State of Maine <u>RFP / Proposal Master Score Sheet</u>

Instructions: Complete the Master Score Sheet below providing all of the requested information for each bidder that submitted a proposal in response to the RFP. This document is to be included in the Selection Package submitted to the Division of Procurement Services for review/approval.

SCORESHEET FOR RFP#201903044: PROPOSAL SUBM	ITTED BY:	BY.		CCSWCD Mosher Brook		County of Aroostook Cross Lake		CWD Wilson Pond		KCSWCD China Lake	
	COST:	Cos	st: \$	522,017.87	Cost:	\$17,419	Cost:	\$18,300	Cost:	\$27,590	
EVALUATION ITEM	POINTS AVAIL.							,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		4211000	
Section I: Applicant Qualifications Experience	15		11			9		13		11	
Section II. Relative Value of Waterbody	10		1			6		4		8	
Section III. Water Quality Problem	10		3		6		8		9		
Section IV. Nature Extent Severity NPS Prob.	10		4			8	6		6		
Section V. Feasibility for Success	25	15				19		13		18	
Section VI. Cost Effectiveness	25		12			23	14		18		
Section VII. Comprehensive Plan	5		5			4		4		4	
Total	100		51			75		62			
PROPOSAL SUBM	TTED BY:			unswick		10		92		74	
AND MALE AND	COST:	Cost:	1		Cost:		Cost:		Cost:		
EVALUATION ITEM	POINTS AVAIL.		.1						COSL		
Section I. Applicant Qualifications Experience	15		12				1				
Section II. Relative Value of Waterbody	10		7								
Section III. Water Quality Problem	10		5								
Section IV. Nature Extent Severity NPS Prob.	10		5								
Section V. Feasibility for Success	25		21								
Section VI. Cost Effectiveness	25		18								
Section VII. Comprehensive Plan	5		5						1		
Total	100		73								

STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION





June 10, 2019

Ryan Pelletier County of Aroostook 144 Sweden Street, Suite 1 Caribou, ME 04736

RE: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards - RFP#201903044, Watershed-based Plan Development

Dear Mr. Pelletier:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Proposals (RFP) referenced above, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP and is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

Bidder	Proposal Title
County of Aroostook	Cross Lake Watershed-Based Management Plan Development
Kennebec County SWCD	China Lake Watershed-Based Plan Development
Town of Brunswick	Mare Brook Watershed-Based Plan Development

The bidders listed above received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6). This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for submitting a proposal to help improve and protect Maine's waters.

Sincerely,

Hendy Julia I

Wendy Garland NPS Program Coordinator, Bureau of Water Quality

AUGUSTA 17 STATE HOUSE STATION AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017

BANGOR 106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6 BANGOR, MAINE 04401 (207) 287-7688 PAX: (207) 287-7826 (207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND 312 CANCO ROAD PORTLAND, MAINE 04103

PRESQUE ISLE 1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769 (207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303 (207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

website: www.maine.gov/dep

STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).

STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION





June 10, 2019

John Eldridge, Town Manager Town of Brunswick 85 Union Street Brunswick, ME 04011

RE: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards - RFP#201903044, Watershed-based Plan Development

Dear Mr. Eldridge:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Proposals (RFP) referenced above, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP and is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

Bidder	Proposal Title
County of Aroostook	Cross Lake Watershed-Based Management Plan Development
Kennebec County SWCD	China Lake Watershed-Based Plan Development
Town of Brunswick	Mare Brook Watershed-Based Plan Development

The bidders listed above received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6). This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for submitting a proposal to help improve and protect Maine's waters.

Sincerely,

Hundy Jackard

Wendy Garland NPS Program Coordinator, Bureau of Water Quality

AUGUSTA 17 STATE HOUSE STATION AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017

BANGOR 106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6 BANGOR, MAINE 04401 (207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826 (207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND 312 CANCO ROAD PORTLAND, MAINE 04103 (207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303 (207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

PRESQUE ISLE 1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769

website: www.matne.gov/dep

STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).

~

STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION





June 10, 2019

Carol Ann Doucette, Chair Cumberland County SWCD 35 Main Street, Suite 3 Windham, ME 04062

RE: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards - RFP#201903044, Watershed-based Plan Development

Dear Carol Ann:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Proposals (RFP) referenced above, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP and is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

Bidder	Proposal Title	
County of Aroostook	Cross Lake Watershed-Based Management Plan Development	
Kennebec County SWCD	China Lake Watershed-Based Plan Development	
Town of Brunswick	Mare Brook Watershed-Based Plan Development	

The bidders listed above received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6). This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for submitting a proposal to help improve and protect Maine's waters.

Sincerely.

Hendy Jailart

Wendy Garland NPS Program Coordinator, Bureau of Water Quality

AUGUSTA 17 STATE HOUSE STATION AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017 (207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826 (207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

BANGOR 106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6 BANGOR, MAINE 04401

PORTLAND 312 CANCO ROAD PORTLAND, MAINE 04103

PRESOUE ISLE 1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769 (207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303 (207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

website: www.maine.gov/dep

STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825- \pounds (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).

STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION





June 10, 2019

Bill Monagle **Cobbossee Watershed District** P.O. Box 418 Winthrop, ME 04364

RE: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards - RFP#201903044, Watershed-based Plan Development

Dear Bill:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Proposals (RFP) referenced above, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP and is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

Bidder	Proposal Title	
County of Aroostook	Cross Lake Watershed-Based Management Plan Development	
Kennebec County SWCD	China Lake Watershed-Based Plan Development	
Town of Brunswick	wn of Brunswick Mare Brook Watershed-Based Plan Development	

The bidders listed above received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6). This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for submitting a proposal to help improve and protect Maine's waters.

Sincerely.

Hendy Julan

Wendy Garland NPS Program Coordinator, Bureau of Water Quality

AUGUSTA 17 STATE HOUSE STATION AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017 (207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826 (207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

BANGOR 106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6 BANGOR, MAINE 04401

PORTLAND **312 CANCO ROAD** PORTLAND, MAINE 04103

PRESOUE ISLE 1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769 (207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303 (207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

website: www.maine.gov/dep

STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).

STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION





June 10, 2019

Dale Finseth Kennebec County SWCD 21 Enterprise Drive, Suite #1 Augusta, ME 04330

RE: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards - RFP#201903044, Watershed-based Plan Development

Dear Dale:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Proposals (RFP) referenced above, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP and is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

Bidder	Proposal Title	
County of Aroostook	Cross Lake Watershed-Based Management Plan Development	
Kennebec County SWCD	China Lake Watershed-Based Plan Development	
Town of Brunswick	Mare Brook Watershed-Based Plan Development	

The bidders listed above received the evaluation team's highest rankings. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6). This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for submitting a proposal to help improve and protect Maine's waters.

Sincerely,

Windy Juland

Wendy Garland NPS Program Coordinator, Bureau of Water Quality

AUGUSTA 17 STATE HOUSE STATION AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0017

BANGOR 106 HOGAN ROAD, SUITE 6 BANGOR, MAINE 04401 (207) 287-7688 FAX: (207) 287-7826 (207) 941-4570 FAX: (207) 941-4584

PORTLAND 312 CANCO ROAD PORTLAND, MAINE 04103 (207) 822-6300 FAX: (207) 822-6303 (207) 764-0477 FAX: (207) 760-3143

PRESOUE ISLE 1235 CENTRAL DRIVE, SKYWAY PARK PRESQUE ISLE, MAINE 04769

website: www.maine.gov/dep

STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).

4

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: KCSWCD (China Lake) DATE: 5/29/19

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is **not** meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Procurement Services as part of your contract award selection documents.

DEPARTMENT NAME: Maine DEP **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Wendy Garland **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Greg Beane, Phil Carey, Wendy Garland, Amanda Pratt

Pass/Fail Criteria			
		Pass:	<u>Fail:</u>
♦Match at least 25%		X	
◆Eligible recipient		X	
♦NPS Priority Watershed		X	
		Points A	warded:
Numerical Score:			
Section I. Applicant Qualifications and Experience	(Max: 15 Points)	1	1
Section II. Relative Value of Waterbody	(Max: 10 Points)		3
Section III. Water Quality Problem	(Max: 10 Points)		Ð
Section IV. Nature, Extent and Severity of NPS Problems	(Max: 10 Points)		6
Section V. Feasibility for Success	(Max: 25 Points)	1	8
Section VI. Cost Effectiveness	(Max: 25 Points)	1	8
Section VII. Comprehensive Plan	(Max: 5 Points)		4
TOTAL POINTS	(Max: 100 Points)	7	4

SUMMARY PAGE

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: KCSWCD (China Lake) DATE: 5/29/19

EVALUATION OF SECTION I
Applicant Qualifications and Experience
Total Points Available: 15 points Score:11

Evaluation Team Comments:
KCSWCD has a strong track record with NPS program grants. They will primarily be the
Fiscal agent and provide some technical support. Project will rely mostly on a consultant that
Should have the appropriate skills and experience to lead the project. No information provided
For the water district in the quals section.

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: KCSWCD (China Lake) DATE: 5/29/19

EVALUATION OF SECTION II Relative Value of Waterbody <u>Total Points Available</u>: 10 <u>Score</u>: <u>8</u>____

Evaluation Team Comments:

China Lake has heavy recreational use and is a drinking water supply for the Waterville area. Historic fishery and important bass fishery. Coldwater fishery is gone now, but some potential for Fishery improvement is water quality is restored.

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: KCSWCD (China Lake) DATE: 5/29/19

EVALUATION OF SECTION III Water Quality Problem

Total Points Available: 10 Score: ___9__

Evaluation Team Comments:

mnaired lake with extensive water quality data and ongoing testing. Good amount of information provided in
mpaired lake with extensive water quality data and ongoing testing. Good amount of information provided in the proposal. Well understood problems with anoxia, SDT, TP and ChI a.
ne proposal. Well understood problems with anoxia, SDT, TP and Chi a.

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: KCSWCD (China Lake) DATE: 5/29/19

EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Nature, Extent and Severity of NPS Problems

Total Points Available: 10 Score: __6___

Evaluation Team Comments:

Proposal mentioned various NPS issues and past studies. Not much detail provided on the extent of agriculture, septics and residential NPS sources. Recent road survey – 46 roads surveyed and nine needed improvements. Very general – How many sites? What is the severity?

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: KCSWCD (China Lake) DATE: 5/29/19

EVALUATION OF SECTION V Feasibility of Success

Total Points Available: 25 Score: ___18__

Evaluation Team Comments:

• Strong involvement from numerous partners.

• Will conduct watershed, water quality and in-lake studies to feed into plan.

• Not sure if plan will lead to restoration but will leverage past efforts and pick up momentum again to help move towards that goal.

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: KCSWCD (China Lake) DATE: 5/29/19

EVALUATION OF SECTION VI Cost Effectivenes

Total Points Available: 25

Score: ____18____

Evaluation Team Comments:

•	Strong match 58% with good quality and depth and from numerous partners.
•	Consultant cost high but these skills are needed. 441 hours is a lot of time.
•	Some of the table match is unclear (should 'cash' be changed to 'contractual' instead?)
•	Reasonable investment - \$27,590 grant to do a comprehensive assessment and plan.

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: KCSWCD (China Lake) DATE: 5/29/19

EVALUATION OF SECTION VII Comprehensive Plan

Total Points Available: 5 Score: _4___

.....

Evaluation Team Comments:

Watershed includes Towns of Albion, China and Vassalboro. Albion and Vassalboro do not have consistent comp plans. Only China has consistent plan – and this is 89% of the watershed. Therefore, 5 points x 0.89 = 4 points awarded.

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: County of Aroostook (Cross Lake) DATE: 5/29/19

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is **not** meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Procurement Services as part of your contract award selection documents.

DEPARTMENT NAME: Maine DEP **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Wendy Garland **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Greg Beane, Phil Carey, Wendy Garland, Amanda Pratt

Pass/Fail Criteria			
		Pass:	Fail:
♦Match at least 25%		X	
✦Eligible recipient		X	
♦NPS Priority Watershed		X	
		I	
		Points A	warded:
Numerical Score:			
Castion L. Applicant Qualifications and Experience	(May: 45 Dainta)		<u></u>
Section I. Applicant Qualifications and Experience	(Max: 15 Points)	:	9
Section II. Relative Value of Waterbody	(Max: 10 Points)	(6
Section III. Water Quality Problem	(Max: 10 Points)	(6
Section IV. Nature, Extent and Severity of NPS Problems	(Max: 10 Points)	8	3
Section V. Feasibility for Success	(Max: 25 Points)	1	9
Section VI. Cost Effectiveness	(Max: 25 Points)	2	3
Section VII. Comprehensive Plan	(Max: 5 Points)		1
TOTAL POINTS	(Max: 100 Points)	7	5

SUMMARY PAGE

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: County of Aroostook (Cross Lake) DATE: 5/29/19

EVALUATION OF SECTION I
Applicant Qualifications and Experience
Total Points Available: 15 points Score: 9

Evaluation Team Comments:
Some past staff experience with grants, including past NPS grants through a different position. However, no recent and direct experience.
Strong local leadership by St. Peters, who have extensive project management and environmental field.
Not a lot of depth if something happened to St. Peters.
Consultant to be hired for plan support. Good idea.
Very strong partner involvement.

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: County of Aroostook (Cross Lake) DATE: 5/29/19

EVALUATION OF SECTION II Relative Value of Waterbody <u>Total Points Available</u>: 10 <u>Score</u>: <u>6</u>

Evaluation Team Comments:

Large public boat launch and picnic area. Lake is used for recreation. Habitat value note for coldwater fish and several wetland complexes. Other lakes in the area.

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: County of Aroostook (Cross Lake) DATE: 5/29/19

EVALUATION OF SECTION III Water Quality Problem

Total Points Available: 10 Score: ___6__

Evaluation Team Comments:

Lake is impaired and was listed in 2004. Large gap in data. Station 1 – SDT 2.3 meters average. Data appears to be fairly stable over time.

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: County of Aroostook (Cross Lake) DATE: 5/29/19

EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Nature, Extent and Severity of NPS Problems

Total Points Available: 10 Score: 8

Evaluation Team Comments:

Agriculture is major NPS source as demonstrated by studies, photo and land use. Upcoming survey will identify specific NPS sources on roads, residential, ag, and forestry. Very comprehensive and locally-funded approach.

Extensive past NRCS work in watershed.

Land use information makes it clear about priority NPS sources but not presented directly.

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: County of Aroostook (Cross Lake) DATE: 5/29/19

EVALUATION OF SECTION V Feasibility of Success

Total Points Available: 25 Score: ___19__

Evaluation Team Comments:

Excellent partner involvement and buy-in.
Likely to be completed as proposed and will result in strong, informed plan.
Will it be possible to make a big difference in water quality given the high ag land use and water quality challenges?

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: County of Aroostook (Cross Lake) DATE: 5/29/19

EVALUATION OF SECTION VI Cost Effectivenes

Total Points Available: 25

Score: 23

***************************************	*****	******	***************************************

Evaluation Team Comments:

•	\$17k grant and \$50k total project. Modest investment and will result in a quality plan.
٠	Excellent match quality and amount.
•	Tasks and staff costs appear appropriate.

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: County of Aroostook (Cross Lake) DATE: 5/29/19

EVALUATION OF SECTION VII Comprehensive Plan

Total Points Available: 5 Score: _4___

Evaluation Team Comments:

Watershed is largely in unorganized territory but also includes parts of Towns of Fort Kent, Frenchville, Saint Agatha and New Canada. Only town with a consistent comp plan is Fort Kent. Unorganized territory is considered to have consistent plan under LUPC. In total, 71% of the watershed has a consistent plan. Therefore, 5 points x 0.71 = 4 points total.

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: Brunswick (Mare Brook) DATE: 5/29/19

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is **not** meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Procurement Services as part of your contract award selection documents.

DEPARTMENT NAME: Maine DEP **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Wendy Garland **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Greg Beane, Phil Carey, Wendy Garland, Amanda Pratt

Pass/Fail Criteria			
		Pass:	Fail:
♦Match at least 25%		X	
✦Eligible recipient		X	
♦NPS Priority Watershed		X	
		1	
		Points Awarded:	
Numerical Score:			
Section I. Applicant Qualifications and Experience (Max: 15 Points)		12	
Section II. Relative Value of Waterbody	(Max: 10 Points)	-	7
Section III. Water Quality Problem	(Max: 10 Points)		5
Section IV. Nature, Extent and Severity of NPS Problems	(Max: 10 Points)		5
,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		_
Section V. Feasibility for Success (Max: 25 Poi		2	1
Section VI. Cost Effectiveness	(Max: 25 Points)	18	
Section VII. Comprehensive Plan	(Max: 5 Points)		5
			-
TOTAL POINTS	(Max: 100 Points)	7	3

SUMMARY PAGE

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: Brunswick (Mare Brook) DATE: 5/29/19

EVALUATION OF SECTION I Applicant Qualifications and Experience Total Points Available: 15 points Score: ___12__ **Evaluation Team Comments:** Brunswick did a really good job with their CCG project on Mare Brook. Two consultants to be hired to bring expertise in geomorphology and chemistry. (Is the chemist involve in the pit tag survey though?) Strong team with CCSWCD included. CCSWCD has done a few stream WBPs but their current team doesn't have too much experience.

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: Brunswick (Mare Brook) DATE: 5/29/19

EVALUATION OF SECTION II Relative Value of Waterbody <u>Total Points Available</u>: 10 <u>Score</u>: <u>7</u>

Evaluation Team Comments:

Sea run brook trout and fishing/ice skating.

Lots of recreational trails but not all mentioned in the proposal. Conservation lands as well.

Shellfish harvesting in Harpswell Cove.

Stream accessible from residential areas, school and Bowdoin College. Good public awareness about the stream and value.

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: Brunswick (Mare Brook) DATE: 5/29/19

EVALUATION OF SECTION III Water Quality Problem

Total Points Available: 10 Score: ___5_

Evaluation Team Comments:

Impaired macroinvertebrates/aquatic life and habitat.

Water quality overview provides little to no information, but much more is known and could have been included.

DEP/Town/CCG project has collected extensive bacteria, DO, SpC, habitat and macro data throughout watershed.

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: Brunswick (Mare Brook) DATE: 5/29/19

EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Nature, Extent and Severity of NPS Problems

Total Points Available: 10 Score: __5__

Evaluation Team Comments:

Very little information and data provided about land uses and NPS sources. Does indicate the geomorphology and perhaps legacy toxics are an issue.

Could have provided much more information about geomorph and streambank sources, stormwater and instream issues that were summarized in previous project reports.

Bank erosion study – 7/10 reaches with severe erosion – not mentioned.

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: Brunswick (Mare Brook) DATE: 5/29/19

EVALUATION OF SECTION V Feasibility of Success

Total Points Available: 25 Score: ____21___

Evaluation Team Comments:

- Tasks are appropriate given past work and understanding and remaining questions. Will result in strong plan to guide restoration.
 Strong town support and investment in the stream and project.
 Leverages past efforts.
 DEP input that subtasks in #4 are appropriate.
 - Not clear from involvement how much local support there is beyond the town.

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: Brunswick (Mare Brook) DATE: 5/29/19

EVALUATION OF SECTION VI Cost Effectivenes

Total Points Available: 25

Score: 18

Evaluation Team Comments:

•	\$35k grant is a good investment to finish plan and leverage past efforts.
	Town providing strong match, although match not very diverse beyond town.
•	Most tasks and costs appear appropriate with exception of Task 2 – steeing committee.
•	Match information under tasks not all provided. \$35,77.50 - \$2160 donated labor – is the rest the planner's time?
Rev. 2/7/20	19 7

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: Brunswick (Mare Brook) DATE: 5/29/19

EVALUATION OF SECTION VII Comprehensive Plan

Total Points Available: 5 Score: _5___

.....

٦

Evaluation Team Comments:

Brunswick's plan is	s consistent and all of the watershed is in Brunswick. Therefore, all 5 points awarded.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

..........

Г

.

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: CCSWCD (Mosher Brook) DATE: 5/29/19

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is **not** meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Procurement Services as part of your contract award selection documents.

DEPARTMENT NAME: Maine DEP **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Wendy Garland **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Greg Beane, Phil Carey, Wendy Garland, Amanda Pratt

Pass/Fail Criteria				
		Pass:	Fail:	
♦Match at least 25%		X		
✦Eligible recipient		X		
♦NPS Priority Watershed		X		
			Points Awarded:	
Numerical Score:				
ection I. Applicant Qualifications and Experience (Max: 15 Points)		11		
	(-	
Section II. Relative Value of Waterbody	(Max: 10 Points)		1	
Section III. Water Quality Problem	(Max: 10 Points)		3	
Section IV. Nature, Extent and Severity of NPS Problems	(Max: 10 Points)	4	1	
Section V. Feasibility for Success (Max: 25 Points)		1	5	
Section VI. Cost Effectiveness	(Max: 25 Points)	12		
Section VII. Comprehensive Plan	(Max: 5 Points)		5	
TOTAL POINTS	(Max: 100 Points)	5	1	

SUMMARY PAGE

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: CCSWCD (Mosher Brook) DATE: 5/29/19

EVALUATION OF SECTION I Applicant Qualifications and Experience Total Points Available: 15 points Score: ___11__ **Evaluation Team Comments:** Strong applicant with long track record of successful 319 and 604b grant projects. CCSWCD has done a few stream WBPs but their current team doesn't have too much experience with WBPs or monitoring but would do a good job with the project. Other partners on team - PRLT and Town.

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: CCSWCD (Mosher Brook) DATE: 5/29/19

EVALUATION OF SECTION II Relative Value of Waterbody <u>Total Points Available</u>: 10 <u>Score</u>: <u>1</u>

Evaluation Team Comments:

Some potential future access and some wildlife and fish habitat. Overall, however, this small stream has very limited current and potential value.

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: CCSWCD (Mosher Brook) DATE: 5/29/19

EVALUATION OF SECTION III Water Quality Problem

Total Points Available: 10 Score: ___3__

Evaluation Team Comments:

Impaired for DO and bacteria.

Water quality overview provides little to no information (two sentences provided). DEP has conducted bacteria testing at several sites over three years. Also two sondes deployed for DO. Some good baseline data known but many open questions would need to be answered.

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: CCSWCD (Mosher Brook) DATE: 5/29/19

EVALUATION OF SECTION IV Nature, Extent and Severity of NPS Problems

Total Points Available: 10 Score: __4__

.....

Evaluation Team Comments:

Habitat information provided and draft TMDL loading information provided. Very little discussion or information on potential NPS sources. Task includes septic survey. How many septics? How much and what kind of active agriculture?

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: CCSWCD (Mosher Brook) DATE: 5/29/19

EVALUATION OF SECTION V Feasibility of Success

Total Points Available: 25 Score: ___15__

Evaluation Team Comments:

• Project proposal provides a solid approach to developing a plan.

• Not clear if stream will be able to meet Class B standards – but maybe Class C.

- Several partners but mostly the Town. Not clear how much partners are invested. Would be good to involve USM.
- Ordinance task is a good idea and approach for this high growth watershed.

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: CCSWCD (Mosher Brook) DATE: 5/29/19

EVALUATION OF SECTION VI Cost Effectivenes

Total Points Available: 25

Score: 12

***************************************	******	*****************************	***************************************

Evaluation Team Comments:

•	\$68k total project cost is high for a plan for a small stream.
•	A few tasks seem high costs for value (e.g., 3c – septic/sewer – What will be done to accrue \$6300 match?) 3d is a good idea but will it take \$7000 in time?)
•	Match is high overall at \$46k. Mostly from Town.
•	Not sure about match rate of \$27/hr for volunteers – higher than independent sector rate for Maine. \$2000 match for meeting space high.

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: CCSWCD (Mosher Brook) DATE: 5/29/19

EVALUATION OF SECTION VII Comprehensive Plan

Total Points Available: 5 Score: _5___

Evaluation Team Comments:

Gorham's plan is consistent and all of the watershed is in Gorham. Therefore, all 5 points awarded.

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: CWD (Wilson Pond) DATE: 5/29/19

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is **not** meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Procurement Services as part of your contract award selection documents.

DEPARTMENT NAME: Maine DEP **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Wendy Garland **NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Greg Beane, Phil Carey, Wendy Garland, Amanda Pratt

Pass/Fail Criteria			
		Pass:	Fail:
♦Match at least 25%		X	
✦Eligible recipient		X	
♦NPS Priority Watershed		X	
		1	
		Points A	warded:
Numerical Score:			
Section I. Applicant Qualifications and Experience	(Max: 15 Points)	1	3
Section II. Relative Value of Waterbody	(Max: 10 Points)	-	4
			•
Section III. Water Quality Problem	(Max: 10 Points)	8	3
Section IV. Nature, Extent and Severity of NPS Problems	(Max: 10 Points)		6
Could I'v Natale, Extent and Ceventy of Ni of Toblenis			5
Section V. Feasibility for Success	(Max: 25 Points)	1	3
Section VI. Cost Effectiveness	(Max: 25 Points)	1	4
		•	7
Section VII. Comprehensive Plan	(Max: 5 Points)	4	4
TOTAL POINTS	(Max: 100 Points)	6	2

SUMMARY PAGE

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: CWD (Wilson Pond) DATE: 5/29/19

EVALUATION OF SECTION I Applicant Qualifications and Experience

Total Points Available: 15 points

<u>Score: 13</u>

Evaluation Team Comments:

Strong applicant with long track record of successful 319 and 604b grant projects. Strong organization and staff. No consultants or other partners mentioned on team.

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: CWD (Wilson Pond) DATE: 5/29/19

EVALUATION OF SECTION II Relative Value of Waterbody <u>Total Points Available</u>: 10 <u>Score</u>: <u>4</u>

Evaluation Team Comments:

State boat launch and public beach. Recreational value and fishery. One of many lakes in the area - not one of the 'destination' lakes but valued by locals. Not much information provided about other values and wildlife.

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: CWD (Wilson Pond) DATE: 5/29/19

EVALUATION OF SECTION III Water Quality Problem

Total Points Available: 10 Score: ___8__

Evaluation Team Comments:

Impaired with declining trend. Abrupt change starting in 1990s. Good opportunity to address before it gets too bad. No annual blooms currently. CWD does extensive testing and has good understanding of water quality. Dexter and Berry contribute to load. Also internal loading.

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: CWD (Wilson Pond) DATE: 5/29/19

EVALUATION OF SECTION IV

Nature, Extent and Severity of NPS Problems

Total Points Available: 10 Score: __6__

Evaluation Team Comments:

Past studies include a TMDL that provided estimates of loading and sources. 2007 survey documented 82 NPS sites. Did not look at all developed areas – mostly roads. Are septics an issue? Is there any agriculture? Proposal provides some information on NPS but leaves some open questions.

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: CWD (Wilson Pond) DATE: 5/29/19

EVALUATION OF SECTION V

Feasibility of Success

Total Points Available: 25 Score: ___13__

Evaluation Team Comments:

- Although may result in a strong updated plan, proposal did not demonstrate that enough information would be collected about watershed sources or internal loading. Need more TP data and just increasing frequency or existing baseline monitoring. Also full watershed survey sources should be investigated.
- Although CWD is town funded, not much apparent partner involvement beyond that.
- DEP sees this as a high potential restoration watershed.

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: CWD (Wilson Pond) DATE: 5/29/19

EVALUATION OF SECTION VI Cost Effectivenes

Total Points Available: 25

Score: 14

٦

Evaluation Team Comments:

•	\$18k grant cost is low cost and good value for a restoration plan.
•	Match relatively low and mostly from CWD, although this is indirectly provided by towns.
•	
•	Tasks for WQ monitoring and survey are low considering the effort needed to provide needed
	information for a strong, informed plan

Г

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development BIDDER: CWD (Wilson Pond) DATE: 5/29/19

......

EVALUATION OF SECTION VII Comprehensive Plan

Total Points Available: 5 Score: _4___

Evaluation Team Comments:

Watershed included towns of Wayne, Readfield, Monmouth and Winthrop. Only Monmouth lacks a consistent comprehensive plan. 83% of watershed is located in towns with consistent plans. Therefore, 5 points x 0.83 = 4 points awarded.

RFP#: 201903044 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME: Av DOSTOBK (COUNTY- (Cross Lake)) DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5-2-19 EVALUATOR NAME: Amanda Pratt EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DEP

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by **Individual** evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is evaluable for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

Qualifications + Experience: Does not look like there is a ton of	$\overline{\mathbb{M}}$
604(b)/319 experience	
Many partners w/diverse experience	Ô
use of consultant	
Waterbody Value: mostly residential, habitat value for coldwater fish, wetlands + threatened /endangered speciets	0 O
wild brook trout reareational use	
Water Quality Problem: Does not appear to have declining water quality trend since it's always been poor (since '81)	Ø
Clearly has a we problem, but lack of data is an issue	Pm
On Impaired list Glakesofmaine.org. has more data, gap TP, SDT between 2010-2015	Ō
Nature / Extent / Sevenity of NPS: Lack of recent study Clearly impacted by agricultural loading of Phos. Would be great to have results of watershed survey 1st - Abiticipated change in zoning / Future development	393

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME: Arocstock County (Cruss Lake) DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) EVALUATOR NAME: Amanda Pratt EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DEP

Feasibility of success: seems to have a lot of enthusiasm	$ (\mathfrak{D})$
and partner buy-in (12 partner organizations)	
Igland- Use of consultant may help w/ lack of expertise	0
owner/ Impact of inputs from wider watershed (Long + mud Lakes)	1
development as well as 2 impaired streams providing most of]
the pond's water may limit success]6
Cast effectiveness: 65% match is good]©
\$175 an hour for a "modeling, internal	0
loading expert"?	
Are these estimates realistic?	\bigcirc
Sec VI Part 1 amount of hours	1
is very low	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	-
	-
	-
	1
	-
	-

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME: (umberland County Soil + Water Conservation District (mesher Brook) DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5-2-19 EVALUATOR NAME: Amounda Pratt EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DEP

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP,

((m) Does not mention development of 9-element plan specifically Qualifications + Experience: COSWCD is an experienced (P)organization + has completed several WBP projects Several local groups/individuals involved (\mathcal{P}) Waterbody Value: some recreational use of the watershed. M habitat Water quality problem: Impaired - DO + E. Coli P why only draft TMDL? (2015) MS4 \bigcirc Turbid, DO issues, bacteria - Agriculture Not much we info provided

RFP#: 201903044 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME: (CSWCD (Mosher Brook) DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5-2-19 EVALUATOR NAME: Amanda Pratt EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: $\nabla \mathcal{E} \mathcal{P}$ biture / Extent/Severity of NPS: mainly due to agriculture extent + sevenity not well described - what is the water quality in the upper, forested (\hat{Q}) reaches the brook? Limited buildings along 01 edge brook makes me wonder how significant loading from failing septics is. What autrient agriculture are practiced in the watershed? tupes CI Feasibility of Success: very little NPS work to date Pm moderate tand amount of partner participation- sources of mainly appears to be comprehensive in addressing Plan Ø 15 SUCS - what about stressor analysis? potential ture development of watershed is likely not partner w/ USM? \$6600 for septic + sewer survey that may not be needed stream survey/stressor analysis may better pinpoint sources of impairment more cheaply Cost Effectiveness: most expensive project (e/m but also has the proposed highest % match Volunteer time is billed at \$28.35/hr?? Ø Ð indirect costs high significantly larger budget thour proposal from last year

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME: TOWN OF Brunswick (Masser Brook) DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5-2-19 (Mare EVALUATOR NAME: Amanda Provet EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DEP

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

Qualifications + Experience: CCSWCD is an experienced]
partner organization (sub-grantee), use of consultants	
Town of Brunswick staff does not appear to have 604/312	
experience.	
Consultants (2)	
Waterbody value: Drams to economically important	C
shellfish habitat, habitat for brook trout	
Not clear how much recreational/local value there is	Ø
	-
	-
Water Anality Problems I and another it is the	6
Water Quality Problem: Impaired - aquatic life non-attainment	Ø
18% impervious cover (16 TMDL)	
Did not provide defauls of monitoring data	-
	_
	1

RFP#: 201903044 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME: TOWN OF Brunswick (Mare Brock) DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5-2-19 EVALUATOR NAME: Amanda Prat EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DEP Nature Extent / Seventy of NPS: legacy of pollution from Ø Naval Air Station from tributary Urban Impaired-residential /transportation (airport) manner Stressor analysis required to pinpoint causes of AUPS (M)Did not discuss numbers in detail; no land use % 38.7% forest 61% urban No on-the-ground work done to date to address NPS issues need more of a breakdown Feasibility for success: Few partners, mo-evidence of (M)local citizen support is limited (iittle active involvement) Ð Mixed uses + issues may make it difficult to address all the identified pollution sources (% micalvert) Planned tasks appear comprehensive + appropriate (P) for the goal of the project Cost effectiveness: high total cost, relatively low match MO N Hourly rates seen high (Part 2 Notes) Match does not include a diverse array of partners stakeholders \bigcirc \$9K for steering committee seems high

RFP#: 201903044 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME: Coldossee Watershed District (Wilson 1-44) DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5-2-19 EVALUATOR NAME: Amanda Fract EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DEP

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by **Individual** evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Qualifications & Experience: CWD has been the recipient
of numerous 604/319 projects
No other partners
Waterbody Value: residential, boat launch, beach
No mention of threatened lendangeral species habitat
Water Quality Problem: Impaired, abrupt decline in water @
quality in 1990s, algae blooms, DO depletion
Upstream ponds have average water quality
Nature/Extent/Severity of NPS: Internal necycling of phos.
Development, Agriculture
No land use % data, but development is light-mod.
No mention of septics

RFP#: 201903044 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME: CWD (wilson Loin) Pond DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 6-2-19 EVALUATOR NAME: Amanda Prott EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DEP

(M)Feasibility for success: Relatively few partner organizations Not much detail as to how Task-5 (Internal load determination) \bigcirc will be carried out-specific model/formula? No deliverables for task 4, none for calculations (M) done in task 5 - vague This is an update of the 2009 plan, but they do (m)not provide much evidence of the success of that plan, and it does not appear that "extensive changes and for advanced monitoring" are taking place, except perhaps Task 5, which is not detailed enough. Cost offectiveness: relatively low mate % (m only match is CWD, basically (would be good to have buy - in - FCW, etc. from other organizations rather just volunteer time) than low overall cost P

RFP#: 201903044 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME: Methode (OUNTY Soil + Water Conservation District (China.Lake) DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/2/19 EVALUATOR NAME: Amanda Pratt EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DEP

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Qualifications & Experience: SWCD, use of consultant Ð would be good if there were other partners with (m)- expertise so that there was us reliance on consultant. Q what is NRCS? (not defined) Waterbody value: residential, Drinking water, wildlife habitat (\mathbf{e}) Brock though the type of project necessitates use of consultant Trout (P) Water Quality Problem: Impaired, algae blooms, documented WQ decline/good WQ monitoring record, internal numerit recycling max/mean depth? (G Nature/Extent/Sevenity of NPS: % of various land uses? Q/M No indication of causes of WQ decline M clear sources of NPS pollution (development?) Internal loading appears to be the most significant NPS source

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME: KCSWCD (China Lake) DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5 -2 -19 EVALUATOR NAME: Amanda Pratt. EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DEP	
Feasibility for Success:	
Strong local commitment to project / Lake	\bigcirc
modeling/monitoring/surveying tasks appear comprehen	sie @
several past projects tactivities have not improved	M
water quality (yet)	
	_
	-
	-
Cast Effectiveness: 58% match is pources	Ð
Cost Effectiveness: 58% match is good, diverse sources 441 hours is a lot of time for	

a consultant (40 hr/w/c for 11 w/cs)

"Cash" is not a cast category in the task tables + does not match Part 2 notes

0

of cost breakdowns (Task 3, 5, 7) -> Contractual

2 consultants, 1@ \$75/hr 1@ \$175/hr?

Marc Bros

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOIN S WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME: WWM 6 DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) EVALUATOR NAME: EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: ******

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

Individual Evaluator Comments:

RFP #: 201903044 DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) & 5/8/19 EVALUATOR NAME: Greg Beane EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Dep ucleas 1 0

Cross Jake

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: GRANTSFOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME: Months DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 57 EVALUATOR NAME: B. Bed SINI **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** ***

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

long

Otoss Late

1

RFP#: 201903044 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME: A roostock Gunty DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/9/19 EVALUATOR NAME: G. Berre EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DEP MAN

Masker Block

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME: CSWCD DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) EVALUATOR NAME: B. Bea **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** m **********

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

Individual Evaluator Comments:

OM. there IDA

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME: CCSWCD DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/7/19 EVALUATOR NAME: G. Bearle EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DEP Inn NI, 2 Į A

China Late

STATE OF MAINE - INDIVIDUAL EVALUTION NOTES

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME: KOMMOLOF, COMMUN.SWCD BIDDER NAME: Kennebec of DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/14/1 114/1 EVALUATOR NAME: 4.7 EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: *****

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>Individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

Individual Evaluator Comments:

China Fate

ł

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME: KCSW (D) DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/14/19 EVALUATOR NAME: G.Beane **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** DEP ise

Wilson t

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT **BIDDER NAME:** DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) EVALUATOR NAME: 4. 8 **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** ************

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Wilson Por

1

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME: CWD DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/9/19 EVALUATOR NAME: G. Beand EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DEP 21

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME: Chiha Lake DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/28/19 EVALUATOR NAME: Phil Carey EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DACF

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by **individual** evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

Individual Evaluator Comments: Track record Source OUS 930 ODMS MUT 10 5 FORSIDI ons 1M Well t, MARNON Many partners contributions 2novatin. Strong ۰.

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME: Cross Lake DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/28/19 EVALUATOR NAME: Phil Care **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:**

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by **individual** evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is **required** that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

1 8000 Ò FI 01 Ca 4

RFP#: 201903044 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME: Wilson Pond DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/28/19 EVALUATOR NAME: Phil Caray DACE EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by **Individual** evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is **required** that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

(PLOID e4 USTEM. UDITA NUG OU Sources M

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME: Mere Brook DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) EVALUATOR NAME: Mil (2001) 128/19 DACF **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:**

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by **individual** evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is **required** that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

Individual Evaluator Comments:

• د.

100010 600 5

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME: Mosher Brook. DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) EVALUATOR NAME: Phil Carey 5/28/19 DACF EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:

<u>Instructions</u>: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

Guas' CCSWC
Value 'Potential public rec access Upland Souderpoer habitet
Upland Sourboider highter
lipter: Low DO, Sadiment (how bad?)
Problem Aa, Res, grovel Dit
FOOSIBITTY: Will be tough to schere Class B standards
Feesibility: Will be trigh to schere Class B standarts Cast Strong overmetch, but expensive total cost

RFP#: 201903044 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME: CWD DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/20/19 Wilson Fond EVALUATOR NAME: Werely Garland EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT?

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

Individual Evaluator Comments:

CWD extensive 319/60/6/ water quality experience. Quals-+ Current Annabessa cook WBP project behind schedule - m part due to lack of local support. Good kan depth e CWD with Danais + Ryan Kriston Monaple Wordy - States alue + in Moomouth + public beach formed aumen Some pover back but mostly canoe N. Monmodh Comm. Clib. Fishing, Swimmere Cold/widen fishery. - 8 nature species Stocked Also N. Piko WT hour + brook-Takes in area. Lots of WG Poblen Impaired - declemp trend. Lested 1 TP, & clarity ++ Do deplotion. 19905-aboupt decline. 5.6 m -> 45 m. annual average, 42 = 3.1 m. average minimum SDT. 2018 worst blom - 2.0 m. usted in 2006. 2009 WBP, 2007 TMDL. Not annual Good info. shared. Jeff said - still time to address/not too bad. Lloomo 2 internal loading info not provided NPS Problem Past studies estimated: 30% upstream lakes, 26% devel; OK. 2190 ap.; 15% other; 8% at maspheric. TMDL. estimated 90 kg P/yr Internal londing, N2007 SURVey 82 NPS sites - 3 ponds (14 H, 35 M) (Only seemed to be roade surveyed). Septico? 2009 project - 24 NPS sites + 200' shoreline, 2014 project - 16 NPS sites, 700's.L. 2009 WBP. Relatively little info/surveys on full walershed.

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME: (WD DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/24/19 EVALUATOR NAME: Wendy Garland DEP EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: are supplies an issue? SUCCO Θ apparent nublication 10.ma lak ticipat NG omm Onl 5 Kann MOS Tish ma d Θ 3 leicher Water assessmit · Indered Wa In O 6 Ret Surver comprehensiv 4 Load Attinol marti Petimi Ð 5-INTA monitorin 0:4 Wi xnandi Semi montoling byy nora molta month Same NdVan d probably this 1K2 SUPPOP Unreach Wap Priv refined as needed likeler Plan would more time than allotca $\mathbf{7}$ an 1020 Ð Would likele ation p m DAVACION nevenin In SUN metinez 4-4 02 Ð plan that stivel T Coul help WW Cost toR lake renting look reaponable, atthough penha WO MONHOLIC + Wa reli () ok Vat ₿ 4 Tem 101 am CWD So ind touno poort. tou

RFP#: 201903044 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME: (CSWCD Mosher Brack DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) \$/24/14 EVALUATOR NAME: Wendy Garlond EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DEP

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by Individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

Individual Evaluator Comments:

+ 6046 + very long history W + Promean mant uli +/and Alte anily Shoul Bros. ino providen hinu GIN10 acies. (Fa) public. + babitat Untu +/-Liffle intoma Wa Pablom to 16R DO + CCGLI No moure specific data. Now + where Bactoria mainstem revi good 15 \mathcal{D} Swing nobably. manure Spread anduedance that mel Class B. Jarel lower than i 2015 Draft TMDL pointed to ag. NRS - 9790 sed, 80% TN 93% TP. Grand pot, development, server, septico. - other potential sources. Some, but not much, into provided, what kind of ap. 15 action in watershed ?

OK

RFP#: 201903044 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME: CCSW @ DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/24/19 EVALUATOR NAME: Worky Garland DEP EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: + Feas. Success \checkmark public mt 6 TAC MIDO, MU 2 tank Ð 3 lata analysio Watershed Mep Oirp SOLV) Steen avrida a survey SUMUL Si astwarm SUCUR #6500 WG Monito Murus 4 WBP (f)served a 100 m ON ťo Dacto m 10 + #27K has + #10K cat/ 16 TOUR 10 Unter ma 1-1 1 must 10 rasible a na wal 210 ÷ # 2dkgrant #46K Investment Modert 00 mal 1 smal cost associated tor (xxa Rasin stream Appear for W hours 1 noug with Inr 2 mead + L 10/04 MIDRY > 16000 Seuren MIC 7.000 Þ Sime # JOW \$281 Match match rate Pstimated noeti 4R over DARD

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME: (unty of Anastask DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/23/19 Cross Lake EVALUATOR NAME: Wordy Garlans EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by **individual** evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is **required** that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluator for this Repuest submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

+ diredly hold 319/604 b ounty has not 319/ boyh experience. has Acut Cade RS : corcut mild Mamt & MV. Experience UMFK M SWID 4 I NR INVOlved to support +/++ Value ٩Ļ and no timo Maine ishern of SIGNI Spor Stat Salmon. sell al. fen but an 1 cal hxulcon nos secres in waterfor threatened or indeparts +/? Several years SNICO <2 m SOT. WQ Poblam average. 11 cob ONO. TΡ 20 ppb surface grab. bottom grab, to assess. (No monitor?? 200 2018 DEP papeline 2016-2018 ? TP average 18 NPS Problem 2019 Survey playned to assess forest, ap, & developed preas. Numerous past studies I survey price to 2006. No comprehensive assessment as is planned in June 2019. TMDL nut, local - 78% 29; ~ 7% roads, 6% inducloped, 4% actively managed forest, 2% shoreline devel 5"946 Kg PlyR waterahed (4698 g 59% row crops) + 2971 intrinul

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME: Aroustoof DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/24/19 EVALUATOR NAME: Werdy Gurland DEP EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: projecto completed NPS Poken veral 1982-2006 10 Wat recent adiv al of n Success Fas NRG FOCL, UMFK 0 partner 4 SUDDOCT ø 1Avolie ment theh willes lown 1 onvide SU MŦ 11 VINP. tusk MI m 3 mpini 4 lood 201mg 10100 191 LURM Vin CONT A MES Inclusion RCOMMON lan · 11 V 1 MARINEL Ì ange ron 20 Ommen Huro (11) alen plan. tak Olen 3 1 noa ale 10 Anor 2

++

+

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT Brunsaurek 5/23/19 **BIDDER NAME:** Mare Brook DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) Wendy Garland EVALUATOR NAME: EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{P}$ Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP. **** Individual Evaluator Comments have solid extrasting grant experience + Toum valo MADR includer rald. Stream WB swoodocont do many W. coment Good death 01 Inli ч. an Naval through Phil + an 10 OVER ared MORO trail infor ide correct tab use . 11bton Dualt Ornu wales au there info leader trico That ronductod Intant ripiner buffers' said Fairl Monitoriu Toy Barry - bank epison Study, maren at /substrate. daly due flood plain. R San acro impacts NYS 91 15800 15 1400 no en. Ral Disvide Source Starmwater is perhaps issue for back crosser. Also 7/10 reaches have Culverb 15tue? very high or takene production relation relation

+

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME: Brunswick DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/23/19 EVALUATOR NAME: Wendy Gor land EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DEP t/?SUCTOD 1900 50 tan ma Call BQK mint \$9K m 18K 3 Summarine data 4 Strengen Relatits SW West Jam Alt at 5 locatio 01 6 Ful inot migo. me be 6 opp 18 ¢ leverge DUNT clean Zenn how Dal 77 + ø k and novenu CMA wed 2 50 rh a mo TUPA ild wm 4 lash nono n Tom 3.M Я Ulakto 11 # Чf 6.7costs posopriale incorps rate 10erl fo.

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME: KCSWCD , , BIDDER NAME: China Lake DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/24/19 Nor the EVALUATOR NAME: Wendy Garland Sine good past work EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DEP but not (dt reo devel, roado, internal. Ŧ Agriculture. Kablem Understand Willin 33 % load WBP reduction goal 2008 Sorreo aver study 46 **...** Surveyed 1 readed 2016 pado ų 25 failer White Lim , runge + internal deitelud bade 2006 Sporchie Col Study -Recommended Value. 2012 treating arguida * #5 + 1 sites not on specefic ipes à survey results but just Conducted Surveyo an roads? With ¢4 Ined WyIC EQIP WORK durine thase Extension Piral addressed 20t Sitco met Pogram · Lake plans by K(SW(D) - 10 road since 2008. 276 BMPs fours on crosion - not reads - NRCS -337 · Growth + septies additional iscies. (?) Fras. Success How poperes? CRLA, CLA, town How match participation by variety on goul KWD 6.7 Manage pap Ò (+)per 1 adda Montoria IVOI rentioned to. SUDPORT Viodele analysis adir matine Possible to fecture? flow 15560 line survey -15 Theo a make Howe of water do a more Dee Cross lin 22 approvo Nictindo. NRC KCSWC oreales survey Will forms allow: analysis eptec Staksholder TAC approal good · Tasko are well sequenced + double. Not sure of watership assessment will give enough good info though. Strong support Able to sestore/inprove take with this renewed effort i plan?

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME: KCSWD DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/24/19 EVALUATOR NAME: Wendy Genland EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DEP

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

319/6041 + SUND TATCADUR nolo Experience trad Nas an 110 read 6044 nn lan! NOR 1 Dart alara 601 615 500 olan 120.00 mod U Stan C00.50 ++ Value heren. Have regales ENTIM MIRP ACRIAN Na \$ Historically Comi ontak RAN Non tuque α 1 VGW fishor broum J. signifi cant Vernal 020120 Abban Solatu GB Prisridy in 25 600 ί*ι* Since 1.015 storing + TI INTOUN ano republic ++ Klamo smile WD 11000 beleur 8-1 mann molern RICE + + wery in Tallo USC. bottom SUMMER. TMDL taged TP 18-19 North South basies. west in late summer. 15 ppb Lucies 15 Jeff Dennis - Said would be good to evaluate wa - options.

RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME: KC SWGD DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) S/W//19 EVALUATOR NAME: Wendy Gordand EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: DEP

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

+ aval 100 tast 412K 6 ano Mana ahe ton IMO DUCME hina

STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



Janet T. Mills Governor

Gerald D. Reid Commissioner

AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development

I, (print name at right) <u>Amanda</u> Prott accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFP.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the funding decision notices for public distribution.

Imanda Pratt

Signature

May 1, 2019

Date

STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



Janet T. Mills Governor

Gerald D. Reid Commissioner

AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development

I, (print name at right) ____

regory E. Beane

accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFP.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the funding decision notices for public distribution.

STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



Janet T. Mills Governor Gerald D. Reid Commissioner

AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development

I. (print name at right)

accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFP.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any biddler in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disgualified from participation in the evaluation process.

I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the funding decision notices for public distribution.

Signature

5/6/2019 Date



Janet T. Mills Governor Gerald D. Reid Commissioner

AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT RFP #: 201903044 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan Development

STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

I, (print name at right) ____

Wendy Garlang

accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFP.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder, current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of Interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disgualified from participation in the evaluation process.

I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the funding decision notices for public distribution.

5/2/19

Date