just want to be left alone to enjoy their comforts believing that nothing they can say or do will change the minds of the powers that be anyway.

It has been an ugly battle. I won't speak on behalf of either side in the manner of right or wrong. I am here only to tell you my own thoughts on the proposed corporate fish project. I am no scientist but a retired business woman. Maine Business School Financial Professor Bob Strong taught us that before considering what something will cost
determine the need. What is the need here? The bottom line for Nordic Aquafarms is to make money for their shareholders, which they have persuaded local proponents will result in more tax revenue for the city. That's obvious. We could all use more money.

A certain number of environmentalists have been persuaded that the problem addressed is the amount of fossil fuels expended by flying fresh salmon from the coast cold water of Norway to the markets along the eastern seaboard in the United States. But wait a minute, solving dubious problems with money making schemes hasn't worked for us in the past. If you don't believe me visit the landfill. Real problems like increasing -- like increasing public transportation, reducing industrial pollution and our own ferocious demand for creature comforts continues unaddressed. These are our real environmental problems.

Erik Heim has devised an Americanized version of the salmon. A product sequestered in huge tanks and fed meals of whatever the market will bear, flushing the creature's treated waste into the bay. The amount of energy required to circulate the millions of gallons of water around the clock for its years of operation is substantial and will give this
proposed solution its own huge carbon footprint.
We are immersed in our environment and cannot step outside of it, but for scientists and dreamers we are destined to repeat what has gone before. The past is our gospel, our go-to for safety. How do we correct a course wrought for disaster for our species? Through the Department of the Environmental Protection, the courts, public opinion. Well, let the correction begin. Young people are demanding it and I am here to stand with them.

Today we seek wisdom and power from our Board of Environmental Protection in a nod to the future help us to say no to this false problem so that we may look to our future for real solutions to the vast real environmental problems that we're facing. Thank you.

MR. DUCHESNE: Thank you very much. Seeing no questions, we can proceed to Nancy Durand, and I can't quite read her -- is it Lanson or Larson?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Lanson.
MR. DUCHESNE: Lanson. Very good. Thank you so much. In the wings should be Robert I'm going to say, Brewer but that may be wrong, Chris Wright, Lucky Skidgell, Susan Cutting after that followed by

Terry Faulkingham. You may proceed.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: Thank you. Thank you for coming first. I was desperate and worried. So my name is Nancy Durand-Lanson. I live in Monroe and came to live in Maine with my husband four-and-a-half years ago, which was a conscious choice to finish raising our family in an environment that was closer to nature.

When I first heard about this project, I waited to hear a little bit more. As months have passed what $I$ was hearing and seeing didn't make any sense. I could see city officials quickly changing regulations to adapt to a project in order to rapidly secure a deal with corporate money. I could read Nordic's -- Nordic's disregard for the environment despite shouting that their fish plant is eco-friendly. Their actions are leading examples, the pipelines changed about three times to the benefit of them not the bay, the effluence got closer to the coast and people's property creating more issues than they started with. I am concerned that a discharge will raise the temperature of an already alarming fast warming Gulf of Maine. The temperature difference between the sea and the plant water discharge will be between 2 and 34 degrees

Fahrenheit. No matter how much water there is in the bay it will change the temperature as a higher positive.

I was at the DEP annual climate council meeting on January 29 and the second scientific presentation was exactly on that topic and how climate change is affecting Maine's -- Maine's coasts. They referred to fish species moving north of Maine as the water is getting warmer and that water surges are already affecting coastal developed areas. This plant in addition to the one in Bucksport and many more maybe will highly contribute to the warming of the bay as well as changing its salinity. DEP -- BEP needs to put one and one together and realize that this kind of project is how we got into a climate crisis and that your strong immediate actions are necessary to reduce Maine's emissions by 2030, our first reduction deadline. You are not to add to Maine's emissions for your colleagues to clean up and who will if you're the one we pay for to do it and you're not able to oppose tensions?

It has been done and it doesn't work. We just need to go and travel around the U.S. or the world to take a look at it or even just go to see

Nordic's first plant in Denmark and realize that DEP isn't aligned with its mission right now. And likewise, you should be standing for what you are paid for. There is no human without a clean Earth, therefore no economics.

I will finish by first saying that the Little River site is inappropriate for Nordic to build an industrial farm and then that feeding the world isn't a task that pertained to Belfast. This town and many around are thriving on small scale businesses and farms that are so much in trend today and create much more jobs. Thank you for listening.

MR. DUCHESNE: Thank you very much. Seeing no questions, you're off the hook. Thank you so much.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Nancy Durand-Lanson.) Thank you.

MR. DUCHESNE: Robert Brewer followed by Chris Wright, Lucky Skidgell, Susan Cutting and Terry Faulkingham.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Hi. My name is Robert Brewer. I'm a fisherman/aquaculturist. I have a scallop farm in Penobscot Bay. And I don't think it's a good idea to be discharging into the bay with all that stuff because it's not going to be good for
anything and really a site -- a farm that big should be either off-shore or further inland away from the water so it can't pollute the bay because that doesn't help anybody out, so. But it's very concerning to me, so. Thanks.

MS. DUCHESNE: Great. Thank you very much. You're an example of why we love public hearings. What concerns people is what concerns us and we appreciate it. And we can proceed to Chris Wright. Lucky Skidgell would be next followed by Susan Cutting.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Hi. That's Chris Wright with a W, W-R-I-G-H-T. I live in Belfast. I was thinking this evening was as much for asking questions as testifying, so I don't have too much to say, but probably this was several years ago that one of Nordic's consultants did some modeling as far as water coming in and out of the bay as far as the -the water movement during tides. And they're -- they represented on a screen this movement by having something that looked like to me like ping pong balls on top of the water and what happened during the presentation was that these ping pong balls moved a little bit and then moved back, moved a little bit and then moved back. So I asked the consultant does
that mean what goes into the bay does not leave the bay and I was surprised to hear them say, yes, that's true.

Putting that together with the fact that Penobscot Bay is warming faster than any comparable size body of water on the planet the idea of having effluent come into the bay and disturbing the mercury that's here and having the water temperature do nothing but rise and it all stays here it just really doesn't make any sense to me at all. And just a stray thought that I had last week was this idea that we're taking a species that has been out in the wild forever and we're trying to take all of the conditions that support that species and put it on land and that just seems really, really an odd thing to try to do. Thank you very much.

MR. DUCHESNE: Thank you. Seeing no questions, we can go to Lucky Skidgell, Susan Cutting, Terry Faulkingham, John Murphy would be next in the queue. And if Lucky is not ready...

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Lucky Skidgell.) I'll pass.

MR. DUCHESNE: Okay. Lucky will pass. We go to Susan Cutting. Terry Faulkingham, John Murphy in the queue.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Susan Cutting.) Hi. I'm also here with my son who wanted to say something and he -- we have written his name in there. So I also am asking you to please deny the application for the permit for Nordic Aquafarms and there is lots of reasons why I am really concerned about the project and I am here, here are just three of them.

One, the health of the bay. Releasing 7.7 million gallons of chemically treated wastewater with 1,600 pounds of nitrogen here every day only like two-thirds of a mile from the shore into like 35 feet deep water will likely result in the continual plume of 100 million gallons of this effluent that is possibly 5 to 30 degrees warmer than the rest of the bay. And I'm not a scientist, but I can't see how that wouldn't affect the ecosystems of the bay. And -- and I -- I spend a lot of time on the water, you know, regularly. I'm rower and I have been seeing a lot of fish and a lot of activity -wildlife activity, more than ever before, and I have been so excited that the bay is finally recovering after the -- the chicken farms and -- and this -this is -- it's unthinkable to me to allow our bay to be harmed again. And $I$ have to ask as well and I am -- I won't want my son to swim in the water in the
effluent and I ask would you want your children to swim in that?

The second reason is the ecosystems of those 34 acres are secondary growth mature forests including 10 wetlands and bordering the Little River trail. I have walked that trail many, many times with my family and friends. We've seen river otter slides and listened to the birds and frogs and treasure this special natural area. The idea of taking down a forest, removing 15 to 18 feet of soil or 215,000 cubic yards to build this factory is literally obliterating the natural environment and taking this experience away from our community. And if they need to remove not only the trees and plants and animals but also a huge amount of the soil itself in order to build this destroying wetlands, a popular nature trail and reservoir. It's not an appropriate site for this project.

Finally, I know it's not in your hearing criteria, I have one minute left, but I -- I have to say we can't afford to be excluding carbon footprint from the decision-making process any longer and this factory would produce 550 to -- 550,000 to 759,000 metric tons of carbon, which is like 14,000 to 18,000 new households in Belfast and increasing Belfast's
carbon footprint five to seven times and this is your responsibility and it's wrong and it's harmful for our children and our planet.

MR. DUCHESNE: Now, would your son like to say hi?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: All right. My name is Walden Cutting and I am 10 years old. I might be just a kid, but $I$ do care about stopping Nordic because -- and there are a few reasons why. I've been -- first, I've been to the forest by the Little River and I really enjoy going there and I -- it's a really beautiful place and I would just hate to see it be destroyed and replaced by a huge polluting fish factory. Second, when I visit there I've seen many signs of beavers and other -- and other species of wildlife that live and thrive there. And all species of animals deserve to have a habitat. So I just really hope that Nordic can be stopped and this -- so we can preserve this forest. Thank you.

MR. DUCHESNE: That's great. I think we found our new Chair. And let me just ask you, have you ever been to a proceeding like this before? Is this your first time at a meeting like this because --

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Walden Cutting.) Ah,

I've been to one of these before, I think.
MR. DUCHESNE: You did good. Thank you so much. Terry Faulkingham. John Murphy in the queue followed by Christopher Hyk, Rachel Herbener and Andrew Stevenson behind them.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Good evening. My name is Terry Faulkingham, Jr. I am from Belfast, Maine, a resident of 55 years. I am a commercial lobster fisherman also self-employed for 40 years fishing out of Belfast Harbor and fishing all around the upper Penobscot bay. And I would like to ask you folks if I could split my time with fellow friend and fisherman of myself, so try to keep me -- make sure I'm under a minute-and-a-half because I'd like to make sure that he has -- what he has to say can be used on my time.

I am mainly concerned of the discharge, in opposition of the discharge going into the -- the amount of water going into the upper Penobscot Bay. We do not need water increased in temperature. I have a floating lobster cart in Belfast Harbor, which floats 2 to 3,000 pounds of lobster daily which would be devastating to my industry and my income. Young's Lobster Pound also draws intake of water coming into their facilities which would probably be
non-existent. Where this discharge pipe comes out there is also a mussel farm there that would be impacted. There is one right across the bay on the Islesboro side of the shore that would be impacted and the fellow that spoke three or four things before me talked about cod. That is true, cod fish and stuff are coming back into the upper Penobscot Bay. And I don't know how $I$ am on time. I'm trying to cram a lot in there in a short time.

MR. DUCHESNE: You're doing just fine.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Terry Faulkingham.) This gentleman right here, his name is Hunter Penney. He's a resident of Waldo. He's 15-years-old and he is very -- the most honest and respectable young fellow you'd probably ever meet and I really admire the child, so I give him the opportunity to speak. Have at it, Hunter.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Hunter Penney. I'm 15-years-old and I'm a fourth generation fisherman. I fish out of Belfast and own my own lobster boat. For the past four years I've been lobster fishing the bay. I fish 150 traps and want to expand in the future and when I graduate from high school I want to be a lobster fisherman full-time.

I'm speaking tonight because $I$ have concerns
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over the impact of lobster fishing if the salmon farm is built in Belfast and the effects it will have on Penobscot Bay. One thing I have learned is that lobstermen and lobsterwomen in our state have worked very hard at protecting our way of life and protecting our resources for our future and future generations. I'm worried that if the salmon farm is built it's going to mess up the ecosystem and our bay is potentially jeopardizing my future and all of the fishermen who depend on making a living.

MR. DUCHESNE: Yes, could you spell your
last name? I know how to spell it.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Terry Faulkingham.)
Hunter Penney.
MR. DUCHESNE: (Terry Faulkingham.) Hunter Penney.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{Y}$.
MR. DUCHESNE: E-Y. Okay. Good. Thank you so much.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Hunter Penney.) Thank you.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Terry Faulkingham.) All set on us?

MR. DUCHESNE: All set. Thank you so much.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Terry Faulkingham.)
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Thank you.
MR. DUCHESNE: Great. And I believe John Murphy is next who will be followed by Christopher Hyk, Rachel Herbener, I hope I'm pronouncing it correct, but I'll find out soon enough.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is John Murphy. I'm a resident of Belfast. I live not too far from the proposed site. My 12-year-old son caught his first striper right in the area where the fish factory is proposing to dump their waste and I'd like the opportunity for another 12-year-old to catch his first striper there. And I am -- I'm also concerned about anything that comes out of that area. Who is going to want to eat it with all of the mercury that's going to be dredged up in their dredging operation. We all know the mercury is there. It's been proven by the Corps of Engineers and what do you think is going to happen to that mercury? So I am asking you to deny these permits for the good of the environment. Thank you.

MR. DUCHESNE: Thank you. Seeing no questions. I want to check in with our reporter to make sure you're okay.

MS. DOSTIE: Yes.
MR. DUCHESNE: All right. Good.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Hi. My name is
Christopher Hyk. I am a Belfast resident and about five years ago $I$ attended a symposium at Point Lookout that covered the Penobscot River watershed. It had numerable speakers coming everything from dam removal to mercury toxins and that sort of thing. I attended one lecture there given by Dianne Kopec, D-I-A-N-N-E, K-O-P-E-C. She's a Ph.D at the Senator George J. Mitchell Center for Sustainability Solutions at the University of Maine. Her specialty is wildlife ecology and environmental toxicology. I believe her expertise is --

MS. BENSINGER: Can you slow down a little, please.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Christopher Hyk.) Her expertise is in mercury sediments. The words that she had to say were quite disturbing. The problem is far more serious than I think most people understand. I suggest that Upstream Watch speak with her and give you some insights as to just how much mercury is likely to be disturbed by this dredging for the pipes. I -- I don't think you could find a better expert witness. She's done 12 papers on the topic and I hope you will consult her before you make your decision and from what little $I$ can recall from the
symposium, I don't think you should really permit this to go forward. Thank you very much.

MR. DUCHESNE: Yeah, just for my convenience, $I$ was actually distracted by the sign-up and I missed which person at the University of Maine did you give?

MS. BENSINGER: Yeah, what was the person's name?

## AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Christopher Hyk.) Dianne

 Kopec.MS. BENSINGER: Thank you.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Christopher Hyk.) Her
last name is spelled $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{C}$.
MR. DUCHESNE: Just --
MS. BENSINGER: May I ask a question?
MR. DUCHESNE: Yes, you may ask your question.

MS. BENSINGER: I'm not asking a question of you. Earlier this evening there was a woman that spoke to me about wanting to testify this evening and having an exhibit she wanted to display and I've been trying to find her and if she is here come see me. Thank you.

MR. DUCHESNE: So that was Christopher Hyk, I believe. We can go to Rachel Herbener. And please
correct my pronunciation.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Rachel Herbener.) You did pretty well. It's Herbener. And I'll just make it brief. I oppose this for environmental reasons and I ask that you do your job and take all of these testimonies into account and protect Belfast. And I really don't trust the company either to be truthful and straightforward. Thank you.

MR. DUCHESNE: Thank you. Seeing no questions, I will go to Andrew Stevenson. Janie Phillips and Maddy Godine are on deck. That will finish up my third sheet of paper and I will take some opposition or some support rather for a few people as well. So we can continue with Mr. Stevenson.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Andrew Stevenson.) Good evening, Mr. Duchesne, members of the Board and the representatives from the state regulatory agencies, thank you for the work that you do and for allowing us to address you tonight. This is a very important topic.

To begin, $I$ want to acknowledge that we are all living today on the occupied lands of the Wabanaki Nation, one of the continent's First Nations and $I$ hope to honor and respect that fact with my
remarks tonight. In a sense, we are all truly from one.

Here is my major concerns about Nordic's plan and the permitting process it depends on. I have learned over my professional career that technology always outpaces regulation. The RAS technology is evolving rapidly. It is one of the youngest branches of commercial aquaculture. Its long-term impacts on surrounding environments are largely unknown and there is no commercial facility of the size and design that Nordic has chosen anywhere else in the world today. I submit that you cannot reliably assess the long-term impacts of their proposed facilities without the right yardstick and this Board, the DEP and the other state regulatory agencies with responsibility over such enterprises need to be extremely cautious when deciding on RAS applications.

To make your job harder, the track record for land-based RAS is not encouraging. The Nature Conservancy report listed 16 failed projects so far and I have the reference in my prepared remarks, which I will leave with Mr. Madore. The authors examined the reasons for each failure and they found that operational and management expertise is critical
and they warn that financial and technical success depends on, and I quote, modular systems allowing for project development and system redundancy in case of failure, technology validation via subscale demonstration projects and proximity to major markets. Nordic's plan largely ignores this warning.

In my mind, Nordic's construction plan is an all or nothing strategy. It wants to build all of its Stage 1 facilities together, then build all of its Stage 2 facilities, turn on the switch and see if it and the team operating it will all work together without damaging the surrounding community or polluting the Penobscot Bay. What Nordic should have presented is a plan to build an end-to-end pilot scale operation of modules that will complete one working example of a system that can successfully take a salmon egg and grow it to a market size fish. Once the bugs have been shaken out of version 1.0 , so to speak, Nordic could build out a second end-to-end module.

I urge you to postpone any decision on the various permits before you until Nordic provides a better plan, a substantial financial performance guarantee and a suitable decommissioning bond. Thank you for your time and attention.
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MR. DUCHESNE: Thank you very much.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Andrew Stevenson.) Thank you.

MR. DUCHESNE: Seeing no questions. Janie Phillips is next, Maddy Godine, I believe, is after that then I'll be switching to Larissa Flimlin, Peter DelGreco and Patricia Iger.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Good evening. My name is Janie Phillips and I live in Belfast. This morning as Mr. Heim made his opening remarks he said, and I quote, all that matters is what you produce, end quote. In that statement lies the reason for my objection to this project. Mr. Heim is a businessman and his concern is his product, the market for that product and the profit from that product. With all due respect to Mr. Heim your product is meant for high end markets and places outside our community and its profits largely benefiting an international company are not all that matters to us, the people who live and work here.

The destruction of 50 acres of forest and wildlife habitat matters. The daily discharge of 7.7 million gallons of warm wastewater into our bay matters. The enormous consumption of fresh water from our aquifers matters and the enormous carbon
footprint generated by this project matters. The potential disruption of mercury and subsequent consequences to our lobster fishery matters. The proposed blasting through a fragile intertidal zone without permission from its rightful owners matters.

As citizens and stewards of this community and this planet, we have an obligation to protect and defend our natural resources. No amount of money can replace what is lost when habitats are destroyed and water is polluted. You've heard what matters to Mr. Heim. I've told you what matters to me and many others who share my concerns. The future of this project is in your hands. It's up to you to decide what matters most. Thank you for your time.

MR. DUCHESNE: Great. Thank you. Seeing no questions, we can proceed to Maddy Godine.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Godine.
MR. DUCHESNE: Godine. Yes, at any time you can correct me and if necessary scold me.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Hello. My name is Maddy Godine, G-O-D-I-N-E. I'm opposed to the Nordic fish farm for the environmental impacts that would inevitably create harm in the bay, industrial waste, the warming of the waters, the increase of nitrogen and mercury are all concerning and I hope you oppose
this for the health of our unique and beloved ecosystem here in the Penobscot Bay. Thank you.

MR. DUCHESNE: Thank you. Seeing no questions, $I$ would like to get to a few of the supporters because they signed up early as well and it would be unfair to put them to the end. Besides, at the point, I have three on one sheet and two on another, so we can proceed right to Larissa Flimlin. Peter DelGreco being on deck and I believe Patricia Iger would be third. You may proceed.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Hello. My name is Larissa Flimlin and I live in Belfast. Before my husband and I moved here two years ago we heard about this big announcement that was coming to Belfast. The Governor was talking about this and they were going to make this big announcement and it was Nordic Aquaculture that was coming here. And at first we were very excited and then we learned that it was literally in our backyard. We live on Perkins Road. And so we have been attending a lot of sessions, getting the information.

There have been a lot of specious arguments that I have heard. I am not a scientist, but I have consulted with people that know the science. Do you know what specious arguments are? They're arguments
that make something look very attractive but they're wrong. A lot of the things that I've been hearing are really scientifically wrong. We support Nordic Aquafarms coming. We're looking at the big picture. We had to make a decision before we moved here as to whether we would actually build our home knowing that this thing was coming in back of us. And after doing the research, talking to people, we decided to proceed and so we live here now full-time. We are very much in support, not for the reasons of taxes being lowered, I don't really believe that because we came outside of Atlantic City when the casinos came in there that was the same argument that was used there, taxes were not lowered, so I'm not going for that. But we felt that it was -- our consideration was that this was a good thing for Belfast and we could not oppose something that was good for the town.

So I live with someone that has spent 40 years in aquaculture, so a lot of the things that I'm hearing tonight, the arguments, I would consult him and other people that were knowledgeable in the industry and say is this true, is this going to be messing up our water, you know, the carbon footprint, all of this, and $I$ found that there is some merit to
some things but the greater overall good is for Belfast I believe. Thank you.

MR. DUCHESNE: Thank you. Seeing no questions, Peter DelGreco is next, Patricia Iger, I believe, is on deck after that. I will take Linda Silvia O'Connor, who is neither for nor against and then we'll resume with opposition.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Thank you, Presiding Officer Duchesne and thank you to the entire Board. Again, my name is Peter DelGreco, D-E-L, capital G-R-E-C-O. I am the president of a non-profit economic development group called Maine and Company.

Like others that have been in front of you tonight, I'm not going to pretend that I'm a scientist. My liberal arts background just wouldn't stand scrutiny, but scientific groups and many scientists have come out in support of this project. Personally, I work in economic development and I'm excited about a project like this because of its potential to produce jobs and have a positive impact -- economic impact for Belfast and the entire region. And I know that's not a primary or maybe even a secondary concern of this Board, but that's from where we come from.

I have a lot of -- I've done a lot of work
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in the Belfast area both with the team from Nordic Aquafarms, but even before that bringing companies like Athena Health, a non-process technology to Belfast. They are employing over or approximately 1,000 people in this community.

The Governor's new economic development plan calls for attracting new people to the State of Maine. In fact, about 75,000 over the next 10 years. Projects like this help. I've worked with Nordic Aquafarms for a little over two years now. I have found them to be a group that has the highest levels of integrity, they adhere to the highest levels of environmental standards that were imposed upon them through where they were coming from in Europe. Their standards there are higher than we have here and they are bringing those standards to us. They're setting a new standard especially in the world of discharge.

When I travel and I go to aquaculture shows and people hear that we're working with Nordic Aquafarms and people like Erik Heim and he's viewed as an environmentalist and somebody who is doing things and putting projects together that are going to reduce the carbon impact that we're seeing across the world right now. They have been incredibly transparent. They've met with people in their living
rooms. They're willing to meet and discuss this project with everyone. I have personally witnessed Erik Heim walking through a line of protestors right outside this door, shake hands with people that were saying some inappropriate things to him and offered to very generously have an engaged discussion with them to share his project and his vision.

This project has been subjected to tremendous scrutiny. The opposition has used personal attacks. They've been attacked locally for not being American enough. They have used circular reasoning to discredit the company and they've had personal ad hominem attacks. If this company can meet the environmental standards that you've set forth I encourage you, I implore you to judge this project on its environmental methods, not -- on its environmental standards, pardon me, not on the methods of the opposition. And if it meets those environmental standards, I urge you to support and grant them their permits. I'm happy to answer any questions. Thank you.

MR. DUCHESNE: Thank you. I think I do have one. You stated that we created, I think, the rules or the standards and the reality of the statute is created by the Legislature and the rules are set up
through a permitting -- through a rulemaking process, so what we actually do is follow what's already put in front of us that we are charged to follow.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Peter DelGreco.) Fair enough.

MR. DUCHESNE: Would you agree with that? AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Peter DelGreco.) Yes.

MR. DUCHESNE: Okay. Thank you. Any other questions? So Patricia, I believe, would be next. AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Peter DelGreco.) Thank you.

MR. DUCHESNE: If you have any printed material you can --

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Peter DelGreco.) Am I allowed to submit something via email by the 18th?

MS. BENSINGER: Yes.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Peter DelGreco.) Okay. Thank you.

MR. DUCHESNE: Yup. Patricia? No. Then I believe we can go right to Linda Silvia O'Connor. And that would put Samantha Langly or Langlon on deck, Emily Harriman, Conny Hatch, Sally Brophy and Jim Merkel will be the five following them.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Linda Silvia O'Connor.) Thank you. Thank you all for the work you do and in
my choosing the standing tonight it's -- it's a real challenge to be on -- I'm a citizen of Belfast. I've wanted to live here for 30 years. I finally two years ago moved to Belfast. And with all of the things considered and the environmental work that is part of what you do and what everything is around, I don't understand and $I$ don't know where you all -where this fits for you, but in looking at the different focal points $I$ see Site Location of Development/Natural Resources Protection Act. So on that alone, I'd like to say I don't understand why environmentally you or anybody else would allow this to go on the site that's been chosen when in Belfast we have 100 acres, plenty of room to grow, okay, by our industrial park. And it has the infrastructure for roads, which the other part of this Location of Development/Natural Resources is the access to Route 1 is a real danger to anybody traveling route where if they're next to the industrial park they have all of the infrastructure of the roads, they have a beautiful exit and entrance onto Route 1. I don't know why that isn't being talked about more and looked at and it could make peace in many ways if this project has to go forward and this project has merit and it can become a closed system, it's going
to save the bay or at least it's not going to be a contributor to problems in the bay, it's not going to move soil out of the pristine forest that's there. It's got a location that it can grow in. It's 100 acres that is here and was slated for more of an industrial use and so that's the piece I'd really like you all to pay attention to.

You've heard everything else that I think is a concern. And I am going to say -- well, I say they meaning you and I am going to thank Nordic Aquafarms for one thing for making us all more informed citizens. Thank you.

MR. DUCHESNE: Thank you. Seeing no questions, we go back to opposition. That would include Samantha, I can't quite tell if it's spelling Langlon or Langley.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Langlois.
MR. DUCHESNE: Langlois. Okay. Thank you.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: Hello. My name is
Samantha Langlois. I live in Belfast. I have a Master's degree in Environmental Studies and Conservation Biology. I home school my children and I run our small farm.

There are so many pieces to this project that I am concerned about, more than I can account
for tonight in three minutes. I am concerned about water usage. This project would draw surface water from the Little River watershed. I live on the Little River upstream of this proposed project. I'm concerned as to how this project would affect the Little River watershed and my well and that of my neighbors. If my well is negatively impacted by this project, how much would it cost me to hire a hydrologist to prove that Nordic Aquafarms was responsible for any damage to my well as is required by law in order to receive any sort of restitution.

MR. DUCHESNE: We may want to slow down just a little bit.

MS. DOSTIE: I'm okay.
MR. DUCHESNE: You're comfortable. Okay. And at this point, I'm going to be pretty relaxed about the three minute rule, so if you have substance to say.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Samantha Langlois.)
Okay.
MR. DUCHESNE: We're good.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Samantha Langlois.) The only thing we can count on about climate change is that everything is becoming more unpredictable. Just because we have abundant clean water now doesn't mean
we should give it away.
I am concerned about effluent in the bay. Just because we have been dumping nitrogen into the Penobscot River and Penobscot Bay for decades doesn't mean that adding more is a good idea.

We already know that animals raised in confinement get sick. Outbreaks of disease have to be treated, perhaps with antibiotics, perhaps with antivirals, perhaps with chemical cleaners. Will this project have filters sufficient to completely remove these pollutants or will the effluent and all these pollutants as well get dumped into the bay together?

How many times have we heard that technology will prevent problems? Historically, technologies fail and problems happen. Maine's rivers are filled with dioxines, PCBs and mercury because technologies fail.

I am concerned about the carbon footprint of this project. According to an extensive carbon footprint report compiled by local engineer Jim Merkel this project would increase Belfast's carbon footprint five to seven times. Belfast's city council recently signed onto the Global Covenant of Mayors for Climate and Energy because they are
theoretically concerned about our carbon footprint. I don't see how our city council can be both invested in reducing our carbon footprint and supportive of this project at this time same time.

I am concerned about water temperature. I have read that the discharge waters from this project may average between 15 and 20 degrees warmer than the ambient temperature of Belfast Bay. It is well understood in environmental science that thermal pollution has a profound impact on the ecosystems where they occur. How will the increase in water temperature impact our bay?

I was recently at a local issues forum that was put on for local home schooled teens here in Belfast so they could learn firsthand of this project. One of our city council members announced to this group that he would not support Nordic Aquafarms' proposed project if it wasn't for the tax benefit for the town. He went one to apologize to the kids assembled for the environmental damage that has been caused by his generation. On brave teenage girl responded to his comment with an apology is not enough. I would go beyond that to say that an apology on the heals of business as usual is like a slap in the face. It's just talk. We need action.

We are looking down the barrel of climate change. We can't afford to continue to put economic growth before environmental health and protection. That is how we have gotten ourselves into the current climate emergency. This project is a huge experiment. Let's not trust our childrens' future to an experiment. Thank you.

MR. DUCHESNE: Thank you. Seeing no questions, Emily Harriman will be next. Emily Harriman, Conny Hatch, Sally Brophy and Jim Merkel I have in the queue. And if Emily is not available then we go to Conny.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: That's me. Good evening everyone. Thank you for being here. My name is Conny Hatch. I live in Belfast. And thank you all for the time and effort you're putting into these hearings, all of you. All of you, thank you.

I've been a yoga teacher for over 15 years. Today -- towards the end of each class I guide my students to lie down on their mats for the final pose of Shivasana, a quiet pose of conscious relaxation. And one of the first things I say once everyone is done and still is this, surrender to the support of the earth. I realize that I am no longer sure that the earth will continue to be able to support us if
we do not act to prevent further destruction to our land and oceans and air. I feel like Belfast and the entire region of Maine has been put into harm's way and I am deeply alarmed about the Nordic Aquafarms proposal.

Here is my comment. I begin with an assumption that everyone in this room in the city and in the State of Maine and beyond wants to have access to clean water and air, healthy woodlands and forests, thriving rivers, estuaries, bays and ocean. Here is an assumption, that most everyone in this room and in this city and in the State of Maine and beyond realizes that the entire planet is in an undeniable ecological crisis meaning that all beings are vulnerable to the consequences of our ecosystems being unable to support basic survival needs.

The people of the State of Maine can choose to be at the forefront of regenerative agriculture, regenerative economics and a thriving egalitarian society and supporting it all a strong backbone of environmental stewardship. Why then would we consider giving the green light to a mega-industrial project which clearly presents as the antithesis of an ecologically friendly and assured economic success story.

I looked up the definition of regenerative agriculture and this is what $I$ found. Regenerative agriculture is a system of farming principles and practices that increases biodiversity, enriches soils, improves watersheds and enhances ecosystems. Regenerative agriculture aims to capture carbon and soil and above-ground biomass, reversing current global trends of atmospheric accumulation.

If you, the members of the Maine State Board of Environmental Protection, are assigned to enforce the laws related to environmental protection then the proposed Nordic Aquafarms project needs to be rejected as a direct and unmitigated assault to the environment and to the common good. Thank you.

MR. DUCHESNE: Thank you. Seeing no questions, we can go to Sally Brophy. Jim Merkel is in the queue, Aimee Moffitt, Joanne Moesswilde, Gretchen Heilman Piper would be the following. Thank you.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Good evening. I'm Sally Brophy, B-R-O-P-H-Y. I live here in Belfast. Thank you for your time.

One of the statements Nordic often makes is to feed the world we have to do things differently. It is a dangerous illusion that we can solve global
hunger by expanding industrial scale agriculture. The world already grows more than enough food to feed 10 billion people. Hunger is caused by a scarcity of power. Power over land, water and wealth. Nordic isn't trying to feed the world. They're trying to feed people who can afford expensive fish. They want to do this by digging a giant the hole in the Belfast Bay watershed then filling that hole with huge concrete and steel machines that require enormous amounts of power and water.

My family has been in Maine for six generations. Mainers are resilient. We can go from snow to freezing rain to heat waves all in the same week. We know how to check in with our neighbors and share what's needed. There are sustainable ways that this grand environment that we share can produce healthy food. Nordic's proposal is not one of them.

For example, Nordic is currently seeking a discharge permit. That permit is literally a license to pollute. Do we really want to go back to the past where building a giant food processing plant beside Belfast Bay was supposed to be the answer to our future prosperity? The crucial need for tax revenue makes the city and state susceptible to corporations promising money. We need to pay for schools and
roads and snowplows and the list goes on, but we can't pay for them with smoke and mirrors. As we heard this morning, Nordic hasn't even supplied a coherent financial plan. Their answer was, well, we need the permits before we'll have any details on our finances. I wish we could buy a business that way. Just give me the keys and once I've moved in I'll show you I can pay for it.

Nordic likes to state that the carbon footprint of this product would be less than that of salmon airfreighted from abroad. What happens if the lucrative markets of Boston and New York aren't open to buying Nordic's fish? Then Nordic will ship them wherever they need to in order to sell their product. There are no carbon savings in this misleading statement. In truth, Nordic's factory would be very carbon intensive.

Growing food on chemical-intensive monoculture farms is quite literally destroying the resource base, soil, water, climate on which future food production depends. We cannot afford business as usual. Now is the time to change these old patterns and address climate change by rolling back monopoly power and creating favorable conditions for more localized, resilient and equitable food systems.
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Maine can be a leader in this. Our environment is Maine's most valuable asset.

This Board as an independent decision-making authority responsible for the protection of our environment can safeguard those assets to ensure that we can feed our communities in the future. Thank you.

MR. DUCHESNE: Thank you. There are some folks who may have come in late who aren't aware that we have sign-up sheets going outside, so if anyone has come in late we would ask you to sign up.

MS. BENSINGER: And if you...
MR. DUCHESNE: And if there is anyone who came in late, did sign up, hasn't testified yet and hasn't been sworn in yet we would need to take care of that small little detail. But, Mr. Merkel, you'd be up next. I have in the queue Aimee Moffitt, Joanne Moesswilde, Gretchen Heilman Piper.

MS. BENSINGER: Oh, someone needs to be sworn?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yes.
MR. MADORE: Do you need to be sworn in, sir?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yes.
MR. DUCHESNE: We will get to you after this
testimony because $I$ want to make sure there is nothing else out here on the sign-up sheets. So, Mr. Merkel.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jim Merkel.) All right.
Thank you so much. I'm pleased to be here before you tonight. I have been to $I$ think this made my twelfth meeting with a room full of people, a large percentage, maybe 70 , 80 percent opposed, but it keeps marching forward. It was from the first meeting even to change the zoning, it was three rooms of people saying slow down, stop, back in 2008 and the city just kept marching forward, so I do hope that you listen to the people in my community.

I teach -- my name is James Merkel and I do teach at the university level sustainability. I was Dartmouth College's sustainability coordinator and I helped do a carbon analysis of 110 buildings including a medical center, so the paper that you heard about $I$ was one of the co-authors on the carbon study. But when I teach I have my students -challenge them to find me a monoculture that doesn't require massive chemistry and they can't find one. There is no known monoculture that can be sustained without extensive chemistry because Rachel Carson showed us that when you try to isolate life you have
the most resistant form start to take hold. And so I see it as a very dangerous thing.

I mean, we collapsed fishery after fishery in Maine and net pens were the savior and now RAS systems are the savior and they're not a savior. They're actually, I think, more risky because of the breeding factor in these massive tanks and Nordic was trying to dis my study by saying that, oh, the study that we quote in China for a much smaller facility they had a stocking density one-third of Nordic's, so they want to pack these fish really into there and they want to feed them much less than the Chinese want to feed their fish and over crowd them and that's how they're getting their efficiency. And so I really want you to look close at that data because massive die-offs have occurred and I notice the internet gets scrubbed from them pretty quick. Those -- the CDC was reporting them up in Canada and you can't find the links from them anywhere. They're gone. But massive die-offs have happened in many facilities.

So some of the errors I find in the siting is that this mature forest not only is it a beloved forest but a wildlife habitat and it is an important connecting corridor to the upland. Because, you
know, if you go down on the beach and see what's feeding there is a lot of animals that need to move up into the woodland from the beach and they feed and they move and you don't have this habitat anywhere else that I can see in the whole Belfast Bay region. That's a very important area to move for the animals to move to the woodland.

And that site was a buffer. It's a greenbelt to the city. It's got a beautiful hiking trail, but it's also a buffer to the watersheds and if you even study back to the turn of the century New York City would protect huge forest areas around their reservoirs because it purifies water very inexpensively. Ecosystem services of a forest are the highest level of ecosystem -- of dollar value is an intact forest has the highest dollar value of ecosystem services because it's purifying the water, it's purifying the air, it's habitat, it's holding soils from erosion.

> Me and my boy who speak earlier, my

10-year-old, we went in there and Nordic has mucked up even in their exploratory drilling wells every little creek that they crossed in mud season was mucked. Completely clogged with sediment right now. I have the pictures. And that, you know, they said,
oh, it was raining when we went in and we had to go in anyway. Well, in mud season in Maine you don't go in anyway in mud season.

The second point $I$ want to say is the soils are unstable and I've walked that site many times. It's got 17 wetlands. You would never walk that site and say I want to build a house there. So they want to remove between 12 and 20 -- and 48 feet of soil and then import new soil. Completely obliterate the site. So I would say they should be required to use a brownfield site, first off with stable soils.

A tail pipe as significant as Nordic's whose nitrogen content is 13 times the Belfast city sewer, that's the last number I have, 13 times the Belfast city sewer should exit into deep ocean currents. In their early meetings Nordic kept repeating deep ocean currents, deep ocean currents and then they found out it was 35 feet of water. That's not deep ocean currents. You can get deep ocean currents on a sailer and $I$ sail all of the time in and out of here off of Rockport, Rockland, Owls Head you get 200 feet of water right away, 35 feet of water, and then when they calculate numbers Nordic will say, well, they calculated the whole Penobscot Bay. Well, that water is just going to sit in Belfast Bay. It would be
more honest to say calculate the volume of Belfast Bay in that little area if you want to see how it's flushing, so I see that --

MR. DUCHESNE: Let me just interrupt briefly.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jim Merkel.) Sure.
MR. DUCHESNE: I'm not going to be cutting you off because this is really new information that we have not had a lot of opportunity to discuss before, so it's valuable to us. I just want to get an assessment about how much more you think you need to present to the Board.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jim Merkel.) Maybe another two or three minutes.

MR. DUCHESNE: I'll give you another two.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jim Merkel.) Okay. All right. So I'll move on and to, you know, we have shellfish closures currently and I think you know that, so that's why I think adding more when you have a closure is not a smart idea.

Now, I did the studies on the carbon with another friend George Agular and so we're looking at adding go 150,000 cars to the road as an easy equivalent. So Nordic's -- I could give you all these numbers, they're crazy, but 550,000 metric tons
of carbon. So if you look at the 2030 carbon goal for Maine Nordic would represent 4 to 6 percent of the entire state's carbon goal for 2030. If you look at Maine's industrial sector of electricity use, Nordic is a third of the total state's electricity use, that's if you look at the embodied energy of creating it and running it.

So why does it have such a big impact? Well, it's that you're trying it pump so much water and the feed has a big impact. So but also the concrete, steel tanks, buildings, pipelines, pumps, generators, filters, add to that the liquidation of the forest, which I've calculated the sequestration that the forest currently serves, it's sequestering carbon every year but it's also storing carbon both in the soils and in the trees themselves, so that whole number I have calculated in my report which you'll -- you have a copy of, but I'll make sure you get it. So that's -- so when you add that all up and then advertise it over useful life that's how you get a very big carbon footprint.

So my main point here $I$ would say is that until Nordic can demonstrate a deep ocean current and a brownfield site and maybe a closed system and/or a zero carbon -- you know, in Norway they have -- their
current plan is to go to carbon neutral by 2025, so I think they'd have a hard time building this because it's an energy hog. Thanks.

MR. DUCHESNE: Great. Thank you very much. Okay. We have two groups of people that haven't been sworn in, those who have already spoken and those who haven't yet, so anyone who has not yet been sworn in I would ask you to stand and raise your right hand. Anyone wishing to testify and who has testified but not been sworn in, stand and raise your right hand. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony you are about to give is the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
(Witness affirm.)
MR. DUCHESNE: Good. Thank you so much. And I believe Aimee Moffitt would be next. It will be Aimee, Joann Moesswilde, Gretchen Heilman Piper, Hillary Emma would be in the queue.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Aimee Moffitt.) Hello. Thank you. Good evening. Chairman Duchesne, DEP members and representatives from the various permitting authorities. I'm worried about the risks involved in the Nordic Aquafarms proposed plant.

Potential investors and supporters of large-scale salmon aquaculture plants like the one
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proposed for Belfast might want to take a step back. The Little River could easily become Maine's next abandoned industrial waste site -- HoltraChem anyone -- because the Nordic industrial development is unlikely to either be either successful sustainable using its current business model.

NAF's potential investors and the city of Belfast are speculating on a very large experiments using technology that could be outdated by the time it's operational but cannot be downsized or repurposed because the business model will not work at smaller scales. This is important because there is no plan to pay for cleaning up the site if it fails or at the end of its useful economic life.

NAF has chosen to locate in a sensitive coastal watershed. It wants to exploit intertidal zone land that it does not own, one currently protected by both covenant and a conservation easement. Nordic's potential facility would have a direct, immediate and long-lasting effect on the marine environment by discharging a whopping 7.7 million gallons every day of tepid nitrogen-laced brine into Belfast Bay. That would not be healthy for a Gulf of Maine that is already warming faster than any other U.S. marine environment. It could
sprawl algal blooms that will affect coastal Maine fisheries, hamper other forms of aquaculture and degrade recreation in Belfast Bay and points below it.

Water and energy use are also massive.
Nordic is planning to draw more than 1,600 gallons a minute from the Belfast aquifer. The aquifer may be in fine shape now, but will likely suffer salt water incursion threatening residents' water. Nordic estimates that it will use 900,000 gallons of deisel annually to run the generators for an enormous facility whose lights and heat must be on all of the time. Combined with the truck traffic to supply fish food, including an unspecified amount of fish meal from relatively depleted wild fish populations, run trucks to major markets far down the coast and dispose of massive amounts of fecal waste, this energy use amounts to significant carbon emissions.

In conclusion, there are many reasons to doubt that the industrial development proposed by Nordic will ever be a success financially, technologically and environmentally given its current business model and environmental footprint. We hope that the BEP and the permitting authorities will consider these risks seriously in their
deliberations. I beg you to deny Nordic this opportunity to destroy our environment, our home, so that they can line their pockets with gold. Thank you.

MR. DUCHESNE: Thank you. And seeing no questions, we can proceed to Joanne. And when I said Moesswilde, how close did I get?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Pretty close. It's
Moesswilde, M-O-E-S-S-W-I-L-D-E. First name is Joanne, J-O-A-N-N-E.

Thank you all for this evening. I appreciate the list of areas of concern that you read at the beginning of the meeting. My comments are general, relevant and I hope will encourage a different perspective on the issue at hand. Also, I can't stand up here without saying how -- without saying how sad I am that literally neighbors are pitted against neighbors. It's breaking my heart every day.

But I want to talk to you about the commons. These elements of the commons: Water, it flows under all land and along all shores; trees, their roots reach beyond property lines and the air they clean goes all around for all to breathe; animals, they know no property lines, they travel freely searching
for food and homes and mates; air, it's all around for each living creature, you and me too; Earth's soil, it's alive with microbes and life that we rarely see or feel, but we would be lost without them. These are the commons, aspects of our world that support live.

The permitting process which you are involved in is a way to judge if certain groups will be allowed to use and take up certain aspects of the commons. I can't call these things resources because that makes it sound like they're something that is supposed to be used. The commons are what support life.

I would say that this plan by the applicant, Nordic Aquafarms, is requesting the exclusive use of more than their fair share of our life.
(Applause.)
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Joanne Moesswilde.) More than their fair share of our commons, more than their fair share of water, earth, trees, animal homes, habitat, air, soil. And they claim this in the name of money, but money doesn't bring life.

Looking at our current situation in our world with the dying species, the thirsty people, paved land, dirty water, the too loud and too bright
night sky, I say enough. We need to look at things differently. Our current approach to such questions has gotten us into this state of climate change and earth disharmony. It's been a system of managing earth that has not worked well, so let's reconsider and deeply how we manage the life of our world. It could be simple, you could begin by walking in the forest and listening. Go to this forest, I ask you before you make any decisions, go there quietly, go alone, go with a loved one, but go there and when you finish in the forest, please go sit by the mudflat where my children grew up playing, sit, listen, feel it.

And then you'll be further enlightened if you begin conversations with my neighbors, the ones that I agree with, the ones I don't agree with. We need to work towards compromise and don't forget to talk to the fishermen, they know more than anyone. The old system no longer serves us. I have a few suggestions and they've been mentioned before by others.

MR. DUCHESNE: I would ask you to, if you could, get close to summarizing because there are people behind you.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Joanne Moesswilde.) Oh,
yeah, I'm done. Mostly, I just want to say I propose limiting projects that are new to existing buildings and brown sites, suggest to use technologies that are closed systems. Okay. Thank you very much.

MR. DUCHESNE: All right. Thank you so much. I'm cognizant that our next witness may have some kids who are past their bedtime. Gretchen Heilman Piper would be next. Hilary Emma, Eric Cohen-Salal would follow, Samantha Byers Ames and Bethany Allgrove would be in the queue. You may proceed.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Gretchen
Heilman Piper and I am a working mother of four children. I live in Swanville, Maine. Three of them are here with me tonight and they decide their own bedtime. And they are not here to be cute, they are here because they've got my back and they care about this issue very deeply, so.

I wish to address three areas of potential impact. Number one, the potential impact of our fresh water streams and wetlands. Each of my children have grown up hiking the Little River trail system several times in each season, so -- and each year, so I'm crystal clear that the impact of this 56 acres and 40 some acres of infrastructure will
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decimate the mature forest, the wildlife habitats and the wetlands. The rights of the animals and the trees and the streams must be upheld. From what I heard today this project will use as much water as they can and if a source goes dry they will feed the fish less and wait, quote, several weeks to resume, but what if a drought lasts months or years? This is not a smart or acceptable use of fresh water, period. No, thank you.

Number two, the potential impact of waste discharge on water quality fisheries, marine resources and other uses. People come from all over the world to sail this unique and beautiful coastline. I sail, I swim, I canoe, I kayak and I visit the Waterman Beach in Northport with my family year-round. I refuse to allow 7.7 million gallons per day and because it takes 14 days to fully disperse in the bay $107,800,000$ gallons of, quote, effluent containing we don't know exactly what they're feeding the fish as well as bacteria, viruses, chemicals. No. No, thank you.

I am concerned about the temperature of the water they're discharging. Algae blooms, local fish populations, the mercury that they could be disrupting? No. No, thank you.
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The potential impact to air quality, this is number three. We know this is a carbon intensive project and at 43 I've witnessed climate concerns become a climate emergency in my lifetime and I refuse -- given the only other operation like this in the world is less than one-fifth of its proposed size, I refuse to gamble with an experiment against nature and too many unanswered questions and refuse to pass this problem on to my children when the profit from this project will not even be staying in the community let alone the country.

For most of the 20 th century, I quote, if you want to go to hell fast, go to Belfast. And that was a regional joke because of the stench from the poultry processing. We don't want to go backwards. We cannot only do better, we can create and inspire environmentally sound carbon sequestering projects that benefit our town, Belfast, for all generations to come. Please, please, please, please, please deny these permits. Thank you.

MR. DUCHESNE: Thank you. Hilary Emma. (Applause.)

MR. DUCHESNE: I'm not going to be able to stop it completely, but I'm going to minimize the applause as much as possible and I appreciate your
respect for the process and thank you so much. And Hillary Emma.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Hilary Emma, E-M-M-A. I am a citizen of Lincolnville.

MR. DUCHESNE: Welcome.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Hilary Emma.) Thank you. So I'm here to represent a voice that can't be present with us this evening, those are the citizens of Fredrikstad. This is a letter dated August 29, 2019. Ours is a working-class residential area in the 82,286 inhabitant town of Fredrikstad, Norway. Our new neighbor across the street is the first land-based fish farm in our country and promised to be the biggest worldwide. Owned by Nordic Aquafarms it started production in late May this year.

Initially, we were all generally positive and felt the dialogue with the company to be good. Now, not so. First, the building process lasted ages. It was two years late in completion mainly because of a large Danish contractor that took some geological shortcuts nearly derailing the whole project and ending up in court. During construction a gray haze of clay dust engulfed our homes, gardens and cars time and again as well as the lungs of our local kindergarten kids. All that is history.

Terrible while it lasted, now comes the future.
This local future of ours held a surprise, a strange and constant humming noise 24/7. Now, we happen to be in the front line literally, but the inhabitants of the row houses behind us on the adjacent street have started complaining too saying that if they sleep with open windows, as most of us do, some in the summertime only, others all year-round, then they hear it all night. Plus the following day, plus the following night, et cetera, et cetera, forever and ever.

One of us had a talk with a worker at the site. He confirmed not only that this will last indefinitely, but also that it is due to the great fans needed to cool the fish tanks being directed towards us. Asked whether the company could have built these noise machines at the far end of the fish farm from us he said, sure, just another mistake, but it can't be righted now. Only the first fan or ventilator machine has started up. At least one more has been readied. One guy attending a meeting in one of our houses had taken home from his job a decibel meter and sure enough during our meeting in one of our houses had taken -- during our meeting, he recording 60 decibels in an open window. It would
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have been much more if Nordic Aquafarms had not put up a tall earth wall towards us. Are they doing the same in Belfast building an anti-noise wall?

Incidentally, some of us recall Aquafarms Director, Erik Heim, also of Belfast saying promising us flat there will be no noise. Well, thank you then. Instead, we now get 60 decibels with no respite. What fantastic lack of empathy, caring, decency and for that matter logic. Why pester us and forever because someone simply didn't know how to think? So there we are and there you are. We are following the fishy business developing in Belfast with keen interest. We think you ought to be as skeptical as we unfortunately have learned to be close -- from close up experience.

So, yeah. I think that Nordic has already --

MR. DUCHESNE: Thank you.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Hilary Emma.) -demonstrated that they are financially, technically and morally incapable of completing this project.

MR. DUCHESNE: And nothing reminds me how old I've gotten that $I$ can't read off a Smartphone screen. Thank you so much. Eric Cohen-Salal I believe would be next. Samantha Byers Ames, Bethany

Allgrove. Behind that I'm expecting Lila Nation, it appears to be, Gier -- oh, boy. You know who you are. G-E-I-R. That will be in the queue, so we'll go to Eric.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: My name is Eric Cohen-Solal. I live in Monroe with my family. I have three children.

This is my brief perspective on what is playing here. Looking at the history of the two last centuries, large companies mainly focus on one goal, profit. This is not their fault. They have shareholders to report to.

In general, companies like Nordic would push what they think they know how to do. They have no direct incentive unless forced to, to align their goals with long-term concerns like water source protection, contamination, public health issues, impact on tourism and fishery, having an industry constantly discharging in the ocean and no long-term guarantee on employment.

If we are lucky, they have good, ethical practices. Most of the time the only way to make sure that a company does not behave against public trust is to have an individual or group of citizens to exert some form of monitoring and expose relevant
information or activity that is deemed unaddressed, illegal, unethical or against public interest confronting a company's way of doing business.

Fracking is a perfect example. The oil and gas industry has no idea today what to do with the massive amount of contaminated water it's creating. No idea. Fracking fluids and waste have made their way into the drinking water and aquifers in Pennsylvania, Colorado, Ohio, Wyoming, New York and West Virginia so far.

There is a constant tension between the limited amount of public money for independent research and the fast time frame of large companies. Unfortunately, regulations can mostly address generic concerns, not specific ones. Only research and public scrutiny can avoid catastrophe and time is needed.

So what do we do? The main argument of Nordic Aquafarms in a nutshell is wealth for Maine and proteins. This is what it comes to. Well, ignorance is bliss. This project and its consequences are not well understood by Nordic. This project and its consequences are not well understood by Nordic. Their expertise is simply questionable today. I am against this project. Thank you for
your attention.
MR. DUCHESNE: Thank you. Samantha will be next. Say your names?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Jane.
MR. DUCHESNE: Jane.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Samantha Jane Ames.)
Good evening. How are you all?
MR. DUCHESNE: So far so good.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: I am Samantha Jane Ames. I am a sixth generation captain and commercial fisherman from Matinicus Island. I am also a very passionate aquaculture farmer and a passionate and proud Mainer. I am so proud of all of the aquaculture projects that $I$ have seen throughout Maine. I have worked on many farms. I've seen development. I have been throughout a lot of our coastline and I know like many people in this room we are proud of Maine and men and women that have created the Maine brand. It is built off the hard work of our local community -- communities, sorry. It is built off the hard work of our local communities and it is really, really important to focus on the cold, clean waters because that is what has created this brand.

This project is something I am not proud of
and I hope that you all take it very seriously that the faith of our bay is in your hands. There is dead zones popping up worldwide and I do not want to see Belfast a part of that. Our waters, our ocean is what connects us globally and it is so crucial now more than ever to take issues like this seriously. It is not just the rivers and the forests in Belfast that we need to be concerned about, it is our future, it is our connection worldwide. Please consider all of those things when you make your decision and please do not approve these permits. You will be throwing away the hard work of sustainable fisheries and aquaculture. Maine is a leader in aquaculture. Give the local communities and the people who are working hard here at home a chance before you just give it away and ruin what we have worked so hard to build for a very, very long time. Thank you.

MR. DUCHESNE: Thank you. Seeing no questions.
(Applause.)
MR. DUCHESNE: Thank you very much. Bethany will be next. In the meantime, I have I believe about eight people including Bethany Allgrove left to go on the list I currently have. Any of us are free to leave the table and go take care of any needs as
necessary except our reporter, so $I$ just need to check in.

MS. DOSTIE: Thank you.
MR. DUCHESNE: Everything is fine. Great. Thank you. Bethany Allgrove.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yes. Hi. Thank you.
Bethany Allgrove. I live in Lincolnville with my family. I have three boys. We moved here five years ago. We left the busy corporate Massachusetts lifestyle to get away from many things including industrial environments. We wanted the quiet, the bay, the people like everyone who is standing together behind us against this project. I've testified many times on this, so I'm not going to repeat all of the reasons and the things that scare me. I absolutely echo everything that's been said. We moved here because we wanted to own and operate a bed and breakfast. We love Lincolnville. We'd love to do it here, but I do not understand how any kind of tourist industry could possibly survive with what would be coming should this project go through. There is no way that disturbing the mercury is not going to create major issues, et cetera, et cetera, with everything else that I promised I wouldn't repeat. So my husband and I are kind of at
a standstill. We're not sure what to do, but there is absolutely no way that we would take the risk of going in on owning our own business on this coast if there is going to be a Nordic Aquafarms. People do not come here -- I mean, I guess one lady moved here for this, but the rest of us moved here to be away from it and no vacation appears to be around it. So I really appreciate you taking the time to listen to us. Thank you.

MR. DUCHESNE: Great. Thank you. Seeing no questions, I mentioned that Lila Nation, I believe, would be next, if I am pronouncing it correctly, and Geir -- I'm not going to come close. But Gier as in G-E-I-R.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Geir Gaseidnes.) Geir.
MR. DUCHESNE: Geir. See, I didn't get the first name right and that's only got four letters.

MR. DUCHESNE: I don't see any Lila moving forward, so if you want to jump up and if Lila pops up again later we can address that. And I just may say in the queue Steve Stanford would be next followed by Sam Chandoha-Lee and Jason Rawn.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Thank you all for coming today and hearing me and my neighbors. My name is Gier Gaseidnes. I'm Belfast resident building in
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Northport. I swim in the bay and row in the bay with my wife and two children. I am here today to mount my defense of Belfast, a town that I have come to love and of the world and its rapidly deteriorating environment.

This town is facing a deep challenge and our world is facing a complete crisis. Locally, we need good paying jobs in Waldo County and if we turn this business opportunity away it will hurt us in numerous other ways, tax relief has been mentioned, but I think more importantly we will be left behind by yet another growth industry and we are already scaring off entrepreneurs and investors in doing so.

As for the global picture there is a success story here with poverty down dramatically all over world and as billions enter the middle class they want what we have, cars, air conditioning and animal protein. The impact of this is massive. So our job is to reduce that impact in every single one of our trades and industries and Nordic is doing that in theirs.

Nordic represents the cutting edge in more responsible finfish farming reducing the climate impact of a large chunk of our current food system. Supporting this project may perhaps be the single
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biggest thing a small town like Belfast can do for our climate crisis as a small town.

I have worked the last four years with conservationists and extinction biologists to encourage the use of cutting edge technology and entrepreneurship to end human induced extinction and they along with other major conservation groups have identified aquaculture advances as a key tool for feeding us all with lower impacts and that includes recirculate aquaculture systems of which Nordic's approach is considered one of the best examples.

Now, I suggest that we work with Nordic to measure more frequently, accurately and comprehensively the health of this bay and get a good baseline, make that data public so that we can keep a close eye on this project. We can work with them to reduce the carbon footprint of their farmed salmon even further and also provide good paying jobs for our young families and we can work together to hold ourselves to a higher standard.

I place my trust in expertise, conservationists I've worked with, the scientists who are looking closely at this project, the engineers who know how to build water filtration systems and you, our regulators, to keep the big picture in mind.

Thank you very much.
MR. DUCHESNE: Thank you. Seeing no questions, I believe Steve Stanford would be next followed by Sam, Jason and Ron Huber would be next in the queue.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Good evening. My name is Steve Stanford. I live in Northport. This is my first hearing actually, so I'm behind the eight ball maybe with a lot of people and I don't really seem to understand what's going on in this whole project.

But I live on the mouth of the Little River, the point in question, and almost every day people stop at the point. It's a wonderful viewpoint. They stop and they get out of their car and they take pictures of this wonderful bay. And the question is what does the -- what will it look like when there is going to be a pipeline. And I asked the question, well, where is this pipeline going to be? Nobody seems to have an answer where it's going to enter the bay, so it's a little confusing how we get to a state of approval when there doesn't even seem to be a plan. It's like going on a trip, you know your destination but you don't know how you're going to get there. It sort of feels like that's what it is.

So environmentally, I built my house and
because it's shoreland zoning I had to -- to get any tree cut down I had to get it approved and here we have a project where $I$ hear there is going to be some big trench that's going to go out into the bay, today I hear the word blasting going on, and I ask the question how can this be good for the environment? Thank you.

MR. DUCHESNE: Great. Thank you. Seeing no questions, Sam Chandoha-Lee. How close did I come? AUDIENCE MEMBER: Fairly close. Chandoha-Lee. I am a student at UMaine. I currently live in Bangor. I have been a resident here in Maine for six years. I moved from New Jersey. It's
because of the woods that we all love so much.
And so we had people tell you that they're against the project for flora, fauna and the fishers -- fishermen. I'm here to tell you that I'm against this for the funga, meaning the fungi. Paul Stamets, leading mycologist, has made the argument that in the ten toxic ways mushrooms can save the world that we need to protect our forests as a matter of national defense. This is because of the work he did with the Department of Defense found fungi species in Oregon in the forest that had a higher biological activity than any other medicine we had
before for the smallpox, H1-N1, bird flu and other viral diseases.

Much like they are a pharmacy for us, the fungi are a pharmacy for the bees, pollinators and other insects. They include fungi in their foraging like the red belted conk and this helps keep their immune systems active. As you know, the pollinators are already on decline, especially here in Maine and if you put I believe it's 34 acres and secondary growth we could be losing species that we don't even know the implications of because I believe the EPA doesn't include fungi in their survey, so please have that as a consideration.

And also I would like to reiterate the consideration of pharmaceuticals not being properly filtered out. We've been seeing time and time again that this has been the case and we would hate to see that happen here. Thank you so much.

I actually would like to end on a quote by Henry David Thorough which says many, many men go fishing all their lives without knowing that it is not the fish they are after.

MR. DUCHESNE: Thank you. And I would remark that we have reams and reams of testimony and submissions and documents and that was never in any
of it, so that was unique.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Sam Chandoha-Lee.) Thank you.

MR. DUCHESNE: Thank you so much. Okay. In the queue of course Jason Rawn is next. Ron Huber, Courtney Byers would be after that and I believe our final two people who have signed up would be Camille Perrin and John whose handwriting is worse than mine. You may proceed.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jason Rawn.) Yeah, thanks for being here. I have been sitting at the back and you guys really look like you're paying attention, so thank you, I appreciate it. It's not easy.

MR. DUCHESNE: It always surprises people.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Jason Rawn.) So I just want to point out a few things and I had a longer thing with facts and stuff in it that is not what I'm feeling right now, so I'm going to just respond to what some people have said up here tonight and I'll underline this by saying that, you know, these people are -- this investment group/corporation and the people who operate for it are here for only one reason and that reason is to make money and the way that they propose to do that involves an incredible
amount of destruction of our environment here. And as others have pointed out in no meaningful way is this any kind of a local economic development project.

We can talk about effluent a little bit if we like. That's a word that's thrown around a lot and it almost sounds like it's just nothing, something that can enter the bay and then $I$ looked it up and effluent it turns out is liquid waste or sewage, so that's a fun thing to do when you're reading through all of the pages every time that benign little word effluent comes up you can do that gymnastics in your mind and say, oh, liquid waste or sewage, liquid waste or sewage.

Third, in this state in this country on this planet right now it shouldn't be a surprise to anybody that water is, you know, one of the biggest -- one of the biggest things that grabs are being made for and I'm sure you all have, you know, in your capacity here, I'm sure that you've all dealt with, you know, various entities who are collecting or buying up water here in Maine and this is just another one of those things. And I would point out that we are talking about a factory of fossil fuel intensive fish factory that would set up -- literally
want to set up on the -- on the drinking water supply on this area. Hmm. Wise? I don't think so. But unfortunately, this isn't a special problem for just this area as you also know because you deal with this stuff, I think, on a state-wide level.

So I would -- I'd like to ask you if you could lean toward the people on this. A lot of us understand what's happening in the world right now and people are disappointed in governing bodies and believe that, you know, that they don't serve the interests of their people -- of the people and that's what I'm asking you to do is in any way that you can lean toward the people because the people here are so much more important than 15 Norwegian investors. Thank you.

MR. DUCHESNE: Thank you. Seeing no questions, Ron Huber will be next. I believe I still have Courtney behind, Courtney Byers, Camille Perrin and I'm still looking -- I'm not sure if John from Lincolnville --

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (John Pascinco.) Right here.

MR. DUCHESNE: Okay. Great. Thank you.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: Hello, Board and hello Cynthia, I've seen down the years here. My
name is Ron Huber. I'm a Friend of Penobscot Bay since 1992 and I've had the privilege of being before the Board in some of its different iterations down the decades and have seen that it will when necessary take a look at the greater impact, the cumulative impacts that one project can have when it's bound with another project. In particular, I really feel like DEP is dropping the ball on that one this time right now that instead of looking at the cumulative impacts, I mean, I begged Gregg Wood back, what was it, a year-and-a-half ago, something like that, you have two of them on the Salmon River, that is you have one down the estuary and now there is another one in Millinocket. Don't go, well, they're all on separate streams or different neighborhoods or something, they won't impact each other, of course they do. And you've heard all of the different things that will be coming out of their effluent or sewage or whatever you want to call it. Now, when you place that up against what we heard earlier and that was about the different fishermen saying, well, look at that, cod fish are coming back here, scallops, others like that, you don't want to start reducing that water quality this way.

Now, I know that you're bound by a certain
duty of looking at the -- looking at the effects of multiples of these projects and not just going, well, it all will all sort of dilute away a little bit and let's just pretend like that because once this -- if these get approved, both of them, then there is going to be a derby on because no other one will be able to get turned down for having an excess impact because how could two of them together not have an excess impact and a fourth and fifth and you'll have the sort of mess that in a way the urchin fishery was. Suddenly it was let's just fish, fish, fish and bam knocked them off.

Anyway, so I think -- and this whole point about neighborhoods, by the way, if you're an elver or if you're a salmon or something, you know, Belfast and Bucksport are neighbors. They're just two things you go through, so, you know, we should really look at it that way, look at the it from the fishes point of view not from the developer want-to-be's point of view.

So I really ask you to consider putting the brake on this one -- the project that -- you need to have some kind of Penobscot estuary study. There was one about 20 years ago, 30 years ago and I've been trying, there is a National Estuary Program, but they
refuse to put Penobscot Bay into that although Casco Bay is. It's terrible because right now the developers -- things have warmed up. There is more developer interest around the bay and your -- if you would order some kind of estuary study undertaking, you know, from Millinocket to Port Cylde/Isle au Haut you would really argue what is okay to add in there and what not because if they get their permits there will be a lot more coming right behind them.

And finally, I think given the fact that Nordic and Whole Oceans for that matter are going to be taking water from the most mercury tainted river there is in New England that -- that you ask the Center for Disease Control to develop a label for that. Not that -- not to impose right on their retail sales, but if they do fail a random test and you find unacceptable levels of mercury you've got this like of sort of -- sort of damocles hanging over the -- that will -- and it can keep things. So I think really that was in it. And, as I say, just mind what the fishermen and the scallop farmers said earlier, they've got cumulative and how ignoring them leads to a derby situation you could end up with an awful lot -- all of them making the same claims and suddenly we have a really degrading water quality
going down not up. And think about those mercury levels too. Thank you.

MR. DUCHESNE: Thank you. And we'll go to Courtney Next. Courtney Byers. In the queue, of course, Camille Perrin and John whose name I can't pronounce.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Hi. My name is Courtney Byers and I'm a resident of Waldo. I home school my two children and there is a school of kids in the back which is probably something you don't normally see at your meetings who many are home schooled, some are public schooled as well and I think it would be a great lesson to show them that when you show up for something and have the courage to speak things can change, so I'm holding you to that challenge.

As a home schooling mom, I'm -- I am not a scientist. I'm not going to tell you any facts that you don't know. I'm really bad at throwing out numbers on the spot, so I won't try. But I do want to appeal to you as a parent who is raising their children in this area that swim in this bay, who hike on these trails. We moved here partly because of the pristine nature that's all around. I think lots of people come to Maine for that reason. Many people move here. Our town is growing. I can't imagine how
enormous fish tanks would support that economically or for tourism or for people moving to this area.

I also work as a doula in this community, which means that I assist women all birthing people who are pregnant and birthing and then post-partum after they've had their babies. So as I've heard the research that so many local residents here have done, the thing I'm most concerned about is the unknown levels of mercury that will enter the bay upon dredging. I don't know if those levels can be predicted before it's done or not, but that concerns me. We all know the implications for mercury in the diets of pregnant women and their unborn children. So I ask you to consider that and the real risk that that poses for all people here who are fishermen and fisherwomen and all of us who are eating what they're catching and raising themselves.

I care about the future of our food economy and the food sovereignty in this community. I've been inspired to see young people get up here and share about their generational history of fishing and their desire to continue in it and so I ask that you protect that for them and for everyone. We have amazing organizations that already exist in Maine like the Downeast Salmon Federation and many others
that are working in conjunction with that to remove dams and restore natural fishways, building fish ladders so that our native fish populations can come back, which $I$ find to be incredibly innovative and exciting. And I ask why would we not give all of our energy to those kinds of projects and instead divert it with this huge plant that is going to be raising salmon in a tank and than not feeding the people here as a foreign company. Why would we not invest in all of these exciting projects that are already happening in Maine to restore our native fisheries.

I -- I just want to end with a challenge to you and letting you know what $I$ would most want to see and that is an environment -- I most want to see an environmentalism and $I$ want to see you uphold this kind of environmentalism that values the rights of all species, animals, plants, humans of all kinds and local economies over capitalism and that's what I ask you to do today by rejecting the permitting process for Nordic Aquafarms. Thank you.

MR. DUCHESNE: Thank you.
(Applause.)
MR. DUCHESNE: Camille Perrin.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: Good evening. Thank you
all for being here tonight and for hosting this
public meeting. I -- my name is Camille Perrin and I live locally here about 20 minutes outside of Belfast.

I wanted to share an experience I had attending a previous meeting with Nordic Aquafarms about the effluent. They were answering questions from the community. In addition to the pollution and the toxic heavy metals and everything emptying out into this effluent, I asked what their plan was with the vaccinations that they'll be administering the fish in this fish farm as well as antibiotic use and if they had any plans on combating that from entering Penobscot Bay. At that meeting they had someone on their team who did not appear to be a qualified scientist who actually seemed to be representing a food supplier for Nordic Aquafarms at the time blatantly tell me $I$ was wrong and that vaccinations are not transmittable through water or through fish waste. I have researched this again and again. I am not a scientist. Google is a great university for me and I have found multiple scientific reviews stating that vaccinations and antibiotics are indeed part of what ends up in the fish waste in massive commercial fish farms of this size in and nature.

I personally feel that in addition to
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everything else that everyone has talked about tonight the pollution that's going to be supposedly in this effluent that allowing micro amounts, if you don't know what a vaccination is, micro amounts of diseases that are injected into these fish. I personally feel that micro amounts of these diseases and the antibiotics from the fish farm could be a disastrous toxic soup for Penobscot Bay and I think it would be highly irresponsible to allow something of that nature to potentially destroy many different species in the Penobscot Bay here in Maine. I do not support this permit for Nordic Aquafarms and I hope that this Board makes the right decision here. Thank you.

MR. DUCHESNE: Thank you.
(Applause.)
MR. DUCHESNE: And, John, if you would be so kind, and $I$ can make out the $P$ in your first name, but after that.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (John Pincince.) Sorry about that. Pincince is the last name, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{E}$.

MR. DUCHESNE: Good. Thank you.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (John Pincince.) I live
in Lincolville and some years ago I retired from

7 Morrissette Lane
Augusta, ME 04330
(207) 621-2857
teaching over on Islesboro and decided that one of the things I wanted to do is become a Maine Guide and so I -- I did. And one of the reasons I did is because I like to fish, not so much that I like to kill anything, and I hunt also. I sometimes do take animals for food. But one of the species that -that I admire most is the Atlantic salmon, the wild Atlantic salmon. I just wondered if -- if any of you had ever seen a wild Atlantic salmon. They're creatures of extraordinary grace and beauty. And fishing the Ducktrap where I -- near where I live there are Atlantic salmon there, small ones, smolts and -- and they've been trying to come back up into the river there since they were depleted many years ago while we were fishing, pollution, dams, not the Ducktrap fortunately, but. And so they do exist there. I've caught some by accident because they're an endangered species, $I$ don't really want to catch them. As soon as I realize that it is a salmon I -I use barbless hooks and so they are released immediately without even taking them out of the water.

There is only, I think, six rivers in the entire east coast that have Atlantic salmon and wild Atlantic salmon, the Ducktrap one of them. And I --
my feeling is that -- and our fear is that the effluent from the plant -- proposed plant is going to mess up the ability for salmon to be able to navigate their way back to the rivers of their birth. The effluent, who knows what's in it, and we know that salmon depend on their sense of smell to be able to get back to their rivers of their birth and if we mess up the, you know, the chemistry of the water they may not be able to do that and that would be -that would be a loss not for fishermen so much as really for all of us for the planet because they are -- we are all one. We're all connected and the depth of the disappearance of any one species really falls on all of us. So I am obviously opposed to this plant. Thank you very much.

MR. DUCHESNE: Great. Thank you. I believe we have one final person to testify. Shana Hanson. AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Shana Hanson.) Yup.

MR. DUCHESNE: And you have not been sworn in yet; is that right?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Shana Hanson.) That's right. Thank you.

MR. DUCHESNE: So if you would be kind enough to raise your right hand, do you swear or affirm the testimony you are about to give is the
whole truth and nothing but the truth?
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Shana Hanson.) Yes. MR. DUCHESNE: Good. You may proceed. AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Shana Hanson.) Thank you. So I would have been on time, but $I$ had a baby goat born just before at 5:30 and this is a warm bottle for the way home. So I -- pardon me if I'm repeating what people have said because I haven't been here, but I'm wondering if people have thought about extreme weather events. I am studying a lot about climate change and I've been in conferences in Vermont and everybody there has been dealing with huge floods and we've been dealing with droughts here, but it could flip flop. And so I'm really wondering how much thought has been put into what happens when that whole place goes under water and everything from those tanks goes into the bay including the fish and the medications and all of the, you know, so I just -- I guess that was my point -- main point. And I didn't prepare a speech.

MR. DUCHESNE: That's just fine. In fact, it was pertinent.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Shana Hanson.) Yeah.
MR. DUCHESNE: And since you are the last
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person talking and we are going to be closing the hearing in a moment, $I$ just want to thank you and everybody who testified tonight. And Steve Pelletier has a question.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Shana Hanson.) Can I make one more comment?

MR. DUCHESNE: Absolutely.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Shana Hanson.) It's just that that is such a special spot. I have pruned the fruit trees at Jeffrey Mabee's house right where the river comes out for many, many years and each time I spend like an hour extra watching hundreds of ducks there. I don't know what's so special about that spot, but I know it's going to change if this happens and for 100 jobs in a town of 7,000 people it just, I don't know, it doesn't seem worth it. It doesn't seem worth the risk. So anyway, I'm all set. Thank you. Sorry to ramble on.

MR. DUCHESNE: Okay.
MR. PELLETIER: I just had one quick question just to make sure $I$ caught something right from the woman $I$ think it was Eileen Wolper, if she's still here. Just real quick.

MS. BENSINGER: Can you come back up, please?

MR. PELLETIER: Sorry about that. Thanks. AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Eileen Wolper.) Sure.

MR. PELLETIER: I wasn't sure if I caught this right, but $I$ wasn't aware of any covenants or anything on that land and $I$ thought $I$ might have heard you say something about protected easements on the property where there is --

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Eileen Wolper.) Yeah.
MR. PELLETIER: -- where -- beyond the shoreland zone for the trails.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Eileen Wolper.) The Hartleys. Harriet Hartley. Have you heard of the Harriet Hartley Conservation?

MR. PELLETIER: I was -- thought you were talking about the upper --

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Eileen Wolper.) No, I was talking about the --

MR. PELLETIER: Okay. So --
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Eileen Wolper.) -- the pipes going across that conservation land.

MR. PELLETIER: Okay. I thought there might be some other protected.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Eileen Wolper.) No.
MR. PELLETIER: I haven't heard anything --
AUDIENCE MEMBER: There is a covenant on the
upland.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Eileen Wolper.) Oh, there is a covenant?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: There is a protective covenant.

MR. DUCHESNE: Which really at this point is not actually a matter of the hearing tonight, but we appreciate the initial --

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Eileen Wolper.) I'm sorry. I got those mixed up. Do you want to address it?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: No.
MR. PELLETIER: It was mine and I thought it was in the upland portions up there.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Eileen Wolper.) Thank you.

MR. DUCHESNE: Great. For the -- for everybody who is still present the written record is open until Tuesday, February 18 at 5 p.m., so if you have written submissions you want to make the record is still open, you may do so. On behalf of the Board and especially myself I'd really like to thank everybody for being here tonight. We are somewhat constrained by what statute is, what rules are, what standards are, but you're not and what we get out of
an evening like this is the urgency and the energy it takes to make sure that we do our homework and we ask all of the right questions, we read every page that comes before us and we do the hard work as long as it takes because it really matters to you. So thank you so much for coming tonight.
(Applause.)
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