## Kirkland, April

From: nlsnow@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Nancy Lee Snow <nlsnow@everyactioncustom.com>

**Sent:** Thursday, April 04, 2019 4:29 PM

To: DEP, NECEC

Subject: NECEC Wildlife Impacts Not Properly Avoided, Mitigated, or Compensated

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the State of Maine Mail System. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Maine Department of Environmental Protection,

As proposed, NECEC would negatively affect wildlife through direct habitat loss, habitat alteration, and habitat degradation, and by permanently dissecting large, undeveloped and highly connected forest ecosystems and waterways, and the Applicant has not done enough to avoid, mitigate, or compensate for the permanent degradation of this ecosystem.

I understand that the DEP is considering the adequacy of the compensation offered for impacts that cannot be reasonably avoided or mitigated. Maine Audubon estimates that Segment 1 of the proposed corridor would impact more than 5,000 linear acres of habitat. Applying a standard multiplier, this would suggest conservation of 40,000 to 100,000 acres of protected lands to offset impacts associated with fragmentation.

These lands should be large blocks of unfragmented habitat near the proposed transmission line, preferably of a diverse biogeographic nature and managed for mature forest characteristics in order to provide habitat for the many interior forest and wide-ranging species that would be adversely affected. Conservation could come in the form of fee acquisition, conservation easements, or a combination of the two. This compensation should be in addition to the approximately 2,700 acres already offered to compensate for direct impacts to wetlands in the corridor.

Such compensation should be in addition further steps to avoid and minimize wildlife habitat impacts

I do not think that any future compensation by CMP is enough to be worth the damage done to this part of Maine. And the whole argument that hydro power from Quebec is really green is not entirely true. I have read a letter by Canadian scientists who are on record saying that the whole engineering of dams to rivers, which both our countries have done, is bad in the long run, as it changes the natural flow of water to the ocean. They think that these dams are part of the problem of disruption of fish and other life in the oceans and the great rivers feeding the ocean. There is no need for this huge damage to our state. PLease think of the long term importance of this decision. We will have greener power from wind, solar, tidal and other sources, much better and safer. Thank you!

Sincerely,
Nancy Lee Snow
33 Providence Ave Falmouth, ME 04105-2131