

State of Maine
Master Score Sheet

RFP# 202506081					
Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System					
Bidder Name:		VertiQ Software LLC	StackNexus Inc	Son Information Systems	Quincy Technology Solutions, Inc
Proposed Cost:		\$250,806.25	\$90,000.00	\$144,700.00	\$100,000.00
Scoring Sections					
Section I: Preliminary Information	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Section II: Organization Qualifications and Experience	20	20	12	12	20
Section III: Proposed Services	55	45	30	35	45
Section IV: Cost Proposal	25	6	17	11	16
TOTAL	<u>69</u>	<u>71</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>81</u>
Bidder Name:		Occupational Research and Assessment Inc	Concourse Tech Inc.	PlanStreet Inc	
Proposed Cost:		\$62,215.00	\$325,000.00	\$129,068.00	
Scoring Sections	Points Available				
Section I: Preliminary Information	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	
Section II: Organization Qualifications and Experience	20	20	10	10	
Section III: Proposed Services	55	50	30	30	
Section IV: Cost Proposal	25	25	5	12	
TOTAL	<u>100</u>	<u>95</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>52</u>	

Award Justification Statement
RFP# 202506081

I. Summary

The Department of the Attorney General, Office of Chief Medical Examiner (Department), is seeking to acquire a secure, web-based Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System (EDICMS). The purpose of this procurement is to implement a robust, scalable, and compliant solution that facilitates the comprehensive management of medicolegal death investigation data, workflows, and reporting requirements.

II. Evaluation Process

The RFP evaluation team consisted of Lindsey Chasteen, Director of Operations at the Office of Chief Medical Examiner and RFP coordinator, Harley Brann, a Case Associate II at the Office of Chief Medical Examiner and end-user of the current case management system, Summer Carter, the Finance Director with the Department of Attorney General, and Dale Thomas, the Director of Applications with the Maine Office of Information Technology (OIT). As this RFP included software, a technical assessment was done by OIT.

The technical assessment team met on September 23rd and reviewed the bids. The RFP team met on September 24th. Dale Thomas reported to the team that the technical assessment team did not see any red flags in the bids, and they were all very similar. From there, Lindsey Chasteen lead the review of each of the seven bids. Each evaluation team member had reviewed the bids and had their individual evaluation notes. Going through one bid at time, the team discussed and came to a consensus of the awarded points for “Organization Qualifications and Experience”, “Proposed Services”, and “Cost Proposal”. The points were tallied after each bid review.

III. Qualifications & Experience

- Company has been established since 1998 and is one of the bids with a longer history in the field
- Has an existing death investigation case management system with many users
- Has government clients

IV. Proposed Services

- System requires no configuration or customization
- Possibility of future options (public facing dashboard, grant integration)
- No need for migration of data (current vendor)
- Existing integration with NMS Labs

STATE OF MAINE

- Existing integration with VitalChek Electronic Death Registration System (EDRS)
- One of the few bids with actual experience in death case management software.
- No need to change any existing operational or technical processes

V. Cost Proposal

The cost proposals ranged from \$31,000 to \$125,000 annually. Several proposals also had implementation fees, which ranged from \$31,000 to \$136,000. The lowest cost proposal was ORA, INC., with \$31,000 annual operating expenses with no implementation fee.

VI. Conclusion

The RFP evaluation team by consensus chose ORA, INC. as the conditionally awarded bidder as they have the lowest cost proposal, they have demonstrated experience in death investigation case management, with decades of experience, and they offer expansion options such as a public-facing dashboard and system integration that Maine can “turn on” rather than build. Additionally, ORA, INC., does not require data migration or any changes to existing processes.



STATE OF MAINE
DEPARTMENT OF Attorney General

Janet T. Mills
Governor

Aaron Frey
Commissioner

December 19, 2025

Anthony Kessel, CEO
VertiQ Software, LLC
36 Chatham Court
San Jose, CA 95139

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Award under RFP # 202506081,
Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

Dear Mr./Ms. Kessel:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Proposals (RFP), issued by the State of Maine Department of Attorney General for Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract award to the following bidder:

- Occupational Research and Assessment, Inc.

The bidder listed above received the evaluation team's highest ranking. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidder soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Lindsey Chasteen, MBA
Director of Operations
Maine Office of Chief Medical Examiner

STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Office of State Procurement Services [formerly the Division of Purchases], Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE
DEPARTMENT OF Attorney General**

**Janet T. Mills
Governor**

**Aaron Frey
Commissioner**

December 19, 2025

Suman Akula
StackNexus, Inc.
1401 21st Street, Suite 210
Sacramento, CA 95811

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Award under RFP # 202506081,
Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

Dear Mr./Ms. Akula:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Proposals (RFP), issued by the State of Maine Department of Attorney General for Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract award to the following bidder:

- Occupational Research and Assessment, Inc.

The bidder listed above received the evaluation team's highest ranking. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidder soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Lindsey Chasteen, MBA
Director of Operations
Maine Office of Chief Medical Examiner

STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Office of State Procurement Services [formerly the Division of Purchases], Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE
DEPARTMENT OF Attorney General**

**Janet T. Mills
Governor**

**Aaron Frey
Commissioner**

December 19, 2025

Matthew Son
Son Information Systems
317 N. Boundary St.
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Award under RFP # 202506081,
Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

Dear Mr./Ms. Son:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Proposals (RFP), issued by the State of Maine Department of Attorney General for Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract award to the following bidder:

- Occupational Research and Assessment, Inc.

The bidder listed above received the evaluation team's highest ranking. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidder soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Lindsey Chasteen, MBA
Director of Operations
Maine Office of Chief Medical Examiner

STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Office of State Procurement Services [formerly the Division of Purchases], Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE
DEPARTMENT OF Attorney General**

**Janet T. Mills
Governor**

**Aaron Frey
Commissioner**

December 19, 2025

Martin Mattessich, President
Quincy Technology Solutions, Inc.
37 Seymour Road
Woodbridge, CT 06525

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Award under RFP # 202506081,
Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

Dear Mr./Ms. Mattessich:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Proposals (RFP), issued by the State of Maine Department of Attorney General for Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract award to the following bidder:

- Occupational Research and Assessment, Inc.

The bidder listed above received the evaluation team's highest ranking. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidder soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Lindsey Chasteen, MBA
Director of Operations
Maine Office of Chief Medical Examiner

STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Office of State Procurement Services [formerly the Division of Purchases], Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE
DEPARTMENT OF Attorney General**

**Janet T. Mills
Governor**

**Aaron Frey
Commissioner**

December 19, 2025

Steven Clark, PhD
Occupational and Research Assessment, Inc.
124 Elm Street
Big Rapids, MI 49307

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Award under RFP # 202506081,
Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

Dear Dr. Clark:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Proposals (RFP), issued by the State of Maine Department of Attorney General for Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract award to the following bidder:

- Occupational Research and Assessment, Inc.

The bidder listed above received the evaluation team's highest ranking. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidder soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Lindsey Chasteen, MBA
Director of Operations
Maine Office of Chief Medical Examiner

STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Office of State Procurement Services [formerly the Division of Purchases], Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



STATE OF MAINE
DEPARTMENT OF Attorney General

Janet T. Mills
Governor

Aaron Frey
Commissioner

December 19, 2025

Kelsey Shaner
Concourse, Inc.
169 Madison Ave., Ste.15520
New York, NY 10016

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Award under RFP #
202506081, Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

Dear Mr./Ms. Shaner:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Proposals (RFP), issued by the State of Maine Department of Attorney General for Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract award to the following bidder:

- Occupational Research and Assessment, Inc.

The bidder listed above received the evaluation team's highest ranking. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidder soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Lindsey Chasteen, MBA
Director of Operations
Maine Office of Chief Medical Examiner

STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Office of State Procurement Services [formerly the Division of Purchases], Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE
DEPARTMENT OF Attorney General**

**Janet T. Mills
Governor**

**Aaron Frey
Commissioner**

December 19, 2025

Aon Rana, CEO
PlanStreet
220 Lexington Green Circle, Ste. 110
Lexington, KY 40503

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Award under RFP # 202506081,
Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

Dear Mr./Ms. Rana:

This letter is in regard to the subject Request for Proposals (RFP), issued by the State of Maine Department of Attorney General for Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract award to the following bidder:

- Occupational Research and Assessment, Inc.

The bidder listed above received the evaluation team's highest ranking. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidder soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

Lindsey Chasteen, MBA
Director of Operations
Maine Office of Chief Medical Examiner

STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Office of State Procurement Services [formerly the Division of Purchases], Chapter 120, § (2) (2).

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER: VertiQ

DATE: 09/24/2025

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Department of the Attorney General

Name of RFP Coordinator: Lindsey Chasteen

Names of Evaluators: Lindsey Chasteen, Harley Brann, Summer Carter, Dale Thomas

<u>Pass/Fail Criteria</u>	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Preliminary Information (Eligibility)	Pass	
<u>Scoring Sections</u>	<u>Points Available</u>	<u>Points Awarded</u>
Section II. Organization Qualifications and Experience	20	20
Section III. Proposed Services	55	45
Section IV. Cost Proposal	25	6
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>71</u>

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER: VertiQ

DATE: 09/24/2025

**OVERVIEW OF SECTION I
Preliminary Information**

Section I. Preliminary Information

Evaluation Team Comments:

- Pass

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER: VertiQ

DATE: 09/24/2025

**EVALUATION OF SECTION II
Organization Qualifications and Experience**

	<u>Points Available</u>	<u>Points Awarded</u>
Section II. Organization Qualifications and Experience	20	20

Evaluation Team Comments:

- 14 years of experience
- Currently works with Death Case Management
- Large number of customers across the country

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER: VertiQ

DATE: 09/24/2025

**EVALUATION OF SECTION III
Proposed Services**

	<u>Points Available</u>	<u>Points Awarded</u>
Section III. Proposed Services	55	45

Evaluation Team Comments:

- Former vendor of the State, but product did not meet the needs
- The product has been updated since they were a vendor for the State

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER: VertiQ

DATE: 09/24/2025

**EVALUATION OF SECTION IV
Cost Proposal**

	<u>Points Available</u>	<u>Points Awarded</u>
Section IV. Cost Proposal	6	25

Evaluation Team Comments:

- Lowest submitted cost proposal / Cost of proposal being scored) x (Total cost points available)
- $(62,215 / 250,806.25) * 25 = 6$ (Rounded)

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: VertiQ Software LLC

DATE: 09/23/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

Consensus Comments - Technical Assessment Team:

Consensus Decision: <i>Met or Not Met</i>		
<i>Not Met.</i>		
Overall quality of response and evidence:		
Most provided answers did not include evidence.		
Data Compliance		
Publicly available information	NIST 800-171	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	Maine FOAA	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
Confidential Personally Identifiable Information (PII)	Maine Breach Notification Law	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	NIST 800-53: Rev5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	Privacy Act of 1974	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	U.S. DHHS-OCSE	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
		Explanation: <i>No evidence provided.</i>

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: VertiQ Software LLC

DATE: 09/23/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

Personal Health Information (PHI)	HIPAA	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: No evidence provided.
	HIPAA BAA	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: No evidence provided.
	HITECH	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: No evidence provided.
Personal Information from	§ Driver's Privacy Protection Act (Title XXX) ("DPPA") 18 U.S.C. Chapter 123, §§ 2721 – 2725	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Stated information not used.
Bill of Materials (BoM)		
(Product Name)	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
	Explanation:	
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
	Explanation: Provided URL provided high-level products. But no further details.	
MaineIT		
H1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
	Explanation:	
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
	Explanation: No evidence provided.	
H2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
	Explanation:	
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
	Explanation: No evidence provided.	
H3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
	Explanation:	

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: VertiQ Software LLC

DATE: 09/23/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No evidence provided.
A1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No evidence provided.
A2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No evidence provided.
A3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No evidence provided.
A4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No evidence provided.
Information Security Standards	
S1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No evidence provided.
S2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No evidence provided.
S3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Answered yes with no explanation.
S4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No WISP provided.
Cloud Service Provider Reqs	
CSP1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No WISP provided.
CSP2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: VertiQ Software LLC

DATE: 09/23/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: No WISP provided.</i>
CSP3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No WISP provided.</i>
CSP4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No WISP provided.</i>
CSP5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No WISP provided.</i>
CSP6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No WISP provided.</i>
CSP7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No WISP provided.</i>
CSP8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No WISP provided.</i>
CSP9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No WISP provided.</i>
CSP10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No WISP provided.</i>
CSP11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No WISP provided.</i>
CSP12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No WISP provided.</i>
CSP13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: VertiQ Software LLC

DATE: 09/23/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No WISP provided.
CSP14	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No WISP provided.
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
CSP15	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No WISP provided.
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No WISP provided.
CSP16	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No WISP provided.
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
CSP17	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No WISP provided.
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No WISP provided.
CSP18	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No WISP provided.
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
CSP19	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No WISP provided.
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No WISP provided.
NIST Requirements	
N1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No evidence provided.
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
N2	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No evidence provided.
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No evidence provided.
N3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No evidence provided.
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
N4	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No evidence provided.
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No evidence provided.

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: VertiQ Software LLC

DATE: 09/23/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

N5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No evidence provided.
N6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No evidence provided.
N7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No evidence provided.
N8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Evidence provided.
N9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No WISP provided.
N10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No evidence provided.
N11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Need better detail.
N12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No evidence provided.
N13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No WISP provided.
N14	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No WISP provided.

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER: StackNexus

DATE: 09/24/2025

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Department of the Attorney General

Name of RFP Coordinator: Lindsey Chasteen

Names of Evaluators: Lindsey Chasteen, Harley Brann, Summer Carter, Dale Thomas

<u>Pass/Fail Criteria</u>	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Preliminary Information (Eligibility)	Pass	
<u>Scoring Sections</u>	<u>Points Available</u>	<u>Points Awarded</u>
Section II. Organization Qualifications and Experience	20	12
Section III. Proposed Services	55	30
Section IV. Cost Proposal	25	17
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>59</u>

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER: StackNexus

DATE: 09/24/2025

**OVERVIEW OF SECTION I
Preliminary Information**

Section I. Preliminary Information

Evaluation Team Comments:

Pass

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER: StackNexus

DATE: 09/24/2025

**EVALUATION OF SECTION II
Organization Qualifications and Experience**

	<u>Points Available</u>	<u>Points Awarded</u>
Section II. Organization Qualifications and Experience	20	12

Evaluation Team Comments:

- Some Healthcare experience
- Mentioned their Insurance Certificate

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER: StackNexus

DATE: 09/24/2025

**EVALUATION OF SECTION III
Proposed Services**

	<u>Points Available</u>	<u>Points Awarded</u>
Section III. Proposed Services	55	30

Evaluation Team Comments:

- 12 weeks implementation
- Product is scalable
- Web based product
- No experience with Death Case Management

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER: StackNexus

DATE: 09/24/2025

**EVALUATION OF SECTION IV
Cost Proposal**

	<u>Points Available</u>	<u>Points Awarded</u>
Section IV. Cost Proposal	25	17

Evaluation Team Comments:

- Lowest submitted cost proposal / Cost of proposal being scored) x (Total cost points available)
- $(62,215 / 90,000) * 25 = 17$ (Rounded)

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: StackNexus

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

Consensus Comments - Technical Assessment Team:

Consensus Decision: <i>Met or Not Met</i>		
Not Met.		
Overall quality of response and evidence:		
<p>Vendor mentioned some key components and referenced controls but provided no supporting evidence. Additional evidence would be needed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A high-level architectural diagram for the proposed solution • A data flow diagram • A description of the controls and safeguards that will be applied to Maine data once in the bidders possession 		
Data Compliance		
Publicly available information	NIST 800-171	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	Maine FOAA	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
Confidential Personally Identifiable Information (PII)	Maine Breach Notification Law	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	NIST 800-53: Rev5	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: StackNexus

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

		<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
	Privacy Act of 1974	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
	U.S. DHHS-OCSE	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
Personal Health Information (PHI)	HIPAA	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
	HIPAA BAA	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
	HITECH	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		<i>Explanation:</i>

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: StackNexus

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

		<i>Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
Personal Information from	<u>§ Driver's Privacy Protection Act (Title XXX) ("DPPA") 18 U.S.C. Chapter 123, §§ 2721 – 2725</u>	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: <i>Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
Bill of Materials (BoM)		
(Product Name)		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: No evidence provided, vendor did not provide URL.
MaineIT		
H1		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.
H2		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.
H3		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.
A1		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: StackNexus

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

A2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	Explanation: <i>Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
A3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	Explanation: <i>Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
A4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	Explanation: <i>Vendor states compliance with this control. Adequate evidence.</i>
Information Security Standards	
S1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	Explanation: <i>Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
S2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	Explanation: <i>Vendor states compliance with this control. Adequate evidence.</i>
S3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	Explanation: <i>Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
S4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	Explanation: <i>Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
Cloud Service Provider Reqs	
CSP1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: StackNexus

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
CSP2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Link provided.</i>
CSP3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
CSP4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
CSP5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
CSP6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
CSP7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: StackNexus

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
CSP8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
CSP9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
CSP10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
CSP11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
CSP12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
CSP13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: StackNexus

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
CSP14	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
CSP15	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
CSP16	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
CSP17	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
CSP18	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
CSP19	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: StackNexus

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
NIST Requirements	
N1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
N2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
N3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
N4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
N5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
N6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: StackNexus

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<p><i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i></p> <p><i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i></p>
N7	<p><i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i></p> <p><i>Explanation:</i></p> <p><i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i></p> <p><i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i></p>
N8	<p><i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i></p> <p><i>Explanation:</i></p> <p><i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i></p> <p><i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i></p>
N9	<p><i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i></p> <p><i>Explanation:</i></p> <p><i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i></p> <p><i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i></p>
N10	<p><i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i></p> <p><i>Explanation:</i></p> <p><i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i></p> <p><i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i></p>
N11	<p><i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i></p> <p><i>Explanation:</i></p> <p><i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i></p> <p><i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i></p>
N12	<p><i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i></p> <p><i>Explanation:</i></p>

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: StackNexus

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
N13	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
N14	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: None.</i>

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER: Son Information Systems

DATE: 09/24/2025

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Department of the Attorney General

Name of RFP Coordinator: Lindsey Chasteen

Names of Evaluators: Lindsey Chasteen, Harley Brann, Summer Carter, Dale Thomas

<u>Pass/Fail Criteria</u>	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Preliminary Information (Eligibility)	Pass	
<u>Scoring Sections</u>	<u>Points Available</u>	<u>Points Awarded</u>
Section II. Organization Qualifications and Experience	20	12
Section III. Proposed Services	55	35
Section IV. Cost Proposal	25	11
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>58</u>

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER: Son Information Systems

DATE: 09/24/2025

**OVERVIEW OF SECTION I
Preliminary Information**

Section I. Preliminary Information

Evaluation Team Comments:

- Pass

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER: Son Information Systems

DATE: 09/24/2025

**EVALUATION OF SECTION II
Organization Qualifications and Experience**

	<u>Points Available</u>	<u>Points Awarded</u>
Section II. Organization Qualifications and Experience	20	12

Evaluation Team Comments:

- A little more experienced
- No death case management experience
- They do have public health experience
- References presented are all very current
- No insurance certificate mentioned

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER: Son Information Systems

DATE: 09/24/2025

**EVALUATION OF SECTION III
Proposed Services**

	<u>Points Available</u>	<u>Points Awarded</u>
Section III. Proposed Services	55	35

Evaluation Team Comments:

- Phased product implementation
- Off the shelf product
- Implementation period is 8 weeks.

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER: Son Information Systems

DATE: 09/24/2025

**EVALUATION OF SECTION IV
Cost Proposal**

	<u>Points Available</u>	<u>Points Awarded</u>
Section IV. Cost Proposal	25	11

Evaluation Team Comments:

- Lowest submitted cost proposal / Cost of proposal being scored) x (Total cost points available)
- $(62,215 / 144700) * 25 = 11$ (Rounded)

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Son Information Systems

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

Consensus Comments - Technical Assessment Team:

Consensus Decision: <i>Met or Not Met</i>		
<i>Not Met</i>		
Overall quality of response and evidence:		
<p>Vendor provided SOC II Type II, but is out of date. No supporting evidence. Additional evidence would be needed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A high-level architectural diagram for the proposed solution • A data flow diagram • A description of the controls and safeguards that will be applied to Maine data once in the bidders possession 		
Data Compliance		
Publicly available information	NIST 800-171	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	Maine FOAA	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
Confidential Personally Identifiable Information (PII)	Maine Breach Notification Law	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	NIST 800-53: Rev5	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Son Information Systems

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

		<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.</i>
	Privacy Act of 1974	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.</i>
	U.S. DHHS-OCSE	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.</i>
Personal Health Information (PHI)	HIPAA	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.</i>
	HIPAA BAA	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.</i>
	HITECH	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.</i>
Personal Information from	§ Driver's Privacy Protection Act (Title	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Son Information Systems

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<u>XXX)</u> <u>("DPPA") 18</u> <u>U.S.C.</u> <u>Chapter 123,</u> <u>§§ 2721 –</u> <u>2725</u>	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.</i>
Bill of Materials (BoM)		
(Product Name)	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>	
	<i>Explanation:</i>	
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>	
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Link provided.</i>	
MaineIT		
H1	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>	
	<i>Explanation:</i>	
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>	
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.</i>	
H2	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>	
	<i>Explanation:</i>	
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>	
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.</i>	
H3	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>	
	<i>Explanation:</i>	
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>	
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.</i>	
A1	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>	
	<i>Explanation:</i>	
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>	
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.</i>	
A2	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>	
	<i>Explanation:</i>	

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Son Information Systems

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.
A3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.
A4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.
Information Security Standards	
S1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.
S2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.
S3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.
S4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.
Cloud Service Provider Reqs	

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Son Information Systems

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

CSP1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.
CSP2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.
CSP3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.
CSP4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.
CSP5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.
CSP6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Son Information Systems

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

CSP7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.
CSP8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.
CSP9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.
CSP10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.
CSP11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.
CSP12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Son Information Systems

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

CSP13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.
CSP14	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.
CSP15	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.
CSP16	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.
CSP17	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.
CSP18	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Son Information Systems

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

CSP19	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.
NIST Requirements	
N1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.
N2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.
N3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.
N4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.
N5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Son Information Systems

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

N6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.
N7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.
N8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.
N9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.
N10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.
N11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Son Information Systems

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

N12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.
N13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.
N14	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided, SOC II over 12 months old.

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER: Quincy Technology Solutions

DATE: 09/24/2025

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Department of the Attorney General

Name of RFP Coordinator: Lindsey Chasteen

Names of Evaluators: Lindsey Chasteen, Harley Brann, Summer Carter, Dale Thomas

<u>Pass/Fail Criteria</u>	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Preliminary Information (Eligibility)	Pass	
<u>Scoring Sections</u>	<u>Points Available</u>	<u>Points Awarded</u>
Section II. Organization Qualifications and Experience	20	20
Section III. Proposed Services	55	45
Section IV. Cost Proposal	25	16
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>81</u>

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER: Quincy Technology Solutions

DATE: 09/24/2025

**OVERVIEW OF SECTION I
Preliminary Information**

Section I. Preliminary Information

Evaluation Team Comments:

- Pass

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER: Quincy Technology Solutions

DATE: 09/24/2025

**EVALUATION OF SECTION II
Organization Qualifications and Experience**

	<u>Points Available</u>	<u>Points Awarded</u>
Section II. Organization Qualifications and Experience	20	20

Evaluation Team Comments:

- Several government customers
- Current death case management experience

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER: Quincy Technology Solutions

DATE: 09/24/2025

**EVALUATION OF SECTION III
Proposed Services**

	<u>Points Available</u>	<u>Points Awarded</u>
Section III. Proposed Services	55	45

Evaluation Team Comments:

- Lot of current users
- Off the shelf for Death Investigation
- 12-week implementation period

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER: Quincy Technology Solutions

DATE: 09/24/2025

**EVALUATION OF SECTION IV
Cost Proposal**

	<u>Points Available</u>	<u>Points Awarded</u>
Section IV. Cost Proposal	25	16

Evaluation Team Comments:

- Lowest submitted cost proposal / Cost of proposal being scored) x (Total cost points available)
- $(62,215 / 100,000) * 25 = 16$ (Rounded)

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Quincy Technology Solutions

DATE: 09/23/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

Consensus Comments - Technical Assessment Team:

Consensus Decision: <i>Met or Not Met</i>		
Not Met.		
Overall quality of response and evidence:		
The provided answers did not include evidence.		
Data Compliance		
Publicly available information	NIST 800-171	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Maine FOAA	Explanation: No evidence provided.
		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
Confidential Personally Identifiable Information (PII)	Maine Breach Notification Law	Explanation: No evidence provided.
		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	NIST 800-53: Rev5	Explanation: No evidence provided.
		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Privacy Act of 1974	Explanation: No evidence provided.
		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	U.S. DHHS-OCSE	Explanation: No evidence provided.
		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
Personal	HIPAA	Explanation: No evidence provided.
		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Quincy Technology Solutions

DATE: 09/23/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

Health Information (PHI)		<i>a response</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
	HIPAA BAA	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
	HITECH	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
Personal Information from	§ Driver's Privacy Protection Act (Title XXX) ("DPPA") 18 U.S.C. Chapter 123, §§ 2721 – 2725	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
Bill of Materials (BoM)		
(Product Name)		<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		<i>Explanation: Does not list high-level components</i>
MaineIT		
H1		<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
H2		<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		<i>Explanation:</i>

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Quincy Technology Solutions

DATE: 09/23/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No evidence provided.
H3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No evidence provided.
A1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No evidence provided.
A2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No evidence provided.
A3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No evidence provided.
A4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Agreed to statement.
Information Security Standards	
S1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No evidence provided.
S2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No evidence provided.
S3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No evidence provided.
S4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No evidence provided.
Cloud Service Provider Reqs	
CSP1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No evidence provided.

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Quincy Technology Solutions

DATE: 09/23/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

CSP2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No evidence provided.
CSP3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No evidence provided.
CSP4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No evidence provided.
CSP5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No evidence provided.
CSP6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No evidence provided.
CSP7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No evidence provided.
CSP8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No evidence provided.
CSP9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No evidence provided.
CSP10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No evidence provided.
CSP11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No evidence provided.
CSP12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Quincy Technology Solutions

DATE: 09/23/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
CSP13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
CSP14	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
CSP15	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
CSP16	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
CSP17	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
CSP18	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
CSP19	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
NIST Requirements	
N1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
N2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
N3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
N4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Quincy Technology Solutions

DATE: 09/23/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No evidence provided.
N5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No evidence provided.
N6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No evidence provided.
N7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No evidence provided.
N8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No evidence provided.
N9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No evidence provided.
N10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No evidence provided.
N11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No evidence provided.
N12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No evidence provided.
N13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No evidence provided.
N14	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No evidence provided.

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER: ORA, Inc.

DATE: 09/24/2025

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Department of the Attorney General

Name of RFP Coordinator: Lindsey Chasteen

Names of Evaluators: Lindsey Chasteen, Harley Brann, Summer Carter, Dale Thomas

<u>Pass/Fail Criteria</u>	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Preliminary Information (Eligibility)	Pass	
<u>Scoring Sections</u>	<u>Points Available</u>	<u>Points Awarded</u>
Section II. Organization Qualifications and Experience	20	20
Section III. Proposed Services	55	50
Section IV. Cost Proposal	25	25
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>95</u>

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER: ORA, Inc.

DATE: 09/24/2025

**OVERVIEW OF SECTION I
Preliminary Information**

Section I. Preliminary Information

Evaluation Team Comments:

- Pass

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER: ORA, Inc.

DATE: 09/24/2025

**EVALUATION OF SECTION II
Organization Qualifications and Experience**

	<u>Points Available</u>	<u>Points Awarded</u>
Section II. Organization Qualifications and Experience	20	20

Evaluation Team Comments:

- Long history, since 1998
- Worked with government clients
- Has death case management experience
- Multiple implements to clients across the country.

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER: ORA, Inc.

DATE: 09/24/2025

**EVALUATION OF SECTION III
Proposed Services**

	<u>Points Available</u>	<u>Points Awarded</u>
Section III. Proposed Services	55	55

Evaluation Team Comments:

- Current vendor and system
- System has no issues
- No adjustments needed to the current process
- There are future options
- Already has connection with MMS Labs

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER NAME: ORA, Inc.

DATE: 09/04/2025

**EVALUATION OF SECTION IV
Cost Proposal**

	<u>Points Available</u>	<u>Points Awarded</u>
Section IV. Cost Proposal	25	25

Evaluation Team Comments:

- Lowest submitted cost proposal / Cost of proposal being scored) x (Total cost points available)
- $(62,215 / 62,215) * 25 = 25$ (Rounded)

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Occupational Research and Assessment Inc

DATE: 09/23/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

Consensus Comments - Technical Assessment Team:

Consensus Decision: <i>Met or Not Met</i>		
<i>Not Met.</i>		
Overall quality of response and evidence:		
The provided answers did not include evidence.		
Data Compliance		
Publicly available information	NIST 800-171	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i> <i>Missing Section</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i>
	Maine FOAA	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i> <i>Missing Section</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i>
Confidential Personally Identifiable Information (PII)	Maine Breach Notification Law	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i> <i>Missing Section</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i>
	NIST 800-53: Rev5	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i>
	Privacy Act of 1974	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Occupational Research and Assessment Inc

DATE: 09/23/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

Personal Health Information (PHI)	U.S. DHHS-OCSE	<i>Explanation:</i>	
		Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
		<i>Explanation:</i>	
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak	
		HIPAA	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
			<i>Explanation:</i>
			Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>	
		HIPAA BAA	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
			<i>Explanation:</i>
			Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
			<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
HITECH		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
	<i>Explanation:</i>		
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak		
	<i>Explanation:</i>		
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak		
	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>		

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Occupational Research and Assessment Inc

DATE: 09/23/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

Personal Information from	<u>§ Driver's Privacy Protection Act (Title XXX) ("DPPA") 18 U.S.C. Chapter 123, §§ 2721 – 2725</u>	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation:
Bill of Materials (BoM)		
(Product Name)		Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation:
MaineIT		
H1		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: No evidence provided.
H2		Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: No evidence provided.
H3		Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: No evidence provided.
A1		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: No evidence provided.
A2		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Occupational Research and Assessment Inc

DATE: 09/23/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No evidence provided.
A3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No evidence provided.
A4	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Agreed with Standards
Information Security Standards	
S1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No evidence provided.
S2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No evidence provided.
S3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No evidence provided.
S4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Some evidence provided.
Cloud Service Provider Reqs	
CSP1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No evidence provided.
CSP2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Occupational Research and Assessment Inc

DATE: 09/23/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
CSP3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
CSP4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
CSP5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
CSP6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
CSP7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
CSP8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
CSP9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Occupational Research and Assessment Inc

DATE: 09/23/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No evidence provided.
CSP10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No evidence provided.
CSP11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No evidence provided.
CSP12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No evidence provided.
CSP13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No evidence provided.
CSP14	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No evidence provided.
CSP15	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No evidence provided.
CSP16	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Occupational Research and Assessment Inc

DATE: 09/23/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
CSP17	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
CSP18	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
CSP19	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: OK response</i>
NIST Requirements	
N1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
N2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
N3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
N4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Occupational Research and Assessment Inc

DATE: 09/23/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
N5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
N6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
N7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
N8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
N9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
N10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
N11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Occupational Research and Assessment Inc

DATE: 09/23/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

N12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
N13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
N14	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER: Concourse

DATE: 09/24/2025

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Department of the Attorney General

Name of RFP Coordinator: Lindsey Chasteen

Names of Evaluators: Lindsey Chasteen, Harley Brann, Summer Carter, Dale Thomas

<u>Pass/Fail Criteria</u>	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Preliminary Information (Eligibility)	Pass	
<u>Scoring Sections</u>	<u>Points Available</u>	<u>Points Awarded</u>
Section II. Organization Qualifications and Experience	20	10
Section III. Proposed Services	55	30
Section IV. Cost Proposal	25	5
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>45</u>

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER: Concourse

DATE: 09/24/2025

**OVERVIEW OF SECTION I
Preliminary Information**

Section I. Preliminary Information

Evaluation Team Comments:

- Pass

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER: Concourse

DATE: 09/24/2025

**EVALUATION OF SECTION II
Organization Qualifications and Experience**

	<u>Points Available</u>	<u>Points Awarded</u>
Section II. Organization Qualifications and Experience	20	10

Evaluation Team Comments:

- Less experience company
- Some government experience
- No death case management experience
- No insurance certificate mentioned
- No organizational chart

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER: Concourse

DATE: 09/24/2025

**EVALUATION OF SECTION III
Proposed Services**

	<u>Points Available</u>	<u>Points Awarded</u>
Section III. Proposed Services	55	30

Evaluation Team Comments:

- 5-month implementation period
- No identified ability to adapt product to needs of case management system
- Not off the shelf, it needs a lot of customization

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER: Concourse

DATE: 09/24/2025

**EVALUATION OF SECTION IV
Cost Proposal**

	<u>Points Available</u>	<u>Points Awarded</u>
Section IV. Cost Proposal	25	5

Evaluation Team Comments:

- Lowest submitted cost proposal / Cost of proposal being scored) x (Total cost points available)
- $(62,215 / 325,000) * 25 = 5$ (Rounded)

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Concourse Tech Inc

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

Consensus Comments - Technical Assessment Team:

Consensus Decision: <i>Met or Not Met</i>		
Not Met.		
Overall quality of response and evidence:		
<p>Vendor mentioned some key components and referenced controls but provided no supporting evidence. Additional evidence would be needed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A high-level architectural diagram for the proposed solution • A data flow diagram • A description of the controls and safeguards that will be applied to Maine data once in the bidders possession 		
Data Compliance		
Publicly available information	NIST 800-171	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i>
	Maine FOAA	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
		<i>Explanation: Vendor states non-compliance. Included links that did not provide evidence.</i>
Confidential Personally Identifiable Information (PII)	Maine Breach Notification Law	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i>
	NIST 800-53: Rev5	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
		<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
		<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i>

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Concourse Tech Inc

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor states non-compliance. Included link and document that did not provide evidence.
	Privacy Act of 1974	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Included document that provided evidence.
	U.S. DHHS-OCSE	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Vendor states compliance with this control. Link and document attached do not provide evidence.
Personal Health Information (PHI)	HIPAA	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor states non-compliance with this control.
	HIPAA BAA	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.
	HITECH	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Concourse Tech Inc

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Link and document attached do not provide evidence.
Personal Information from	<u>§ Driver's Privacy Protection Act (Title XXX) ("DPPA") 18 U.S.C. Chapter 123, §§ 2721 – 2725</u>	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Link and document attached do not provide evidence.
Bill of Materials (BoM)		
(Product Name)		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Names and links provided.
MaineIT		
H1		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Cloud hosting partners referenced in attached documentation.
H2		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Document attached does not provide evidence.
H3		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Concourse Tech Inc

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Document attached does not provide evidence.</i>
A1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Document attached does not provide evidence.</i>
A2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Document attached does not provide evidence.</i>
A3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Provided document includes evidence.</i>
A4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Provided document as evidence.</i>
Information Security Standards	
S1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Provided document and link did not include evidence.</i>
S2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Mentioned contractual agreements, but did not provide them.</i>
S3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Concourse Tech Inc

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Included document did not have evidence.</i>
S4	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Did not provide evidence.</i>
Cloud Service Provider Reqs	
CSP1	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Provided document and link did not include evidence.</i>
CSP2	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Provided document did not include evidence.</i>
CSP3	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Provided link did not include evidence.</i>
CSP4	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Provided document did not include evidence.</i>
CSP5	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Concourse Tech Inc

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Provided document did not include evidence.</i>
CSP6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Provided document did not include evidence.</i>
CSP7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Provided document did not include evidence.</i>
CSP8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Provided document did not include evidence.</i>
CSP9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Provided document did not include evidence.</i>
CSP10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Provided document did not include evidence.</i>
CSP11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Concourse Tech Inc

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Provided document did not include evidence.</i>
CSP12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Provided document did not include evidence.</i>
CSP13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Provided document did not include evidence.</i>
CSP14	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Provided document did not include evidence.</i>
CSP15	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i>
CSP16	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i>
CSP17	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i>

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Concourse Tech Inc

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

CSP18	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation:
CSP19	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation:
NIST Requirements	
N1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Provided document and link did not include evidence.
N2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Provided document did not include evidence.
N3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Provided document did not include evidence.
N4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Provided document and link did not include evidence.

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Concourse Tech Inc

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

N5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Provided document did not include evidence.
N6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Provided document did not include evidence.
N7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Provided document did not include evidence.
N8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Provided document and link did not include evidence.
N9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Provided document did not include evidence.
N10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Provided document did not include evidence.

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Concourse Tech Inc

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

N11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Provided document did not include evidence.
N12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Provided document did not include evidence.
N13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor stated non-compliance.
N14	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. Provided document and link did not include evidence.

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER: PlanStreet

DATE: 09/24/2025

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Department of the Attorney General

Name of RFP Coordinator: Lindsey Chasteen

Names of Evaluators: Lindsey Chasteen, Harley Brann, Summer Carter, Dale Thomas

<u>Pass/Fail Criteria</u>	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Section I. Preliminary Information (Eligibility)	Pass	
<u>Scoring Sections</u>	<u>Points Available</u>	<u>Points Awarded</u>
Section II. Organization Qualifications and Experience	20	10
Section III. Proposed Services	55	30
Section IV. Cost Proposal	25	12
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>52</u>

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER: PlanStreet

DATE: 09/24/2025

**OVERVIEW OF SECTION I
Preliminary Information**

Section I. Preliminary Information

Evaluation Team Comments:

- Pass

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER: PlanStreet

DATE: 09/24/2025

**EVALUATION OF SECTION II
Organization Qualifications and Experience**

	<u>Points Available</u>	<u>Points Awarded</u>
Section II. Organization Qualifications and Experience	20	10

Evaluation Team Comments:

- Less experience company
- Some health customer experience
- Fed Ramp certified

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER: PlanStreet

DATE: 09/24/2025

**EVALUATION OF SECTION III
Proposed Services**

	<u>Points Available</u>	<u>Points Awarded</u>
Section III. Proposed Services	55	30

Evaluation Team Comments:

- Everything would need to be customized, nothing prebuilt for death case management.
- Detailed implementation plan.
- No code, cloud based

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER: PlanStreet

DATE: 09/24/2025

**EVALUATION OF SECTION IV
Cost Proposal**

	<u>Points Available</u>	<u>Points Awarded</u>
Section IV. Cost Proposal	25	12

Evaluation Team Comments:

- Lowest submitted cost proposal / Cost of proposal being scored) x (Total cost points available)
- $(62,215 / 129068) * 25 = 12$ (Rounded)

**STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: PlanStreet

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

Consensus Comments - Technical Assessment Team:

Consensus Decision: <i>Met or Not Met</i>		
Not Met.		
Overall quality of response and evidence:		
<p>Vendor mentioned some key components and referenced controls but provided no supporting evidence. Additional evidence would be needed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A high-level architectural diagram for the proposed solution • A data flow diagram • A description of the controls and safeguards that will be applied to Maine data once in the bidders possession 		
Data Compliance		
Publicly available information	NIST 800-171	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	Maine FOAA	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
Confidential Personally Identifiable Information (PII)	Maine Breach Notification Law	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	NIST 800-53: Rev5	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: PlanStreet

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

		<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
	Privacy Act of 1974	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
	U.S. DHHS-OCSE	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
Personal Health Information (PHI)	HIPAA	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
	HIPAA BAA	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
	HITECH	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
Personal Information from	§ Driver's Privacy Protection Act (Title	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: PlanStreet

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<u>XXX)</u> <u>("DPPA") 18</u> <u>U.S.C.</u> <u>Chapter 123,</u> <u>§§ 2721 –</u> <u>2725</u>	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
--	--	---

Bill of Materials (BoM)	
(Product Name)	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation: Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: Link does not resolve.

MaineIT	
H1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation: Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: Vendor states non-compliance.
H2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation: Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.
H3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation: Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.
A1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation: Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.
A2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation: Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.
A3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: PlanStreet

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control.
A4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control.
Information Security Standards	
S1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.
S2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.
S3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.
S4	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation:
Cloud Service Provider Reqs	
CSP1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.
CSP2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: PlanStreet

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
CSP3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
CSP4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
CSP5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
CSP6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
CSP7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
CSP8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: PlanStreet

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
CSP9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
CSP10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
CSP11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
CSP12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
CSP13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
CSP14	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: PlanStreet

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
CSP15	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
CSP16	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor does not use Gen AI.</i>
CSP17	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states solution does not require site to site VPN.</i>
CSP18	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
CSP19	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
NIST Requirements	
N1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: PlanStreet

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
N2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
N3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
N4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
N5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
N6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
N7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: PlanStreet

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
N8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
N9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
N10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
N11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
N12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
N13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

STATE OF MAINE
TEAM REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: PlanStreet

DATE: 12/09/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas, Matthew Backus, Matthew Keene

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>
N14	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance with this control. No evidence provided.</i>

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER NAME: VertiQ

DATE: 09/04/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: Lindsey Chasteen

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Attorney General, Office of Chief Medical Examiner

Individual Evaluator Comments:

- I. Organization Qualifications and Experience
 1. Overview of Organization
 - Established “over 40 years” ago
 - Government clients
 - Current death investigation clients
 2. Subcontractors
 - None

- II. Proposed Services
 1. Services to be Provided
 - Former vendor
 1. OCME outgrew their product

 2. Implementation – Work Plan
 - Mix of “out of the box” and development/
customization

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER NAME: VertiQ

DATE: 09/09/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: Harley Brann

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Dept. of Attorney General

Individual Evaluator Comments:

VertiQ has a reported 40 years of experience and services several medicolegal agencies across the country

Timeline: Unknown

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER NAME: VertiQ Software LLC

DATE: 09/15/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: Summer Carter

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Office of the Attorney General, Admin Division

Individual Evaluator Comments:

III. Organization Qualifications and Experience

1. Overview of Organization

- Death investigation experience
- Governmental Experience

2. Subcontractors

- None

3. Organizational Chart

- CEO, CFO, Administrative Manager, Sales Support and Customer Service, Project Manager, Product Specialist, Database Admin, Technical Support Manager, Chief Tech Officer, Accounting Manager

IV. Proposed Services

1. Services to be Provided

- Case Management software, state level
- Configuration of new authorization module

2. Implementation – Work Plan

- Not listed.
- No timeline given

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #:202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: VertiQ Software LLC

DATE: August 14, 2025

EVALUATOR NAME: Dale Thomas

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: OIT-AppDev

Individual Evaluator Comments:

- I. Organization Qualifications and Experience
 1. Overview of Organization
 2. P - Cover Page Appendix A meets criteria
 3. P - Responsible Bidder Certification meets criteria Appendix B
 4. P - Qualifications and Experience Form Appendix C
 - 40 years specializing in Case Management business
 - 10 implementations in 5 years
 - 3 references for all current customers moving to new platform with migrations
 5. Subcontractors
 - Some contractors off-shore
 6. Organizational Chart
 - P -Organizational Chart Complete company with years of service
 7. P – Litigation provided information NONE
 8. P - Certificate of Insurance meets Criteria.
- II. Services provided
 1. P - Project Management Work Plan
 - P - Delivery team resources mentioned
 2. P – Provided a Project framework
 3. P -Implementation – Work Plan
 - P- Provided Configuration and Migration Framework
 4. Included Proposed service detailed listing
- III.
- IV. N - General and Technical Requirements Matrix Appendix E
 1. N – Requirements meet the Criteria
 - 1.1.2 Custom
 - 1.2.2 Custom
 - 1.5.1 Custom but does provide a solution
 - P - 1.8.1 Alerts can be customized
 - 1.12.3 Custom additional cost
 - 1.16.4 Must choose on shore options
- V. The directions followed very well all information provided.

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: VertiQ

DATE: September 16, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: OIT - AppDev

Individual Reviewer Comments:

Data Compliance		
Publicly available information	NIST 800-171	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Maine FOAA	Explanation: No evidence of policy and procedures provided.
		Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
Confidential Personally Identifiable Information (PII)	Maine Breach Notification Law	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: No evidence of policy and procedures provided.
		Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	NIST 800-53: Rev5	Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: No evidence of policy and procedures provided.
Privacy Act of 1974	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
	Explanation:	
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
U.S. DHHS-OCSE	Explanation: No evidence of policy and procedures provided.	
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
	Explanation:	
Personal Health Information	HIPAA	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: No evidence of policy and procedures provided.
		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	HIPAA BAA	Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: No evidence of policy and procedures provided.

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: VertiQ

DATE: September 16, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: OIT - AppDev

	HITECH	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: No evidence of policy and procedures provided.
Payment Card Information	Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard (PCI DSS) v 3.2	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: NA
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation
	Nacha Operating Rules (ACH)	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: NA
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation:
Federal Tax Information	Internal Revenue Service Tax Information Security Guidelines for Federal, State and Local Agencies: IRS Pub 1075	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: N/A
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation:
	IRS Pub 1075 Contractor Language Addendum required	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: NA
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation:
Student Education Data	Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act: FERPA	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: NA
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: VertiQ

DATE: September 16, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: OIT - AppDev

		<i>Explanation:</i>
Affordable Care Act	§ Internal Revenue Service Tax Information Security Guidelines for Federal, State and Local Agencies IRS Pub 1075	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i> NA
	§ Minimum Acceptable Risk Standards for Exchanges MARS-E 2.2	
Medicaid Information	Medicaid Information Technology Architecture MITA3.0	
	§ Code of Federal Regulations 45 CFR 95.621	
Personal Information from	§ Driver's Privacy Protection Act (Title XXX) ("DPPA") 18 U.S.C. Chapter 123, §§ 2721 – 2725	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
		<i>Explanation: Does not access Driver Information</i>
Bill of Materials (BoM)		
(Product Name)	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>	
	<i>Explanation:</i>	
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>	
	<i>Explanation: : URL did list high level products no sku's.</i>	
MaineIT		
H1	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>	

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: VertiQ

DATE: September 16, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: OIT - AppDev

	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Did not provide any evidence of data center being AZURE Gov.</i>
H2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Did not provide any evidence of data center being AZURE Gov.</i>
H3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Did not provide any evidence of data center being AZURE Gov.</i>
A1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No evidence of backup recovery plan.</i>
A2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No evidence provided.</i>
A3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Agrees to provide the SLA to the SOM upon request.</i>
A4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not claim ownership of the data Good. Does not address cost pulling the data bad.</i>
Information Security Standards	
S1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No evidence of policy or procedures.</i>
S2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Did not fully answer the question.</i>
S3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Answered Yes with No explanation. Not complete.</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i>
S4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: VertiQ

DATE: September 16, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: OIT - AppDev

	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: references the WISP but does not provide a link or copy.
Cloud Service Provider Reqs	
CSP1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No WISP Provided.
CSP2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No WISP Provided.
CSP3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No WISP Provided.
CSP4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No WISP Provided.
CSP5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No WISP Provided.
CSP6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No WISP Provided.
CSP7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No WISP Provided.
CSP8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No WISP Provided.
CSP9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No WISP Provided.

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: VertiQ

DATE: September 16, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: OIT - AppDev

CSP10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No WISP Provided.
CSP11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No WISP Provided.
CSP12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No WISP Provided.
CSP13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: No WISP Provided.
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No WISP Provided.
CSP14	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No WISP Provided.
CSP15	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No WISP Provided.
CSP16	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No WISP Provided.
CSP17	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No WISP Provided.
CSP18	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No WISP Provided.
CSP19	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No WISP Provided.
NIST Requirements	
N1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: VertiQ

DATE: September 16, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: OIT - AppDev

	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: Did not address Physical Protection.
N2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: All employees do annual training.
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
N3	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: Meets quarterly.
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: Provides security reporting.
N4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: Provides security reporting.
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
N5	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: Security checks and PII policy and Confid Agreement.
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No contingency plan included.
N6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: No contingency plan included.
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
N7	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation:
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: Provided the NIST URL. https://pages.nist.gov/800-63-3/sp800-63b.html checked site referenced
N8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: Provided the NIST URL. https://pages.nist.gov/800-63-3/sp800-63b.html checked site referenced
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
N9	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: Provided information on Incident response no WISP provided
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation:
N10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation:
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
N11	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: Need more definition did not answer question fully.
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak Explanation: Need more definition did not answer question fully.

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: VertiQ

DATE: September 16, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: OIT - AppDev

N12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Some protection needs to be explained in more detail.
N13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No WISP provided for review.
N14	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: No WISP provided for review.

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: VertiQ Software LLC.

DATE: Sept 19, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

Individual Reviewer Comments:

Data Compliance			
Publicly available information	NIST 800-171	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
		Explanation:	
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
		Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence	
	Maine FOAA	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
		Explanation:	
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
		Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence	
Confidential Personally Identifiable Information (PII)	Maine Breach Notification Law	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
		Explanation:	
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
		Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence	
	NIST 800-53: Rev5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
		Explanation:	
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
		Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence	
	Privacy Act of 1974	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
		Explanation:	
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
		Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence	
	U.S. DHHS-OCSE	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
		Explanation:	
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
		Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence	
	Personal Health Information	HIPAA	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
			Explanation:
			Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
			Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence
HIPAA BAA		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
		Explanation:	
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
		Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence	

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: VertiQ Software LLC.

DATE: Sept 19, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	HITECH	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence
Payment Card Information	Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard (PCI DSS) v 3.2	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation:
	Nacha Operating Rules (ACH)	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
Federal Tax Information	Internal Revenue Service Tax Information Security Guidelines for Federal, State and Local Agencies: IRS Pub 1075	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation:
	IRS Pub 1075 Contractor Language Addendum required	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation:
Student Education Data	Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act: FERPA	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: VertiQ Software LLC.

DATE: Sept 19, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

		<i>Explanation:</i>
Affordable Care Act	§ Internal Revenue Service Tax Information Security Guidelines for Federal, State and Local Agencies IRS Pub 1075	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	§ Minimum Acceptable Risk Standards for Exchanges MARS-E 2.2	
Medicaid Information	Medicaid Information Technology Architecture MITA3.0	
	§ Code of Federal Regulations 45 CFR 95.621	
Personal Information from	§ Driver's Privacy Protection Act (Title XXX) ("DPPA") 18 U.S.C. Chapter 123, §§ 2721 – 2725	Provided a response- No
Bill of Materials (BoM)		
(Product Name)	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>	
	<i>Explanation:</i>	
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>	
	<i>Explanation:</i>	
MaineIT		
H1	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>	

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: VertiQ Software LLC.

DATE: Sept 19, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i> No references
H2	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i> No references
H3	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i> No references
A1	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i> Does not reference app and no references
A2	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i> No references
A3	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i> No references
A4	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i> No references
Information Security Standards	
S1	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i> Affirmation is not evidence
S2	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i> Affirmation is not evidence
S3	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i> No references
S4	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: VertiQ Software LLC.

DATE: Sept 19, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
Cloud Service Provider Reqs	
CSP1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No link or reference to statement</i>
CSP2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No link or reference to statement</i>
CSP3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No link or reference to statement</i>
CSP4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No link or reference to statement</i>
CSP5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No link or reference to statement</i>
CSP6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No link or reference to statement</i>
CSP7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No link or reference to statement</i>
CSP8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No link or reference to statement</i>
CSP9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No link or reference to statement</i>
CSP10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: VertiQ Software LLC.

DATE: Sept 19, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No link or reference to statement</i>
CSP11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No link or reference to statement</i>
CSP12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No link or reference to statement</i>
CSP13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No link or reference to statement</i>
CSP14	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No link or reference to statement</i>
CSP15	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No link or reference to statement</i>
CSP16	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No link or reference to statement</i>
CSP17	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No link or reference to statement</i>
CSP18	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No link or reference to statement</i>
CSP19	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No link or reference to statement</i>
NIST Requirements	
N1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: VertiQ Software LLC.

DATE: Sept 19, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: No link or document</i>
N2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No link or document</i>
N3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No link or document</i>
N4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No link or document</i>
N5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No link or document</i>
N6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No link or document</i>
N7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No link or document</i>
N8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No link or document</i>
N9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No link or document</i>
N10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No link or document</i>
N11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No link or document</i>
N12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: VertiQ Software LLC.

DATE: Sept 19, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: No link or document</i>
N13	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: No link or document</i>
N14	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: No link or document</i>

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: VERTIQ

DATE: 08/25/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

Data Compliance	
NIST 800-171	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
Maine FOAA	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
Maine Breach Notification Law	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
NIST 800-53: Rev5	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
Privacy Act of 1974	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
U.S. DHHS-OCSE	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
HIPAA	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
HIPAA BAA	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
HITECH	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
FERPA	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
DPPA	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
BoM	
B1	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
MaineIT	
H1	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
H2	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: VERTIQ

DATE: 08/25/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

H3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
A1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
A2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
A3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
A4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
Information Security Standards	
S1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
S2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
S3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
S4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
S5	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
Cloud Service Provider Reqs	
CSP1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: VERTIQ

DATE: 08/25/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP7	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP8	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP9	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP10	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP11	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP12	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP13	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP14	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP15	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP16	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP17	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP18	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP19	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
NIST Reqs	
N1	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N2	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: VERTIQ

DATE: 08/25/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

N3	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N4	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N5	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N6	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N7	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N8	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N9	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N10	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N11	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N12	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N13	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N14	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER NAME: StackNexus

DATE: 09/04/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: Lindsey Chasteen

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Attorney General, Office of Chief Medical Examiner

Individual Evaluator Comments:

- V. Organization Qualifications and Experience
 - 1. Overview of Organization
 - Established in 2017
 - Government clients
 - No current death investigation clients
 - 2. Subcontractors
 - None

- VI. Proposed Services
 - 1. Services to be Provided
 - Scalable

 - 2. Implementation – Work Plan
 - Create from scratch

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER NAME: StackNexus

DATE: 09/09/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: Harley Brann

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Dept. of Attorney General

Individual Evaluator Comments:

StackNexus has been providing services since 2017. They have no experience with a medicolegal death investigation agency and would need to design a case management system for the OCME.

Timeline: 12 weeks

Due to the lack of experience in the medicolegal field, having to work with OCME to develop the system, length of time for implementation, I would not choose StackNexus Inc. as a provider.

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER NAME: StackNexus Inc

DATE: 09/15/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: Summer Carter

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Office of the Attorney General, Admin Division

Individual Evaluator Comments:

VII. Organization Qualifications and Experience

1. Overview of Organization

- Investigative tracking experience
- No death investigational experience
- Governmental, jurisdictional, investigative experience, not death.

2. Subcontractors

- None.

3. Organizational Chart

- Project Manager, Data Architect, Lead Developer, Business Analyst, QA.

VIII. Proposed Services

1. Services to be Provided

- Use of CIVIQ/Supabase to develop a system for OCME.

2. Implementation – Work Plan

- Configuration/design required.
- Implementation timeline listed to be 12+ weeks.

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #:202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: StackNexus Inc

DATE: August 14, 2025

EVALUATOR NAME: Dale Thomas

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: OIT-AppDev

Individual Evaluator Comments:

- I. Organization Qualifications and Experience
 1. Overview of Organization
 2. P - Cover Page Appendix A meets criteria
 3. P - Responsible Bidder Certification Completed Appendix B
 4. P - Qualifications and Experience Form Appendix C
 - 2017 over 100 Organizations WEB Based SAAS
 - 3 references provided current with migrations
 5. Subcontractors
 - P – StackNexus will not use Subcontractors
 6. Organizational Chart
 - P -Organizational Chart Provided of project team
 7. P – Litigation provided information
 8. P – W9 provided
 9. P - Certificate of Insurance meets Criteria.
- II. Services provided
 1. P - Project Management Work Plan
 - P - Delivery team resources mentioned
 2. P – Provided a Project framework
 3. P -Implementation – Work Plan
 - P- Provided Configuration and Migration Frame work
- III. N - General and Technical Requirements Matrix Appendix E
 1. P – Requirements meet the Criteria
 - Great job with Explanations very detailed
- IV. The directions followed very well all information provided.

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: StackNexus

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

Individual Reviewer Comments:

Data Compliance		
Publicly available information	NIST 800-171	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: Yes
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor states they comply with NIST 800-171
	Maine FOAA	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: Yes
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor states compliance with control not evidence provide.
Confidential Personally Identifiable Information (Pstatell)	Maine Breach Notification Law	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: Yes
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor states alignment with Maine Law but no evidence provided
	NIST 800-53: Rev5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: Yes
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor alignment with Nist SP 800-53 Rev 5
	Privacy Act of 1974	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: Yes
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor states support privacy protections
	U.S. DHHS-OCSE	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: Yes
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: StackNexus

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

		<i>Explanation: Vendor did not mention DHHS security requirements.</i>
Personal Health Information	HIPAA	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
		<i>Explanation: Vendor states is HIPPA compliant. No evidence provided.</i>
	HIPAA BAA	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
		<i>Explanation: Vendor will execute BAA</i>
	HITECH	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
		<i>Explanation: Vendor supports electronic health record security as required by HITEC</i>
Payment Card Information	Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard (PCI DSS) v 3.2	<i>Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	Nacha Operating Rules (ACH)	<i>Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
Federal Tax Information	Internal Revenue Service Tax Information Security Guidelines for	<i>Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i>

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: StackNexus

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	Federal, State and Local Agencies: IRS Pub 1075	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation:
	IRS Pub 1075 Contractor Language Addendum required	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
Student Education Data	Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act: FERPA	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation:
		Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
Affordable Care Act	§ Internal Revenue Service Tax Information Security Guidelines for Federal, State and Local Agencies IRS Pub 1075	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	§ Minimum Acceptable Risk Standards for Exchanges MARS-E 2.2	

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: StackNexus

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

Medicaid Information	Medicaid Information Technology Architecture MITA3.0	
	§ Code of Federal Regulations 45 CFR 95.621	
Personal Information from	§ Driver's Privacy Protection Act (Title XXX) ("DPPA") 18 U.S.C. Chapter 123, §§ 2721 – 2725	Yes Vendor states full compliance with DPPA.
Bill of Materials (BoM)		
(Product Name)	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
	Explanation: Yes	
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
	Explanation: Vendor did not provide URL only available at evaluation	
MaineIT		
H1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
	Explanation: Yes	
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
	Explanation: Vendors States AWS cloud hosting	
H2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
	Explanation: Yes	
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
	Explanation: Vendor mentioned key components but provided no evidence	

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: StackNexus

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

H3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	Explanation: <i>YEs</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	Explanation: <i>Vendor mentioned key components but provided no evidence</i>
A1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	Explanation: <i>YEs</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	Explanation: <i>Vendor mentioned key components but provided no evidence</i>
A2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	Explanation: <i>Yes</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	Explanation: <i>Vendor provides development, UAT and production environments</i>
A3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	Explanation: <i>Yes</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	Explanation: <i>Vendor state can align with State on SLA</i>
A4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	Explanation: <i>Yes</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	Explanation: <i>Vendor agrees with State ownership of data and access</i>
Information Security Standards	
S1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	Explanation: <i>Yes</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	Explanation: <i>Vendor aligned with NIST SP800-53 can provide documentation upon request.</i>
S2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	Explanation: <i>Yes</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	Explanation: <i>Sub contractor contractually bound to Vendor standards</i>
S3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	Explanation: <i>Yes</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	Explanation: <i>Vendor will compile with State standard no evidence provided</i>
S4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: StackNexus

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: YEs</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor is risk aware but does not have a cyber risk appetit statement</i>
Cloud Service Provider Reqs	
CSP1	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Provided current architecture Overview</i>
CSP2	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor support requirements and URL provided</i>
CSP3	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor mentions key components not evidence provided</i>
CSP4	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor is fully aligned with requirement</i>
CSP5	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor meets requirement WCAG 2.1 Level A and AA</i>
CSP6	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: StackNexus

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: YEs</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor mentions key components no supporting policy</i>
CSP7	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation: YEs</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor agrees to State policy no evidence provided</i>
CSP8	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor mentions key components no evidence provided</i>
CSP9	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor aligns with Access policy underlying NIST 800-53 no evidence provided</i>
CSP10	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor mentions key component with in line with Nist 800-53 no evidence provided</i>
CSP11	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor aligns Risk policy Nist 800-53 no evidence provided</i>
CSP12	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance</i>

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: StackNexus

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

CSP13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor mentions key components alignment with NIST SP 800 53 no evidence provided
CSP14	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided
CSP15	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided
CSP16	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: GENAI is an option
CSP17	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor does not use VPN's but third parties may
CSP18	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: vendor States Compliance no evidence provided
CSP19	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor Fully complies

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: StackNexus

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

NIST Requirements	
N1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided
N2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: YES
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided
N3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided
N4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided
N5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided
N6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided
N7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: StackNexus

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

N8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided
N9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided
N10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided
N11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: YEs
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided
N12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided
N13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided
N14	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: None

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: StackNexus

DATE: 12/5/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

Individual Reviewer Comments:

Data Compliance		
Publicly available information	NIST 800-171	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Maine FOAA	Explanation: Vendor states compliance.
		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
Confidential Personally Identifiable Information (PII)	Maine Breach Notification Law	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.
		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	NIST 800-53: Rev5	Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.
Privacy Act of 1974	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
	Explanation:	
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.	

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: StackNexus

DATE: 12/5/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	U.S. DHHS-OCSE	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
		Explanation: <i>Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
Personal Health Information	HIPAA	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
		Explanation: <i>Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
	HIPAA BAA	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
		Explanation: <i>Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
	HITECH	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
		Explanation: <i>Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
Personal Information from	§ Driver's Privacy Protection Act (Title XXX) ("DPPA") 18 U.S.C. Chapter 123.	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
		Explanation: <i>Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.</i>

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: StackNexus

DATE: 12/5/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	§§ 2721 – 2725	
Bill of Materials (BoM)		
(Product Name)	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
	Explanation:	
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
	Explanation: Product name provided but no url or link.	
MaineIT		
H1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
	Explanation:	
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.	
H2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
	Explanation:	
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.	
H3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
	Explanation:	
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.	
A1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
	Explanation:	
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.	
A2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
	Explanation:	
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.	
A3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: StackNexus

DATE: 12/5/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
A4	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
Information Security Standards	
S1	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
S2	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
S3	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
S4	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
Cloud Service Provider Reqs	

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: StackNexus

DATE: 12/5/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

CSP1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.
CSP2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor provided link to supporting evidence.
CSP3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.
CSP4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.
CSP5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.
CSP6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: StackNexus

DATE: 12/5/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

CSP7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.
CSP8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.
CSP9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.
CSP10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.
CSP11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.
CSP12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: StackNexus

DATE: 12/5/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

CSP13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.
CSP14	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.
CSP15	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.
CSP16	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.
CSP17	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.
CSP18	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: StackNexus

DATE: 12/5/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

CSP19	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.
NIST Requirements	
N1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.
N2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.
N3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.
N4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.
N5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: StackNexus

DATE: 12/5/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
N6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
N7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
N8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
N9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
N10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
N11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: StackNexus

DATE: 12/5/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
N12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
N13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance. Vendor mentions some key components of the procedure but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
N14	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i>

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: STACKNEXUS

DATE: 12/04/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

Data Compliance	
NIST 800-171	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
Maine FOAA	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
Maine Breach Notification Law	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
NIST 800-53: Rev5	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
Privacy Act of 1974	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
U.S. DHHS-OCSE	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
HIPAA	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
HIPAA BAA	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
HITECH	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: STACKNEXUS

DATE: 12/04/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
FERPA	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
DPPA	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
BoM	
B1	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
MaineIT	
H1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
H2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
H3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
A1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
A2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
A3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
A4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
Information Security Standards	

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: STACKNEXUS

DATE: 12/04/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

S1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
S2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
S3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
S4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
S5	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
Cloud Service Provider Reqs	
CSP1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: STACKNEXUS

DATE: 12/04/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP14	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP15	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP16	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP17	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP18	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: STACKNEXUS

DATE: 12/04/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

CSP19	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
NIST Reqs	
N1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: STACKNEXUS

DATE: 12/04/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N12	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N13	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N14	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: SON INFORMATION SYSTEMS

DATE: 09/04/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: LINDSEY CHASTEEN

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DEPARTMENT OF ATTORNEY GENERAL, OFFICE OF CHIEF MEDICAL EXAMINER

Individual Evaluator Comments:

IX. Organization Qualifications and Experience

1. Overview of Organization
 - Established in 2000
 - Government clients
 - No current death investigation clients
2. Subcontractors
 - None

X. Proposed Services

1. Services to be Provided
 - Current product FHASES – “off the shelf support”
2. Implementation – Work Plan
 - Create from scratch

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER NAME: Son Information System

DATE: 09/09/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: Harley Brann

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Dept. of Attorney General

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Son Information System has been providing services for an unknown period of time. They have no experience with a medicolegal death investigation agency and would need to design/adapt a case management system for the OCME.

Timeline: 8 weeks

Due to the lack of experience in the medicolegal field, having to work with OCME to develop the system, and length of time for implementation, I would not choose Son Information System as a provider.

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER NAME: Son Information Systems

DATE: 09/15/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: Summer Carter

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Office of the Attorney General, Admin Division

Individual Evaluator Comments:

- XI. Organization Qualifications and Experience
 - 1. Overview of Organization
 - History of developing software
 - No death investigational experience
 - 2. Subcontractors
 - None listed.
 - 3. Organizational Chart
 - Not listed.

- XII. Proposed Services
 - 1. Services to be Provided
 - Use of FHASES to develop a system for OCME.
 - Health Admin oriented software
 - 2. Implementation – Work Plan
 - Work plan/outline not provided.
 - No timeline provided.

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #:202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Son Information Systems

DATE: August 14, 2025

EVALUATOR NAME: Dale Thomas

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: OIT-AppDev

Individual Evaluator Comments:

- I. Organization Qualifications and Experience
 1. Overview of Organization
 2. P - Cover Page Appendix A meets criteria
 3. P - Responsible Bidder Certification Completed Appendix B
 4. P - Qualifications and Experience Form Appendix C
 - 3 references provided current with migrations
 5. Subcontractors
 - Q - Not Mentioned in Proposal
 6. Organizational Chart
 - P -Organizational Chart Provided
 7. P – Litigation provided information
 8. N - Certificate of Insurance not included.
- II. Technical Assessment Appendix D
 1. N – Excel sheet does not open in the spread sheet
- III. Services provided
 1. P - Project Management Work Plan
 - P - Delivery team resources mentioned
 2. P – Provided a Project framework
 3. P -Implementation – Work Plan
 - P- Provided Configuration and Migration Frame workNo Plan within proposal
- IV. N - General and Technical Requirements Matrix Appendix E
 1. P – Requirements meet the Criteria
- V. Could have followed directions better missing information or not accessible

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: SON Information Systems

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

Individual Reviewer Comments:

Data Compliance		
Publicly available information	NIST 800-171	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: Yes
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation
	Maine FOAA	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: Yes
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation
Confidential Personally Identifiable Information (PII)	Maine Breach Notification Law	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: YEs
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation
	NIST 800-53: Rev5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: Yes
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation
	Privacy Act of 1974	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: YEs
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation
	U.S. DHHS-OCSE	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: Yes

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: SON Information Systems

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

		<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i> <i>Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation</i>	
Personal Health Information	HIPAA	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i> <i>Explanation: YEs</i>	
		<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i> <i>Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation</i>	
		<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i> <i>Explanation: YEs</i>	
		<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i> <i>Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation but did not mention signing BAA</i>	
	HIPAA BAA	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i> <i>Explanation: YEs</i>	
		<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i> <i>Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation mention software component that is HITRUST</i>	
		<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i> <i>Explanation: YEs</i>	
		<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i> <i>Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation mention software component that is HITRUST</i>	
	Payment Card Information	Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard (PCI DSS) v 3.2	<i>Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i> <i>Explanation:</i>
			<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i> <i>Explanation:</i>
			<i>Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i> <i>Explanation:</i>
			<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i> <i>Explanation:</i>
Nacha Operating Rules (ACH)		<i>Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i> <i>Explanation:</i>	
		<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i> <i>Explanation:</i>	
		<i>Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i> <i>Explanation:</i>	
		<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i> <i>Explanation:</i>	

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: SON Information Systems

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

Federal Tax Information	Internal Revenue Service Tax Information Security Guidelines for Federal, State and Local Agencies: IRS Pub 1075	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation:
	IRS Pub 1075 Contractor Language Addendum required	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation:
Student Education Data	Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act: FERPA	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation:
Affordable Care Act	§ Internal Revenue Service Tax Information Security Guidelines for Federal, State and Local Agencies IRS Pub 1075	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: SON Information Systems

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	§ Minimum Acceptable Risk Standards for Exchanges MARS-E 2.2	
Medicaid Information	Medicaid Information Technology Architecture MITA3.0	
	§ Code of Federal Regulations 45 CFR 95.621	
Personal Information from	§ Driver's Privacy Protection Act (Title XXX) ("DPPA") 18 U.S.C. Chapter 123, §§ 2721 – 2725	Yes adequate <i>Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation</i>

Bill of Materials (BoM)

(Product Name)	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	Explanation: <i>Yes</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	Explanation: <i>Vendor provided URL for the product</i>

MaineIT

H1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	Explanation: <i>YEs</i>

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: SON Information Systems

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation
H2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: YEs
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation out of date no evidence
H3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation out of date no evidence
A1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation out of date no evidence
A2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation out of date no evidence
A3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: YEs
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation but does not address the SLA agreement
A4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: YEs
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation but did not address data ownership, access and cost
Information Security Standards	
S1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: SON Information Systems

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation out of date no evidence</i>
S2	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation does not address subcontractors</i>
S3	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Yes</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation but does not agree with 24 notification</i>
S4	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation but did not provide cyber risk appetite statement</i>
Cloud Service Provider Reqs	
CSP1	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation out of date no evidence</i>
CSP2	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation out of date no evidence</i>

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: SON Information Systems

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

CSP3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: YEs
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation out of date no evidence
CSP4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation out of date no evidence
CSP5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation out of date no evidence
CSP6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: YEs
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation out of date no evidence
CSP7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation out of date no evidence
CSP8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: YEs
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation out of date no evidence

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: SON Information Systems

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

CSP9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation out of date no evidence
CSP10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation out of date no evidence
CSP11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: YEs
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation out of date no evidence
CSP12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: YEs
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation:
CSP13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: YEs
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation out of date no evidence
CSP14	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation out of date no evidence

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: SON Information Systems

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

CSP15	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation out of date no evidence
CSP16	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: YEs
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation but no GENAI evidence provided
CSP17	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: YEs
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation but no VPN evidence provided
CSP18	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation out of date no evidence
CSP19	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: YEs
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation but no acknowledgement for the Prohibited Technology
NIST Requirements	
N1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: YEs
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: SON Information Systems

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation out of date no evidence</i>
N2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation out of date no evidence</i>
N3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation out of date no evidence</i>
N4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation out of date no evidence</i>
N5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation out of date no evidence</i>
N6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation out of date no evidence</i>
N7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: YEs</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: SON Information Systems

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation out of date no evidence</i>
N8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: YEs</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation out of date no evidence</i>
N9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: YEs</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation out of date no evidence</i>
N10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation</i>
N11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: YEs</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation out of date no evidence</i>
N12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation out of date no evidence</i>
N13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: SON Information Systems

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: Vendor references SOC 2 Type II report and provided SOC2 Type II documentation out of date no evidence</i>
N14	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation: YES</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Did not provide an explanation</i>

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: SON Information Systems

DATE: 12/4/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

Individual Reviewer Comments:

Data Compliance		
Publicly available information	NIST 800-171	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Maine FOAA	Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.
		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
Confidential Personally Identifiable Information (PII)	Maine Breach Notification Law	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.
		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	NIST 800-53: Rev5	Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.
Privacy Act of 1974	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
	Explanation:	
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
U.S. DHHS-OCSE	Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.	
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
	Explanation:	

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: SON Information Systems

DATE: 12/4/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

		<p><i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</p> <p><i>Explanation:</i> Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.</p>
Personal Health Information	HIPAA	<p><i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</p> <p><i>Explanation:</i></p>
		<p><i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</p> <p><i>Explanation:</i> Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.</p>
		<p><i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</p> <p><i>Explanation:</i></p>
	HIPAA BAA	<p><i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</p> <p><i>Explanation:</i> Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.</p>
		<p><i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</p> <p><i>Explanation:</i></p>
		<p><i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</p> <p><i>Explanation:</i> Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.</p>
	HITECH	<p><i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</p> <p><i>Explanation:</i></p>
		<p><i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</p> <p><i>Explanation:</i> Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.</p>
		<p><i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</p> <p><i>Explanation:</i></p>
Personal Information from	§ Driver's Privacy Protection Act (Title XXX) ("DPPA") 18 U.S.C. Chapter 123, §§ 2721 – 2725	<p><i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</p> <p><i>Explanation:</i></p>
		<p><i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</p> <p><i>Explanation:</i> Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.</p>
		<p><i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</p> <p><i>Explanation:</i></p>
Bill of Materials (BoM)		
(Product Name)	<p><i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</p> <p><i>Explanation:</i></p>	
	<p><i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</p>	
	<p><i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</p> <p><i>Explanation:</i></p>	

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: SON Information Systems

DATE: 12/4/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

<i>Explanation: Vendor provided link to product.</i>	
MaineIT	
H1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.</i>
H2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.</i>
H3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.</i>
A1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.</i>
A2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.</i>
A3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.</i>
A4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: SON Information Systems

DATE: 12/4/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old. Evidence provided does not address the control.</i>
Information Security Standards	
S1	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.</i>
S2	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.</i>
S3	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.</i>
S4	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old. Evidence provided does not address the control.</i>
Cloud Service Provider Reqs	
CSP1	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.</i>
CSP2	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: SON Information Systems

DATE: 12/4/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.</i>
CSP3	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.</i>
CSP4	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.</i>
CSP5	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.</i>
CSP6	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.</i>
CSP7	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.</i>
CSP8	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: SON Information Systems

DATE: 12/4/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.</i>
CSP9	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.</i>
CSP10	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.</i>
CSP11	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.</i>
CSP12	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.</i>
CSP13	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.</i>
CSP14	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: SON Information Systems

DATE: 12/4/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.</i>
CSP15	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.</i>
CSP16	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.</i>
CSP17	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.</i>
CSP18	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.</i>
CSP19	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.</i>
NIST Requirements	

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: SON Information Systems

DATE: 12/4/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

N1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.
N2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.
N3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.
N4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.
N5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.
N6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: SON Information Systems

DATE: 12/4/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

N7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.
N8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.
N9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.
N10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.
N11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.
N12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: SON Information Systems

DATE: 12/4/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

N13	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.</i>
N14	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they are compliant. Provided SOC 2 Type 2 is over 12 months old.</i>

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: SON INFORMATION SYSTEMS

DATE: 12/04/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

Data Compliance	
NIST 800-171	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
Maine FOAA	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
Maine Breach Notification Law	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
NIST 800-53: Rev5	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
Privacy Act of 1974	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
U.S. DHHS-OCSE	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
HIPAA	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
HIPAA BAA	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
HITECH	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: SON INFORMATION SYSTEMS

DATE: 12/04/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
FERPA	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
DPPA	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
BoM	
B1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
MaineIT	
H1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
H2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
H3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
A1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
A2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
A3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
A4	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
Information Security Standards	

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: SON INFORMATION SYSTEMS

DATE: 12/04/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

S1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
S2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
S3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
S4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
S5	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
Cloud Service Provider Reqs	
CSP1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: SON INFORMATION SYSTEMS

DATE: 12/04/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP8	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP9	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP10	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP11	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP12	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP13	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP14	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP15	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP16	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP17	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP18	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: SON INFORMATION SYSTEMS

DATE: 12/04/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

CSP19	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
NIST Reqs	
N1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: SON INFORMATION SYSTEMS

DATE: 12/04/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N12	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N13	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N14	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER NAME: Quincy Technology Solutions

DATE: 09/04/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: Lindsey Chasteen

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Attorney General, Office of Chief Medical Examiner

Individual Evaluator Comments:

XIII. Organization Qualifications and Experience

1. Overview of Organization
 - Government clients
 - Current death investigation clients
2. Subcontractors
 - None

XIV. Proposed Services

1. Services to be Provided
 - Configured death investigation case management
2. Implementation – Work Plan
 - Off the shelf plan
 - Configurable

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER NAME: Quincy Tech

DATE: 09/09/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: Harley Brann

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Dept. of Attorney General

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Quincy Tech has a reported 30 years of experience and was created by a pathologist and medical examiner. They service several medicolegal agencies across the country.

Timeline: 12 weeks

Quincy Tech has a long history in providing case management services for medicolegal agencies. They appear to meet all the requirements that OCME is looking for in a case management system. This would be my second choice in proposals due to the fact that they already provide medicolegal case management systems and would be familiar with the needs of the OCME. I would not select this as my first choice as OCME is satisfied with our current system that is meeting all our needs. Our current system would not require staff to be retrained, data to be migrated, and learning curve associated with a new system.

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER NAME: Quincy Technology Solutions, Inc.

DATE: 09/15/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: Summer Carter

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Office of the Attorney General, Admin Division

Individual Evaluator Comments:

XV. Organization Qualifications and Experience

1. Overview of Organization

- Death investigation experience
- Governmental Experience
- CMFW supports both small and large offices

2. Subcontractors

- None

3. Organizational Chart

- General Manager, Developmental Manager, IT Manager, Customer Services Manager, Software Development Team, and Client Satisfaction Team.

XVI. Proposed Services

1. Services to be Provided

- CMFW Case Management software, customizable to department needs
- 24/7 Hosting and Support

2. Implementation – Work Plan

- Customization, data migration, testing, training, and deployment.
- No timeline given

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #:202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Quincy Technology Solutions

DATE: August 12, 2025

EVALUATOR NAME: Dale Thomas

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: OIT-AppDev

Individual Evaluator Comments:

- I. Organization Qualifications and Experience
 1. Overview of Organization
 2. P - Cover Page Appendix A meets criteria
 3. P - Responsible Bidder Certification Completed Appendix B
 4. P - Qualifications and Experience Form Appendix C
 - COTS System
 - 40 major installs 1000 active users 500,000 cases annually
 - 5 References provided and current
 5. N -Subcontractors
 - Q - Not Mentioned in Proposal
 6. Organizational Chart
 - P -Organizational Chart Provided
 7. P – Litigation provided information meets Criteria
 8. P - Certificate of Insurance meets Criteria.
- II. Services provided
 1. P - Project Management Work Plan
 - P - Delivery team resources mentioned
 2. P – Provided a Project framework
 3. P -Implementation – Work Plan
 - P- Provided Configuration and Migration Frame work
- III. N - General and Technical Requirements Matrix Appendix E
 1. P – Requirements meet the Criteria
 2. P - Implementation Work Plan
 3. P- GANTT Chart Milestones
 4. P – Training and Testing Plan
- IV. Followed directions very well all information provided.

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: ITP- 243940 QUINCY

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Quincy Technology Solutions

DATE: September 8, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: OIT-AppDev

Individual Reviewer Comments:

Data Compliance		
Publicly available information	NIST 800-171	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Did not provide supporting evidence.
	Maine FOAA	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: Did not provide supporting evidence.
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Did not provide supporting evidence.
Confidential Personally Identifiable Information (PII)	Maine Breach Notification Law	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation:
	NIST 800-53: Rev5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: Did not provide supporting evidence.
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Did not provide supporting evidence.
	Privacy Act of 1974	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: Did not provide supporting evidence.
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Did not provide supporting evidence.
	U.S. DHHS-OCSE	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Did not provide supporting evidence.
Personal Health Information	HIPAA	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	HIPAA BAA	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: ITP- 243940 QUINCY

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Quincy Technology Solutions

DATE: September 8, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: OIT-AppDev

	HITECH	<i>Explanation: Did not provide supporting evidence.</i>
		<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
Payment Card Information	Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard (PCI DSS) v 3.2	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation: No response required.</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i>
	Nacha Operating Rules (ACH)	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation: No response required.</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
		<i>Explanation: No response required.</i>
Federal Tax Information	Internal Revenue Service Tax Information Security Guidelines for Federal, State and Local Agencies: IRS Pub 1075	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation: No response required.</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i>
	IRS Pub 1075 Contractor Language Addendum required	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation: No response required.</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i>
Student Education Data	Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act: FERPA	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation: No response required.</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: ITP- 243940 QUINCY

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Quincy Technology Solutions

DATE: September 8, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: OIT-AppDev

		<i>Explanation:</i>
Affordable Care Act	§ Internal Revenue Service Tax Information Security Guidelines for Federal, State and Local Agencies IRS Pub 1075	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	§ Minimum Acceptable Risk Standards for Exchanges MARS-E 2.2	
Medicaid Information	Medicaid Information Technology Architecture MITA3.0	
	§ Code of Federal Regulations 45 CFR 95.621	
Personal Information from	§ Driver's Privacy Protection Act (Title XXX) ("DPPA") 18 U.S.C. Chapter 123, §§ 2721 – 2725	
Bill of Materials (BoM)		
(Product Name)	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
	Explanation: Can not determine the SKU's does list high level components.	
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
Explanation:		
MaineIT		
H1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: ITP- 243940 QUINCY

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Quincy Technology Solutions

DATE: September 8, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: OIT-AppDev

	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No supporting evidence provided.</i>
H2	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No supporting evidence provided.</i>
H3	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No supporting evidence provided.</i>
A1	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No supporting evidence provided.</i>
A2	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No supporting evidence provided.</i>
A3	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i>
A4	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Will engage with SOM for system.</i>
Information Security Standards	
S1	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No supporting evidence provided.</i>
S2	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: No supporting evidence provided.</i>
S3	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Will provide 24 hour discovery notification.</i>
S4	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: ITP- 243940 QUINCY

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Quincy Technology Solutions

DATE: September 8, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: OIT-AppDev

	<i>Explanation: Agreed to cyber risk appetite statement agreeable with the State.</i>
Cloud Service Provider Reqs	
CSP1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not specifically state what relevant parts mean?</i>
CSP2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not meet Active Directory requirement.</i>
CSP3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not specifically state what relevant parts mean?</i>
CSP4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not specifically state what relevant parts mean?</i>
CSP5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not specifically state what relevant parts mean?</i>
CSP6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not specifically state what relevant parts mean?</i>
CSP7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not specifically state what relevant parts mean?</i>
CSP8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not specifically state what relevant parts mean?</i>
CSP9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not specifically state what relevant parts mean?</i>
CSP10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: ITP- 243940 QUINCY

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Quincy Technology Solutions

DATE: September 8, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: OIT-AppDev

	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not specifically state what relevant parts mean?</i>
CSP11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not specifically state what relevant parts mean?</i>
CSP12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not specifically state what relevant parts mean?</i>
CSP13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not specifically state what relevant parts mean?</i>
CSP14	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not specifically state what relevant parts mean?</i>
CSP15	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not specifically state what relevant parts mean?</i>
CSP16	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not specifically state what relevant parts mean?</i>
CSP17	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not specifically state what relevant parts mean?</i>
CSP18	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not specifically state what relevant parts mean?</i>
CSP19	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not specifically state what relevant parts mean?</i>
NIST Requirements	
N1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: ITP- 243940 QUINCY

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Quincy Technology Solutions

DATE: September 8, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: OIT-AppDev

	<i>Explanation:</i>
N2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not specifically state what relevant standards are met.</i>
N3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not specifically state what relevant standards are met.</i>
N4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not specifically state what relevant standards are met.</i>
N5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not specifically state what relevant standards are met.</i>
N6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not specifically state what relevant standards are met.</i>
N7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not specifically state what relevant standards are met.</i>
N8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not specifically state what relevant standards are met.</i>
N9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not specifically state what relevant standards are met.</i>
N10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not specifically state what relevant standards are met.</i>
N11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not specifically state what relevant standards are met.</i>
N12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: ITP- 243940 QUINCY

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Quincy Technology Solutions

DATE: September 8, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: OIT-AppDev

	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Does not specifically state what relevant standards are met.
N13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Does not specifically state what relevant standards are met.
N14	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Does not specifically state what relevant standards are met.

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Quincy Technology Solutions

DATE: Sept 19, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

Individual Reviewer Comments:

Data Compliance			
Publicly available information	NIST 800-171	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
		Explanation:	
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
		Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence	
	Maine FOAA	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
		Explanation:	
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
		Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence	
Confidential Personally Identifiable Information (PII)	Maine Breach Notification Law	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
		Explanation:	
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
		Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence	
	NIST 800-53: Rev5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
		Explanation:	
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
		Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence	
	Privacy Act of 1974	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
		Explanation:	
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
		Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence	
	U.S. DHHS-OCSE	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
		Explanation:	
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
		Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence	
	Personal Health Information	HIPAA	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
			Explanation:
			Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
			Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence
HIPAA BAA		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
		Explanation:	
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
		Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence	

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Quincy Technology Solutions

DATE: Sept 19, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	HITECH	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence
Payment Card Information	Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard (PCI DSS) v 3.2	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation:
	Nacha Operating Rules (ACH)	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation:
Federal Tax Information	Internal Revenue Service Tax Information Security Guidelines for Federal, State and Local Agencies: IRS Pub 1075	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation:
	IRS Pub 1075 Contractor Language Addendum required	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation:
Student Education Data	Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act: FERPA	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Quincy Technology Solutions

DATE: Sept 19, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

		<i>Explanation:</i>
Affordable Care Act	§ Internal Revenue Service Tax Information Security Guidelines for Federal, State and Local Agencies IRS Pub 1075	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	§ Minimum Acceptable Risk Standards for Exchanges MARS-E 2.2	
Medicaid Information	Medicaid Information Technology Architecture MITA3.0	
	§ Code of Federal Regulations 45 CFR 95.621	
Personal Information from	§ Driver's Privacy Protection Act (Title XXX) ("DPPA") 18 U.S.C. Chapter 123, §§ 2721 – 2725	Provided a response <i>Affirmation is not evidence</i>
Bill of Materials (BoM)		
(Product Name)	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
	<i>Explanation:</i>	
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak	
<i>Explanation: Link to website</i>		
MaineIT		
H1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Quincy Technology Solutions

DATE: Sept 19, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
H2	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
H3	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
A1	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
A2	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
A3	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
A4	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
Information Security Standards	
S1	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
S2	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
S3	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
S4	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Quincy Technology Solutions

DATE: Sept 19, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation:</i>
Cloud Service Provider Reqs	
CSP1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
CSP2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Response was no</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i>
CSP3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
CSP4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
CSP5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
CSP6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
CSP7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
CSP8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
CSP9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
CSP10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Quincy Technology Solutions

DATE: Sept 19, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i>
CSP11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
CSP12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
CSP13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
CSP14	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
CSP15	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
CSP16	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
CSP17	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
CSP18	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
CSP19	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
NIST Requirements	
N1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Quincy Technology Solutions

DATE: Sept 19, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
N2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
N3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
N4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
N5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
N6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
N7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
N8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
N9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
N10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
N11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
N12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Quincy Technology Solutions

DATE: Sept 19, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
N13	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
N14	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: QUINCY TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS

DATE: 08/25/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

Data Compliance	
NIST 800-171	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
Maine FOAA	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
Maine Breach Notification Law	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
NIST 800-53: Rev5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
Privacy Act of 1974	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
U.S. DHHS-OCSE	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
HIPAA	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
HIPAA BAA	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
HITECH	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
FERPA	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
DPPA	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
BoM	
B1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
MaineIT	
H1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: QUINCY TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS

DATE: 08/25/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
H2	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
H3	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
A1	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
A2	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
A3	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
A4	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
Information Security Standards	
S1	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
S2	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
S3	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
S4	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
S5	<i>Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
Cloud Service Provider Req's	
CSP1	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP2	<i>Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP3	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: QUINCY TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS

DATE: 08/25/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP14	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP15	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP16	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP17	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: QUINCY TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS

DATE: 08/25/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP18	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP19	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
NIST Reqs	
N1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N7	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: QUINCY TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS

DATE: 08/25/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N13	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N14	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER NAME: ORA, Inc.

DATE: 09/04/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: Lindsey Chasteen

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Attorney General, Office of Chief Medical Examiner

Individual Evaluator Comments:

XVII. Organization Qualifications and Experience

1. Overview of Organization
 - Established in 1998
 - Government clients
 - Current death investigation clients
2. Subcontractors
 - None

XVIII. Proposed Services

1. Services to be Provided
 - Current vendor, everything we need
2. Implementation – Work Plan
 - No implementation
 - Possible future features to add on
 1. Public dashboard
 2. Interface with grants

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER NAME: Occupational Research and Assessment

DATE: 09/09/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: Harley Brann

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Dept. of Attorney General

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Occupational Research and Assessment (MDILog) has been providing services since 1998 and has been providing the OCME with its current case management system since 2018. They are a leader in providing case management systems for medicolegal offices across the country.

Timeline: Immediate

Occupational Research and Assessment also known as MDILog is the OCME's current provider of our case management system. They are responsive and have been able to tailor the system to meet the needs of the OCME. Their system is user friendly, and all staff (internal and external users) are currently trained to use MDILog. Due to this being our current system, certainty that this system will meet the needs of the OCME I would select Occupational Research and Assessment to provide this service.

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER NAME: Occupational Research and Assessment Inc

DATE: 09/15/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: Summer Carter

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Office of the Attorney General, Admin Division

Individual Evaluator Comments:

XIX. Organization Qualifications and Experience

1. Overview of Organization

- Death investigation experience
- NAME & IACME management
- Interface with several state Electronic Death Registry Systems

2. Subcontractors

- None

3. Organizational Chart

- Director, Admin Coordinator, Lead Programmer, Two Programmers, and Admin Assistant

XX. Proposed Services

1. Services to be Provided

- Case Management Software
- Data collection, reportable
- Shareable to other agencies, local level based

2. Implementation – Work Plan

- Little to no implementation, system already in place at OCME.
- No migration necessary.

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #:202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Occupational Research and Assessment Inc

DATE: August 12, 2025

EVALUATOR NAME: Dale Thomas

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: OIT-AppDev

Individual Evaluator Comments:

- I. Organization Qualifications and Experience
 1. Overview of Organization
 2. P - Cover Page Appendix A meets criteria
 3. P - Responsible Bidder Certification Completed Appendix B
 4. P - Qualifications and Experience Form Appendix C
 - Launched 2008 SAAS
 - 925 Jurisdictions across 35 states
 - Multiple accreditations
 - Average Employee 12 with the company
 5. Subcontractors
 - Q - Not Mentioned in Proposal
 6. Organizational Chart
 - P -Organizational Chart Provided
 7. P – Litigation provided information NONE
 8. P - Certificate of Insurance meets Criteria.
- II. Technical Assessment Appendix D
 1. P - Maine IT meets criteria
 2. P - Informational Security Standards meets Criteria
 3. N – Cloud Services Provider
 - CSP1 Does not support Active Directory MFA
 - CSP5 Not a ADA compliant
 - CSP9 Access Control Policy not met
 4. P - NIST Requirements meets Criteria
 5. N – Missing Bill of Material, Data Compliance section of the TA excel sheet
 6. Project Management Work Plan
 - N – No Plan provided
 7. Implementation – Work Plan
 - N- No Plan within proposal
 8. Detailed information provided on the workings of the system and screen shorts
- III. N - General and Technical Requirements Matrix Appendix E
 1. N - 1.1.1 User Access Active Directory not supported
 2. N -1.9 Section not available Proprietary
 3. N – 1.11 Can not provide performance limits and recovery time
 4. Q – 1.19.1 Testing cannot be done as part of go live?
- IV. Could have followed directions better missing information like TA document.

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Occupational Research and Assessment Inc

DATE: September 8, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: OIT-AppDev

Individual Reviewer Comments:

Data Compliance			
Publicly available information	NIST 800-171	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
		Explanation: Pages missing for package.	
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak	
		Explanation:	
	Maine FOAA	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
		Explanation: Pages missing for package.	
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak	
		Explanation:	
Confidential Personally Identifiable Information (PII)	Maine Breach Notification Law	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
		Explanation: Pages missing for package.	
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak	
		Explanation:	
	NIST 800-53: Rev5	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
		Explanation: Pages missing for package.	
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak	
		Explanation:	
	Privacy Act of 1974	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
		Explanation: Pages missing for package.	
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak	
		Explanation:	
	U.S. DHHS-OCSE	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
		Explanation: Pages missing for package.	
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak	
		Explanation:	
	Personal Health Information	HIPAA	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
			Explanation: Pages missing for package.
			Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
			Explanation:
HIPAA BAA		Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
		Explanation: Pages missing for package.	
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak	
		Explanation:	

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Occupational Research and Assessment Inc

DATE: September 8, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: OIT-AppDev

	HITECH	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: Pages missing for package.
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation:
Payment Card Information	Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard (PCI DSS) v 3.2	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: Pages missing for package.
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation:
	Nacha Operating Rules (ACH)	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: Pages missing for package.
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation:
Federal Tax Information	Internal Revenue Service Tax Information Security Guidelines for Federal, State and Local Agencies: IRS Pub 1075	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: Pages missing for package.
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation:
	IRS Pub 1075 Contractor Language Addendum required	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: Pages missing for package.
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation:
Student Education Data	Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act: FERPA	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: Pages missing for package.
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Occupational Research and Assessment Inc

DATE: September 8, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: OIT-AppDev

		<i>Explanation:</i>
Affordable Care Act	§ Internal Revenue Service Tax Information Security Guidelines for Federal, State and Local Agencies IRS Pub 1075	<i>Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did n Pages missing for package.ot provide a response</i>
	§ Minimum Acceptable Risk Standards for Exchanges MARS-E 2.2	
Medicaid Information	Medicaid Information Technology Architecture MITA3.0	
	§ Code of Federal Regulations 45 CFR 95.621	
Personal Information from	§ Driver's Privacy Protection Act (Title XXX) ("DPPA") 18 U.S.C. Chapter 123, §§ 2721 – 2725	
Bill of Materials (BoM)		
(Product Name)	<i>Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>	
	<i>Explanation: Pages missing for package.</i>	
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>	
	<i>Explanation:</i>	
MaineIT		
H1	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>	

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Occupational Research and Assessment Inc

DATE: September 8, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: OIT-AppDev

	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i> No evidence provided.
H2	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i> Does not provide NIST practices followed.
H3	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i> Did not provide evidence of the requirement.
A1	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i> Did not provide evidence of the requirement.
A2	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i> Did not provide evidence of the requirement.
A3	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i>
A4	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i> Agrees with the SOM on the SLA standards.
Information Security Standards	
S1	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i> Does refer to SLA meets FBI standard.
S2	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i> Did not provide evidence of the requirement. Did not answer question
S3	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i> Did not answer the question.
S4	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Occupational Research and Assessment Inc

DATE: September 8, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: OIT-AppDev

	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Referred the cyber risk policy for appetite statement.
Cloud Service Provider Reqs	
CSP1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation:
CSP2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation:
CSP3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation:
CSP4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation:
CSP5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation:
CSP6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation:
CSP7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation:
CSP8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation:
CSP9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Occupational Research and Assessment Inc

DATE: September 8, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: OIT-AppDev

	<i>Explanation:</i>
CSP10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
CSP11	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
CSP12	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
CSP13	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
CSP14	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
CSP15	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
CSP16	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
CSP17	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
CSP18	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
CSP19	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
NIST Requirements	
N1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Occupational Research and Assessment Inc

DATE: September 8, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: OIT-AppDev

	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i>
N2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i>
N3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i>
N4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i>
N5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i>
N6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i>
N7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i>
N8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i>
N9	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i>
N10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i>
N11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i>

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Occupational Research and Assessment Inc

DATE: September 8, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: OIT-AppDev

N12	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation:
N13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation:
N14	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation:

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Occupational Research and Assessment, Inc

DATE: Sept 19, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

Individual Reviewer Comments:

Data Compliance		
Publicly available information	NIST 800-171	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation:
	Maine FOAA	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation:
Confidential Personally Identifiable Information (PII)	Maine Breach Notification Law	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation:
	NIST 800-53: Rev5	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation:
	Privacy Act of 1974	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation:
	U.S. DHHS-OCSE	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation:
Personal Health Information	HIPAA	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation:
	HIPAA BAA	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation:

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Occupational Research and Assessment, Inc

DATE: Sept 19, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	HITECH	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation:
Payment Card Information	Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard (PCI DSS) v 3.2	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation:
	Nacha Operating Rules (ACH)	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation:
Federal Tax Information	Internal Revenue Service Tax Information Security Guidelines for Federal, State and Local Agencies: IRS Pub 1075	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation:
	IRS Pub 1075 Contractor Language Addendum required	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation:
Student Education Data	Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act: FERPA	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Occupational Research and Assessment, Inc

DATE: Sept 19, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

		<i>Explanation:</i>
Affordable Care Act	§ Internal Revenue Service Tax Information Security Guidelines for Federal, State and Local Agencies IRS Pub 1075	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	§ Minimum Acceptable Risk Standards for Exchanges MARS-E 2.2	
Medicaid Information	Medicaid Information Technology Architecture MITA3.0	
	§ Code of Federal Regulations 45 CFR 95.621	
Personal Information from	§ Driver's Privacy Protection Act (Title XXX) ("DPPA") 18 U.S.C. Chapter 123, §§ 2721 – 2725	
Bill of Materials (BoM)		
(Product Name)	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
	Explanation:	
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak	
Explanation:		
MaineIT		
H1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Occupational Research and Assessment, Inc

DATE: Sept 19, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i> Provided the name of the host
H2	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i> Provided an explanation, but no external documentation
H3	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i> Referred to ORA, not the vendor AWS.
A1	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i> Response speaks about AWS, not the application.
A2	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i> Affirmation is not evidence
A3	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i> Affirmation is not evidence
A4	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i> Affirmation is not evidence. Not unconditional
Information Security Standards	
S1	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i> Affirmation is not evidence
S2	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i> Affirmation is not evidence. Response doesn't relate to question
S3	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i> Affirmation is not evidence
S4	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Occupational Research and Assessment, Inc

DATE: Sept 19, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: Unclear answer from reposnse</i>
Cloud Service Provider Reqs	
CSP1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not provide supporting documentation.</i>
CSP2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Does not support</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not support</i>
CSP3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
CSP4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not address the control.</i>
CSP5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
CSP6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not provide a policy</i>
CSP7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
CSP8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Doesn't provide a policy</i>
CSP9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not comply</i>
CSP10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Occupational Research and Assessment, Inc

DATE: Sept 19, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not provide policy</i>
CSP11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not provide policy</i>
CSP12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not provide policy</i>
CSP13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not provide policy</i>
CSP14	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not provide policy</i>
CSP15	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not provide policy</i>
CSP16	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: N/A</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i>
CSP17	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: N/A</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i>
CSP18	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not provide policy</i>
CSP19	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Does not provide policy</i>
NIST Requirements	
N1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Occupational Research and Assessment, Inc

DATE: Sept 19, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
N2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
N3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: The statement does not provide evidence</i>
N4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: The statement does not provide evidence</i>
N5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: The statement does not provide evidence</i>
N6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
N7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: The statement does not provide explanation</i>
N8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
N9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: The statement does not provide evidence</i>
N10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
N11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Affirmation is not evidence</i>
N12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Occupational Research and Assessment, Inc

DATE: Sept 19, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i> The statement does not provide evidence
N13	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i> The statement does not provide evidence
N14	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation:</i>

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: OCCUPATIONAL RESEARCH AND ASSESSMENT INC

DATE: 08/25/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

Data Compliance	
NIST 800-171	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
Maine FOAA	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
Maine Breach Notification Law	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
NIST 800-53: Rev5	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
Privacy Act of 1974	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
U.S. DHHS-OCSE	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
HIPAA	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
HIPAA BAA	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
HITECH	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
FERPA	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
DPPA	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
BoM	
B1	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
MaineIT	
H1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: OCCUPATIONAL RESEARCH AND ASSESSMENT INC

DATE: 08/25/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
H2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
H3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
A1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
A2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
A3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
A4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
Information Security Standards	
S1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
S2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
S3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
S4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
S5	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
Cloud Service Provider Regs	
CSP1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: OCCUPATIONAL RESEARCH AND ASSESSMENT INC

DATE: 08/25/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

CSP3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP14	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP15	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP16	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: OCCUPATIONAL RESEARCH AND ASSESSMENT INC

DATE: 08/25/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

CSP17	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP18	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP19	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
NIST Reqs	
N1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N7	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: OCCUPATIONAL RESEARCH AND ASSESSMENT INC

DATE: 08/25/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N12	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N13	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N14	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER NAME: Concourse

DATE: 09/04/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: Lindsey Chasteen

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Attorney General, Office of Chief Medical Examiner

Individual Evaluator Comments:

XXI. Organization Qualifications and Experience

1. Overview of Organization
 - Established in 2022
 - Government clients
2. Subcontractors
 - None

XXII. Proposed Services

1. Services to be Provided
 - Create from scratch (easy to say they can do everything)
2. Implementation – Work Plan
 - 5 month implementation
 - Create from scratch
 - No current or past death investigation clients

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER NAME: Concourse

DATE: 09/09/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: Harley Brann

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Dept. of Attorney General

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Concourse has been providing services for an unknown period of time. They have no experience with a medicolegal death investigation agency and would need to design/adapt a case management system for the OCME.

Timeline: 12 weeks (Provided a go live date of 2/18/26)

Due to the lack of experience in the medicolegal field, having to work with OCME to develop the system, and length of time for implementation I would not choose Concourse as a provider.

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER NAME: Concourse Tech Inc

DATE: 09/15/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: Summer Carter

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Office of the Attorney General, Admin Division

Individual Evaluator Comments:

XXIII. Organization Qualifications and Experience

1. Overview of Organization

- Public Sector Focused, messenger platform
- Governmental Experience
- Cloud Based System

2. Subcontractors

- Not listed, but ensured that subcontractors would adhere to confidentiality standards.

3. Organizational Chart

- None provided.

XXIV. Proposed Services

1. Services to be Provided

- Cloud based case management software
- Training through videos, modules, and documentation.
- Reporting for security incidents

2. Implementation – Work Plan

- Monitoring, logs, scanning, security responses
- Total implementation timeline is 20+ weeks

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #:202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Concourse Tech Inc

DATE: August 12, 2025

EVALUATOR NAME: Dale Thomas

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: OIT-AppDev

Individual Evaluator Comments:

- I. Organization Qualifications and Experience
 1. Overview of Organization
 - P - Cover Page Appendix A
 - P - Responsible Bidder Certification Completed Appendix B
 - Qualifications and Experience Form Appendix C
 - P - Provided 3 seemed to be hardware focused
 2. SubContractors
 - Q - Not Mentioned in Proposal
 3. Organizational Chart
 - N -Not Provided
 4. P – Litigation presented
 5. N - Certificate of Insurance Did not see in the proposal.
- II. Proposed Services Cover Page
 1. Project Management Work Plan
 2. Implementation – Work Plan
 - N- Not Plan within proposal
- III. General and Technical Requirements Matrix Appendix E
 1. N – Unable to open Excel sheet in the PDF
- IV. Q - Additional Document supplied with detailed company and system information do we include as part of the proposal?

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Concourse

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

Individual Reviewer Comments:

Data Compliance		
Publicly available information	NIST 800-171	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: NO
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor mentioned key components, but no supporting evidence provided. Certification in progress.
	Maine FOAA	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: Yes
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor noted controls. No evidence provided
Confidential Personally Identifiable Information (PII)	Maine Breach Notification Law	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: Yes
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor mentioned key components, but no supporting evidence provided.
	NIST 800-53: Rev5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: No
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor does not, but no evidence provided
	Privacy Act of 1974	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: YES
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: : Vendor mentioned key components, but no supporting evidence provided
	U.S. DHHS-OCSE	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: Yes

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Concourse

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

		<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i> <i>Explanation: : Vendor mentioned key components, but no supporting evidence provided</i>	
Personal Health Information	HIPAA	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i> <i>Explanation: No</i>	
		<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i> <i>Explanation: Vendor states non complacance, but no supporting evidence provided</i>	
		HIPAA BAA	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i> <i>Explanation: YES</i>
			<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i> <i>Explanation: Vendor agrees to the requirement. Provides no evidence</i>
	HITECH	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i> <i>Explanation: Yes</i>	
		<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i> <i>Explanation: Vendor mentioned key components, but no supporting evidence provided</i>	
	Payment Card Information	Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard (PCI DSS) v 3.2	<i>Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i> <i>Explanation:</i>
			<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i> <i>Explanation:</i>
Nacha Operating Rules (ACH)		<i>Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i> <i>Explanation:</i>	
		<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i> <i>Explanation:</i>	
Federal Tax Information	Internal Revenue Service Tax	<i>Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>	

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Concourse

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	Information Security Guidelines for Federal, State and Local Agencies: IRS Pub 1075	<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		<i>Explanation:</i>
	IRS Pub 1075 Contractor Language Addendum required	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
Student Education Data	Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act: FERPA	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		<i>Explanation:</i>
Affordable Care Act	§ Internal Revenue Service Tax Information Security Guidelines for Federal, State and Local Agencies IRS Pub 1075	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	§ Minimum Acceptable Risk Standards for	

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Concourse

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	Exchanges MARS-E 2.2	
Medicaid Information	Medicaid Information Technology Architecture MITA3.0	
	§ Code of Federal Regulations 45 CFR 95.621	
Personal Information from	§ Driver's Privacy Protection Act (Title XXX) ("DPPA") 18 U.S.C. Chapter 123, §§ 2721 – 2725	Yes Weak Vendor supports compliance but no evidence provided.
Bill of Materials (BoM)		
(Product Name)	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
	Explanation:	
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak	
	Explanation: Provided products and URIs	
MaineIT		
H1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
	Explanation: Yes	
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak	
	Explanation: Vendor lists cloud services. Mentions SOC 2	
H2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
	Explanation: Yes	

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Concourse

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor provides backup and recovery no evidence provided
H3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor mentioned key components, but no supporting evidence provided
A1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor mentioned key components, but no supporting evidence provided
A2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliant.
A3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor supports SLA
A4	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor is compliant .
Information Security Standards	
S1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor Mentions Key Components and provided URL for security no evidence
S2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Subcontractors are compliant.but provided no evidence
S3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Concourse

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor will comply.</i>
S4	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they have a cyber risk statement no evidence provided. URL not valid</i>
Cloud Service Provider Reqs	
CSP1	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation: YEs</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor partners FEDRAMP authorized no documentation provided.</i>
CSP2	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendors states compliance no evidence provided</i>
CSP3	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Partial compliance no evidence provided within URL</i>
CSP4	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided within document</i>

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Concourse

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

CSP5	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided within document</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided within document</i>
CSP6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided within document</i>
CSP7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: YEs</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided within document</i>
CSP8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided within document</i>
CSP9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided within document</i>
CSP10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Concourse

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided within document</i>
CSP11	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided within document</i>
CSP12	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided within document</i>
CSP13	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided within document</i>
CSP14	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation: YEs</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided within document</i>
CSP15	<i>Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
CSP16	<i>Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Concourse

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

CSP17	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation:
CSP18	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation:
CSP19	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation:
NIST Requirements	
N1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, dococument provided url no evidence provided
N2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided
N3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided
N4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: YEs
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Concourse

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided</i>
N5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided</i>
N6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided</i>
N7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: YEs</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided</i>
N8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: YEs</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided</i>
N9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided</i>
N10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: YEs</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided</i>
N11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation: YEs</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided</i>

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Concourse

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

N12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: YEs
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no evidence provided
N13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: No
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor does not comply, URI provided no evidence provided
N14	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: YEs
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor restates Security Measures url no evidence provided

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Concourse

DATE: 12/04/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

Individual Reviewer Comments:

Data Compliance		
Publicly available information	NIST 800-171	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Maine FOAA	Explanation: Vendor states they are seeking certification but are not currently compliant. Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.
		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
Confidential Personally Identifiable Information (PII)	Maine Breach Notification Law	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Link and document provided, but no supporting evidence found.
		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	NIST 800-53: Rev5	Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor states they do not comply. Link and document attached with partial evidence.
Privacy Act of 1974	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
	Explanation:	
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak	
U.S. DHHS-OCSE	Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Provided documentation provides evidence.	
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Concourse

DATE: 12/04/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

		<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		<i>Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Link and document attached with partial evidence.</i>
Personal Health Information	HIPAA	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		<i>Explanation: Vendor states they do not comply.</i>
	HIPAA BAA	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		<i>Explanation: Vendor states they will comply.</i>
	HITECH	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
<i>Explanation:</i>		
<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak		
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Link and document provided, but no supporting evidence found.</i>	
Personal Information from	§ Driver's Privacy Protection Act (Title XXX) ("DPPA") 18 U.S.C. Chapter 123, §§ 2721 – 2725	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		<i>Explanation: Response states compliance. Link and document provided, but no supporting evidence found.</i>
Bill of Materials (BoM)		
(Product Name)	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
	<i>Explanation:</i>	
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Concourse

DATE: 12/04/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: Names and links provided. Many links refer to a homepage.</i>
MaineIT	
H1	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Cloud hosting partners referenced in attached documentation.</i>
H2	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
H3	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
A1	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
A2	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
A3	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they will comply.</i>
A4	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Concourse

DATE: 12/04/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Vendor does not state that they support the SoM format.</i>
Information Security Standards	
S1	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
S2	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Vendor mentions an agreement but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
S3	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
S4	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
Cloud Service Provider Reqs	
CSP1	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor stated they will comply but did not provide supporting evidence.</i>
CSP2	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Concourse

DATE: 12/04/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
CSP3	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
CSP4	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
CSP5	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
CSP6	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
CSP7	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
CSP8	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Concourse

DATE: 12/04/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
CSP9	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
CSP10	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
CSP11	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
CSP12	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
CSP13	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
CSP14	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Concourse

DATE: 12/04/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
CSP15	<i>Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
CSP16	<i>Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
CSP17	<i>Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
CSP18	<i>Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
CSP19	<i>Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
NIST Requirements	
N1	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.</i>

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Concourse

DATE: 12/04/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

N2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.
N3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.
N4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.
N5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.
N6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.
N7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Concourse

DATE: 12/04/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

N8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.
N9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.
N10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.
N11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.
N12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.
N13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Concourse

DATE: 12/04/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

N14	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.</i>

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: CONCOURSE

DATE: 12/04/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

Data Compliance	
NIST 800-171	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
Maine FOAA	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
Maine Breach Notification Law	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
NIST 800-53: Rev5	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
Privacy Act of 1974	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
U.S. DHHS-OCSE	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
HIPAA	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
HIPAA BAA	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
HITECH	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: CONCOURSE

DATE: 12/04/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
FERPA	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
DPPA	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
BoM	
B1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
MaineIT	
H1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
H2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
H3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
A1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
A2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
A3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
A4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
Information Security Standards	

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: CONCOURSE

DATE: 12/04/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

S1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
S2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
S3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
S4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
S5	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
Cloud Service Provider Reqs	
CSP1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: CONCOURSE

DATE: 12/04/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP14	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP15	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP16	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP17	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP18	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: CONCOURSE

DATE: 12/04/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

CSP19	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
NIST Reqs	
N1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: CONCOURSE

DATE: 12/04/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N12	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N13	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N14	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER NAME: PlanStreet, Inc.

DATE: 09/04/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: Lindsey Chasteen

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Department of Attorney General, Office of Chief Medical Examiner

Individual Evaluator Comments:

XXV. Organization Qualifications and Experience

1. Overview of Organization
 - Established in 2017
 - Government clients
2. Subcontractors
 - None

XXVI. Proposed Services

1. Services to be Provided
 - Create from scratch (easy to say they can do everything)
 -
 -
2. Implementation – Work Plan
 - 6 month implementation
 - Create from scratch
 - No current or past death investigation clients

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER NAME: Planstreet Inc

DATE: 09/09/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: Harley Brann

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Dept. of Attorney General

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Planstreet has been providing services since 2017. They have no experience with a medicolegal death investigation agency and would need to design a case management system for the OCME.

Timeline: Unclear/6 months?

Due to the lack of experience in the medicolegal field, having to work with OCME to develop the database and length of time for implementation, I would not choose Planstreet as a provider.

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

BIDDER NAME: PlanStreet Inc

DATE: 09/15/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: Summer Carter

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Office of the Attorney General, Admin Division

Individual Evaluator Comments:

- XXVII. Organization Qualifications and Experience
1. Overview of Organization
 - Experience in working with governmental systems
 - Secure, cloud based
 - Configuration available
 2. Subcontractors
 - None
 3. Organizational Chart
 - None provided, outline of project implementation team provided.
- XXVIII. Proposed Services
1. Services to be Provided
 - No code, cloud based case management software
 - Training and transition assistance
 - Reporting and analytics
 2. Implementation – Work Plan
 - ‘Start up Pack’ shortens implementation period from months to weeks
 - Data Migration, up to 20 years of information can be moved.
 - Monthly Reporting

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #:202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: PlanStreet

DATE: August 12, 2025

EVALUATOR NAME: Dale Thomas

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: OIT-AppDev

Individual Evaluator Comments:

- I. Organization Qualifications and Experience
 1. Overview of Organization
 - P - Cover Page Appendix A
 - P - Responsible Bidder Certification Completed Appendix B
 - Qualifications and Experience Form Appendix C1
 - P - Provided 3 examples of similar work
 - P- Been In business 2017 100 Employees based Kentucky
 - I - No Code Platform
 - I - Highly Configurable
 - P - FEDRAMP HIPPA NIST
 - P -Transmittal Letter very information
 2. SubContractors
 - Q - Not Mentioned in Proposal
 -
 3. Organizational Chart
 - N -Not Provided
 - P -Has provided additional detailed information about the company
 4. References Appendix C
 - P - Provided 7 references for the last 3 years relative size organizations
 5. P - Litigation
 6. N - Certificate of Insurance Did not see in the proposal.
- II. Proposed Services Cover Page
 1. Project Management Work Plan
 - P – Vary detailed implementation plan
 - P- Listed names, experience and education of the resources to be used
 2. Implementation – Work Plan
 - P- Detailed Plan
 3. Detailed information provided on the workings of the system and screen shorts
- III. General and Technical Requirements Matrix Appendix E
 1. P – Meets Criteria
 - Q -1.10.2 They crossed out SOC2 Type II – Y
 - Q - 1.11.2 Crossed out information answered Y
- IV. Q – Can we count the additional Technical Proposal document information
- V. A little hard to pull out the information could have followed directions better

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: PlanStreet

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

Individual Reviewer Comments:

Data Compliance		
Publicly available information	NIST 800-171	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: Yes
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendore stats full aligned with NIST SP 800 53 REV 5 no supporting evidence
	Maine FOAA	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: YEs
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor state compliance standards no evidence provided
Confidential Personally Identifiable Information (PII)	Maine Breach Notification Law	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: Yes
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor state compliance standards no evidence provided
	NIST 800-53: Rev5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: YEs
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor state compliance standards no evidence provided
	Privacy Act of 1974	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: Yes
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor state compliance standards no evidence provided
	U.S. DHHS-OCSE	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation: YEs

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: PlanStreet

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

		<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
		<i>Explanation: Vendor state compliance standards no evidence provided</i>
Personal Health Information	HIPAA	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
		<i>Explanation: Vendor state compliance standards no evidence provided</i>
	HIPAA BAA	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation: YES</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
		<i>Explanation: Vendor state compliance standards no evidence provided</i>
	HITECH	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation: YES</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
		<i>Explanation: Vendor state compliance standards no evidence provided</i>
Payment Card Information	Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard (PCI DSS) v 3.2	<i>Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i>
	Nacha Operating Rules (ACH)	<i>Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
		<i>Explanation:</i>
Federal Tax Information	Internal Revenue Service Tax	<i>Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>

**STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT**

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: PlanStreet

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	Information Security Guidelines for Federal, State and Local Agencies: IRS Pub 1075	<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		<i>Explanation:</i>
	IRS Pub 1075 Contractor Language Addendum required	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
Student Education Data	Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act: FERPA	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		<i>Explanation:</i>
		<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
		<i>Explanation:</i>
Affordable Care Act	§ Internal Revenue Service Tax Information Security Guidelines for Federal, State and Local Agencies IRS Pub 1075	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	§ Minimum Acceptable Risk Standards for	

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: PlanStreet

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	Exchanges MARS-E 2.2	
Medicaid Information	Medicaid Information Technology Architecture MITA3.0	
	§ Code of Federal Regulations 45 CFR 95.621	
Personal Information from	§ Driver's Privacy Protection Act (Title XXX) ("DPPA") 18 U.S.C. Chapter 123, §§ 2721 – 2725	Yes Weak no explanation given
Bill of Materials (BoM)		
(Product Name)	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
	Explanation: Provide Product Information	
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
	Explanation: Provide Product Information with URL doe not go anywhere	
MaineIT		
H1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
	Explanation: No	
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
	Explanation: Vendor does not comply	
H2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: PlanStreet

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor does not mention backup process or DR process</i>
H3	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no supporting evidence</i>
A1	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no supporting evidence</i>
A2	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no supporting evidence</i>
A3	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation: YEs</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor agrees to comply</i>
A4	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor agrees to comply.</i>
Information Security Standards	
S1	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no supporting evidence</i>
S2	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor fully complies. no evidence</i>
S3	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation: YEs</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: PlanStreet

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: Vendor fully agrees no evidence.</i>
S4	<i>Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Did not provide a response</i>
Cloud Service Provider Reqs	
CSP1	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation: YEs</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Architecture Design provided Vendor mention key components</i>
CSP2	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor support multi-factor standards</i>
CSP3	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor supports policy, no supporting evidence</i>
CSP4	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliant. no evidence</i>
CSP5	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation: Yes</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor is WCAG 2.1 Level AA standards no evidence</i>

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: PlanStreet

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

CSP6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response YEs
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliant with policy no evidence
CSP7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states compliant with policy no evidence
CSP8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no supporting evidence
CSP9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no supporting evidence
CSP10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: YEs
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no supporting evidence
CSP11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor agrees to comply
CSP12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor agrees to comply

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: PlanStreet

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

CSP13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: YEs
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor agrees to policy will provide SOC2 Type11 report annually
CSP14	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no supporting evidence
CSP15	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor mentions key components, no supporting evidence
CSP16	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Yes
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor does not use AI
CSP17	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: YEs
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor state no VPN required
CSP18	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: YEs
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states fully compliant with this policy no evidence
CSP19	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states fully compliant with this policy no evidence

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: PlanStreet

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

NIST Requirements	
N1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states fully compliant with this policy no evidence
N2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states fully compliant with this policy no evidence
N3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states fully compliant with this policy no evidence
N4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states fully compliant with this policy no evidence
N5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states fully compliant with this policy no evidence
N6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Vendor states fully compliant with this policy no evidence
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states fully compliant with this policy no evidence
N7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation: Vendor states fully compliant with this policy no evidence
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation:

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: PlanStreet

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

N8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states fully compliant with this policy no evidence
N9	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states fully compliant with this policy no evidence
N10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states fully compliant with this policy no evidence
N11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states fully compliant with this policy no evidence
N12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states fully compliant with this policy no evidence
N13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states fully compliant with this policy no evidence
N14	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: None

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: ITP- 243940 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: PlanStreet

DATE: December 2, 2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Dale Thomas

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Plan Street

DATE: 12/3/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

Individual Reviewer Comments:

Data Compliance		
Publicly available information	NIST 800-171	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Maine FOAA	Explanation: Vendor said they comply. No evidence provided.
		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
	Explanation: Vendor said they comply. No evidence provided.	
Confidential Personally Identifiable Information (PII)	Maine Breach Notification Law	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	NIST 800-53: Rev5	Explanation: Vendor said they comply. No evidence provided.
		Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
		Explanation: Vendor said they comply. No evidence provided.
	Privacy Act of 1974	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
		Explanation:
		Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor said they comply. No evidence provided.	
	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response	
	Explanation:	
U.S. DHHS-OCSE	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
	Explanation: Vendor said they comply. No evidence provided.	

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Plan Street

DATE: 12/3/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

		<p><i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</p> <p><i>Explanation:</i> Vendor said they comply. No evidence provided.</p>
Personal Health Information	HIPAA	<p><i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</p> <p><i>Explanation:</i></p>
		<p><i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</p> <p><i>Explanation:</i> Vendor said they comply. No evidence provided.</p>
		<p><i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</p> <p><i>Explanation:</i></p>
	HIPAA BAA	<p><i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</p> <p><i>Explanation:</i> Vendor said they comply. No evidence provided.</p>
		<p><i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</p> <p><i>Explanation:</i></p>
		<p><i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</p> <p><i>Explanation:</i> Vendor said they comply. No evidence provided.</p>
	HITECH	<p><i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</p> <p><i>Explanation:</i></p>
		<p><i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</p> <p><i>Explanation:</i> Vendor said they comply. No evidence provided.</p>
		<p><i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</p> <p><i>Explanation:</i></p>
Personal Information from	<p>§ Driver's Privacy Protection Act (Title XXX) ("DPPA") 18 U.S.C. Chapter 123, §§ 2721 – 2725</p>	<p><i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</p> <p><i>Explanation:</i></p>
		<p><i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</p> <p><i>Explanation:</i> Vendor said they comply. No evidence provided.</p>
		<p><i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</p> <p><i>Explanation:</i></p>
Bill of Materials (BoM)		
(Product Name)	<p><i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</p> <p><i>Explanation:</i></p>	
	<p><i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</p>	

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Plan Street

DATE: 12/3/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

<i>Explanation: Provided url that did not resolve.</i>	
MaineIT	
H1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor said they do not comply.</i>
H2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor said they comply. No evidence provided.</i>
H3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor said they comply. No evidence provided.</i>
A1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor said they comply. No evidence provided.</i>
A2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor said they comply. No evidence provided.</i>
A3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor said they comply.</i>
A4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	<i>Explanation: Vendor said they comply.</i>
Information Security Standards	
S1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Plan Street

DATE: 12/3/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<i>Explanation: Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
S2	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor said they comply. No evidence provided.</i>
S3	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor said they comply. No evidence provided.</i>
S4	<i>Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
Cloud Service Provider Reqs	
CSP1	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
CSP2	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor said they comply. No evidence provided.</i>
CSP3	<i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor said they comply. No evidence provided.</i>

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Plan Street

DATE: 12/3/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

CSP4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor said they comply. No evidence provided.
CSP5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.
CSP6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor said they comply. No evidence provided.
CSP7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor said they comply. No evidence provided.
CSP8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor said they comply. No evidence provided.
CSP9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor said they comply. No evidence provided.
CSP10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor said they comply. No evidence provided.

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Plan Street

DATE: 12/3/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

CSP11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor said they comply. No evidence provided.
CSP12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor said they comply. No evidence provided.
CSP13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor said they comply. No evidence provided.
CSP14	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor said they comply. No evidence provided.
CSP15	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor said they comply. No evidence provided.
CSP16	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states that solution has no GenAI.
CSP17	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor states solution does not require site to site vpn.

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Plan Street

DATE: 12/3/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

CSP18	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor said they comply. No evidence provided.
CSP19	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor said they comply. No evidence provided.
NIST Requirements	
N1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.
N2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.
N3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor said they comply. No evidence provided.
N4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Explanation: Vendor state they comply. No evidence provided.
N5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response
	Explanation:

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Plan Street

DATE: 12/3/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

	<p><i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i></p> <p><i>Explanation: Vendor state they comply. No evidence provided.</i></p>
N6	<p><i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i></p> <p><i>Explanation:</i></p> <p><i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i></p> <p><i>Explanation: Vendor said they comply. No evidence provided.</i></p>
N7	<p><i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i></p> <p><i>Explanation:</i></p> <p><i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i></p> <p><i>Explanation: Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.</i></p>
N8	<p><i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i></p> <p><i>Explanation:</i></p> <p><i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i></p> <p><i>Explanation: Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.</i></p>
N9	<p><i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i></p> <p><i>Explanation:</i></p> <p><i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i></p> <p><i>Explanation: Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.</i></p>
N10	<p><i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i></p> <p><i>Explanation:</i></p> <p><i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak</i></p> <p><i>Explanation: Vendor states they comply. Provided architectural diagram.</i></p>
N11	<p><i>Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Provided a Response <input type="checkbox"/> Did not provide a response</i></p> <p><i>Explanation:</i></p> <p><i>Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak</i></p> <p><i>Explanation: Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.</i></p>

STATE OF MAINE
INDIVIDUAL REVIEW NOTES - TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: Plan Street

DATE: 12/3/2025

TA REVIEWER NAME: Matthew Backus

TA REVIEWER DEPARTMENT: MaineIT

N12	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor mentions some key components but provided no supporting evidence.</i>
N13	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: Vendor states compliance. No evidence provided.</i>
N14	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Provided a Response</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Did not provide a response</i>
	<i>Explanation:</i>
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Explanation: No relevant information.</i>

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: PLAN STREET

DATE: 12/04/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

Data Compliance	
NIST 800-171	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment? Did not mention 800-171
Maine FOAA	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment? Did no mention FOAA
Maine Breach Notification Law	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
NIST 800-53: Rev5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
Privacy Act of 1974	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
U.S. DHHS-OCSE	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
HIPAA	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
HIPAA BAA	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
HITECH	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: PLAN STREET

DATE: 12/04/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
FERPA	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
DPPA	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
BoM	
B1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
MaineIT	
H1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
H2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
H3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
A1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
A2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
A3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
A4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
Information Security Standards	

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: PLAN STREET

DATE: 12/04/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

S1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
S2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
S3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
S4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
S5	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
Cloud Service Provider Reqs	
CSP1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
CSP7	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: PLAN STREET

DATE: 12/04/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP8	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP9	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP10	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP11	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP12	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP13	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP14	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP15	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP16	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP17	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
CSP18	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: PLAN STREET

DATE: 12/04/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

CSP19	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
NIST Reqs	
N1	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N2	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N3	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N4	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N5	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N6	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N7	Quality of Response: <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N8	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N9	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N10	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Quality of Evidence: <input type="checkbox"/> Strong <input type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak
	Additional Comment?
N11	Quality of Response: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate <input type="checkbox"/> Weak

**STATE OF MAINE I.T. RFP TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: ELECTRONIC DEATH INVESTIGATION CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

BIDDER NAME: PLAN STREET

DATE: 12/04/2025

EVALUATOR NAME: MATTHEW KEENE

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DAFS/OIT

	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N12	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N13	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>
N14	<i>Quality of Response:</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Quality of Evidence:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Strong</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Adequate</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Weak</i>
	<i>Additional Comment?</i>



STATE OF MAINE
DEPARTMENT OF The Attorney General

Janet T. Mills
Governor

Aaron Frey
Commissioner

AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

I, Amalysa Chastain accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Department of Attorney General. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFP.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand and agree that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner without bias or prejudice. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the award decision notices for public distribution.

Amalysa Chastain
Signature

8/20/25
Date



STATE OF MAINE
DEPARTMENT OF ATTORNEY GENERAL
Office of Chief Medical Examiner

Janet T. Mills
Governor

Aaron Frey
Commissioner

AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

I, Harley Brann accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Department of Attorney General - Office of Chief Medical Examiner. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFP.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand and agree that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner without bias or prejudice. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the award decision notices for public distribution.

Harley Brann
gnatu

8/20/25
Date



STATE OF MAINE
DEPARTMENT OF The Attorney General

Janet T. Mills
Governor

Aaron Frey
Comm1ss1oner

AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

I, Summer Carter accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Department of Attorney General. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFP.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example. paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand and agree that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner without bias or prejudice. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the award decision notices for public distribution.

Summer J.C. Carter

17/09/25

Signature

Date

STATE OF MAINE
DEPARTMENT OF The Attorney General

Janet T. Mills
Governor

Aaron Frey
Commissioner

AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

I, Dale A. Thomas, Jr. accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Department of Attorney General. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFP.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand and agree that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner without bias or prejudice. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the award decision notices for public distribution.

Dale A. Thomas, Jr.

07/10/25

Signature

Date

**STATE OF MAINE
DEPARTMENT OF The Attorney General**

Janet T. Mills
Governor

Aaron Frey
Commissioner

AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

RFP #: 202506081

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

I, Matthew Backus accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Department of Attorney General. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFP.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand and agree that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner without bias or prejudice. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the award decision notices for public distribution.

Matthew 'Cackus

Matthew Backus (Oct 8, 2025 05:37:04 EDT)

08/10/25

Signature

Date

**STATE OF MAINE
DEPARTMENT OF The Attorney General**

Janet T. Mills
Governor

Aaron Frey
Commissioner

**AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT
RFP #: 202506081**

RFP TITLE: Electronic Death Investigation Case Management System

I, Matthew Keene accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Department of Attorney General. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFP.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand and agree that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner without bias or prejudice. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the award decision notices for public distribution.

Matthew Keene

Matthew Keene (Oct 8, 2025 10:23:33 EDT)

08/10/25

Signature

Date