State of Maine RFP / Proposal Master Score Sheet

Instructions: Please complete the Master Score Sheet below, providing all of the requested information for the RFP, and for each bidder that submitted a proposal in response to the RFP. Please submit this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.

SCORESHEET FOR RFP# 201702034: Grants for Nonpoint Source Projects Watershed-based Plan – Development Maine Department of Environmental Protection									
PROPOSAL SUBMITTED BY:		Bristol			Waldoboro		CWD		
	COST:	Cost:	\$20,000	Cost:	\$22,000	Cost:	13,075	Cost:	
EVALUATION CRITERIA	POINTS								
B.1. I. Applicant Qualifications, Past Performance	20		15		17		18		
B.1.II. Relative Value of the Water Body	10		8		9		6		
B.1.III. NPS Pollution Problem / Need	15		10		12		11		
B.1.IV. Feasibility for Success	25		16		22		18		
B.1.V. Cost Effectiveness	25		17		21		18		
B.1.VI. Comprehensive Plan	5		2		0		5		
TOTAL	100		68		81		76		

STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION







June 29, 2017

Christopher Hall 1268 Bristol Road P.O. Box 339 Bristol, ME 04539

RE: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards - RFP#201702034 Grants for NPS Pollution Control Projects, Watershed-based Plan Development

Dear Mr. Hall:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Proposals (RFP) referenced above, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

Bidder	Proposal Title		
Waldoboro, Town of	Medomak River Watershed-based Plan Development Project		
Cobbossee Watershed District	Updating the Watershed-based Plan for Annabessacook Lake		
Bristol, Town of	Development of Lower Pemaquid River Watershed-Based Plan		

The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract. However, at this time DEP does not expect to have sufficient monies available to fully fund the proposed Lower Pemaquid River project. DEP can offer partial funding for the project. If the Town accepts the opportunity for partial funding, then the District could revise the work plan and negotiate a contract with DEP.

As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract. This Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA) 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6). This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter, see below.

Thank you for submitting a proposal to help improve and protect Maine's waters.

Sincerely,

Wendy Garland

NPS Program Coordinator Bureau of Water Quality

STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).

STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION





June 29, 2017

Bill Monagle Cobbossee Watershed District P.O. Box 418 167 Main Street Winthrop, ME 04364

RE: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards - RFP#201702034
Grants for NPS Pollution Control Projects, Watershed-based Plan Development

Dear Mr. Monagle:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Proposals (RFP) referenced above, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

Bidder	Proposal Title
Waldoboro, Town of	Medomak River Watershed-based Plan Development Project
Cobbossee Watershed District	Updating the Watershed-based Plan for Annabessacook Lake
Bristol, Town of	Development of Lower Pemaquid River Watershed-Based Plan

The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract.

As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract. This Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA) 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter, see below.

Thank you for submitting a proposal to help improve and protect Maine's waters.

Sincerely,

Wendy Garland

NPS Program Coordinator Bureau of Water Quality

STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).

STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



GOVERNOR



COMMISSIONER

June 29, 2017

Julie Keizer 1600 Atlantic Highway P.O. Box J Waldoboro, ME 04572

RE: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards - RFP#201702034 Grants for NPS Pollution Control Projects, Watershed-based Plan Development

Dear Ms. Keizer:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Proposals (RFP) referenced above, issued by the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection. The Department has evaluated the proposals received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFP, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following bidders:

Bidder	Proposal Title		
Waldoboro, Town of	Medomak River Watershed-based Plan Development Project		
Cobbossee Watershed District	Updating the Watershed-based Plan for Annabessacook Lake		
Bristol, Town of	Development of Lower Pemaquid River Watershed-Based Plan		

The Department will be contacting the aforementioned bidders soon to negotiate a contract.

As provided in the RFP, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract. This Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFP, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFP are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA) 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6). This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter, see below.

Thank you for submitting a proposal to help improve and protect Maine's waters.

Sincerely,

Wendy Garland

NPS Program Coordinator Bureau of Water Quality

STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).

RFP #: 201702034

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN - DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER: Town of Bristol **DATE:** 05/26/47

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is **not** meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.

DEPARTMENT NAME: Environmental Protection **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Wendy Garland

NAMES OF EVALUATORS: Mary Ellen Dennis, Greg Beane, Kristin Feindel

SUMMARY PAGE

Pass/Fail Criteria	24()-		
		Pass:	Fail:
♦ 25% minimum Non-federal Match			
•			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
<u> </u>			
Numerical Score:		Points A	warded
Part V. Section B.			
Applicant Qualifications, Past Performance	Max: 20 Points	15	
2. Relative Value of the Water Body	Max: 10 Points	8	
3. NPS Pollution Problem / Need	Max: 15 Points	10	
4. Feasibility for Success	Max: 25 Points	16	
5. Cost Effectiveness	Max: 25 Points	_/7	
6. Comprehensive Plan	Max: 5 Points	2	
TOTAL POINTS	Max: 100 Points	68	

RFP #: 201702034 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN - DEVELOPMENT **BIDDER:** Town of Bristol **DATE: 05/26/17 EVALUATION OF SECTION 1 Applicant Qualifications, Past Performance** Score: **Total Points Available: 20 Evaluation Team Comments:** Jour - didn't mention capacity or experience with PWA- monthored their guals & For Hick's experience Consultant will be hired for much of project (unknown)

RFP #: 201702034

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN - DEVELOPMENT

EVALUATION OF SECTION 2 Relative Value of the Water Body

Relative Value of the Water Body	CX
<u>Total Points Available</u> : 10	Score:
**************************************	*******
+ 1 2 1 10 1	
Important soft shell dam produces	
Charleman 15th Par I Am D. D.	Oil.1
Colonel Perryuld St. Perraguid Beach Park. Swimming areas in river. High recreational Recreational Sailing.	Valler
Recognitive areas in river man rearrance	mene:
THE CANOTICE SUMME.	
Not clear about boat access	9
	- William - Will

RFP#: 201702034

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN - DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER: Town of Bristol DATE: -05/26/17

EVALUATION OF SECTION 3 NPS Pollution Problem / Need

NPS Pollution Problem / Need	
Total Points Available: 15	Score: 10
Evaluation Team Comments:	*************
Problem tidel section impaired for DO	+ bacheria
OBDE removed but problems didn't resolve.	
Appears to be NPS from septice, 000s	, domedic
+ farm animals Not cloar on specifi	ic .
Sources + didn't provide into on por	ot
monitoring + data. DMR provided data	a to
DEP + nominated for Priority List which	
3 storams with problems.	
Very good but many unknowns TBD.	

RFP#: 201702034

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN - DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER: Town of Bristol DATE: -05/26/17 6/2//7

EVALUATION OF SECTION 4 Feasibility for Success

Total Points Available: 25		Score: 16
**************************************	************	*****
+ ()	- CLANIA D	4
+ Strong support from well good past work to a	n Shellfish (onm ddress sources.	itice + PWH
- Is there enough into	existing + collection	ed through
- Is there enough into project to understan be significant data Not obser whose 97PP us	to date. Approach a	of blitz' not enough of
+ Feasible area to cov		
source.		
- Feasible to restore? -	Unknown b/c/im	ited into to
date.		

RFP#: 201702034

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN - DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER: Town of Bristol **DATE:** 95/26/17 6/2/17

EVALUATION OF SECTION 5 Cost Effectiveness

Cost Effectiveness	
Total Points Available: 25	<u>17</u>
**************************************	********
<u>Evaluation Team Comments:</u>	
Very 900d.	
Very 900d. Reasonable match + quality.	
Some costs seem like they could be shifted	1
Some costs seem like they could be shifted Eg. water quality summary \$3500 (some high) and plan writing " may somewhat low	what
high) and plan writing make somewhat low	2

RFP#: 201702034

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN - DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER: Town of Bristol

DATE: 05/26/17

EVALUATION OF SECTION 6
Comprehensive Plan

Total Points Available: 5	Score: 2
**************************************	************************
Phil Carey developed Scored	
0 /	3000
•	
	and the second of the second o

RFP#: 201702034

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN - DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER: Cobbossee Watershed District

DATE: 05/28/17 6/2/17

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is **not** meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.

DEPARTMENT NAME: Environmental Protection **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Wendy Garland

NAMES OF EVALUATORS: Mary Ellen Dennis, Greg Beane, Kristin Feindel

SUMMARY PAGE

Pass/Fail Criteria		Pass:	Pm _ 11.
25% minimum Non-federal Match			Fail:
			
<u>+</u>			
<u> </u>			
Numerical Score:		Points A	warded:
Part V. Section B.			
Applicant Qualifications, Past Performance	Max: 20 Points		
2. Relative Value of the Water Body	Max: 10 Points	6	
3. NPS Pollution Problem / Need	Max: 15 Points	1/	
4. Feasibility for Success	Max: 25 Points	18	
5. Cost Effectiveness	Max: 25 Points	/8	
6. Comprehensive Plan	Max: 5 Points	J.	
TOTAL POINTS	Max: 100 Points	76	

RFP#: 201702034 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN - DEVELOPMENT **BIDDER:** Cobbossee Watershed District DATE: 05/26/17 **EVALUATION OF SECTION 1 Applicant Qualifications, Past Performance Total Points Available: 20 Evaluation Team Comments:**

RFP #: 201702034 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN - DEVELOPMENT **BIDDER:** Cobbossee Watershed District DATE: 05/26/17 **EVALUATION OF SECTION 2** Relative Value of the Water Body Total Points Available: 10 Score: **Evaluation Team Comments:**

RFP#: 201702034

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN - DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER: Cobbossee Watershed District

DATE: 05/26/17 6/3/17

EVALUATION OF SECTION 3 NPS Pollution Problem / Need

NPS Pollution Problem / Need	1 2
Total Points Available: 15	Score: //
**************************************	**********
Pobley Need Impaired, internal loading + algae	blooms
' in tall. Water Clarity has improved b	rut
plateard. Unclear what work has been	done in
Watershad (Phose 1 +11) What Sources 1	1200
in past surveys, what was reason	that
alum treatment done 40 years ago but:	that
improvements didn't happen until recent	ily (land use
in past surveys, what was reason alon treatment done 40 years ago but improvements didn't happin until recent changes? less ag?) i	
Need better understanding of internal 1	oading.
and Walershed Sources,	

RFP#: 201702034

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN - DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER: Cobbossee Watershed District

DATE: 06/26/17

EVALUATION OF SECTION 4 Feasibility for Success

Total Points Available: 25	Score: (X
**************************************	************************************
Distrong partner to develop good plan. with good product. (a) Not demonstrated local support or in	terest.
Would there be interest in fiture phases? are very minimal so wouldn't likely ge interest if needed. To proof	nerate much
(2) Would need to evaluate GIS land use load work and more as envisioned on previous p	areas not nsive to be effective/informations ding. Didn't plan
Unclear whether this would lead to resto guality, based on part projects & local. (1) Lake on the edge. Could be restored poten	support.
Should task S - be a deliverable? Include it	

RFP #: 201702034

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN - DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER: Cobbossee Watershed District

DATE: 05/26/17

6/2/17

EVALUATION OF SECTION 5 Cost Effectiveness

<u>Total Points Available</u> : 25	Score: / 8
**************************************	***************
+ Overall high match (53.6%) and	d tasks relatively mas
·	
? Unclear what water quality monitoring? Onducted 15 th Just 4 50mg of a normal monitoring?	the Yash their
Very little local, match (0/440). 11 committee is only \$5/80.	Match from Steering
committee 15 only \$ 180.	
Good investment for relatively m	odest #

RFP#: 201702034 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN - DEVELOPMENT **BIDDER:** Cobbossee Watershed District DATE: 05/26/17 6/0/17 **** **EVALUATION OF SECTION 6** Comprehensive Plan **Total Points Available: 5 Evaluation Team Comments:**

RFP #: 201702034

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN - DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER: Town of Waldoboro DATE: -05/26/47 6/3/17

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record all evaluation notes and scoring that is obtained through consensus discussions among the full evaluation team for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator should complete this form and maintain the only copy. This form should reflect the full team's consensus evaluations, and this form is **not** meant to take the place of individual evaluation notes, which are still required from each member of the evaluation team. A separate form is available for individual evaluation notes. Please submit a copy of this document to the Division of Purchases as part of your contract award selection documents.

DEPARTMENT NAME: Environmental Protection **NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Wendy Garland

NAMES OF EVALUATORS: Mary Ellen Dennis, Greg Beane, Kristin Feindel

SUMMARY PAGE

Pass/Fail Criteria			
		Pass:	Fail:
◆ 25% minimum Non-federal Match		Х	
<u> </u>			
<u> </u>			
+			
对于在一种,但是一种的人的一种,但是一种的一种的一种。			
Numerical Score:	4-27	Points A	warded
Part V. Section B.			
Applicant Qualifications, Past Performance	Max: 20 Points	17	
2. Relative Value of the Water Body	Max: 10 Points	9	
3. NPS Pollution Problem / Need	Max: 15 Points	/2	
4. Feasibility for Success	Max: 25 Points	22	
5. Cost Effectiveness	Max: 25 Points	21	
6. Comprehensive Plan	Max: 5 Points	0	
TOTAL POINTS	Max: 100 Points	81	

RFP#: 201702034 RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN - DEVELOPMENT **BIDDER:** Town of Waldoboro DATE: 05/26/17 **EVALUATION OF SECTION 1 Applicant Qualifications, Past Performance** Total Points Available: 20 **Evaluation Team Comments:** Town Manager is new, but

RFP #: 201702034

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN - DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER: Town of Waldoboro **DATE:** 05/26/17 6/3/17

EVALUATION OF SECTION 2 Relative Value of the Water Body

Leading Soft shell clam produces in State. High economic Boat ramp+ recreational use. Multiple preserves. Heart of tourist Mid-Coast area. Very high value water body.	
Boat ramp+ recreational use. Multiple preserves. Heart of tourist Mid-Coast aren.	•
Hart of tourist Mid-Coast area.	ve va
V	
Very high value water body.	
O .	

RFP #: 201702034

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN - DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER: Town of Waldoboro **DATE:** 05/26/17/6/2/17

EVALUATION OF SECTION 3

NPS Pollution Problem / Need	
Total Points Available: 15	Score: 12
Evaluation Team Comments:	*************
Very good handle of need problem.	
Very good handle of need/problem. Extensive area of closure (1200 acres))-high reed.
Making good progress with improvemen	ta
Making good progress with improvement Task force has gained still understan	iding of
the problem and streams to focus	m for
sources. Additional data still i	reded
Could have provided more into m past	sampling
+ results that have led them to the	proposed
Could have provided more into m part of the results that have led them to the project / monitory. Staff Knowledge de DEP involvement.	ue to past
DEP Involvement.	
TO HIS THERE IT IN THE PARTY OF	

RFP#: 201702034

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN - DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER: Town of Waldoboro

DATE: 05/26/47 6/2/17

EVALUATION OF SECTION 4 Feasibility for Success

<u>Total Points Available</u> : 25	Score: 22
**************************************	**********
Can it be completed as proposed? - Very high,	1900d
+ Strong match + local participation.	
+ Strong match + local participation. No GAPP mentioned Needed? Is there a need	for database?
Well seguenced approach.	
Likelihood it be restored? - Pretty good, given i	track revoid
- of recent opening However, unclear whether plan will open greations than answer since bacteria is	mare
questions than answer since bacteria is	very
Challenging.	
The state of the s	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

RFP #: 201702034

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN - DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER: Town of Waldoboro DATE: 95/26/17

EVALUATION OF SECTION 5 Cost Effectiveness

Total Points Available: 25	Score:
Evaluation Team Comments:	**************************************
Excellent	
Strong match from multiple partners, es	specially
from Shellfish Commission, task costs	seemed
reasonable.	

RFP#: 201702034

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN - DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER: Town of Waldoboro DATE: 05/26/17 6/2//

EVALUATION OF SECTION 6 Comprehensive Plan

	<u>a</u>
Total Points Available: 5	Score:
**************************************	*******
Evaluation Team Comments:	
Phil Cina provided points	
Phil Carey provided points. 10 towns in watership.	
10 4	
10 Towns In watership.	
•	
	H-1

RFP#: 201702034

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: Town of Bristol DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/26/17 EVALUATOR NAME: Kristin Feindel

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

作者的大学的一种的一种,我们是这个人,我们也不是这个人,我们这个人,我们这个人,我们也不是这个人,我们也不是这个人,我们是这个人,我们也不是这个人,我们也不是这一个,

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Qualifications
+ Town has administrative and financial qualification
+ " Will hire consultant for technical aspects
· New Town Administrator - with qualifications
· Didn't describe PWA gods or grants
Value of Waterbody
+ . One of the leading softshell clam producers
+ Recreational use, sailing on tidal portion
, ,
NPS Pollution Problem/Need
+ " Tidal section impaired for marine life use due to
low Do and elevated Fecal indicator bacteria
- Not apparant extent of problem or sampling
effort so far

RFP#: 201702034

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: Town of Bristol

DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/26/17

EVALUATOR NAME: Kristin Feindel

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection contd Feasibility for Success sure if will have adequate information determine actions needed to sampling done Rristol Mills Dam Pond outlet? Maybe better Boyd Pond? below Committee tasks seem reasonable Way Sources. resulting 9 elements meet A lan consists mainly Cost Effectiveness amount

RFP#: 201702034

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: Cobbossee watershed District

DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/22/17 EVALUATOR NAME: Kristin Feindel

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

Qualifications
+ + - many 319 projects, take & watershed improvement
projects including Annabessacook Lake
+ " staff with significant experience & knowledge
+ · stable long-standing organization
Value of Waterbody
+ · sharefront residences (182), campground with loc
+ · sharefront residences (182), campground with loc Sites, Winthrop downtown, I public heat launch
NPS Problem / Need
" water clarity improving since late 1990's though
plateaucd over past several years
· TMDL completed 2004; alum treatment 40 years
t internal loading occurring & watershed sources
+ · watershed survey needed
? . if alum treatment done in 1978 why did water
clarity only start improving in late 1990's?

RFP#: 201702034

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME: Cobbossee Watershed District

DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/22/17
EVALUATOR NAME: Kristin Frinder
EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection
contd
Feasibility for Success
+ · given knowledge and experience likely to determine
actions needed to centure windows.
- while strong community support is noted not
demonstrated with committement from ALIA or tow
- how concenned is the community, and how much
involvement is likely given the small budget for
outreach and steering committee tasks? Is there
interest in future watershed and/or in-lake work
+ · project likely to be successfully completed as propos
due reasonable well-sequenced tasks
U
Cost Effectiveness
+ - strong match amount
+ · task budgets reasonable
? - how much more monitoring than usual would the
grant be paying for?

RFP#: 201702034

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: Town of Waldoboro DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/26/17 EVALUATOR NAME: Kristin Feindel

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by Individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

Qualifications
+ · Town has administrative and Financial qualifications
+ Will hire consultant for technical aspeds;
Characteristics listed in General Project Plan
" New Town Manager - with qualifications
Value of Waterbody
+ Major softshell clam producer (waldobero is leading produce
+ Major economic impact; boat ramp, recreational use
NPS Pollution Problem / Need
+ 1218 acres impaired due to elevated fecal indicator bacter
· 156 acre impaired for Marine Life Use due to low b.o.
tt. Very informed understanding of nature, extent severity
of problems and needs - lots of investigation &
remediations of sources through Task Force
0

RFP#: 201702034

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME: Town of Waldobard DATE: (reviewed by evaluator) 5/20/17
EVALUATOR NAME: Knistin Feinde)
EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection
contid
Feasibility for Success
+ " Adequate information and capacity to determine
actions needed to restore waterbody
+ · Effective, well-sequenced tasks, seems feasible
++. Strong contribution and participation by
Task Force members ; includes \$7,600 cash
match from Shellfish Committee
+ · Continues work & success of Task Force work
. The plan could be mostly to continue monitoring
to Further target hot spots - would this meet
the 9 elements?
Cost Effectiveness
+ " Strong match amount, from various sources
+ · Large cash match from shellfish committee
- Some task grant amounts slightly high
9

RFP#: 201702034

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: Town of Briston

DATE: (reviewed by evaluator)

EVALUATOR NAME: Wary Glen Dennis
EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Applicant Qualifications - Turn of Bright and Tachnical Admiring Comme brane General Superinace and Appartise around Shellight is super. - will himse a consultant as significant part of project so aprolation depend or consultant himsel - superit that Partial will be abole to the has been governighted consultant will be abole to the back Front - Proposed the water tody accommissfully for both Shellight and become used use. NPS Pollutian Problem (Nood - Talentifed problem is bedeviced contamination of Shellight aways The thirty of problem is bedeviced contamination of Shellight aways
bone Genore superiorce and expertise around shellfish issues. - will him a consultant as significant part of project so quelification depend or consultant himsel - expent that British will be abole to fine have very quelified consultant Peleptine Value of the voter Brown - Highely volumble water took economically for both Shellfish and becomediated use NPS Pollution Problem (Nood - Edentified problem is tooclassed contamination of Shellfish awars
quelifications depend or consultent hired - expect that British will be able to the have your quelified consulted with bedy the water Brown - Highly valuable water bedy Deconomically for both Shellish and become was well-in the water bedy of Predent Nood - Telentifed predent is bredered contamination of Shellish was; -
quelifications depend or consultent hired - expect that British will be able to the have your quelified consulted with bedy the water Brown - Highly valuable water bedy Deconomically for both Shellish and become was well-in the water bedy of Predent Nood - Telentifed predent is bredered contamination of Shellish was; -
will be able to five how vary goodfood conculted Polytice Value of the water Body - Highly valuable water took economically for both Shellish and becreational use. NPS Pollution Problem (Nood - Tobutjod problem is toolerid contamination of Shellish awas; -
Relative Value of the water Body - Highly valuable water tody scownighly for both Shellish and becomed use. NPS Pallation Predden (Nood - Identified predden is toolerical contamination of Shellish was, -
Highly valvable water tody scownight for both Shellish and becreational use. NPS Pallation Problem (Nood - Identifed problem is bookered contamination of Shellish awas
John Stellfish and Westerial use. NPS Pallation Problem (Nood - Identifod problem is booterial contamination of Shellfish awas
NPS Pallation Problem (Nood - Identifod problem is booterid contamination of Shelllich awas
- Toballan is baterial contamination of Sheldlan avans
- Toballan is baterial contamination of Sheldlan avans
Sheliffech awas, ->
men galone subular - houses and souther the -
1 2 2 2 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1
So have some understaiding of the postery -> also
man at the dods and sapric systems problems have
besterind need
show wow do of book tud - boststown ware-
total areder to similar abust your or
the sauce are, (10. were befinement of the poster),

RFP#: 201702034

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME:

DATE: (reviewed by evaluator)

EVALUATOR NAME: Warm files bound

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

VALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection
Feasivaility for Success -
- Hably 1stoly that mentaring can arrund as plant
and waterstood toget plus will be completed.
- Net sue about the ultimate good of apening up
Shell lish oness - to better for Conditionally approved
- All the loctors in place toward (ucoess - Support of
the Town postered and Statebalder (mound let of
Gornderd aloody Coupled I that supply are
- Next sine it a Quality Hospital Porject Hu various
tell , beloan 2) who rely 272 but he judgens a
have it a QAAP that string that they can work
- Our deplace conjuntation about the color of the color o
tiggest whomas a hisrard -
(ast sylvatures)
- Passable cost for takes and that will be done -
- might make some finds from w. a. Sunvey report
to after ead tasks (og. task 6 and 7).

RFP#: 201702034

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: Cobbussee Watershop Dichrit

DATE: (reviewed by evaluator)

EVALUATOR NAME: Wan silver bound EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

ndividual Evaluator Comments:
spring tent eintertilent tuning
Thego is - from that its of they being ileur of our -
induding Survey hodding at public proticipation 2 writing plan
- Post potermore in they wast oriently completed
Cochuenizar WBR (wordy Danis) - exceptant
Relative who at the water Rosely
- Valued by property ancers
- Public access
MS Pelledin Viellen Wood
- the cale has Stable or patentally declining water quiter
gods for round 2911 soubs to relat ton Equesion LI
indemed recycliz, ther was will lifely decline
outsiden is well understood - project will iveress understoon
- Wood to update WBP it appen for 319 hudis -
tersibulity for success
Low Mar Laborers and Mi Account to the Market Accident
- Expected the same proposed with the conference are same of the formal to severally? - got the leaves of how that the Cochange of the leaves that the Cochange of the leaves that the conferred the bid -
get the land of hundred that the Carlamas son the
- Did not have better at Support - demanchate local to the Did -

RFP#: 201702034

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT BIDDER NAME: LOSOSSE Westerched District DATE: (reviewed by evaluator)

EVALUATOR NAME: War Glant Connection

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection Cost Ellochness - Rospet can be likely be dere with pospessed - white bus should track - Cost of reasonable -> Comprehensive Plan austin wagedier TASK 4 - Waterthed Pallitent house Roberton Han - For Cochrawager hade WBP -> grantace bad problem w/ tryviz to do analysis wi GIS tand comer dates. (See Cochronizzon hate - Fred Witerchood Bassel Han - In, 216) - vaybe botter septem how this tack will be accomplished.

RFP#: 201702034

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: Tame of waldowns
DATE: (reviewed by evaluator)

EVALUATOR NAME: vem Eller Down

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

Individual Evaluator Comments:

Applicat California Entrology	
town of Waterburs will administer the year good for	
traillest tearques hainlest at a tratheries soil 11:00 -	Ŕ
be able to the qualified consultant.	14
to able to live qualified consultant.	
Relative Value of the westerfeet	0
Edwarding - Nolley Stell whith works	
	315
000 - solveged that but but a steen steen solver - all	
NES Palludin Roshen Need	
- Musch grandwick Completed cheerdy or impained - courses	
I die broken had broken where a show yours had	dest
needed - if will do I sampling that.	
- Fright with headed to atten potential shellfish honors	
- Freshet went needed to attain potential Shellfish horsest a 300 never needing appropriate trem "cardihered about to apar appropriat" state Teachers to the form of the form of the form of the project	int
Four bility for success	
terior Till jourse in terest in Sury Sury sury	
Sourced	
- All the Stateholder rebberland of 194 -	
- Can homeway Doodsong to guestain be answered ? for	
- ! Thousand it sugares in Established in Stranger that I have been that the transment that which will be considered in	
The way we was a way to be a way to	

RFP#: 201702034

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: Town ed Water DATE: (reviewed by evaluator)
EVALUATOR NAME: wern shen town's

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection 2 consuladely too on - Reachable costs for to tasks to be completed - Includes cash water from Shellich Comm. Comprehensing Plan - you Questions -D - Again or with the Pengered Quer proposed -18 that on existing GARP - working what DMR GARP? @ Water task 4 - add that wood to do a Soundary Data Commandy & Company dota from defferent Sources (3) Data Warrangement - task 4 about " at a 1 me took joursepired -Creating a complete water quality detabase". - Complied all the data from the last 4 years in one place. - How week as this data is suft in State databases already? - (augule and synthesize dute - This is the tast - but -DEP deta in STAD detatage - can be easily extraobed and provided or free database has

RFP#: 201702034

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: Town of Waldoboro

DATE: 5/23/17

EVALUATOR NAME: Greg Beane

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

Individual Evaluator Comments: P = Positive M = Minus Q = Question I = Interesting

Applicant Qualifications/Past Performance – Have a new Town Manager overseeing the project who was not involved in planning stage... On the other hand the town proposes to hire a consultant to lead the project. It appears the town has capacity and some experience coordinating grant projects so overall, bodes well for success of project.

Relative Value of Water Body – Waldoboro is the **leading softshell clam producer in the state**, also, the Medomak River provides recreation in the heavily visited mid-coast area which is so important to the state's economy. The Medomak Valley Land Trust owns several preserves bordering the river.

NPS Pollution Problem/Need – The river has been subjected to various closures and restrictions from bacteria which is (obviously) detrimental to the productivity of the valuable shellfish industry.

Feasibility for Success – High, due to the efforts of the town shellfish committee and, by extension, the inter-agency Medomak Task Force combined with qualified consultant project management. Question for discussion with ET is difficulty associated with bacteria source identification and tracking (?) Discussion with ET determined that this is not an issue

Cost Effectiveness – Strong, reasonable personnel expenses combined with very good match commitment (41%). Large cash contribution from shell fish committee P

Comprehensive Plan - Will get from Phil Carey

RFP#: 201702034

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: Cobbossee Watershed District

DATE: 5/23/17

EVALUATOR NAME: Greg Beane

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

Individual Evaluator Comments: P = Positive M = Minus I = Interesting Q = Question

Applicant Qualifications/Past Performance - CWD has a long, proven track record of successful NPS grant project management. Past projects have earned awards from MDEP and North American Lake Management Society. P+

Value of Water Body - Annabessacook Lake is a central feature for towns of Monmouth & Wintrop, however, many other lakes in area so value is "watered down"... Home to 182 private shorefront residences and a 100 site camparound. Lake offers good bass fishing and hosts fishing tournaments but suffers from algae blooms which reduce property/aesthetic/recreational value. Not clear, does lake have public boat launch? Yes, also, after discussion with ETI determined that this section deserves a higher rating than originally thought

NPS Pollution Problem/Need - Lake has frequent nuisance algae blooms and low SDT. Suffers from low DO which contributes to phosphorous recycling. P

Feasibility for Success - I am confident that CWD can successfully update the Watershed-based Plan for the lake and the proposal is generally sound but neglects Task 5 as a Deliverable (important) and I have doubts that the waterbody can be restored. Despite the lake receiving an alum treatment in the late 70's and benefiting from the stewardship of CWD over the ensuing decades the lake is still impaired, has algae blooms and is internally recycling phosphorous. Lake has shown some improvement since the late 90's but improvement has flat lined in recent years. Q (I am on fence on this criteria and will discuss with the group, maybe lake is closer to attainment than I believe)

Cost Effectiveness - Project costs seem to be OK generally but 353 hours for project management by CWD Director (at \$55/hr.) seems excessive - this equates to nine 40 hour weeks for managing this project... This is in addition to 54 hours project time from other staff. This also skews their match commitment. After discussion with the ET I decided the costs associated with project management are more reasonable than I originally thought

Comprehensive Plan - Will get from Phil Carey

RFP#: 201702034

RFP TITLE: GRANTS FOR NONPOINT SOURCE PROJECTS WATERSHED-BASED PLAN DEVELOPMENT

BIDDER NAME: Town of Bristol

DATE: 5/23/17

EVALUATOR NAME: Greg Beane

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Environmental Protection

Instructions: The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by individual evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is required that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

Individual Evaluator Comments: P = Positive M = Minus Q = Question I = Interesting

Applicant Qualifications/Past Performance – Modest qualifications/experience regarding this type of grant project but will hire a consultant to coordinate project.

Relative Value of Water Body – High value shell fishing area and important area for recreation and tourism in mid-coast region. Appears to be limited public access to river – no boat launches for instance and no mention of conservation lands along the river downstream from Bristol Mills Dam (other than Colonel Pemaquid SP at the mouth of river).

NPS Pollution Problem/Need – Despite efforts to clean up discharges such as largely eliminating OBD's, large portions of the river are closed to shell fishing because of high bacteria counts. (also low DO impairment – related?)

Feasibility for Success – Decent chance of success, proposal appears strong and town has shown commitment to cleaning up the river through previous efforts. Proposed project will only address pollution in 30% of total watershed and low hanging fruit (OBD's) has been addressed. Is project large enough in scope to make a difference? Discussed with ET and determined this is a non-issue (watershed above is not contaminated w/ bacteria P

Cost Effectiveness – Costs seem reasonable and the Town's contribution of staff time as match shows commitment. No issues

Comprehensive Plan - Will get info from Phil Carey but it is noted that Bristol does not have an up to date, consistent CP $\,$ M

STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



Paul Mercer Commissioner

AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT RFP #: 201702034

RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan - Development

I, (print name at right) Findel Lendel accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFP.
Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).
I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.
I understand that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.
I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the funding decision notices for public distribution.
Signature 5/3/17 Date

Paul R. LePage Governor

STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Paul Mercer Commissioner

AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT RFP #: 201702034 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan - Development

I, (print name at right) PHILP (ARF)
accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine
Department of Environmental Protection. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby
disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this
RFP.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the funding decision notices for public distribution.

Signature

Date

STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION



Paul R. LePage Governor

Paul Mercer Commissioner

AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT RFP #: 201702034

RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects
Watershed-based Plan - Development

I, (print name at right) GRONY F. Beane accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFP.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or Indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, It will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

I agree to hold confidential all Information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the funding decision notices for public distribution.

Signature

Date /

Paul R. LePage Governor

STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Paul Mercer Commissioner

AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT RFP #: 201702034 RFP TITLE: Grants for Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Projects Watershed-based Plan - Development

I, (print name at right)
Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).
I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.
I understand that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.
l agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the funding decision notices for public distribution.
May Wan Derin 5/2/17 Signature Date