

PROCUREMENT JUSTIFICATION FORM (PJF)

This form must accompany all contract requests and sole source requisitions (RQS) over \$5,000 submitted to the Division of Procurement Services.

INSTRUCTIONS: Please provide the requested information in the white spaces below. All responses (except signatures) must be typed; no hand-written forms will be accepted. See the guidance document posted with this form on the Division of Procurement Services intranet site (Forms page) for additional instructions.

s file, significant	Service of the Ass	P	ART I: C	VERVIE	W		
Department Office/Division/Program:			Inland Fisheries & Wildlife				
Department Contract Administrator or Grant Coordinator:			Karen Estabrook				
(If applicable) Department Reference #:							
Amount: \$ \$30,0		00.00 Advantage CT / RQS #: 09A-		09A-20	02403190000000002533		
CONTRACT	Proposed Sta	art Date:	5/1/202	4	Proposed End	Date:	9/30/2024
AMENDMENT	Original Start Date:				Effective Date:		
	Previous End Date:		New End I		Date:		
GRANT	Project Start Date:				Grant Start Date:		
	Project End Date:		Grant End D		Date:		
Vendor/Provider/Grantee Name,		N.E. Smith Corporation					
City, State:		Groton, VT					
Brief Description of		Food plot management including herbicide application					
Goods/Services/Grant:			on two WMAs in one Administrative Region				

PART II: JUSTIFICATION FOR VENDOR SELECTION							
Check the box below for the justification(s) that applies to this request. (Check all that apply.)							
	A. Competitive Process		G. Grant				
	B. Amendment		H. State Statute/Agency Directed				
\boxtimes	C. Single Source/Unique Vendor		I. Federal Agency Directed				
	D. Proprietary/Copyright/Patents		J. Willing and Qualified				
	E. Emergency		K. Client Choice				
	F. University Cooperative Project		L. Other Authorization				

Please respond to ALL of the questions in the following sections.

PART III: SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

1. Provide a more detailed description and explain the need for the goods, services or grant to supplement the response in Part I.

Three fields at the Page Farm WMA and one field at the Bud Leavitt WMA are managed as food plots. Work includes liming and fertilizing twice (late spring and late summer), three mowing entries, and an additional herbicide application on the first entry to combat competing vegetation. Replanting is conducted as needed. Food plot establishment is a wildlife habitat practice that is growing in popularity with the public. They are extremely beneficial to a wide variety of wildlife species including pollinators, gamebirds, and large mammals. Department WMAs also serve as demonstration areas for the public.

2. Provide a brief justification for the selected vendor to supplement the response in Part II. Reference the RFP number, if applicable.

The Department has contracted with the vendor since the beginning of the project over ten years ago. Therefore, he has an intimate knowledge of the work done to date. This long-term working relationship is a valuable asset to have. Unique qualifications include:

Soil micronutrient management: matching the soil needs to specific herbaceous forage mixture and including site preparation and seeding rates. Vendor has years of experience working with food plot development and maintenance in several northeastern states and a wide variety of habitat types. The vendor has all the necessary equipment including several types of tractors and related tractor implements. A specific list of equipment is available on request.

3. Explain how the negotiated costs or rates are fair and reasonable; or how the funding was allocated to grantee.

The vendor (contractor) when completing wildlife habitat work for the Department considers it as an officially subsidized NRCS (Natural Resource Conservation Service) practice. Rates are based on ¾ of current fair market value which equals 25% savings. The contractor is also a Whitetail Institute dealer and can purchase seed at 65% of cost (this is the seed that was used to establish the food plots and should continue to be used). Savings are therefore passed on to the Department. The contractor is charging the Department an average of \$200.00 less per acre.

4. Describe the plan for future competition for the goods or services.

The Department is not aware of any other vendor that can do all the work required and in all the locations at the reduced cost available and has all the equipment necessary. Should other vendors become available and can be competitive in cost, quality, and knowledge of the work, the Department would consider their services.

PART IV: AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN ACT (ARPA) / MAINE JOBS & RECOVERY PLAN (MJRP)
Does this request utilize ARPA/MJRP funds?
☐ Yes, MJRP funds (023) – If Yes, please attach the approved Business Case(s).

☐ Yes, ARPA funds (025) – If Yes, please be aware of the requirements from awarding federal				
agencies.				
⊠ No – If No, proceed to Part V.				

PART V: APPROVALS							
The signatures below indicate approval of this procurement request.							
Signature of requesting Department's Commissioner (or designee):	Docusigned by: Juditu d Camuso 251191808425475						
Typed Name:	Judy Camuso	Date:	3/19/2024				
Signature of DAFS Procurement Official:	Docusigned by: Kathy. Paquette 41C2BA36FAF44CD						
Typed Name:	Kathy Paquette	Date:	4/19/2024				