

## DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE AND FINANCIAL SERVICES DIVISION OF PROCUREMENT SERVICES STATE OF MAINE

## **PROCUREMENT JUSTIFICATION FORM (PJF)**

This form must accompany all contract requests and sole source requisitions (RQS) over \$5,000 submitted to the Division of Procurement Services.

INSTRUCTIONS: Please provide the requested information in the white spaces below. All responses (except signatures) must be typed; no hand-written forms will be accepted. See the guidance document posted with this form on the Division of Procurement Services intranet site (Forms page) for additional instructions.

PART I: OVERVIEW									
Department Office/Division/Program:			Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife/Wildlife Division/WRAS						
Department Contract Administrator or Grant Coordinator:			Nathan Webb						
(If applicable) Department Reference #:									
Amount: (Contract/Amendment/Grant) \$ \$61,315			5.81	Advantage CT / RQS #:		20230117*1892			
CONTRACT	Proposed S	art Date:	3/2/2023 Prop		Proposed	d End Date:	3/1/2024		
AMENDMENT	Original Start Date:				Effective Date:				
	Previous End Date:				New End Date:				
GRANT	Project Start Date:				Grant Start Date:				
	Project End Date:				Grant End Date:				
Vendor/Provider/Grantee Name, City, State:		Matson's Laboratory, LLC, 135 Wooden Shoe Lane, Manhattan, MT 59741							
Brief Description of Goods/Services/Grant:		Bear, deer, and furbearer (bobcat, fisher, marten, river otter) tooth cleaning, staining, sectioning, and age analysis.							

PART II: JUSTIFICATION FOR VENDOR SELECTION								
Check the box below for the justification(s) that applies to this request. (Check all that apply.)								
	A. Competitive Process		G. Grant					
	B. Amendment		H. State Statute/Agency Directed					
$\boxtimes$	C. Single Source/Unique Vendor		I. Federal Agency Directed					
	D. Proprietary/Copyright/Patents		J. Willing and Qualified					
	E. Emergency		K. Client Choice					
	F. University Cooperative Project		L. Other Authorization					

Please respond to ALL of the questions in the following sections.

## PART III: SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

1. Provide a more detailed description and explain the need for the goods, services or grant to supplement the response in Part I.

The Department regulates the harvest of big-game and furbearer species to ensure that harvests are sustainable and that populations are maintained within management guidelines and with wildlife health as a priority. Age structure data are obtained by analyzing teeth from harvested animals, and this helps wildlife managers to monitor a wide variety of population characteristics such as mortality and survival rates, sex and age-specific harvest rates, and abundance/density.

The Provider shall prepare and analyze up to 3,800 black bear teeth, 2,300 furbearer teeth (250 bobcat, 600 fisher, 950 marten, and 500 river otter), and 2,000 white-tailed deer teeth.

2. Provide a brief justification for the selected vendor to supplement the response in Part II. Reference the RFP number, if applicable.

With long-term datasets used to monitor trends, it is important that data be collected using similar protocols and quality control standards over time; introducing data collected differently to a dataset may hide or skew apparent trends in those data. While we possess equipment to cut and age teeth from some species in-house, we are only able to handle a very small number, and results for most species are of a lower quality and confidence.

3. Explain how the negotiated costs or rates are fair and reasonable; or how the funding was allocated to grantee.

The cost per tooth at Matson's will be ~\$6.70 per tooth for cleaning and sectioning (\$4.75) and analysis (\$1.95). Analysis of river otter teeth differs from the \$1.95 of most species and is \$2.25 per tooth.

We have the ability and equipment to cut and stain teeth from some species in-house, however, very few staff are able to do this, this volume of teeth would require many months of full-time attention to complete, and results would be of a lower quality and confidence. If a staff biologist were to prepare all the teeth at a rate of \$29.33/hour, the cost to clean, section, and analyze each tooth would be ~\$5 per tooth depending on proficiency level, however the resulting product would be of a lesser quality, and the involved staff would be required to divert attention from other projects while processing the teeth in-house. The equipment in-house for cutting teeth are only appropriate for small batches and probably not suitable for all species.

4. Describe the plan for future competition for the goods or services.

If another laboratory of similar capacity becomes available to provide this service, we will use a competitive process to select the best vendor to conduct this work. We will consider pilot studies comparing results between labs to determine whether multiple labs can produce results consistent with one another.

## PART IV: AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN ACT (ARPA) / MAINE JOBS & RECOVERY PLAN (MJRP)

Does this request utilize ARPA/MJRP funds?

 $\Box$  Yes – If Yes, please attach the approved Business Case(s).

 $\boxtimes$  No – If No, proceed to Part V.

PART V: APPROVALS									
The signatures below indicate approval of this procurement request.									
Signature of requesting Department's Commissioner (or designee):	DocuSigned by: Julitu a Camuso 2E11918D8425475								
Typed Name:	Judith Camuso	Date:	1/19/2023						
Signature of DAFS Procurement Official:	DocuSigned by: Martha Verhille								
Typed Name:	Martha Verhille	Date:	1/25/2023						