# State of Maine Master Score Sheet

RFA# 202207107												
Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants												
Bi	Aroostook Band of Micmacs	Arrowsic	Bar Harbor	Bath	Bethel	Blue Hill & Brooksville	Bowdoinham					
Prop	osed Cost:	\$50,000	\$35,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$125,000	\$37,991				
Scoring Sections	Points Available											
Criteria 1: General Information/Eligibility	Pass / Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass				
<ul> <li>Criteria 2: Community Characteristics</li> <li>5 points for a small (population less than 4,000) community or high social vulnerability.</li> <li>3 points for a medium-size (population between 4,000 and 10,000) community or medium social vulnerability.</li> <li>In a multi-community application, one qualifying community may earn points for the whole application</li> </ul>	5	5	5	3	3	5	5	5				
Criteria 3: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15				
Criteria 4: Scope of Work	60	60	55	55	50	57	60	55				
Criteria 5: Budget Proposal	20	20	20	18	15	20	20	20				
TOTAL	100	100	95	91	83	97	100	95				

RFA# 202207107											
Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants											
Ві	dder Name:	Brunswick	Camden	Carrabassett Valley	Carthage	Castine	Chebeague Island	Cumberland			
Ριομ	oosed Cost:	\$50,000	\$40,000	\$28,853	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000			
Scoring Sections	Points Available										
Criteria 1: General Information/Eligibility	Pass / Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass			
<ul> <li>Criteria 2: Community Characteristics</li> <li>5 points for a small (population less than 4,000) community or high social vulnerability.</li> <li>3 points for a medium-size (population between 4,000 and 10,000) community or medium social vulnerability.</li> <li>In a multi-community application, one qualifying community may earn points for the whole application</li> </ul>	5	3	3	5	5	5	5	3			
Criteria 3: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15			
Criteria 4: Scope of Work	60	60	50	60	53	53	60	45			
Criteria 5: Budget Proposal	20	20	15	15	20	15	20	18			
TOTAL	100	98	83	95	93	88	100	81			

	RFA# 202207107										
Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants											
Bi	dder Name:	Dover- Foxcroft	Falmouth	Farmington	Fort Kent	Freeport & Yarmouth	Georgetown	Greenwood			
Prop	oosed Cost:	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$121,388	\$39,000	\$50,000			
Scoring Sections	Points Available										
Criteria 1: General Information/Eligibility	Pass / Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass			
<ul> <li>Criteria 2: Community Characteristics</li> <li>5 points for a small (population less than 4,000) community or high social vulnerability.</li> <li>3 points for a medium-size (population between 4,000 and 10,000) community or medium social vulnerability.</li> <li>In a multi-community application, one qualifying community may earn points for the whole application</li> </ul>	5	3	0	3	3	3	5	5			
Criteria 3: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15			
Criteria 4: Scope of Work	60	55	55	55	60	40	60	55			
Criteria 5: Budget Proposal	20	20	15	15	20	15	20	20			
TOTAL	100	93	85	88	98	73	100	95			

RFA# 202207107												
Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants												
Bi	dder Name:	Hallowell	Harpswell	Islesboro	Jay	Kennebunk- port & Kennebunk	Lamoine	Limestone				
Prop	oosed Cost:	\$45,000	\$32,203	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$125,000	\$50,000	\$50,000				
Scoring Sections	Points Available											
Criteria 1: General Information/Eligibility	Pass / Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass				
<ul> <li>Criteria 2: Community Characteristics</li> <li>5 points for a small (population less than 4,000) community or high social vulnerability.</li> <li>3 points for a medium-size (population between 4,000 and 10,000) community or medium social vulnerability.</li> <li>In a multi-community application, one qualifying community may earn points for the whole application</li> </ul>	5	5	3	5	3	5	5	5				
Criteria 3: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15				
Criteria 4: Scope of Work	60	55	57	55	60	40	60	60				
Criteria 5: Budget Proposal	20	15	18	20	20	15	15	20				
TOTAL	100	90	93	95	98	75	95	100				

RFA# 202207107											
Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants											
Bi	dder Name:	Lisbon	Livermore	Lubec	Millinocket	Monhegan Plantation	Mount Desert	North Yarmouth			
Prop	oosed Cost:	\$49,897.50	\$43,647.55	\$49,830	\$50,000	\$36,000	\$49,225	\$50,000			
Scoring Sections	Points Available										
Criteria 1: General Information/Eligibility	Pass / Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass			
Criteria 2: Community Characteristics • 5 points for a small (population less than 4,000) community or high social vulnerability. • 3 points for a medium-size (population between 4,000 and 10,000) community or medium social vulnerability. • In a multi-community application, one qualifying community may earn points for the whole application	5	3	5	5	5	5	5	5			
Criteria 3: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15			
Criteria 4: Scope of Work	60	58	55	45	58	56	60	55			
Criteria 5: Budget Proposal	20	20	15	20	18	18	20	20			
TOTAL	100	96	90	85	96	94	100	95			

	RFA# 202207107										
Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants											
Bi	dder Name:	Orono & Bangor	Otisfield	Paris	Portland	Rockland	Rockport	South Portland			
Prop	oosed Cost:	\$125,000	\$40,480	\$41,695	\$20,000	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$50,000			
Scoring Sections	Points Available										
Criteria 1: General Information/Eligibility	Pass / Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass			
<ul> <li>Criteria 2: Community Characteristics</li> <li>5 points for a small (population less than 4,000) community or high social vulnerability.</li> <li>3 points for a medium-size (population between 4,000 and 10,000) community or medium social vulnerability.</li> <li>In a multi-community application, one qualifying community may earn points for the whole application</li> </ul>	5	3	5	5	0	5	5	0			
Criteria 3: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15			
Criteria 4: Scope of Work	60	50	60	60	40	60	60	60			
Criteria 5: Budget Proposal	20	15	14	20	20	20	20	20			
TOTAL	100	83	94	100	75	100	100	95			

RFA# 202207107												
Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants												
Bidder Name:		der Name: St. George		Tremont	Waterford	Westport Island	Windowdressers – Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point					
Prop	osed Cost:	\$49,600	\$50,000	\$48,905	\$49,979	\$48,500	\$125,000					
Scoring Sections	Points Available											
Criteria 1: General Information/Eligibility	Pass / Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass					
<ul> <li>Criteria 2: Community Characteristics</li> <li>5 points for a small (population less than 4,000) community or high social vulnerability.</li> <li>3 points for a medium-size (population between 4,000 and 10,000) community or medium social vulnerability.</li> <li>In a multi-community application, one qualifying community may earn points for the whole application</li> </ul>	5	5	5	5	5	5	5					
Criteria 3: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action	15	15	15	15	15	15	15					
Criteria 4: Scope of Work	60	60	50	60	60	60	60					
Criteria 5: Budget Proposal	20	20	20	20	20	20	20					
TOTAL	100	100	90	100	100	100	100					

RFA# 202207107											
Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants											
Ві	dder Name:	Woodstock	Woolwich								
Prop	osed Cost:	\$26,820	\$10,900								
Scoring Sections	Points Available										
Criteria 1: General Information/Eligibility	Pass / Fail	Pass	Pass								
<ul> <li>Criteria 2: Community Characteristics</li> <li>5 points for a small (population less than 4,000) community or high social vulnerability.</li> <li>3 points for a medium-size (population between 4,000 and 10,000) community or medium social vulnerability.</li> <li>In a multi-community application, one qualifying community may earn points for the whole application</li> </ul>	5	5	5								
Criteria 3: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action	15	15	15								
Criteria 4: Scope of Work	60	60	45								
Criteria 5: Budget Proposal	20	20	20								
TOTAL	100	100	85								



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Cara O'Donnell Aroostook Band of Micmacs 8 Northern Road Presque Isle, Maine 04769

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. O'Donnell:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell
- 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Jody Jones Town of Arrowsic 340 Arrowsic Road Arrowsic, Maine 04530

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Jones:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell
- 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Laura Berry Town of Bar Harbor 93 Cottage Street Bar Harbor, Maine 04609

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Berry:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood 22. City of Hallowell
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Rod Melanson City of Bath 55 Front Street Bath, Maine 04530

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Melanson:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell
- 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Meryl Kelly Town of Bethel 19 Main Street, PO Box 1660 Bethel, ME 04217

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Kelly:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood 22. City of Hallowell
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell
- 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Allen Kratz Resilience Works, LLC 139 Harborside Road Brooksville, Maine 04617

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Kratz:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell
- 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Jennifer Curtis Town of Bowdoinham 13 School Street Bowdoinham, ME 04008

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Curtis:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell
- 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Bina Skordas Town of Brunswick 85 Union Street Brunswick, Maine 04011

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Skordas:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell
- 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Jeremy Martin Town of Camden 29 Elm Street, PO Box 1207 Camden, Maine 04843

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Martin:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell
- 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Dave Cota Town of Carrabassett Valley 1001 Carriage Road Carrabassett Valley, Maine 04947

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Cota:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood 22. City of Hallowell
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell 24. Town of Islesboro
- 24. Town of Islesp 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport &
  - Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Jan Hutchinson Town of Carthage 703A Carthage Road Carthage, Maine 04224

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Jan:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell
- 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Shawn Blodgett Town of Castine PO Box 204 Castine, Maine 04421

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Blodgett:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood 22. City of Hallowell
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell 24. Town of Islesboro
- 24. Town of Islesp 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

## CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Viktoria Wood Town of Chebeague Island 192 North Road Chebeague Island, Maine 04017

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Wood:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood 22. City of Hallowell
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell 24. Town of Islesboro
- 24. Town of Islesp 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport &
  - Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Denison Gallaudet Town of Cumberland 290 Tuttle Road Cumberland, Maine 04021

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Gallaudet:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell
- 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

## CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Jack Clukey Town of Dover-Foxcroft 48 Morton Avenue, Suite A Dover-Foxcroft, Maine 04426

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Clukey:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell
- 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Theresa Galvin Town of Falmouth 271 Falmouth Road Falmouth, Maine 04105

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Galvin:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown 21. Town of Greenwood
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell
- 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

## CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Cindy Gelinas Town of Farmington 153 Farmington Falls Road Farmington, Maine 04938

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Gelinas:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell
- 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor

Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

**Cindy Bouley** Town of Fort Kent 416 West Main Street Fort Kent, Maine 04743

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership **Community Action Grant** 

Dear Ms. Bouley:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth 36. Town of Orono & City of
- Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. Citv of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaguoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Caroline Pelletier Town of Freeport 30 Main Street Freeport, Maine 04032

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Pelletier:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell
- 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

## CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Amanda Campbell Town of Georgetown 50 Bay Point Road (PO Box 436) Georgetown, Maine 04548

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Campbell:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood 22. City of Hallowell
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell 24. Town of Islesboro
- 24. Town of Islesp 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport &
  - Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Kim Sparks Town of Greenwood 593 Gore Road Greenwood, Maine 04255

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Sparks:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell
- 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Gary Lamb City of Hallowell 1 Winthrop Street Hallowell, Maine 04347

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Lamb:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood 22. City of Hallowell
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Mark Eyerman Town of Harpswell 263 Mountain Road Harpswell, Maine 04079

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Eyerman:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell
- 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

## CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Janet Anderson Town of Islesboro 150 Maine Road, PO Box 76 Islesboro, Maine 04848

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Anderson:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell
- 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Shiloh LaFreniere Town of Jay 340 Main Street Jay, Maine 04239

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Shiloh:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell 24. Town of Islesboro
- 24. I own of Islesb
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

## CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Laurie Smith Town of Kennebunkport P.O. Box 566 Kennebunkport, ME 04046

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Smith:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell
- 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Larissa Thomas Town of Lamoine 606 Douglas Highway Lamoine, Maine 04605

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Thomas:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell
- 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Chuck Kelley Town of Limestone 173 Long Road Limestone, Maine 04750

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Kelley:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood 22. City of Hallowell
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Ross Cunningham Town of Lisbon 300 Lisbon Street Lisbon, Maine 04250

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Cunningham:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood 22. City of Hallowell
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 30. Town of Livermore 31. Town of Lubec
- 31. TOWITOL LUDEC
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Aaron Miller Town of Livermore 10 Crash Road Livermore, Maine 04253

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Miller:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood 22. City of Hallowell
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Renee Gray Town of Lubec 40 School Street Lubec, Maine 04652

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Gray:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell
- 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

## CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Amber Wheaton Town of Millinocket 197 Penobscot Avenue Millinocket, Maine 04462

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Wheaton:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell
- 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Carley Feibusch Monhegan Plantation 262 Monhegan Avenue Monhegan, Maine 04852

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Feibusch:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood 22. City of Hallowell
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Durlin Lunt Town of Mount Desert 21 Sea Street Northeast Harbor, Maine 04662

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Lunt:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood 22. City of Hallowell
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell
- 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Diane Barnes Town of North Yarmouth 10 Village Square Road North Yarmouth, Maine 04097

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Barnes:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell
- 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Megan Hess Town of Orono 59 Main Street Orono, Maine 04473

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Hess:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood 22. City of Hallowell
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Julie Ward Town of Otisfield 403 State Route 121 Otisfield, Maine 04270

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Ward:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell
- 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor

Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

**Dawn Noyes** Town of Paris 33 Market Square South Paris, ME 04281

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership **Community Action Grant** 

Dear Ms. Noyes:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. Citv of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaguoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Troy Moon City of Portland 389 Congress Street Portland, Maine 04101

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Moon:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood 22. City of Hallowell
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Julie Hashem City of Rockland 270 Pleasant Street Rockland, Maine 04841

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Hashem:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell
- 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Meggan Dwyer Town of Rockport 101 Main Street Rockport, Maine 04856

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Dwyer:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell
- 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

## CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Julie Rosenbach City of South Portland 25 Cottage Road South Portland, Maine 04106

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Rosenbach:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood 22. City of Hallowell
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell
- 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

## CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Meg Rasmussen Midcoast Council of Governments 165 Main Street Damariscotta, Maine 04543

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Rasmussen:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell
- 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Chris Stark Town of Surry 741 North Bend Road (P.O. Box 147) Surry, ME 04684

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Chris:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell
- 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Jesse Dunbar Town of Tremont 20 Harbor Drive Bass Harbor, Maine 04653

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Jesse:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell
- 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Randy Lessard Town of Waterford 366 Valley Road Waterford, Maine 04088

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Lessard:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell
- 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



# STATE OF MAINE GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE

Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Richard Tucker Town of Westport Island 6 Fowles Point Road Westport Island, ME 04578

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Tucker:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell
- 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

The applicants listed above received the evaluation team's highest scores. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this

Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



# STATE OF MAINE GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE

Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Dr. Sharon Klein Windowdressers 5782 Winslow Hall, Room 206 Orono, ME 04469

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Dr. Klein:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood 22. City of Hallowell
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 30. Town of Livermore 31. Town of Lubec
- 31. TOWITOT LUDEC
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

The applicants listed above received the evaluation team's highest scores. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this

Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



# STATE OF MAINE GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE

Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Vern Maxfield Town of Woodstock 26 Monk Avenue Bryant Pond, Maine 04219

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Vern:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell 24. Town of Islesboro
- 24. I own of Islesb
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

The applicants listed above received the evaluation team's highest scores. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this

Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



# STATE OF MAINE GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE

Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

November 30, 2022

Kim Dalton Town of Woolwich 13 Nequasset Road Woolwich, Maine 04579

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202207107 Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Dalton:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Aroostook Band of Micmacs
- 2. Town of Arrowsic
- 3. Town of Bar Harbor
- 4. City of Bath
- 5. Town of Bethel
- 6. Towns of Blue Hill & Brooksville
- 7. Town of Bowdoinham
- 8. Town of Brunswick
- 9. Town of Camden
- 10. Town of Carrabassett Valley
- 11. Town of Carthage
- 12. Town of Castine
- 13. Town of Chebeague Island
- 14. Town of Cumberland
- 15. Town of Dover-Foxcroft
- 16. Town of Falmouth
- 17. Town of Farmington
- 18. Town of Fort Kent
- 19. Towns of Freeport & Yarmouth

- 20. Town of Georgetown
- 21. Town of Greenwood 22. City of Hallowell
- 22. City of Hallowell
- 23. Town of Harpswell 24. Town of Islesboro
- 25. Town of Jay
- 26. Towns of Kennebunkport & Kennebunk
- 27. Town of Lamoine
- 28. Town of Limestone
- 29. Town of Lisbon
- 30. Town of Livermore
- 31. Town of Lubec
- 32. Town of Millinocket
- 33. Monhegan Plantation
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 34. Town of Mount Desert
- 35. Town of North Yarmouth
- 36. Town of Orono & City of
  - Bangor
- 37. Town of Otisfield

- 38. Town of Paris
- 39. City of Portland
- 40. City of Rockland
- 41. Town of Rockport
- 42. City of South Portland
- 43. Town of St. George
- 44. Town of Surry
- 45. Town of Tremont
- 46. Town of Waterford
- 47. Town of Westport Island
- 48. Windowdressers Town of Eastport & Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point
- 49. Town of Woodstock
- 50. Town of Woolwich

Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

H P.

Hannah Pingree Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Aroostook Band of Micmacs DATE: 10/7/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

## SUMMARY PAGE

	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
(Pass/Fail)	Pass
(Max: 5 Points)	5
(Max: 15 Points)	15
(Max: 60 Points)	60
(Max: 20 Points)	20
(Max: 100 Points)	100
	(Max: 5 Points) (Max: 15 Points) (Max: 60 Points) (Max: 20 Points)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Aroostook Band of Micmacs DATE: 10/7/2022

## 

- o TNC
- University of Maine Aquaculture Research Institute
- Southern Maine Conservation Collaborative
- \*\*\*\*\*

# EVALUATION OF

## Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

Total Points Available: 5

Score: \_\_5\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Tribal application
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Aroostook Band of Micmacs DATE: 10/7/2022

*****	********	*****	*****
	EVALUATION	OF	
	Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait St	trategy and a	ction(s)
	Total Points Available: 15	<u>Score</u> : _	_15
*****	*********	*****	**************
Evaluation Team Com	ments:		
Criteria 3 – Maine Won't	Wait Strategy and action(s)		

- Project title: Energy Sustainability of Micmacs Hatchery using Solar Power
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Aligned with C7, D1
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Aroostook Band of Micmacs DATE: 10/7/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

# Total Points Available: 60 Score: \_\_60\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Clear and complete
  - More discrete tasks would be helpful
  - Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
    - Somewhat likely, dependent on other funding to scale renewable generation to 90 kW

#### Need

•

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Also aligned with 13 Moons tribal adaptation plan
  - Will reduce electricity costs and increase viability of hatchery and increase tribal and community food sovereignty

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Tribally-owned fish hatchery
  - Does not describe how community members might participate in the energy projects, but project advances tribal leadership vision
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
  - Will distribute fish and food from farm directly to food pantries and tribal homes dealing with food insecurity

Project duration

• 6-12 months (summer 2023)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Aroostook Band of Micmacs DATE: 10/7/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20 Score: 20

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
  - Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) o n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Not required but \$145,000 in other fundraising
  - Also applying for USDA-RD grant up to \$500,000
- Other notes

•

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Arrowsic DATE: 9/30/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

## SUMMARY PAGE

	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
(Pass/Fail)	Pass
(Max: 5 Points)	5
(Max: 15 Points)	15
(Max: 60 Points)	55
(Max: 20 Points)	20
(Max: 100 Points)	95
	(Max: 5 Points) (Max: 15 Points) (Max: 60 Points) (Max: 20 Points)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Arrowsic DATE: 9/30/2022

#### \*\*\*\*\*

#### EVALUATION OF

Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

Total Points Available: Pass/Fail

Score: \_\_Pass\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Sen. Eloise Vitelli
  - Arrowsic select board
  - o Dir of Arrowsic EMA
  - Kennebec Estuary Land Trust
  - Viewshed Landscape Architecture and Planning

# EVALUATION OF

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

Total Points Available: 5

<u>Score</u>: \_\_5\_\_\_

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Arrowsic DATE: 9/30/2022

\*\*\*\*\*

# EVALUATION OF

Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

Total Points Available: 15

<u>Score</u>: \_\_15\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Title: Arrowsic Climate Action and Outreach Plan
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
- Task 1: Establish or recognize an official committee of 7-10 community stakeholders (H1)
- Task 2: Conduct a community vulnerability assessment and Adopt a climate resilience plan (F1)
- Task 3: Create a climate change education, outreach, and engagement program (H2)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Arrowsic DATE: 9/30/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

# Total Points Available: 60 Score: \_55\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Detailed and clear with timeline, roles, and responsibilities
  - 0
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine]
  - Likely to achieve intended outcomes
  - Partners lined up already
  - o Qualified consultants

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - o "Arrowsic Won't Wait"
  - High community interest, 11% of town residents participated in enrollment workshop

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Well-designed
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
  - o Flexible meeting times and hybrid meetings
  - o Appreciate the focus on students
  - Would benefit from additional detail about how more vulnerable community members will be identified and engaged

Project duration

• 18 months

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Arrowsic DATE: 9/30/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20 Score: \_\_20\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$35,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes
  - o Would benefit from clearer links between budget narrative and task costs

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Bar Harbor DATE: 11/2/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

## SUMMARY PAGE

		<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
Numerical Score:		
Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information	(Pass/Fail)	Pass
Criteria 2: Community Characteristics	(Max: 5 Points)	3
Criteria 3: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)	(Max: 15 Points)	15
Criteria 4: Scope of Work	(Max: 60 Points)	55
Criteria 5: Budget Proposal	(Max: 20 Points)	18
TOTAL POINTS	(Max: 100 Points)	91

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Bar Harbor DATE: 11/2/2022

EVAL Criteria 1 – General Information	UATION OF	
Total Points Available: Pass	/Fail <u>Score</u> : _	_Pass
Evaluation Team Comments: Individual Evaluator Comments:	******	*************
<ul> <li>Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Apolic Community type: municipality</li> <li>Previous applicant (y/n): yes</li> <li>Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: <ul> <li>Town manager</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	oplicant Information	

#### EVALUATION OF Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

	<u>Total Points Available</u> : 5	<u>Score</u> :3
*****	*****	***************************************
Evaluation Team Comm	nents:	

#### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Bar Harbor DATE: 11/2/2022

EVALUATION OF Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s) <u>Total Points Available</u>: 15 <u>Score</u>: \_\_15\_\_\_ Evaluation Team Comments: Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Municipal Building Electrification
  - The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
    - o Well aligned with B, H
    - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Bar Harbor DATE: 11/2/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

# Total Points Available: 60 Score: \_55\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Complete and reasonable with timelines, roles, and outcomes
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
- Task 1 Energy Audit for municipal building
  - with recommendations and vendor cost quotes
- Task 2 Building electrification planning and outreach
  - o Electrification plan, 2-page flyer, project webpage, public comment
- Task 3 Energy monitoring
  - o Wireless monitoring system, public real-time dashboard
- Task 4 Building systems retrofits
  - o 4.1 Air sealing, weatherization, lighting
  - o 4.2 Replace boiler with heat pump or VRF system

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Consistent with town's climate action plan
  - Municipal building is town's third largest source of GHG emissions from municipal facilities
  - Community gathering space and houses several businesses and organizations
  - o Will demonstrate both climate and cost savings benefits

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Robust engagement plans, including public walkthroughs and observe audit process, and other educational opportunities
  - C
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
  - Not addressed

Project duration

2 years

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Bar Harbor DATE: 11/2/2022

#### EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20

<u>Score</u>: \_\_18\_\_\_

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Minor discrepancies in Task 3 need to be fixed
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - o C&I program included
  - Not eligible for small rural community program
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) yes
- Other notes
  - Tasks 4.1 and 4.2 details are dependent on audit findings, cost estimations from previous projects are cited
  - We will want a more detailed cost estimate for the VRF system installation

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Bath DATE: 11/2/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

## SUMMARY PAGE

		<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
Numerical Score:		
Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information	(Pass/Fail)	Pass
Criteria 2: Community Characteristics	(Max: 5 Points)	3
Criteria 3: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)	(Max: 15 Points)	15
Criteria 4: Scope of Work	(Max: 60 Points)	50
Criteria 5: Budget Proposal	(Max: 20 Points)	15
TOTAL POINTS	(Max: 100 Points)	83

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Bath **DATE:** 11/2/2022

****	******	*****	*****
	EVALUATION C		
Cri	teria 1 – General Information, Eligibility	y, and Applica	ant Information
	<u>Total Points Available</u> : Pass/Fail	<u>Score</u> : _	_Pass
*****	***************************************	*****	*****
Evaluation Team C	omments:		
<ul><li>Community type</li><li>Previous appli</li></ul>	nformation, Eligibility, and Applicant Ir pe: municipality cant (y/n): yes artner/other Letters of Support:	nformation	
****	**************	*****	******
	EVALUATION C Criteria 2 – Community Ch		
	Total Points Available: 5	Score:	3
*****	***************************************	*****	*****
Evaluation Team C	omments:		
Criteria 2 – Commun • Multi-commun • Region(s): 1	ity Characteristics ity, UT, or tribal application? no		

- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Bath DATE: 11/2/2022

**************			********	******
	Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait S		tion(s)	
	Total Points Available: 15	<u>Score</u> :	15	
****	********	:****	*****	****
Evaluation Team	<u>Comments</u> :			
Criteria 3 – Maine V	Non't Wait Strategy and action(s)			

- Project title: City of Bath Modernizing Municipal Facilities Master Planning A Model for Business and Residential Facility Upgrades
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o B1-6, H2
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Bath DATE: 11/2/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

## Total Points Available: 60 Score: \_\_50\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Tasks are not provided in Scope of Work section
    - In Budget Narrative, tasks are underdeveloped and lack clear outcomes and timelines
    - Would benefit from public representation on technical advisory committee
  - Would benefit from information on consultant qualifications and selection

0

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Somewhat likely to achieve outcomes
  - More detail on tasks, deliverables, and expected outcomes would be helpful

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned with MWW
  - Consistent with city's goal to reduce emissions 80% by 2050
  - Climate action plan identifies addressing reliance on fossil fuels for municipal building as a priority

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Local Climate Action Commission for community engagement
  - o Community climate conversation and informational materials
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
  - o Identifies partner organizations for educational purposes

Project duration

Not provided

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Bath DATE: 11/2/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20 Score: \_\_15\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

# Evaluation Team Comments:

# Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Did not provide a budget narrative
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Cost share from staff time
- Other notes
  - $\circ$   $\;$  Would benefit from detail on how cost estimates were derived
  - o Will need a budget narrative

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Bethel DATE: 11/2/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

## SUMMARY PAGE

		<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
Numerical Score:		
Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information	(Pass/Fail)	Pass
Criteria 2: Community Characteristics	(Max: 5 Points)	5
Criteria 3: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)	(Max: 15 Points)	15
Criteria 4: Scope of Work	(Max: 60 Points)	57
Criteria 5: Budget Proposal	(Max: 20 Points)	20
TOTAL POINTS	(Max: 100 Points)	97

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Bethel DATE: 11/2/2022

*****		EVALUATION OF	=	formation
		<u>vailable</u> : Pass/Fail	<u>Score</u> :Pas	
Evaluation Tea	<u>m Comments</u> :	******	******	**************************
<ul><li>Communit</li><li>Previous a</li><li>Communit</li></ul>		i <b>bility, and Applicant Inf</b> rs of Support:	ormation	
*******	****			****

# Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

	Total Points Available: 5	<u>Score</u> :5
*****	*****	***************************************
Evaluation Team Comm	ients:	

#### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Bethel DATE: 11/2/2022

 EVALUATION OF

 Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

 <u>Total Points Available</u>: 15

 Score: \_\_15\_\_\_\_

 <u>Evaluation Team Comments</u>:

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Assessing and Addressing Efficiency Needs in Bethel's Buildings
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well aligned with C1, B1
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Bethel DATE: 11/2/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

## Total Points Available: 60 Score: \_57\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Sufficient scope of work
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
- Task 1 Energy baseline for municipal operations including 10 municipal facilities
  - Will generate recommended actions
- Task 2 Adopt a plan for energy efficiency and weatherize municipal facilities
  - Low-cost actions such as window and door replacements

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [well-aligned, somewhat, minimally] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned
  - o Baseline energy assessment and study was identified by community as a top priority
  - Audit will position town to proactively improve efficiency of municipal facilities ent and equity

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Created a Bethel Community Resilience Committee
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
  - o Mentions inflation's pressure on low-income households and town's priority to keep taxes low

0

- Project duration
  - 1 year

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Bethel DATE: 11/2/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20 Score: 20

\*\*\*\*\*

Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Not applicable to identified projects
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes
  - Will need town to commit to the window and door improvements in order to fund Task 4

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Blue Hill & Brooksville DATE: 9/30/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

## SUMMARY PAGE

	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
(Pass/Fail)	Pass
(Max: 5 Points)	5
(Max: 15 Points)	15
(Max: 60 Points)	60
(Max: 20 Points)	20
(Max: 100 Points)	100
	(Max: 5 Points) (Max: 15 Points) (Max: 60 Points) (Max: 20 Points)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Blue Hill & Brooksville DATE: 9/30/2022

## **EVALUATION OF** Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information Total Points Available: Pass/Fail Score: Pass\_\_\_\_ Evaluation Team Comments: Individual Evaluator Comments: Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information Community type: municipality • Previous applicant (y/n): no Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: Town of Blue Hill & Climate Resilience Committee 0 o Town of Brooksville & SLR Committee o Sen. Nicole Grohoski o Rep. Sarah Pebworth • School Union #93 Superintendent • Waxwing Business • Fisher Population, Heath and Planning

o Blue Hill Peninsula Chamber of Commerce

\*\*\*\*\*

#### EVALUATION OF Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

## Total Points Available: 5

<u>Score</u>: \_\_5\_\_\_

## **Evaluation Team Comments**:

## Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Yes, multi
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Blue Hill & Brooksville DATE: 9/30/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

Total Points Available: 15

Score: \_\_15\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Blue Hill-Brooksville Community Vulnerability Assessment
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o F2, G1, G2, G3

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Blue Hill & Brooksville DATE: 9/30/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

# Total Points Available: 60 Score: \_\_60\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Detailed and clear components
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve desired outcomes
  - Hire a consultant to conduct a vulnerability assessment.
  - Assessment goals and tasks are concisely stated and well-conceived

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Two towns declared a shared interest
  - Clearly see benefits of working collaboratively
  - Replicable model

## Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Engagement and equity are part of scope
  - Local committee leadership
  - o Recognize the benefits of inter-municipal approach
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
  - Part of competitive process will be firms' demonstration of engaging priority populations (those already experiencing or most at risk from climate impacts)
  - Engaging several community organizations representing diverse interests.

Project duration

• 18 months

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Blue Hill & Brooksville DATE: 9/30/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20 Score: \_\_20\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$125,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yess
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Bowdoinham DATE: 10/31/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

## SUMMARY PAGE

		<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
Numerical Score:		
Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information	(Pass/Fail)	Pass
Criteria 2: Community Characteristics	(Max: 5 Points)	5
Criteria 3: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)	(Max: 15 Points)	15
Criteria 4: Scope of Work	(Max: 60 Points)	55
Criteria 5: Budget Proposal	(Max: 20 Points)	20
TOTAL POINTS	(Max: 100 Points)	95

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Bowdoinham DATE: 10/31/2022

EVALUATION OF Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information				
	<u>Total Points Avai</u>	<b>able</b> : Pass/Fail	<u>Score</u> : _	_Pass
Evaluation 1	eam Comments: luator Comments:	*****	******	***********************************
<ul><li>Comm</li><li>Previor</li></ul>	eneral Information, Eligibil unity type: municipality us applicant (y/n): no unity/Partner/other Letters o None Project proposal from Affir	f Support:	mation	

#### EVALUATION OF Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

Total Points Available: 5	<u>Score</u> :5
***************************************	************

## **Evaluation Team Comments:**

## **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Bowdoinham DATE: 10/31/2022

******	EVALUATION Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait S	OF		****
	Total Points Available: 15	<u>Score</u> : _	15	
*****	*****	*****	*****	****
Evaluation Team Con	nments:			
Criteria 3 – Maine Won'	t Wait Strategy and action(s)			

- Project title: Project title: Energy Efficiency Improvements for Town of Bowdoinham
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B5, B2, B3, and/or B4 (savings might enable B4 in the future)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Bowdoinham DATE: 10/31/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

## Total Points Available: 60 Score: 55

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Complete and reasonable with timelines, roles, and outcomes
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
  - Vendor bid attached to proposal
  - Task 1 install approximately 47 Smart Ready LED streetlights in place of utility-owned lights
- Task 2 install approximately 68 LED lighting fixtures in the town office

•

Need

•

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Aligns with MWW and with town comp plan's climate strategies
  - Town's 2022 electricity costs are 30% higher than 2021, so addressing efficiency will provide savings
  - o Audit will help pursue additional goals in the future

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Priority identified in comprehensive planning process, which included robust public participation
  - o Utilized Comp Plan Committee for public engagement
  - Savings will "make it easier economically and...politically... to pursue additional goals like installation of heat pumps solar energy generation, and electric vehicle charging stations"
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
  - Actions will benefit all community members
  - Would benefit from inclusion of equity considerations

## Project duration

• 6-8 months

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Bowdoinham DATE: 10/31/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20	<u>Score</u> :20	
----------------------------	------------------	--

\*\*\*\*\*

Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 5 - Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$37,991
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
  - Narrative mislabels total project cost as total request amount, otherwise ok.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Includes EMT rebate
  - Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes

•

- TASK 1 includes the cost of purchasing the streetlights from utility (\$21,901) plus a 10% contingency. Will need final documentation of costs.
- 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Brunswick DATE: 10/3/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

## SUMMARY PAGE

		<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
Numerical Score:		
Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information	(Pass/Fail)	Pass
Criteria 2: Community Characteristics	(Max: 5 Points)	3
Criteria 3: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)	(Max: 15 Points)	15
Criteria 4: Scope of Work	(Max: 60 Points)	60
Criteria 5: Budget Proposal	(Max: 20 Points)	20
TOTAL POINTS	(Max: 100 Points)	98

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Brunswick DATE: 10/3/2022

EVALUATION OF Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information				
	<u>Total Points Available</u> : F	Pass/Fail	<u>Score</u> :Pass	-
Evaluation Team		*****	********	*******
<ul> <li>Community t</li> <li>Previous app</li> <li>Community/F</li> </ul>	Information, Eligibility, and type: municipality blicant (y/n): no Partner/other Letters of Supp doin College COG		nation	

#### EVALUATION OF Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

Total Points Available: 5 Score: \_\_3\_\_\_

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

#### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Brunswick DATE: 10/3/2022

# EVALUATION OF

Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

Total Points Available: 15 Score: \_\_15\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Brunswick Climate Action Plan
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well aligned with C1-3, E4, F1, F13, G1, H2, H4, H5

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Brunswick DATE: 10/3/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

# Total Points Available: 60 Score: 60

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Detailed tasks and project components, well defined partner roles and responsibilities
    - Partnering with Eileen Johnson and Bowdoin College to collaborate on a Vulnerability Assessment, resulting in development of regional best practices for social vulnerability data collection and a standard for future vulnerability assessments that could be used across the state.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
- Task 1. Data collection and analysis
- Task 2. GHG Inventory and Emission Reduction Target Setting
  - Town-wide inventory using ICLEI's ClearPath tool
- Task 3. Vulnerability Assessment
- Task 4. Action planning
- Task 5. Community Engagement

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [well-aligned, somewhat, minimally] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned
  - "The Climate Action Plan will help Brunswick make best use of its resources by setting clear, actionable goals and recommendations to reduce emissions and vulnerabilities"
  - Highlight benefit of doing climate plan with comp plan to encourage alignment and successful implementation

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Robust community engagement throughout entire project, including workshops, outreach materials, and events.
  - A Community Engagement Plan will be developed "at the outset of the project to identify key stakeholders, create a schedule of outreach activities, and created through an equity lens"
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
  - Vulnerability assessment will identify underserved and underrepresented groups.
  - o Participation and accessibility considerations are described

o Project duration

12 months

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Brunswick DATE: 10/3/2022

***************************************	********

## EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20

<u>Score</u>: \_\_20\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:** 

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a but in kind staff time and \$2,500 to cover GPCOG Resilience Fellow is included
- Other notes

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Camden DATE: 10/7/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

## SUMMARY PAGE

	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
(Pass/Fail)	Pass
(Max: 5 Points)	3
(Max: 15 Points)	15
(Max: 60 Points)	50
(Max: 20 Points)	15
(Max: 100 Points)	83
	(Max: 5 Points) (Max: 15 Points) (Max: 60 Points) (Max: 20 Points)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Camden DATE: 10/7/2022

EVALUATION OF Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information			
Total Point	<b>ts Available</b> : Pass/Fail	<u>Score</u> :Pass	
*********	***************************************	*****	*****
Evaluation Team Comments:			
Individual Evaluator Comments:			
<ul> <li>Criteria 1 – General Information,</li> <li>Community type: municipa</li> <li>Previous applicant (y/n): ye</li> <li>Community/Partner/other L</li> <li>o none</li> </ul>	lity es	formation	
*****	*******	*****	*****
		<b>F</b>	

#### EVALUATION OF Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

	Total Points Available: 5	<u>Score</u> :3
******	*****	******************
Evaluation Team Comn	nents:	

#### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): low

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Camden DATE: 10/7/2022

*****	EVALUATION			
Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)				
	Total Points Available: 15	<u>Score</u> :15		
*****				
Evaluation Team Co	omments:			

- Criteria 3 Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)
   Project title: EV Purchase for Code Enforcement Officers/Plumbing Inspector
  - The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
    - o Strategy A

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Camden DATE: 10/7/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

# Total Points Available: 60 Score: 50

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Scope is minimally complete and reasonable
  - Tasks to complete the project are not itemized and detailed in scope of work
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Somewhat likely
  - Tasks 1 and 2 likely to achieve outcomes
  - Outcomes unclear for Task 3 would benefit from more specific and measurable outcomes
- Task 1 EV purchase for code enforcement officers
- Task 2 Install Level 2 two-port charger
  - o at public safety building to charge fire chief's EV per budget narrative
- Task 3 EV and EM outreach

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o CEOs average about 550 miles per year. Replacing with an EV will offset 3.7 tons of carbon
  - Also applying for 2 EV chargers through Efficiency Maine
  - Project will build upon the town's commitment to transition its municipal fleet to EVs.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Leading by example and publicizing EV incentives
  - o Task 3 posts information to town website and in town office
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
  - Cost savings could mean a lower tax burden
  - Also note that through their outreach and dissemination of educational materials about EVs and Efficiency Maine's incentives, they hope to highlight opportunities for low to moderate households to access incentives.

Project duration

• Not clear

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Camden DATE: 10/7/2022

*******	******	*****************************	***************************************

## EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20

<u>Score</u>: \_\_15\_\_\_

**Evaluation Team Comments**:

## Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$40,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, EMT rebate of \$7,500 for EV
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Not required, tasks 2 and 3 listed as match
- Other notes
  - o CRP grants are capped at \$2,000 per light duty vehicle, per Action A1

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Carrabassett Valley DATE: 10/7/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

## SUMMARY PAGE

		<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
Numerical Score:		
Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information	(Pass/Fail)	Pass
Criteria 2: Community Characteristics	(Max: 5 Points)	5
Criteria 3: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)	(Max: 15 Points)	15
Criteria 4: Scope of Work	(Max: 60 Points)	60
Criteria 5: Budget Proposal	(Max: 20 Points)	15
TOTAL POINTS	(Max: 100 Points)	95

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Carrabassett Valley DATE: 10/7/2022

# EVALUATION OF Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information <u>Total Points Available</u>: Pass/Fail Score: \_\_Pass\_\_\_\_ <u>Evaluation Team Comments</u>: Individual Evaluator Comments:

## Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Sen. Russell Black
  - o Rep. Thomas Skolfield
  - New England Mountain Bike Association
  - o Sugarloaf Resort
  - Purchase and installation quote Horizon Solutions

# EVALUATION OF

## Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

Total Points Available: 5

<u>Score</u>: \_\_5\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Carrabassett Valley DATE: 10/7/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

Total Points Available: 15

Score: \_\_15\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: EV Charging Stations Outdoor Center
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with A2

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Carrabassett Valley DATE: 10/7/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

# Total Points Available: 60 Score: 60

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Sufficiently detailed
  - o Install 2 EV chargers at municipally owned Outdoor Center with access to trails and rink
  - 0

0

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely to achieve
  - Similar process, same town team and vendors as first round installation

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Region is reliant on tourism and the only way to arrive is by vehicle
  - Range anxiety may prevent some EV owners from going to CV because it is remote
  - Outdoor Center is ideal for Level 2 because people usually park for several hours

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Moderate
  - Implementing a priority set during enrollment by committee of select board members, Sugarloaf employees, and town staff
  - Participation in the upcoming comp plan
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
  - o Minimal
  - May encourage EV drivers to visit and spend money at area businesses, supporting jobs at a time when high gas prices discourage other tourists from traveling
  - Economic benefits from tourism benefits wide segment of population

#### Project duration

• Could complete installation by November if award is made in October

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Carrabassett Valley DATE: 10/7/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20 Score: \_15\_\_\_

Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$28,853
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Missing EM rural EV charging incentives
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
- Other notes
  - o Installation quote provided

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Carthage DATE: 10/31/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

## SUMMARY PAGE

		<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
Numerical Score:		
Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information	(Pass/Fail)	Pass
Criteria 2: Community Characteristics	(Max: 5 Points)	5
Criteria 3: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)	(Max: 15 Points)	15
Criteria 4: Scope of Work	(Max: 60 Points)	53
Criteria 5: Budget Proposal	(Max: 20 Points)	20
TOTAL POINTS	(Max: 100 Points)	93

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Carthage DATE: 10/31/2022

******		EVALUATION OI ral Information, Eligibility,	F		*****
	Total Points	<b>Available</b> : Pass/Fail	<u>Score</u> :	Pass	
Evaluation Te	eam Comments: uator Comments:	***********	******	****************	*****
<ul> <li>Commu</li> <li>Previou</li> <li>Commu</li> <li>o</li> </ul>	neral Information, El Inity type: municipality s applicant (y/n): no Inity/Partner/other Let Select board Western Maine Com	ters of Support:	formation		

#### EVALUATION OF Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

<u>Total Points Available</u> : \$	5 <u>Score</u> :5
****	

## **Evaluation Team Comments:**

## **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Carthage DATE: 10/31/2022

 EVALUATION OF

 Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

 <u>Total Points Available</u>: 15

 Score: \_\_15\_\_\_\_

 Evaluation Team Comments:

 Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Town Office, Emergency Shelter, Community Building and Food Pantry Energy Efficiency Upgrades and Community Outreach on Energy Savings
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well aligned with B1, B3, B4, H5

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Carthage DATE: 10/31/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

## Total Points Available: 60 Score: 53

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Scope of work would benefit from a timeline and roles
  - o Task 3 would benefit from clear assignment of roles
  - Task 4 would benefit from clear outcomes
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Tasks 1-3 likely to achieve outcomes. Task 4 is unclear.
- Task 1: Heat pumps and insulation in town office
- Task 2: Energy efficient appliances at town-owned food bank and emergency shelter
- Task 3 Window insert community build and energy fair
- Task 4 begin insulation project at community center

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well aligned
  - o Will improve safety and efficiency of buildings and food pantry
  - o Improvements identified by town's recent energy audit

o Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Enrollment workshop was well advertised and help outside of business hours
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
  - Will improve functionality of food pantry and emergency shelter for town's vulnerable citizens
  - Energy fair will build window inserts for 30 households

## Project duration

• Not provided, perhaps less than 1 year

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Carthage DATE: 10/31/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20	<u>Score</u> :20

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes for Task 1 and 3. Will pursue EMT for Task 4
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - N/a but in-kind and EMT funds provided
  - Hoping to leverage Belvedere Grant
- Other notes
  - Other funds for Task 4 are not secured and task would benefit from greater detail of expected actions and outcomes
  - Fund tasks 1-3, invite to reapply in future round for task 4 with more detail

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Castine DATE: 10/31/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

## SUMMARY PAGE

	<u>Points</u> Awarded:
(Pass/Fail)	Pass
(Max: 5 Points)	5
(Max: 15 Points)	15
(Max: 60 Points)	53
(Max: 20 Points)	15
Max: 100 Points)	88
(	(Max: 5 Points) Max: 15 Points) (Max: 60 Points) (Max: 20 Points)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Castine DATE: 10/31/2022

EVALUATION OF Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information			
Total Points Available: Pass/Fail Score:Pass			
Evaluation Team Comments:			
<ul> <li>Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information</li> <li>Community type: municipality</li> <li>Previous applicant (y/n): no</li> <li>Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: <ul> <li>none</li> </ul> </li> </ul>			
	۲		

#### EVALUATION OF Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

	Total Points Available: 5	<u>Score</u> :5	
*****	**********	******************	
Evaluation Team Comments:			

#### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Castine DATE: 10/31/2022

 EVALUATION OF

 Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

 <u>Total Points Available</u>: 15

 Score: \_\_15\_\_\_\_

 <u>Evaluation Team Comments</u>:

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Castine Public Buildings Lighting and Weatherization Upgrade
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with B5
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Castine DATE: 10/31/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

# Total Points Available: 60 Score: \_53\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Complete and reasonable, with roles and deliverables
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely to achieve outcomes
- Task 1 Transition to LED lighting in town hall
- Task 2 Upgrade town hall's insulation
- Task 3 Replace two 1900s era external doors to more energy efficient models

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well aligned
  - Town Hall is heavily utilized by the community, including the elementary school, and is an emergency shelter

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Town Hall is used by school, multiple clubs and organizations
  - o Unclear how community was engaged in project planning
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
  - o Project benefits most community members
  - o Town hall is designated as emergency warming shelter

Project duration

• Determined by vendor availability

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Castine DATE: 10/31/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points	Available: 20	Sc	<u>ore</u> :	_15	

\*\*\*\*\*

Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
  - budget narrative for Task 1 includes lighting improvements at two other town buildings, but scope of work only mentions town office building
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   Does not mention EMT incentives
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
- Other notes
  - Need EMT incentives in budget.
  - Need clarity on number of buildings included in project and on the source of matching funds

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Chebeague Island DATE: 10/3/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

#### SUMMARY PAGE

	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
(Pass/Fail)	Pass
(Max: 5 Points)	5
(Max: 15 Points)	15
(Max: 60 Points)	60
(Max: 20 Points)	20
(Max: 100 Points)	100
	(Max: 5 Points) (Max: 15 Points) (Max: 60 Points) (Max: 20 Points)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Chebeague Island DATE: 10/3/2022

#### \*\*\*\*

#### EVALUATION OF

Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

Total Points Available: Pass/Fail

Score: \_\_Pass\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Island Institute
  - o GMRI
  - o Chebeague Transportation Company
  - o Sen. Cathy Breen
  - Chebeague Island Oyster Company
  - o GPCOG
  - o Chebeague Island Community Association

# \*\*\*\*\*

#### EVALUATION OF

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

Total Points Available: 5

Score: \_\_5\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

# Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Chebeague Island DATE: 10/3/2022

\*\*\*\*\*

# EVALUATION OF

Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

Total Points Available: 15

<u>Score</u>: \_\_15\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

• Project title: Preparing for the Voyage Ahead: Building a Framework for Chebeague Island's Climate Future

• The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)

- Well-aligned with:
  - C1 Municipal GHG Inventory
  - C2 Community GHG Inventory
  - F1 Community Vulnerability Assessment
  - E7 Source Water Protection

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Chebeague Island DATE: 10/3/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

# Total Points Available: 60 Score: \_\_60\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Detailed scope components
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
  - o Demonstrated previous engagement on these issues
- Task 1: Groundwater Sustainability Study 1) data collection and compilation, 2) data analysis and assessment, 3) a groundwater monitoring program, and 4) community education and outreach
  - "Sensitivity analysis to assess potential impacts of various climate change scenarios on groundwater recharge and availability; identify areas experiencing or susceptible to saltwater intrusion or other climate impacts."
- Task 2: Municipal and Community Greenhouse Gas Inventory
- Task 3: Chebeague Island Climate Vulnerability Assessment
- Task 4: Community Engagement and Outreach

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well aligned
  - "Chebeague Island is facing many challenges that threaten the sustainability of the year-round island community, including a lack of affordable housing, development pressures, rising energy and transportation costs, pressures on the lobstering sector, and a declining school enrollment. Our proposed project aims to address how individual climate issues (e.g., ground water sustainability, sea level rise, greenhouse gas emissions) sit in the broader context of community sustainability priorities."

#### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o robust and well-designed
  - o inclusion and equity statement to be developed
  - o Island Institute's Resilient Leadership Framework to be employed
  - o Plan to improve access to information and access to gatherings
  - o GMRI's Planning Forward framework
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
  - Meetings at times that work for working families, fishermen/fisherwomen and for seasonal residents; in-person and online options for participation
  - o Would benefit from identification of additional vulnerable populations

Project duration

• 12 months

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Chebeague Island DATE: 10/3/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20 Score: \_20\_\_\_

Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
  - Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) o n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - o n/a but \$15,000 value of donated hydrogeological survey
  - will seek a Shore Up grant if Task 3 costs exceed budget amount
- Other notes

•

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Cumberland DATE: 10/5/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

#### SUMMARY PAGE

		<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
Numerical Score:		
Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information	(Pass/Fail)	Pass
Criteria 2: Community Characteristics	(Max: 5 Points)	3
Criteria 3: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)	(Max: 15 Points)	15
Criteria 4: Scope of Work	(Max: 60 Points)	45
Criteria 5: Budget Proposal	(Max: 20 Points)	18
TOTAL POINTS	(Max: 100 Points)	81

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Cumberland DATE: 10/5/2022

#### \*\*\*\*

#### EVALUATION OF

Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

Total Points Available: Pass/Fail

Score: \_\_Pass\_\_\_

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Sen. Catherine Breen, District #25
  - Rep. Stephen W. Moriarty District #45
  - o David Witherill, Moderator Cumberland Congregational Church
  - Sara Mills-Knapp Greater Portland Council of Governments
  - Chris Bolduc, Assistant Town Manager and Director of Public Services, Town of Cumberland
- Some letters mention tasks that are not included in the proposed scope

#### 

#### EVALUATION OF Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

#### **Total Points Available: 5**

Score: \_\_3\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): low

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Cumberland DATE: 10/5/2022

*****	EVALUATION Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait S	IOF		******
	Total Points Available: 15	<u>Score</u> : _	15	
******	****************	*****	*****	*****
Evaluation Tear	<u>n Comments</u> :			
Criteria 3 – Maine	Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)			

- Project title: Reduce greenhouse gas emissions of the Town of Cumberland by reducing use of fossil fuels and enhancing sequestration from natural systems
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o aligned with A1, B4, H2

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Cumberland DATE: 10/5/2022

\*\*\*\*\*

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

# Total Points Available: 60 Score: 45

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Task 1 and Task 2 sufficiently describe tasks, deliverables, and outcomes
  - o Task 3 requires additional detail Who will develop educational materials and who will benefit?
    - Task 3 is described very differently in various parts of application:
      - Intro says "develop a tree planting program for public ways and easements"
      - Scope says "Grant would allow native tree and bush seedlings to be made available to residents at reduced cost" and "provide education for residents on how to naturalize the landscaping of their property to maximize carbon sequestration"
      - Project Need calls it "creating an education plan"
      - Budget Narrative says entire task budget of "\$13,000 split evenly by education services of professional landscapers and plant material from vendors"
      - Would benefit from focus on climate and resiliency benefits of the actions and clearer descriptions of public benefits
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Tasks 1 and 2 likely to achieve outcomes
  - o Task 3 is underdeveloped and would benefit from further development of outcomes

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o aligned
  - Proposed tasks implement the town's 2022 Climate Action Plan

#### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Application describes previous participation by residents in priority-setting for the climate action plan
  - o Includes community survey results
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
  - o Minimally described
  - Not clear how the benefits would be distributed equitably across community and vulnerable groups

Project duration

- Tasks 1 and 2: 6-12 months
- Task 3: 1-2 years

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Cumberland DATE: 10/5/2022

#### EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 - Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20

<u>Score</u>: \_\_18\_\_\_

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
  - Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
    - Worksheet reverses costs for Tasks 1 and 2, but does not impact budget calculations
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - o n/a but unspecified \$3000 match for mower listed in worksheet
  - Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
- Other notes

•

.

- o Task 1 CRP grants are capped at \$2,000 per EV
- o Encourage town to talk with EMT about technical assistance for Task 2
- Task 3 re-granting is not an eligible use of funds, purchase of plant material for redistribution is not an eligible expense. Clarify how much of \$13,000 is for education campaign versus plantings. Education campaign should be broadly inclusive beyond homeowners.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Dover-Foxcroft DATE: 10/7/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

#### SUMMARY PAGE

	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
(Pass/Fail)	Pass
(Max: 5 Points)	3
(Max: 15 Points)	15
(Max: 60 Points)	55
(Max: 20 Points)	20
(Max: 100 Points)	93
	(Max: 5 Points) (Max: 15 Points) (Max: 60 Points) (Max: 20 Points)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Dover-Foxcroft DATE: 10/7/2022

#### \*\*\*\*\*

#### EVALUATION OF

Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

Total Points Available: Pass/Fail

Score: Pass\_\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:

#### o Maine DOT

- Piscataquis County Economic Development
- o EMDC
- o Mayo Northern Light Hospital
- Promotion & Development Committee members
- Rep. Richard Evans
- Piscataquis Chamber of Commerce
- Helping Hands with Heart
- Center Theater

#### EVALUATION OF Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

#### Total Points Available: 5

Score: \_\_3\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

Did not complete table

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Dover-Foxcroft DATE: 10/7/2022

********	EVALUATI Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wa	ON OF		******
	Total Points Available: 15	<u>Score</u> : _	15	
********	********	*****	*************	******
Evaluation	Team Comments:			
Criteria 3 – I	laine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)			

- Project title: Developing a "Complete Streets" Redevelopment Plan for Downtown Dover-Foxcroft
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Aligned with A9

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Dover-Foxcroft DATE: 10/7/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

#### Total Points Available: 60 Score: \_55\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

0

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Scope is detailed and reasonable
    - Project is a "concept-level study with design and construction cost estimates and phases for
      - a) transportation safety improvements for all modalities;
        - b) climate resiliency for the transportation system, to include increased access for nonmotorized transportation; and
        - c) assessments and improvements for safety and accessibility for all users"

0

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
  - Nine deliverables identified
  - Would benefit from more detailed explanation of climate and resiliency considerations. Only the "promotion plan" deliverable mentions climate
  - Coordinating closely with Maine DOT

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Project need section misses opportunity to highlight climate-related benefits of complete streets plan. It focuses on need to relieve traffic congestion and improve safety, with passing mentions of EVs and exhaust pollution.
  - Public transit, pedestrian safety, downtown redevelopment, air quality

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - $\circ \quad \text{Well-designed}$
  - Maine DOT process includes robust public forums
  - o Broad support from community workshop for the proposal
  - o Identified in comp plan which included public participation
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
  - o Low income residents are impacted by unhealthy streets
  - o Those residents will be involved in planning
  - Would benefit from detail how underrepresented people will be engaged

Project duration

- 1 year
- Would benefit from description of how the RFP will signal a climate priority to potential consultants

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Dover-Foxcroft DATE: 10/7/2022

*******	******	******************************	***************************************

#### EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20

<u>Score</u>: \_\_20\_\_\_

**Evaluation Team Comments:** 

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - DOT to provide 60% of total project budget
- Other notes

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Falmouth DATE: 10/7/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

#### SUMMARY PAGE

(Dago/Egil)	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
(Deco/Ecil)	
(Deco/Foil)	
(Fass/Fail)	Pass
(Max: 5 Points)	0
Max: 15 Points)	15
Max: 60 Points)	55
Max: 20 Points)	15
lax: 100 Points)	85
	Max: 15 Points) Max: 60 Points) Max: 20 Points)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Falmouth DATE: 10/7/2022

*****	*****
EVALUATION OF	
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applic	ant Information
Total Points Available: Pass/Fail Score:	Pass
***************************************	*****
Evaluation Team Comments:	
Individual Evaluator Comments:	
<ul> <li>Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information</li> <li>Community type: municipality</li> <li>Previous applicant (y/n): yes</li> <li>Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: <ul> <li>Falmouth Land Trust</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
Utilized last round's application form	
EVALUATION OF Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics	
	_

	Total Points Available: 5	<u>Score</u> :0	
*****	********	******	******
Evaluation Team Con	iments:		

# Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large
- SVI (low, med, high): low

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Falmouth DATE: 10/7/2022

*******	EVALUAT Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wa	ION OF		*****
	Total Points Available: 15	<u>Score</u> : _	15	
*******	******	*****	*****	******
<u>Evaluati</u>	on Team Comments:			
Criteria 3	Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)			

- Project title: Supporting Local Food Production and Social Equity: Provide Clean Energy to Hurricane Valley Farm/Cultivating Community
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Aligned with B4 and C7

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Falmouth DATE: 10/7/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

# Total Points Available: 60 Score: \_55\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Task 1 and 3 clear
  - Task 2 would benefit from greater detail
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Unclear deliverable for Task 2
  - Task 1 Install rooftop solar and battery at Hurricane Valley Farm
- Task 2 Providing a source of energy for expansion of farm operations
  - Unclear what this task is (budget lists Tesla battery as task)
- Task 3 Install heat pump in farmhouse

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - o Would reduce emissions, independent energy, increase food access
  - Town is developing a climate action plan
  - o Land Trust is in midst of \$350,000 capital campaign to renovate farm and expand operations
  - Land Trust partners with a well-established nonprofit that focuses on vulnerable populations
  - Project is on private property but the description of how the improvement provides robust public benefit is consistent with program requirements.

#### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Ongoing community engagement
  - Prioritized projects to benefit as many members of community as possible
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
   Access to affordable food to low-income residents in the greater Portland region

Project duration

• 9-12 months (end summer 2023)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Falmouth DATE: 10/7/2022

#### EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

#### Total Points Available: 20

<u>Score</u>: \_\_15\_\_\_

Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - No EMT incentive identified for heat pump
  - Has solar-battery-heat pump system been sized by a professional? How was \$50,000 estimate derived?
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes
  - Did the town get an estimate from the installer? This seems to underestimate the cost solar, battery, heat pumps, and labor.
  - Discrepancy for Task 2 between scope and budget narrative

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Farmington DATE: 10/31/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

#### SUMMARY PAGE

	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
(Pass/Fail)	Pass
(Max: 5 Points)	3
(Max: 15 Points)	15
(Max: 60 Points)	55
(Max: 20 Points)	15
(Max: 100 Points)	88
	(Max: 5 Points) (Max: 15 Points) (Max: 60 Points) (Max: 20 Points)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Farmington DATE: 10/31/2022

# EVALUATION OF Criteria 1 - General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information Total Points Available: Pass/Fail Score: \_Pass\_\_\_ Total Points Available: Pass/Fail Score: \_Pass\_\_\_ Evaluation Team Comments: Individual Evaluator Comments Individual Evaluator Comments Oriteria 1 - General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information Community type: municipality Previous applicant (y/n): no Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: Bonney Woods Corporation Bonney Woods Corporation Bonney Woods Corporation Bonney Moods Corp

#### EVALUATION OF Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

Total Points Available: 5 <u>Score</u>: \_\_3\_\_\_

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

#### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Farmington DATE: 10/31/2022

 EVALUATION OF

 Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

 <u>Total Points Available</u>: 15

 Score: \_\_15\_\_\_\_

 Evaluation Team Comments:

 Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Farmington Community Center HVAC
  - The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
    - o Well aligned with B4

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Farmington DATE: 10/31/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

# Total Points Available: 60 Score: \_55\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Detailed and reasonable
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely to achieve outcomes
- Part 1 replace Community Center roof (with town funds)
  - Part 2 purchase and install six 5-ton HVAC units on roof of community center
    - o Is HVAC system what EMT would deem eligible?
- Roof and HVAC vendors already established

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well aligned if EMT eligible
  - HVAC units will improve heating, cooling, and ventilation
  - Community center is used for recreation, events, town meetings, voting, and as an evacuation site for the school
  - Part of a larger project to replace the roof

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o town's resilience committee includes staff and three residents
  - o public meeting was advertised and televised
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
  - o Farmington is a service center and "home to a diverse community"
  - o Building is used for multiple purposes and serves many types of community members

o Project duration

Less than 1 year

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Farmington DATE: 10/31/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20	<u>Score</u> :15
----------------------------	------------------

Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - EMT incentives not described, need to confirm
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Roof replacement costs
- Other notes
  - Budget is based on engineering estimates
  - Need to include EMT incentives in budget and confirm that new HVAC system is EMT eligible

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Fort Kent DATE: 9/30/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

#### SUMMARY PAGE

		<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
Numerical Score:		
Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information	(Pass/Fail)	Pass
Criteria 2: Community Characteristics	(Max: 5 Points)	3
Criteria 3: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)	(Max: 15 Points)	15
Criteria 4: Scope of Work	(Max: 60 Points)	60
Criteria 5: Budget Proposal	(Max: 20 Points)	20
TOTAL POINTS	(Max: 100 Points)	98

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Fort Kent DATE: 9/30/2022

# EVALUATION OF Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information <u>Total Points Available</u>: Pass/Fail Score: \_\_Pass\_\_\_ Evaluation Team Comments: Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Town of Fort Kent
  - o 6 letters town residents
  - o District Forest Ranger

#### EVALUATION OF Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

Total Points Available: 5

<u>Score</u>: \_\_3\_\_\_

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Fort Kent DATE: 9/30/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

Total Points Available: 15

Score: \_\_15\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

#### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Highland Avenue Comprehensive Drainage Analysis
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned with strategy G

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Fort Kent DATE: 9/30/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

# Total Points Available: 60 Score: \_\_60\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Detailed task list and components
  - Attached consultant's scope of work
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
  - Task 1 Culvert and Storm Drain Mapping and Inventory
- Task 2 Modelling and Projections
  - Using present day extreme precip design storm scenarios by NOAA and NE Regional Climate Center (2-yr, 10-yr, 25-yr, and 100-yr) PLUS potential impacts of increased precip and sea level based on IPCC
- Task 3 Planning and Cost Estimating

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Assess climate vulnerability and prepare the Town for climate-ready construction of stormwater management on Highland Avenue

o Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Demonstrated and ongoing engagement
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
  - o Project is in a neighborhood with many elderly and low income residents
  - Conducted a door to door survey

Project duration

Consultant letter says can begin within 4 weeks and deliver products within 6 months, weather permitting

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Fort Kent DATE: 9/30/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20 Score: \_20\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes
  - o Specified a not-to-exceed contract amount for consultant

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Freeport and Yarmouth DATE: 10/3/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

#### SUMMARY PAGE

		<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
Numerical Score:		
Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information	(Pass/Fail)	Pass
Criteria 2: Community Characteristics	(Max: 5 Points)	3
Criteria 3: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)	(Max: 15 Points)	15
Criteria 4: Scope of Work	(Max: 60 Points)	40
Criteria 5: Budget Proposal	(Max: 20 Points)	15
TOTAL POINTS	(Max: 100 Points)	73

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Freeport and Yarmouth DATE: 10/3/2022

# **EVALUATION OF** Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information Total Points Available: Pass/Fail Score: \_\_Pass\_\_\_ Evaluation Team Comments: Individual Evaluator Comments: Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information Community type: Municipality • Previous applicant (y/n): no Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: • Town of Yarmouth, Maine Town Manager of Freeport Chair of Freeport Town Council •

- Town Manager of Yarmouth
- State Representative Melanie Sachs
- State Representative Arthur Bell
- GPCOG
- Freeport Sustainability Advisory Board
- Members of Steering Committee on Freeport Climate Action Now

#### EVALUATION OF Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

Total Points Available: 5 Score: \_\_3\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Multi-community
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): both medium
- SVI (low, med, high): both low

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Freeport and Yarmouth DATE: 10/3/2022

 

 EVALUATION OF Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

 Total Points Available: 15
 Score: \_\_15\_\_\_

 Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Freeport and Yarmouth Sustainability Partnership: Creation of a Shared Full-time Sustainability Coordinator Position
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with C1, C2, F1, G1, H5

0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Freeport and Yarmouth DATE: 10/3/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

# Total Points Available: 60 Score: 40

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Key deliverables are separate climate action plans for each town. Would benefit from exploration of shared benefits of concurrent planning.
  - Would benefit from additional detail of the planning processes
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Would benefit from more specific tasks and deliverables and commitment to specific outcomes.

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned Opportunity of shared coordinator will allow for a regional and collaborative approach to climate action planning.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - General commitments to "community engagement process" and "inclusive and successful community engagement" but would benefit from greater detail
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
   Would benefit from description of how vulnerable populations will be identified and engaged.

Project duration

15 months

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Freeport and Yarmouth DATE: 10/3/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20 Score: 15

Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 5 - Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$121,388
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes
  - o Will need to see budget by tasks/deliverables rather than personnel expenses

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Georgetown DATE: 11/2/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

## SUMMARY PAGE

<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
Pass
5
15
60
) 20
) 100
5)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Georgetown DATE: 11/2/2022

#### \*\*\*\*\*

#### EVALUATION OF

Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

Total Points Available: Pass/Fail

Score: \_\_Pass\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Rep. Allison Hepler
  - Volunteer fire chief
  - o School principal
  - o Community Center

## EVALUATION OF

## **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

Total Points Available: 5

Score: \_\_5\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Georgetown DATE: 11/2/2022

EVALUATION OF Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s) <u>Total Points Available</u>: 15 <u>Score</u>: \_\_15\_\_\_ <u>Evaluation Team Comments</u>: Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Georgetown Level 3 Energy Assessment & Plan for Town Buildings
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with B1, C1, H1, H5
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Georgetown DATE: 11/2/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

#### Total Points Available: 60

<u>Score</u>: \_\_60\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Detailed and reasonable scope
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely to achieve outcomes
- Task 1 Consultant RFQ process
- Task 2 Initial Community Outreach and Awareness
- Task 3 Consultant kickoff
- Task 4 Energy use data collection
- Task 5 Data evaluation including engineering and financial analyses
- Task 6 Education and awareness with Georgetown Central School
- Task 7 Community Energy and Cost Saving Program outreach
- Task 8 Develop Recommendations and Specifications for Investments and Plan for Implementation
- Task 9 Plan review by select board and town officials
- Task 10 Community Presentation with consultant
- Task 11 Select board consideration of plan for adoption and implementation

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [well-aligned, somewhat, minimally] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well aligned
  - targeted municipal buildings serve as community gathering places for social and community programs, as well as municipal functions. Additionally serve as "the hub of municipal emergency response services and home to the Town's public elementary school and after-school/summer program
  - o energy inefficiency and costs were mentioned frequently at community workshop
  - o recommended by town's energy working group

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Engaged nearly 100 people in community resilience survey.
  - Robust and well-designed engagement plans include outreach and awareness workshops.
     Plans to work specifically with school age population.
  - Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
    - Workshop series about EMT incentives for an identified list of vulnerable groups. Also identifies partner organizations.
    - Commitment to keeping taxes affordable for diverse community

Project duration

21 months Helpful task timeline

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Georgetown DATE: 11/2/2022

## **EVALUATION OF**

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20	<u>Score</u> :20
----------------------------	------------------

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

# Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$39,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes
  - o Budget based on preliminary estimates.
  - Significant labor from volunteers.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Greenwood DATE: 10/31/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

## SUMMARY PAGE

	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
(Pass/Fail)	Pass
(Max: 5 Points)	5
(Max: 15 Points)	15
(Max: 60 Points)	55
(Max: 20 Points)	20
(Max: 100 Points)	95
	(Max: 5 Points) (Max: 15 Points) (Max: 60 Points) (Max: 20 Points)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Greenwood DATE: 10/31/2022

#### \*\*\*\*\*

#### EVALUATION OF

Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

Total Points Available: Pass/Fail

Score: \_\_Pass\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Fire chief
  - Highway Dept foreman
  - o Conservation Commission chair
  - o Board of Selectmen

## EVALUATION OF

## **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

Total Points Available: 5

Score: \_\_5\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Greenwood DATE: 10/31/2022

 EVALUATION OF

 Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

 <u>Total Points Available</u>: 15

 Score: \_\_15\_\_\_\_

 Evaluation Team Comments:

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Heating Upgrades to Municipal Buildings and Energy Fair
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with B1, B4, H5, H7
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Greenwood DATE: 10/31/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

## Total Points Available: 60 Score: 55

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Task 4 would benefit from clear outcomes
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Tasks 1-3 and 5 likely to achieve outcomes. Task 4 is unclear.
  - Includes quotes from vendors and installers
- Task 1 Town office heat pump installation
- Task 2 Fire Department VRF installation
- Task 3 Public Works Department VRF installation
  - Task 4 Old Town Hall insulation and air sealing
    - o Task activities and outcomes unclear
- Task 5 Energy Fair

#### Need

.

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - With small, aging, and declining population, town tries to keep property tax rates down while providing excellent customer service. Have already undertaken several efficiency measures at town owned properties including lighting upgrades and signing a PPA with a solar.
  - Want to promote the social and financial wellbeing of community with additional cost saving/efficiency measures, as well as to help residents age in place by making housing stock more efficient.
  - Will work with trusted partners like Western Maine Community Action, Efficiency Maine, and AARP to engage residents in affordable efficiency upgrades.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Energy fair will help residents
  - o Reduce property tax burden
  - o Improve housing stock
  - Workshop included community outreach, open to the public and hybrid, held outside normal business hours
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
  - Energy fair will target those will benefit most

Project duration

• 1 year, perhaps 2 years if supply chain delays

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Greenwood DATE: 10/31/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20	<u>Score</u> :20

\*\*\*\*\*

Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, good use of EM programs
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Yes, EMT and Belvedere Historic Preservation and Energy Efficiency Grant and staff time for several tasks
- Other notes
  - Budget is based on estimates
  - Fund tasks 1-3 and 5. Invite to reapply in future round for task 4 with more detail

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Hallowell DATE: 10/7/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

## SUMMARY PAGE

		<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
Numerical Score:		
Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information	(Pass/Fail)	Pass
Criteria 2: Community Characteristics	(Max: 5 Points)	5
Criteria 3: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)	(Max: 15 Points)	15
Criteria 4: Scope of Work	(Max: 60 Points)	55
Criteria 5: Budget Proposal	(Max: 20 Points)	15
TOTAL POINTS	(Max: 100 Points)	90

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Hallowell DATE: 10/7/2022

EVALUATION OF Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information			
	Total Points Available: Pass/Fail	<u>Score</u> :Pass	
	am Comments:	***************************************	
<ul> <li>Commute</li> <li>Previous</li> <li>Commute</li> <li>o</li> <li>o</li> </ul>	<b>Heral Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Inf</b> nity type: municipality is applicant (y/n): no nity/Partner/other Letters of Support: Mayor City Council President Hallowell Conservation Commission	rormation	
EVALUATION OF Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics			
	Total Points Available: 5	Score:5	

\*\*\*\*\*

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

## **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Hallowell DATE: 10/7/2022

***************************************		***************************************
Criteria 3 – Ma	ine Won't Wait Strate	egy and action(s)
<u>Total Points A</u>	vailable: 15	<u>Score</u> :15
**************	*****	***************************************
Evaluation Team Comments:		
riteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy an	d action(s)	

- Criteria 3 Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)
  Project title: Hallowell Won't Wait: EV for Hallowell Police Department's Lead Patrol Vehicle
  - The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
    - Well aligned with A1

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Hallowell DATE: 10/7/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

# Total Points Available: 60 Score: \_55\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Complete and reasonable
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
  - Multiple outcomes well described
- Task 1 Research EV options (complete)
  - Ford F-150 Lightning Pro Special Service
- Task 2 Approve down payment in city budget (complete)
- Task 3 Improve parking area to accommodate EV charging (Nov 2023)
- Task 4 Install Level 2 EV charger
- Task 5 Pay for EV and take delivery (Sept 2023)

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well aligned
  - Meets 2020 comp plan net zero goals
  - Tangible reduced costs
  - 0

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Action highlighted by residents during community workshop
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
   Improved public safety capabilities during emergencies

Project duration

• 1 year

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Hallowell DATE: 10/7/2022

\*\*\*\*\*

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20

Score: \_\_15\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$45,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   Yes EM incentive applied
  - Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
    - N/a but \$17,500 plus EM rebate provided
- Other notes

•

o CRP grants are capped at \$2,000 per light duty vehicle, per Action A1

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Harpswell DATE: 11/1/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

## SUMMARY PAGE

		<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
Numerical Score:		
Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information	(Pass/Fail)	Pass
Criteria 2: Community Characteristics	(Max: 5 Points)	3
Criteria 3: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)	(Max: 15 Points)	15
Criteria 4: Scope of Work	(Max: 60 Points)	57
Criteria 5: Budget Proposal	(Max: 20 Points)	18
TOTAL POINTS	(Max: 100 Points)	93

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Harpswell DATE: 11/1/2022

EVALUATION OF Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information			
Ī	<b>otal Points Available</b> : Pass/Fail	<u>Score</u> :Pass	
Evaluation Team Com		***************************************	
Individual Evaluator Co	<u>mments</u> :		
<ul><li>Community type:</li><li>Previous application</li></ul>	nrmation, Eligibility, and Applicant I municipality nt (y/n): no, but funded in pilot project ner/other Letters of Support:	nformation	
******	***************	***********************	
	EVALUATION Criteria 2 – Community C		
	Total Points Available: 5	<u>Score</u> :3	
*****	********	**********	

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

## **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): low

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Harpswell DATE: 11/1/2022

 EVALUATION OF

 Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

 <u>Total Points Available</u>: 15

 Score: \_\_15\_\_\_\_

 Evaluation Team Comments:

 Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Town office energy improvements Phase 1
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with B1 and B2

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Harpswell DATE: 11/1/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

## Total Points Available: 60 Score: 57\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Scope is reasonable; Would benefit from project timeline, roles and responsibilities
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely to achieve outcomes
- Task 1 LED Lighting upgrade in town office
  - Task 2 Level II Commercial Energy Audit of building envelope
    - Will produce table of energy conservation measures, capital costs, payback, annual savings, including incentives

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well aligned
  - o LED lighting and energy audit to identify building envelope improvements at town hall
  - o Identified in town's sustainability plan
  - Audit will prepare town for future grant applications
  - Existing lighting is nearing end of life. Improvements will eliminate 4.5 tons of CO2, 8800 kWh and \$1,667 in costs

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Extensive community process to develop the sustainability plan
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
   Cost reductions will improve town budget and benefit all residents

Project duration

• Not provided

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Harpswell DATE: 11/1/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20	<u>Score</u> :18

\*\*\*\*\*

Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$32,303 or \$32,203 ??
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - o Budget narrative math is correct and budget table math is correct, but the two are different
  - Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
    - None of the numbers in the narrative and worksheet match, difference is ~\$100
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   Yes, EMT rebates for lighting
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - o EMT rebate
- Other notes

•

• Will need corrected budget and narrative, plus timeline and roles/responsibilities

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Islesboro DATE: 9/30/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

## SUMMARY PAGE

		<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
Numerical Score:		
Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information	(Pass/Fail)	Pass
Criteria 2: Community Characteristics	(Max: 5 Points)	5
Criteria 3: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)	(Max: 15 Points)	15
Criteria 4: Scope of Work	(Max: 60 Points)	55
Criteria 5: Budget Proposal	(Max: 20 Points)	20
TOTAL POINTS	(Max: 100 Points)	95

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Islesboro DATE: 9/30/2022

Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information
Total Points Available: Pass/Fail Score:Pass
Evaluation Team Comments:
Individual Evaluator Comments:
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information • Community type: municipality
<ul> <li>Previous applicant (y/n): no</li> <li>Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Town of Islesboro town manager</li> </ul>
***************************************

#### EVALUATION OF Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

Total Points Availa	<u>able</u> : 5	<u>Score</u> :5			
*****	*****	*****	******		
Evaluation Team Comments:					

#### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Islesboro DATE: 9/30/2022

\*\*\*\*\*

# EVALUATION OF

Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

Total Points Available: 15

Score: \_\_15\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- The Narrows and Beyond: Resilience Planner Pilot
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well aligned
  - o Strategy G and H

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Islesboro DATE: 9/30/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

# Total Points Available: 60 Score: \_55\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Well designed task list
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Desired outcomes would benefit from greater detail.
  - Engineering study will be funded by another grant still pending.
- Task 1 Project preparation (CRP service provider assist with RFP for part time facilitator/planner position)
- Task 2 Preliminary Project Launch (new hire develops RFP for engineering firm)
- Task 3 Secure project engineering, launch community engagement
- Task 4 Project Scoping and Assessment, Exploration of Funding Options
- Task 5 Community Engagement
- Task 6 Adaptation Strategy, begin securing funds
  - Task 7 Continue securing funds, climate vulnerability community conversations
    - Including how to fund facilitator/planner beyond CAG award
- Task 8 Finalize funding, ensure resilience planning sustainability
- Task 9 Equitable engagement

Need

•

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - The Narrows floods regularly, need to re-do earlier engineering study with updated STS SLR projections
  - o Completed Flood Resilience Checklist

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Well-designed engagement plan includes hiring a facilitator and planner to engage the entire community, particularly those living and working near the impacted area, people of low and moderate income, and those who are integral to year-round community.
  - o Stipends for time, travel, and childcare
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
  - Equity goals for community participation are solid and left to RFP bidders to propose approaches and part of role for Island Institute fellow

Project duration

• 2 years

0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Islesboro DATE: 9/30/2022

\*\*\*\*\*

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20

Score: \_\_20\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Pending Coastal Communities Grant application for Task 3
  - What happens if CCG request is not funded? A significant objective of this proposal will not be achieved
  - o Ask for alternative scope of work if CCG is not funded
  - Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - yes, but not required
- Other notes

.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Jay DATE: 11/1/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

## SUMMARY PAGE

		<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
Numerical Score:		
Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information	(Pass/Fail)	Pass
Criteria 2: Community Characteristics	(Max: 5 Points)	3
Criteria 3: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)	(Max: 15 Points)	15
Criteria 4: Scope of Work	(Max: 60 Points)	60
Criteria 5: Budget Proposal	(Max: 20 Points)	20
TOTAL POINTS	(Max: 100 Points)	98

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Jay DATE: 11/1/2022

\*\*\*\*\*

#### EVALUATION OF

Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

Total Points Available: Pass/Fail

Score: \_\_Pass\_\_\_

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Sen. Russell Black
  - Rep. Sheila Lyman
  - Police Chief
  - Town office manager
  - o Main-land Development Consultants resident and local business

## EVALUATION OF

## Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

Total Points Available: 5

<u>Score</u>: \_\_3\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Jay DATE: 11/1/2022

 EVALUATION OF

 Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

 <u>Total Points Available</u>: 15

 Score: \_\_15\_\_\_\_

 Evaluation Team Comments:

 Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Closed Cell Spray Foam Roofing Application
  - The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
    - Aligned with B1

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Jay DATE: 11/1/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

# Total Points Available: 60 Score: 60

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Complete and reasonable, includes timeline, roles, outcomes
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely to achieve outcomes
  - o Initial proposal provided, town will put project out to bid
  - Task 1 Install spray foam (one vendor already identified, will put project out to bid)
- Task 2 Project management

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well aligned
  - o Insulate municipal building roof to reduce energy use and costs, and allow for future upgrades
  - o Demonstration project
  - One of several efficiency projects the town is undertaking
  - o Savings will be reinvested in other energy efficiency upgrades

#### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Town will collect data and show how projects are saving money
  - Workshop included community outreach, was held outside business hours, and was broadcast on local TV
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
  - o "Cost savings of this project will be equally distributed amongst all taxpayers in town"

## Project duration

1 year

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant **APPLICANT: Jay** DATE: 11/1/2022

**EVALUATION OF** 

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

|--|

**Evaluation Team Comments:** 

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes •
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) •
  - "Efficiency Maine rebates will be sought" will need this in budget
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) ٠
- o n/a but in kind staff time for project management offered
- Other notes •
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Kennebunkport and Kennebunk DATE: 10/5/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

## SUMMARY PAGE

		<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
Numerical Score:		
Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information	(Pass/Fail)	Pass
Criteria 2: Community Characteristics	(Max: 5 Points)	5
Criteria 3: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)	(Max: 15 Points)	15
Criteria 4: Scope of Work	(Max: 60 Points)	40
Criteria 5: Budget Proposal	(Max: 20 Points)	15
TOTAL POINTS	(Max: 100 Points)	75

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Kennebunkport and Kennebunk DATE: 10/5/2022

*****	******************	*****	*****
	EVALUATION C	)F	
	Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility	/, and Applicant Informat	ion
	<u>Total Points Available</u> : Pass/Fail	<u>Score</u> :Pass	
*****	*****	******	*****
Evaluation Tean	n Comments:		
Individual Evaluat	or Comments:		
Criteria 1 – Genera	al Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Ir	oformation	
	/ type: municipal		
•	pplicant (y/n): yes		
<ul> <li>Community</li> </ul>	/Partner/other Letters of Support:		
o <b>To</b>	wn of Kennebunk		
o Th	e Climate Initiative		

• Kennebunk-Kennebunkport Chamber of Commerce

#### EVALUATION OF Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

#### Total Points Available: 5

<u>Score</u>: \_\_5\_\_\_

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Multi-community
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): 1 small, 1 large
- SVI (low, med, high): both low

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Kennebunkport and Kennebunk DATE: 10/5/2022

***************************************					
EVALUATION	OF				
Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)					
Total Points Available: 15	<u>Score</u> :15				
*****					
Evaluation Team Comments:					

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Catalyzing Youth & Community in Mitigation and Adaptation to Sea-Level Rise Impacts in the Kennebunks
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o well-aligned strategy H2

0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Kennebunkport and Kennebunk DATE: 10/5/2022

## EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

# Total Points Available: 60 Score: 40

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Partially complete scope
  - Includes extensive background and vision but would benefit more detailed descriptions of deliverables and outcomes
  - Roles and responsibilities could be more clearly assigned to specific individuals, especially for the town
  - Would like to know how many youth could potentially participate
  - A project that is purely about community engagement and education won't be able to point to a completed construction project or a documented plan or policy change as its indicator of success. Therefore, key questions for this proposal are:
    - How will success be measured?
    - Specifically, how will the project fit within other CRP-funded work in the two towns and region?
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Somewhat likely to achieve outcomes
  - Applicant's expected outcomes are described as:
    - "Our expected outcome is a documented understanding of community perceptions on climate change, particularly the threat of sea level rise, and the development of actionable recommendations"
    - "we hope to have strong outcomes that lead to widespread understanding of the risks of sea level rise and community buy-in on the need for dedicated, actionable next steps"
  - Would benefit from clearer and more specific details on how this project will inform and interact with the currently CRP-funded climate action planning project
  - These outcomes are general and would benefit from a list of specific metrics and indicators that will signal whether the project had achieved the desired outcomes

#### Tasks:

- 1) Educate and equip youth in partnership with TCI on the science behind sea level rise and interventions that humans can take to mitigate and prepare communities;
- (2) Intentional trust building all potential stakeholders including indigenous communities, business leaders, residents and youth
- (3) The creation of surveys to be distributed to key stakeholders participating in this program prior to the beginning of the community chats;
- (4) Development of information strategies and community conversation priorities to guide all stakeholders through conversations surrounding sea level rise;
- (5) The development of actionable, community recommendations geared towards mitigating and adapting the impacts of sea level rise on the Dock Square and Lower Village areas of Kennebunkport and Kennebunk;

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Kennebunkport and Kennebunk DATE: 10/5/2022

- (6) Ongoing education and participation in community efforts from all local stakeholders that engage to mitigate and prepare for sea level rise;
- (7) The creation of mid-project and post-project surveys of participants to assess how attitudes around the threat of sea level rise have changed during the course of the project; and
- (8) Analysis of surveys completed by youth in TCI program and dissemination of results and next steps through a series of town hall events

•

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Youth-based model
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Mention of inclusion of underserved and indigenous populations ("We seek to actively include them in these community conversations and center BIPOC voices where possible") but more detail on how these groups would be invited, included, and empowered would be helpful

Project duration

• 2 years

RFA #: 202207107 **RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant **APPLICANT:** Kennebunkport and Kennebunk DATE: 10/5/2022

## **EVALUATION OF**

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

	Total Points Available: 20	<u>Score</u> :15
--	----------------------------	------------------

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$125,000 •
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) •
  - Narrative says that 40% of funds (\$50K) would go to project personnel, plus another 10% 0 (\$12.5K) to grant management, but does not identify those individuals and their qualifications. • Another 40% to participation stipends
  - Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
    - o n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes

.

- Follow-up guestions
  - Is the scope of work limited to Dock Square?
  - How many youth, indigenous, and other community members with participate?
  - Organize the budget by task costs
  - How will the project interact with or inform the two towns' other CRP-funded projects?
  - What are the deliverables to the towns from this project?
  - What are the metrics for success and how will they be measured?

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Lamoine DATE: 10/7/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

## SUMMARY PAGE

	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
(Pass/Fail)	Pass
(Max: 5 Points)	5
(Max: 15 Points)	15
(Max: 60 Points)	60
(Max: 20 Points)	15
(Max: 100 Points)	95
	(Max: 5 Points) (Max: 15 Points) (Max: 60 Points) (Max: 20 Points)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Lamoine DATE: 10/7/2022

## EVALUATION OF Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information Total Points Available: Pass/Fail Score: \_\_Pass\_\_\_\_ Evaluation Team Comments: Individual Evaluator Comments: Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information Community type: municipality Previous applicant (y/n): no Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: Previous applicant (y/n): no Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: Previous Applicant Commissioners

#### EVALUATION OF Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

Total Points Available: 5	<u>Score</u> :5
*****	*****

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

#### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Lamoine DATE: 10/7/2022

********	EVALUATI Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wa	ON OF		******
	Total Points Available: 15	<u>Score</u> : _	15	
********	********	*****	*************	******
Evaluation	Team Comments:			
Criteria 3 – I	laine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)			

- Project title: Lamoine Energy Transition Project: Municipal Solar PPA + Heat Pumps
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with C6, C7, B4

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Lamoine DATE: 10/7/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

## Total Points Available: 60 Score: \_\_60\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Detailed and reasonable scope of work
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely to achieve outcomes
- Task 1 Enter into a PPA for electricity generate by a solar array on a town building
  - Task 2 Install 10 heat pumps in various town buildings
    - expected outcome is a reduction in the town's consumption of fossil fuels and a long-term reduction in the town's energy costs

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well aligned
  - Installing solar and adding heat pumps with shift the town from fossil fuels and reduce carbon footprint
  - Solar and heat pump project was proposed at CRP community workshop and widely supported by participants
  - Hopeful project will inspire individual residents to take similar actions

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Developed with community input
  - o Received majority support at community workshop
  - Will provide a high profile means of communicating with public about the benefits of solar and heat pumps
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
  - Lowering energy costs reduces tax revenue need and/or could allow town to shift resources to other important needs, like ability to provide social safety net to town's vulnerable population
  - o Heat pumps will help cool classrooms which benefits students

Project duration

• 1 year

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Lamoine DATE: 10/7/2022

## EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20	<u>Score</u> :15
----------------------------	------------------

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000 for task 2
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   EMT funds not described in budget
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Not required but \$2,000 from Conservation Commission for Task 1 PPA work
- Other notes
  - Need to factor EMT incentives into budget, may reduce award.

RFA #: 202207101 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Limestone DATE: 10/7/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

## SUMMARY PAGE

	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
(Pass/Fail)	Pass
(Max: 5 Points)	5
(Max: 15 Points)	15
(Max: 60 Points)	60
(Max: 20 Points)	20
(Max: 100 Points)	100
	(Max: 5 Points) (Max: 15 Points) (Max: 60 Points) (Max: 20 Points)

RFA #: 202207101 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Limestone DATE: 10/7/2022

## 

#### EVALUATION OF

Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

Total Points Available: Pass/Fail

Score: \_\_Pass\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - MSSM Foundation
  - Limestone select board member
  - o NMCC
  - o Sen. Trey Stewart
  - o Rep. David McCrea

## EVALUATION OF

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

Total Points Available: 5

<u>Score</u>: \_\_5\_\_\_

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

RFA #: 202207101 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Limestone DATE: 10/7/2022

********	EVALUATION Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait S	OF	
	Total Points Available: 15	<u>Score</u> :15	
*****	******	*****	******
Evaluation Team Co	omments:		
Criteria 3 – Maine Wo	n't Wait Strategy and action(s)		

- Project title: Enhance operation of solar generation equipment
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o G2, H4

RFA #: 202207101 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Limestone DATE: 10/7/2022

\*\*\*\*\*

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

## Total Points Available: 60 Score: 60

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Detailed and reasonable scope components
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes of system efficiency improvements and site security
- Task #1: Determine the best option to improve the efficiency of the fixed array.
- Task #2: Replace defective gear sets to improve operational efficiency of the tracker site by 10%.
- Task #3: Install fencing around the solar generation equipment for public safety and security.
- Good description of how each task will enhance the project outcomes

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well aligned
  - o Demonstrates community leadership in transitioning to renewable energy
  - Has resulted in cost savings and emissions reductions
  - The first round of CRP grant funding provided \$50,000 to help offset the \$425,000 purchase price of the solar generation equipment. In this second round requested CRP grant funds will be used to enhance operational efficiency of both systems and help offset other project costs.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Engaging MSSM and NMCC students in hands-on activities and learning about renewable energy in the classroom
  - o project is guided by the diverse group of volunteers on the Limestone solar committee
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
  - "Like other small towns in Maine, Limestone struggles to keep operating cost low while providing essential services to our residents"
  - o Discusses engagement of students but does not identify specific vulnerable groups

Project duration

• 12 months

RFA #: 202207101 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Limestone DATE: 10/7/2022

### EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20	<u>Score</u> :20
----------------------------	------------------

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

## Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - o n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Not required but \$30,300 of other funds and in kind provided, more detail on sources of match would be helpful
- Other notes
  - Interpreting budget narrative for Task 2 to say that repair of remaining 5 units has not begun, so Task 2 appears fundable, need to confirm

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Lisbon DATE: 10/3/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

## SUMMARY PAGE

	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
(Pass/Fail)	Pass
(Max: 5 Points)	3
(Max: 15 Points)	15
(Max: 60 Points)	58
(Max: 20 Points)	20
(Max: 100 Points)	96
	(Max: 5 Points) (Max: 15 Points) (Max: 60 Points) (Max: 20 Points)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Lisbon DATE: 10/3/2022

#### \*\*\*\*

#### EVALUATION OF

Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

Total Points Available: Pass/Fail

Score: \_\_Pass\_\_\_

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Lisbon Town Council
  - Sen. Jeff Timberlake
  - o Rep. Rick Mason
  - o Lewiston Auburn Chamber of Commerce
  - Positive Change Lisbon

## EVALUATION OF

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

Total Points Available: 5

<u>Score</u>: \_\_3\_\_\_

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Lisbon DATE: 10/3/2022

## EVALUATION OF

Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

Total Points Available: 15

<u>Score</u>: \_\_15\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Worumbo Waterfront Conversion
  - o Community accessible park and event space
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with B5, E1, E5, E10
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 **RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant **APPLICANT:** Lisbon **DATE:** 10/3/2022

**EVALUATION OF** 

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

#### Score: \_\_58\_\_\_ Total Points Available: 60

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable. • partially described, minimally described.
  - Detailed and reasonable
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [likely, somewhat, not • likely/unable to determine]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes 0
  - Project goals are to support transition of former mill site to community recreation space that will 0 increase green space and reduce impervious surface in floodplain
- Task 1 Regrade, loam, and plant grass on 4.5 acre site •
- Task 2 install 15 solar powered LED lamp posts
- Task 3 plant 15 native trees and 15 shrubs •

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [well-aligned, somewhat, minimally] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned
  - conversion of a former mill site into a community recreation space, including green space with shade trees and will reduce impervious surface to reduce runoff and flooding
  - Priority of 2014 Downtown Revitalization Plan 0

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Vibrant community engagement structure in place 0
  - Social media, electronic signs, email lists, and nonprofit partners
  - Workshop held outside of business hours 0
  - Redevelopment plans vetted with community this past May with over 300 respondents 0

  - 0 Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
    - Would benefit from additional detail about how vulnerable groups will be engaged 0

Project duration

6-12 months

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Lisbon DATE: 10/3/2022

\*\*\*\*\*

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20

Score: \_\_20\_\_\_

Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$49,897.50
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
  - Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
    - But number of tree/shrub plantings (10 each) do not match scope of work (15 each)
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   Not required but town providing \$4,233.60 worth of staff time
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
- Other notes

•

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Livermore DATE: 11/1/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

## SUMMARY PAGE

		<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
Numerical Score:		
Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information	(Pass/Fail)	Pass
Criteria 2: Community Characteristics	(Max: 5 Points)	5
Criteria 3: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)	(Max: 15 Points)	15
Criteria 4: Scope of Work	(Max: 60 Points)	55
Criteria 5: Budget Proposal	(Max: 20 Points)	15
TOTAL POINTS	(Max: 100 Points)	90

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Livermore DATE: 11/1/2022

# EVALUATION OF Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information <u>Total Points Available</u>: Pass/Fail <u>Score</u>: \_\_Pass\_\_\_\_ <u>Evaluation Team Comments</u>: <u>Individual Evaluator Comments</u>: Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information • Community type: municipality • Previous applicant (y/n): no

- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Town
  - Community Center committee
  - Select board

\*\*\*\*\*

#### EVALUATION OF Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

Total Points Available: 5

<u>Score</u>: \_\_5\_\_\_

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Livermore DATE: 11/1/2022

 EVALUATION OF

 Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

 <u>Total Points Available</u>: 15

 Score: \_\_15\_\_\_\_

 <u>Evaluation Team Comments</u>:

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Clean Energy for Community Buildings
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with Strategy B
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Livermore DATE: 11/1/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

## Total Points Available: 60 Score: \_55\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*\*

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Tasks are reasonable but would benefit from more detail how many heat pump units, lighting fixtures, windows, etc.? Has a vendor been identified?
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely to achieve outcomes
- Task 1 Upgrade to LED Lighting at community building
- Task 2 Install new windows at community building
- Task 3 Install heat pumps at town office, highway garage, and community building
- LED lighting estimate provided; do other tasks have related cost estimates?

Need

• Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)

- o Well aligned
- Will reduce emission, energy use, and costs
- o Part of larger strategy to reduce energy costs
- Already has PPA

#### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Increased communication in recent years led to increased participation in community resilience work
  - All age group attended workshops
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
  - Savings will benefit taxpayers
- Project duration
  - 1 year

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Livermore DATE: 11/1/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20	<u>Score</u> : _	_15

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$43,647.55
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Minimal narrative provided
    - Would benefit from more detail on costs/tasks
    - o Are window and heat pump costs based on estimates?
  - Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
    - Not clear whether EMT incentives are included
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - o **n/a**
  - Other notes

٠

0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Lubec DATE: 9/30/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

## SUMMARY PAGE

		<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
Numerical Score:		
Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information	(Pass/Fail)	Pass
Criteria 2: Community Characteristics	(Max: 5 Points)	5
Criteria 3: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)	(Max: 15 Points)	15
Criteria 4: Scope of Work	(Max: 60 Points)	45
Criteria 5: Budget Proposal	(Max: 20 Points)	20
TOTAL POINTS	(Max: 100 Points)	85

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Lubec DATE: 9/30/2022

\*\*\*\*

#### EVALUATION OF

Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

Total Points Available: Pass/Fail

Score: \_\_Pass\_\_\_

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Sen. Marianne Moore
  - o Lubec Planning Board Chair
  - Application lists other partners, but no letters of support
    - UMM GIS
    - SCEC
    - Wash County EMA

#### EVALUATION OF Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

#### **Total Points Available: 5**

<u>Score</u>: \_\_5\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Lubec DATE: 9/30/2022

\*\*\*\*\*

## EVALUATION OF

Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

Total Points Available: 15

<u>Score</u>: \_\_15\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Resiliency Planning for Lubec's Future
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well aligned
  - o Strategy F2, F9, Strategy E2, E3, E4, E10, Strategy B1
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Lubec DATE: 9/30/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

## Total Points Available: 60 Score: \_45\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Tasks 1-6 generally well described, though would benefit from better defined deliverables
  - Tasks 7-8 are substantially underdeveloped
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*
- Task 1 Map conserved lands
- Task 2 Map floodplains
- Task 3 Map vulnerable populations
- Task 4 Planning evac routes
- Task 5 Update Comp Plan
- Task 6 Update Emergency Operation Plan
- Task 7 Energy Efficiency
  - "Hire a consultant or get help from Efficiency Maine" is not a sufficiently developed task or deliverable
- Task 8 Communication
  - o Task needs further development, what is deliverable? what outcomes are desired?

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Minimally considered
  - Information on past engagement provided but less clear on engagement strategies for the proposed scope of work
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
  - Mapping of vulnerable communities is described, but participation by vulnerable communities needs more details beyond "ensure that vulnerable communities understand where to find assistance". How will applicant do this?

Project duration

- 2 years
- Timeline provided

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Lubec DATE: 9/30/2022

## EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20	<u>Score</u> :20
----------------------------	------------------

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

## Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$49,830
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - o Match not required but in kind volunteer hours plus additional work from UMM GIS students
- Other notes
  - Cannot fund Task 7 as described
  - o Budget provides additional detail about task 8

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Millinocket DATE: 11/22/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

## SUMMARY PAGE

		<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
Numerical Score:		
Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information	(Pass/Fail)	Pass
Criteria 2: Community Characteristics	(Max: 5 Points)	5
Criteria 3: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)	(Max: 15 Points)	15
Criteria 4: Scope of Work	(Max: 60 Points)	58
Criteria 5: Budget Proposal	(Max: 20 Points)	18
TOTAL POINTS	(Max: 100 Points)	96

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Millinocket DATE: 11/22/2022

EVALUATION OF Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information
Total Points Available: Pass/Fail Score:Pass
Evaluation Team Comments: Individual Evaluator Comments:
<ul> <li>Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information</li> <li>Community type: municipality</li> <li>Previous applicant (y/n): no</li> <li>Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: <ul> <li>Town Manager</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
***************************************

#### EVALUATION OF Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

<u>Total Points Available</u> : 5	<u>Score</u> :5
***************************************	********
Evaluation Team Comments:	

#### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): high

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Millinocket DATE: 11/22/2022

*****	******	*****	******
	EVALUATION	1 OF	
	Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait S	Strategy and action(s)	
	Total Points Available: 15	<u>Score</u> :15	
******	******	*****	******
Evaluation Team	Comments:		
Criteria 3 – Maine V	Non't Wait Strategy and action(s)		

- Project title: Heat Pumps for Millinocket Municipal Building
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Aligned with B4

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Millinocket DATE: 11/22/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

## Total Points Available: 60 Score: 58

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task, roles, outcomes are described
    - Task 1 Install heat pumps at town office and fire station
      - 7 units at town hall, 2 at fire station

0

0

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Will protect taxpayers from rising costs of heating and energy
  - 11.3% of town residents live below poverty line and 33% are over age 65

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o More than 30 residents participated in enrollment workshop
  - o Survey on social media and town email list
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
  - Town will explore setting aside the savings from increased energy efficiency to be used to offer a bulk purchasing program for low-income residents.
  - 0

Project duration

unclear

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Millinocket DATE: 11/22/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20	<u>Score</u> :18	

Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Will need EMT incentives added to budget
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - o **n/a**
- Other notes
  - Estimated \$5000 per unit but town has requested a quote from a vendor and will provide additional cost detail. Anticipate additional costs for labor.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Monhegan DATE: 9/30/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

## SUMMARY PAGE

	Points Awarded:
(Pass/Fail)	Pass
(Max: 5 Points)	5
(Max: 15 Points)	15
(Max: 60 Points)	56
(Max: 20 Points)	18
(Max: 100 Points)	94
	(Max: 5 Points) (Max: 15 Points) (Max: 60 Points) (Max: 20 Points)

RFA #: 202207107 **RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant **APPLICANT:** Monhegan DATE: 9/30/2022

## **EVALUATION OF** Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information Total Points Available: Pass/Fail Score: \_\_Pass\_\_\_\_ Evaluation Team Comments: Individual Evaluator Comments: Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information Community type: plantation • Previous applicant (y/n): no Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:

- - o Island Institute
  - o LUPC
  - o Lincoln County Planning Commission

\*\*\*\*\*

#### **EVALUATION OF Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

Total Points Available: 5

Score: 5

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? UT/plantation
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Monhegan DATE: 9/30/2022

EVALUATION OF Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

oritoria o manie won't wait oratogy and doron(o

Total Points Available: 15

<u>Score</u>: \_\_15\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Title: Monhegan Water Company Treatment Facility Assessment and Upgrade
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned, strategy G3

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Monhegan DATE: 9/30/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

## Total Points Available: 60 Score: \_56\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

.

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Detailed and reasonable for Tasks 1 and 3
  - Task 2 deliverables are dependent upon outcomes of the assessment and lack specificity at this time
  - o Includes timeline, role, deliverables
  - Task 1: Public Water System Assessment
- Task 2: Treatment System Installation
  - o According to Judy East's letter of support, improvements will require a permit
- Task 3: Community Water System Upgrade Public Meeting and Update
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes for Tasks 1 and 3

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Clear need to improve water system
  - Significant safety concerns related to climate
  - Committed to using climate-ready standards
  - Immediate concern stems a boil-water order in 2021 due to E. coli contamination from aging chlorination equipment
  - Will study impacts of drought, saltwater intrusion, and possibility of needed to relocate facility due to SLR

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Well-designed
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
  - Utilizes Island Institute's Resilience Leadership Framework (would benefit from description of this process)
    - "Equitable engagement through the Island Institute's Resilient Leadership Framework, to strengthen leadership skills among community members who are most at risk of the social and economic impacts of climate change would benefit from additional detail"
  - Web-based materials and printed materials available at the town office, individual invites, meetings at times that work for working families, fishermen/fisherwomen and for seasonal residents, in-person and online options

Project duration

• 12 months

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Monhegan DATE: 9/30/2022

## EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20	<u>Score</u> :18
----------------------------	------------------

**Evaluation Team Comments:** 

## Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$36,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Shore Up Grant if costs exceed budget
  - Is there DEP or DHHS funding for any of this?
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes
  - Task 2 budget is an estimate dependent upon the assessment in Task 1.
  - Consider funding Tasks 1 and 3 only
    - \$11,000 award

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Mount Desert DATE: 10/5/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
Pass
5
15
60
) 20
) 100
5)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Mount Desert DATE: 10/5/2022

# EVALUATION OF Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information <u>Total Points Available</u>: Pass/Fail <u>Score</u>: \_\_Pass\_\_\_ <u>Evaluation Team Comments</u>: Individual Evaluator Comments: Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information • Community type: municipality • Previous applicant (y/n): yes • Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: • Chair, Selectboard and Climate Action Task Force • ACTT

o GMRI

\*\*\*\*\*

# EVALUATION OF Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

Total Points Available: 5

<u>Score</u>: \_\_5\_\_\_

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Mount Desert DATE: 10/5/2022

 EVALUATION OF

 Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

 <u>Total Points Available</u>: 15

 Score: \_\_15\_\_\_\_

 Evaluation Team Comments:

 Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

# Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Town of Mount Desert Greenhouse Gas Inventory, Municipal Solar Array Pre-Development, and Climate Vulnerability Assessment informed by Community Resilience Training
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with C1, C2, C7, F1

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Mount Desert DATE: 10/5/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

#### Total Points Available: 60

<u>Score</u>: \_\_60\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Detailed and reasonable
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
  - Contracting with ACTT. Partners have high level of expertise and community trust.
- Task 1. Conduct a Community-Wide Greenhouse Gas Inventory
  - Contract ACTT to utilize ICLEI ClearPath (already purchased)
  - Task 2. Municipal Solar Array, Pre-Development
    - Contract ACTT to conduct: site prioritization, feasibility study for top sites, build community support, integration into town budget and planning, RFP process
- Task 3 Community Resilience Training
  - GMRI Community Resilience Training program with ACTT and Island Institute
- Task 4: Climate Vulnerability Assessment
  - Will contract with consultant, possibly GMRI
  - Assessment parameters listed, including social and economically vulnerable community members, elderly, young, low income, and working waterfront community

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned with MWW
  - First steps to implement towns climate action plan
  - Have identified the potential to significantly reduce emissions by switching to renewable energy for municipal infrastructure. In addition, shift will allow town to build equity and ensure solar is responsibly sited.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o All four tasks include community participation
  - o GMRI Community Resilience Training
  - o What is the role of climate ambassador's funded in previous grant round?
  - o Process-intensive scope provides multiple points of participation for community members
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
  - Significant aging population is mentioned
  - Vulnerability assessment includes social and economically vulnerable community members, elderly, young, low income, and working waterfront community
  - Outreach through organization and groups with existing relationships with diverse populations

Project duration

• 18 months (Feb 2024)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Mount Desert DATE: 10/5/2022

# EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20	<u>Score</u> :20
----------------------------	------------------

**Evaluation Team Comments:** 

# Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$49,225
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Not required but other funds and in kind listed
    - Town has already spent \$833 on an ICLEI-Local Governments for Sustainability membership to access the inventory tool
    - GMRI funding from NOAA for workshops
- Other notes
  - o Very detailed budget narrative

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: North Yarmouth DATE: 10/3/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
(Pass/Fail)	Pass
(Max: 5 Points)	5
(Max: 15 Points)	15
(Max: 60 Points)	55
(Max: 20 Points)	20
(Max: 100 Points)	95
	(Max: 5 Points) (Max: 15 Points) (Max: 60 Points) (Max: 20 Points)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: North Yarmouth DATE: 10/3/2022

# EVALUATION OF Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information Total Points Available: Pass/Fail Score: \_\_Pass\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

# **Evaluation Team Comments**:

Individual Evaluator Comments:

# Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Will contract GPCOG (no letter provided)
  - o 2 members of North Yarmouth Economic Development & Sustainability Committee
  - o 3 residents
  - o Cumberland North Yarmouth Lions Club
  - o Living Well in North Yarmouth
  - o MSAD #51
  - o NY Historical Society
  - o Wild Seed Project
  - Yarmouth Water District
  - o Cumberland County Manager

\*\*\*\*

# EVALUATION OF

# Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

Total Points Available: 5

<u>Score</u>: \_\_5\_\_\_

# **Evaluation Team Comments**:

# Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: North Yarmouth DATE: 10/3/2022

 EVALUATION OF

 Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

 <u>Total Points Available</u>: 15

 Score: \_\_15\_\_\_\_

 <u>Evaluation Team Comments</u>:

# Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: North Yarmouth Climate Action Plan
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with C1-3, E4, F1, F13, G1, H2, H4, H5

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: North Yarmouth DATE: 10/3/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

# Total Points Available: 60 Score: \_55\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Scope detailed and reasonable
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
- Task 1 Data Collection and Analysis
- Task 2 Municipal GHG Inventory and Community Emissions Indicators
- Task 3 Vulnerability Assessment
- Task 4 Action Planning
- Task 5 Community Engagement
- Task 6 Report Drafting
- Task 7 Develop Standard Small-Town Approach to Climate Action Planning
- Task 8 Implement Climate Action to Upgrade Municipal Buildings with Energy Efficient Systems
  - o Install LED lighting in Town Hall and Public Works
  - o Install heat pump at Community Center data room

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Scaled-down approach for small town
  - o Municipal energy savings
- Engagement and equity
  - Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
    - Will create a Community Engagement Plan at outset of project
    - o Engagement is throughout the scope of work
    - Will adapt engagement efforts for difference parts of the community
  - Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
    - Would benefit from more developed goals for engaging "underserved and socially vulnerable communities"

Project duration

• 12 months

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: North Yarmouth DATE: 10/3/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20	<u>Score</u> :20

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
  - Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
    - Does not indicate EMT funding is included in Task 8's LEDs and heat pumps
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
- Other notes

•

- Nearly half of budget is for Task 8 Energy Efficiency improvements, which are defined in the scope of work.
- o Would like to see itemized costs for Task 8

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Orono and Bangor DATE: 10/3/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

		<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
Numerical Score:		
Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information	(Pass/Fail)	Pass
Criteria 2: Community Characteristics	(Max: 5 Points)	3
Criteria 3: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)	(Max: 15 Points)	15
Criteria 4: Scope of Work	(Max: 60 Points)	50
Criteria 5: Budget Proposal	(Max: 20 Points)	15
TOTAL POINTS	(Max: 100 Points)	83

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Orono and Bangor DATE: 10/3/2022

# \*\*\*\*\*

#### EVALUATION OF

Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

Total Points Available: Pass/Fail

Score: \_\_Pass\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - City of Bangor
  - Town of Orono
  - o BACTS
  - o Bangor-Orono MOU provided
  - o BACTS RFP and winning proposal provided

# EVALUATION OF

# Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

Total Points Available: 5

<u>Score</u>: \_\_3\_\_\_

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Multi-community
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): both large
- SVI (low, med, high): low, medium

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Orono and Bangor DATE: 10/3/2022

*****	************	****	************
	EVALUATION	OF	
	Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait S	trategy and a	ction(s)
	Total Points Available: 15	<u>Score</u> : _	_15
*****	*******	****	**************
Evaluation Team Con	<u>nments</u> :		
Criteria 3 – Maine Won <sup>3</sup>	t Wait Strategy and action(s)		

- Project title: Bangor Region Climate Action and Adaptation Plan (CAAP) Completion (PHASE 2)
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with F1, C2, G1, H5
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Orono and Bangor DATE: 10/3/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

# Total Points Available: 60 Score: \_50\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Scope of work is complete but would benefit from greater detail of tasks. Detail is provided in winning bidder's proposal but would like to see agreed upon tasks, deliverables, and timeline.
  - Phase 2 work was scheduled to begin in June 2022, so some of this may not be fund-able
    - Will need itemized tasks, current status, and itemized costs
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely to achieve outcomes
- The tasks to complete Phase Two of the CAAP are:
  - o Extensive public engagement, including interviewing local stakeholders of diverse backgrounds
    - o Finalize the compiling of data
    - Generate solutions
    - o Prioritize solutions based on public engagement while ensuring social equity
    - o Create public education and outreach materials; and
    - Create mitigation plans for the region.

# Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned, creating a climate action plan for the region

#### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Involves extensive public engagement
  - "allow smaller communities within the region (that may not have funds or staff to contribute and that are categorized as medium social vulnerability) to obtain emissions data and a vulnerability assessment"
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
  - Will interview over 35 people with "diverse backgrounds to highlight different vulnerabilities within the region"

0

Project duration

• 12-15 months (needs clarification)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Orono and Bangor DATE: 10/3/2022

#### EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20

<u>Score</u>: \_\_15\_\_\_

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$125,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
  - Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) o n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - o \$70,000 from Bangor
- Other notes

•

- o Orono's award in Round 1 was
  - \$20,000 for Phase 1
  - \$20,000 for municipal energy audit
  - \$10,000 sustainability & weatherization fair
- o Bangor's award in Round 1 was
  - \$21,000 for EV chargers
  - \$14,500 for Phase 1
  - \$14,500 for Phase 2
- Need a more detailed, itemized budget by task

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Otisfield DATE: 11/2/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

		<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
Numerical Score:		
Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information	(Pass/Fail)	Pass
Criteria 2: Community Characteristics	(Max: 5 Points)	5
Criteria 3: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)	(Max: 15 Points)	15
Criteria 4: Scope of Work	(Max: 60 Points)	60
Criteria 5: Budget Proposal	(Max: 20 Points)	14
TOTAL POINTS	(Max: 100 Points)	94

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Otisfield DATE: 11/2/2022

EVALUATION OF Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information					
	Total Points Avail	<u>able</u> : Pass/Fail	<u>Score</u> : _	_Pass	-
******	*****	******	******	*******	******
Evaluation Team	<u>Comments</u> :				
Individual Evaluato	r Comments:				
Individual Evaluator Comments: Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information • Community type: municipality • Previous applicant (y/n): no • Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: • none					
		EVALUATION OF		~~~~	~~~~~

# Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

	Total Points Available: 5	<u>Score</u> :5
*****	*****	*****
Evaluation Team Comn	nents:	

#### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Otisfield DATE: 11/2/2022

 EVALUATION OF

 Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

 <u>Total Points Available</u>: 15

 Score: \_\_15\_\_\_\_

 Evaluation Team Comments:

# Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Saving Energy and Protecting Watersheds
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o B4, E7, H2
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Otisfield DATE: 11/2/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

# Total Points Available: 60 Score: \_\_60\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Complete and reasonable
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
- Task 1 Install heat pumps at town office
  - Will include utility service panel upgrade to support future EV charging and other beneficial electrification projects
- Task 2 Revise 13-year-old watershed guide for home and property owners
  - o distribute 1000 copies
  - o volunteer committee will develop content
- Task 3 Public awareness event
  - Highlight heat pump and watershed guide projects, education on individual and community opportunities for mitigation and adaptation

#### Need

.

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned
  - o Standing committee for future resilience builds capacity
  - o Waterfronts face development and risk from algal blooms and invasive species

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Over 40 residents participated in enrollment workshop

0

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected)
  - Cost savings impact all residents
  - Water quality may impact tax base and access to public beaches
  - If waterfront property values decrease due to declining water quality, then local tax burden shifts to lower income residents

Project duration

• Less than 1 year

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Otisfield DATE: 11/2/2022

#### EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20

<u>Score</u>: \_\_14\_\_\_

### Evaluation Team Comments:

# Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$40,480
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
  - Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
    - No, appears wrong number from Task 1 was copied into the worksheet
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Needs to revise budget with EMT incentives for Task 1
  - Can they access the small community incentives?
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
- Other notes

•

• Ask to correct the budget table and include EMT incentives

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Paris DATE: 10/7/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
(Pass/Fail)	Pass
(Max: 5 Points)	5
(Max: 15 Points)	15
(Max: 60 Points)	60
(Max: 20 Points)	20
(Max: 100 Points)	100
	(Max: 5 Points) (Max: 15 Points) (Max: 60 Points) (Max: 20 Points)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Paris DATE: 10/7/2022

EVALUATION OF Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information
Total Points Available: Pass/Fail Score:Pass
Evaluation Team Comments: Individual Evaluator Comments: Criteria 1 – Constal Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information
<ul> <li>Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information</li> <li>Community type: municipality</li> <li>Previous applicant (y/n): no</li> <li>Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: <ul> <li>none</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

# Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

	Total Points Available: 5	<u>Score</u> :5
*****	******	*******
Evaluation Team Comme	<u>nts</u> :	

#### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): high

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Paris DATE: 10/7/2022

 EVALUATION OF

 Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

 <u>Total Points Available</u>: 15

 Score: \_\_15\_\_\_\_

 <u>Evaluation Team Comments</u>:

# Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Police and fire station solar upgrades
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well aligned with C7, B1

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Paris DATE: 10/7/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

# Total Points Available: 60 Score: \_60\_\_\_

### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o detailed and reasonable
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
- Task 1, install 144 kW solar system at the police station
- Task 2, fire station feasibility study for solar plus storage, building envelope assessment, and heat pumps

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well aligned
  - o Demonstration of town leading by example for community
  - Fire station feasibility study will produce "shovel ready" projects for future funding
  - Police station is 15% of town electricity use, project will cover all police station use

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Described community workshop and ideas generated
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Town with high social vulnerability and low capacity
  - o Emergency center will protect vulnerable populations
  - o Cost savings from solar project will benefit residents

Project duration

12 months

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Paris DATE: 10/7/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

<u>Total Points Available</u> : 20	<u>Score</u> :20

Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$41,695
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
    - o Task 2 as described seems beyond the services of Efficiency Maine's assistance
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
- Task 1 cost share is the value of ITC, if allowed
- Other notes

•

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Portland DATE: 10/5/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

		<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
Numerical Score:		
Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information	(Pass/Fail)	Pass
Criteria 2: Community Characteristics	(Max: 5 Points)	0
Criteria 3: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)	(Max: 15 Points)	15
Criteria 4: Scope of Work	(Max: 60 Points)	40
Criteria 5: Budget Proposal	(Max: 20 Points)	20
TOTAL POINTS	(Max: 100 Points)	75

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Portland DATE: 10/5/2022

EVALUATION OF Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information				
<u>T</u>	otal Points Available: Pass/Fail	<u>Score</u> :Pass		
Evaluation Team Com	iments:	********	*****	
<ul><li>Community type:</li><li>Previous applicar</li></ul>	nt (y/n): yes ner/other Letters of Support:	nformation		

#### EVALUATION OF Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

	Total Points Available: 5	<u>Score</u> :0
****	*****	*****
Evaluation Team Comme	<u>nts</u> :	

#### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large
- SVI (low, med, high): low

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Portland DATE: 10/5/2022

 EVALUATION OF

 Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

 <u>Total Points Available</u>: 15

 Score: \_\_15\_\_\_\_

 Evaluation Team Comments:

 Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Portland Sustainable Neighborhoods Program
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o aligned with F15, H2, H5

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Portland DATE: 10/5/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

# Total Points Available: 60 Score: 40

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Scope would benefit from better developed tasks, more specific timeline, and measurable outcomes.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Somewhat likely to achieve outcomes, lacking metrics of success
  - Would benefit from additional detail on how community partners and the Sustainability Office will collaborate, as well as more detailed information on how success will be measured at the conclusion of the pilot.
  - Would benefit from additional detail about how selected communities will benefit, and level of buy in from community leaders and city department staff.
- Task 1 Join Sustainable Neighborhood Program certification program
- Task 2 Translation, printing, materials, etc.
- Task 3 Launch event and recognition event
- What are the measures of success that will determine if pilot will be adopted into city budget?

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Program will decentralize some of the City's climate action efforts, and give residents the opportunity to become active partners in building thriving, resilient, and low-carbon communities through neighborhood-scale initiatives.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Project concept entails strong community participation, but task list focuses on what will be purchased rather than how neighborhoods will be engaged
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
  - Two neighborhoods will be selected representing diverse communities.

### • Would benefit from more detail about selection criteria and selection committee composition.

Project duration

• 1 year timeline but budget narrative asks for \$3000 to license SNN for a second year

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Portland DATE: 10/5/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20 Score: \_\_20\_\_\_

Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$20,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes
  - Year 2 scope not provided. Will not fund year 2 renewal cost of \$3,000

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Rockland DATE: 9/30/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
Pass
5
15
60
20
) 100
5)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Rockland DATE: 9/30/2022

#### \*\*\*\*

#### EVALUATION OF

Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

Total Points Available: Pass/Fail

Score: Pass\_\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Rockland City Council resolution
  - o Island Institute
  - o Penobscot Bay Chamber of Commerce
  - o Rockland Main Street Inc.
  - Rockland Harbor Management Commission / Ad Hoc Downtown Waterfront Advisory Committee
  - North Atlantic Blues Festival
  - o Rep. Valli Geiger

\*\*\*\*\*

#### EVALUATION OF Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

#### Total Points Available: 5

<u>Score</u>: \_\_5\_\_\_

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): high

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Rockland DATE: 9/30/2022

EVALUATION OF Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

Total Points Available: 15

<u>Score</u>: \_\_15\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

# Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Climate Ready Landside Infrastructure for Rockland's Downtown Waterfront
- Climate ready preliminary engineering and cost detail
- G1, G2, A5, E9, H
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Rockland DATE: 9/30/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

# Total Points Available: 60 Score: \_\_60\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - o Detailed and clear components
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely
  - Part 1 Predesign
    - 1a additional survey
    - o 1b geotechnical investigation
- Part 2 Preliminary design
  - o 2a Project coordination and stakeholder engagement
  - o 2b Preliminary design
  - o 2c Preliminary design report
- Continuation of preliminary engineering phase complimenting CRP funding from round 1
- Consultant teams identified

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - At-risk infrastructure, multiple objectives, builds on existing momentum, earlier investments, and community support, and positions the project for federal funding
  - Well-aligned
  - o Positions project as "of regional significance" for federal funding requests
  - o "Climate action as an opportunity"
- Engagement and equity
  - Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
    - Consensus building approach
    - Local committee leadership
    - o Multi-media, community engagement, and diverse participation
    - o Broad participation, statistics provided
  - Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
    - o Identifies island communities as at-risk/vulnerable
    - ADA accessibility and pedestrian friendly

Project duration

• Less than 12 months

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Rockland DATE: 9/30/2022

\*\*\*\*\*

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20

Score: \_\_20\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*

Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   Including other sources of funding
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) committed but not required
- Other notes

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Rockport DATE: 10/3/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

	<u>Points</u> Awarded:
(Pass/Fail)	Pass
(Max: 5 Points)	5
(Max: 15 Points)	15
(Max: 60 Points)	60
(Max: 20 Points)	20
(Max: 100 Points)	100
	(Max: 5 Points) (Max: 15 Points) (Max: 60 Points) (Max: 20 Points)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Rockport DATE: 10/3/2022

# EVALUATION OF Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information Total Points Available: Pass/Fail <u>score: \_\_Pass\_\_\_</u> Evaluation Team Comments: Individual Evaluator Comments: Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information Community type: municipality Previous applicant (y/n): no Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: Origon of Rockport Stewardship Education Alliance

#### EVALUATION OF Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

	<u>Total Points Available</u> : 5	<u>Score</u> : _	_5
****		****	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

## **Evaluation Team Comments:**

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Rockport DATE: 10/3/2022

 EVALUATION OF

 Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

 <u>Total Points Available</u>: 15

 Score: \_\_15\_\_\_\_

 Evaluation Team Comments:

 Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

# Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Rockport Climate Vulnerability/GHG Emissions Assessment and Outreach Plan Development: Equitable and Bold Climate Strategies for Rockport's Future
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Aligned with C1, E4, F1, G1, H2, H4, H5
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Rockport DATE: 10/3/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

# Total Points Available: 60 Score: 60

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Detailed and reasonable
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
- 1) Municipal and Community GHG Inventory
- 2) Social, infrastructure and ecosystem vulnerability assessments
- 3) Develop Outreach Plan

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well aligned
  - Strong direction from residents demonstrated
  - \$1M damage from 2021 storm
  - o Outcome is a plan to inform short and long term projects

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Robust and well designed
  - 0

0

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected)
  - Social vulnerability assessment will identify the most vulnerable populations
  - Additional emphasis on youth
    - listed local organizations to help identify communities of concern

# Project duration

•

• 2 years

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Rockport DATE: 10/3/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

<u>Total Points Available</u> : 20 <u>Score</u> : <u>20</u>	<u>Total Points Available</u> : 20	<u>Score</u> :20
---	------------------------------------	------------------

\*\*\*\*\*

Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Not required but \$2,000 from conservation commission
- Other notes
  - Town has already met with consultants to "determine feasibility of this price structure" but does not indicate whether the pricing is feasible.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: South Portland DATE: 10/3/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

# SUMMARY PAGE

		<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
Numerical Score:		
Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information	(Pass/Fail)	Pass
Criteria 2: Community Characteristics	(Max: 5 Points)	0
Criteria 3: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)	(Max: 15 Points)	15
Criteria 4: Scope of Work	(Max: 60 Points)	60
Criteria 5: Budget Proposal	(Max: 20 Points)	20
TOTAL POINTS	(Max: 100 Points)	95

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: South Portland DATE: 10/3/2022

# EVALUATION OF Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information <u>Total Points Available</u>: Pass/Fail <u>Score</u>: \_\_Pass\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o GMRI
  - o GPCOG
  - o Maine Geological Survey
  - o NOAA

# EVALUATION OF

# Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

Total Points Available: 5

Score: \_\_0\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large
- SVI (low, med, high): low

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: South Portland DATE: 10/3/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

Total Points Available: 15

Score: \_\_15\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

# Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: South Portland Coastal Resilience Project
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with F1 and F10

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: South Portland DATE: 10/3/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

# Total Points Available: 60 Score: \_\_60\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - o Detailed and clear scope components
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
  - Experienced list of partners
- Task 1 Finalize dynamic flood inundation model
- Task 2: Develop a Community Engagement Strategy
- Task 3: Incorporate Community Input into Planning & Policy Development

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - $\circ \quad \text{Well-aligned} \quad$
  - o Incorporate dynamic SLR projections into coastal planning and permitting
  - Model for other communities
  - o Near term priority in climate action plan and will build into comp plan update
  - Position city for future NWFW and other federal grants

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Robust and well designed
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
   Thoughtful specific strategies to diversify outreach

Project duration

• 2 years?

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: South Portland DATE: 10/3/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20 Score: 20

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes
  - o In kind time from NOAA and MGS

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: St. George DATE: 10/3/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

# SUMMARY PAGE

	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
(Pass/Fail)	Pass
(Max: 5 Points)	5
(Max: 15 Points)	15
(Max: 60 Points)	60
(Max: 20 Points)	20
(Max: 100 Points)	100
	(Max: 5 Points) (Max: 15 Points) (Max: 60 Points) (Max: 20 Points)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: St. George DATE: 10/3/2022

#### 

#### EVALUATION OF

Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

Total Points Available: Pass/Fail

Score: \_\_Pass\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Dr. Whitney King, Colby College
  - o Marshall Point Lighthouse and Museum
  - Maine Historic Preservation Commission
  - Rep. Anne Higgins Matlack
  - o Sen. David Miramant

# EVALUATION OF

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

Total Points Available: 5

<u>Score</u>: \_\_5\_\_\_

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: St. George DATE: 10/3/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

Total Points Available: 15

Score: \_\_15\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

# Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Visualizing Solutions: Assessing Vulnerable Infrastructure & Sites, Exploring Options and Engaging the Community Through 3-D Imaging.
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well aligned with strategy G

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: St. George DATE: 10/3/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

# Total Points Available: 60 Score: \_\_60\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Detailed and clear
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
  - o Qualifications of partners is detailed
- Task 1: Data Acquisition
- Task 2: Data Processing and 3D Modeling & Visualization
- Task 3: Mitigation Analysis & Design Charette(s) Optioneering
- Task 4: Community Engagement

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned
  - o Completed Maine Flood Resilience Checklist in 2020
  - Conduct a vulnerability assessment for critical community infrastructure that includes: 1) the climate hazards to which infrastructure assets are expose and how the intensity and likelihood will change over time; 2) the susceptibility to damage or failure, given location, design, age, condition, and state of repair; and 3) the consequences that impairment or failure of the infrastructure will have on the community.
  - o 8 sites on National Register of Historic Places that may be impacted by SLR

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Robust and well-designed
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
  - o Uses visual storytelling
  - o Outreach plan
- Project duration
  - 1-year

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: St. George DATE: 10/3/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20	<u>Score</u> :20
----------------------------	------------------

\*\*\*\*\*

Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$49,600
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Task 3 Funds Requested does not match will need to clarify
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - In kind services from Sebego Technics
- Other notes

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Surry DATE: 10/3/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

# SUMMARY PAGE

	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
(Pass/Fail)	Pass
(Max: 5 Points)	5
(Max: 15 Points)	15
(Max: 60 Points)	50
(Max: 20 Points)	20
(Max: 100 Points)	90
	(Max: 5 Points) (Max: 15 Points) (Max: 60 Points) (Max: 20 Points)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Surry DATE: 10/3/2022

\*\*\*\*\*

#### EVALUATION OF

Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

Total Points Available: Pass/Fail

Score: Pass\_\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Sen. Nicole Grohoski
  - o Blue Hill Heritage Land Trust
  - Hancock County Planning Commission
  - o Hancock County Soil & Water Conservation District

# EVALUATION OF

#### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

Total Points Available: 5

Score: \_\_5\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low
  - Note: applicant provided substantial statistical and social programming details showing that "subsets of the community experience high social vulnerability"

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Surry DATE: 10/3/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

Total Points Available: 15

<u>Score</u>: \_\_15\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

# Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Community Vulnerability Assessment
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned with F1 and G2

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Surry DATE: 10/3/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

# Total Points Available: 60 Score: \_\_50\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Clear scope of work for a consultant, including the committee role
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely to achieve outcomes
- (a) Conduct a community vulnerability assessment that identifies climate risks and vulnerable populations, reviews existing plans and policies, and recommends enhanced plans and policies; (b) Study the degree to which the town's public infrastructure assets are vulnerable to flooding; (c) Identify a range of adaptation strategies for those assets, including the estimated cost of mitigation and adaptation, and,(d) Recommend a capital improvement plan that identifies sources of government and non-government funding for increasing the resilience of those assets.
- Would benefit from greater detail on roles for town staff and intermediate timelines

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well aligned

#### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Details given about the town's previous community engagement activities but does not describe how residents and stakeholders will be able to participate in this project

0

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
  - Only says that consultant will be asked to "Identify climate risks to community members, particularly to vulnerable community members," and in the budget narrative, "The Town will pay particular attention to enabling the consultant to meet with socially vulnerable community members." Greater detail would be helpful.

. . . . .

Project duration

• 18 months

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Surry DATE: 10/3/2022

\*\*\*\*\*

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20

Score: \_\_20\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes
  - o Unclear how town assessed that the consultant cost would be \$50,000

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Tremont DATE: 10/5/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

# SUMMARY PAGE

<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
Pass
5
15
60
) 20
) 100
5)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Tremont DATE: 10/5/2022

#### \*\*\*\*

#### EVALUATION OF

Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

Total Points Available: Pass/Fail

Score: \_\_Pass\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Tremont Sustainability Committee
  - Tremont Selectboard member
  - o GMRI
  - o ACTT
  - o Member of Comp Plan Task Force, Planning Board, and Sustainability Committee

\*\*\*\*\*

#### EVALUATION OF

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

Total Points Available: 5

<u>Score</u>: \_\_5\_\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Tremont DATE: 10/5/2022

*****	******	******	***************	
EVALUATION OF				
	Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait St	trategy and a	ction(s)	
	Total Points Available: 15	<u>Score</u> : _	_15	
*****	******	*****	************	
Evaluation Team Comr	nents:			
Criteria 3 – Maine Won't	Wait Strategy and action(s)			

- Project title: Town of Tremont Climate Resilience Planning Process with Greenhouse Gas Inventory and Climate Vulnerability Assessment
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with C1, C2, C3, F1

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Tremont DATE: 10/5/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

# Total Points Available: 60 Score: \_\_60\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Detailed and reasonable scope components
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
  - Contracting with ACTT
- Task 1. Conduct a Community-Wide Greenhouse Gas Inventory
  - Will contract with ACTT to utilize ICLEI ClearPath tool (already purchased)
- Task 2. Information Gathering & Cultivating Community Engagement
  - Includes engagement plans for Town Committees, Comp Plan Task Force, Staff, and Community
  - Note: Community Engagement funded by Round 1 Community Action Grant, included in this application for completeness
- Task 3 GMRI's Community Resilience Training
- Task 4. Draft Climate Vulnerability Assessment
  - o will contract with a consultant, possibly GMRI
  - "will include social and economic vulnerability alongside infrastructural vulnerability and evaluate how sensitive groups, such as elderly, young children, low-income, and the working waterfront communities might be affected by climate impacts."
  - Task 5. Draft Climate Resilience Plan and Implementation Guide (ACTT)
- Task 6. Feedback and Revision (ACTT)
  - o Town leadership review and Community review
  - Task 7. Implementation Kick-off (ACTT)
    - o Multiple kick off events for Community, Town Staff, Sustainability Committee

#### Need

•

•

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o Identified the need to be a sustainable community as a neighbor to Acadia National Park

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Robust community engagement
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Tremont DATE: 10/5/2022

- Identified vulnerable populations, including elderly, young, low income, and working waterfront community
- o Community engagement approach will emphasize strategies to reach these groups
- o Highlighted equitable climate solutions that multi-solve other priority issues

Project duration

• 18-24 months (June 2024)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Tremont DATE: 10/5/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20	<u>Score</u> :20
----------------------------	------------------

Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$48,905
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
  - Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) o n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - o Not required but other funds and in kind listed
    - The town has already spent \$833 on an ICLEI-Local Governments for Sustainability membership to access the inventory tool.
    - GMRI has received NOAA funding for the workshop series
    - \$3,735 of in-kind support from ACTT
    - \$3,105 for specific items included in this task through a spring 2022 CRP grant
- Other notes

•

o Very detailed budget narrative

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Waterford DATE: 10/7/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

# SUMMARY PAGE

	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
(Pass/Fail)	Pass
(Max: 5 Points)	5
(Max: 15 Points)	15
(Max: 60 Points)	60
(Max: 20 Points)	20
(Max: 100 Points)	100
	(Max: 5 Points) (Max: 15 Points) (Max: 60 Points) (Max: 20 Points)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Waterford DATE: 10/7/2022

EVALUATION OF Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information					
Total Points Available: Pass/Fail Score:Pass					
Evaluation Team Comments:         Individual Evaluator Comments:         Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information         • Community type: municipality         • Previous applicant (y/n): yes         • Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:         • Selectboard					
EVALUATION OF					

# Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

Total Poin	nts Available: 5	<u>Score</u> :5		
**********	******	*******		
Evaluation Team Comments:				

#### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Waterford DATE: 10/7/2022

EVALUATION OF Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)			
Total Points Available: 15	<u>Score</u> :15		
Evaluation Team Comments	***************************************		

# Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Install a renewable energy solar system on the office portion of the town municipal building.
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with C7

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Waterford DATE: 10/7/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

# Total Points Available: 60 Score: \_\_60\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Installer and cost estimates identified
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely to achieve outcomes
  - Task 1: Install 11.4 kW solar system on Waterford Town Municipal Building
- Mentions CRP round 1 funded heat pumps which are planned to be installed in November

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - "Installation of a renewable solar energy project on the office portion of the Waterford Town Municipal building will provide approximately 63% of the historical Municipal building electrical load, reduce or offset approximately 12,260 lbs. of carbon emissions entering our atmosphere, demonstrate solar capability to the town residents, set the basis of data and information to pursue a future phase II solar installation on the larger firehouse portion of the municipal building to power a portion the upcoming municipal building heat pump installation, a potential future heat pump installation in the town garage, and other town power needs."

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Robust community outreach
  - Project implements a priority of town-wide discussions led by PEER Waterford
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
  - Town energy cost savings will benefit all taxpayers

Project duration

• 12 months

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Waterford DATE: 10/7/2022

# EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20	<u>Score</u> :20
----------------------------	------------------

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

# Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$49,979
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - o n/a but list ITC as match
- Other notes
  - o Project size in kW to increase if ITC payment is allowed

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Westport Island DATE: 10/3/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

# SUMMARY PAGE

		<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
Numerical Score:		
Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information	(Pass/Fail)	Pass
Criteria 2: Community Characteristics	(Max: 5 Points)	5
Criteria 3: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)	(Max: 15 Points)	15
Criteria 4: Scope of Work	(Max: 60 Points)	60
Criteria 5: Budget Proposal	(Max: 20 Points)	20
TOTAL POINTS	(Max: 100 Points)	100

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Westport Island DATE: 10/3/2022

# EVALUATION OF Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information <u>Total Points Available</u>: Pass/Fail <u>Score</u>: \_\_Pass\_\_\_ <u>Evaluation Team Comments</u>: <u>Individual Evaluator Comments</u>: Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information • Community type: municipality • Previous applicant (y/n): no

- Frevious applicant (y/n). no
   Community/Derther/other Letters of Sur
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Sen. Chloe Maxmin
  - o Rep. Holly Stover
  - LCRPC
  - o Jeffrey Tarbox, Chair of Select Board
  - o Plumbing Inspector

# EVALUATION OF

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

Total Points Available: 5

<u>Score</u>: \_\_5\_\_\_

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s):1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Westport Island DATE: 10/3/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

Total Points Available: 15 Sector Sec

<u>Score</u>: \_\_15\_\_\_

#### **Evaluation Team Comments**:

# Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Planning for Ground Water During Climate Change on Westport Island
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Aligned with E7 Implement a Source Water Protection Program

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Westport Island DATE: 10/3/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

# Total Points Available: 60 Score: \_\_60\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Detailed and clear
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
- Task 1 Data Collection and Compilation well survey, water quality testing, well geodatabase
- Task 2 Data Analysis and GIS Mapping recharge, saltwater intrusion potential, land use guidance and ordinances,
- Task 3 Aquifer Monitoring Long term plan, salinity monitoring pilot, town GIS transfer
- Task 4 Community Engagement and Outreach

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well aligned
  - Risks of saltwater intrusion due to sea level rise and changes in groundwater availability due variable precipitation associated with the effects of climate change
  - $\circ \quad \text{Increased residential development and drought conditions}$
  - o Highest priority identified at community workshop

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Well designed
  - Project meetings and updates
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
  - "Low income or elderly residents will be sought out to ensure that they are represented and included in all aspects of the project"
  - Free water test kits to ensure participation

Project duration

• June 2024 final presentation

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Westport Island DATE: 10/3/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20 Score: 20

\*\*\*\*\*

Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$48,500
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Costs not provided in narrative
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
  - o Town conservation commission is contributing to cost of test kits and mail outreach
- Other notes
  - o Consulting firms participated in preparation of application

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Windowdressers – Eastport & Pleasant Point DATE: 11/22/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

# SUMMARY PAGE

	<u>Points</u> Awarded:
(Pass/Fail)	Pass
(Max: 5 Points)	5
(Max: 15 Points)	15
(Max: 60 Points)	60
(Max: 20 Points)	20
(Max: 100 Points)	100
	(Max: 5 Points) (Max: 15 Points) (Max: 60 Points) (Max: 20 Points)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Windowdressers – Eastport & Pleasant Point DATE: 11/22/2022

# 

- Passamaquoddy tribal chief
- City of Eastport city manager

#### EVALUATION OF Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

 Total Points Available
 Score: \_5\_\_\_

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Multi-community tribal and municipal governments
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Windowdressers – Eastport & Pleasant Point DATE: 11/22/2022

 EVALUATION OF

 Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

 <u>Total Points Available</u>: 15

 Score: \_\_15\_\_\_\_

 Evaluation Team Comments:

# Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Weatherize Eastport and Pleasant Point
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with H1, H5, H7
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Windowdressers – Eastport & Pleasant Point DATE: 11/22/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

# Total Points Available: 60 Score: \_\_60\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Very thorough task descriptions, roles, timelines
  - o Identifies a local coordinator for each community
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
  - o local coordinator for each community increases odds of success for this complex project
- Task 1 Education, Outreach, and Planning
  - Hire local coordinator for window insert build in Pleasant Point to:
    - Identify location for build, Recruit volunteers for build, Measure windows, Use Windowdressers' software, Schedule build
  - Form PP Resilient Citizens Committee
    - Finance director will advise on options for a self-sustaining committee and manage funding the committee receives
  - o Eastport 3 educational sessions on weatherization, heat pumps, and subsidies
    - Drop in hours
    - Vendor selection committee (ACTT consultation)
- Task 2 Application review and selection
  - o Includes participant recruiting
- Task 3 Project Implementation
- Would benefit from additional detail about how navigators will be recruited, trained, and deployed.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned.
  - Communities both have high poverty rates and older populations. Old housing stock. High heating oil reliance.
  - o Indigenous populations in general are underserved in home energy efficiency access.
  - o Both communities separately identified these projects as priorities.
  - Vendors may be unwilling to travel to remote communities unless they are assured multiple jobs while there

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Detailed engagement plan tailored to each community and their wants/needs.
  - o Pleasant Point will establish a new citizen committee to do climate/resilience planning.
  - o Will use communications channels that residents are accustomed to
  - Provide food at events

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Windowdressers – Eastport & Pleasant Point DATE: 11/22/2022

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
  - Those who demonstrate greatest need will be given priority.
  - Stipends provided for volunteers
  - o Drop in hours offered as alternative to scheduled events

#### Project duration

• 2-years

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Windowdressers – Eastport & Pleasant Point DATE: 11/22/2022

#### EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20	<u>Score</u> :20

\*\*\*\*

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$125,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
  - Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
    - Not in budget but will be included in residential projects as applicable
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
- o Staff time

•

• Other notes

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Woodstock DATE: 11/1/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

# SUMMARY PAGE

	<u>Points</u> Awarded:
(Pass/Fail)	Pass
(Max: 5 Points)	5
(Max: 15 Points)	15
(Max: 60 Points)	60
(Max: 20 Points)	20
(Max: 100 Points)	100
	(Max: 5 Points) (Max: 15 Points) (Max: 60 Points) (Max: 20 Points)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Woodstock DATE: 11/1/2022

# EVALUATION OF Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information Total Points Available: Pass/Fail Score: \_\_Pass\_\_\_ Evaluation Team Comments: Individual Evaluator Comments: Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information Community type: municipality Previous applicant (y/n): no Conservation Commission C Conservation Commission C Community Concepts/ resident

#### EVALUATION OF Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

	Total Points Available: 5	<u>Score</u> :5
****		****

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Woodstock DATE: 11/1/2022

*********	EVALUATIC Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wai	ON OF		******
	Total Points Available: 15	<u>Score</u> : _	_15	
*********	***************************************	*****	*****	*****
Evaluation	Team Comments:			
Criteria 3 – M	aine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)			

- Project title: Energy Audit, Heat Pump Installation, and Building Capacity with a Resilience Committee
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with C1, B4, H1
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Woodstock DATE: 11/1/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

# Total Points Available: 60 Score: \_\_60\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

•

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Detailed and reasonable, clear tasks, deliverables, timeline and roles
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
  - Working with CEBE
  - Task 1 Baseline energy audit
    - Across 5 buildings, public facilities, signage, and vehicles
    - o Generate a list of energy efficiency improvements
- Task 2 Install heat pumps in town office
  - Will leverage EMT Small Municipality Retrofits incentive
- Task 3 Establish a resilience committee
  - o Committee will help manage future CRP grants
  - Will contract CEBE to facilitate committee

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned
  - Building capacity and taking action
  - o Energy audit and heat pumps will reduce costs and emissions
  - Establishing a resilience committee will build capacity for future action
  - Audit will inform future projects

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Substantial effort to invite wide community participation on the committee
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected)
  - Emphasis on developing socially and economically diverse representation, including youth
    - o Budget includes stipends
    - o CEBE will work to generate interest among broad stakeholder groups

Project duration

• Less than 1 year

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Woodstock DATE: 11/1/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20	<u>Score</u> :20

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

- Total request: \$26,820
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - EMT included for heat pumps
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - o **n/a**
- Other notes
  - Task 1 asking for \$500 for unspecified low-cost improvements recommended by audit cannot award this amount
  - o Would benefit from detail about how stipends will be distributed

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Woolwich DATE: 10/3/2022

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Brian Ambrette **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Sarah Curran, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

# SUMMARY PAGE

		<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u> :
Numerical Score:		
Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information	(Pass/Fail)	Pass
Criteria 2: Community Characteristics	(Max: 5 Points)	5
Criteria 3: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)	(Max: 15 Points)	15
Criteria 4: Scope of Work	(Max: 60 Points)	45
Criteria 5: Budget Proposal	(Max: 20 Points)	20
TOTAL POINTS	(Max: 100 Points)	85

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Woolwich DATE: 10/3/2022

# EVALUATION OF Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information <u>Total Points Available</u>: Pass/Fail <u>Score</u>: \_\_Pass\_\_\_ <u>Evaluation Team Comments</u>: Individual Evaluator Comments:

# Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Sen. Allison Hepler
  - o Sagadahoc EMA director
  - Woolwich EMA director

#### EVALUATION OF Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

Total Points Available: 5

<u>Score</u>: \_\_5\_\_\_

#### **Evaluation Team Comments:**

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Woolwich DATE: 10/3/2022

 EVALUATION OF

 Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

 <u>Total Points Available</u>: 15

 Score: \_\_15\_\_\_\_

 <u>Evaluation Team Comments</u>:

# Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Increasing Emergency Preparedness in Woolwich
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned with F2, F3, F13

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Woolwich DATE: 10/3/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

# Total Points Available: 60 Score: \_45\_\_\_

#### Evaluation Team Comments:

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Task 1 is sufficiently detailed and reasonable
  - Task 2 would benefit from more detail on HMP's goals, how climate hazards will be included, and what outcomes are expected
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Would benefit from additional detail on how climate hazards will be incorporated into the plan
    - What sources of climate hazard data will inform the plan?
    - How will vulnerable infrastructure and populations be identified?
    - What community engagement is envisioned for the planning process?

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned increase in severe weather and sea level rise
  - New town resources and new hazards show a clear need to update emergency materials and communications strategy

#### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Task 1 would benefit from greater detail about how survey responses will be encouraged and how data will be utilized
  - o Task 2 minimally considered
  - Strong community participation in CRP enrollment but would benefit from additional detail proposed work.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]

• Hazard mitigation plan will engage vulnerable groups but is not clear on how

#### Project duration

6 months

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant APPLICANT: Woolwich DATE: 10/3/2022

EVALUATION OF

Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

Total Points Available: 20 Score: 20

\*\*\*\*\*

Evaluation Team Comments:

- Total request: \$10,900
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Grants BIDDER NAME: Aroostook Band of Micmacs DATE: 10/6/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

#### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: tribal government
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - First Light Learning Journey
  - o TNC
  - o University of Maine Aquaculture Research Institute
  - Southern Maine Conservation Collaborative

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Tribal application
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

#### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Energy Sustainability of Micmacs Hatchery using Solar Power
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Aligned with C7, D1

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Timeline provides basic level of detail
  - Seeking to build 15 kW of solar on site (out of 90 kW needed)
  - Would benefit from more information on working "with Ocean Outcomes on energy and sustainability audit assessment" which is not mentioned anywhere else in the application.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned

Engagement and equity

• Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Grants BIDDER NAME: Aroostook Band of Micmacs DATE: 10/6/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Community participation in the solar project is not described, however the project has significant benefits for the tribal and broader community by lowering the cost of food protein production
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Lower cost of food for tribal community and broader population served by area food banks and pantries
  - o Improves food sovereignty for tribe and local community

Project duration

• 6-12 months (Summer 2023)

- Total request:
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Not required but \$145,000
  - Also applying for USDA-RD grant up to \$500,000
- Other notes
  - Project size in kW is scalable to amount of funding raised

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Arrowsic DATE: 9/26/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

#### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Sen. Eloise Vitelli
  - o Arrowsic select board
  - o Dir of Arrowsic EMA
  - o Kennebec Estuary Land Trust
  - o Viewshed Landscape Architecture and Planning

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

#### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Timeline and deliverables
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o likely
- Task 1: Establish or recognize an official committee of 7-10 community stakeholders
- Task 2: Conduct a community vulnerability assessment and Adopt a climate resilience plan
- Task 3: Create a climate change education, outreach, and engagement program

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o "Arrowsic Won't Wait"

• High community interest, 11% of town residents participated in enrollment workshop Engagement and equity

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Arrowsic DATE: 9/26/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Robust and well-designed
  - o representative committee, survey
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o Somewhat
  - "ideal committee will consist of 7-10 volunteers from Arrowsic who are representative of the town's diverse experiences, backgrounds, ethnicities or race, and expertise"
  - Final plan to be delivered in hardcopy to every household
  - "will strive to accommodate individual needs with flexible meeting times for those with full time jobs, and with virtual meetings and/or hybrid meetings, as needed. The goal is a plan that leaves no one behind, is well supported, and deeply invested in by our community"
  - Youth engagement college intern to develop outreach materials

- Total request: \$35,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Bar Harbor DATE: 10/27/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Town manager

#### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

#### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Municipal Building Electrification
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well aligned with B, H

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Detailed, complete, and reasonable scope of work
  - o Timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are well-described
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
- Task 1 Energy Audit for municipal building
  - o with recommendations and vendor cost quotes
- Task 2 Building electrification planning and outreach
- Electrification plan, 2-page flyer, project webpage, public comment
- Task 3 Energy monitoring
  - o Wireless monitoring system, public real-time dashboard
- Task 4 Building systems retrofits
  - o 4.1 Air sealing, weatherization, lighting
  - o 4.2 Replace boiler with heat pump or VRF system

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Town's most visited public building

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Bar Harbor DATE: 10/27/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- o 110-year-old building is town's 3<sup>rd</sup> largest source of GHG from municipal facilities
- o Consistent with Bar Harbor Municipal Climate Action Plan

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Robust and well-designed
  - Project will be open to public view, including digital outreach, public education, site visits to offer learning opportunities for residents
  - Will try to pursue all logical overlaps with community engagement activities of the existing Community Action Grant held by town in partnership with Tremont and MDI.

0

• Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]

Not provided

Project duration

• 2 years

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Minor discrepancies in Task 3
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - C&I program included
  - Not eligible for EMT small rural community program
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) yes
- Other notes
  - o Tasks 4.1 and 4.2 details are dependent on audit findings but cost estimates are provided

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Bath DATE: 10/28/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o none

#### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

#### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: City of Bath Modernizing Municipal Facilities Master Planning A Model for Business and Residential Facility Upgrades
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o B1-6, H2

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Tasks are not provided in Scope of Work section
  - In Budget Narrative, tasks are underdeveloped and lack clear outcomes and timelines
  - Would benefit from public representation on technical advisory committee
  - Task 3 leaves a blank space for number of buildings to be included in the plan
  - o Would benefit from information on consultant qualifications and selection
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Unable to determine

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [well-aligned, somewhat, minimally] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned with MWW
  - o Consistent with city's goal to reduce emissions 80% by 2050

Engagement and equity

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Bath DATE: 10/28/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
   Local climate action commission
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
  - Addressed through partner organizations for educational outreach

Project duration

• Not provided

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - No budget not described in narrative
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - In kind provided by staff time
- Other notes
  - o application would benefit from further development
  - o Does not follow application format

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Bethel DATE: 10/27/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

#### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o none

#### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

#### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Assessing and Addressing Efficiency Needs in Bethel's Buildings
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well aligned with C1, B1

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Sufficient scope of work
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely to achieve outcomes
- Task 1 Energy baseline for municipal operations including 10 municipal facilities
   Will generate recommended actions
- Task 2 Adopt a plan for energy efficiency and weatherize municipal facilities
  - Low-cost actions such as window and door replacements

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Town acknowledges a lack of assessment data to guide actions
  - Town spent \$14,000 on heating for the WWTP last winter. Known leakage from windows and doors will be addressed
  - o Keeping property taxes low is a priority for the town
  - Seasonal, tourism-driven economy

Engagement and equity

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Bethel DATE: 10/27/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Created Bethel Community Resilience Committee
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Mentions inflation's pressure on low-income households and town's priority to keep taxes low

Project duration

• 1 year

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   Not applicable to identified projects
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes
  - Will need town to commit to the window and door improvements in order to fund Task 4

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Blue Hill & Brooksville DATE: 9/27/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

#### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Town of Blue Hill & Climate Resilience Committee
  - Town of Brooksville & SLR Committee
  - o Sen. Nicole Grohoski
  - o Rep. Sarah Pebworth
  - School Union #93 Superintendent
  - o Waxwing Business
  - Fisher Population, Heath and Planning
  - o Blue Hill Peninsula Chamber of Commerce
  - 0

#### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Yes, multi
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

#### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - $\circ$  Well-aligned

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
   o detailed and reasonable
  - detailed and reasonable
     the appendix likely to applie the application
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely
- Hire a consultant to conduct a vulnerability assessment.
  - o Assessment goals and tasks are concisely stated and well-conceived
- Hire a part-time project manager
- Need
  - Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
    - Well-aligned

Engagement and equity

• Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Blue Hill & Brooksville DATE: 9/27/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- o moderately expected
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - yes and well-designed

Project duration

18 months

#### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$125,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
  - Other notes

•

0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Bowdoinham DATE: 10/11/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o None
  - o Project proposal from Affinity lighting

#### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

#### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Energy Efficiency Improvements for Town of Bowdoinham
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B5, B2, B3, and/or B4 (savings might enable B4 in the future)

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Detailed and reasonable
- Task 1 install approximately 47 Smart Ready LED streetlights in place of utility-owned lights
- Task 2 install approximately 68 LED lighting fixtures in the town office
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Aligns with MWW and with town comp plan's climate strategies
  - Town's 2022 electricity costs are 30% higher than 2021, so addressing efficiency will provide savings

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Town utilized comp plan committee and meetings to "ensure diverse representation and robust community engagement"

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Bowdoinham DATE: 10/11/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Posted notice of meetings in public areas,
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - "will benefit all members of the community by resulting in lower the costs [sic] to the Town of electricity usage on lighting for decades to come"
  - Savings will "make it easier economically and...politically... to pursue additional goals like installation of heat pumps solar energy generation, and electric vehicle charging stations"

Project duration

•

• 6-8 months

- Total request: \$37,991
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
  - Narrative mislabels total project cost as total request amount, otherwise ok.
  - Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) • EMT incentive include
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - o **n/a** 
    - Other notes
      - TASK 1 includes the cost of purchasing the streetlights from utility (\$21,901) plus a 10% contingency.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Brunswick DATE: 10/2/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Bowdoin College
  - o GPCOG

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

#### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Brunswick Climate Action Plan
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with C1-3, E4, F1, F13, G1, H2, H4, H5

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Detailed and reasonable components to scope of work
  - Well defined partner roles and responsibilities
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o likely
- Task 1. Data collection and analysis
- Task 2. GHG Inventory and Emission Reduction Target Setting
  - Town-wide inventory using ICLEI's ClearPath tool
- Task 3. Vulnerability Assessment
- Task 4. Action planning
- Task 5. Community Engagement

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [well-aligned, somewhat, minimally] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - "The Climate Action Plan will help Brunswick make best use of its resources by setting clear, actionable goals and recommendations to reduce emissions and vulnerabilities"

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Brunswick DATE: 10/2/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

> "It is important to the town that sustainability plays a large role in the vision of the Comprehensive Plan, so having a dedicated Climate Action Plan can further guide town planning documents and encourage communication across departments"

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Robust and well-designed
  - A Community Engagement Plan will be developed "at the outset of the project to identify key stakeholders, create a schedule of outreach activities, and created through an equity lens"
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Yes and well designed
  - o Vulnerability assessment will identify underserved and underrepresented groups.
  - o Participation and accessibility considerations are described

Project duration

12 months

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - o n/a but in kind staff time and \$2,500 to cover GPCOG Resilience Fellow is included
- Other notes
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Service Provider Grants BIDDER NAME: Camden DATE: 10/6/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

\*\*\*\*

#### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o none

#### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): low

#### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: EV Purchase for Code Enforcement Officers/Plumbing Inspector
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Applicant misinterpreted A1, A2, and H2

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Partially described,
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Somewhat likely
  - Tasks 1 and 2 likely to achieve outcomes
  - Outcomes unclear for Task 3 would benefit from more specific and measurable outcomes
- Task 1 EV purchase for code enforcement officers
- Task 2 Install Level 2 two-port charger
  - o at public safety building to charge fire chief's EV per budget narrative
  - A2 requires chargers to be located in public lots, therefore this task is not fundable (not requesting funds for this task in budget) – OK, task is listed as source of cost share, not for new funds
  - sounds like a separate project will install EV chargers in a public location? per budget narrative (if so, this would have made a better option for a grant proposal)
- Task 3 EV and EM outreach

Need

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Service Provider Grants BIDDER NAME: Camden DATE: 10/6/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Minimal Task 3 posts information to town website and in town office, not really "outreach"
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o Minimal

Project duration

•

Not clear

- Total request: \$40,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   Yes EMT rebate of \$7,500 for EV
  - Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
    - Not required, tasks 2 and 3 listed as match
- Other notes
  - Task 1 A1 award is capped at \$2,000 per light duty vehicle, so \$40,000 budget request is incorrect

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Carrabassett Valley DATE: 10/6/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

#### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Sen. Russell Black
  - Rep. Thomas Skolfield
  - New England Mountain Bike Association
  - o Sugarloaf Resort
  - o Purchase and installation quote Horizon Solutions

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

#### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: EV Charging Stations Outdoor Center
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well aligned with A2

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Sufficiently detailed
  - o Install 2 EV chargers at municipally owned Outdoor Center with access to trails and rink
  - o Same town team and vendors as first round installation
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcome

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Region is reliant on tourism and the only way to arrive is by vehicle
  - o Range anxiety may prevent some EV owners from going to CV because it is remote
- Outdoor Center is ideal for Level 2 because people usually park for several hours Engagement and equity

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Carrabassett Valley DATE: 10/6/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Moderate
  - Implementing a priority set during enrollment by committee of select board members, Sugarloaf employees, and town staff
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - May encourage EV drivers to visit and spend money at area businesses, supporting jobs in time when high gas prices discourage other drivers from traveling

Project duration

• Could complete installation by November if award is made in October

- Total request: \$28,853
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   Missing EM rural EV charging incentives
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Carthage DATE: 10/12/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

#### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Select board
  - Western Maine Community Action

#### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

#### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Town Office, Emergency Shelter, Community Building and Food Pantry Energy Efficiency Upgrades and Community Outreach on Energy Savings
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with B1, B3, B4, H5

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Sufficiently described, would benefit from project timeline
  - Vendor quotes cited
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely to achieve outcomes
  - o Would benefit from more detail on who would be responsible for putting on the energy fair
  - Task 1: Heat pumps and insulation in town office
- Task 2: Energy efficient appliances at town-owned food bank and emergency shelter
  - Air purifier eligible under B3
- Task 3 Window insert community build and energy fair
- Task 4 begin insulation project at community center

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Heating/cooling, insulation, and refrigeration are well aligned

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Carthage DATE: 10/12/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- o Building is old and has deferred maintenance resulting in poor indoor climate
- o Few town office services are online, so residents must visit the town office
- $\circ$   $\;$  Food bank and firehouse/shelter refrigerators are old and failing
- 45% of town population is over age 50
- Energy fair at community center

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Enrollment workshop was held outside of business hours
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected]
  - Project will benefit town staff and those who come to the town buildings for services and shelter.

Project duration

• Not provided, perhaps less than 1 year

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   Yes for Task 1 and 3. Will pursue EMT for Task 4
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - N/a but in-kind and EMT funds provided
- Other notes
  - o Task 4 other funds may not be described sufficiently to fund

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Castine DATE: 10/17/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o none

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Castine Public Buildings Lighting and Weatherization Upgrade
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with B5

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - o Reasonable and detailed
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine]
  - o Likely to achieve outcomes
  - Task 1 Transition to LED lighting in town hall
- Task 2 Upgrade town hall's insulation
- Task 3 Replace two 1900s era external doors to more energy efficient models

Need

•

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned
  - Town Hall is heavily utilized by the community, including the elementary school, and is an emergency shelter

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected,
  - minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
    - Much of the community utilized the building for events and services

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Castine DATE: 10/17/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o "can think of no more equitable place to focus energy efficiency efforts"

**Project duration** 

•

• Determined by vendor availability

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- budget narrative for Task 1 includes lighting improvements at two other town buildings
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   Does not mention EMT incentives
  - Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Yes but not required
- Other notes
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Chebeague Island DATE: 10/2//2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Island Institute
  - o GMRI
  - o Chebeague Transportation Company
  - o Sen. Cathy Breen
  - Chebeague Island Oyster Company
  - o GPCOG
  - o Chebeague Island Community Association

### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Preparing for the Voyage Ahead: Building a Framework for Chebeague Island's Climate Future
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with:
    - C1 Municipal GHG Inventory
    - C2 Community GHG Inventory
    - F1 Community Vulnerability Assessment
    - E7 Source Water Protection

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - All components detailed and reasonable
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely
- Task 1: Groundwater Sustainability Study 1) data collection and compilation, 2) data analysis and assessment, 3) a groundwater monitoring program, and 4) community education and outreach

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Chebeague Island DATE: 10/2//2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- "Sensitivity analysis to assess potential impacts of various climate change scenarios on groundwater recharge and availability; identify areas experiencing or susceptible to saltwater intrusion or other climate impacts."
- Task 2: Municipal and Community Greenhouse Gas Inventory
- Task 3: Chebeague Island Climate Vulnerability Assessment
- Task 4: Community Engagement and Outreach

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - "Of particular concern to Chebeague are the climate-related risks of seawater intrusion due to sea level rise and changes in groundwater levels, quality, and availability due to variable precipitation patterns such as intense rainfall and droughts. Being able to quantify the island water balance terms and local vulnerability in a changing climate is fundamental to being able to evaluate options for protecting the potable water supply"

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o robust and well-designed
  - o inclusion and equity statement to be developed
  - o Island Institute's Resilient Leadership Framework to be employed
  - o Plan to improve access to information and access to gatherings
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o robust and well-designed
  - o inclusion and equity statement to be developed
  - Plan to improve access to information and access to gatherings

Project duration

12 months

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - o n/a but \$15,000 value of donated hydrogeological survey
- Could the applicant/project succeed with partial funding? (probably, unlikely)
- Other notes
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Cumberland DATE: 10/3/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Sen. Catherine Breen, District #25
  - o Rep. Stephen W. Moriarty District #45
  - o David Witherill, Moderator Cumberland Congregational Church
  - Sara Mills-Knapp Greater Portland Council of Governments
  - Chris Bolduc, Assistant Town Manager and Director of Public Services, Town of Cumberland

### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Reduce greenhouse gas emissions of the Town of Cumberland by reducing use of fossil fuels and enhancing sequestration from natural systems
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o somewhat aligned with A1, B4, H2
  - A1 is intended to focus on on-road EV fleets

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1 and Task 2 sufficiently describe tasks, deliverables, and outcomes
  - Task 3 requires additional detail Who will develop educational materials? Will discounted plants be provided to all residents or would low-income residents be prioritized?
    - Task 3 is described very differently in various parts of application:
      - Intro says "develop a tree planting program for public ways and easements"
      - Scope says "Grant would allow native tree and bush seedlings to be made available to residents at reduced cost"
      - Project Need calls it "creating an education plan"

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Cumberland DATE: 10/3/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Budget Narrative says entire task budget of "\$13,000 split evenly by education services of professional landscapers and plant material from vendors"
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Tasks 1 and 2 likely to achieve outcomes
  - o Task 3 is underdeveloped and unlikely to achieve outcomes

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o aligned
  - Proposed tasks implement the town's 2022 Climate Action Plan

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Minimally considered
  - Application describes past participation by residents in priority-setting, but does not describe how the town will engage the community in this project
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Not described at all

Project duration

- Tasks 1 and 2: 6-12 months
- Task 3: 1-2 years

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Worksheet reverses costs for Tasks 1 and 2, but does not impact budget calculations
  - Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
    - o **n/a**
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - o n/a but unspecified \$3000 match for mower listed in worksheet
- Other notes
  - Task 3 cannot fund plant materials and education efforts need more development

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Dover-Foxcroft DATE: 10/6/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Maine DOT
  - o Piscataquis County Economic Development
  - o EMDC
  - Mayo Northern Light Hospital
  - Promotion & Development Committee members
  - Rep. Richard Evans
  - o Piscataquis Chamber of Commerce
  - o Helping Hands with Heart
  - o Center Theater

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium</li>
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

Did not complete table

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Developing a "Complete Streets" Redevelopment Plan for Downtown Dover-Foxcroft
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Aligned with A9

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Scope is detailed and reasonable
  - Project is a "concept-level study with design and construction cost estimates and phases for
    - a) transportation safety improvements for all modalities;
    - b) climate resiliency for the transportation system, to include increased access for non-motorized transportation; and
    - c) assessments and improvements for safety and accessibility for all users"

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Dover-Foxcroft DATE: 10/6/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine]
  - o Likely to achieve outcomes
  - Nine deliverables identified
  - Would benefit from more detailed explanation of climate and resiliency considerations.
     Only the "promotion plan" deliverable mentions climate

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Somewhat
  - Project need section misses opportunity to highlight climate-related benefits of complete streets plan. It focuses on need to relieve traffic congestion and improve safety, with passing mentions of EVs and exhaust pollution.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Robust and well-designed
  - Multiple public forums and listening sessions to obtain input from residents and businesses.
  - Deliverables and timeline include multiple public engagements
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Mentions "specific effort to engage some underrepresented populations...including older residents, low-income residents, and people with disabilities"

### Project duration

• 1 year

Generally, this project is important for the community but misses the opportunity to place climate among the high priorities of safety and traffic reduction

 Would benefit from description of how the RFP will signal a climate priority to potential consultants

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - o DOT to provide 60% of total project budget
- Other notes
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Service Provider Grants BIDDER NAME: Falmouth DATE: 10/6/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Falmouth Land Trust

Filled out Spring 2022 application form

### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large
- SVI (low, med, high): low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Supporting Local Food Production and Social Equity: Provide Clean Energy to Hurricane Valley Farm/Cultivating Community
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Aligned with B4 and C7

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Partially described
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Somewhat likely to achieve outcomes
  - Outcomes would benefit from greater specificity and measurability
- Task 1 Install rooftop solar at Hurricane Valley Farm
  - Task 2 Providing a source of energy for expansion of farm operations
    - Unclear what this task is (budget lists Tesla battery as task)
- Task 3 Install heat pump in farmhouse
- Who is hiring the installer, town or land trust? (town, according to budget narrative)

Need

•

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Somewhat aligned
  - Town is developing a climate action plan

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Service Provider Grants BIDDER NAME: Falmouth DATE: 10/6/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Land Trust is in midst of \$350,000 capital campaign to renovate farm and expand operations
- Project is on private land trust property but demonstrates public benefit for vulnerable population in community

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Community involvement in this project seems limited
  - Project is related to a priority of MWW Strategy D from CRP enrollment workshop
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o Farm offers plots to asylum seekers and low income families
  - Heat pumps would provide cooling for farmers during high heat

Project duration

• 9-12 months (end summer 2023)

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   No EMT incentive identified for heat pump
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes
  - Did the town get an estimate from the installer? This seems to underestimate the cost solar, battery, heat pumps, and labor.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Farmington DATE: 10/17/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Bonney Woods Corporation
  - Northern Lights Hearth and Sport

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Farmington Community Center HVAC
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well aligned with B4

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - o Detailed and reasonable
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
- Part 1 replace Community Center roof (with town funds)
- Part 2 purchase and install six 5-ton HVAC units on roof of community center
   Is HVAC system what EMT would deem eligible?
- Roof and HVAC vendors already established

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned if EMT eligible

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o town's resilience committee which includes staff and three residents
  - o describes community meeting notification efforts, but not the participation

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Farmington DATE: 10/17/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Farmington is a service center and "home to a diverse community"
  - o Building is used for multiple purposes and serves many types of community members

Project duration

•

1 year or less

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   EMT incentives not described, need to confirm
  - Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
    - Yes roof replacement costs
- Other notes
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Fort Kent DATE: 9/27/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Town of Fort Kent
  - o 6 letters town residents
  - o District Forest Ranger

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well aligned

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine]
  - o likely
- Task 1 Culvert and Storm Drain Mapping and Inventory
- Task 2 Modelling and Projections
  - Using present day extreme precip design storm scenarios by NOAA and NE Regional Climate Center (2-yr, 10-yr, 25-yr, and 100-yr) PLUS potential impacts of increased precip and sea level based on IPCC
- Task 3 Planning and Cost Estimating
- Detailed project plan provided by Woodard & Curran

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [well-aligned, somewhat, minimally] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o well-aligned

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Moderately expected

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Fort Kent DATE: 9/27/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- $\circ$  good track record of participation by residents thus far in this project
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - yes and well-designed

Project duration

• not specified

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes
  - o Specified a not-to-exceed billing rate for consultant

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Freeport & Yarmouth DATE: 10/2/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Town of Yarmouth

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Multi-community
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): both medium
- SVI (low, med, high): both low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Freeport and Yarmouth Sustainability Partnership: Creation of a Shared Full-time Sustainability Coordinator Position
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with C1, C2, F1, G1, H5

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Scope components generally well-described
  - Key deliverables are climate action plans for each town
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Would benefit from more specific tasks and deliverables and commitment to specific outcomes. As written, this is somewhat general scope of work.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Strong case for shared position between two towns benefits for improved communications and cooperation between towns

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - General commitments to "community engagement process" and "inclusive and successful community engagement" but would benefit from greater detail

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Freeport & Yarmouth DATE: 10/2/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

• Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]

Minimally expected

Project duration

• 15 months

Proposal generally feels underdeveloped. I like the shared coordinator approach but more thought needed around specific tasks and outcomes and engagement.

- Total request: \$121,388
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Could the applicant/project succeed with partial funding? (probably, unlikely)
- Other notes
  - o Would prefer to see budget by tasks rather than personnel expenses
  - o Request job description as part of budget negotiation?

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Georgetown DATE: 10/28/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

#### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Rep. Allison Hepler
  - Volunteer fire chief
  - o School principal
  - o Community Center

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

#### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Georgetown Level 3 Energy Assessment & Plan for Town Buildings
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with B1, C1, H1, H5

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Detailed and reasonable
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
- Task 1 Consultant RFQ process
- Task 2 Initial Community Outreach and Awareness
- Task 3 Consultant kickoff
- Task 4 Energy use data collection
- Task 5 Data evaluation including engineering and financial analyses
- Task 6 Education and awareness with Georgetown Central School
- Task 7 Community Energy and Cost Saving Program outreach
- Task 8 Develop Recommendations and Specifications for Investments and Plan for Implementation
- Task 9 Plan review by select board and town officials

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Georgetown DATE: 10/28/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Task 10 Community Presentation with consultant
- Task 11 Select board consideration of plan for adoption and implementation

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Aging, inefficient buildings
  - Town is committed to keeping taxes low and the energy assessment will identify cost saving measures

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Close to 100 residents participated in enrollment workshops or surveys
  - o Outreach efforts about project including public presentation by the consultant
  - Education for youth in schools about energy efficiency
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Workshop series about EMT incentives for an identified list of vulnerable groups. Also identifies partner organizations.

Project duration

•

- 21 months
- Helpful task timeline

- Total request: \$39,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
  - Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) o n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Greenwood DATE: 10/17/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

#### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Fire chief
  - Highway Dept foreman
  - Conservation Commission chair
  - o Board of Selectmen

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): small

#### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Heating Upgrades to Municipal Buildings and Energy Fair
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with B1, B4, H5, H7

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - o Detailed and reasonable
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely to achieve outcomes
- Task 1 Town office heat pump installation
- Task 2 Fire Department VRF installation
- Task 3 Public Works Department VRF installation
- Task 4 Old Town Hall insulation and air sealing
- Task 5 Energy Fair

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [well-aligned, somewhat, minimally] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Project based on 2022 energy audit
  - Already has 15% discount on energy from solar PPA
  - o Already completed LED lighting upgrades

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Greenwood DATE: 10/17/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- o Savings rolled back into additional energy conservation measures
- Small tax base, so savings in operational costs are important
- Looking to improved housing stock in order to attract new residents

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Community workshop was well advertised, held outside business hours, and in hybrid format
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o Cost savings will "equally distributed amongst all taxpayers in town"

Project duration

• 1 year, perhaps 2 years if supply chain delays

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   Yes, good use of EM programs
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Yes, EMT and Belvedere Historic Preservation and Energy Efficiency Grant and staff time for several tasks
- Other notes
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Hallowell DATE: 10/6/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Mayor
  - City Council President
  - Hallowell Conservation Commission

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

#### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Hallowell Won't Wait: EV for Hallowell Police Department's Lead Patrol Vehicle
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with A1

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Detailed and reasonable scope of work
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
  - Multiple outcomes well described
- Task 1 Research EV options (complete)
  - Ford F-150 Lightning Pro Special Service
- Task 2 Approve down payment in city budget (complete)
- Task 3 Improve parking area to accommodate EV charging (Nov 2023)
- Task 4 Install Level 2 EV charger
- Task 5 Pay for EV and take delivery (Sept 2023)

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well aligned

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Hallowell DATE: 10/6/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- o Meets 2020 comp plan goal of moving public services toward zero emissions
- Can compare costs savings against other city fleet vehicles

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Hundreds of residents participated in comp plan goals and priorities. This project implements "low-carbon initiatives"
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Improved public safety capabilities during emergencies

Project duration

• 1 year

- Total request: \$45,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   Yes EM incentive applied
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - N/a but \$17,500 plus EM rebate provided
- Other notes
  - Task 5 A1 award is capped at \$2,000 per light duty vehicle, so \$45,000 budget request is incorrect

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Harpswell DATE: 10/18/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no, but funded in pilot project
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o none

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Town office energy improvements Phase 1
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with B1 and B2

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Tasks are reasonable and well described
  - o Would benefit from project timeline, roles and responsibilities
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
- Task 1 LED Lighting upgrade in town office
- Task 2 Level II Commercial Energy Audit of building envelope
  - Will produce table of energy conservation measures, capital costs, payback, annual savings, including incentives

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Town's Sustainability Plan's first priority is to reduce carbon footprint of town office building by
    - Updating HVAC with heat pumps (awaiting 3-phase power from utility, will utilize town reserve funds)
    - Improve building envelope
    - Upgrade to LED lighting

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Harpswell DATE: 10/18/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

Existing lighting is nearing end of life. Improvements will eliminate 4.5 tons of CO2, 8800 kWh and \$1,667 in costs

0

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Town has a standing Resiliency and Sustainability Committee
  - Sustainability Plan addresses MWW's 8 strategy areas and was "developed by groups of citizens representing a broad cross-section of the community"
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Reducing operating costs "will impact the Town's budget with effects all residents of the Town"

Project duration

•

٠

Not provided

- Total request: \$32,303 or \$32,203
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Budget narrative math is correct and budget table math is correct, but different
  - Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
    - None of the numbers in the narrative and worksheet match, difference is ~\$100
  - Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) • Yes EMT incentives for lighting
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Not required but EMT funds provided
- Other notes
  - Will need corrected budget and narrative, plus timeline and roles/responsibilities

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Service Provider Grants BIDDER NAME: Islesboro DATE: 9/28/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Town of Islesboro town manager

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned
  - o Project coordination and management for adaptation of a life-line road

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Partially described
  - o Would benefit from more measurable deliverables and outcomes, and clearer structure
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Somewhat
  - Unclear what desired outcomes are. Engineering study will be funded by another grant still pending. Outreach objectives and further funding objectives would benefit from more specificity and measurability.
- Task 1 Project preparation (CRP service provider assist with RFP for part time facilitator/planner position)
- Task 2 Preliminary Project Launch (new hire develops RFP for engineering firm)
- Task 3 Secure project engineering, launch community engagement
- Task 4 Project Scoping and Assessment, Exploration of Funding Options
- Task 5 Community Engagement
- Task 6 Adaptation Strategy, begin securing funds
- Task 7 Continue securing funds, climate vulnerability community conversations

   Including how to fund facilitator/planner beyond CAG award
- Task 8 Finalize funding, ensure resilience planning sustainability
- Task 9 Equitable engagement

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Service Provider Grants BIDDER NAME: Islesboro DATE: 9/28/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - The Narrows floods regularly, need to re-do earlier engineering study with updated STS SLR projections

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Moderately expected
  - Typical information sharing approaches: word of mouth, social media, local media, town emails, community events
  - Stipends for time, travel, childcare
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Equity goals for community participation are solid but left to RFP bidders to propose approaches

Project duration

• 2 years

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Pending Coastal Communities Grant application for Task 3
  - What happens if CCG request is not funded? A significant objective of this proposal will not be achieved
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) yes and n/a
- Other notes
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Jay DATE: 10/21/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

#### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Sen. Russell Black
  - o Rep. Sheila Lyman
  - o Police Chief
  - Town office manager
  - Main-land Development Consultants resident and local business

#### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Closed Cell Spray Foam Roofing Application
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o B1

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Detailed and reasonable
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
- Task 1 Install spray foam (one vendor already identified, will put project out to bid)
- Task 2 Project management

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [well-aligned, somewhat, minimally] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - o Building houses town office and police department
  - Spray foam would nearly triple the insulation R-value of the roof. Starting point for future HVAC improvements
  - o Town has already converted streetlights and town office lighting to LED

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Jay DATE: 10/21/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

o Savings to be reinvested in other energy upgrades

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Describes public notice efforts for enrollment workshop
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
- "Cost savings of this project will be equally distributed amongst all taxpayers in town" Project duration
  - 1 year

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   "Efficiency Maine rebates will be sought" will need this in budget
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - o n/a but in kind staff time for project management offered
- Other notes
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Kennebunkport and Kennebunk DATE: 10/4/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### \*\*\*\*

#### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipal
- Previous applicant (y/n): yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Town of Kennebunk
  - o The Climate Initiative
  - o Kennebunk-Kennebunkport Chamber of Commerce

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Multi-community
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): 1 small, 1 large
- SVI (low, med, high): both low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Catalyzing Youth & Community in Mitigation and Adaptation to Sea-Level Rise Impacts in the Kennebunks
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o well-aligned

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Partially described
  - Tasks and timeline are fairly detailed
  - Roles and responsibilities could be more clearly assigned to specific individuals
  - Would like to know how many youth could potentially participate
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o somewhat
  - o Applicant's expected outcomes are described as:
    - "Our expected outcome is a documented understanding of community perceptions on climate change, particularly the threat of sea level rise, and the development of actionable recommendations"
    - "we hope to have strong outcomes that lead to widespread understanding of the risks of sea level rise and community buy-in on the need for dedicated, actionable next steps"

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Kennebunkport and Kennebunk DATE: 10/4/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Would benefit from clearer and more specific details on how this project will inform and interact with the currently CRP-funded climate action planning project
- These outcomes are general and unspecific. The proposal would benefit from a list of specific metrics and indicators that will signal whether the project had achieved the desired outcomes

#### Tasks

- (1) Educate and equip youth in partnership with TCI on the science behind sea level rise and interventions that humans can take to mitigate and prepare communities;
- (2) Intentional trust building all potential stakeholders including indigenous communities, business leaders, residents and youth
- (3) The creation of surveys to be distributed to key stakeholders participating in this program prior to the beginning of the community chats;
- (4) Development of information strategies and community conversation priorities to guide all stakeholders through conversations surrounding sea level rise;
- (5) The development of actionable, community recommendations geared towards mitigating and adapting the impacts of sea level rise on the Dock Square and Lower Village areas of Kennebunkport and Kennebunk;
- (6) Ongoing education and participation in community efforts from all local stakeholders that engage to mitigate and prepare for sea level rise;
- (7) The creation of mid-project and post-project surveys of participants to assess how attitudes around the threat of sea level rise have changed during the course of the project; and
- (8) Analysis of surveys completed by youth in TCI program and dissemination of results and next steps through a series of town hall events

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Youth-based model
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Frequent mention of inclusion of underserved and indigenous populations ("We seek to actively include them in these community conversations and center BIPOC voices where possible") but more detail on how these groups would be invited, included, and empowered would be helpful

Project duration

• 2 years

A project that is purely about community engagement and education can't point to a completed construction project or a documented plan or policy change as its indicator of success. Therefore, key questions for this proposal are:

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Kennebunkport and Kennebunk DATE: 10/4/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- What is the theory of change for this proposal and specifically how will success be measured?
- Specifically, how will the project fit within other CRP-funded work in the two towns and region?

### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$125,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Narrative says that 40% of funds (\$50K) would go to project personnel, plus another 10% (\$12.5K) to grant management, but does not identify those individuals and their gualifications.
  - Another 40% to participation stipends
  - Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
    - o **n/a**
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes

•

o Budget seems disproportionately high compared to the general outcomes expected

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Lamoine DATE: 10/5/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Rep. Lynne Williams
  - Hancock County Commissioners

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Lamoine Energy Transition Project: Municipal Solar PPA + Heat Pumps
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with C6, C7, B4

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Detailed and reasonable scope of work
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely to achieve outcomes
- Task 1 Enter into a PPA for electricity generate by a solar array on a town building
- Task 2 Install 10 heat pumps in various town buildings
- expected outcome is a reduction in the town's consumption of fossil fuels and a long-term reduction in the town's energy costs

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - "This project is a community priority because the planet is in crisis and the Town of Lamoine is seeking to be part of the solution"

• Two previous solar sites fell through due to siting issues and higher than expected costs Engagement and equity

• Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Lamoine DATE: 10/5/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Solar and heat pump project was proposed at CRP community workshop and widely supported by participants
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Lowering energy costs reduces tax revenue need and/or allows town to shift resources to other important needs, like ability to provide social safety net to town's vulnerable population
  - Heat pumps in school building will provide air conditioning is summer

Project duration

12 months

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   EMT funds not described in budget
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Not required but \$2,000 from Conservation Commission for PPA work
- Other notes
  - o Need to factor EMT incentives into budget, may reduce award.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Limestone DATE: 10/5/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

#### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - MSSM Foundation
  - Limestone select board member
  - o NMCC
  - o Sen. Trey Stewart
  - o Rep. David McCrea

### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Enhance operation of solar generation equipment
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o G2, H4

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Detailed and reasonable scope components
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely to achieve outcomes of system efficiency improvements and site security
- Task #1: Determine the best option to improve the efficiency of the fixed array.
- Task #2: Replace defective gear sets to improve operational efficiency of the tracker site by 10%.
- Task #3: Install fencing around the solar generation equipment for public safety and security.
- Need
  - Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
    - Well aligned

Engagement and equity

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Limestone DATE: 10/5/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Engaging MSSM and NMCC students in hands-on activities and learning about renewable energy in the classroom
  - o project is guided by the diverse group of volunteers on the Limestone solar committee
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - "Like other small towns in Maine, Limestone struggles to keep operating cost low while providing essential services to our residents"

Project duration

12 months

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Not required but \$30,300 of other funds and in kind provided
- Other notes
  - Interpreting budget narrative for Task 2 to say that repair of remaining 5 units has not begun, so Task 2 should be fundable

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Lisbon DATE: 10/2/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

#### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Lisbon Town Council
  - o Sen. Jeff Timberlake
  - o Rep. Rick Mason
  - o Lewiston Auburn Chamber of Commerce
  - Positive Change Lisbon

### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Worumbo Waterfront Conversion
  - Community accessible park and event space
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o B5, E1, E5, E10

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o L
- Task 1 Regrade, loam, and plant grass on 4.5 acre site
- Task 2 install 15 solar powered LED lamp posts
- Task 3 plant 15 native trees and 15 shrubs
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely
  - Project goals are to support transition of former mill site to community recreation space that will increase green space and reduce impervious surface in floodplain

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Priority of 2014 Downtown Revitalization Plan

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Lisbon DATE: 10/2/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

• Reduction of impervious surface will improve flood resilience by increasing infiltration and reduce flooding of commercial district

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Redevelopment plans vetted with community this past May with over 300 respondents
  - Not applicable to scope
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Vulnerable groups not identified
  - o "positive impact on EVERY resident of Lisbon"

Project duration

• Less than 1 year, spring 2023

- Total request: \$49,897.50
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
  - But number of tree/shrub plantings (10 each) do not match scope of work (15 each)
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   Not required but town providing \$4,233.60 worth of staff time
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
- Other notes
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Livermore DATE: 10/21/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

#### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Town
  - o Community Center committee
  - o Select board

### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

#### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Clean Energy for Community Buildings
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with Strategy B

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Tasks are reasonable but would benefit from more detail how many heat pump units, lighting fixtures, windows, etc.? Has a vendor been identified?
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely to achieve outcomes
- Task 1 Upgrade to LED Lighting at community building
- Task 2 Install new windows at community building
- Task 3 Install heat pumps at town office, highway garage, and community building

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [well-aligned, somewhat, minimally] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well aligned
  - Builds on previous efforts:
    - Has partnered with Revision to purchase clean energy PPA? Community solar?

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Livermore DATE: 10/21/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

> One heat pump already installed at town office and efficiency propane system at community building

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Outreach through paper surveys, social media and town website has "sparked interest in all age groups who have attended meetings and workshops aimed at improving energy efficiency?
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o Reduction in heating costs mean less money has to be raised through taxes

Project duration

• Dec 2023 (1 year)

- Total request: \$43,647.55
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Minimal narrative provided
    - Would benefit from more detail on costs/tasks
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   Not clear whether EMT incentives are included
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - o n/a
- Other notes
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Lubec DATE: 9/28/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Sen. Marianne Moore
  - o Lubec Planning Board Chair
  - Application lists other partners, but no letters of support
    - UMM GIS
    - SCEC
    - Wash County EMA

## Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well aligned

## Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Partially described
  - o Tasks 1-6 generally well described, though would benefit from better defined deliverables
  - o Tasks 7-8 are substantially underdeveloped
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o somewhat
- Task 1 Map conserved lands
- Task 2 Map floodplains
- Task 3 Map vulnerable populations
- Task 4 Planning evac routes
- Task 5 Update Comp Plan
- Task 6 Update Emergency Operation Plan
- Task 7 Energy Efficiency
  - "Hire a consultant or get help from Efficiency Maine" is not a sufficiently developed task or deliverable

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Lubec DATE: 9/28/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Task 8 Communication
  - Task needs further development, what is deliverable? what outcomes are desired?

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Minimally considered
  - Information on past engagement provided but less clear on engagement strategies for the proposed scope of work
  - Broadband paragraph is out of context
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o Somewhat
  - Mapping of vulnerable communities is described, but participation by vulnerable communities needs more details beyond "ensure that vulnerable communities understand where to find assistance". How?

Project duration

- 2 years
- Timeline provided

- Total request: \$49,830
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   n/a though perhaps MEMA funding available for EOP, MPAP \$ for comp plan?
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) yes and n/a
- Other notes
  - Tasks 7-8 not detailed sufficiently to fund (2000+6830=\$8,830)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Millinocket DATE: 11/21/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Town Manager

## **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): high

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Heat Pumps for the Millinocket Municipal Building
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o **B**4

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task, roles, outcomes are described
  - Task 1 Install heat pumps at town office and fire station
    - 7 units at town hall, 2 at fire station
    - Estimated \$5000 per unit but town has requested a quote from a vendor and will provide additional cost detail
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely to achieve outcome

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well aligned
  - 11.3% of town residents live below poverty line and 33% are over age 65

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - More than 30 residents participated in enrollment workshop
  - o Survey on social media and town email list

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Millinocket DATE: 11/21/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Town will explore setting aside the savings from increased energy efficiency to be used to offer a bulk purchasing program for low-income residents.

Project duration

• Unclear but likely 1 year or less

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   Will need EMT incentives added to budget
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - o **n/a**
  - Other notes
    - Estimated \$5000 per unit but town has requested a quote from a vendor and will provide additional cost detail

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Monhegan Plantation DATE: 9/26/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: plantation
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Island Institute
  - o LUPC
  - o Lincoln County Planning Commission

## Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? UT/plantation
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned

## Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine]
  - o likely
- Task 1: Public Water System Assessment
- Task 2: Treatment System Installation
- Task 3: Community Water System Upgrade Public Meeting and Update

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Immediate concern stems a boil-water order in 2021 due to E. coli contamination from aging chlorination equipment
  - Will study impacts of drought, saltwater intrusion, and possibility of needed to relocate facility due to SLR

Engagement and equity

• Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Monhegan Plantation DATE: 9/26/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Moderately reasonable
- Single public meeting
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o Yes, and well designed
  - Plans for leadership and public access to information and meetings.

- Total request: \$36,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   Shore Up grant if costs exceed budget
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
- Other notes
  - Unsure we can fund unspecified repairs in Task 2, they may need to apply for a subsequent grant for these costs

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Mount Desert DATE: 10/3/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Chair, Selectboard and Climate Action Task Force
  - o ACTT
  - o GMRI

## **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Town of Mount Desert Greenhouse Gas Inventory, Municipal Solar Array Pre-Development, and Climate Vulnerability Assessment informed by Community Resilience Training
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with C1, C2, C7, F1

## Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - o detailed and reasonable scope components
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
  - Partners have high levels of expertise and community trust
- Task 1. Conduct a Community-Wide Greenhouse Gas Inventory
  - Contract ACTT to utilize ICLEI ClearPath (already purchased)
- Task 2. Municipal Solar Array, Pre-Development
  - Contract ACTT to conduct: site prioritization, feasibility study for top sites, build community support, integration into town budget and planning, RFP process
- Task 3 Community Resilience Training
  - o GMRI Community Resilience Training program with ACTT and Island Institute
- Task 4: Climate Vulnerability Assessment
  - Will contract with consultant, possibly GMRI
  - Assessment parameters listed, including social and economically vulnerable community members, elderly, young, low income, and working waterfront community

Need

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Mount Desert DATE: 10/3/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well aligned
  - Updates and implements town's 2022 Climate Action Plan

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Robust and well-designed
  - Process-intensive scope provides multiple points of participation for community members
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Vulnerability assessment includes social and economically vulnerable community members, elderly, young, low income, and working waterfront community

Project duration

• 18 months (Feb 2024)

- Total request: \$49,225
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a but other funds and in kind listed
- Other notes
  - Very detailed budget narrative

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: North Yarmouth DATE: 10/2/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Will contract GPCOG (no letter provided)
  - o 2 members of North Yarmouth Economic Development & Sustainability Committee
  - o 3 residents
  - Cumberland North Yarmouth Lions Club
  - Living Well in North Yarmouth
  - o MSAD #51
  - o NY Historical Society
  - Wild Seed Project
  - o Yarmouth Water District
  - o Cumberland County Manager

## Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: North Yarmouth Climate Action Plan
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well aligned with C1-3, E4, F1, F13, G1, H2, H4, H5

## Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o detailed and reasonable
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely
- Task 1 Data Collection and Analysis
- Task 2 Municipal GHG Inventory and Community Emissions Indicators
- Task 3 Vulnerability Assessment
- Task 4 Action Planning
- Task 5 Community Engagement
- Task 6 Report Drafting
- Task 7 Develop Standard Small Town Approach to Climate Action Planning

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: North Yarmouth DATE: 10/2/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Task 8 Implement Climate Action to Upgrade Municipal Buildings with Energy Efficient Systems
   Install LED lighting in Town Hall and Public Works
  - o Install heat pump at Community Center data room

## Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned
  - "Town has many active volunteer committees who are willing and able to help, but don't know what needs to be done"
  - Implementing energy saving projects is "vital to show the broader community that the Town is leading by example"

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Will create a Community Engagement Plan at outset of project
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Would benefit from more developed goals for engaging "underserved and socially vulnerable communities"

Project duration

• 12 months?

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   Does not indicate EMT funding is included in Task 8's LEDs and heat pumps
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes
  - Nearly half of budget is for Task 8 Energy Efficiency improvements, which are defined in the scope of work.
  - Would like to see itemized costs for Task 8

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Orono & Bangor DATE: 10/2/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

#### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - City of Bangor
  - Town of Orono
  - o BACTS
  - Bangor-Orono MOU provided
  - o BACTS RFP and winning proposal provided

## Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Multi-community
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): both large
- SVI (low, med, high): low, medium

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Bangor Region Climate Action and Adaptation Plan (CAAP) Completion (PHASE 2)
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned with F1, C2, G1, H5

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Scope of work is complete but would benefit from greater detail of tasks. Detail is provided in winning bidder's proposal but would like to see agreed upon tasks, deliverables, and timeline.
  - Phase 2 work was scheduled to begin in June 2022, so some of this may not be fundable
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely
- The tasks to complete Phase Two of the CAAP are:
  - Extensive public engagement, including interviewing local stakeholders of diverse backgrounds
  - Finalize the compiling of data
  - o Generate solutions
  - o Prioritize solutions based on public engagement while ensuring social equity
  - o Create public education and outreach materials; and

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Orono & Bangor DATE: 10/2/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

o Create mitigation plans for the region.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - "the budget is well over what the City and partners had allotted (specific numbers are noted in the budget narrative). Therefore, additional funding is needed to allow us to maintain the original scope of the project"

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Will interview over 35 people with "diverse backgrounds to highlight different vulnerabilities within the region"
  - "allow smaller communities within the region (that may not have funds or staff to contribute and that are categorized as medium social vulnerability) to obtain emissions data and a vulnerability assessment"
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - "final plan is required to identify how recommended projects and measures could result in improvements in social equity and quality of life, build prosperity, and enhance community resilience for all people"

Project duration

• 12 months

- Total request: \$125,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Yes, \$70,000 from City of Bangor
- Other notes
   Oron
  - Orono's award in Round 1 was
    - \$20,000 for Phase 1
    - \$20,000 for municipal energy audit
    - \$10,000 sustainability & weatherization fair
  - Bangor's award in Round 1 was
    - \$21,000 for EV chargers
      - \$14,500 for Phase 1
      - \$14,500 for Phase 2
  - o If this round is awarded in full, the towns will have received a combined total of \$225,000

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Otisfield DATE: 10/28/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o none

## **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Saving Energy and Protecting Watersheds
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o B4, E7, H2

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o detailed and reasonable
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine]
  - Task 1 is likely to achieve outcomes
  - Task 2 somewhat likely to achieve outcomes of educating residents and sparking conversations, but would benefit from follow up outreach
- Task 1 Install heat pumps at town office
  - Will include utility service panel upgrade to support future EV charging and other beneficial electrification projects
- Task 2 Revise 13-year-old watershed guide for home and property owners
  - o distribute 1000 copies
  - o volunteer committee will develop content
- Task 3 Public awareness event
  - Highlight heat pump and watershed guide projects, education on individual and community opportunities for mitigation and adaptation

Need

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Otisfield DATE: 10/28/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - "address immediate needs while the town appoints a standing committee to guide future resilience efforts"
  - o LED upgrades already made
  - Town paid \$6,000 in heating costs last winter
  - Algal blooms and swimming closures on local ponds are a new phenomenon
- Increased development in watersheds plus climate-related stresses to ponds Engagement and equity
  - Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
    - o Over 40 residents participated in enrollment workshop
    - 0
  - Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
    - If waterfront property values decrease due to declining water quality, then local tax burden shifts to lower income residents

Project duration

Less than 1 year

- Total request: \$40,480
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Needs to revise budget with EMT incentives for Task 1
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
- Other notes
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Paris DATE: 10/6/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### \*\*\*\*

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

## Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o none

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): high

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Police and fire station solar upgrades
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well aligned with C7, B1

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o detailed and reasonable
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely to achieve outcomes
- Task 1, install 144 kW solar system at the police station
- Task 2, fire station feasibility study for solar plus storage, building envelope assessment, and heat pumps

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well aligned
  - Demonstration of town leading by example for community

# • Fire station feasibility study will produce "shovel ready" projects for future funding

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Described enrollment workshop
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Paris DATE: 10/6/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

 $_{\odot}$   $\,$  Task 2 will position town to add extreme weather shelter for vulnerable populations Project duration

•

- Total request: \$41,695
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   Task 2 as described seems beyond the services of Efficiency Maine's assistance
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Task 1 cost share is value of ITC, if allowed
- Other notes

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Portland DATE: 10/4/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Mayor of Portland

## **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large
- SVI (low, med, high): low

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Portland Sustainable Neighborhoods Program
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Aligned with F15, H2, H5

## Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Scope would benefit from better developed tasks, more specific timeline, and measurable outcomes.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine]
  - Somewhat likely
  - Would prefer that this application had already identified the participating communities and had buy in from community leaders and city department staff. Seems like that work hasn't begun yet.
- Task 1 Join Sustainable Neighborhood Program certification program
- Task 2 Translation, printing, materials, etc.
- Task 3 Launch event and recognition event
- What are the measures of success?

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o A more robust project description and plan would likely be well-aligned

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Portland DATE: 10/4/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Project concept is all about community participation, but task list focuses on what will be purchased rather than what will be done

0

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o Two neighborhoods will be selected representing diverse communities.
  - Would benefit from more detail about selection criteria and selection committee composition.

Project duration

• 1 year timeline but budget narrative asks for \$3000 to license SNN for a second year

- Total request: \$20,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes
  - o unclear how neighborhood-defined initiatives would be funded

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Rockland DATE: 9/27/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Rockland City Council resolution
  - o Island Institute
  - Penobscot Bay Chamber of Commerce
  - Rockland Main Street Inc.
  - Rockland Harbor Management Commission / Ad Hoc Downtown Waterfront Advisory Committee
  - North Atlantic Blues Festival
  - o Rep. Valli Geiger

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): high

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Project will produce climate-ready preliminary engineering design that reduces flood risk, proactively addresses stormwater, anticipates electrification of boats, reduces asphalt, improves multimodal and pedestrian connection and incorporates green design components
  - o Living shoreline

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o detailed and reasonable
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely
  - Part 1 Predesign
    - o 1a additional survey
    - o 1b geotechnical investigation
- Part 2 Preliminary design
  - o 2a Project coordination and stakeholder engagement
  - o 2b Preliminary design

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Rockland DATE: 9/27/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

o 2c Preliminary design report

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Positions project as "of regional significance" for federal funding requests
  - "Climate action as an opportunity"

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Robust and well designed
  - o Consensus building approach
  - Multiple means of community participation
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o Identifies island communities as at-risk/vulnerable

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   yes
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) yes and n/a
- Other notes
  - o Less than12 months

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Rockport DATE: 10/2/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Town of Rockport
  - Stewardship Education Alliance

## Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Rockport Climate Vulnerability/GHG Emissions Assessment and Outreach Plan Development: Equitable and Bold Climate Strategies for Rockport's Future
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o C1, E4, F1, G1, H2, H4, H5

## Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Scope components are well described and reasonable
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely, but unclear whether scope can be completed within this budget
  - 1) Municipal and Community GHG Inventory
- 2) Social, infrastructure and ecosystem vulnerability assessments
- 3) Develop Outreach Plan

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned
  - Strong direction from residents demonstrated
  - \$1M damage from 2021 storm

Engagement and equity

• Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Rockport DATE: 10/2/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- "will create an Outreach Plan with the assistance of volunteers, including members of the RCC, whose strengths range from water quality monitoring and environmental education to electrification and marine biology."
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - "expected that the proposed social vulnerability assessment will help better identify the most vulnerable populations in the Town"
  - "the oldest and youngest people in the Town will bear the brunt of the effects of climate change in the short and the long term. To address this generational inequity, RCC will work with schools, youth organizations and public safety to develop outreach materials for these populations."

Project duration

• 24 months

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - o n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a but \$2,000 provided
- Other notes
  - Town has already met with consultants to "determine feasibility of this price structure" but does not indicate whether the pricing is feasible.
  - Unclear that scope can be completed within grant budget

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: South Portland DATE: 9/29/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

## Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o GMRI
  - o GPCOG
  - o Maine Geological Survey
  - o NOAA

### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large
- SVI (low, med, high): low

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: South Portland Coastal Resilience Project
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well aligned
  - Use city's new dynamic flood inundation model to engage community and incorporate input into planning and policies

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Detailed and reasonable
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine]
  - o Likely
- Task 1 Finalize dynamic flood inundation model
- Task 2: Develop a Community Engagement Strategy
- Task 3: Incorporate Community Input into Planning & Policy Development
- ٠

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o Compelling need for raising community awareness of flood risks

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: South Portland DATE: 9/29/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- o Links to comp plan update and resilience overlay goals
- o Position city for future NWFW and other federal grants

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Robust and well designed
  - Typical outreach (social media, tabling, PR/newsletter,) plus targeted efforts to new Mainers and historically underserved neighborhoods
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Yes and well-designed

Project duration

2 years?

## Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes

0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: St. George DATE: 9/30/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Dr. Whitney King, Colby College
  - o Marshall Point Lighthouse and Museum
  - Maine Historic Preservation Commission
  - o Rep. Anne Higgins Matlack
  - o Sen. David Miramant

## Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Visualizing Solutions: Assessing Vulnerable Infrastructure & Sites, Exploring Options and Engaging the Community Through 3-D Imaging.
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - o Detailed and reasonable
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o likely
- Task 1: Data Acquisition
- Task 2: Data Processing and 3D Modeling & Visualization
- Task 3: Mitigation Analysis & Design Charette(s) Optioneering
- Task 4: Community Engagement

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Completed Maine Flood Resilience Checklist in 2020

Engagement and equity

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: St. George DATE: 9/30/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
   o robust and well-designed
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]

somewhat

Project duration

• June 30, 2023 (1-yr)

- Total request: \$49,600
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
   Task 3 Funds Requested does not match.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   \$117,500 of services are being donated by project partners
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
  - Other notes
    - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Surry DATE: 9/30/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### \*\*\*\*

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Sen. Nicole Grohoski
  - Blue Hill Heritage Land Trust
  - o Hancock County Planning Commission
  - Hancock County Soil & Water Conservation District

## Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low
  - Note: applicant provided substantial statistical and social programming details showing that "subsets of the community experience high social vulnerability"

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Community Vulnerability Assessment
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Partially described
  - o Identifies goals and tasks to be delivered by a consultant.
  - Does not identify specific roles for town staff or residents, nor timelines for intermediate steps,
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o somewhat

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned

Engagement and equity

• Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Surry DATE: 9/30/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Details given about the town's previous community engagement activities but does not describe how residents and stakeholder will be able to participate in this project
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o Minimally
  - Only says that consultant will be asked to "Identify climate risks to community members, particularly to vulnerable community members," and in the budget narrative, "The Town will pay particular attention to enabling the consultant to meet with socially vulnerable community members." Greater detail would be helpful.

Project duration

• 18 months

Well-aligned project concept but proposal requires additional development of specific tasks, roles, deliverables, and community participation.

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes
  - Unclear how town assessed that the consultant cost would be \$50,000

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Tremont DATE: 10/3/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Tremont Sustainability Committee
  - o Tremont Selectboard member
  - o GMRI
  - o ACTT
  - o Member of Comp Plan Task Force, Planning Board, and Sustainability Committee

## Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small</li>
- SVI (low, med, high): low

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Town of Tremont Climate Resilience Planning Process with Greenhouse Gas Inventory and Climate Vulnerability Assessment
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with C1, C2, C3, F1,

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Detailed and reasonable scope components
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Like to achieve outcomes
- Task 1. Conduct a Community-Wide Greenhouse Gas Inventory
  - Will contract with ACTT to utilize ICLEI ClearPath tool (already purchased)
- Task 2. Information Gathering & Cultivating Community Engagement
  - Includes engagement plans for Town Committees, Comp Plan Task Force, Staff, and Community
  - Note: Community Engagement funded by Round 1 Community Action Grant, included in this application for completeness
- Task 3 GMRI's Community Resilience Training
- Task 4. Draft Climate Vulnerability Assessment

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Tremont DATE: 10/3/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- will contract with a consultant, possibly GMRI
- "will include social and economic vulnerability alongside infrastructural vulnerability and evaluate how sensitive groups, such as elderly, young children, low-income, and the working waterfront communities might be affected by climate impacts."
- Task 5. Draft Climate Resilience Plan and Implementation Guide (ACTT)
- Task 6. Feedback and Revision (ACTT)
  - o Town leadership review and Community review
  - Task 7. Implementation Kick-off (ACTT)
    - o Multiple kick off events for Community, Town Staff, Sustainability Committee

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - "town passed a climate resolution in 2021, which recognized the town's vulnerability to climate change and called for local action, mitigation, and adaptation. In 2022 the Selectboard reaffirmed this priority by unanimously voting to conduct a community-wide greenhouse gas inventory and develop a Climate Resilience Plan"
  - o Neighbor to Acadia NP
  - "there has never been a robust community engagement effort in Tremont to establish consensus around the pathway for local greenhouse gas reduction and resilience. The robust community engagement proposed as part of this project will allow the town to develop a plan that truly reflects community needs and priorities and ensures community support"

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Robust and well-designed
  - Process-intensive scope provides multiple points of participation for community members
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Vulnerability assessment includes social and economically vulnerable community members, elderly, young, low income, and working waterfront community

Project duration

• 18-24 months (June 2024)

- Total request: \$48,905
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a but other funds and in kind listed
- Other notes
  - o Very detailed budget narrative

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Waterford DATE: 10/6/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### \*\*\*\*

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Selectboard

### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Install a renewable energy solar system on the office portion of the town municipal building.
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with C7

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - o Installer and cost estimates identified
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
- Task 1: Install 11.4 kW solar system on Waterford Town Municipal Building
- Mentions CRP round 1 funded heat pumps which are planned to be installed in November

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Covers 63% of building's historical energy use, new heat pumps will add to load

## o Information and savings will be instructive to future town energy projects

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Project implements a priority of town-wide discussions led by PEER Waterford

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Waterford DATE: 10/6/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o Minimal engagement or immediate benefit to vulnerable communities described

Project duration

•

• 12 months

- Total request: \$49,979
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
  - Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
    - n/a but list ITC as match
- Other notes
  - o Project size in kW to increase if ITC payment is allowed

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Westport Island DATE: 9/30/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

#### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Sen. Chloe Maxmin
  - Rep. Holly Stover
  - o LCRPC
  - o Jeffrey Tarbox, Chair of Select Board
  - Plumbing Inspector
  - 0

### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s):1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o Planning for Ground Water During Climate Change on Westport Island

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o detailed and reasonable
  - very thorough scope of work and components
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o likely
- Task 1 Data Collection and Compilation well survey, water quality testing, well geodatabase
- Task 2 Data Analysis and GIS Mapping recharge, saltwater intrusion potential, land use guidance and ordinances,
- Task 3 Aquifer Monitoring Long term plan, salinity monitoring pilot, town GIS transfer
- Task 4 Community Engagement and Outreach

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Westport Island DATE: 9/30/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

> "Westport Island faces the additional challenge of climate change induced uncertainty regarding groundwater recharge, water level elevations, and saltwater intrusion due to sea level rise. In recent years, especially in the summer of 2022, drought conditions have led to reports of wells going dry and a growing number of wells that are impacted by saltwater intrusion."

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o robust and well-designed
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o Somewhat
  - "Low income or elderly residents will be sought out to ensure that they are represented and included in all aspects of the project"

Project duration

• June 2024 final presentation

- Total request: \$48,500
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - costs not provided in narrative
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Windowdressers – Eastport & Pleasant Point DATE: 11/21/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

\*\*\*\*

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: nonprofit
- Previous applicant (y/n): yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Passamaquoddy tribal chief
  - o City of Eastport city manager

### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Multi-community tribal and municipal governments
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Weatherize Eastport and Pleasant Point
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with H1, H5, H7

## Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Very thorough task descriptions, roles, timelines
  - o Identifies a local coordinator for each community
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
  - o local coordinator for each community increases odds of success for this complex project
- Task 1 Education, Outreach, and Planning
  - Hire local coordinator for window insert build in Pleasant Point to:
    - Identify location for build, Recruit volunteers for build, Measure windows, Use Windowdressers' software, Schedule build
  - Form PP Resilient Citizens Committee
    - Finance director will advise on options for a self-sustaining committee and manage funding the committee receives
  - Eastport 3 educational sessions on weatherization, heat pumps, and subsidies
    - Drop in hours
    - Vendor selection committee (ACTT consultation)
- Task 2 Application review and selection
- Task 3 Project Implementation

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Windowdressers – Eastport & Pleasant Point DATE: 11/21/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

o Eastport subsidy paid direct to vendor

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Both communities are small, isolated and have populations that are older and higher poverty rates
  - Housing stock is old and not energy efficient
  - o Residents lack funds to upgrade their homes, and may not be aware of incentives
  - Vendors may be unwilling to travel to remote communities unless they are assured multiple jobs while there

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Establishes new citizen committees
  - Will use communications channels that residents are accustomed to
  - Provide food at events
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Eastport to prioritize outreach and funding to elderly and low-income households
- Project duration
  - 2 years

- Total request: \$125,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   Not in budget but will be included in residential projects as applicable
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Yes, staff time
- Other notes

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Woodstock DATE: 10/21/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Conservation Commission

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Energy Audit, Heat Pump Installation, and Building Capacity with a Resilience Committee
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with C1, B4, H1

## Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Detailed and reasonable
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
  - Task 1 Baseline energy audit
    - Across 5 buildings, public facilities, signage, and vehicles
    - o Generate a list of energy efficiency improvements
  - Task 2 Install heat pumps in town office
    - Will leverage EMT Small Municipality Retrofits incentive
- Task 3 Establish a resilience committee
  - o Committee will help manage future CRP grants
  - Will contract CEBE to facilitate committee

#### Need

•

- Does the project need align with MWW? [well-aligned, somewhat, minimally] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well aligned
  - o Improving under-weatherized buildings will save on energy costs

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Woodstock DATE: 10/21/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

o Building capacity is needed as town grows

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Robust and well designed
  - Formation of a resilience committee
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Formation of the resilience committee will "center diversity" and emphasize "developing socially and economically diverse representation...with special car to foster youth participation and include stakeholders from public safety, land conservation, business, and education sectors"

Project duration

- Less than 1 year
- Task 1 3 months
- Task 2 2 months
- Task 3 before spring 2023 CAG round

- Total request: \$26,820
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   EMT included for heat pumps
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
- o n/a
- Other notes
  - o Task 1 asking for \$500 for unspecified low-cost improvements recommended by audit

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Woolwich DATE: 9/30/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): no
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Sen. Allison Hepler
  - Sagadahoc EMA director
  - Woolwich EMA director

### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o Increasing Emergency Preparedness in Woolwich

## Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Task 1 is sufficiently detailed and reasonable
  - Task 2 would benefit from more detail on HMP's goals, how climate hazards will be included, and what outcomes are expected
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Somewhat
  - Task 1 would benefit from greater detail about how survey responses will be encouraged and how data will be utilized
  - Task 2 seems like a substantial undertaking and it is unclear that this scope of work, the timeline, and budget are sufficient

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - well-aligned

Engagement and equity

• Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Woolwich DATE: 9/30/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Brian Ambrette EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Task 1 robust and well-designed
- o Task 2 minimally considered
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Task 1 robust and well-designed
  - Task 2 minimally considered

Project duration

6 months

- Total request: \$10,900
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Aroostook Band of Micmacs DATE: 10/6/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Tribal Government
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o TNC
  - o Southern Maine Conservation Collaborative
  - o First Light
  - o University of Maine Aquaculture Research Institute

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Tribal application
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Energy Sustainability of Micmacs Hatchery using Solar Power
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o C7, D1
  - Will help to reduce Maine's Greenhouse Gas Emissions, foster economic opportunity and prosperity, and advance equity
  - o Build an additional approximately 15 kW capacity of solar panels at the hatchery site.

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Clear and complete
  - Would benefit from additional detail of tasks
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Somewhat likely
  - o Multiple components and funding requests to achieve 90kWh

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - To help facilitate the connection of tribal members from the Aroostook Band of Micmacs, a federally recognized tribe, with high quality protein to address community resource and health disparities. This is a priority because it could demonstrate the ability of a hatchery

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Aroostook Band of Micmacs DATE: 10/6/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

to be environmentally sustainable and carbon neutral while working towards tribal food sovereignty. In addition, increasing electricity costs threaten the viability of the hatchery.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Tribally-owned fish hatchery
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Distribute both fish from our hatchery and produce from our farm directly to local pantries and tribal homes dealing with food security

Project duration

• 6-12 months

- Total request \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
  - Other fundraising \$145,000
- Other notes

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Arrowsic DATE: 9/27/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Senator Eloise Vitelli
  - o Arrowsic select board
  - Arrowsic Local Emergency Management Agency
  - o Kennebec Estuary Land Trust
  - o Viewshed

## Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Title: Arrowsic Climate Action and Outreach Plan
  - o Well-aligned
  - Task 1: "Establish or recognize an official committee of community stakeholders." (H1)
  - Task 2: "Conduct a community vulnerability assessment that identifies climate risks and vulnerable populations and includes a review of existing plans and policies. Adopt a climate resilience plan that describes high priority strategies for reducing risk and vulnerabilities (may be a standalone plan or included in a comprehensive plan)."(F1)
  - o Task 3: "Create a climate change education, outreach, and engagement program,
  - o focusing on mitigation and adaptation for residents and businesses." (H2)

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Detailed and clear, with timeline, roles and responsibilities
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely
  - Qualified consultants

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - $\circ$  Well-aligned

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Arrowsic DATE: 9/27/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Well-designed
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o Somewhat
  - Flexible meeting times and hybrid meetings
  - Appreciate the focus on students
  - Would benefit from additional detail about how more vulnerable community members will be identified and engaged

Project Duration

• 18 months

- Total budget request \$35,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - o **n/a**
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Bar Harbor DATE: 10/28/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Town manager

### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Yes
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Municipal Building Electrification
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o Strategy B and H

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Complete and reasonable, with timeline, roles and outcomes
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Energy auditing, community engagement, and building electrification and efficiency upgrades
  - Bar Harbor Municipal Climate Action Plan identifies electrification of municipal building heating and cooling systems, as well as maximizing energy efficiency across municipal operations, as key to reducing municipal greenhouse gas emissions
  - Demonstrate climate and cost-savings benefits

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Good opportunities for stakeholder engagement and public input

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Bar Harbor DATE: 10/28/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Not addressed

Project duration

•

2 years

- Total request \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   Efficiency Maine Commercial & Industrial incentives
  - Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
    - Included not required
    - In-kind, Efficiency Maine, future municipal budgeting processes and federal grants if available (including IRA)
- Other notes
  - o Did not provide an actual estimate for VRF

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Bath DATE: 10/28/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o none

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: City of Bath Modernizing Municipal Facilities Master Planning A Model for Business and Residential Facility Upgrades
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o Strategy B1-6, H2

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Scope is minimally described in this section, some additional detail provided in the budget but would benefit from additional detail including timeline
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Somewhat likely

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o Facility master planning process with education opportunities
  - Climate action Commission and Bath City Council have identified the "Modernizing Maine's Buildings" as an achievable long term goal

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Community climate conversations to engage the public
  - o Providing information materials
  - Local climate action commission committed to continued community engagement

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Bath DATE: 10/28/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

0

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Working with community organizations to reach marginalized populations

Project duration

Unclear

- Total request \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
  - In-kind staff time
- Other notes
  - Provided scope of work in place of budget narrative, cost estimates would benefit from additional detail

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Bethel DATE: 10/28/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o none

### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Yes
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Assessing and Addressing Efficiency Needs in Bethel's Buildings
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o Strategy C1, B1

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Sufficient, with timeline, roles and outcomes
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine]
  - o Likely

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o Baseline energy study and energy efficiency plan for municipal facilities
  - o Identified as a top priority in workshop

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Diverse attendance
  - o Creation of Bethel Community Resilience Committee
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Energy cost savings will help control expenses to keep taxes down

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Bethel DATE: 10/28/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

Project duration

• 1 year

- Total request \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Blue Hill and Brooksville DATE: 9/27/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

#### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Blue Hill
  - o Brooksville
  - o Senator Nicole Grohoski
  - o Representative Sarah Pebworth
  - o Chair of the Brooksville Sea Level Rise and Climate Change Committee
  - o Co-chairs (2) of Blue Hill's Climate Resilience Committee
  - o Superintendent of School Union 93
  - Waxwing Business
  - o Jim Fisher, resident and Chair of the Hancock County Planning Commission

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Multi-community
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Blue Hill-Brooksville Community Vulnerability Assessment
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o F2, G1, G2, G3

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Detailed and clear, with timeline, roles and responsibilities
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [well-aligned, somewhat, minimally] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Blue Hill community members prioritized the following action areas: conduct a community vulnerability assessment, develop a capital plan for investing in climate-ready infrastructure upgrades, and improve and protect infrastructure that that is vital for ensuring adequate clean drinking water and for climate-ready wastewater treatment.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Blue Hill and Brooksville DATE: 9/27/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Brooksville's community workshop participants identified a vulnerability assessment to address roadway resilience to high tides, storm surge, sea level rise and emergency management, focused on fire protection and wildfire risk.
- Joint work as a replicable model

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Part of the scope
  - Local committee leadership
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Part of the competitive procurement process will be the firm's demonstrated experience in engaging "priority" community members, i.e., those who already experience or are most at risk of climate change.

**Project Duration** 

• 18 months

- Total project budget \$125,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Bowdoinham DATE: 10/28/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o None

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Energy Efficiency Improvements for Town of Bowdoinham
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o Strategy B5, B2, B3, B4

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Complete and reasonable, with timeline, roles and outcomes
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine]
  - o Likely
  - Contractor bid attached

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Energy efficiency improvements
  - o Recommended in comprehensive plan climate chapter

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Identified in comprehensive plan which included public participation
  - Utilized comprehensive plan committee for public engagement
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Bowdoinham DATE: 10/28/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

o Actions will benefit all community members

Project duration

• <1 year

- Total request \$37,991
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   Efficiency Maine rebates \$1,251
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes
  - o Bid attached

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Brunswick DATE: 10/2/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Greater Portland Council of Governments
    - Eileen Johnson of Bowdoin College

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Brunswick Climate Action Plan
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o C1, C2, C3, E4, F1, F13, G1, H2, H4, H5

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Detailed tasks with timeline, roles and responsibilities, deliverables and outcomes
  - Partnering with Eileen Johnson and Bowdoin College to collaborate on a Vulnerability Assessment, resulting in development of regional best practices for social vulnerability data collection and a standard for future vulnerability assessments that could be used across the state.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Setting clear, actionable goals and recommendations to reduce emissions and vulnerabilities
  - Concurrent with comprehensive plan update

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Robust community engagement throughout the entire project

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Brunswick DATE: 10/2/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- o Workshops, outreach materials, educational events
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - The Vulnerability Assessment will help guide Brunswick to engage communities who are historically underserved and underrepresented to participate in the action planning process.

Project duration

• 12 months

- Total request \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) included not required
- Other notes
  - o Host site fee for the AmeriCorps Fellow for 25% of their time

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Camden DATE: 10/6/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Electric Vehicle Purchase for Town of Camden's Code Enforcement Officers/Plumbing Inspector
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o Strategy A

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Minimally complete and reasonable
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Somewhat likely

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - CEOs average about 550 miles per year. Replacing with an EV will offset 3.7 tons of carbon
  - o Also applying for 2 EV chargers through Efficiency Maine

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Leading by example and publicizing EV incentives
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Cost savings for the town could mean a lower tax burden

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Camden DATE: 10/6/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

Project duration

• Not provided

- Total request \$40,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   Yes, Efficiency Maine incentives (\$7,500)
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
  - o In-kind \$12,453.20
- Other notes
  - o Partnership grants are capped at \$2,000 per light-duty vehicle

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Carrabassett Valley DATE: 10/6/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Sen. Russell Black
  - Rep. Thomas Skolfield
  - New England Mountain Bike Association
  - o Sugarloaf Resort

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: EV Charging Stations Outdoor Center
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o Strategy A

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Complete and reasonable
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely
  - o Similar process, same team as installations funded under previous grant

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Region is heavily dependent on tourism
  - o Addresses range anxiety among mountain bikers and winter sports enthusiasts

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Community engagement as part of Partnership participation and upcoming comprehensive plan

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Carrabassett Valley DATE: 10/6/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o Economic benefits from tourism benefits wide segment of population
  - Environmental benefits of EVs

Project duration

• Schedule is weather dependent

- Total request \$28,853
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   Efficiency Maine?
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes
  - o Included installation quote

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Carthage DATE: 10/28/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Town of Carthage selectboard
  - Western Maine Community Action

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Town Office, Emergency Shelter, Community Building and Food Pantry Energy Efficiency Upgrades and Community Outreach on Energy Savings
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o Strategy B1, B3, B4, H5

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Would benefit from additional detail about with timeline and roles
  - o Task 4 lacks clear outcomes. What does 1/3 project achieve?
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely
  - o Task 4 unclear

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o Implement energy efficiency measures identified in energy audits

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Workshop was open to the public and was held outside of normal business hours to ensure that the working population of Carthage was able to attend
  - o Notices and word of mouth to attract people to attend

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Carthage DATE: 10/28/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- o Town hall hosts town events
- Energy fair as a community event
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Energy improvements will save taxpayers money
  - Food pantry helps elderly and food insecure
  - Fire station is emergency shelter
  - o Residents on fixed incomes
  - o Energy fair to learn about opportunities to save, window inserts for 30 households

Project duration

<1 year</li>

- Total request \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   Efficiency Maine rebates
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
  - Rebates and in-kind staff time
- Other notes
  - Town intends to seek a Belvedere grant for insulation upgrades, what happens if they don't get the funding?

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Castine DATE: 10/28/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o None

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Castine Public Buildings Lighting and Weatherization Upgrade
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o Strategy B5

## Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Complete and reasonable with roles and deliverables
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Lighting, insulation and door upgrades at town hall building, used by many organizations including elementary school

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Town hall is used by school, multiple clubs and organizations
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Project benefits most community members
  - o Town hall is designated as emergency warming center

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Castine DATE: 10/28/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

Project duration

• Project timeline TBD as scheduling vendors can be difficult in a remote community like Castine

- Total request \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   o Efficiency Maine?
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
  - Yes but source is unclear
- Other notes
  - o Other local maintenance funds available if needed

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Chebeague Island DATE: 10/2/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Island Institute
  - Gulf of Maine Research Institute
  - o Chebeague Transportation Company
  - o Senator Cathy Breen
  - Chebeague Island Oyster Company
  - Greater Portland Council of Governments

### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Preparing for the Voyage Ahead: Building a Framework for Chebeague Island's Climate Future
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - C1 Municipal GHG Inventory
  - o C2 Community GHG Inventory
  - F1 Community Vulnerability Assessment
  - o E7 Source Water Protection

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Detailed tasks with timeline, roles and responsibilities, deliverables and outcomes
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - A comprehensive climate resilience planning effort

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Chebeague Island DATE: 10/2/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Meetings and updates
  - o Island Institute's Resilient Leadership Framework
  - GMRI's Planning Forward
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o Yes
  - Meetings at times that work for working families, fishermen/fisherwomen and for seasonal residents; in-person and online options for participation

Project duration

• 12 months

- Total request \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) included not required
- Other notes
  - o Hydrogeological services
  - Shore-up grant if needed for Task 3

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Cumberland DATE: 10/4/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

\*\*\*\*

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Senator Catherine Breen, District #25
  - Representative Stephen W. Moriarty District #45
  - o David Witherill, Moderator Cumberland Congregational Church
  - o Sara Mills-Knapp Greater Portland Council of Governments
  - Chris Bolduc, Assistant Town Manager and Director of Public Services, Town of Cumberland
  - Letters include support for local food and community gardens, which are not part of proposed scope

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Reduce greenhouse gas emissions of the Town of Cumberland by reducing use of fossil fuels and enhancing sequestration from natural systems
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Aligned
  - o A1, B4, H2
- Criteria 4 Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Tasks 1-2 are reasonable
  - Task 3 would benefit from additional detail
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Somewhat likely, need more detail on Task 3

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Aligned
  - o Implement the town of Cumberland's climate action plan
  - Task 3 is described differently in different places, need clarification

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Cumberland DATE: 10/4/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Meetings and public survey to develop the climate action plan
  - o Included survey results
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o Minimal, proposal does not describe how benefits will be distributed equitably

Project duration

•

• 24 months

- Total request \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
  - Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) • EMT technical assistance for VRF engineering analysis?
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) committed not required
  - o \$3,000
  - Other notes
    - Task 1 Partnership grants are capped at \$2,000 per EV
    - Part of Task 3, re-granting is not permitted. Education campaign should be open to all residents

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Dover-Foxcroft DATE: 10/6/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o MaineDOT
  - o Piscataquis County Economic Development
  - EMDC
  - Representative Richard Evans
  - Piscataquis County Chamber of Commerce
  - o Center Theatre
  - o Board of Selectmen
  - o Development Committee

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s):
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000):
- SVI (low, med, high):

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Developing a "Complete Streets" Redevelopment Plan for Downtown Dover-Foxcroft
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - $\circ$  Well-aligned
  - o Strategy A9

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Complete and reasonable, with timeline, deliverables
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely
  - Working closely with MaineDOT

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Pedestrian safety, public transit and downtown redevelopment

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Dover-Foxcroft DATE: 10/6/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Identified in comprehensive plan which included public participation
  - DOT planning process includes public input
  - Support for project at workshop to present proposal
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o Low income residents are impacted by unhealthy streets
  - o Will be involved in planning

Project duration

• 1 year

- Total request \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   DOT \$75,000
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Falmouth DATE: 10/6/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Falmouth Land Trust

### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000):
- SVI (low, med, high):

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Supporting local food production and social equity: Provide clean energy to Hurricane Valley Farm/Cultivating Community
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Strategy B, Strategy C

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Partially complete
  - Task 2 deliverable is not clear "provide a source of energy"
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Somewhat likely

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o Reduce emissions, independent energy, food access
  - Public benefit Cultivating Community works with asylum seekers and low-income households
  - Project is on private land trust property but the description of how the improvement provides robust public benefit is consistent with program requirements.

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Described ongoing community engagement

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Falmouth DATE: 10/6/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- o Projects prioritized to benefit as many members of the community as possible
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o Access to affordable food to low-income residents

Project duration

• 1 year

- Total request \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes

   Is Task 2 only the battery?
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   EMT?
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Farmington DATE: 10/28/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Community members

### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Farmington Community Center HVAC
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned
  - o Strategy B4

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Complete and reasonable with roles and deliverables
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o 6 HVAC units on roof of community center to improve heating, cooling and ventilation
  - Community center is used for recreation, events, town meetings, voting, and as evacuation site for school
  - As part of larger project to replace roof

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Public meeting was advertised and televised
  - Committee members volunteer in multiple roles and get feedback

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Farmington DATE: 10/28/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o diverse community
  - Would benefit from additional detail

Project duration

• <1 year

- Total request \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   o Efficiency Maine?
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
  - Yes \$109,270
  - All other costs including the roof from the reserve account
- Other notes
  - o Based on engineering estimates

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Fort Kent DATE: 9/27/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o **Residents**
  - District Forest Ranger

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Highland Avenue Comprehensive Drainage Analysis
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Strategy G: Invest in Climate-ready Infrastructure

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Detailed and clear, with deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, outcomes
  - Scope of work from consultant attached
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Assess climate vulnerability and prepare the Town for climate-ready construction of stormwater management on Highland Avenue

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Demonstrated and ongoing

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Fort Kent DATE: 9/27/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o Project is in a neighborhood with many elderly and low-income residents

**Project Duration** 

• 6 months

- Total project budget \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Could the applicant/project succeed with partial funding? (probably, unlikely)
- Other notes
  - o Consultant bid is not to exceed

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Freeport and Yarmouth DATE: 10/2/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

#### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Town of Yarmouth
  - Town Manager of Freeport
  - Chair of Freeport Town Council
  - Representative Melanie Sachs
  - Representative Arthur Bell
  - o GPCOG
  - Freeport Sustainability Advisory Board
  - Members of Steering Committee on Freeport Climate Action Now

#### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Multi-community
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Freeport and Yarmouth Sustainability Partnership: Creation of a Shared Full-time Sustainability Coordinator Position
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o C1, C2, F1, G1, H5

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Would benefit from additional detail, particularly deliverables and outcomes
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Outcomes not clear

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Opportunity of shared coordinator will allow for a regional and collaborative approach to climate action planning.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Freeport and Yarmouth DATE: 10/2/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Would benefit from additional detail
  - "Our hope is that with added staff capacity we can develop an inclusive and successful community engagement plan"
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o Doesn't address how vulnerable populations will be engaged

Project duration

• 15 months

- Total request \$121,388
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes
  - Budget is not organized around deliverables

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Georgetown DATE: 10/28/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Georgetown Community Center
  - Georgetown Central School
  - o Georgetown Volunteer Fire Department
  - Representative Allison Hepler

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Georgetown Level Three Energy Assessment and Plan for Town Buildings
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o Strategy B1, C1, H1, H5

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Complete and reasonable, with timeline, roles and outcomes
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Energy assessment and plan for improvements for town office/school, fire station, First Church (town-owned large meeting space) and community center
  - o Municipal buildings are old and energy inefficient
  - Energy inefficiency and costs frequently mentioned at well-attended community workshop
  - Recommended by town energy working group

Engagement and equity

• Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Georgetown DATE: 10/28/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Robust community engagement efforts including youth and working with town organizations to reach community members
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o Commitment to keeping taxes affordable for a diverse community
  - o Target audience for energy efficiency workshops is vulnerable populations

Project duration

2 years

- Total request \$39,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes
  - Budget based on preliminary estimates
  - o In kind from volunteers

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Greenwood DATE: 10/28/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Greenwood Fire Department
  - Greenwood Highway Department
  - Conservation Commission
  - o Selectboard

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Heating Upgrades to Municipal Building and Energy Fair
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o Strategy B1, B4, H5, H7

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Complete and reasonable with roles and deliverables except Task 4
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely
  - Task 4 outcomes unclear

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Heat pumps at town office, fire department, and public works, and insulation at old town hall, plus energy fair
  - Heat pump quotes and energy audit
  - o Reduce heating bills, improve air quality and comfort
  - Save energy and reduce greenhouse gas emissions

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Greenwood DATE: 10/28/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Energy fair will help residents
  - Reduce property tax burden
  - o Improve housing stock
  - Workshop included community outreach, open to the public and hybrid, held outside normal business hours
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Energy fair will target those will benefit most

Project duration

•

• 1 -2 years depending on contractor or supply chain delays

- Total request \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   Efficiency Maine rebates, Belvedere, in-kind
  - Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
    - Provided but not required
- Other notes
  - o Based on estimates

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Hallowell DATE: 10/6/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Mayor of Hallowell
  - o Finance Committee Chair/City Council President
  - Conservation Commission Chair

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: EV for Hallowell Police Department's Lead Patrol
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Strategy A

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Complete and reasonable
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - $\circ$  Well-aligned
  - o Net-zero goal
  - o Tangible reduced costs

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Action highlighted by residents during community workshop
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Hallowell DATE: 10/6/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

o Public safety response is needed by all

Project duration

• 1 year

- Total request \$45,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   \$7500 Efficiency Maine rebate
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
  - o \$7500 Efficiency Maine rebate
- Other notes
  - Partnership grants are capped at \$2,000 per light-duty vehicle

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Harpswell DATE: 10/28/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o none

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Town Office Energy Improvements Phase 1
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o Strategy B1, B2, B5

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Complete and reasonable
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine]
  - o Likely

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - LED lighting and energy audit to identify building envelope improvements at the town office will reduce energy use and costs
  - o Identified in the town's adopted sustainability plan

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Extensive community process to develop sustainability plan
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Harpswell DATE: 10/28/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

o Cost-reductions will impact the town budget and benefit all residents

Project duration

• Unclear

- Total request \$32,203
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes but doesn't match narrative
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   Efficiency Maine LED lighting rebates
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Islesboro DATE: 9/27/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: The Narrows and Beyond: Resilience Planner Pilot
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Strategy H, Strategy G

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Detailed and clear, with timeline, roles and responsibilities
  - o Outcomes would benefit from additional detail
  - o Pilot to demonstrate planner capacity and manage consultant
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Adaptation of a life-line road impassable in storm events as a precedent for community engagement that builds capacity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Well-designed
  - Will be included in RFP
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Islesboro DATE: 9/27/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

o Equitable engagement capacity through the Island Institute fellow

Project Duration

• 24 months

- Total project budget \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Could the applicant/project succeed with partial funding? (probably, unlikely)
- Other notes
  - Task 3 requires successful Coastal Communities Grant for engineering (\$50,000)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Jay DATE: 10/28/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Senator Russell Black
  - o Representative Sheila Lyman
  - o Jay Police Chief
  - Jay Office Manager of Town Office
  - o Local business owner/resident

### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Closed Cell Spray Foam Roofing Application
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned
  - o Strategy B1

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Complete and reasonable, with timeline, roles and outcomes
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely
  - o Initial proposal provided, town will put the project out to bid

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Insulate municipal building roof to reduce energy use and costs and allow for future upgrades
  - o As a demonstration project

Engagement and equity

• Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Jay DATE: 10/28/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Town will collect data and show how projects are saving money and providing for the community
- Workshop included community outreach and was held outside of normal business hours
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o Cost savings will be equally distributed among all taxpayers

Project duration

•

1 year

- Total request \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   Efficiency Maine rebates will be sought and other grant opportunities
  - Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
    - Provided but not required
    - Rebates, in kind, additional funds?
- Other notes

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Kennebunkport and Kennebunk DATE: 10/4/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

#### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Kennebunk
  - The Climate Initiative
  - o Chamber of Commerce

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Multi-community
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small and large
- SVI (low, med, high): low

#### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Catalyzing Youth & Community in Mitigation and Adaptation to Sea-Level Rise Impacts in the Kennebunks
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o Strategy H 2
  - o In partnership with The Climate Initiative

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Partially complete with tasks, roles and responsibilities
  - Would benefit from additional detail about deliverables and outcomes
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Somewhat likely
  - Contracting with A Climate to Thrive

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - SLR will impact the main business district in Kennebunkport, the towns share a riverfront business district
  - Youth leadership and engagement is critical in the development and implementation of climate solutions.
  - Not clear how the project will engage with other local climate efforts, including town climate planning funded by Round 1 Partnership grant

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Kennebunkport and Kennebunk DATE: 10/4/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Youth focused
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Mentions reaching out to underserved populations but would benefit from additional detail how
  - o Stipends

Project duration

• 18 months

- Total request \$125,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
- o n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes
  - o Budget is not organized by tasks

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Lamoine DATE: 10/6/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Representative Lynne A. Williams
  - Hancock County Commissioners

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Lamoine Energy Transition Project: Municipal Solar PPA + Heat Pumps
- The proposed scope of work is [well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with C6/C7, B4

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Detailed and reasonable.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine]
  - o Likely

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Installing solar and adding heat pumps will shift energy consumption from fossil and reduce town's carbon footprint
  - o Inspire individual residents

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Project was developed with community input
  - The project will provide a high-profile means of communicating with the public about the benefits of heat pumps and solar.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Lamoine DATE: 10/6/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Lowering the town's energy costs will benefit all residents of Lamoine—reducing the amount of tax revenue needed, and/or allowing tax revenue to be shifted to important town needs, including aspects of the safety net for the town's vulnerable population.
  - o The installation of heat pumps will cool classrooms which benefits students

Project duration

• 1 year

- Total request \$50,000 for Task 2
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   EMT?
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
  - Task 1 by the Town Conservation Commission
- Other notes

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Limestone DATE: 10/6/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### \*\*\*\*

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Maine School of Science and Mathematics
    - Senator Trey Stewart
  - Representative David Harold McCrea
  - Northern Maine Community College
  - Town of Limestone Board of Selectmen

### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Enhance operation of solar generation equipment
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o G2, H4

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Detailed and reasonable, with tasks and timeline, roles and responsibilities
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - The first round of CRP grant funding provided \$50,000 to help offset the \$425,000 purchase price of the solar generation equipment. In this second round requested CRP grant funds will be used to enhance operational efficiency of both systems and help offset other project costs.

Engagement and equity

• Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Limestone DATE: 10/6/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- This project continues to be guided by the diverse group of volunteers on the Limestone solar committee
- In partnership with Students from Northern Maine Community College and the Maine School of Science and Mathematics
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o Engaging high school and community college students

Project duration

• 1 year

- Total request \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
  - Other funds \$30,300 not specified
- Other notes

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Lisbon DATE: 10/2/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Town of Lisbon
  - Senator Jeff Timberlake
  - o Representative Rick Mason
  - o Lewiston Auburn Chamber of Commerce
  - Positive Change Lisbon

### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Worumbo Waterfront Conversion
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o B1, E1, E5, E10

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Detailed and reasonable with timeline and outcomes, roles and responsibilities
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Conversion of a former mill site into a community recreation space, including green space with shade trees and will reduce impervious surface to reduce runoff and flooding

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Vibrant community engagement structure in place
  - o Social media, electronic sign, email lists, non-profit partners

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Lisbon DATE: 10/2/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- o Workshop held outside of business hours
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Project will benefit every resident

Project duration

• 6-12 months

- Total request; \$49,897.50
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) # trees?
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) committed not required
- Other notes
  - o Estimates based on quotes

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Livermore DATE: 10/28/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Town of Livermore Administrative Assistant
  - o Community Center Committee President/resident
  - o Selectboard

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Clean Energy for Community Buildings
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o Strategy B

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Would benefit from additional detail
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely
  - Only LED lighting estimate attached, others?

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Heat pumps at town office, highway garage and community building, windows and energy efficiency lighting at community building will reduce GHG, energy use, costs
  - Part of larger strategy to reduce energy costs including purchasing clean energy, heat pump at Town Office, energy efficient propane furnace at community building

Engagement and equity

• Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Livermore DATE: 10/28/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Town has been making efforts to improve communications which has sparked interest among community members
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o Savings will benefit taxpayers

Project duration

• 1 year

- Total request \$43,647.55
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Efficiency Maine rebates?
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes
  - Are each of the budget amounts based on estimates?

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Lubec DATE: 9/27/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Senator Marianne Moore
  - o Lubec Planning Board Chair

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Resiliency Planning for Lubec's Future
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o Strategy F2, F9, Strategy E2, E3, E4, E10, Strategy B1

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Tasks include timeline, roles and responsibilities
  - o Tasks 7 and 8 would benefit from additional detail
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o Resiliency planning as part of Comprehensive Plan update

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Inclusive process will lead to better planning
  - Promote community forum in multiple ways
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Lubec DATE: 9/27/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- o Minimally
- Task 3: Mapping vulnerable populations

Project duration

• 2 years

## Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$49,830
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes

0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Millinocket DATE: 11/21/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Town Manager

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): high

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Heat Pumps for Millinocket Municipal Building
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned
  - o Strategy B4

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Complete with timeline, roles and outcomes
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Help the town offset the cost of heating

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Well-attended community workshop and survey identified heat pumps as top priority
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o Will use savings to explore bulk purchasing for community households

Project duration

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Millinocket DATE: 11/21/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

Not included

- Total request \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   Efficiency Maine?
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes
  - \$5,000 per unit plus labor, wiring and electrical costs x 7 units. Town has requested a vendor quote

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Monhegan DATE: 9/27/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Plantation
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Island Institute
  - Lincoln County Planning Commission
  - Bureau of Resource Information and Land Use Planning (BRILUP) in the Department of Agriculture, Conservation, and Forestry

## Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Plantation
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- The proposed scope of work is [well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Title: Monhegan Water Company Treatment Facility Assessment and Upgrade
  - Action G3: Improve and protect drinking water and wastewater treatment facilities to reduce physical damage and sustain function during extreme weather events.

# Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Detailed and clear, with timeline, roles and responsibilities except
  - o Task 2 deliverables are non-specific and dependent on assessment
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely for Tasks 1, 3

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Many components of the water system are aging and in need of assessment in order to keep up with demand, adapt to changing climate conditions, and meet current and future water treatment standards.

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Well-designed

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Monhegan DATE: 9/27/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Equitable engagement through the Island Institute's Resilient Leadership Framework, to strengthen leadership skills among community members who are most at risk of the social and economic impacts of climate change – would benefit from additional detail
  - Web-based materials and printed materials available at the town office, individual invites, meetings at times that work for working families, fishermen/fisherwomen and for seasonal residents, in-person and online options

## Duration

• 12 months

- Total budget request \$36,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   DEP?
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes
  - Budget includes \$25,000 for changes or upgrades (?) to the current chlorination treatment system (pending results of the water system assessment)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Mount Desert DATE: 10/4/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o MDI selectboard and climate task force chair
  - o Climate to Thrive
  - o GMRI

## **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Town of Mount Desert Greenhouse Gas Inventory, Municipal Solar Array Pre-Development, and Climate Vulnerability Assessment informed by Community Resilience Training (Three key steps in implementing Mount Desert Climate Action Plan.)
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o C1, C2, C7, F1

# Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Detailed and reasonable with timeline, deliverables and outcomes, roles and responsibilities
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely
  - Contracting with A Climate to Thrive

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Three of the first steps to implement the town's climate action plan

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o All four tasks are designed with a high degree of community participation

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Mount Desert DATE: 10/4/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- o Gulf of Maine Research Institute's Community Resilience Training
- Role of climate ambassadors? Funding from previous round
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o Significant aging population
  - Climate-focused vulnerability assessment will help the Town of Mount Desert ensure that its Climate Action Plan attends to the most vulnerable within the town population.

Project duration

18 months

- Total request \$49,225
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) committed not required
  - Town has already spent \$833 on an ICLEI-Local Governments for Sustainability membership to access the inventory tool
  - GMRI funding from NOAA for workshops
  - Other notes
    - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: North Yarmouth DATE: 10/2/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### 

#### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Lions' Club
  - o Living Well
  - o MSDA 51
  - o Historical society
  - o Wild Seed
  - o Yarmouth Water District
  - o Cumberland County

### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

# Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: North Yarmouth Climate Action Plan
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o C1, C2, C3, E4, F1, F13, G1, H2, H4, H5

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Detailed and reasonable with timeline and outcomes, roles and responsibilities
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Climate Action Plan
  - o Scaled-down approach for small town
  - o Municipal energy savings

Engagement and equity

• Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: North Yarmouth DATE: 10/2/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- o Throughout project
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - As part of community engagement plan
  - o Would benefit from additional detail

Project duration

12 months

- Total requested \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   EMT funding for LEDs and heat pumps?
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) committed but not required
  - \$1,000 toward Americorps fellow fee
- Other notes

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Orono and Bangor DATE: 10/2/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Town of Orono
  - o City of Bangor
  - o BACTS

## **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Multi-community
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): both large</li>
- SVI (low, med, high): low and medium

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Title: Bangor Region Climate Action and Adaptation Plan (CAAP) Completion
  - o F1, C2, G1, H5

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Complete but tasks not detailed
  - Detail is provided in RFP response, attached
  - o Gantt chart indicates that some work is already complete
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Phase 2: creating an actionable climate action and adaptation plan (CAAP) for the greater Bangor region.

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - This Phase involves extensive public outreach to garner community support and ensure these efforts properly include and protect all residents.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Orono and Bangor DATE: 10/2/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o Community interviews and diverse advisory committee

Project duration

•

• 15 months

- Total request \$125,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
  - Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) committed not required
    - o \$70,000 from Bangor
- Other notes
  - Attached RFP and consultant bid
  - Budget not provided by task
  - What is the current status of Phase 2 tasks?

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Otisfield DATE: 10/28/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o none

## **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Saving Energy and Protecting Watersheds
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o Strategy B4, E7, H2

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Complete and reasonable, with timeline, roles and outcomes
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine]
  - o Likely
  - Working with CEBE

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Heat pumps in town office
  - Watershed protection guide
  - Standing committee for future resilience efforts

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Community engagement sessions
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Otisfield DATE: 10/28/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Cost savings impact every resident
- o Algal blooms threaten public beaches and shorefront property values/tax base

#### Project duration

•

• < 1 year

- Total request \$49,150
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) No
  - Total request = \$49,150 not \$40,480
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
  - Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) o Efficiency Maine?
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Paris DATE: 10/6/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

## Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): high

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Police and fire station solar upgrades
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o C7, B1

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Detailed and reasonable, with tasks and timeline, roles and responsibilities
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Strapped by increasing energy costs, the town has however chosen a project with public support that also has immediate financial benefits and another with an eye to future resilience to an increasingly volatile climate.
  - Solar power installation on the roof of the Paris police station and feasibility study to bolster our fire station's resilience as an emergency shelter for the community during summer heat waves, extreme winter weather and power outages

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Described community engagement session and ideas generated

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Paris DATE: 10/6/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o A town with high social vulnerability and low municipal capacity
  - o Emergency center will protect vulnerable populations
  - Immediate cost benefits of the police station solar installation will directly impact Paris residents.

Project duration

1 year

- Total request \$41,695
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   EMT?
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) committed not required
  - \$14,499 tax credit
- Other notes

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Portland DATE: 10/4/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Mayor of Portland

## **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large
- SVI (low, med, high): low

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Portland Sustainable Neighborhoods
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned with H2
  - Somewhat aligned with F15, H5

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - o Would benefit from additional detail on tasks, roles and responsibilities, and deliverables
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Somewhat likely
  - Not clear how the applicant is defining success of the pilot

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Potentially well-aligned
  - o Not clear what the program includes

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Will decentralize the city's climate actions and outline neighborhood scale initiatives
  - Robust engagement to develop One Climate Future
  - Not clear how community members have been involved in the development of the proposal

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Portland DATE: 10/4/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - The program has goals to benefit communities likely to be disproportionately impacted by climate change
  - Direct outreach
  - o Events will be organized with input from many voices and groups

Project duration

1 year

- Total request \$20,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes
  - o Budget includes purchasing access to program materials and licensing, and event costs

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Rockland DATE: 9/27/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Island Institute
  - Rockland Harbor Management
  - o Rockland Main Street
  - o Pen Bay Chamber of Commerce
  - o Representative Valli Geiger
  - o North Atlantic Blues Festival
  - o Rockland City Council

## Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): high

# Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Climate Ready Landside Infrastructure for Rockland's Downtown Waterfront
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o Climate ready preliminary engineering and cost detail
  - o G1, G2, A5, E9, H

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Detailed and clear, with deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, outcomes
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - At-risk infrastructure, multiple objectives, builds on existing momentum, earlier investments, and community support, and positions the project for federal funding

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Rockland DATE: 9/27/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Consensus building approach
  - o Local committee leadership
  - o Multi-media community engagement and diverse participation
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o Stakeholder engagement including island communities

Duration

18 months

- Total project budget \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) committed but not required
- Other notes
  - o Included consultant estimates

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Rockport DATE: 10/4/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Town of Rockport
  - Stewardship Education Alliance

## **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Rockport Climate Vulnerability/GHG Emissions Assessment and Outreach Plan Development: Equitable and Bold Climate Strategies for Rockport's Future
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned
  - o C1, E4, F1, G1, H2, H4, H5

# Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Detailed and reasonable with timeline, deliverables and outcomes, roles and responsibilities
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - To collect and synthesize baseline data from a variety of sources in order to identify and communicate vulnerable infrastructure, populations and ecosystems. This assessment will be used to prioritize future municipal climate action and to direct outreach and mitigation efforts to our most vulnerable physical assets and residents. The outcome will be a Rockport Climate Action Plan that will both increase local resilience and inform short and long term planning

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Rockport DATE: 10/4/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Robust and well-designed
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - The proposed social vulnerability assessment will help better identify the most vulnerable populations in the Town
  - o Partnering with non-profits
  - o Additional emphasis on youth

Project duration

24 months

- Total request \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) committed not required
  - \$2,000 from Rockport Conservation Commission
- Other notes
  - o Have already met with consultants to ensure feasibility of cost estimates

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: South Portland DATE: 9/27/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Gulf of Maine Research Institute
  - o Greater Portland Council of Governments
  - Maine Geological Survey
  - o National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

## **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large
- SVI (low, med, high): low

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: South Portland Coastal Resilience Project
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o Strategy F1, F10

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Detailed and clear, with timeline, roles and responsibilities
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Incorporate dynamic sea level rise projections and their impact into planning and permitting coastal development
  - As a model for other communities

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Robust and well-designed

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: South Portland DATE: 9/27/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Thoughtful, specific strategies to diversify outreach

**Project Duration** 

2 years

- Total request \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: St George DATE: 9/27/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Colby College
  - Marshall Point Lighthouse & Museum
  - Maine Historic Preservation Commission
  - o Representative Ann Matlack
  - Senator David R. Miramant

# Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Visualizing Solutions: Assessing Vulnerable Infrastructure & Sites, Exploring Options and Engaging the Community Through 3-D Imaging.
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Strategy G

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Detailed and clear, with timeline, roles and responsibilities
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Conduct a vulnerability assessment for critical community infrastructure that includes: 1) the climate hazards to which infrastructure assets are expose and how the intensity and likelihood will change over time; 2) the susceptibility to damage or failure, given location, design, age, condition, and state of repair; and 3) the consequences that impairment or failure of the infrastructure will have on the community.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: St George DATE: 9/27/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Robust and well-designed
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Uses visual storytelling to deepen understanding of complex information, expand the decision-making capacity of community members, and connect with the community at large.
  - An outreach plan will provide highly visible project information and solicit feedback on adaptation options.

Project Duration

• 1 year

- Total request \$49,600
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Task 3 discrepancy
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) committed but nor required
- Other notes
  - Supported by in-kind donated services provided by Sebago Technics and its partners

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Surry DATE: 9/27/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Senator Nicole Grohoski
  - o Hancock County Planning
  - Hancock County Soil and Water District
  - Blue Hill Heritage Trust

## Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Community Vulnerability Assessment
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?
  - o Well-aligned
  - o F1 and G2

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Clear scope of work for consultant, including community committee role
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - (a) Conduct a community vulnerability assessment that identifies climate risks and vulnerable populations, reviews existing plans and policies, and recommends enhanced plans and policies; (b) Study the degree to which the town's public infrastructure assets are vulnerable to flooding; (c) Identify a range of adaptation strategies for those assets, including the estimated cost of mitigation and adaptation, and,(d) Recommend a capital improvement plan that identifies sources of government and non-government funding for increasing the resilience of those assets.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Surry DATE: 9/27/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Examples of Surry's history of volunteer-powered community engagement but not
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o Provided detailed data about social vulnerability in community characteristics section
  - o "The Town of Surry will ensure" but would benefit from additional detail

## Project Duration

• 18 months

- Total request \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
- Other notes
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Tremont DATE: 10/4/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Tremont Sustainability Committee
  - Selectboard members
  - o GMRI
  - o Climate to Thrive
  - o Member of comprehensive plan task force, planning board, sustainability committee

## Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Town of Tremont Climate Resilience Planning Process with Greenhouse Gas Inventory and Climate Vulnerability Assessment
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o C1, C2, C3, F1

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Detailed and reasonable with timeline, deliverables and outcomes, roles and responsibilities
  - Community engagement funded through Round 1 award
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely
  - Contracting with A Climate to Thrive

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned

Engagement and equity

• Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Tremont DATE: 10/4/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- The robust community engagement proposed as part of this project will allow the town to develop a plan that truly reflects community needs and priorities and ensures community support.
- Gulf of Maine Research Institute's Community Resilience Training
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Vulnerable populations including low-and-moderate income homeowners, many members of the working waterfront community, and adults aging-in-place. Understanding impacts to these vulnerable populations will be a particular emphasis of the vulnerability assessment.
  - The community engagement approach will emphasize strategies to reach these groups and others that are most vulnerable to climate change.
  - A community-driven Climate Resilience Plan allows for more equitable climate solutions that multi-solve other priority issues such as energy affordability and affordable, comfortable housing.

## Project duration

• 18 months

- Total request \$48,905
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) committed not required
  - The town has already spent \$833 on an ICLEI-Local Governments for Sustainability membership to access the inventory tool.
  - o GMRI has received NOAA funding for the workshop series
  - \$3,735 of in-kind support from ACTT
- Other notes
  - o \$3,105 for specific items included in this task through a spring 2022 CRP grant

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Waterford DATE: 10/6/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

\*\*\*\*

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Board of Selectmen

## **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Install a renewable energy solar system on the office portion of the town municipal building.
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o C7

# Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Complete and reasonable
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely
  - Installer cost estimates

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Installation of a renewable solar energy project on the office portion of the Waterford Town Municipal building will provide approximately 63% of the historical Municipal building electrical load, reduce or offset approximately 12,260 lbs. of carbon emissions entering our atmosphere, demonstrate solar capability to the town residents, set the basis of data and information to pursue a future phase II solar installation on the larger firehouse portion of the municipal building to power a portion the upcoming municipal building heat pump installation, a potential future heat pump installation in the town garage, and other town power needs.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Waterford DATE: 10/6/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Described robust community outreach
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - When town energy costs decrease, the ripple effect reaches every taxpayer in the town and has the potential to lighten the burden of cost

Project duration

• 1 year

- Total request \$49,979
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) committed not required
  - o \$21,420 federal tax rebate
- Other notes

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Westport Island DATE: 9/27/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Senator Chloe Maxmin
  - o Representative Holly Stover
  - Lincoln County Regional Planning Commission
  - o Westport Island select board
  - o Local plumbing inspector

## Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Planning for Ground Water During Climate Change on Westport Island
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - $\circ$  Well-aligned
  - o E7 Implement a source water protection program

# Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Detailed and clear, with timeline, roles and responsibilities, outcomes
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Risks of saltwater intrusion due to sea level rise and changes in groundwater availability due variable precipitation associated with the effects of climate change

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Well-designed
  - Project meetings and updates

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Westport Island DATE: 9/27/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Low-income or elderly residents will be sought out to ensure that they are represented and included in all aspects of the project
  - o Free water test kits

## Project duration

• 18 months

- Total request \$48,500
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) Included not required
- Other notes
  - o Consulting firms participated in preparation of application

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Windowdressers DATE: 11/21/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Joint application
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - City of Eastport
  - Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point

## **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Yes
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): both small
- SVI (low, med, high): both high

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Weatherize Eastport and Pleasant Point
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o Strategy H1, H5, H7

# Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Detailed and reasonable, with timeline, roles and outcomes
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely
  - Local coordinator for each community

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Capacity building, community engagement around weatherization, window inserts, heat pumps
  - Both small, isolated communities with high poverty rates and older populations and older, energy inefficient housing

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Detailed engagement plan tailored to each participating community

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Windowdressers DATE: 11/21/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o Those who demonstrate the greatest need will be given priority
  - o Stipends

Project duration

• 2 years

- Total request \$125,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   Efficiency Maine?
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
  - o Included not required
- Other notes

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Woodstock DATE: 10/28/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Woodstock Conservation Commission
  - o Community Concepts/resident

## **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Energy Audit, Heat Pump Installation, and Building Capacity with a Resilience Committee
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - o Strategy C1, B4, H1

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Complete and reasonable, with timeline, roles and outcomes
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely
  - Working with CEBE

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Building capacity and taking action
  - Energy audit and heat pumps will reduce costs and emissions associated with energy usage
  - o Establishing official resilience committee to build capacity for continued action

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Substantial effort will be made to invite wide community participation on the committee

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Woodstock DATE: 10/28/22 **EVALUATOR NAME:** Sarah Curran **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF** 

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project • and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected
  - Emphasis on developing socially and economically diverse representation and youth 0
  - Budget includes stipends 0

**Project duration** 

<1 year</li>

- Total request \$26,820
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes •
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes •
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) • Efficiency Maine small municipality rebates
  - 0
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a •
- Other notes

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Woolwich DATE: 9/27/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Representative Allison Hepler
  - o Director of the Sagadahoc County Emergency Management Agency
  - o Director of the Town of Woolwich Emergency Management Agency

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project Title: Increasing Emergency Preparedness in Woolwich
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o F2, F3, F13

# Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Task 2 would benefit from additional detail
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - o Increase in severe weather; prepare for SLR

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - References public meeting for joining the Community Resilience Partnership.
  - Would benefit from additional detail about engagement going forward
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Woolwich DATE: 9/27/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Sarah Curran EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GOPIF

- Town can't assume that everyone has internet; "Every Door Direct Mail" program reaches all residents
- Updated Town hazard mitigation plan will better identify the current groups of especially vulnerable residents, would benefit from additional detail, how

### Project duration

• 6 months

- Total request \$10,900
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) n/a
- Other notes

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Aroostook Band of Micmacs DATE: 10/04/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

### \*\*\*\*\*

## Individual Evaluator Comments:

## Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Tribal Government
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o First Light Learning Journey
  - The Nature Conservancy
  - o University of Maine Aquaculture Research Institute
  - o SMCC

## Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Tribal
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small (1,100)
- SVI (low, med, high): High

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Energy Sustainability of Micmacs Hatchery using Solar Power
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - The Micmac solar project is in line with many of the Maine Won't Wait's strategies and actions. This project will help to reduce Maine's Greenhouse Gas Emissions, foster economic opportunity and prosperity, and advance equity.
  - o C7 and D1.

## Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Significant background information and description of need/project goals are provided. Timeline included.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Somewhat likely as several grant applications are in progress that together will make the project in full a success.

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Need is well-aligned.
  - The aim of this project is to help facilitate the connection of tribal members from the Aroostook Band of Micmacs, a federally recognized tribe, with high quality protein to address community resource and health disparities. This is a priority because it could demonstrate the ability of a hatchery to be environmentally sustainable and carbon

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Aroostook Band of Micmacs DATE: 10/04/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

neutral while working towards tribal food sovereignty. In addition, increasing electricity costs threaten the viability of the hatchery.

• Also well-aligned with the Tribe's Thirteen Moons Climate Change Adaptation Plan. Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Hatchery owned by the tribe.
  - Proposal does not describe how the community will be directly engaged in the project planning, but they do clearly describe how it fits into the Micmac tribal leadership's vision for the community.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - "Climate impacts to our northern Maine community are often indirect but very tangible during these economically challenging times. Households must negotiate rising cost of living, heating costs of northern Maine, and exorbitant food costs at the grocery store. We are so proud to say that our project will provide some resilience to these impacts. This project will directly reduce high, unpredictable energy costs for our hatchery, allowing us economic stability so that we can continue our mission of providing for our community."
  - By supporting the viability of the hatchery, it will allow them to continue supporting food distribution to partners across Central Aroostook County.
- Project duration:
  - o ~1 year

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - They are seeking several other grants and funding sources to build the capacity needed to produce all the power at their hatchery facility.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Arrowsic DATE: 9/29/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

#### \*\*\*\*

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Senator Eloise Vitelli
  - o Members of Arrowsic Selectboard
  - o Brian Carlton, Director, Local Emergency Management Agency for Arrowsic
  - o Becky Kolak, Executive Director, Kennebec Estuary Land Trust
  - Judy Colby-George, Principal, VIEWSHED

### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small, 447
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Proposal seems well aligned with several MWW strategies.
  - Task 1 Strategy H1
  - Task 2 Strategy F1
  - o Task 3 Strategy H2

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Detailed and reasonable.
  - Task 1 form an official standing committee, the Arrowsic Climate Resilience Committee (ACRC), with 7-10 volunteers representing diversity of experiences and background of town population. Committee will work with a consultant to develop a mission and vision for their work.
  - Task 2 Working with a consultant from Viewshed, ACRC will conduct a vulnerability assessment that will inform a climate resilience plan aimed a reducing risk and vulnerabilities to community and community members. Process will consider information from town-wide workshop and data from publicly available sources. Will consider feedback through surveys delivered by mail and made available online prior to a June 2024 vote.
  - Task 3 will work with a student intern from Bowdoin College to produce an accessible handbook focused on reducing carbon footprint.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Arrowsic DATE: 9/29/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine]
  - Work outline seems feasible, and with a combination of volunteers, an intern, and the consultant (which has experience working with communities on these types of projects), it seems likely they will reach their desired outcomes in the timeframe that has been laid out.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Need is well-aligned with MWW. Though this island community's population is small, they are located in an environmental and economically significant area in the state that is vulnerable to the impacts of climate change. This proposal seems like it will increase community awareness of their risks and vulnerabilities, as well as how to build resiliency and engagement as individuals and as a community within a region.

## Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Noted that their Climate Resilience workshop was well-attended and resulted in several residents voicing interest in increased involvement. Currently have a town conservation commission, but plan to recruit additional members to focus specifically on climate resilience and to deliver on the tasks included in this proposal. Will engage community members online and by mail. Sounds like there will be moderate community participation.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - They are aiming to recruit a diverse group of community members to the ACRC.
     Specifically they'd like student engagement, as well as stakeholder engagement from those in public safety, roads, conservation, recycling, education, and other sectors.
  - Limited detail specifically about how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate, though do say they will strive to accommodate needs with flexible meeting times, both virtual and hybrid.

- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Would prefer to see how consulting, intern, and printing costs described in the narrative budget break down from total budget request. Narrative focuses on those budget categories while worksheet breaks out requested funds by task.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   No other sources of funding listed.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
  - Other notes
    - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Bar Harbor DATE: 11/1/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Bar Harbor Town Manager, Kevin Sutherland

## **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Medium (5,089)
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Municipal Building Electrification
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned.
  - Proposal targets MWW Strategies B and H.

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Detailed and reasonable. Includes tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and clear outcomes.
  - Task 1 Complete an energy audit of Bar Harbor Municipal Building.
  - Task 2 Develop a Municipal Building Electrification Plan.
  - Task 3 Install energy monitoring system and launch energy dashboard/educational information.
  - Task 4 Begin building retrofits including weatherization and replace building heating and cooling system.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine]
  - o Likely

- Does the project need align with MWW? [well-aligned, somewhat, minimally] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Municipal building is a community gathering place and houses several local businesses and organizations.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Bar Harbor DATE: 11/1/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

- Town is committed to reducing its greenhouse gas emissions, energy consumption, and costs for community members. Municipal building is 3<sup>rd</sup> largest source of GHG emissions of town owned facilities.
- o Outdated building systems. Updating whole-building system a key community priority.
- Want to "lead by example" by adopting beneficial electrification in municipal building. Engagement and equity
  - Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
    - Robust community engagement plans including the ability to do walk throughs and observe audit process and multiple educational opportunities.
  - Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
    - Will try to pursue all logical overlaps with community engagement activities of the existing Community Action Grant held by town in partnership with Tremont and MDI.
    - Would benefit from more detail on engagement with vulnerable groups.
    - Project duration:
      - ~2 years

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) All "other funds" for task 4 aren't added for total project budget.
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) "Other Funds" for Task 3 should read \$625 I believe
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   Yes
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - o Task 4 would benefit from formal estimate of VFR system.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: City of Bath DATE: 11/1/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Letter from Rod Melanson, Director of Sustainability for the city of Bath

## **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Medium (8,766)
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: City of Bath Modernizing Municipal Facilities Master Planning A Model for Business and Residential Facility Upgrades
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned.
  - Proposal targets MWW Strategies B (B1-B6) and H (H2).

## Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Would benefit from additional detail, including clear delineation of tasks/deliverables, and outcomes, as well as a proposed timeline for the work. (See task list in budget narrative section but it could use further development and detail).
  - City looks to develop a roadmap for all city owned facilities to transition to clean energy.
  - Plan to identify significant building improvements and deferred maintenance for several municipal buildings. Upgrades to include heating and ventilation and strategic electrification.
  - Will prepare an itemized capital improvement plan, develop metrics for monitoring municipal energy use, and provide info to the public that targets how aging structures can meet energy goals.
  - Will work with a consultant to assist city in developing plans for phased capital improvement plan
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Somewhat likely. More detail would be beneficial.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: City of Bath DATE: 11/1/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned.
  - o Bath's Člimate Action Plan identifies municipal facilities significant reliance on fossil fuels.
  - August 2022 city adopted an updated resolution committing to GHG emission reductions of 80% by 2050, carbon neutrality by 2045.
  - Municipal buildings are old and inefficient.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Since joining the CRP, town and UNHSI fellow have worked to develop outreach materials focused on businesses, homeowners, and renters on efficiency, weatherization, and clean energy. Have also done a WindowDressers community build.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Will work with community partner organizations to reach marginalized neighborhoods/residents.
- Project duration:
  - o unclear

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Include scope of work in "budget narrative" section. Need more detail there on how cost estimates were reached.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - o Includes in-kind match of \$6,000.
  - o Would benefit from more detail there on how cost estimates were reached.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Bethel DATE: 11/1/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

\*\*\*\*\*

## Individual Evaluator Comments:

## Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:

0

## Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small (2,504)
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Assessing and Addressing Efficiency Needs in Bethel's Buildings
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned.
  - Proposal targets MWW Actions C1 and B1.

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Includes tasks, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes.
  - Task 1 Conduct a baseline energy audit of municipal operations at 10 municipal properties.
  - Task 2 Adopt a plan for energy efficiency and weatherize municipal facilities.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o likely

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned.
  - Identified efficiency as a top priority of town, particularly for Bethel's aging municipal facilities.
  - Report will identify potential funding and opportunities to implement efficiency recommendations to position Bethel to more proactively improve efficiency rather than approach issues piecemeal as they arise.

Engagement and equity

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Bethel DATE: 11/1/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Made strong efforts to engage community in CRP enrollment process. Advertised event widely. Had attendees at meeting that represented several different perspectives.
    - Created advisory committee called the Bethel Community Resilience Committee.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - "where property values are being driven up by the pandemic real estate boom and short term rental economy, keeping local property taxes low is of paramount importance, especially as inflationary pressure makes it even harder for LMI households (Bethel suffers from a 19.2% poverty rate overall, with 50.1% for youth under 18) to make ends meet in a seasonal, tourist driven economy. Saving money on energy consumption in the town will help control expenses to keep taxes down, while also helping to curb emissions and move Maine towards its climate goals."
- Project duration:
  - o Less than 1 year

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Blue Hill DATE: 9/29/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

#### 

#### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Town of Brooksville
  - State Senator Nicole Grohoski
  - o State Representative Sarah Pebworth
  - Annie Guppy, Chair of the Brooksville Sea Level Rise and Climate Change Committee
     Additional member of this committee, Jeff Milliken
  - o Randal Curtis, Co-chair of Blue Hill Climate Resilience Committee
  - Reg Ruhlin, Superintendent School Union #93
  - o George Hurvitt, co-owner of Waxwing Business
  - o James Fischer, Town Manager of Deer Isle, resident of Blue Hill
  - o Beth Dickens, Blue Hill Peninsula Chamber of Commerce President

### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Multi-community
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small Blue Hill (2,792), Brooksville (889)
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - They plan to address MWW strategies F2, G1, G2, and G3.

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Planning an 18-month joint project by the towns of Blue Hill and Brooksville to include:
    - A community vulnerability assessment, study of vulnerabilities to public infrastructure assets, a range of adaptation strategies for assets, recommend a capital improvement plan, and maximize community engagement throughout.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine]
  - Proposal seems detailed and likely to achieve desired outcome, particularly if they are successful in working with an environmental, engineering and technical services consulting firm to conduct the assessments.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Blue Hill DATE: 9/29/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Declare a shared interest in identifying the vulnerabilities and options for resilience among the towns that make up the Blue Hill Peninsula. They have a common set of priorities and see benefits to working collaboratively.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Each town completed their own community workshops and have each recognized the benefits of working in an "intermunicipal" manner to address community resilience.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Yes, reasonably well designed. Work will engage several different community organizations whose memberships represent a diverse set of community members and interests.
  - They plan to evaluate consultants in part based on demonstrated experience engaging with priority community members, including those most at risk due to climate change.

- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
  - Other notes
    - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Bowdoinham DATE: 10/25/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

## Individual Evaluator Comments:

## Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Affinity LED Lighting

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small (3,016)
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Energy Efficiency Improvements for Town of Bowdoinham
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Proposal targets MWW actions B2, B3, B4, and B5.

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

0

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Detailed with tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and expected outcomes.
  - Task 1 Work with contractor to install LED streetlights.
    - Task 2 Work with contractor to install LED lighting fixtures in Bowdoinham Town Office.
       Tasks will include audit of existing infrastructure and programming of smart fixtures.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely. Have already identified contractor to do this work.

- Does the project need align with MWW? [well-aligned, somewhat, minimally] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Bowdoinham's Comprehensive Plan identifies a desire to implement strategies to reduce the impact of climate change. This project will help address rising energy costs by increasing the efficiency of lighting systems. Town anticipates project will reduce annual CO2 emissions by ~20 tons and help them pursue additional goals like installation of heat pumps, solar generation, and EV charging stations.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Bowdoinham DATE: 10/25/2022 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Moderate. Comprehensive Planning Committee (~10 members) have sought community input on the new vision for the Comprehensive plan currently in process (to be released 2024). Outreach efforts primarily through articles in town's free newsletter which is delivered to all addresses in town. Committee also advertised on Facebook, bulletin boards, and other town locations/events. Meetings open to the public.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Would benefit from the inclusion of equity considerations.
  - Priority "actions chosen by the Community will benefit all members of the community by resulting in lower costs to the Town of electricity usage on lighting for decades to come."
- Project duration:
  - o ~8 months

- Total request: \$37,991
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   Yes Efficiency Maine lighting rebate for Task 2.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Brunswick DATE: 10/02/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

\*\*\*\*\*

## Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
  - Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
    - Eileen Johnson, Bowdoin College
      - o GPCOG

## Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Large (21,836)
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Title: Brunswick Climate Action Plan
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Proposal will address MWW Strategies C (C1-3), E (E4), F (F1, F13), G (G1), and H (H2, H4, H5)

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Detailed proposal including deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes related to developing the components that will make up a climate action plan report.
  - o Task 1 data collection and analysis of emissions and vulnerability data.
  - Task 2 GHG inventory and emission reduction target setting.
  - Task 3 develop a vulnerability assessment for public infrastructure, and identify climate hazards and their impacts on town assets, communities, and ecosystems.
  - Task 4 Develop a list of climate actions that correlates with emission reduction goals.
  - Task 5 Develop and executive community engagement plan.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine]
  - o Likely. Have strong partners.

- Does the project need align with MWW? [well-aligned, somewhat, minimally] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned with MWW. Town wants to mitigate and adapt to climate change, and increase the resiliency of its people, infrastructure, and environment. Brunswick is also currently working to update its comprehensive plan and hopes that developing the climate action plan concurrently will encourage alignment and successful implementation.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Brunswick DATE: 10/02/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Well-designed
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Brunswick will work with GPCOG and Bowdoin College to ensure social vulnerability lens is applied to process. They have identified workshops, outreach materials, and educational events and also anticipate as they complete their vulnerability assessment, that they will adapt their engagement strategy to increase inclusion through different workshop times, translated materials, and other accessibility services.

- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A, but Brunswick staff time will be in-kind. GPCOG will also provide a match to support the host site fee for an AmericCorps Fellow.
- Other notes
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Camden DATE: 10/06/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:

## **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Medium (5,278)
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Electric Vehicle Purchase for Town of Camden's Code Enforcement
   Officers/Plumbing Inspector
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned.
  - o "Embrace the Future of Transportation in Maine" Strategy A

## Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Detailed statement regarding the expected outcomes of purchasing an EV for the municipal vehicle fleet, but task list/description lack some detail.
  - EV will be purchased for the Town's Code Enforcement Officers who currently drive nearly 7,000 miles a year for inspections. They estimate the EV will offset 3.7 tons of carbon each year.
  - Town is committing to supporting outreach/information sharing about EMT's incentives and opportunities for emission reductions in the residential and commercial sectors.
  - Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
    - o Somewhat likely. Would benefit from clearer outcomes.

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned.
  - Project will build upon the town's commitment to transition its municipal fleet to EVs. Used the prior CRP funds to help the school district purchase and electric bus.

Engagement and equity

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Camden DATE: 10/06/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Communications about incentives to the general public.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Could benefit from additional detail here. However, proposal notes that cost savings for the town from reduced fuel usage could mean a lower tax burden. Also note that through their outreach and dissemination of educational materials about EVs and Efficiency Maine's incentives, they hope to highlight opportunities for low to moderate households to access incentives. Could benefit from more detail regarding types of outreach.
- Project duration:
  - o **unknown**

- Total request: \$40,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   Yes- working with EMT to access EV rebates.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) Not required, but in-kind funds will be allocated to outreach efforts focused on Efficiency Maine incentives.
- Other notes
  - Have already received their "certification of eligibility from Efficiency Maine for purchase of their identified EV (A Toyota electric SUV).
  - Grants are limited to \$2,000 for light duty vehicles.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Carrabassett Valley DATE: 10/06/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o State Senator Mark Green
  - o State Representative Thomas Skolfield
  - o NEMBA
  - o Sugarloaf

## Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small (777)
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: EV Charging Stations Outdoor Center
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned.
  - o "Embrace the future of Transportation" Strategy A

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Install 2 EV charging stations at the Outdoor Center, a municipally owned facility. Describes who will manage the project alongside local contractors who recently installed 4 chargers at the town owned golf course (past CRP grant).
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine]
  - Likely. Plan to work with same contractors that did prior EV charger install in town at other municipal property.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Will support outdoor-based tourism economy. Note that some people who would come to area for activities might not due to "range anxiety." Very few EV chargers available on Route 27 between Farmington and the Canadian border.

Engagement and equity

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Carrabassett Valley DATE: 10/06/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Moderate. The CRP self-evaluation was completed by a Select Board appointed committee. Meeting was made available on Zoom. Copies of the self-evaluation were made available at locations in town.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Proposal notes that business owners and local workers benefit greatly from the recreation economy and that providing charging assets for EVs will encourage EV owners to visit the area.
- Project duration:
  - Dependent on when grant is awarded. Timing will inform whether chargers are installed prior to the winter season.

- Total request: \$28,853
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Are there additional incentives from Efficiency Maine that could apply here?
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - o Have provided installation quote.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Carthage DATE: 10/25/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Western Maine Community Action
  - o Carthage Selectmen

## Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small (560)
- SVI (low, med, high): High

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Town Office, Emergency Shelter, Community Building and Food Pantry Energy
- Efficiency Upgrades and Community Outreach on Energy Savings
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned.
  - Proposal addresses MWW actions B1, B3, B4, and H5.

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Tasks 1-3 are reasonable. Task 4 lacks adequate detail. Lacks roles and responsibilities and clear timeline.
  - Task 1 Install heat pumps and ceiling insulation.
  - Task 2 New, energy efficient refrigerators and microwave for food bank and emergency shelter. Purchase 2 energy star air purifiers. Install efficient fridge/freezer at community building.
  - Task 3 Supplies for residential home energy weatherization fair and window insert building event.
  - Task 4 Insulate and weatherize community building. This task lacks adequate detail of deliverable/outcome.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Tasks 1-3 likely to achieve outcomes. Unclear about task 4.

Need

• Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Carthage DATE: 10/25/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

- o Well-aligned.
- Project will improve the efficiency and safety of the town office, food pantry, and other municipally owned spaces important to the town, particularly the elderly. Will also host an energy fair to educate community on efficiency/energy saving opportunities they can apply in their homes.
- Project tasks identified by recent energy audit.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Community resilience workshop was hosted in July. In advance of the public meeting, notices were places in high traffic areas around town and an ad was placed in the Sun Journal. The meeting was held outside of normal business hours.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - The project will improve the functionality of the food pantry and emergency shelter, particularly important for the town's elderly and low-income citizens, of which there are many.
  - Energy fair will aim to build window inserts for 30 vulnerable families.
- Project duration:
  - Less than one year.

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   Efficiency Maine rebate for air purifiers and heat pumps included.
  - Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - Several members of town will contribute in-kind time.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Castine DATE: 10/30/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipallity
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - 0

## Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small (1,340)
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Castine Public Buildings Lighting and Weatherization Upgrade
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned.
  - Proposal will target MWW Strategy B, Action B5.

## Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Complete and reasonable.
  - Task 1 Convert Town Hall lighting to LEDs.
  - Task 2 Upgrade Town Hall insulation.
  - Task 3 Replace Town Hall external doors.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned.
  - The Town hall is a building used by nearly all town organizations plus the elementary school and Maine Maritime Academy. It also serves as the town's warming center in case of extended power outages. Project will increase efficiency of space and reduce energy costs.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Project will improve a space utilized by nearly all town citizens for one reason on another.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Castine DATE: 10/30/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

- o Unclear how community was engaged in project planning.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Building to be improved (town hall) utilized by nearly all members of community. Also serves as the town's emergency heating center.
- Project duration:
  - Proposal notes that timelines will be determined in the future as scheduling vendors can be difficult in a community as remote as Castine.

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Unclear the source of the "other funds" listed in the budget table, so unsure if math is 100% correct.
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Source of "other funds" shown in budget table not described in narrative.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   Unsure if they've sought out EMT rebates.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - \$4,500.99 of "other funds" listed in budget table, but not sure where they are coming from.
  - Is lighting conversation only taking place in the town hall building or also in the library and elementary school?

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Chebeague Island DATE: 10/02/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

\*\*\*\*\*

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Island Institute
    - o Gulf of Maine Research Institute
    - Chebeague Transportation Company
    - o State Senator Cathy Breen
    - o Chebeague Island Oyster Company
    - o Greater Portland Council of Governments

#### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small (396)
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Preparing for the Voyage Ahead: Building a Framework for Chebeague Island's Climate Future
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Proposal plans to address MWW Actions C1, C2, F1, and E7

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Detailed proposal and task list, timelines, deliverables, roles and responsibilities, and expected outcomes.
  - Task 1 Groundwater Sustainability Study that updates 20-year-old dataset and assesses climate-related impacts. Task 1 includes several components (data collection and compilation, data analysis and assessment, establishing a monitoring program, and community outreach).
  - Task 2 Conduct a municipal and community GHG inventory.
  - Task 3 Complete a Climate Vulnerability Assessment report with recommendations. This will build off Chebeague's 2016 Sea Level Rise Vulnerability Assessment.
  - Task 4 Develop and implement a community engagement and outreach plan.
     Community will be engaged at 3 check points throughout the work. Meetings will be cofacilitated by the Island Institute and will also incorporate the Island Institute's Resilient Leadership Framework.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine]

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Chebeague Island DATE: 10/02/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

> Likely. Through the past decade the town has shown engagement in climate change related work. They note that this funding would provide additional technical and financial resources to act and plan for the future in a way that engages the community in a comprehensive planning effort.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned with MWW.
  - "Chebeague Island is facing many challenges that threaten the sustainability of the yearround island community, including a lack of affordable housing, development pressures, rising energy and transportation costs, pressures on the lobstering sector, and a declining school enrollment. Our proposed project aims to address how individual climate issues (e.g., ground water sustainability, sea level rise, greenhouse gas emissions) sit in the broader context of community sustainability priorities."

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected,
  - minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
    - $\circ$  Well-designed.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Aim to provide access to high-quality and locally relevant information to support decisionmaking. Town Climate Action Team will develop an inclusion and equity vision statement. They will use the Island Institute's Resilient Leadership Framework to build leadership skills among socially vulnerable community members, they'll provide web based and paper materials with easy-to-understand summaries for the public. They plan to host hybrid meetings at times that are accessible to a range of folks including working families, fishermen, and seasonal residents.
- Project duration:
  - o 12 months

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A, but hydrogeological survey being donated.
- Other notes
  - If consulting services are more than the estimated \$22,000 for Task 3, community intends to seek an Island Institute ShoreUp grant (maximum of \$10,000).

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Cumberland DATE: 10/04/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

### 

## Individual Evaluator Comments:

## Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o State Senator Catherine Breen
  - State Representative Stephen Moriarty
  - Cumberland Congregational Church
  - o GPCOG
  - o Cumberland Assistant Town Manager

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium (8,545)
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Reduce greenhouse gas emissions of the Town of Cumberland by reducing use of fossil fuels and enhancing sequestration from natural systems
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Proposal targets MWW Strategies A1, B4, and H2.
  - o Somewhat aligned.

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - The goal of this proposal is to implement portions of the town's Climate Action Plan which was approved by the Cumberland Town Council in 2022.
  - Task 1 Purchase electric mower for Public Works Department to reduce town GHG emissions and highlight potential of EVs.
  - Task 2 Run an RFP for a VRF Retrofit of the library's natural gas fired heating system.
  - Task 3 Create an educational program for residents regarding native landscape design for improving ecological benefits of property. *Task 3 is described differently in different parts of the application. Clarification on desired outcomes/goals of task needed.*
- Proposed tasks could benefit from additional detail
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine]

• Likely, but would like more development of desired outcomes for task 3.

Need

• Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Cumberland DATE: 10/04/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

- Somewhat aligned with MWW, but could benefit from additional detail about how the benefits of each task will be conveyed to residents. Project need section notes that residents are excited to do their part, but don't often know which steps to take. How will those that don't own property be engaged and informed about how they can also reduce emissions and participate in climate planning?
- Prioritization survey results are not what they are proposing.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - They describe community participation in the development of the Community Action Plan which was approved in 2022. 28% of households responded to a survey covering attitudes toward and possible solutions to the problem of climate change. However, they do not describe how the broader community will be engaged in the proposed work beyond homeowners.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - The proposal does not describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project or how the benefits of the tasks will be conveyed to community members. Don't demonstrate how benefits of work will be distributed.
- Project duration:
  - o ∼2 years

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) No tasks are listed in the wrong order. Source of "other funds" listed in budget worksheet is not specified.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - o Can Efficiency Maine programs cover or contribute to VRF?

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Dover-Foxcroft DATE: 10/06/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

### \*\*\*\*\*

## Individual Evaluator Comments:

## Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Maine DOT
  - o Piscataquis County Economic Development
  - Eastern Maine Development Corporation
  - May Northern Light Hospital
  - State Representative Richard Evans
  - o Director of Piscataquis Chamber of Commerce
  - o Exec. Director Center Theater, Dover-Foxcroft
  - o Chair of Dover-Foxcroft Selectboard
  - o Chair of Promotion and Development Committee of Selectboard, Dover-Foxcroft

## **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Medium</li>
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Developing a "Complete Streets" Redevelopment Plan for Downtown Dover-Foxcroft
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Proposal will target MWW Action A9.

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed description of anticipated components of a Transportation Safety Improvement and Redevelopment Plan for downtown Dover-Foxcroft. Timeline and roles and responsibilities included.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely. Coordinating closely with MDOT.
  - o Could benefit from additional consideration of climate/resiliency benefits.

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Dover-Foxcroft DATE: 10/06/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

- All four major intersection in downtown area are poorly configured with poor visibility and hazardous conditions for navigation by vehicles, pedestrians, and cyclists.
- Heavy trucks, commuter traffic from Bangor, and local drivers result in gridlock at certain times of day. See traffic and unsafe conditions as a general disincentive for visitors to stop in downtown. Also experiencing increased exhaust and air pollution.
- Lack of public transit difficult for low-income workers, older adults, and those with disabilities.
- Have been invited by MDOT to consider working toward the "Complete Streets" planning process after a consultation.
- Updated Comp Plan specifically addressed a need for downtown revitalization. Now is a good time to do the planning and implementation in conjunction with other redevelopment work ongoing in the area.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Well-designed.
  - MDOT Complete Streets process includes several public forums/listening sessions to obtain input from residents and businesses. Plan to specifically engage underrepresented populations such as older residents, low-income residents, and people with disabilities.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Specific plan to engage underrepresented populations such as older residents, lowincome residents, and people with disabilities. Proposal notes that low-income residents are most vulnerable to current conditions as they need to walk or bike to get around and often live in apartments in the downtown area most susceptible to vehicle pollution.
  - Would benefit from additional detail about how underrepresented people will be engaged in the process.
- Project duration:
  - o ~12 months

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   MDOT PPI Project cost share (60% of project to be covered by MDOT).
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
- Other notes
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Falmouth DATE: 10/06/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n):
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Falmouth Land Trust

## Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Large
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

\*Filled out old application, does not include community characteristics information.

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Supporting local food production and social equity: Provide clean energy to Hurricane Valley Farm/Cultivating Community
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned.
  - Proposal will target MWW strategies B and C.

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Includes an estimated timeline, roles and responsibilities, and deliverables.
  - o Task 1 install rooftop solar and battery on farmhouse
  - Task 2 Unclear deliverable for task 2.
  - Task 3 Install a heat pump in farmhouse to replace propane fuel heating system
  - Will hire a private installer for the solar, battery, and heat pump.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Somewhat likely. Would benefit from more detailed tasks.

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Aligned.
  - Town has established a Climate Action Planning Committee and adopted GHG emission reduction targets. A priority of the community is supporting the state's natural resource economy and increasing access to local, free food in Falmouth and the surrounding region. Emission reductions.

Engagement and equity

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Falmouth DATE: 10/06/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Moderate.
  - Hosted public workshop promoted broadly. Chose project for proposal based on community poll.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Farm property is leased by the Falmouth Land Trust to Cultivating Community, a nonprofit that teaching New Americans sustainable farming practices. They are currently working to expand the farm's capacity for food production and workshop space. Garden plots are offered to asylum seekers and low-income families. A portion of the produce is also sold at local food markets in the region.
  - Falmouth is committed to ensuring access to food that is available to all members of the community. Believe the proposed project can enhance local food access and reduce community greenhouse gas emissions.
- Project duration:
  - ~8 months

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   EMT heat pump incentives?
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - Has solar/battery/heat pump system been sized by a professional? Where did the cost estimate of \$50,000 come from?
  - More detail needed for Task 2. Discrepancy across descriptions of task.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Farmington DATE: 10/30/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

#### \*\*\*\*\*

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Sandy Richard, Bonney Woods Corporation
  - Todd Richard, former owner of Northern Lights Hearth and Sport

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium (7,592)
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Farmington Community Center HVAC
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Proposal targets MWW Strategy B, Action B4.

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Estimated timeline, roles and responsibilities of team described.
  - Project includes two-parts: replacing the Community Center roof then installing six HVAC units for heating and cooling.
  - Requested grant funds will help defray cost of purchase and installation of new heating system.
  - \*\* Is HVAC system a system that Efficiency Maine would deem eligible for rebate? Need to understand the efficiency of identified system.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned if efficient HVAC system.
  - Community sees a need to improve the heating and air quality of their Community Center building, a building used for many purposes including recreational activities, events, town meetings, voting, and the school evacuation site.

Engagement and equity

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Farmington DATE: 10/30/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Community Resilience Committee was formed in August 2022 and includes the Town Manager, Parks and Rec director and assistant, planning assistant, and three community members. Committee presented their self-evaluation at a public hearing in September. This meeting was advertised in the local newspaper, online, and in town. The meeting was also televised on local cable and Facebook.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - "Farmington is the service center and government seat for Franklin County, and home to a diverse community."
  - Community Center used for many purposes.
  - Would benefit from additional information about engagement with vulnerable community members and impacts on this population.
- Project duration:
  - Anticipate roof construction in late Spring 2023 and HVAC units to be installed within 60 days after roof is completed. Anticipate less than 1 year.

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   Town should access Efficiency Maine funds for this project.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - Worked with engineering firm and mechanical services to develop budget estimates. Requested grant funds will go towards the purchase and installation of the HVAC system, defraying costs of this project. Costs for roof coming from town reserve account.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Fort Kent DATE: 9/29/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

#### \*\*\*\*\*

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Suzie Paradis, Town Manager of Fort Kent
  - Leo Paul and Barbara Dionne, Steve Daigle, Dave \_\_\_\_, Steve Michaud, Eric and Paul Bouchard, Joseph Plourde, Fort Kent residents
  - Lance Martin, District Forest Ranger

#### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Medium (4,067)
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - They aim to target MWW Strategy Area G, Invest in Climate-ready Infrastructure, Item G1. It will assess climate vulnerability and prepare them for climate-ready upgrades to stormwater management in town.

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Task 1 culvert and storm drain mapping and inventory will require Town and a Consultant to review historical plans, studies, GIS data, and LiDAR to verify existing assets and inform next tasks. A field assessment will add to inventory, resulting in a memo for future use.
  - o Task 2 Technical modeling and climate projects will be completed.
  - Task 3 Cost estimates will be created with improvement options ranks based on flood reduction, impact to properties, costs, among other considerations. Will result in a 5-year drainage improvement plan.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - The scope seems achievable.

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - This project is well aligned with MWW. It will evaluate and address infrastructure that has become undersized due to increased rains and increased storm frequency and as a

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Fort Kent DATE: 9/29/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

result, is creating hazardous conditions for roads, residents, structures, and public works employees.

 Curious how this project was specifically identified for this funding opportunity? Where does it rank in terms of impacts for community.

## Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - A door-to-door survey was completed and found the impacted area is primarily lowmoderate income residents as well as elderly residents. Several of these residents provided letters of support.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Impacted area is primarily low-moderate income residents as well as elderly residents. Several of these residents provided letters of support.

- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Freeport DATE: 10/02/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

\*\*\*\*

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Town of Yarmouth, Maine
    - Town Manager of Freeport
    - Chair of Freeport Town Council
    - Town Manager of Yarmouth
    - State Representative Melanie Sachs
    - State Representative Arthur Bell
    - o GPCOG
    - Freeport Sustainability Advisory Board
    - o Members of Steering Committee on Freeport Climate Action Now

### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Multi-community
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium</li>
- SVI (low, med, high): low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Freeport and Yarmouth Sustainability Partnership: Creation of a Shared Full-time
- Sustainability Coordinator Position
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Proposal seeks to address MWW Strategies C (C1, C2), F (F1), G (G1), and H (H5).

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Plan to hire a full-time sustainability coordinator to assist both Freeport and Yarmouth in developing climate action plans and sustainability programs. Will include implementing a community engagement process, assessing vulnerabilities in communities, working with town departments to support sustainability programs, applying for grants, etc.
  - More detailed outcomes/deliverables to be achieved would be helpful.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Somewhat

Need

• Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Freeport DATE: 10/02/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

> Well-aligned with MWW. Towns have each identified having a Sustainability Coordinator to develop a climate action plan as a priority, but they currently lack the staff capacity and funding to do so. Opportunity of shared coordinator will allow for a regional and collaborative approach to climate action planning.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Participation seems minimal.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - The proposal notes that participation at each town's initial public workshop was less than they had hoped. They believe the coordinator will provide the capacity to identify vulnerable populations and target messaging to increase engagement. It would be helpful to have more detail on that engagement process or a better understanding of what sectors of the community have been engaged thus far.
- Project duration:
  - o 15 months

- Total request: \$121,388
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Limited budget narrative.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - o N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - Proposal materials do not mention ICLEI until the budget worksheet. Would like to see budget organized by tasks/deliverables.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Georgetown DATE: 11/1/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

#### \*\*\*\*\*

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Georgetown Community Center
  - o Georgetown Central School
  - Georgetown Volunteer Fire Department
  - o State Rep. Allison Hepler

### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small (1,058)
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Georgetown Level Three Energy Assessment & Plan for Town Buildings
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned.
  - Proposal targets MWW Actions B1, C1, H1, and H5.

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Detailed and reasonable. Includes goals, task list, timelines, outcomes, roles and responsibilities.
  - Town plans to hire a consultant to conduct a Level 3 Energy Assessment of several townowned buildings. This analysis will contribute to a plan that allows the town to lower its energy consumption, upgrade energy systems, reduce emissions, and make public buildings more comfortable.
  - Outcome will be a plan for improvements to buildings and a set of recommendations for investments that meet the Town's goals.
  - Plan will include detailed financial analysis, estimated project costs, and schedule for implementation, in addition to potential cost savings estimates.
  - Report to be provided to town in February 2024.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely. Very detailed.

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Georgetown DATE: 11/1/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

- Received nearly 100 responses to resilience workshop surveys. Efficiency upgrades to town buildings were frequently mentioned. Targeted municipal buildings serve as community gathering places for social and community programs, as well as municipal functions. Additionally serve as "the hub of municipal emergency response services and home to the Town's public elementary school and after-school/summer programs."
- Buildings are old and inefficient.
- Town has long standing commitment to keeping taxes affordable for their diverse community of young working people, fishermen, farmers, clammers, oyster farmers, and fixed-income retirees.
- Plan will help town identify and prioritize long-term cost saving measures.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Engaged nearly 100 people in community resilience survey.
  - Robust and well-designed engagement plans include outreach and awareness workshops. Plans to work specifically with school age population.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - "To distribute the benefits of this project more widely, the Conservation Commission will sponsor a series of in-person, virtual, and/or hybrid workshops where community members can learn about and receive help applying for Efficiency Maine programs and incentives." Target audience is vulnerable citizens including older adults/retirees, disables, low-income families, families with children, those who work on the waterfront/natural resource economy.
- Project duration:
  - o 1.5-2 years

- Total request: \$39,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - Budget calculations are result of preliminary estimates received from firms familiar with the scope of work.
  - Will also received significant in-kind labor by members of Conservation Commission/other volunteers.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Greenwood DATE: 10/30/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

### \*\*\*\*\*

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Greenwood Fire Chief
  - o Greenwood Highway Dept. Foreman
  - o Chair of Greenwood Conservation Commission
  - o Greenwood Board of Selectmen

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small (774)
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Heating Upgrades to Municipal Buildings & Energy Fair
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Proposal targets MWW Strategies B (B1, B4) and H (H5, H7)

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Reasonable.
  - Tasks 1-3 include installing heat pump or VRF systems in the town office, fire department, and public works department. Quotes for this work received from EcoHeat in Norway.
  - Task 4 Addressing insulation and air sealing at the Old Town Hall as identified by energy audit. Outcomes of this task should be more detailed.
  - Task 5 Host an energy fair on Earth Day 2023 to include vendors and event activities (intend to get Efficiency Maine, Western Maine Community Action, We Built This, and others to participate). Will promote community solar, window dressers, other DIY efficiency upgrades.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine]
  - o Likely. Have quotes for work from reputable vendors/installers.

Need

• Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Greenwood DATE: 10/30/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

- With small, aging, and declining population, town tries to keep property tax rates down while providing excellent customer service. Have already undertaken several efficiency measures at town owned properties including lighting upgrades and signing a PPA with a solar.
- Want to promote the social and financial wellbeing of community with additional cost saving/efficiency measures, as well as to help residents age in place by making housing stock more efficient.
- Will work with trusted partners like Western Maine Community Action, Efficiency Maine, and AARP to engage residents in affordable efficiency upgrades.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Hosted stakeholder workshop in July 2022 and posted about it prior on the town website, Facebook, bimonthly Selectboard packet, and at community hubs, as well as in the Sun Journal. The workshop was open to the public and held outside of normal business hours in a hybrid format.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Cost savings of efficiency upgrades will be "equally distributed amongst all taxpayers in town."
  - Plan to work with trusted partners like AARP, Western Maine Community College, community hubs, and the schools to promote the event and reach those who will benefit the most from efficiency resources.
- Project duration:
  - 1-2 years depending on availability of installers.

### Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
  - Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) o Includes Efficiency Maine rebates.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes

•

 Includes Efficiency Maine rebates, anticipated (but unsecured) Belvedere Historic Preservation Grant, and in-kind services from Town Manager and vendors.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: City of Hallowell DATE: 10/06/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

### \*\*\*\*\*

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Mayor of Hallowell
  - o Hallowell City Council President/Finance Committee Chair
  - Hallowell Conservation Commission

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small (2,570)
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Hallowell Won't Wait EV for Hallowell Police Department's Lead Patrol Vehicle
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned.
  - Strategy Area A, A1.

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Tasks, timeline, outcomes, and roles and responsibilities described.
  - Initial tasks required for purchase of police EV, research for police ready EV and down payment/order, are complete.
  - Additional tasks include improving the parking area to accommodate a charging station, installing a level 2 charger, and paying for the EV on delivery.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned.
  - This meets a 2020 comprehensive plan goal of moving towards public services with netzero emissions. Will also reduce annual repair, maintenance, and fuel costs for municipal vehicles.

Engagement and equity

• Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: City of Hallowell DATE: 10/06/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

- Had significant turnout for a July 2022 Community Resiliency Workshop and two follow up efforts supporting climate action and resiliency. The town has engaged hundreds of community members in person and through online surveys regarding preferences and thoughts about climate action and resiliency.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - The proposal notes that the EV investment for the Hallowell Police Department will serve the entire community equitably as a public safety entity. Will also be a visible symbol of the community's commitment to its values. Could benefit from additional detail.
  - Project duration:
    - ~12 months

## Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$45,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
  - Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
    - Yes, applying for Efficiency Maine rebate
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Could the applicant/project succeed with partial funding? (probably, unlikely)
- Other notes

•

• CRP grants are capped at \$2,000 for light duty vehicles.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Harpswell DATE: 10/30/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - 0

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Medium (5,031)
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Town Office Energy Improvements Phase 1
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned
  - Proposal targets MWW Strategy B, Actions B1 and B2.

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Task 1 Replace Town Office lighting with LEDs.
  - Task 2 Conduct an energy audit of the building envelop of the Town Office.
  - Would benefit from inclusion of timeline and roles and responsibilities.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]

   Likely.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Town's Sustainability Plan identifies town priority actions to address climate change which includes priority number 1: to reduce carbon footprint of Town Office building.
  - Conversion to LED Lighting will reduce town carbon footprint by 4.5 tons and save \$1,677 annually.
  - Energy audit will provide the town with information adequate to make decisions about next steps for larger scale efficiency improvements and prepare them for future grant applications.

Engagement and equity

• Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Harpswell DATE: 10/30/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

- Town has a standing Resiliency and Sustainability Committee that works to develop climate change strategies informed by Maine Won't Wait. Several public meetings and workshop have been held by the group.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Project will reduce energy use and annual operating costs of municipal buildings which can be passed onto residents.
- Project duration:
  - o unclear

- Total request: \$32,303
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Slight discrepancies (\$100 difference)
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   Yes, note Efficiency Maine rebates for lighting retrofits.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Islesboro DATE: 09/29/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Town Manager

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small (583)
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Scope is well-aligned with MWW strategies including Strategy H, H2 and Strategy G, G1 and G2.

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Includes a detailed and reasonable action plan to assess, plan, and implement a sea level rise adaptation project for a critical road/asset that has already been significantly impacted by climate driven storm surges. "The Narrows."
  - o Includes project roles and responsibilities.
  - Will hire a resilience facilitator/planner to work part-time over 2 years managing this project with additional support from an Island Institute Fellow and close collaboration with town officials.
  - Will additionally hire an engineer and plan to engage with other critical partners including MDOT, MDEP, and others.
  - o Planning for consistent and ongoing community engagement throughout.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine]
  - Seem to have a robust team to make this process happen over 2-year time frame. A part
    of a long term climate adaptation plan for the community. Proposal includes plans to
    secure additional federal and state funding sources.

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Clearly explain need to address impacts to critical infrastructure on the island. Serious accessibility impacts for emergency management services already occurring. Have completed their Flood Resilience Checklist.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Islesboro DATE: 09/29/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Well-designed engagement plan includes hiring a facilitator and planner to engage the entire community, particularly those living and working near the impacted area, people of low and moderate income, and those who are integral to year-round community.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Proposal outlines requirement that a successful RFP response will include an equitable engagement process.
  - Well-designed engagement plan includes hiring a facilitator and planner to engage the entire community, particularly those living and working near the impacted area, people of low and moderate income, and those who are integral to year-round community.
  - o Stipends for travel.

- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Have included additional funds from a Coastal Communities Grant (CCG), the remainder of CRP Service Provider Grant, and town allocations (Island Institute Fellow, meeting and outreach supplies, match for CCG)
  - Question about scope of work if CCG isn't funded.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Jay DATE: 10/30/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - State Senator Russel Black
  - o State Representative Sheila Lyman
  - o Jay Police Chief, Richard Caton
  - o Jay Town Office Manager, Ronda Palmer
  - o Main-Land Development Consultants

### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Medium (4,620)
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Closed Cell Spray Foam Roofing Application
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned.
  - Proposal targets MWW Action B1.

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Includes timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes.
  - Task is to insulate the municipal building roof with closed cell spray foam to improve building efficiency and prepare it for additional efficiency upgrades in the future/improve efficiency of current HVAC system.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely. Have received an initial evaluation and proposal from a local company.

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned.
  - Jay Municipal building housing town office operations and the police department. It lacks weatherization and energy efficiency measures.
  - Jay began implementing energy efficiency measures in town in 2019, contracting with CMP to upgrade streetlights to LEDs and working with Efficiency Maine to retrofit municipal lighting to LEDs as well in 2021.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Jay DATE: 10/30/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Stakeholder workshop was hosted in July, 2022. Outreach prior to the meeting was conducted through Facebook, the town website, a newsletter, the newspaper, and at community hubs including the adult education facility, library, and food pantry. It was held outside regular business hours and broadcast on local television.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o "The cost savings of this project will be equally distributed among all taxpayers in town."
- Project duration:
  - o ~6 months

## Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
  - Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
    - Budget narrative notes they will seek Efficiency Maine rebates and other grant opportunities.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes

•

• \$500 of in-kind time provided by town staff for project management.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Kennebunkport DATE: 10/04/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

#### \*\*\*\*\*

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Town of Kennebunk
  - o The Climate Initiative
  - The Chamber of Commerce (Kennebunk, Kennebunkport, Arundel)

### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Multi-community
- Region(s): both region 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Kennebunkport = small, Kennebunk = large
- SVI (low, med, high): both low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Catalyzing Youth & Community in Mitigation and Adaptation to Sea-Level Rise Impacts in the Kennebunks
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Proposal will address MWW Strategy H, Action H2.

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Includes extensive background and visioning, but could benefit from more detailed descriptions of deliverables.
  - Does include a project timeline.
  - "Expected outcome is a documented understanding of community perceptions on climate change, particularly the threat of sea level rise, and the development of actionable recommendations for Kennebunkport and Kennebunk to assess what further education and action can be taken in support of Maine Won't Wait goals to mitigate and adapt to sea level rise."
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Somewhat likely. Need more clarity on desired outcomes.
  - How will formerly funded work to develop climate action plan interact with this proposed scope?

Need

• Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Kennebunkport DATE: 10/04/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

• Need addresses long term planning for vulnerable areas that represent the historic, business, and infrastructure "center" of the community.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - "For a project to be truly effective and representative of the needs of the community we know that robust community engagement is a requirement. Our entire project is geared around identifying and engaging as many community stakeholders as possible. We are choosing to have this project led by youth (age 13 to 23) because we know the value in centering and training young climate champions."
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Tone is good. Identify the types of underserved populations they plan to engage, but could benefit from additional detail on how they will effectively "reach out" to residents that are historically underserved.
  - The budget narrative does talk about offering stipends to educators and youth leaders for dedicating their time to the project. That is a positive engagement detail.
  - Project duration:
    - o 2 years

- Total request: \$125,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
- Other notes
  - Would prefer budget to be broken out by task/deliverable rather than to "salary/personnel" and "overhead."

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Lamoine DATE: 10/04/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

### \*\*\*\*\*

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o State Representative Lynne Williams
  - Chair of the Hancock County Commissioners

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small (1,686)
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Lamoine Energy Transition Project: Municipal Solar PPA + Heat Pumps
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned. Proposal will target MWW Strategies C (C6/C7) and B (B4).

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Tasks, deliverables, roles and responsibilities, and expected outcomes are described.
  - Task 1 enter into a PPA for electricity to be generated with a solar array to be installed on a town building.
  - Task 2 Install 10 heat pumps in municipal buildings.
  - Town will solicit competitive bids for the project tasks. Project with be overseen by Larissa Thomas, Select Board member and chair of the Lamoine Conservation Commission. She will coordinate with other municipal staff on implementation.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine]
  - Likely

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned.
  - Note that this project is a community priority. Installing solar to cover the town's municipal electricity usage and adding heat pumps to shift energy consumption from fossil fuels to clean solar-generated electricity will go a long way toward reducing Lamoine's municipal carbon footprint and will potentially inspire individual residents to take steps to combat climate change as well.

Engagement and equity

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Lamoine DATE: 10/04/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Well-designed. The concept for this project was developed through community engagement at the CRP enrollment process. This proposed project received majority support at the conclusion of the community workshop.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Focus on pairing of community engagement and providing community value.
  - Lowering the town's energy costs will benefit all residents of Lamoine—reducing the amount of tax revenue needed, and/or allowing tax revenue to be shifted to important town needs, including aspects of the safety net for the town's vulnerable population.
  - Project also serves a vital segment of the population: school-aged children. The classrooms of the Lamoine Consolidated School are not currently air conditioned. The installation of heat pumps will make it possible to cool those classrooms so that students can focus on learning.
  - Lamoine Conservation Commission will also hold public events to explain the project, project benefits, and how similar measures can be taken on by individual town residents.
- Project duration:
  - o **1 year**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   Will they access EMT heat pump incentives?
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Limestone DATE: 10/06/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Maine School of Science and Mathematics (MSSM)
  - Member of Limestone Selectboard
  - Northern Maine Community College
  - State Representative David McCrea
  - State Senator Trey Stewart

### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small (2,217)
- SVI (low, med, high): High

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Enhance operation of solar generation equipment
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned.
  - Proposal targets MWW Strategies G (G2) and H (H4).

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Includes tasks, deliverables, timeline, and roles and responsibilities. Clearly outlines the purpose and importance of each task to enhancing the project outcomes.
  - Task #1: Hire a consultant to determine the best option to improve the efficiency of the fixed array.
  - Task #2: Contract with qualified personnel to replace defective gear sets to improve operational efficiency of the tracker site by 10%.
  - Task #3: Install fencing around the solar generation equipment for public safety and security.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]

   Likely

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Limestone DATE: 10/06/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

> Project demonstrates community leadership in transitioning to renewable energy and has resulted in substantial cost savings/emission reductions.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Well-designed. Project is guided by a volunteer Limestone Solar Committee. Committee provides frequent updates and requests for input to the selectboard in public meetings.
  - Students from both Northern Maine Community College and MSSM engage with the project in hands on activities and have additional plans to develop educational resources for students using solar array as a tool with a focus on energy production, maintenance, cost analyses, and workforce.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - The proposal discusses engagement of students at both the high school and community college level, but does not specifically identify or discuss engaging vulnerable or disadvantaged groups in the project.
- Project duration:
  - ~12 months

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Missing sources/break down of "other funds" included.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) Not required, but do provide several in-kind contributions and funding from other sources.
- Other notes
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Lisbon DATE: 10/02/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Council Chair, Town of Lisbon
  - State Senator Jeff Timberlake
  - State Representative Rick Mason
  - o Lewiston Auburn Metropolitan Chamber of Commerce
  - o President of Positive Change Lisbon

### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium (9,711)
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Worumbo Waterfront Conversion
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Propose to address MWW Actions B5, E1, E5, and E10

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Plans to redevelop the former mill property into a community accessible park include:
    - Hiring a contractor to remove impervious surface, regrade, and plant grass to reduce runoff and flooding.
    - Purchasing and installing 15 LED solar powered lamp posts to reduce electric costs.
    - Hiring a contactor to purchase and install 15 native shade trees and 15 shrubs to increase ecological diversity, provide shade, wildlife habitat, and reduce erosion.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Lisbon's Downtown Revitalization Plan identified expanded public access and greenspace as a priority. They also see it as a way to give new life and economic opportunity to this former mill site and downtown, emphasizing a positive image of Lisbon and their main street area. More than 300 community members attended visioning

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Lisbon DATE: 10/02/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

sessions and/or answered surveys with strong support for the development plan. They see the riverfront as an asset for all people of Lisbon. Project will provide an improved recreational site and allow for better flood/runoff control.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - More than 300 community members attended visioning sessions and/or answered surveys with strong support for the development plan.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - The proposal notes that Lisbon has a vibrant community engagement structure in place and partners with nonprofits and business leaders to reach residents. Would benefit from a bit more detail here on what that will look like for this particular opportunity.
- Project duration:
  - o 6 months

- Total request: \$49,897.50
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes, though narrative doesn't specify portion of in-kind services by task.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
- Other notes
  - Proposal notes 15 trees and 15 shrubs but budget narrative and worksheet say 10 trees and 10 bushes.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Livermore DATE: 10/30/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

#### \*\*\*\*\*

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Livermore Administrative Assistant, Aaron Miller
  - Livermore Community Center Committee President, Timothy Cox
  - o Vice Chair of Livermore Selectboard, Brett Deyling

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small (2,120)
- SVI (low, med, high): High

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Clean Energy for Community Buildings
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o "Modernize Maine Buildings" MWW Strategy B

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Could include more detail about task components.
  - Task 1 install heat pumps at Town Office, Highway Garage, and Community Building.
  - Task 2 Install new windows at Community Building.
  - Task 3 Install energy efficient lighting at Community Building.
  - Overall goal of work is to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, tighten the building envelope of municipal buildings, improve the efficiency of cooling and heating, and to reduce energy costs.
  - Plan to complete by the end of 2023.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine]
  - o Likely

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Town has already begun clean energy/efficiency work at municipal buildings. They have partnered with Revision Energy to purchase clean energy and have installed a heat pump at the Town Office. Town says they have more work to do and this proposal will assist in working toward reduced energy costs and transitioning to clean energy.
  - o Well-aligned with MWW.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Livermore DATE: 10/30/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Have increased communications efforts over the past couple of years, through distributing surveys and through comms on social media and town website. This has led to increased interest and participation in climate/efficiency work from all age groups who have attended workshops.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Town notes that the energy cost savings from these projects will reduce the need to increase taxes in the future, benefiting all community members.
  - Project duration:
    - o ∼1 year

- Total request: \$43,647.55
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) No detailed budget narrative provided. Would be helpful to know where costs estimates for windows, and heat pumps came from.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   Should be in touch with Efficiency Maine about qualifying rebates.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 **RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Lubec **DATE:** 09/29/22 **EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO** 

### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No •
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - State Senator Marianne Moore 0
  - Alex Henry, Chair of Lubec's Planning Board 0

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 2 •
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small (1,237) •
- SVI (low, med, high): High

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- The proposed scope of work is [well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Thorough description of MWW Strategy areas they aim to address through the proposed 0 work.

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

0

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described.
  - Three main tasks will be accomplished:
    - **Emergency Operations Plan**
    - Updated map to assess percentage of community currently in conservation
    - Updated comprehensive plan incorporating climate resiliency
  - Will work with several partners to provide technical support including UMM GIS Service 0 Center and the Sunrise Country Economic Council.
  - In addition the main three projects, they hope to assess the energy efficiency of 0 municipally owned buildings with the help of a consultant or Efficiency Maine Trust. Tasks 7+8 have very limited detail
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine]
  - The scope feels large. I think they are somewhat likely to achieve their outcomes, but 0 may want to pair down list for this funding opportunity.

#### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [well-aligned, somewhat, minimally] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned. As a community reliant on natural resource based tourism, want to use 0 these funds to provide planning resources that will help them better plan for and evaluate the impacts of climate change to their community.

Engagement and equity

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Lubec DATE: 09/29/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Have distributed a comprehensive plan and broadband initiative survey to the community which included the same questions that were asked during the community workshop.
  - Have identified areas most vulnerable to climate change are also where most vulnerable populations live.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Could have more detail about connecting with most vulnerable populations. Noted a desire to increase responses to town-wide survey. Last received responses from ~12% of population. Will advertise community visioning sessions broadly.

- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - They have included in-kind volunteer hours plus additional work from UMM GIS Service Center students as additional funding sources.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Millinocket DATE: 11/21/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Town Manager of Millinocket, Peter Jamieson

### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Medium (4,287)
- SVI (low, med, high): High

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Heat Pumps for Millinocket Municipal Building
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned.
  - Proposal targets MWW Action B4

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Town seeks to use requested funds to install heat pumps in the Town Office and Fire Station.
  - Money from energy savings will be set aside as the town plans for the implementation of a bulk purchasing program for community households.
  - o Town's Community Initiatives Director will work directly with identified contractor.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely. Have identified contractor.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned.
  - Want to protect community members from absorbing the rising costs of heating oil and electric rates.
  - More than 11% of population living below the poverty line and have limited ability to absorb increased energy costs.

Engagement and equity

• Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Millinocket DATE: 11/21/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

- Hosted community workshop in August 2022. More than 30 attendees came in person and the survey was shared online as well. Installation of heat pumps was a top priority identified by community through a widely distributed survey.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Money from energy savings will be set aside as the town plans for the implementation of a bulk purchasing program for community households.
- Project duration:
  - o Not noted

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   Efficiency Maine rebates not mentioned
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - Anticipating installing 9 units total, at approximately \$5,000 per unit (\$45,000). Additional funds will go towards labor, wiring and electrical costs. Waiting on updated proposal from contractor with accurate pricing info.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Monhegan Plantation DATE: 9/29/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Plantation
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Island Institute
  - Land Use Planning Commission
  - o Lincoln County Planning Commission

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small, population 64
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Proposed scope seems well-aligned with MWW strategies. They will specifically target Action G3: Improve and protect drinking water and wastewater treatment facilities

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Reasonable. Includes a timeline, roles, responsibilities, and deliverables.
  - Task 1 Will engage engineering firm to assess the Monhegan Water Company's treatment facility. Will prepare a report of findings of existing conditions, future capacity, and make recommendations for short and long term system repairs and replacement. Will share report with community. Island Institute will provide meeting support.
  - Task 2 community will work with firm to implement improvements to water treatment system. Will also need to engage LUPC during this part of the process. This task would benefit from additional detail of outcomes.
  - Task 3 Public meetings will be held to discuss upgrades and future resilience of water supply. Will work toward a community-wide priority action plan for enhancing their resilience to climate change and protecting the public water supply.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve their desired outcomes for tasks 1 and 3.

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - This project is well aligned with MWW.
  - They demonstrate clear need to improve the resilience of their water system which is relied upon by the tourism industry, summer residents, and emergency fire response.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Monhegan Plantation DATE: 9/29/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

Monhegan has demonstrated an understanding of the need for system upgrades and efforts to begin evaluating their system needs through prior grant applications. Safety concerns include bacteria contaminations, vulnerability to drought and sea level rise.

 They've committed to using climate-ready standards, designs, and practices to improve infrastructure.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Well thought out plans for community participation of multiple groups of resident types.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Yes. Will collaborate with the Island Institute to use their Resilient Leadership Framework to engage most at risk community members while building their leadership skills.

- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   Will also use Island Institute ShoreUp grant dollars if additional funds are needed.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - o Task 2 reliant on results of Task 1. Would benefit from professional estimate.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Mount Desert DATE: 10/04/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

# 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Chair of Mount Desert Selectboard and Climate Action Task Force
  - A Climate to Thrive
  - o GMRI

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small (2,100)
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Town of Mount Desert Greenhouse Gas Inventory, Municipal Solar Array Pre-Development, and Climate Vulnerability Assessment informed by Community Resilience Training (Three key steps in implementing Mount Desert Climate Action Plan.)
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Proposal targets MWW Actions C1, C2, C7, and F1.

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Scope is detailed and includes tasks, deliverables, roles and responsibilities, and expected outcomes.
  - Task 1 Town will contract with A Climate to Thrive (ACTT) to conduct a communitywide GHG Inventory using ICLEI's ClearPath tool.
  - Task 2 Town will work with ACTT's Solar Coordinator to do the pre-development work for a municipally owned solar array. This will focus on site identification based on several factors, building community support, budget planning, and the development of an RFP.
  - Task 3 Participate in GMRI's complementary Community Resilience Training program in partnership with ACTT. Training will prepare community leaders for vulnerability assessment.
  - Task 4 Town will contract with a consultant (likely GMRI) to develop a climate vulnerability assessment.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine]
  - Likely to achieve desired outcomes. Working with experienced partners.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Mount Desert DATE: 10/04/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Need is well-aligned with MWW.
  - Town sees a need to contract work that will help them implement their climate action plan with a trusted source that has already built local understanding and capacity.
  - Have identified the potential to significantly reduce emissions by switching to renewable energy for municipal infrastructure. In addition, shift will allow town to build equity and ensure solar is responsibly sited.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Moderately well-designed.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Town aims to engage community in all portions of the grant proposal. They will share the results of the GHG inventory through community-wide forums held in person and virtually, and will conduct outreach through organizations and groups with pre-existing relationships with diverse populations.
  - Vulnerability assessment will provide "information on how climate impacts will impact the elderly, low-income residents, and the working waterfront community."
     "The assessment will help focus the Climate Action Plan on the needs of those populations, strengthening the Town's capacity to build resilience for the most Vulnerable."
  - Would like to know if there is a role for climate ambassadors funded through previous grant round?
- Project duration:
  - o ~16 months

- Total request: \$49,225
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - o GMRI will provide training utilizing grant funds they have received.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of North Yarmouth DATE: 10/02/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

### \*\*\*\*

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - GPCOG
  - o Economic Development and Sustainability Committee
  - North Yarmouth Lions Club
  - Living Well in North Yarmouth Committee
  - o MSAD #51
  - o North Yarmouth Historical Society
  - o Wild Seed Project
  - Yarmouth Water District
  - o Cumberland County Government

### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small (3,929)
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: North Yarmouth Climate Action Plan
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Proposal will address MWW Strategies C (C1-3), E (E4), F (F1, F13), G (G1), and H (H2, H4, H5)

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Proposal is detailed with roles and responsibilities, tasks and outcomes, and timelines.
  - Task 1 GPCOG will guide NY in data collection and analysis.
  - o Task 2 Using ClearPath, GPCOG will conduct a municipal GHG inventory.
  - Task 3 GPCOG will collect vulnerability data for a vulnerability assessment.
  - Task 4 GPCOG will support research, compilation of best practices, a prioritization framework, and case study collection to facilitate planning for near and long-term climate actions. Public meetings will be held to gather input. GPCOG will help facilitate.
  - Tas 5 A community engagement plan will be created and will include a schedule of workshops, educational events, and outreach methods (newsletters, infographics, handouts, meetings, workshops, tabling, etc.).
  - Task 6 Prior task deliverables will come together into a Climate Action Plan Report Draft.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of North Yarmouth DATE: 10/02/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

- Task 7 GPCOG will document process and create a best practices framework for similar communities.
- Task 8 Identified municipal efficiency upgrades will be implemented. It has already committed to installed LED lighting at the Town Hall and Public Works and a heat pump at the community center data room.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely as GPCOG will take on most of the work.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned.
  - Aim to set clear, actionable goals and recommendation to reduce emissions and vulnerabilities in the community. This funding will bring guidance and capacity to complete these projects. Currently have limited staff which makes accessing grant opportunities difficult.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Reasonably well-designed.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - With guidance from GPCOG, the community will create a community engagement plan at the outset of the project, centering equity, identifying stakeholders, and scheduling outreach activities. They anticipate a need to adapt engagement efforts through the process to ensure all members of the community are included.
  - Would benefit from additional detail on how they will engage underserved and socially vulnerable communities.
- Project duration:
  - o 12 months

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Unsure
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Worksheet is missing Task 8/or mislabeled as task 8. Check in on this.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - GPCOG will contribute in-kind time from AmeriCorps Resilience Fellow who will lead inventory data collection.
  - o More detail on costs of LEDs/Heat pumps for task 8 would be helpful.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Orono DATE: 10/02/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

### \*\*\*\*

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o City of Bangor, City Council Chair
  - o Town Manager of Orono
  - Bangor Area Comprehensive Transportation System (BACTS)

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Multi-community
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Large
- SVI (low, med, high): Orono = low, Bangor = medium

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Bangor Region Climate Action and Adaptation Plan (CAAP) Completion
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Proposal will address MWW Strategies F (F1), C (C2), G (G1), and H (H5).

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Between the project description and the attached RFP, scope of work is reasonably detailed. This scope of work will launch Phase Two of their Regional Climate Action Adaptation Plan. Phase One, which was supported by the spring round of the CRP, is nearly complete.
  - Phase Two will deliver a final climate action and adaptation report of mitigation strategies and implementation guides for Bangor, Orono, and the broader region. The report will include concrete and data-driven implementation plans for the Bangor Region to reach near carbon neutrality on their stated timeline.
  - Would benefit from more clear alignment of tasks/deliverables in scope with attached RFP, as well as a project update.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely as their team has been successful in reaching near completion on Phase One of this work with the first round of CRP grants.

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - While the 2016 Penobscot County hazard mitigation plan identified vulnerabilities from a few types of extreme weather, it did not adequately take into account climate change and

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Orono DATE: 10/02/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

> how the area may have to deal with natural disaster types in the future that it did not previously have to contend with (such as drought, extreme heat, and additional flooding from sea level rise). It also did not identify vulnerable populations and how they may be impacted, nor did it identify public health threats from climate change impacts (such as brown tailed moth and vector-borne disease).

- Their final plan will incorporate cross cutting themes of social equity, economic development, nature-based solutions, and public health and safety.
- Budget from consultant to complete work is much higher than anticipated, so they are seeking additional funding to maintain their original project scope.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Well-designed.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - The group has carefully identified a group of 35 individuals with diverse backgrounds to interview and highlight different vulnerabilities across the region. In addition, an advisory committee has been formed with representatives from a range of backgrounds and organization that can speak to a diversity of issues and/or work with marginalized communities. Consultants will also run a public outreach and education campaign, distilling complex information into easier to understand narratives to promote participation and collaboration.
  - The work of Orono and Bangor leading the region will support access to emissions and vulnerability data and analysis for smaller communities in the region.
- Project duration:
  - 0 12-15 months (provide clarity on timeline provided in Gantt chart)

- Total request: \$125,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) Not required, but the City of Bangor is contributing \$70,000 to meet the unmet need of the total Phase Two project cost.
- Other notes
  - Would like to see budget line broken out by task, rather than lump sum for contract.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Otisfield DATE: 11/1/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

## Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - 0

### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small (1,853)
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

# Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Saving Energy and Protecting Watersheds
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned.
  - Proposal targets MWW Actions B4, E7, and H2.

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Reasonable. Includes tasks, timeline, roles, and outcomes.
  - Task 1 Install heat pumps at town office.
  - o Task 2 Revise and redesign document: Protecting Otisfield's Watersheds
  - Task 3 Hold public awareness event with help of CEBE
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely. Working closely with CEBE and active town Conservation Committee plus Board of Selectmen.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned.
  - Town office building is old/outdated, though energy efficient upgrades have begun, particularly with lighting retrofits. Remaining oil furnace however is inefficient and expensive. See heat pump installation as phase one of plan to upgrade service to accommodate future heat pumps, EV chagrining, additional beneficial electrification.
  - Five lakes and two primary watersheds in town. Existing informational document on watershed protection in now outdated, and their waterfronts face significant development. Local/regional water bodies are at risk to algal blooms, invasive species, etc.

Engagement and equity

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Otisfield DATE: 11/1/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - More than 40 residents responded to community resilience survey and highlighted priorities.
  - Will engage community with updated watershed best practices document.
  - Will host public awareness event to share all projects with community. Work with CEBE on this event.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Lowering municipal costs can help reduce the energy burden on low-income households/impact tax rates for all of community.
  - Updated best practices for water management/development hope to reduce impacts/threats of algal blooms/invasive species which can limit access to public town beaches, impact summer camps/tourist economy, and threaten value of shorefront property which significantly contributes to town tax base.
- Project duration:
  - Less than a year

- Total request: \$40,480
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Costs for task 1 aren't fully represented in budget table. Believe it should say \$41,370 for task 1 request. With this update, request would be \$49,150.
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Doesn't show Efficiency Maine incentives. Can they access small community incentives currently available.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - Correct budget table and include Efficiency Maine incentives for more accurate project cost and request.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Paris DATE: 10/06/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

#### 

## Individual Evaluator Comments:

## Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - 0

# **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Medium (5,179)
- SVI (low, med, high): High

# Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Police and fire station solar upgrades
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned.
  - Proposal will target MWW actions C7 and B1.

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Includes tasks, estimated timeline, outcomes, and roles and responsibilities.
  - Task 1 Install a 144 kW solar power installation on the roof of the Paris police station. System is sized to meet the total electricity demand of the police station plus the free public EV charger at the building. Also anticipated to reduce town's annual energy expense by ~\$4,000 as well as significantly reduce GHG emissions.
  - Task 2 Conduct a feasibility study to bolster fire station's resilience to serve as an emergency shelter. Assess weatherization and efficiency measures. Prioritize tasks to meet the requirements of an EMA approved shelter.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned.
  - Paris police station is responsible for approximately 15% of the town's electricity costs.
     Partially attributing to this energy usage is a public electric vehicle (EV) charging station that receives frequent use
  - Planning solar and battery storage at the Paris fire station could significantly increase the town's capacity to benefit vulnerable populations during extreme heat, cold, and weather events

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Paris DATE: 10/06/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

- By installing solar-powered battery storage, Paris could reduce its emissions and electricity bill during typical operations while continuing to provide and expand its capacity as an emergency shelter to prepare for more frequent climate-driven weather events.
- Planning work will position Paris well for pursuing additional funding opportunities for "shovel-ready" climate and resilience projects.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Moderate.
  - Community engagement session informed priority projects for town. The proposed project has public support and will have immediate financial benefits.
  - Town sees the project as a way of improving the perception of both solar and EV chargers/vehicle adoption.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - "The fire station feasibility study will also prepare Paris to directly support and protect vulnerable populations in the future. While this phase of the study will focus on the engineering rather than the social aspect of the emergency center improvement, this study will open the door for those conversations to take place as the project unfolds. Additionally, the immediate cost benefits of the police station solar installation will directly impact Paris residents. The anticipated \$4,000 saved in annual electricity costs will lighten the tax burden placed on residents and will have a greater per capita impact on taxpayers than a similar project might in a larger town."
- Project duration:
  - o 12 months

- Total request: \$41,695
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Portland DATE: 10/04/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Mayor of Portland

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Large (68,313)
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

# Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Portland Sustainable Neighborhoods Program
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Aligned. Proposal will address MWW Actions F15, H2, and H5.

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Includes timelines, expected outcomes, and some description of roles and responsibilities.
  - Would benefit from additional detail on how community partners and the Sustainability Office will collaborate, as well as more detailed information on how success will be measured at the conclusion of the pilot.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Somewhat likely. Unable to determine due to no defined metrics of success.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Aligned.
  - Program will decentralize some of the City's climate action efforts and give residents the opportunity to become active partners in building thriving, resilient, and low-carbon communities through neighborhood-scale initiatives.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Moderately expected.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Portland DATE: 10/04/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Program aims to prioritize projects led by and benefiting communities that are likely to be disproportionately affected by climate change. The Sustainability Office will conduct direct outreach to each neighborhood about the pilot program and will select two neighborhoods representing diverse communities. Will help spread the word with translated materials, communications on different platforms, and in several areas.
  - Could benefit from addition detail on how vulnerable communities would be supported through the program and the level of capacity they'd be provided to run community events. Examples of community organizations willing to help with these programs would be helpful. Buy in from community organization prior to launch of program would be preferred.
- Project duration:
  - o **1 year**

- Total request: \$20,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: City of Rockland DATE: 9/29/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Rockland Ad Hoc Downtown Waterfront Committee
  - o Rockland Harbor Management Commission
  - o Rockland Main Street, Inc.
  - Pen Bay Chamber of Commerce
  - State Representative Valli Geiger
  - North Atlantic Blues Festival
  - Rockland City Council

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Medium (7,011)
- SVI (low, med, high): High

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with MWW Strategies including G1, G2, A5, E9, H

#### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Tasks, deliverables, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are detailed and reasonable. The City of Rockland has previously completed a climate-ready Conceptual Design and Roadmap for the Downtown Waterfront using consensus-building stakeholder approaches. This proposal leads to the next phase of preliminary engineering work. The Preliminary Engineering Phase was split into two parts, marine and landside. Their former CRP CAG covered the marine portion. This proposal addresses the landside evaluation and design.
  - Have engineering, consulting, management teams identified.
  - Plan to continue community engagement throughout process.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine]
  - Likely to achieve their desired outcomes. Well organized planners with history of completing phased approach to planning.

Need

• Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: City of Rockland DATE: 9/29/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

> This project is well-aligned with MWW. Will evaluate and address needs of vulnerable infrastructure with consideration for sea level rise, new types of uses for waterfront (ie electric boats), and increased green space and pedestrian access.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Have demonstrated strong community engagement and participation in early portions of this project. Emphasis on consensus building throughout and working to represent diverse interests. Demonstrated diversity in community participation (stats provided).
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o A focus on vulnerable island communities as well as increasing ADA accessibility.

# Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   Have received other funding sources for other phases of this work.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) Though not required, City has committed up to \$110,000 in match (200%).
  - Other notes

•

0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Rockport DATE: 10/02/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

### 

# Individual Evaluator Comments:

## Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Planning and Development Director, Town of Rockport
  - o Stewardship Education Alliance

# Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small (3,373)
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

# Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Rockport Climate Vulnerability/GHG Emissions Assessment and Outreach Plan Development: Equitable and Bold Climate Strategies for Rockport's Future
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - The proposal will address MWW action C1, E4, F1, G1, H2, H4, and H5.

# Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - The broad goal is to collect and synthesize baseline data to identify and communicate vulnerable infrastructure, populations, and ecosystems. This will include:
    - Hiring a consultant to complete a Rockport GHG Inventory of municipal operations and community wide operations.
    - Hiring a consultant to complete a three pronged assessment including social, infrastructure, and ecosystem vulnerabilities. It will include a prioritization analysis outlining the costs and benefits of addressing specific vulnerabilities.
    - Creating an outreach plan with the assistance of volunteers to engage all residents, including youth and vulnerable populations. Will partner with area non-profit organizations including Costal Mountains Land Trust, Maine Coast Heritage Trust, SEA Education, Penobscot YMCA, Rockland Boat Club, and Camden Rockport Historical Society. Could include climate action speaker series, public signage, youth programming, interactive web tools, infographics, and workshops.
       This work will be incorporated into Rockport's Comprehensive Plan.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Somewhat likely. Ambitious scope for allotted budget.

Need

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Rockport DATE: 10/02/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned. Many community members have expressed that the impacts of climate change are their number one priority and several feel that they do not have enough baseline information, particularly emissions data and social and environmental impact assessments, to make informed decisions about next steps. A recent storm that caused more than one million in road damage also drove home the need for town-wide resiliency planning in the face of climate change.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Well-designed
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Will develop place-based climate informational products installed in public places. Will
    work with the town's General Assistance Administrator and local organizations (including
    Knox County Homeless Coalition, Interfaith Outreach for Food and Energy Assistance) to
    engage communities of concern to these stakeholders. Will have a focus on the oldest
    and youngest people in town who they say will bear the brunt of climate change in the
    short and long term. They plan to work with schools, youth orgs, and public safety to
    develop outreach materials for these populations.
- Project duration:
  - o 2 years

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) Though not required, Rockport Conservation Commission will provide a portion of their annual budget for this effort (\$2,000).
- Other notes
  - Ambitious scope for proposed budget, but proposal notes they have already met with Linnean Solutions to determine the feasibility of this price structure.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: City of South Portland DATE: 9/30/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

# \*\*\*\*\*

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Gulf of Maine Research Institute
  - o Greater Portland Council of Governments
  - o Maine Geological Survey
  - o National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Large (26,500)
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

# Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Title: South Portland Coastal Resilience Project
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned*, *somewhat aligned*, *minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned. Targeting MWW Strategy F, Actions F1, F10.

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Plans are detailed and well described.
  - Task 1 Maine Geological Survey and NOAA will finalize city's dynamic flood inundation model. Will then work with GMRI to summarize how model works.
  - Task 2 GMRI and GPCOG will develop a community engagement process/materials with several components including workshops, walking tours, a storymap, and survey.
  - Task 3 Will use the finalized model plus community engagement to build a vision for the areas and update their comprehensive plan, to be completed in 2024. Anticipate work will also inform future city zoning policies.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes. Working with several experienced partners.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Project is well-aligned with MWW.
  - City adopted a climate action plan in October 2020, Our Climate Future, which identifies planning for resilient new development as a near-term priority. See this proposed work as an opportunity to take their dynamic flood inundation model and effectively communicate

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: City of South Portland DATE: 9/30/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

potential future flood events to community members in a way that spurs will to manage and develop around it.

• Will also build work into their Comprehensive Plan update.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Well-designed.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Yes. Have diverse outreach plan, including through social media, newspapers, press releases, tabling at community events, fliers, and media kids. Will advertise through a weekly column in a newspaper specifically targeted at new Mainers. Additionally, will knock on doors in historically underserved neighborhoods.
  - Will provide alternatives "tours" via storymaps to those that cannot attend in-person walking tours (those will full-time jobs, caretakers, those with disabilities).

- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - In-kind time from NOAA and Maine Geological Survey staff will be used to finalize City's dynamic flood inundation model.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of St. George DATE: 10/02/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

## 

# Individual Evaluator Comments:

# Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Colby College
  - o Marshall Point Lighthouse & Museum
  - o Christi Chapman-Mitchell, State Historic Preservation Office
  - o Ann Higgins Matlack, State Representative
  - o David Miramant, State Senator

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small (2,623)
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

# Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Title: Visualizing Solutions: Assessing Vulnerable Infrastructure & Sites, Exploring Options
- and Engaging the Community Through 3-D Imaging.
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Proposal will target MWW Strategy G, Action G1.

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Detailed with a clear list of expected outcomes to be achieved. Includes deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities. Also includes team member qualifications.
  - Task 1 Sebago Technics will acquire data to be used to identify a prioritized list of areas for further evaluation regarding their potential impact from sea level rise.
  - Task 2 3D digital models will be produced showing critical infrastructure and historical/cultural sites.
  - Task 3 Mitigation analysis and solution development with 3D visuals will be used to convey info to stakeholders, including potential remediation approaches.
  - Task 4 Outreach plans will be developed. Community engagement seminars will be hosted. A whitepaper will be completed to share process with other communities.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely outcomes will be achieved.

Need

• Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of St. George DATE: 10/02/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

> Project need is well-aligned with MWW. Coastal community in immediate proximity to the water. Community completed its Flood Resilience Checklist in Feb. 2020. State data identifies several areas with current flood risk or those vulnerable to flooding using sea level rise projections. SLR projections also show likely impacts to 8 sites on the National Register of Historic Places.

Engagement and equity

0

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Plan to engage community members through 3D visualization. They frame this as a more accessible way to convey information to the public than maps, opening decision-making to a wider set of participants. Will notify community with information by mail and through their monthly sustainability newsletter. Sustainability Committee is recruiting new members and hopes to engage members from the fishing community, students, and longtime residents.

- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) No
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Budget narrative does not match worksheet. Budget narrative shows a requested dollar amount at \$52,100, but worksheet shows \$49,600. Discrepancy with Task 3 request.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) No cost-share required, but significant inkind services (value of \$117,500) are being offered to this project.
- Could the applicant/project succeed with partial funding? (probably, unlikely)
- Other notes
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Surry DATE: 10/02/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

### 

# Individual Evaluator Comments:

## Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Nicole Grohoski, State Senator
  - o Hans Carlson, Blue Hill Heritage Trust
  - o Mark Whiting, Hancock Country Soil & Water Conservation District
  - o James Fisher, Hancock Country Planning Commission

# Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small (1,785)
- SVI (low, med, high): Low, but provided additional information demonstrating that subsets of the community experience high social vulnerability.

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Title: Community Vulnerability Assessment Action G1
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Proposal will target MWW Actions G1, G2, and F1.

# Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Provides a list of work to be completed by an outside consultant in collaboration with designated climate-change representatives from Surry.
  - Broad goals are to conduct a community vulnerability assessment, identify flood risks to town public infrastructure, identify adaptation strategies, and recommend a capital improvement plan.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - o Likely.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [well-aligned, somewhat, minimally] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Limited detail on project need, but generally well-aligned with MWW. Aim to optimize social resilience, health, safety of welfare of community members and public infrastructure in the face of climate change.

Engagement and equity

• Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Surry DATE: 10/02/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

- The proposal illustrates Surry's history of engaging with and providing services to its community members.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - The proposal illustrates Surry's history of engaging with and providing services to its community members, but more detail could be provided regarding engagement plans for this specific opportunity.

- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - Surry Select Board and the Surry Climate Action Workgroup will develop a request for proposals that delineates the scope of services and an allocation of the grant award among the requested tasks.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Tremont DATE: 10/04/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - o Tremont Sustainability Committee
  - Selectboard Member, Town of Tremont
  - o GMRI
  - o ACTT
  - Member of Tremont Comprehensive Plan Task Force, Tremont Planning Board, and Tremont Sustainability Committee

#### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small (1,544)
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Town of Tremont Climate Resilience Planning Process with Greenhouse Gas Inventory and Climate Vulnerability Assessment
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned. Proposal will target MWW Actions C1, C2, C3, and F1.

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Scope is detailed and includes deliverables, timelines, roles and responsibilities, and expected outcomes.
  - Task 1 Town will contract with ACTT to conduct a community-wide GHG inventory using ICLEI's ClearPath tool.
  - Task 2 Town will work with ACTT to develop a community engagement plan and to facilitate meetings/community events.
  - Task 3 Town will participate in a Community Resilience Training run by GMRI, the Island Institute, and ACTT.
  - Task 4 Town with hire a contractor (likely GMRI) to develop a vulnerability assessment.
  - Task 5 ACTT will draft a climate resilience plan and implementation guide using information gathered through the GHG inventory, vulnerability assessment, community listening sessions, leadership training, and research on climate mitigation and adaptation best practices.
  - Task 6 A final Climate Resilience Plan will be revised through community and town leadership feedback and review.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Tremont DATE: 10/04/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

- o Task 7 After plan has been approved by town, plan for implementation.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - This scope includes several components, but the town is working with trusted partners experienced in the work they've proposed. Likely to achieve outcomes.

## Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned with MWW.
  - Tremont is an island community vulnerable to sea level rise and storms of increasing severity. Their working waterfront, particularly the fishing industry, is also at risk due to climate induced environmental changes. In addition, their population is ageing, posing additional vulnerabilities and engagement challenges.
  - They identified preparing for climate change impacts as a clear town priority, but they have limited staff capacity to do the planning required.
  - Self-identifies a need for the community to be a sustainability leader as a town adjacent to Acadia National Park.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Well-designed. The Town of Tremont and its partners at ACTT recognize that community ownership and a high degree of participation are essential for an equitable and successful plan.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - o Community will be engaged early and often.
  - Engagement approach will emphasize strategies to reach vulnerable groups including LMI residents, members of the working waterfront, older adults, and youth. Tremont and ACTT will conduct outreach via partnerships with local organizations that have already built trust with vulnerable populations. Will consider multiple communication channels.
  - Is there a role for the climate ambassadors developed through funding from last grant round?
- Project duration:
  - o 18 months

- Total request: \$48,905
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - Town will receive some in-kind support. Leadership training will be offered using existing grant funds received by GMRI.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Waterford DATE: 10/06/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

#### 

## Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Town of Waterford Board of Selectmen

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small (1,570)
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

# Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Install a renewable energy solar system on the office portion of the town municipal building. Action C7
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Proposal will target MWW Action C7.

# Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

Need

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Proposal includes a detailed technical description of the proposed 35-panel solar installation on the roof of the Waterford Town Municipal Building. This description includes sizing, inverter requirements, estimated GHG emission reductions, etc. Town has received a design proposal from Carbo-Kane, a locally owned and operated builder that is Efficiency Maine registered.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine]

Likely

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned.
  - "Solar installation will reduce heat trapping CO2 emissions from fossil-based electrical generating industry, contributing to Maine Won't Wait's 100% RPS goal, demonstrate solar capabilities to town residents and some will become more likely to invest in their own solar installations, save electrical costs to the taxpayers, and develop information and data to install a phase II solar system on the larger firehouse portion of the building to supply power for the soon to be installed heat pump system (installation planned to

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Waterford DATE: 10/06/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

start in November), a potential heat pump system in the town garage, EV chargers on town properties, and other town electrical usage."

# Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - o Well-designed.
  - Community has been engaged in discussions about climate change and local climate action for several years now. To prepare to engage in the CRP, a leadership team called Partners in Energy, Efficiency, and Resilience [PEER]) was established to conduct outreach through flyers, emails, and posts on the town web site and community Facebook pages. Meets were held on zoom with a focus on equitable processes.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Could benefit from additional detail about how program will engage and benefit disadvantaged groups. Proposal does note that the solar installation will impact everyone in the community, decreasing energy costs and taxpayer burden. See a long-term benefit from the project, both economic and environmental.
- Project duration:
  - o 12 months

- Total request: \$49,979
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - 0 N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - Anticipating direct pay/rebate from IRA of ~\$21,420.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Westport Island DATE: 10/02/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

\*\*\*\*\*

## Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Chloe Maxmin, State Senator
    - o Holly Stover, State Representative
    - o Marry Ellen Barnes, Lincoln County Regional Planning Commission
  - o Jeffery Tarbox, Chair of the Westport Island Selectboard
  - o Jerry Bodmer, Licensed Plumbing Inspector, Westport Island

#### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small (719)
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

#### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Title: Planning for Ground Water During Climate Change on Westport Island
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Proposal targets MWW Action E7, implement a source water protection program

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Proposal is detailed, provides deliverables, project timeline, roles and responsibilities, and expected outcomes. Tasks making up the groundwater vulnerability study include:
    - Task 1 community-wide well survey, including making water quality test kits to be made available to all well owners free of charge. Set up GIS geodatabase.
    - Task 2 Data analysis and mapping. Will evaluate several scenarios including saltwater intrusion potential. Update town ordinances to protect groundwater.
    - Task 3 Design a long-term aquifer monitoring program.
    - Task 4 Engage community members through an initial project information meeting; send project updates by mail and post online; host a project outcome meeting.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine]
  - o Likely

Need

• Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Westport Island DATE: 10/02/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

> Well-aligned. Due to several factors including increased residential development, drought conditions, and saltwater intrusion, Westport Island sees need to evaluate vulnerability of drinking water. Expect this work will lead to possible mitigation strategies.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - The community has voted that this project is their highest priority for the Community Resilience Partnership. This shows broad buy in.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Plan describes both methods of broad and targeted outreach to community members. Direct outreach will focus on low income and elderly residents. They hope to ensure strong participation in water testing by providing free test kits.

- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Costs not inlcuded in narrative.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A, but town conservation commission will provide some funding for print and mail surveys, as well as a contribution to the water test kits.
- Other notes
  - 0

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Windowdressers DATE: 11/21/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

#### 

### Individual Evaluator Comments:

#### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Service Provider Organization
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - City of Eastport
  - Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point

### **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Multi-community
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Both Small
- SVI (low, med, high): Both High

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Weatherize Eastport and Pleasant Point
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned.
  - Proposal targets MWW actions H1, H5, and H7.

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - o Detailed proposal with timelines, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes.
  - This proposal seeks funding for a combined window insert building workshop to serve both communities.
  - Through Weatherize Eastport (WE), home energy navigators will conduct outreach and identify Eastport residents in particular need of home weatherization services and heat pumps (based on their income, age and condition of home, age of the residents, etc.), educate these residents about existing incentives to make solutions affordable (e.g., Efficiency Maine, MaineHousing, etc.), and help them navigate the paperwork/processes to obtain these options. In addition, Eastport staff and community members will initiate a competitive bulk purchase request for proposals process for heat pump and weatherization vendors to obtain the largest discount for Eastport residents based on various tiers of participation.
  - Pleasant Point seeks funds to build capacity to implement new projects beyond this first window insert build through a dedicated grant-writer and the formation of the new Pleasant Point Resilience Citizen Committee (PPRCC).
  - Three phases of activites: education, outreach, and planning; application review and selection; and project implementation.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine]
  - Likely. Each community has identified local coordinator.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Windowdressers DATE: 11/21/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - o Well-aligned.
  - Communities both have high poverty rates and older populations. Old housing stock.
     High heating oil reliance.
  - o Indigenous populations in general are underserved in home energy efficiency access.
  - Both communities separately identified these projects as priorities.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - Detailed engagement plan tailored to each community and their wants/needs.
  - o Pleasant Point will establish a new citizen committee to do climate/resilience planning.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Indigenous populations in general are underserved in home energy efficiency access. This project will increase access and capacity in the Passamaquoddy community.
  - To increase attendance at educational events, will provide food, and additional drop-in hours and scheduled appointments as alternatives, helping residents engage in a way that best fits their needs.
  - o Stipends for navigators.
- Project duration:
  - o 1 year+

# Criteria 5 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$125,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
  - Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
    - Part of work is to help folks access EM incentives through education and administrative assistance.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes

•

o Local staff match and volunteer hours provided.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Woodstock DATE: 10/31/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

#### \*\*\*\*\*

## Individual Evaluator Comments:

## Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Woodstock Conservation Commission
    - Community Concepts

## **Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small (1,352)
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

### Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Project title: Energy Audit, Heat Pump Installation, and Building Capacity with a Resilience Committee
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - o Well-aligned.
  - Proposal targets MWW Actions C1, B4, and H1

# Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Detailed and reasonable. Includes clear tasks, deliverables, timelines, and roles and responsibilities.
  - Task 1 Conduct a baseline for energy usage in Woodstock.
  - Task 2 Install heat pumps in the town office.
  - o Task 3 Establish an official committee of community stakeholders.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Currently town capacity for planning and implementation of resilience efforts is limited. Establishing a committee will help build capacity and to help the town identify needs and projects to meet needs in near and long term. Energy audit will inform future efficiency projects, costs, and emission reductions.

Engagement and equity

• Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants BIDDER NAME: Town of Woodstock DATE: 10/31/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

- Emphasize a focus on developing a socially and economically diverse resilience committee with a special focus on youth participation.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - CEBE will help generate interest in the resilience stakeholder group through direct outreach to key stakeholders including the conservation commission, school board and administration, student and faculty, first responders, etc.
- Project duration:
  - o Less than a year

- Total request: \$26,820
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
   Yes, planning to utilize Efficiency Maine Small Municipality Retrofits incentives.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - Would like more detail on how they plan to distribute \$2,000 of stipend funds requested under Task 3.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action BIDDER NAME: Town of Woolwich DATE: 10/02/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

\*\*\*\*\*

# Individual Evaluator Comments:

### Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
  - Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Allison Hepler, State Representative
    - Sarah Bennett, Sagadahoc County Emergency Management Agency
    - o Brian Carlton, Director of Emergency Management Agency, Town of Woolwich

### Criteria 2 – Community Characteristics

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small (3,068)
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

## Criteria 3 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)

- Title: Increasing Emergency Preparedness in Woolwich
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with MWW. Will target MWW Strategy F, Actions F2, F3, and F13.

### Criteria 4 – Scope of Work

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described].
  - Project description for task 1 is detailed. More detail would be helpful for Task 2.
  - Task 1 Create and distribute magnets with appropriate emergency info to Woolwich residents and include a mailer collecting updated contact information to be filled out and returned to the town's emergency service personnel.
  - Task 2 Develop an updated Town Emergency Management Hazard Mitigation Plan to replace outdated plan from 2012.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine]
  - Somewhat likely. Would like to see more detail on how climate vulnerabilities are being considered and incorporated into the hazard mitigation plan.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Project need section of application notes that the town has seen an increase in climatedriven severe weather, temperatures, and increased precipitation. They are also anticipating sea level rise in their community. With both additional town resources and new hazards, the town sees a clear need to update their emergency materials and communications strategy.

RFA #: 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action BIDDER NAME: Town of Woolwich DATE: 10/02/22 EVALUATOR NAME: Caroline Colan EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: GEO

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope].
  - 0
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - The proposal is a good start, recognizing that vulnerable residents may need increased assistance in challenging weather or other emergency situations. They will mail to all residents, not rely on online communications. Would suggest additional detail on how the importance of collecting this information will be conveyed and how to get vulnerable community members to willingly share their vulnerabilities. I image there could be some barriers there.

- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

   N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - 0



Janet T. Mills Governor Hannah Pingree Director

# AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT RFA# 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

I, \_\_\_\_\_Brian Ambrette\_\_\_\_\_ accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Applications (RFA) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFA.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFA nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand and agree that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner without bias or prejudice. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the award decision notices for public distribution.

Signature

9/23/2022

Date



Janet T. Mills Governor

Hannah Pingree Director

# AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT RFA# 202207107 **RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant**

accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Applications Caroline Colan Ι. (RFA) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFA.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFA nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand and agree that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner without bias or prejudice. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the award decision notices for public distribution.

MQ Date

09/26/2022



Janet T. Mills Governor STATE OF MAINE Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

> Hannah Pingree Director

# AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT RFA# 202207107 RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

I, Sarah Curran, accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Applications (RFA) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFA.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFA nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand and agree that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner without bias or prejudice. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the award decision notices for public distribution.

Sarah War

9/27/22

Signature

Date