

| | Comments | Total Comments |
|--------|----------|----------------|
| 20(1) | 3 | |
| 20(2) | 1 | |
| 20(3) | 3 | |
| 20(4) | 2 | |
| 20(5) | 0 | |
| 20(6) | 0 | |
| 20(7) | 0 | |
| 20(8) | 3 | |
| 20(9) | 3 | |
| 20(10) | 3 | |
| 20(11) | 0 | |
| 20(12) | 1 | |

| Name | Source | Affiliation | Company name | Comments | Reference | Department Response (accept, accept in part, reject) | Reasoning |
|----------------|--------|-------------|--------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| Steve Bayse | Online | | | In Section 1(2)(E)(3), consider clearly stating if the intent is to consider taxes owed to the State of Maine only, or if federal taxes owed and/or federal tax lien (i.e. IRS), are also considered. Recommend federal tax debt/tax liens are included. | Chapter 20, Section 1(2) - Individual Identification Cards; Issuance of Individual Identification Cards | No change required. | Existing regulatory language sufficient, tax liens may be considered "other tax delinquency" for the purposes of this proposed rule. |
| Steve Bergeron | Email | | Bergeron Marine Services | Dear sir or madam I am writing to weigh in on the up coming legislation being considered to expand cannabis sales to venues. While I am not opposed to recreational use I have always had issues with the fact that there is no adequate test to determine if someone is under the influence presently during a traffic stop. I realize there is trading for police but it is limited to body language mostly and could be refuted in court. If some one was drinking law inforcement can tell and person is punished. Please consider this when researching for this law. Regards | General | General comment | No specific change requested. |
| Alex McMahan | Email | | MedCo. | IICs are evidence of the stigma, unique to our industry, and should be considered by the legislature to be eliminated. | General | General comment | No specific change requested at this time, statutory change would be required to implement. See 28-B MRS § 106. |
| | | | | | | | |

| Name | Source | Affiliation | Company name | Comments | Reference | Department Response (accept, accept in part, reject) | Reasoning |
|------------|--------|-------------|--------------|---|---|--|---|
| Toni Green | Online | | | Annual renewal of IIC cards should not include yearly fingerprinting. It is costly for employees of licensees and puts a burden on the employee, usually resulting in time off from work to get fingerprints taken, therefore hours lost. And the possibly of a lengthy drive. It is unnecessary and could be done once when initially getting an IIC or every 5 years. | Chapter 20, Section 1(2) - Individual Identification Cards; Issuance of Individual Identification Cards | Reject | Statutory change required, see 28-B MRS §§ 106 and 204. |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Name | Source | Affiliation | Company name | Comments | Reference | Department Response (accept, accept in part, reject) | Reasoning |
|--------------|--------|-------------|--------------|---|--|--|---|
| Alex McMahan | Email | | MedCo. | 3.3.9 & 3.3.10 - These seem to be redundant. 9 already allows for 10. | Chapter 20, Section 3(3) - Cannabis Establishment Licenses & Authorized Activity; Products Manufacturing Facility License | Reject | Paragraph 9 refers to cannabis and cannabis products not packaged for retail sale; Paragraph 10 refers to cannabis and cannabis products packaged for retail sale |
| Alex McMahan | Email | | MedCo. | 3.4.A.1 - In conjunction with the new definition for "pre-packaged retail units", this now prohibits products that are not child resistant from being transferred to cannabis stores. Exit packaging is allowed in statute, as is transfer of products without child resistant packaging. | Chapter 20, Section 3(4) - Cannabis Establishment Licenses & Authorized Activity; Cannabis Store License | Accept in part | See new definition of "pre- packaged retail unit" and reintroduction of exit packaging. |
| Alex McMahan | Email | | MedCo. | 3.4.A.11 - Same issue as 3.4.A.1 above. | Chapter 20, Section 3(4) - Cannabis Establishment Licenses & Authorized Activity; Cannabis Store License | Accept in part | See new definition of "pre- packaged retail unit" and reintroduction of exit packaging. |
| | | | | | | | |

| Name | Source | Affiliation | Company name | Comments | Reference | Department Response (accept, accept in part, reject) | Reasoning |
|------|--------|-------------|--------------|----------|-----------|--|-----------|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Name | Source | Affiliation | Company name | Comments | Reference | Department Response (accept, accept in part, reject) | Reasoning |
|------|--------|-------------|--------------|----------|-----------|--|-----------|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Name | Source | Affiliation | Company name | Comments | Reference | Department Response (accept, accept in part, reject) | Reasoning |
|----------------------|--------|-------------|--------------|----------|-----------|--|-----------|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Name | Source | Affiliation | Company name | Comments | Reference | Department Response (accept, accept in part, reject) | Reasoning |
|--------------|--------|-------------|--------------|---|--|--|---|
| Alex McMahan | Email | | MedCo. | 8.3.A.4 - There is a typo in the section reference, it references the section in the old rules. | Chapter 20, Section 8(3) - Application for Active License of a Cultivation Facility, Testing Facility, Products Manufacturing Facility or Cannabis Store; Including Provisional Testing License; Supplemental Information for Issuance of Active License | Accept | |
| Alex McMahan | Email | | MedCo. | 8.7 - There is a typo: missing the word "license" after "An application for an active". | Chapter 20, Section 8(7) - Application for Active License of a Cultivation Facility, Testing Facility, Products Manufacturing Facility or Cannabis Store; Including Provisional Testing License; Application Processing for an Active License | Accept | |
| Alex McMahan | Email | | MedCo. | 8.4.P.4 - The legislature should consider eliminating controlled entry areas. | Chapter 20, Section 8(4) - Application for Active License of a Cultivation Facility, Testing Facility, Products Manufacturing Facility or Cannabis Store; Including Provisional Testing License; Facility Plan | No rule change requested | Statutory change requested to 28-B MRS § 504(4-A) |
| | | | | | | | |

| Name | Source | Affiliation | Company name | Comments | Reference | Department Response (accept, accept in part, reject) | Reasoning |
|--------------|--------|-------------|--------------------|--|---|--|---|
| Jill Cohen | Online | Attorney | Cohen Law Maine | Re: 9 (2) (B) (6) Requiring a detailed event diagram 30 days in advance may not be possible as the event organizers usually don't lock down actual vendor locations until closer to the event start date. Often event organizers are trying to sell vendor space right up to the day of the event which could result in a licensee's location being moved. This also requires licensees to obtain an event diagram where an event organizer may not be prepared to release that information 30 days in advance, or at all. | Chapter 20, Section 9(2) - Specified Event Permits for Retail Sales Outside the Licensed Premises of a Cannabis Store; Application for a Specified Event Permit | Reject | Applicants may submit an updated diagram if initial information submitted changes between application and the event. |
| Jill Cohen | Online | Attorney | Cohen Law Maine | Re: 9 (2) (B) (7) Will OCP be providing any guidelines as to security measures for event sales? Are you requiring transactions to be captured on camera, as is required for retail store, delivery, and curbside sales? More detail here, if possible, would be helpful. | Chapter 20, Section 9(2) - Specified Event Permits for Retail Sales Outside the Licensed Premises of a Cannabis Store; Application for a Specified Event Permit | in 18-691 CMR, ch. 30, not in | See security requirements in 18-691 CMR, ch. 30, Compliance Rules for Adult Use Cannabis Establishments, § 1, sub-§ 2 |
| Alex McMahan | Email | | MedCo. | 9.2.B.6 - There is a typo: missing the word "of" between "benefit" and "individuals". | Chapter 20, Section 9(2) - Specified Event Permits for Retail Sales Outside the Licensed Premises of a Cannabis Store; Application for a Specified Event Permit | Accept | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Name | Source | Affiliation | Company name | Comments | Reference | Department Response (accept, accept in part, reject) | Reasoning |
|----------------------|--------|-------------|--------------|----------|-----------|--|-----------|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

