

**State of Maine**  
**Master Score Sheet**

| <b>RFA# 202305100</b>  |                         |           |           |           |           |            |           |           |
|--|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| <b>Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants</b>  |                         |           |           |           |           |            |           |           |
| <b>Bidder Name:</b>  |                         | Abbot     | Bath      | Belfast   | Berwick   | Bowdoinham | Bridgton  | Brooklin  |
| <b>Proposed Cost:</b>  |                         | \$18,134  | \$15,000  | \$50,000  | \$50,000  | \$50,000   | \$50,000  | \$40,000  |
| <b>Scoring Sections</b>  | <b>Points Available</b> |           |           |           |           |            |           |           |
| Criteria 1: General Information/Eligibility/Applicant Info   | Pass / Fail             | Pass      | Pass      | Pass      | Pass      | Pass       | Pass      | Pass      |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status   | Pass / Fail             | Pass      | Pass      | Pass      | Pass      | Pass       | Pass      | Pass      |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics<br>• 5 points for a small (population less than 4,000) community or high social vulnerability.<br>• 3 points for a medium-size (population between 4,000 and 10,000) community or medium social vulnerability.<br>• In a multi-community application, one qualifying community may earn points for the whole application | 5                       | 5         | 3         | 5         | 3         | 5          | 5         | 5         |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)  | 15                      | 15        | 15        | 15        | 14        | 15         | 15        | 15        |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work  | 60                      | 44        | 55        | 24        | 57        | 52         | 53        | 33        |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal  | 20                      | 19        | 20        | 5         | 20        | 17         | 20        | 20        |
| <b>TOTAL</b>   | <b>100</b>              | <b>83</b> | <b>93</b> | <b>49</b> | <b>94</b> | <b>89</b>  | <b>93</b> | <b>73</b> |

**RFA# 202305100**

**Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants**

| <b>Bidder Name:</b>  | Brunswick  | Camden    | Casco     | Chelsea   | Cherryfield | Cranberry Isles | Cumberland |           |
|--|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------------|------------|-----------|
| <b>Proposed Cost:</b>  | \$50,000   | \$50,000  | \$50,000  | \$48,700  | \$50,000    | \$49,340        | \$50,000   |           |
| <b>Scoring Sections</b>  |            |           |           |           |             |                 |            |           |
| Criteria 1: General Information/Eligibility/Applicant Info   | Pass       | Pass      | Pass      | Pass      | Pass        | Pass            | Pass       |           |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status   | Pass       | Pass      | Pass      | Pass      | Pass        | Pass            | Pass       |           |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 5 points for a small (population less than 4,000) community or high social vulnerability.</li> <li>• 3 points for a medium-size (population between 4,000 and 10,000) community or medium social vulnerability.</li> <li>• In a multi-community application, one qualifying community may earn points for the whole application</li> </ul> | 5          | 3         | 3         | 5         | 5           | 5               | 3          |           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)  | 15         | 13        | 15        | 14        | 15          | 10              | 15         | 10        |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work  | 60         | 45        | 60        | 50        | 35          | 35              | 57         | 35        |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal  | 20         | 12        | 20        | 17        | 14          | 14              | 20         | 17        |
| <b>TOTAL</b>   | <b>100</b> | <b>73</b> | <b>98</b> | <b>86</b> | <b>69</b>   | <b>64</b>       | <b>97</b>  | <b>65</b> |

**RFA# 202305100**

**Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants**

| <b>Bidder Name:</b>  |            | Danforth  | Dixmont   | Eliot     | Ellsworth | Enfield-Howland | Eustis      | Fairfield |
|--|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-------------|-----------|
| <b>Proposed Cost:</b>  |            | \$42,300  | \$36,300  | \$50,000  | \$50,000  | \$125,000       | \$49,949.37 | \$23,430  |
| <b>Scoring Sections</b>  |            |           |           |           |           |                 |             |           |
| Criteria 1: General Information/Eligibility/Applicant Info   | Pass       | Pass      | Pass      | Pass      | Pass      | Pass            | Pass        | Pass      |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status   | Pass       | Pass      | Pass      | Pass      | Pass      | Pass            | Pass        | Pass      |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 5 points for a small (population less than 4,000) community or high social vulnerability.</li> <li>• 3 points for a medium-size (population between 4,000 and 10,000) community or medium social vulnerability.</li> <li>• In a multi-community application, one qualifying community may earn points for the whole application</li> </ul> | 5          | 5         | 5         | 3         | 3         | 5               | 5           | 5         |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)  | 15         | 15        | 13        | 15        | 12        | 11              | 15          | 15        |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work  | 60         | 50        | 40        | 55        | 42        | 40              | 45          | 32        |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal  | 20         | 18        | 15        | 20        | 18        | 20              | 19          | 20        |
| <b>TOTAL</b>   | <b>100</b> | <b>88</b> | <b>73</b> | <b>93</b> | <b>75</b> | <b>76</b>       | <b>84</b>   | <b>72</b> |

**RFA# 202305100**

**Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants**

| <b>Bidder Name:</b>  | Freedom    | Freeport  | Fryeburg  | Garland   | Gorham    | Hallowell | Harpowell |           |
|--|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| <b>Proposed Cost:</b>  | \$50,000   | \$50,000  | \$50,000  | \$50,000  | \$50,000  | \$50,000  | \$50,000  |           |
| <b>Scoring Sections</b>  |            |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |
| Criteria 1: General Information/Eligibility/Applicant Info   | Pass       | Pass      | Pass      | Pass      | Pass      | Pass      | Pass      |           |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status   | Pass       | Pass      | Pass      | Pass      | Pass      | Pass      | Pass      |           |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 5 points for a small (population less than 4,000) community or high social vulnerability.</li> <li>• 3 points for a medium-size (population between 4,000 and 10,000) community or medium social vulnerability.</li> <li>• In a multi-community application, one qualifying community may earn points for the whole application</li> </ul> | 5          | 5         | 3         | 5         | 5         | 0         | 5         | 3         |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)  | 15         | 15        | 15        | 15        | 15        | 15        | 15        | 15        |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work  | 60         | 55        | 49        | 56        | 45        | 50        | 50        | 55        |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal  | 20         | 16        | 18        | 18        | 19        | 20        | 20        | 18        |
| <b>TOTAL</b>   | <b>100</b> | <b>91</b> | <b>85</b> | <b>94</b> | <b>84</b> | <b>85</b> | <b>90</b> | <b>91</b> |

**RFA# 202305100**

**Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants**

| <b>Bidder Name:</b>  | Hartford   | Jonesport | Kittery   | Limestone | Lisbon      | Long Island | Lovell    |           |
|--|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| <b>Proposed Cost:</b>  | \$50,000   | \$50,000  | \$50,000  | \$50,000  | \$48,547.51 | \$45,400    | \$50,000  |           |
| <b>Scoring Sections</b>  |            |           |           |           |             |             |           |           |
| Criteria 1: General Information/Eligibility/Applicant Info   | Pass       | Pass      | Pass      | Pass      | Pass        | Pass        | Pass      |           |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status   | Pass       | Pass      | Pass      | Pass      | Pass        | Pass        | Pass      |           |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 5 points for a small (population less than 4,000) community or high social vulnerability.</li> <li>• 3 points for a medium-size (population between 4,000 and 10,000) community or medium social vulnerability.</li> <li>• In a multi-community application, one qualifying community may earn points for the whole application</li> </ul> | 5          | 5         | 5         | 0         | 5           | 3           | 5         | 5         |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)  | 15         | 15        | 11        | 12        | 15          | 15          | 15        | 15        |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work  | 60         | 45        | 40        | 35        | 40          | 30          | 60        | 36        |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal  | 20         | 20        | 16        | 20        | 18          | 18          | 19        | 14        |
| <b>TOTAL</b>   | <b>100</b> | <b>85</b> | <b>72</b> | <b>67</b> | <b>78</b>   | <b>66</b>   | <b>99</b> | <b>70</b> |

**RFA# 202305100**

**Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants**

| <b>Bidder Name:</b>  |            | Mariaville | Millinocket | Mount Desert-Tremont | North Haven-Vinalhaven | Northport | Norway    | Ogunquit  |
|--|------------|------------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| <b>Proposed Cost:</b>  |            | \$49,500   | \$50,000    | \$119,790            | \$123,246              | \$50,000  | \$48,526  | \$50,000  |
| <b>Scoring Sections</b>  |            |            |             |                      |                        |           |           |           |
| Criteria 1: General Information/Eligibility/Applicant Info   | Pass       | Pass       | Pass        | Pass                 | Pass                   | Pass      | Pass      | Pass      |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status   | Pass       | Pass       | Pass        | Pass                 | Pass                   | Pass      | Pass      | Pass      |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 5 points for a small (population less than 4,000) community or high social vulnerability.</li> <li>• 3 points for a medium-size (population between 4,000 and 10,000) community or medium social vulnerability.</li> <li>• In a multi-community application, one qualifying community may earn points for the whole application</li> </ul> | 5          | 5          | 5           | 5                    | 5                      | 5         | 3         | 3         |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)  | 15         | 15         | 12          | 15                   | 15                     | 15        | 15        | 15        |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work  | 60         | 43         | 32          | 58                   | 55                     | 40        | 50        | 54        |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal  | 20         | 18         | 10          | 20                   | 18                     | 20        | 20        | 20        |
| <b>TOTAL</b>   | <b>100</b> | <b>81</b>  | <b>59</b>   | <b>98</b>            | <b>93</b>              | <b>80</b> | <b>88</b> | <b>92</b> |

**RFA# 202305100**

**Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants**

| <b>Bidder Name:</b>  |            | Old Orchard Beach | Orono     | Otisfield | Penobscot | Penobscot Nation - Indian Island | Phillips    | Phippsburg |
|--|------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------------------------|-------------|------------|
| <b>Proposed Cost:</b>  |            | \$33,500          | \$50,000  | \$45,596  | \$50,000  | \$50,000                         | \$30,742.73 | \$50,000   |
| <b>Scoring Sections</b>  |            |                   |           |           |           |                                  |             |            |
| Criteria 1: General Information/Eligibility/Applicant Info   | Pass       | Pass              | Pass      | Pass      | Pass      | Pass                             | Pass        | Pass       |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status   | Pass       | Pass              | Pass      | Pass      | Pass      | Pass                             | Pass        | Pass       |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 5 points for a small (population less than 4,000) community or high social vulnerability.</li> <li>• 3 points for a medium-size (population between 4,000 and 10,000) community or medium social vulnerability.</li> <li>• In a multi-community application, one qualifying community may earn points for the whole application</li> </ul> | 5          | 3                 | 0         | 5         | 5         | 5                                | 5           | 5          |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)  | 15         | 15                | 15        | 13        | 15        | 15                               | 15          | 15         |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work  | 60         | 56                | 40        | 55        | 25        | 60                               | 45          | 55         |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal  | 20         | 19                | 17        | 19        | 14        | 18                               | 20          | 19         |
| <b>TOTAL</b>   | <b>100</b> | <b>93</b>         | <b>72</b> | <b>92</b> | <b>59</b> | <b>98</b>                        | <b>85</b>   | <b>94</b>  |

**RFA# 202305100**

**Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants**

| <b>Bidder Name:</b>  |            | Poland    | Portland  | Rangeley  | Rockland   | Rockport  | Sedgwick  | Skowhegan |
|--|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| <b>Proposed Cost:</b>  |            | \$50,000  | \$45,200  | \$50,000  | \$37,720   | \$50,000  | \$50,000  | \$50,000  |
| <b>Scoring Sections</b>  |            |           |           |           |            |           |           |           |
| Criteria 1: General Information/Eligibility/Applicant Info   | Pass       | Pass      | Pass      | Pass      | Pass       | Pass      | Pass      | Pass      |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status   | Pass       | Pass      | Pass      | Pass      | Pass       | Pass      | Pass      | Pass      |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 5 points for a small (population less than 4,000) community or high social vulnerability.</li> <li>• 3 points for a medium-size (population between 4,000 and 10,000) community or medium social vulnerability.</li> <li>• In a multi-community application, one qualifying community may earn points for the whole application</li> </ul> | 5          | 3         | 3         | 5         | 5          | 3         | 5         | 5         |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)  | 15         | 15        | 15        | 15        | 15         | 15        | 15        | 15        |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work  | 60         | 37        | 59        | 40        | 60         | 45        | 42        | 45        |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal  | 20         | 15        | 20        | 18        | 20         | 20        | 14        | 12        |
| <b>TOTAL</b>   | <b>100</b> | <b>70</b> | <b>97</b> | <b>78</b> | <b>100</b> | <b>83</b> | <b>76</b> | <b>77</b> |



**RFA# 202305100**

**Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants**

| <b>Bidder Name:</b>  | Solon      | South Portland | South Thomaston | Southwest Harbor | Standish  | Stonington | Sumner    |
|--|------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| <b>Proposed Cost:</b>  | \$50,000   | \$50,000       | \$50,000        | \$49,875         | \$44,639  | \$50,000   | \$41,050  |
| <b>Scoring Sections</b>  |            |                |                 |                  |           |            |           |
| Criteria 1: General Information/Eligibility/Applicant Info   | Pass       | Pass           | Pass            | Pass             | Pass      | Pass       | Pass      |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status   | Pass       | Pass           | Pass            | Pass             | Pass      | Pass       | Pass      |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 5 points for a small (population less than 4,000) community or high social vulnerability.</li> <li>• 3 points for a medium-size (population between 4,000 and 10,000) community or medium social vulnerability.</li> <li>• In a multi-community application, one qualifying community may earn points for the whole application</li> </ul> | 5          | 5              | 0               | 5                | 5         | 0          | 5         |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)  | 15         | 15             | 15              | 15               | 15        | 15         | 15        |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work  | 60         | 30             | 60              | 55               | 50        | 40         | 50        |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal  | 20         | 20             | 20              | 20               | 18        | 20         | 20        |
| <b>TOTAL</b>   | <b>100</b> | <b>70</b>      | <b>95</b>       | <b>95</b>        | <b>88</b> | <b>75</b>  | <b>90</b> |

**RFA# 202305100**

**Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants**

| <b>Bidder Name:</b>  | Topsham    | Vassalboro | Waldoboro | Waterford   | Westbrook-Windham | Westport Island | Wilton      |           |
|--|------------|------------|-----------|-------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------|
| <b>Proposed Cost:</b>  | \$50,000   | \$34,745   | \$50,000  | \$46,126.55 | \$125,000         | \$50,000        | \$18,445.60 |           |
| <b>Scoring Sections</b>  |            |            |           |             |                   |                 |             |           |
| Criteria 1: General Information/Eligibility/Applicant Info   | Pass       | Pass       | Pass      | Pass        | Pass              | Pass            | Pass        |           |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status   | Pass       | Pass       | Pass      | Pass        | Pass              | Pass            | Pass        |           |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics<br><ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 5 points for a small (population less than 4,000) community or high social vulnerability.</li> <li>• 3 points for a medium-size (population between 4,000 and 10,000) community or medium social vulnerability.</li> <li>• In a multi-community application, one qualifying community may earn points for the whole application</li> </ul> | 5          | 3          | 5         | 5           | 5                 | 3               | 5           | 5         |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)  | 15         | 15         | 15        | 8           | 15                | 10              | 15          | 15        |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work  | 60         | 60         | 43        | 35          | 45                | 37              | 60          | 40        |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal  | 20         | 20         | 20        | 20          | 20                | 20              | 20          | 20        |
| <b>TOTAL</b>   | <b>100</b> | <b>98</b>  | <b>83</b> | <b>68</b>   | <b>85</b>         | <b>70</b>       | <b>100</b>  | <b>80</b> |

**RFA# 202305100**

**Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants**

| <b>Bidder Name:</b>  | Window Dressers:<br>Passamaquoddy Indian Township & Pleasant Point | Yarmouth  | York      | Lewiston –<br><b>Application Withdrawn</b> |            |  |  |
|--|--|-----------|-----------|--|------------|--|--|
| <b>Proposed Cost:</b>  | \$124,998  | \$50,000  | \$50,000  | \$50,000                                   |            |  |  |
| <b>Scoring Sections</b>  |  |           |           |  |            |  |  |
| Criteria 1: General Information/Eligibility/Applicant Info   | Pass   | Pass      | Pass      | Pass                                       | n/a        |  |  |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status   | Pass   | Pass      | Pass      | Pass                                       | n/a        |  |  |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics<br>• 5 points for a small (population less than 4,000) community or high social vulnerability.<br>• 3 points for a medium-size (population between 4,000 and 10,000) community or medium social vulnerability.<br>• In a multi-community application, one qualifying community may earn points for the whole application | 5  | 5         | 3         | 0  | n/a        |  |  |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)  | 15   | 15        | 15        | 15   | n/a        |  |  |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work  | 60   | 60        | 50        | 52   | n/a        |  |  |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal  | 20   | 19        | 18        | 14   | n/a        |  |  |
| <b>TOTAL</b>   | <b>100</b>   | <b>99</b> | <b>86</b> | <b>81</b>                                  | <b>n/a</b> |  |  |

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [townoffice04406@gmail.com](mailto:townoffice04406@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** Abbot - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:15:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Abbot.pdf](#)

---

Dear Mickey,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [Rod Melanson](#)  
**Subject:** Bath - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:15:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Bath.pdf](#)

---

Dear Rod,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [economicdevelopment@cityofbelfast.org](mailto:economicdevelopment@cityofbelfast.org)  
**Subject:** Belfast - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:16:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Belfast.pdf](#)

---

Dear Thomas,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [townmanager@berwickmaine.org](mailto:townmanager@berwickmaine.org)  
**Subject:** Berwick - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:17:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Berwick.pdf](#)

---

Dear James,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [Yvette Meunier](#)  
**Subject:** Bowdoinham - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:18:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Bowdoinham.pdf](#)

---

Dear Yvette,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).



**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [vhill@bridgtonmaine.org](mailto:vhill@bridgtonmaine.org)  
**Subject:** Bridgton - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:18:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Bridgton.pdf](#)

---

Dear Tori,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [resilienceworkslc@gmail.com](mailto:resilienceworkslc@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** Brooklin - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:19:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Brooklin.pdf](#)

---

Dear Allen,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [jflood@brunswickme.org](mailto:jflood@brunswickme.org)  
**Subject:** Brunswick - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:20:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Brunswick.pdf](#)

---

Dear James,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [Jeremy Martin](#)  
**Subject:** Camden - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:21:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Camden.pdf](#)

---

Dear Jeremy,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [award@cascomaine.org](mailto:award@cascomaine.org)  
**Subject:** Casco - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:21:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Casco.pdf](#)

---

Dear Anthony,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** "[okunesh@kvkog.org](mailto:okunesh@kvkog.org)"  
**Subject:** Chelsea - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:22:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Chelsea.pdf](#)

---

Dear Olivia,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [chitchings@sunrisecounty.org](mailto:chitchings@sunrisecounty.org)  
**Subject:** Cherryfield - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:23:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Cherryfield.pdf](#)

---

Dear Crystal,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [james@cranberryisles-me.gov](mailto:james@cranberryisles-me.gov)  
**Subject:** Cranberry Isles - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:24:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_CranberryIsles.pdf](#)

---

Dear James,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).



**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [denison.gallaudet](#)  
**Subject:** Cumberland - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:24:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Cumberland.pdf](#)

---

Dear Denny,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [townofdanforth@gmail.com](mailto:townofdanforth@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** Danforth - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:25:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Danforth.pdf](#)

---

Dear Ardis,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [sbgoody18@gmail.com](mailto:sbgoody18@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** Dixmont - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:26:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG Dixmont.pdf](#)

---

Dear Serena,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** ["jbrubaker@eliotme.org"](mailto:jbrubaker@eliotme.org)  
**Subject:** Eliot - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:27:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Eliot.pdf](#)

---

Dear Jeff,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [mwilliams@ellsworthmaine.gov](mailto:mwilliams@ellsworthmaine.gov)  
**Subject:** Ellsworth - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:27:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Ellsworth.pdf](#)

---

Dear Matthew,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [jstanhope@townofenfieldmaine.org](mailto:jstanhope@townofenfieldmaine.org)  
**Subject:** Enfield - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:28:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Enfield-Howland.pdf](#)

---

Dear Joshua,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [eustisdeputyclerk@eustismaine.org](mailto:eustisdeputyclerk@eustismaine.org)  
**Subject:** Eustis - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:29:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Eustis.pdf](#)

---

Dear Rachel,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [Grainne Shaw](#)  
**Subject:** Fairfield - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:29:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Fairfield.pdf](#)

---

Dear Grainne,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).



**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [Lissa Widoff](#)  
**Subject:** Freedom - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:32:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Freedom.pdf](#)

---

Dear Lissa,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [Meddy Smith](#)  
**Subject:** Freeport - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:33:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Freeport.pdf](#)

---

Dear Meddy,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [townmanager@fryeburgmaine.org](mailto:townmanager@fryeburgmaine.org)  
**Subject:** Fryeburg - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:33:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Fryeburg.pdf](#)

---

Dear Katie,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [togadmin@towngarlandme.com](mailto:togadmin@towngarlandme.com)  
**Subject:** Garland - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:34:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Garland.pdf](#)

---

Dear Lori,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [ceverman@gorham.me.us](mailto:ceverman@gorham.me.us)  
**Subject:** Gorham - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:34:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Gorham.pdf](#)

---

Dear Carol,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [Gary Lamb](#)  
**Subject:** Hallowell - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:35:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Hallowell.pdf](#)

---

Dear Gary,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [Town Planner](#)  
**Subject:** Harpswell - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:36:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Harpswell.pdf](#)

---

Dear Mark,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [hartford@megalink.net](mailto:hartford@megalink.net)  
**Subject:** Hartford - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:37:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Hartford.pdf](#)

---

Dear Cathy,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).



**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [selectmen@townofjonesport.com](mailto:selectmen@townofjonesport.com)  
**Subject:** Jonesport - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:37:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Jonesport.pdf](#)

---

Dear Harry,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [Kamaral@kitteryme.org](mailto:Kamaral@kitteryme.org)  
**Subject:** Kittery - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:38:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Kittery.pdf](#)

---

Dear Kendra,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [Jacqueline Crucet](#)  
**Subject:** Lewiston - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:39:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Lewiston.pdf](#)

---

Dear Jacqueline,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [lwsd.jim@gmail.com](mailto:lwsd.jim@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** Limestone - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:39:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG Limestone.pdf](#)

---

Dear Jim,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [malexander@lisbonme.org](mailto:malexander@lisbonme.org)  
**Subject:** Lisbon - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:40:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Lisbon.pdf](#)

---

Dear Melanie,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [townadmin@townoflongisland.us](mailto:townadmin@townoflongisland.us)  
**Subject:** Long Island - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:41:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_LongIsland.pdf](#)

---

Dear Brian,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [egulbrand48@gmail.com](mailto:egulbrand48@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** Lovell - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:41:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Lovell.pdf](#)

---

Dear Eric,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [craigmvfd@gmail.com](mailto:craigmvfd@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** Mariaville - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:42:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Mariaville.pdf](#)

---

Dear Craig,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).



**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [Amber Wheaton](#)  
**Subject:** Millinocket - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:43:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Millinocket.pdf](#)

---

Dear Amber,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [manager@mtdesert.org](mailto:manager@mtdesert.org)  
**Subject:** Mount Desert/Tremont - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:43:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_MountDesert-Tremont.pdf](#)

---

Dear Durlin,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [administrator@northhavenmaine.org](mailto:administrator@northhavenmaine.org)  
**Subject:** North Haven/Vinalhaven - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:44:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_NorthHaven-Vinalhaven.pdf](#)

---

Dear Rick,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [James Kossuth](#)  
**Subject:** Northport - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:45:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Northport.pdf](#)

---

Dear James,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [scarter@norwaymaine.com](mailto:scarter@norwaymaine.com)  
**Subject:** Norway - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:46:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Norway.pdf](#)

---

Dear Sarah,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [treasurer@ogunquit.gov](mailto:treasurer@ogunquit.gov)  
**Subject:** Ogunquit - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:47:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Ogunquit.pdf](#)

---

Dear Mandy,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [mfooster@oobmaine.com](mailto:mfooster@oobmaine.com)  
**Subject:** Old Orchard Beach - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:48:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_OldOrchardBeach.pdf](#)

---

Dear Mike,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [Megan Hess](#)  
**Subject:** Orono - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:49:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Orono.pdf](#)

---

Dear Megan,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).



**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [admin@otisfieldme.gov](mailto:admin@otisfieldme.gov)  
**Subject:** Otisfield - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:49:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Otisfield.pdf](#)

---

Dear Julie,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [Gary Fearon](#)  
**Subject:** Penobscot Nation- Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:56:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_PenobscotNation.pdf](#)

---

Dear Gary,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [resilienceworksllc@gmail.com](mailto:resilienceworksllc@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** Penobscot - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:53:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Penobscot.pdf](#)

---

Dear Allen,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [phillipstownoffice@tds.net](mailto:phillipstownoffice@tds.net)  
**Subject:** Phillips - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:58:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Phillips.pdf](#)

---

Dear Maureen,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [phipp@phippsburg.com](mailto:phipp@phippsburg.com)  
**Subject:** Phippsburg - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 3:58:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Phippsburg.pdf](#)

---

Dear Rose,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [mgarside@polandtownoffice.org](mailto:mgarside@polandtownoffice.org)  
**Subject:** Poland - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 4:00:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Poland.pdf](#)

---

Dear Matthew,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [thm@portlandmaine.gov](mailto:thm@portlandmaine.gov)  
**Subject:** Portland - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 4:01:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Portland.pdf](#)

---

Dear Troy,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [townmanager@rangeleyme.org](mailto:townmanager@rangeleyme.org)  
**Subject:** Rangeley - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 4:02:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Rangeley.pdf](#)

---

Dear Joe,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).



**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [jcarter@rocklandmaine.gov](mailto:jcarter@rocklandmaine.gov)  
**Subject:** Rockland - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 4:03:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Rockland.pdf](#)

---

Dear Jenny,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [wabow212@gmail.com](mailto:wabow212@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** Rockport - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 4:04:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Rockport.pdf](#)

---

Dear William,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [j.jesseminor@gmail.com](mailto:j.jesseminor@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** Sedgwick - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 4:05:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Sedgwick.pdf](#)

---

Dear Jesse,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [Joel Greenwood](#)  
**Subject:** Skowhegan - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 4:05:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Skowhegan.pdf](#)

---

Dear Joel,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [townofsolon@yahoo.com](mailto:townofsolon@yahoo.com)  
**Subject:** Solon - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 4:06:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Solon.pdf](#)

---

Dear Elaine,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [cstewart@southportland.org](mailto:cstewart@southportland.org)  
**Subject:** South Portland - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 4:07:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_SouthPortland.pdf](#)

---

Dear Cashel,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [terri@souththomaston.me](mailto:terri@souththomaston.me)  
**Subject:** South Thomaston - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 4:08:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_SouthThomaston.pdf](#)

---

Dear Terri,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [manager@southwestharbor.org](mailto:manager@southwestharbor.org)  
**Subject:** Southwest Harbor - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 4:08:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_SouthwestHarbor.pdf](#)

---

Dear Marilyn,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).



**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [zmosher@standish.org](mailto:zmosher@standish.org)  
**Subject:** Standish - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 4:09:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Standish.pdf](#)

---

Dear Zach,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [Linda Nelson](#)  
**Subject:** Stonington - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 4:10:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Stonington.pdf](#)

---

Dear Linda,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [ssullivan@sumnerme.com](mailto:ssullivan@sumnerme.com)  
**Subject:** Sumner - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 4:11:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Sumner.pdf](#)

---

Dear Sherry,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [Derek Scrapchansky](#)  
**Subject:** Topsham - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 4:12:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Topsham.pdf](#)

---

Dear Derek,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [amiller@vassalboro.net](mailto:amiller@vassalboro.net)  
**Subject:** Vassalboro - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 4:13:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Vassalboro.pdf](#)

---

Dear Aaron,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [planning@waldoboromaine.org](mailto:planning@waldoboromaine.org)  
**Subject:** Waldoboro - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 4:14:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Waldoboro.pdf](#)

---

Dear Maxwell,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [rslessard@yahoo.com](mailto:rslessard@yahoo.com)  
**Subject:** Waterford - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 4:15:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Waterford.pdf](#)

---

Dear Randy,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [Robyn Saunders](#)  
**Subject:** Westbrook/Windham - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 4:16:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Westbrook-Windham.pdf](#)

---

Dear Robyn,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).



**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [Richard](#)  
**Subject:** Westport Island - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 4:17:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_WestportIsland.pdf](#)

---

Dear Richard,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [office@wiltonmaine.org](mailto:office@wiltonmaine.org)  
**Subject:** Wilton - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 4:18:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Wilton.pdf](#)

---

Dear Cindy,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [Sharon Klein](#)  
**Subject:** WindowDressers - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 4:18:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_WindowDressers-Passamaquoddy-PleasantPoint.pdf](#)

---

Dear Sharon,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [Meddy Smith](#)  
**Subject:** Yarmouth - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100 Selection Letter  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 4:19:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_Yarmouth.pdf](#)

---

Dear Meddy,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).

**From:** [Krulik, Ashley](#)  
**To:** [dbrown@yorkmaine.org](mailto:dbrown@yorkmaine.org)  
**Subject:** York - Community Action Grant RFA # 202305100  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 13, 2023 4:20:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [ANL\\_CAG\\_York.pdf](#)

---

Dear DeCarlo,

Thank you for your application to the Community Resilience Partnership's Community Action Grant RFA. The attached Conditional Award Notification Letter for RFA #202305100 lists the selected communities. A press announcement of the Community Resilience Partnership awards is expected on September 29<sup>th</sup>. I ask that you hold this information close until then.

If you received a conditional award, we will follow-up with more information very shortly.

Thank you,  
Ashley

**Ashley Krulik** | Community Resilience Partnership Program Manager  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
Burton Cross Building, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, 111 Sewall Street, Augusta, Maine 04330  
[Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov](mailto:Ashley.Krulik@maine.gov) | 207-816-2717 | [www.maine.gov/future](http://www.maine.gov/future)  
Ask me about the [Community Resilience Partnership](#).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Mickey Knowles  
Town of Abbot  
PO Box 120, 133 Main Road,  
Abbot, Maine 04406-0120

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Knowles:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).





**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Rod Melanson  
City of Bath  
55 Front Street  
Bath, ME 04530

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Melanson:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Thomas Kittredge  
City of Belfast  
Belfast City Hall  
131 Church Street  
Belfast, Maine 04915

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Kittredge:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract.

As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

James Bellissimo  
Town of Berwick  
11 Sullivan St  
Berwick, ME 03901

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Bellissimo:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland                         |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*                        |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*                       |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland                   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*                 |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*                |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*                        |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*                      |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*                          |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham                          |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*                      |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island                  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*                          |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | Township* & Pleasant Point                   |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     | 49. Town of York*                            |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Yvette Meunier  
Town of Bowdoinham  
13 School Street  
Bowdoinham, ME 04008

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Meunier:

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' followed by a horizontal line.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Tori Hill  
Town of Bridgton  
3 Chase Street, Suite 1  
Bridgton, Maine 04009

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Hill,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' followed by a horizontal line.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Allen Kratz  
Town of Brooklin  
75 Lane Road, Suite 403  
Fairfield, NJ 07004

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Kratz,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland                         |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*                        |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*                       |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland                   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*                 |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*                |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*                        |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*                      |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*                          |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham                          |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*                      |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island                  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*                          |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | Township* & Pleasant Point                   |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     | 49. Town of York*                            |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written



contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

James Flood  
Town of Brunswick  
85 Union Street  
Brunswick, ME 04011

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Flood,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract.

As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending to the right.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Jeremy Martin  
Town of Camden  
PO Box 1207  
Camden, ME 04843

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Martin,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a stylized 'H' followed by a 'P' and a horizontal line extending to the right.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).





**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Anthony Ward  
Town of Casco  
635 Meadow Road  
Casco, ME 04015

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Ward,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Olivia Kunesh  
Town of Chelsea  
560 Togus Road  
Chelsea, ME 04330

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Kunesh,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland                         |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*                        |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*                       |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland                   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*                 |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*                |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*                        |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*                      |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*                          |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham                          |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*                      |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island                  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*                          |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | Township* & Pleasant Point                   |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     | 49. Town of York*                            |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



STATE OF MAINE
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE

Janet T. Mills
Governor

Hannah Pingree
Director

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

September 13, 2023

Crystal Hitchings
Town of Cherryfield
685 Blackwoods Rd
Cherryfield, ME 04622

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership
Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Hitchings,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's
Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action
Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in
the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Town of Abbot\*
2. City of Bath
3. Town of Berwick\*
4. Town of Bowdoinham
5. Town of Bridgton
6. Town of Camden
7. Town of Casco\*
8. Town of Cranberry Isles\*
9. Town of Danforth\*
10. Town of Eliot\*
11. City of Ellsworth\*
12. Towns of Enfield & Howland\*
13. Town of Eustis\*
14. Town of Freedom\*
15. Town of Fryeburg\*
16. Town of Garland\*
17. Town of Gorham\*
18. City of Hallowell
19. Town of Harpswell
20. Town of Hartford\*
21. Town of Long Island\*
22. Town of Mariaville\*
23. Towns of Mount Desert & Tremont
24. Towns of North Haven\* & Vinalhaven
25. Town of Northport\*
26. Town of Norway
27. Town of Ogunquit\*
28. Town of Old Orchard Beach\*
29. Town of Otisfield
30. Penobscot Nation\*
31. Town of Phillips\*
32. Town of Phippsburg\*
33. City of Portland
34. Town of Rangeley\*
35. City of Rockland
36. Town of Sedgwick\*
37. Town of Skowhegan\*
38. City of South Portland
39. Town of South Thomaston\*
40. Town of Southwest Harbor\*
41. Town of Standish\*
42. Town of Stonington\*
43. Town of Sumner\*
44. Town of Topsham
45. Town of Vassalboro\*
46. Town of Westport Island
47. Town of Wilton\*
48. Windowdressers - Passamaquoddy Indian Township\* & Pleasant Point
49. Town of York\*

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under
this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk
above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores
received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract.
As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



STATE OF MAINE
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE

Janet T. Mills
Governor

Hannah Pingree
Director

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

September 13, 2023

James Fortune
Town of Cranberry Isles
61 Main St
Islesford, ME 04646

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Fortune,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's
Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action
Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in
the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Town of Abbot\*
2. City of Bath
3. Town of Berwick\*
4. Town of Bowdoinham
5. Town of Bridgton
6. Town of Camden
7. Town of Casco\*
8. Town of Cranberry Isles\*
9. Town of Danforth\*
10. Town of Eliot\*
11. City of Ellsworth\*
12. Towns of Enfield & Howland\*
13. Town of Eustis\*
14. Town of Freedom\*
15. Town of Fryeburg\*
16. Town of Garland\*
17. Town of Gorham\*
18. City of Hallowell
19. Town of Harpswell
20. Town of Hartford\*
21. Town of Long Island\*
22. Town of Mariaville\*
23. Towns of Mount Desert & Tremont
24. Towns of North Haven\* & Vinalhaven
25. Town of Northport\*
26. Town of Norway
27. Town of Ogunquit\*
28. Town of Old Orchard Beach\*
29. Town of Otisfield
30. Penobscot Nation\*
31. Town of Phillips\*
32. Town of Phippsburg\*
33. City of Portland
34. Town of Rangeley\*
35. City of Rockland
36. Town of Sedgwick\*
37. Town of Skowhegan\*
38. City of South Portland
39. Town of South Thomaston\*
40. Town of Southwest Harbor\*
41. Town of Standish\*
42. Town of Stonington\*
43. Town of Sumner\*
44. Town of Topsham
45. Town of Vassalboro\*
46. Town of Westport Island
47. Town of Wilton\*
48. Windowdressers - Passamaquoddy Indian Township\* & Pleasant Point
49. Town of York\*

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under
this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk
above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores
received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract.
As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Denny Gallaudet  
Town of Cumberland  
67 Range Road  
Cumberland , ME 04021

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Gallaudet,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Ardis Brown  
Town of Danforth  
PO Box 117  
Danforth, ME 04424

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Brown,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written



contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a stylized 'H' followed by a 'P' and a horizontal line extending to the right.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Serena Bemis-Goodall  
Town of Dixmont  
PO Box 100  
Dixmont, Maine 04932

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Bemis-Goodall,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Jeff Brubaker  
Town of Eliot  
Town Hall  
1333 State Road  
Eliot, ME, 03903

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Brubaker,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract.

As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending to the right.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).





**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Matthew Williams  
City of Ellsworth  
1 City Hall Plaza  
Ellsworth, Maine 04605

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Williams,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a stylized 'H' followed by a 'P' and a horizontal line extending to the right.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Joshua Stanhope  
Towns of Enfield & Howland  
789 Hammett Road  
Enfield, Maine 04493

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Stanhope,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland                         |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*                        |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*                       |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland                   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*                 |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*                |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*                        |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*                      |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*                          |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham                          |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*                      |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island                  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*                          |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | Township* & Pleasant Point                   |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     | 49. Town of York*                            |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' followed by a horizontal line.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Rachel Williams  
Town of Eustis  
P.O. Box 350  
Stratton, ME 04982

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Williams,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland                         |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*                        |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*                       |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland                   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*                 |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*                |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*                        |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*                      |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*                          |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham                          |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*                      |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island                  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*                          |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | Township* & Pleasant Point                   |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     | 49. Town of York*                            |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a stylized 'H' followed by a 'P' and a horizontal line extending to the right.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Grainne Shaw  
Town of Fairfield  
17 Main Street  
Fairfield, Maine 04937

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Shaw,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Lissa Widoff  
Town of Freedom  
465 Belfast Road  
Freedom, Maine 04941

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Widoff,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Meddy Smith  
Town of Freeport  
30 Main Street  
Freeport, ME 04032

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Smith,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written



contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Katie Haley  
Town of Fryeburg  
16 Lovewell Pond Road  
Fryeburg, ME 04037

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Haley,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Lori Keefe  
Town of Garland  
108 Corinth Road  
Garland, Maine 04939

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Keefe,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland                         |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*                        |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*                       |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland                   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*                 |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*                |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*                        |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*                      |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*                          |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham                          |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*                      |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island                  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*                          |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | Township* & Pleasant Point                   |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     | 49. Town of York*                            |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).





**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Carol Eyeran  
Town of Gorham  
75 South Street  
Gorham, Maine 04038

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Eyeran,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a stylized 'H' followed by a 'P' and a horizontal line extending to the right.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Gary Lamb  
City of Hallowell  
1 Winthrop Street  
Hallowell, Maine 04347

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Lamb,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland                         |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*                        |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*                       |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland                   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*                 |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*                |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*                        |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*                      |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*                          |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham                          |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*                      |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island                  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*                          |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | Township* & Pleasant Point                   |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     | 49. Town of York*                            |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Mark Eyerman  
Town of Harpswell  
PO Box 39  
Harpswell, ME 04079

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Eyerman,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland                         |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*                        |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*                       |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland                   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*                 |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*                |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*                        |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*                      |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*                          |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham                          |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*                      |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island                  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*                          |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | Township* & Pleasant Point                   |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     | 49. Town of York*                            |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' followed by a horizontal line.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Cathy Lowe  
Town of Hartford  
1196 Main Street  
Hartford, Maine 04220

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Lowe,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Harry Fish Jr.  
Town of Jonesport  
PO Box 489  
Jonesport, ME 04649

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Fish,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Kendra Amaral  
Town of Kittery  
200 Rogers Road  
Kittery, Maine 03904

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Amaral,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written



contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a stylized 'H' followed by a 'P' and a horizontal line extending to the right.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Jacqueline Crucet  
City of Lewiston  
27 Pine Street, 3rd Floor  
Lewiston, ME 04240

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Crucet,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland                         |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*                        |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*                       |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland                   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*                 |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*                |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*                        |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*                      |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*                          |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham                          |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*                      |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island                  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*                          |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | Township* & Pleasant Point                   |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     | 49. Town of York*                            |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Jim Leighton  
Town of Limestone  
93 Main Street  
Limestone, Maine 04750

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Leighton,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' followed by a horizontal line.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).





**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Melanie Alexander  
Town of Lisbon  
300 Lisbon Street  
Lisbon, ME 04250

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Alexander,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a stylized 'H' followed by a 'P' and a horizontal line extending to the right.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



STATE OF MAINE
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE

Janet T. Mills
Governor

Hannah Pingree
Director

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

September 13, 2023

Brian Dudley
Town of Long Island
104 Wharf Street
Long Island, ME 04050

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Dudley,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's
Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action
Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in
the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Town of Abbot\*
2. City of Bath
3. Town of Berwick\*
4. Town of Bowdoinham
5. Town of Bridgton
6. Town of Camden
7. Town of Casco\*
8. Town of Cranberry Isles\*
9. Town of Danforth\*
10. Town of Eliot\*
11. City of Ellsworth\*
12. Towns of Enfield & Howland\*
13. Town of Eustis\*
14. Town of Freedom\*
15. Town of Fryeburg\*
16. Town of Garland\*
17. Town of Gorham\*
18. City of Hallowell
19. Town of Harpswell
20. Town of Hartford\*
21. Town of Long Island\*
22. Town of Mariaville\*
23. Towns of Mount Desert & Tremont
24. Towns of North Haven\* & Vinalhaven
25. Town of Northport\*
26. Town of Norway
27. Town of Ogunquit\*
28. Town of Old Orchard Beach\*
29. Town of Otisfield
30. Penobscot Nation\*
31. Town of Phillips\*
32. Town of Phippsburg\*
33. City of Portland
34. Town of Rangeley\*
35. City of Rockland
36. Town of Sedgwick\*
37. Town of Skowhegan\*
38. City of South Portland
39. Town of South Thomaston\*
40. Town of Southwest Harbor\*
41. Town of Standish\*
42. Town of Stonington\*
43. Town of Sumner\*
44. Town of Topsham
45. Town of Vassalboro\*
46. Town of Westport Island
47. Town of Wilton\*
48. Windowdressers - Passamaquoddy Indian Township\* & Pleasant Point
49. Town of York\*

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under
this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk
above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores
received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract.
As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Eric Gulbrandsen  
Town of Lovell  
1069 Main Street  
Lovell, ME 04051

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Gulbrandsen,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Craig Noble  
Town of Mariaville  
1686 Mariaville Road  
Mariaville, Maine 04605

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Noble,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland                         |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*                        |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*                       |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland                   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*                 |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*                |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*                        |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*                      |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*                          |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham                          |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*                      |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island                  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*                          |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | Township* & Pleasant Point                   |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     | 49. Town of York*                            |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Amber Wheaton  
Town of Millinocket  
197 Penobscot Ave  
Millinocket, ME 04462

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Wheaton,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a stylized 'H' followed by a 'P' and a horizontal line extending to the right.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Durlin Lunt  
Towns of Mount Desert & Tremont  
21 Sea Street  
Northeast Harbor, Maine 04662

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Lunt,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written



contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Rick Lattimer  
Towns of North Haven & Vinalhaven  
PO Box 400, 16 Town Office Square  
North Haven, ME 04853

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Lattimer,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



STATE OF MAINE
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE

Janet T. Mills
Governor

Hannah Pingree
Director

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

September 13, 2023

James Kossuth
Town of Northport
16 Beech Hill Road
Northport, ME 04849

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Kossuth,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's
Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action
Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in
the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Town of Abbot\*
2. City of Bath
3. Town of Berwick\*
4. Town of Bowdoinham
5. Town of Bridgton
6. Town of Camden
7. Town of Casco\*
8. Town of Cranberry Isles\*
9. Town of Danforth\*
10. Town of Eliot\*
11. City of Ellsworth\*
12. Towns of Enfield & Howland\*
13. Town of Eustis\*
14. Town of Freedom\*
15. Town of Fryeburg\*
16. Town of Garland\*
17. Town of Gorham\*
18. City of Hallowell
19. Town of Harpswell
20. Town of Hartford\*
21. Town of Long Island\*
22. Town of Mariaville\*
23. Towns of Mount Desert & Tremont
24. Towns of North Haven\* & Vinalhaven
25. Town of Northport\*
26. Town of Norway
27. Town of Ogunquit\*
28. Town of Old Orchard Beach\*
29. Town of Otisfield
30. Penobscot Nation\*
31. Town of Phillips\*
32. Town of Phippsburg\*
33. City of Portland
34. Town of Rangeley\*
35. City of Rockland
36. Town of Sedgwick\*
37. Town of Skowhegan\*
38. City of South Portland
39. Town of South Thomaston\*
40. Town of Southwest Harbor\*
41. Town of Standish\*
42. Town of Stonington\*
43. Town of Sumner\*
44. Town of Topsham
45. Town of Vassalboro\*
46. Town of Westport Island
47. Town of Wilton\*
48. Windowdressers - Passamaquoddy Indian Township\* & Pleasant Point
49. Town of York\*

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under
this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk
above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores
received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract.
As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a stylized 'H' followed by a 'P' and a horizontal line extending to the right.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).





**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Sarah Carter  
Town of Norway  
243 Back Street  
Norway, Maine 04268

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Carter,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Mandy Cummings  
Town of Ogunquit  
P.O. Box 875  
Ogunquit, ME 03907

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Cummings,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of the letters 'H' and 'P' followed by a horizontal line.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Mike Foster  
Town of Old Orchard Beach  
1 Portland Avenue  
Old Orchard Beach, ME 04064

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Foster,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Megan Hess  
Town of Orono  
59 Main Street  
Orono, ME 04473

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Hess,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Julie Ward  
Town of Otisfield  
403 State Route 121  
Otisfield, ME 04270

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Ward,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Allen Kratz  
Town of Penobscot  
75 Lane Road, Suite 403  
Fairfield, NJ 07004

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Kratz,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland                         |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*                        |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*                       |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland                   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*                 |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*                |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*                        |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*                      |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*                          |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham                          |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*                      |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island                  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*                          |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | Township* & Pleasant Point                   |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     | 49. Town of York*                            |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written



contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Gary Fearon  
Penobscot Nation  
12 Wabanaki Way  
Indian Island, ME 04468

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Fearon,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Maureen Haley  
Town of Phillips  
124 Main Street  
Phillips, Maine 04966

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Haley,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland                         |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*                        |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*                       |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland                   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*                 |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*                |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*                        |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*                      |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*                          |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham                          |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*                      |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island                  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*                          |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | Township* & Pleasant Point                   |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     | 49. Town of York*                            |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).





**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Rose McLellan  
Town of Phippsburg  
1042 Main Road  
Phippsburg, Maine 04562

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. McLellan,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Matthew Garside  
Town of Poland  
1231 Maine Street  
Poland, ME 04274

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Garside,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland                         |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*                        |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*                       |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland                   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*                 |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*                |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*                        |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*                      |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*                          |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham                          |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*                      |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island                  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*                          |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | Township* & Pleasant Point                   |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     | 49. Town of York*                            |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' followed by a horizontal line.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Troy Moon  
City of Portland  
389 Congress Street  
Portland, Maine 04101

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Moon,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a stylized 'H' followed by a 'P' and a horizontal line extending to the right.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Joe Roach  
Town of Rangeley  
15 School Street  
Rangeley, ME 04970

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Roach,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland                         |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*                        |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*                       |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland                   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*                 |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*                |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*                        |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*                      |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*                          |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham                          |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*                      |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island                  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*                          |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | Township* & Pleasant Point                   |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     | 49. Town of York*                            |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Jenny Carter  
City of Rockland  
270 Pleasant Street  
Rockland, ME 04841

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Carter,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland                         |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*                        |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*                       |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland                   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*                 |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*                |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*                        |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*                      |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*                          |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham                          |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*                      |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island                  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*                          |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | Township* & Pleasant Point                   |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     | 49. Town of York*                            |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a stylized 'H' followed by a 'P' and a horizontal line extending to the right.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

William Bow  
Town of Rockport  
101 Main St  
Rockport, ME 04856

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Bow,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written



contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Jesse Minor  
Town of Sedgwick  
139 Harborside Road  
Brooksville, Maine. 04617

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Minor,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Joel Greenwood  
Town of Skowhegan  
225 Water Street  
Skowhegan, ME 04976

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Greenwood,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland                         |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*                        |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*                       |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland                   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*                 |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*                |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*                        |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*                      |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*                          |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham                          |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*                      |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island                  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*                          |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | Township* & Pleasant Point                   |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     | 49. Town of York*                            |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).





**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Elaine Aloes  
Town of Solon  
121 South Main Street  
Solon, ME 04979

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Aloes,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Cashel Stewart  
City of South Portland  
496 Ocean Street  
South Portland, ME 04106

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Stewart,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' followed by a horizontal line.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Terri Baines  
Town of South Thomaston  
PO Box 147  
South Thomaston, Maine 04858

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Baines,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a stylized 'H' followed by a 'P' and a horizontal line extending to the right.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Marilyn Lowell  
Town of Southwest Harbor  
PO Box 745  
Southwest Harbor, ME 04679

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Lowell,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a stylized 'H' followed by a 'P' and a horizontal line extending to the right.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Zach Mosher  
Town of Standish  
175 Northeast Rd  
Standish ME, 04084

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Mosher,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland                         |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*                        |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*                       |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland                   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*                 |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*                |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*                        |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*                      |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*                          |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham                          |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*                      |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island                  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*                          |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | Township* & Pleasant Point                   |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     | 49. Town of York*                            |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a stylized 'H' followed by a 'P' and a horizontal line extending to the right.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Linda Nelson  
Town of Stonington  
P.O. Box 9  
Stonington, ME 04681

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Nelson,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written



contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Sherry Sullivan  
Town of Sumner  
633 Main Street  
Sumner, ME 04292

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Sullivan,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland                         |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*                        |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*                       |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland                   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*                 |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*                |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*                        |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*                      |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*                          |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham                          |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*                      |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island                  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*                          |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | Township* & Pleasant Point                   |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     | 49. Town of York*                            |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Derek Scrapchansky  
Town of Topsham  
100 Main Street  
Topsham, ME 04086

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Scrapchansky,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland                         |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*                        |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*                       |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland                   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*                 |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*                |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*                        |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*                      |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*                          |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham                          |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*                      |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island                  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*                          |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | Township* & Pleasant Point                   |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     | 49. Town of York*                            |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' followed by a horizontal line.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).





**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Aaron Miller  
Town of Vassalboro  
PO Box 129  
N. Vassalboro, ME 04962

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Miller,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland                         |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*                        |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*                       |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland                   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*                 |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*                |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*                        |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*                      |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*                          |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham                          |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*                      |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island                  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*                          |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | Township* & Pleasant Point                   |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     | 49. Town of York*                            |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



STATE OF MAINE
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE

Janet T. Mills
Governor

Hannah Pingree
Director

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

September 13, 2023

Maxwell Johnstone
Town of Waldoboro
PO Box J
Waldoboro, ME, 04572

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Johnstone,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's
Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action
Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in
the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Town of Abbot\*
2. City of Bath
3. Town of Berwick\*
4. Town of Bowdoinham
5. Town of Bridgton
6. Town of Camden
7. Town of Casco\*
8. Town of Cranberry Isles\*
9. Town of Danforth\*
10. Town of Eliot\*
11. City of Ellsworth\*
12. Towns of Enfield & Howland\*
13. Town of Eustis\*
14. Town of Freedom\*
15. Town of Fryeburg\*
16. Town of Garland\*
17. Town of Gorham\*
18. City of Hallowell
19. Town of Harpswell
20. Town of Hartford\*
21. Town of Long Island\*
22. Town of Mariaville\*
23. Towns of Mount Desert & Tremont
24. Towns of North Haven\* & Vinalhaven
25. Town of Northport\*
26. Town of Norway
27. Town of Ogunquit\*
28. Town of Old Orchard Beach\*
29. Town of Otisfield
30. Penobscot Nation\*
31. Town of Phillips\*
32. Town of Phippsburg\*
33. City of Portland
34. Town of Rangeley\*
35. City of Rockland
36. Town of Sedgwick\*
37. Town of Skowhegan\*
38. City of South Portland
39. Town of South Thomaston\*
40. Town of Southwest Harbor\*
41. Town of Standish\*
42. Town of Stonington\*
43. Town of Sumner\*
44. Town of Topsham
45. Town of Vassalboro\*
46. Town of Westport Island
47. Town of Wilton\*
48. Windowdressers - Passamaquoddy Indian Township\* & Pleasant Point
49. Town of York\*

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under
this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk
above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores
received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract.
As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Randy Lessard  
Town of Waterford  
630 Valley Road  
Waterford, ME 04088

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Lessard,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future



## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Robyn Saunders  
City of Westbrook  
371 Saco Street  
Westbrook ME 04092

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Saunders,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland                         |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*                        |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*                       |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland                   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*                 |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*                |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*                        |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*                      |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*                          |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham                          |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*                      |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island                  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*                          |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | Township* & Pleasant Point                   |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     | 49. Town of York*                            |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Richard Tucker  
Town of Westport Island  
6 Fowles Point Road  
Westport Island, Maine 04578

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Tucker,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland                         |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*                        |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*                       |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland                   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*                 |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*                |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*                        |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*                      |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*                          |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham                          |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*                      |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island                  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*                          |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | Township* & Pleasant Point                   |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     | 49. Town of York*                            |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' followed by a horizontal line.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Cindy Dunham  
Town of Wilton  
158 Weld Road  
Wilton, Maine 04294

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Dunham,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland                         |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*                        |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*                       |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland                   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*                 |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*                |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*                        |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*                      |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*                          |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham                          |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*                      |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island                  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*                          |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | Township* & Pleasant Point                   |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     | 49. Town of York*                            |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written



contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' followed by a horizontal line.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



STATE OF MAINE
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE

Janet T. Mills
Governor

Hannah Pingree
Director

CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER

September 13, 2023

Sharon Klein
WindowDressers - Passamaquoddy Indian Township & Pleasant Point
5782 Winslow Hall, Room 206
Orono, ME 04469

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership
Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Klein,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's
Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action
Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in
the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- 1. Town of Abbot\*
2. City of Bath
3. Town of Berwick\*
4. Town of Bowdoinham
5. Town of Bridgton
6. Town of Camden
7. Town of Casco\*
8. Town of Cranberry Isles\*
9. Town of Danforth\*
10. Town of Eliot\*
11. City of Ellsworth\*
12. Towns of Enfield & Howland\*
13. Town of Eustis\*
14. Town of Freedom\*
15. Town of Fryeburg\*
16. Town of Garland\*
17. Town of Gorham\*
18. City of Hallowell
19. Town of Harpswell
20. Town of Hartford\*
21. Town of Long Island\*
22. Town of Mariaville\*
23. Towns of Mount Desert & Tremont
24. Towns of North Haven\* & Vinalhaven
25. Town of Northport\*
26. Town of Norway
27. Town of Ogunquit\*
28. Town of Old Orchard Beach\*
29. Town of Otisfield
30. Penobscot Nation\*
31. Town of Phillips\*
32. Town of Phippsburg\*
33. City of Portland
34. Town of Rangeley\*
35. City of Rockland
36. Town of Sedgwick\*
37. Town of Skowhegan\*
38. City of South Portland
39. Town of South Thomaston\*
40. Town of Southwest Harbor\*
41. Town of Standish\*
42. Town of Stonington\*
43. Town of Sumner\*
44. Town of Topsham
45. Town of Vassalboro\*
46. Town of Westport Island
47. Town of Wilton\*
48. Windowdressers - Passamaquoddy Indian Township\* & Pleasant Point
49. Town of York\*

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under
this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk
above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores
received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract.
As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).



**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

Meddy Smith  
Town of Yarmouth  
200 Main Street  
Yarmouth, ME 04096

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Ms. Smith,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland   |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*  |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*   |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*   |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*  |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*  |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*  |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*  |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham  |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*  |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*  |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian<br>Township* & Pleasant Point |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | 49. Town of York*  |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     |  |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).





**STATE OF MAINE  
GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF POLICY  
INNOVATION AND THE FUTURE**

**Janet T. Mills  
Governor**

**Hannah Pingree  
Director**

**CONDITIONAL AWARD NOTIFICATION LETTER**

September 13, 2023

DeCarlo Brown  
Town of York  
186 York St  
York, Maine 03909

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Conditional Awards under RFA #202305100 Community Resilience Partnership  
Community Action Grant

Dear Mr. Brown,

This letter is in regard to the Request for Applications (RFA) issued by the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future for the Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional awards to the following applicants:

- |                                 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 1. Town of Abbot*               | 19. Town of Harpswell                     | 35. City of Rockland                         |
| 2. City of Bath                 | 20. Town of Hartford*                     | 36. Town of Sedgwick*                        |
| 3. Town of Berwick*             | 21. Town of Long Island*                  | 37. Town of Skowhegan*                       |
| 4. Town of Bowdoinham           | 22. Town of Mariaville*                   | 38. City of South Portland                   |
| 5. Town of Bridgton             | 23. Towns of Mount Desert &<br>Tremont    | 39. Town of South Thomaston*                 |
| 6. Town of Camden               | 24. Towns of North Haven* &<br>Vinalhaven | 40. Town of Southwest Harbor*                |
| 7. Town of Casco*               | 25. Town of Northport*                    | 41. Town of Standish*                        |
| 8. Town of Cranberry Isles*     | 26. Town of Norway                        | 42. Town of Stonington*                      |
| 9. Town of Danforth*            | 27. Town of Ogunquit*                     | 43. Town of Sumner*                          |
| 10. Town of Eliot*              | 28. Town of Old Orchard Beach*            | 44. Town of Topsham                          |
| 11. City of Ellsworth*          | 29. Town of Otisfield                     | 45. Town of Vassalboro*                      |
| 12. Towns of Enfield & Howland* | 30. Penobscot Nation*                     | 46. Town of Westport Island                  |
| 13. Town of Eustis*             | 31. Town of Phillips*                     | 47. Town of Wilton*                          |
| 14. Town of Freedom*            | 32. Town of Phippsburg*                   | 48. Windowdressers -<br>Passamaquoddy Indian |
| 15. Town of Fryeburg*           | 33. City of Portland                      | Township* & Pleasant Point                   |
| 16. Town of Garland*            | 34. Town of Rangeley*                     | 49. Town of York*                            |
| 17. Town of Gorham*             |   |  |
| 18. City of Hallowell           |   |  |

The Department prioritized awards to those applicants who have not previously received funding under this grant program. Awards will be made starting with first-time applicants (indicated with an asterisk above) that score highest and awards being made to subsequent applicants in order of the scores received. The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon to negotiate a contract. As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written

contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful vendor. The vendor shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'H P.' with a horizontal line extending from the end of the 'P'.

Hannah Pingree  
Director, Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

## **STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS**

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Abbot  
**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                  | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                  |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)  | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points) | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points) | <b>44</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points) | <b>19</b>          |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   |                  | <b>83</b>          |
|   |                  |                    |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Abbot  
**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: n/a

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) No

\*\*\*\*\*

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Abbot

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5**

**Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Abbot

**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Abbot Town Office Heat Pump and LED Indoor and Outdoor Lighting
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B2, B4, and B5.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Abbot

**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**

Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   44**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Task 1 Heat pump installation – vendor already selected
  - Task 2 LED lighting upgrades – vendor already selected
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes.
  - Procured estimates from Efficiency Maine contractors.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Small town with aging residents on fixed income
  - 47% are LMI
  - Town Office is also an extreme weather shelter and a priority for the community

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected – described enrollment workshop but no future engagement plans.
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat – would benefit from a description of the emergency shelter plan, including vulnerable residents and how they will be communicated with.

Project duration: 12 months

- Project timeline is included.



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Abbot

**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   19**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$18,134
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - No, third column doesn't include in-kind costs
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, Efficiency Maine funding
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - In-kind costs
  - Vendor estimate provided that includes incentives

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Bath  
**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                  | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                  |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)  | <b>3</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points) | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points) | <b>55</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points) | <b>20</b>          |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   |                  | <b>93</b>          |
|   |                  |                    |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Bath  
**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:** \_\_Pass\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - n/a

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:** \_\_Pass\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : Yes

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Bath

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   3**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Bath

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: City of Bath Parks & Recreation Department – Parks & Recreation Fleet Electrification – utility vehicle and equipment purchase
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with A1.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Bath

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   55**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Scope**

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Reasonable
  - Roles and responsibilities are assigned
    - Parks and Rec director to oversee Task 1
    - Sustainability Director would oversee Task 2-4
  - Task 1: Solicitation and purchase of equipment
  - Task 2: Baseline data development
  - Task 3: Usage monitoring
  - Task 4: Report detailing usage and impacts
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Aligns with Bath Climate Action Plan priorities – decrease municipal fleet emissions
  - Currently developing parking area EV charging policy
  - Applying for federal NEVI funding for fast chargers
  - These vehicles will replace a planned purchase of an internal combustion vehicle

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Well-designed
  - Both vehicles will be visible to the public
  - Emissions reduction data will be shared publicly
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimal – vulnerable/disadvantaged groups not identified or engaged.

**Project duration: 12 months**

- Basic timeline provided.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Bath

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   20**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$15,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Budget narrative doesn't include costs
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - EMT rebates are not available for this type of vehicle
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Included vendor estimates
  - Bath is offering \$49,306 in cash match to purchase the vehicles

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Belfast  
**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                  | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                  |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)  | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points) | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points) | <b>24</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points) | <b>5</b>           |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   |                  | <b>49</b>          |
|   |                  |                    |



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Belfast  
**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - City of Belfast
  - City of Belfast Climate, Energy, and Utilities Committee

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Belfast

**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Belfast

**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Assessments for, and installations of, heat pump systems and/or variable refrigerant flow systems for the heating and cooling of City of Belfast buildings, and upgrading building electrical subpanels.
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B4.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Belfast

**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   24**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Scope**

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Partially described
  - Task 1 Conduct assessment of buildings
    - City hall, boathouse, and former Waldo County Courthouse which is “likely” to be acquired during grant period but presently not owned by the City.
  - Task 2 Purchase and install heat pumps or VRF
    - “in one, two, or all three buildings depending on suitability and available funding”
    - Lacks specificity needed to fund this project, need to know which buildings and have cost estimates
  - Task 3 Upgrade building electrical panels
    - Cannot fund unspecified “if necessary” costs
  - Would benefit from identification of a project coordinator.
  - Would benefit from additional task to review and approve building assessments and cost.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Heat pumps are likely to reduce City’s cost and emissions.
  - Would have been beneficial if the assessment was conducted prior to the application.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned – City currently has more net energy billing credits from their PPA than are being consumed.
  - Heat pumps will replace oil heat.
  - Project has been determined to be a priority at a variety of public meetings.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected - extensive community engagement during enrollment process to determine community priority actions.
  - No additional engagement or education planned during project process.
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimally – vulnerable populations are not identified or addressed within proposal.
  - Applicant states that reducing city’s energy costs would reduce the tax revenues needed to be raised, which they consider an equitable distribution
    - More detail needed on how equitable distribution of benefits would occur.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Belfast

**DATE:** 7/31/23

Project duration: 24 months

- Project timeline provided
  - Per timeline, it appears that the project duration could be 12 months.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Belfast

**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
- Other notes
  - Review team cannot assess two separate budgets.
  - Application includes two separate budgets, one for the heat pump only system and one for a VRF only system, depending on the outcome of the initial building assessment.
  - Applicant needs to choose a system design and receive cost estimates before this can be eligible for grant funding
  - Waldo County Courthouse will need to be owned by the City before being eligible for grant funds.
  - There may be a federal incentive for electrical subpanel upgrades. Cost estimate for panel upgrades potentially too low.
  - Budget narrative notes they have previously obtained estimates which costs are based off of— may ask for estimates to be shared with application.
  - Budget is based on loose estimates rather than vendor quotes.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Berwick  
**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                  | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                  |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)  | <b>3</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points) | <b>14</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points) | <b>57</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points) | <b>20</b>          |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   |                  | <b>94</b>          |
|   |                  |                    |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Berwick

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Piscataqua Region Estuaries Partnership
  - Maine Farmland Trust
  - Great Works Regional Land Trust
  - House of Hope
  - Table of Plenty
  - Bryan English, American Legion
  - Colleen Griffin, Registered Horticultural Therapist
  - Deb Anthony, resident
  - Sharon Kelly, Director, Berwick Public Library

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Berwick

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   3**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Berwick

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   14**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Town of Berwick Open Space Planning and Community Garden
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with D1, E4, E5, E6, and E10.
  - Would benefit from stronger commitments to meet climate goals in open space plan.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Berwick

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   57**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Two separate projects and the Town feels that the community garden is important to build public support of stewardship of open spaces.
  - Project tasks, deliverables, roles and responsibilities were all clearly defined.
  - Project scope is comprehensive and shows expertise and knowledge of process.

Berwick Open Space Plan

- Task 1: Open Space Project Administration
  - SMPDC
- Task 2: Open Space Plan - SMPDC
  - 2.1 Inventory and Analysis
  - 2.2 Public Engagement
  - 2.3 Plan drafting and review
  - 2.4 Plan Revision and adoption
- Task 3: Incorporation into Comprehensive Planning Process – Town Manager/SMPDC
  - 3.1 Integration of public engagement for Open Space Plan and the Comprehensive Plan's Future Land Use Plan
  - 3.2 Incorporate Open Space Plan into Comprehensive Plan
  - 3.3 Incorporate Open Space Plan into Comp Plan Goals Policies and Actions
- Task 4: Ordinance Review and Revision Suggestions - SMPDC
  - 4.1 Review current ordinance language
  - 4.2 Draft ordinance revisions
  - 4.3 Assist with ordinance revision adoption

Berwick Community Garden

- Task 5: Community Garden Project Management – Envision Berwick
  - Task 6: Site assessment and Preparation
  - Task 7: Infrastructure Development
  - Task 8: Garden Layout and Bed Construction
  - Task 9: Amenities and Facilities
  - Task 10: Community Garden Operations for 1<sup>st</sup> Growing Season
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
    - Likely to achieve outcome
    - Experienced partners – SMPDC, Envision Berwick
    - Operational plan for community garden in place once project is complete.

Need

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Berwick

**DATE:** 8/7/23

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Somewhat aligned
  - Would benefit from inclusion of climate-related factors and goals in the open space plan and climate-related education in the garden project.
  - Neighboring Towns as updating their open space plans in the near future.
  - Open Space Plan identified as community priority for the resilience of the farming community, increasing greenspace, and protecting open space for agriculture and conservation
    - Will guide usage of Open Space impact fees
    - Timing is right to incorporate it into the comprehensive planning process that is underway
  - Berwick Community Garden – local food production identified as a community priority
    - Survey results show community support and desired engagement with the garden
    - “Sharing the Harvest” program will provide produce to vulnerable community members throughout the growing season

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed
  - Open Space Planning –
    - Focus on equity considerations
    - Plan to develop a public engagement strategy as part of the project process that will aim to include a diverse group of residents.
  - Community Garden –
    - DEIA are a focus for this project
    - Partnership with high school
    - Plan to host educational events
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes and well-designed
  - Open space plan includes several specific steps for public engagement
  - Community garden includes specific steps to be accessible, inclusive and benefit disadvantaged groups.
  - Garden would benefit those that directly access the garden and those that don’t

Project duration: 24 months

- Detailed timeline provided.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Berwick

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   20**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - \$41,155 in-kind service by Garden Steward

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Bowdoinham  
**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>52</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>17</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>89</b>          |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Bowdoinham

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:** \_\_Pass\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - City of Bath
  - Kennebec Estuary Land Trust
  - Peter Slovinsky, DACF
  - The Nature Conservancy
  - Bowdoinham Community Development Initiative
  - Bowdoinham Comprehensive Planning Committee
  - Bowdoinham Community Development Advisory Committee
  - Bowdoinham Farmer’s Market
  - Age-Friendly Bowdoinham

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:** \_\_Pass\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Bowdoinham

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Bowdoinham

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Cathance Meadows Waterfront Public Access, Restoration, and Living Shoreline Project
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with E1, E5, E9, and E10.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Bowdoinham

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**

Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   52**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Scope**

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Project tasks and deliverables were clear and defined.
  - Roles and responsibilities are assigned.
  - Task 3 is the only task funded by this grant
  - Aiming for the project to be completed in 12 months but expect that there may be delays
  - Task 1: Construction documents and administration
  - Task 2: Write and release RFQ, interview and enter into an agreement with Construction Contractor
  - Task 3: Installation of shoreline stabilization treatments
  - Task 4: Site work, trails and landscaping
    - Installation of shoreline trail amenities – educational/interpretive signage, benches, tables, and bike rack
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve
  - Plan to hire professional engineer and construction contractor
  - Have consulted with various local and state agencies to develop project proposal

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned but would benefit from discussion on how sea level rise has been considered in site and shoreline stabilization designs
  - Part of a larger Waterfront Plan project that was approved in 2014 comprehensive plan update
  - Received a grant from the Mid Coast Public Health Council in partnership with Maine CDC to increase the use of the waterfront park area
  - Developed Waterfront Master Site Plan utilizing Coastal Community Grant
    - Approved in 2019
  - Design work was funded by a fall 2020 Shore and Harbor Planning Grant through the Maine Coastal Program
  - Unsuccessful proposal to fund work using NFWF grant
  - Awarded two grants in 2021 from the Maine Boating Facilities Fund and the Maine DOT Small Harbor Improvement Program (SHIP) to begin Phase I of the project
  - Applied for LWCF federal grant – a decision is expected in September 2023
    - CAG funds will allow project to continue and avoid permit expiration
  - Over 70% of the proposed waterfront park is located within a FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area.
  - Active and ongoing erosion leading to tree loss

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Bowdoinham

**DATE:** 8/24/23

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
  - Project development has included community surveys, design charettes, public hearings, selectboard hearings, and Town meetings
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes and well-designed
  - Identified seniors, children and those with limited mobility as most vulnerable groups.
  - ADA approved sidewalks lead to the site
  - Employed Outdoor Accessibility Specialist to assess accessibility to trail system
  - Generated universal design guide for wayfinding signage

Project duration: 24 months

- Timeline provided

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Bowdoinham

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   17**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Leveraging
    - \$537,501 potential LWCF funds
    - \$10,000 Project Canopy funds
    - \$477,501 Town match
  - Would benefit from more information on how task estimates were determined.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Bridgton  
**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>53</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>20</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>93</b>          |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Bridgton  
**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Bridgton Public Services Director
  - Loon Echo Land Trust
  - 3 Residents on Mountain Road

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : Yes

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Bridgton

**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Bridgton

**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score: 15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Mountain Road Stormwater Management Evaluation
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with G1 and G3.



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Bridgton

**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**

Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   53**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and Reasonable
  - Would benefit from more detail on Town Staff roles/responsibilities for each task.
  - Task 1: Mapping and inventory including digitalization of existing maps, conducting drain surveys, potentially Closed Camera Television inspection, and GIS mapping/creation of the database.
  - Task 2: Creating a 2D/1D model of existing condition to project climate change scenarios and formulate target levels of service and drainage improvement options
  - Task 3: Prioritize improvements with cost estimating and capital investment planning
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve
  - Experienced consultant – Woodard & Curran provided detailed scope of work

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Already experiencing drainage and erosion issues – leading to increased sediment in Moose Pond
  - Access point to key economic driver – Pleasant Mountain Ski Area and hiking trails
  - Want to plan for increased frequency/intensity of storms through climate change scenario modeling and use of NOAA, NRCC, and IPCC forecasting.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
  - Determined as a priority during CRP enrollment process and the development of the Open Space Plan
  - No engagement planned as part of this project.
  - Missed opportunity for residents to provide input and learn from the report.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat
  - Identified Mountain Road residents and ski area users as most vulnerable audience.
  - No outreach/engagement planned to these groups as part of this project.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Bridgton

**DATE:** 8/25/23

Project duration: 12 months

- Basic timeline provided.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Bridgton

**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   20**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Consultant's scope/estimate provided

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Brooklin  
**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                  | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                  |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)  | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points) | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points) | <b>33</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points) | <b>20</b>          |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   |                  | <b>73</b>          |
|   |                  |                    |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Brooklin

**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Sen. Nicole Grohoski
  - Rep. Holly Eaton
  - Brooklin Climate Response Committee
  - Island Institute
  - Hancock County Planning Commission
  - Elizabeth and Tim Parson, Neighbor-to-Neighbor

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Brooklin

**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Brooklin

**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Brooklin Transition to Energy Efficiency and Climate Resilience
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B1, C2, F13, H2, H4, H5, H6, and H7.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Brooklin

**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   33**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Scope**

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Partially described
  - Will fund town’s participation in Island Fellow program for two years
  - Task 1 Island Fellow host community for two years
    - Run Neighbor to Neighbor program to help residents and businesses identify weatherization and energy efficiency “opportunities”
      - What specifically does this entail?
      - Secure ongoing funding to make program sustainable
  - Task 2 Hire energy efficiency expert (former Island Fellow) to assist Selectboard and Climate Response Committee in mentoring Island Fellow during year 1
  - Application sketches a potentially fundable scope of work, but much more detail is necessary on what the fellow’s work will be, what specific outcomes on what timelines (e.g., fellow will engage # residents in N-to-N program by X date; will hold X number webinars, info sessions, etc. for residents; will host a WindowDressers build by X date; will raise X dollars by Y date needed to make the N-to-N program sustainable)
  - What is Island Institute’s role in mentoring? There is energy and leadership expertise in the organization. What are the specific roles and tasks for the mentor?
  - It is unclear until the budget narrative that the person who will supervise the Island Fellow is also the “energy efficiency expert” who will be hired. This person doesn’t have any described tasks and deliverables beyond mentoring and supervising the Island Fellow.
  - Need a task list/job description for Island Fellow position.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Unable to determine – outcomes would benefit from greater specificity and a list of tasks to be performed by a fellow to reach those outcomes.
  - Blends several successful and well-organized programs – Island Fellows and WindowDressers

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Concept is well-aligned but needs more development.
  - Fellow would help support growth and sustainability of the program.
  - Committee members are conducting home energy visits, need additional capacity to continue volume of work.

**Engagement and equity**



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Brooklin

**DATE:** 8/1/23

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
  - Didn't mention how this program would most benefit from this funding; would benefit to better understand community goal for this program.
  - Application places community engagement at the heart of the project but requires more detail.
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Well-aligned but underdeveloped.
  - Identify community groups but didn't identify how they will be engaged or what resources they would connect them to.

Project duration: 24 months

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Brooklin

**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   20**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$40,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - In order to fund each staff position proposal needs detailed list of tasks, deliverables and outcomes that each staff person would complete by the end of the grant period.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Bruswick  
**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                  | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                  |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)  | <b>3</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points) | <b>13</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points) | <b>45</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points) | <b>12</b>          |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   |                  | <b>73</b>          |
|   |                  |                    |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Bruswick

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Friends of Casco Bay
  - Casco Bay Estuary Partnership
  - Maine DEP

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Bruswick

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   3**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Bruswick

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   13**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Maquoit Bay Watershed Non-Point Source Study
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with D1, E3, and F13. Somewhat aligned with E2, E6, E8, H1, H2, H4, and H5.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Bruswick

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   45**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Scope**

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Would benefit from identifying specific Town Staff that will be supporting the project and roles/responsibilities of partners:
    - Maquoit Bay Water Quality Task Force
    - Casco Bay Estuary Partnership
    - Friends of Casco Bay
    - Maine DEP
    - Cumberland County Soil and Water Conservation District
  - Task 1: Development of Water Quality Sampling and Analysis Plan, and Data Quality Plan
  - Task 2: Baseline Sampling of Maquoit Bay and Maquoit Bay Watershed
  - Task 3: Bacteria and Nitrogen Model Development
  - Task 4: Community Engagement, Education and Outreach
  - Task 5: Action Planning
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve the Town’s outcomes.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Somewhat aligned
  - This is primarily a water quality and non-point pollution study. Implications for climate planning and action are secondary in the scope of work.
  - Maquoit Bay suffered a significant algal bloom in 2022 and this seems to be in response to that

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Well-designed – most engagement is one-directional informing the public.
  - Task force will organize and lead workshop, educational events, and outreach (social media, website, email, newspaper) throughout project.
  - Application would benefit from further detail about community workshops planned for later in the project.
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected)
  - Somewhat
  - Plan to engage with underserved and socially vulnerable members of the community but these groups have not been identified

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Bruswick

**DATE:** 8/24/23

Project duration: 24 months

- Basic timeline provided



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Bruswick

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   12**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Budget narrative doesn't break out costs/deliverables
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Town to contribute 40% match
  - Cost of study is TBD

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Camden  
**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                  | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                  |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)  | <b>3</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points) | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points) | <b>60</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points) | <b>20</b>          |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   |                  | <b>98</b>          |
|   |                  |                    |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Camden  
**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:** \_\_Pass\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Camden Harbor Committee
  - CamdenCAN (Climate Action Now)
  - Camden Conservation Commission

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:** \_\_Pass\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Camden

**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   3**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Camden

**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Camden Public Landing – Sea Level Rise/Storm Surge Resiliency Adaptation Project
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with G1 and G2.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #: 202305100**

**RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant**

**APPLICANT: Camden**

**DATE: 8/25/23**

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   60**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Roles and responsibilities were identified.
    - Planning Director will manage the project, Harbor Master will support
  - Task 1 – Develop Request for Proposals for Project Engineer
  - Task 2 – Outreach and Engagement
    - Public meeting with key stakeholders at project start - commercial fisherman, commercial schooner and day-sailor operators, adjacent property owners, and the broader public
    - 4-6 workshops, talks and educational events about climate change
    - Public meeting to review site plan design and construction documents
  - Task 3 – Develop Site Plan Design and Construction Documents
    - Utilize long range SLR scenarios – plan for 4 feet of SLR
  - Task 4 – Explore Funding Options
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve
  - Builds upon existing work - Camden Public Landing Report, the Wood Report, Maine Geological Survey’s (MGS) Coastal Hazards mapping, FEMA flood mapping, and municipal zoning and floodplain requirements
  - Planning for long range SLR scenarios

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Rising sea levels and the impacts of storm surge pose a significant and substantial threat to the Landing and working waterfront.
  - December 2022 storm caused \$150k in damage
  - The Public Landing is a key economic driver
  - Generate construction documents required to obtain federal funding
  - Leverage \$10,000 Shore and Harbor Grant

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed
  - Planning a series of public meetings, workshops and educational events
  - Events will be hybrid when possible and recorded for later viewing

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Camden

**DATE:** 8/25/23

- Partnering with CamdenCAN (Climate Action Now)
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes and well-designed
  - Identified most vulnerable groups as primary working waterfront users - commercial fisherman, commercial schooner and day-sailor operators, and adjacent property owners
  - Planning specific outreach to these engage these groups

Project duration: 12 months

- Basic timeline provided

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Camden

**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   20**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Anticipate \$10,000 Shore and Harbor Grant
  - \$16,942 in-kind staff and committee time



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Casco  
**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>14</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>50</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>17</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>86</b>          |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Casco  
**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - n/a

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Casco

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Casco

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   14**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Addressing Community Safety Through Complete Streets Policy Update
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with A9.
    - Would benefit from mention of climate or emissions reduction, how will plan lead to reduced VMT and emissions?

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Casco

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   50**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Scope**

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Reasonable - more details on tasks and roles are needed
  - Working with Principle Group and CEBE but no letters of support.
  - Would benefit from more clearly defined roles for Town, consultant and CEBE
  - Appointing Working Group of Town Staff, appointed officials and residents to work with the consultants.
  - Task 1: Onboarding and Discovery
  - Task 2: Public Engagement
    - Establish public engagement plan, host forums, establish vision, build awareness
  - Task 3: Policy development
    - Develop Complete Streets Policy and Vision Zero Policy
  - Task 4: Quick Build Guidebook Development
    - Implementation strategy and custom implementation guidebook
  - Task 5: Policy Adoption
  - Task 6: Implementation
    - Prepare community for implementation project.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve
    - Will include elements from National Complete Streets Coalition and Greater Portland regional Complete Streets policy
  - Have clear plan in place for meetings, presentations, and decision points.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Somewhat aligned with MWW.
    - Would benefit from mention of climate or emissions reduction, how will plan lead to reduced VMT and emissions?
  - Complete Streets and Vision Zero policies are included in the Town’s comprehensive plan
  - Wide, curving streets create unsafe conditions for pedestrians and cyclists
    - Three recent fatalities from high-speed crashes
  - Lack of safe bike/ped infrastructure

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Casco

**DATE:** 8/7/23

- Extensive community outreach completed leading up to the Complete Streets policy development
- Public engagement and education during process – outreach materials, social media, tabling event, video and survey
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat – would benefit from more detailed plan on how to engage vulnerable/disadvantaged groups in project participation.
  - Identified elderly and low-income individuals as key benefactors from these policies.
    - Less reliance on vehicles to access goods/services
  - Plan to address transportation equity and lead by example for other Maine towns

Project duration: 12 months

- Detailed timeline provided.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Casco

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   17**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Cost estimates would benefit from detail on how they were derived.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - What funds are going to the consultant and to CEBE?

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Chelsea  
**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>35</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>14</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>69</b>          |



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Chelsea  
**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - n/a

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Chelsea

**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Chelsea

**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Energy Efficiency in the Town’s Municipal Office
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B4.
  - Minimally aligned with B2, B3 and B5.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Chelsea  
**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   35**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Partially described
  - Identifies tasks, roles, timeline, and specific outcomes
  - Task 1: Install a heat pump system for heating/cooling in the municipal office.
  - Task 2: Install an air filtration system in the municipal office.
  - Task 3: Purchase 5 tablet style computers for town staff
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Somewhat likely to achieve outcomes – would benefit from additional information on roles/responsibilities regarding development of outreach and education materials.
  - Unclear what type of air filtration system the Town is proposing to install.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Task 1 is well-aligned – town office is 100 years old, utilizes oil heat and not energy efficient.
  - Tasks 2 and 3 have less alignment with MWW than task 1.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected – described enrollment workshop but didn’t include other outreach
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimally - vulnerable/disadvantaged groups were not identified or addressed.

Project duration: 12 months

- Detailed project timeline included.

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Chelsea

**DATE:** 8/1/23

Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

**Total Points Available:** 20                      **Score:**   14  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$31,900
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Somewhat – the worksheet needs to be reorganized to show actual funds requested.
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes – narrative would benefit from information on how heat pump estimates were determined.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, Efficiency Maine funding
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Would benefit from a vendor estimate

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Cherryfield  
**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>10</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>35</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>14</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>64</b>          |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Cherryfield

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - The Nature Conservancy
  - Sunrise County Economic Council

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Cherryfield

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Cherryfield

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   10**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

Project title: Cherryfield Riverwalk Shoreline Restoration & Vegetation Management Planning

- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Somewhat-aligned with strategies E and F.
    - Moderately aligned with E1 - Set targets for increasing green space and tree planting to increase shade and water access in public spaces and carbon sequestration; and E5 - Conserve, revegetate and reconnect floodplains and buffers in riparian areas.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Cherryfield

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available:** 60                      **Score:**   35  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Partially described
  - Some information on deliverables, roles, and responsibilities are provided for each task but would benefit from greater detail
    - Who will lead the overall project?
    - Is the contractor already identified to write the management plan? Who is it or how will it be selected?
    - How will volunteer days be coordinated? By whom?
  - Task 1: Vegetation Management Plan
  - Task 2: Removal of Invasive Species
  - Task 3: Native Revegetation
  - Task 4: Installation of park benches
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Somewhat – scope may achieve outcomes during the grant period but ongoing maintenance may be needed after grant ends. Unclear if the vegetation plan will address long-term maintenance and how to pay for it.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Somewhat aligned
    - How will the vegetation plan incorporate sea level rise into the planting design and maintenance?
  - Project will help to restore the resilience of a tidally-influenced zone community and better prepare it for risk of flooding.
  - Removal of invasive species is not on the CRP list of community actions.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
  - Application envisions that community members and landowners will undertake the removal of non-native species, planting and initial care of seedlings and seeds but does not describe how this will be organized or encouraged
  - Mention of funds to support volunteer planting days, but doesn’t describe how they will be used.
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Cherryfield

**DATE:** 8/7/23

- Somewhat
- Identified residents over 65, and civilians with disabilities identified as most vulnerable populations but do not go into detail on how they will be engaged in the project.
- Riverwalk provides opportunity for these groups to engage with the waterfront and community members.

Project duration: 24 months

- Timeline is not specific to the tasks.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Cherryfield

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   14**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes, but budget tasks are not the same as scope of work tasks
  - Narrative would benefit from greater detail of specific costs and information about how the costs were derived. Specifically what activities will contractor provide? How will the \$10,000 for volunteer days be spent?
  - How will town maintain the revegetation after the two year grant period? How will it pay for ongoing maintenance?
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - References vendor estimate but it's not included
  - Would benefit from further details around how \$10,000 will be distributed to support all other proposal tasks.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Cranberry Isles  
**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                  | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                  |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)  | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points) | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points) | <b>57</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points) | <b>20</b>          |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   |                  | <b>97</b>          |
|   |                  |                    |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Cranberry Isles

**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Island Institute
  - A Climate to Thrive
  - Cranberry Isles Community Solar Association
  - Sen. Nicole Grohoski
  - Hancock County Planning Commission
  - Gulf of Maine Research Institute

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Cranberry Isles

**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Cranberry Isles

**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Powering Progress: Granting Municipal Building Energy Resilience and Citizen Engagement to Cranberry Isles
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - This was well-aligned with C7 and H2.



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Cranberry Isles

**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   57**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1.1 Solar + Battery installation
    - Managed by town manager
    - Sun Dog Solar selected as vendor
  - Task 1.2 Town office open house
    - Tour installation, learn about energy transition, update from Resilience Committee
    - Town mgr, Resilience Committee, ACTT, GMRI, HCPC
  - Task 2.1 Community Resilience Committee Recruitment and Development Support
    - Led by Island Institute
  - Task 2.2 Community Resilience Training for Committee and wider community
    - Planning Forward workshop, Resource Workshop, Resilience Planning Workshop
    - Led by Committee with II, ACTT, GMRI
  - Detailed and reasonable, solid proposal, with tasks and deliverables clearly defined
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Strong climate resilience and clean energy outcomes are likely
  - Lays community engagement and education groundwork for future projects
  - Several experience partners engaged

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - There's need for a resilient community hub to provide electricity and emergency communications during extreme weather events
  - Task 1 benefits are cost savings, emergency response, sheltering, and sustainability
  - Task 2 benefits are diverse and inclusive committee with year round and summer resident participation
  - Good job explaining guiding principles identified at community workshop
  - Working to build buy-in and ownership of solutions

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed with open houses, trainings, committee recruitment, and support for members to attend off-island conferences
  - Designed to build community-wide familiarity with clean energy, climate and resilience

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Cranberry Isles

**DATE:** 7/31/23

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Scheduled events at diverse times to accommodate schedules
  - Covering costs to attend state climate events
  - Solar and battery project will provide a layer of resilience to all community members. Would benefit from a plan for how the most vulnerable will be able to utilize the facility in an emergency.
  - Identifies steps to be inclusive and accessible to broad community participation

Project duration: 12 months

- Detailed timeline provided.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Cranberry Isles

**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   20**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$49,340
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Additional NOAA funding for resilience training
  - Make use of federal tax credit
  - In kind support from other partners
  - Included quote from vendor

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Cumberland  
**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>3</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>10</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>35</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>17</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>65</b>          |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Cumberland

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Cumberland Fire Department
  - Prince Memorial Library
  - Chebeague & Cumberland Land Trust
  - Rep. Stephen Moriarty

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Cumberland

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   3**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Cumberland

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   10**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Reduce greenhouse gas emission of the Town of Cumberland by increasing energy efficiency, reducing use of fossil fuels, developing a local food economy, and enhancing sequestration from natural systems.
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with A2, B1, B4, and C7. Somewhat aligned with F14 – weatherization and heating upgrades can prepare a building for emergency response, but more detail is needed on what the emergency plan and strategies are. Somewhat aligned with H4 and H5 – no community engagement plan included in the scope. Minimally aligned with D1 and E1 – no policy development or targets include in the scope.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Cumberland

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   35**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Partially described
  - Would benefit from substantially more detail on project coordination roles, specific weatherization tasks, and timelines
  - Task 1 Fire Station:
    - Install 10 kw rooftop PV solar
    - Enhance weatherization
    - Install 3 Level 2 EV chargers for use by area residents.
  - Task 2 Prince Memorial Library:
    - Unspecified weatherization improvements
    - Install two air source heat pumps
  - Task 3: Establish a 5-acre Community Orchard on town-owned land with volunteer help including students from local schools as indicated by their education program.
    - Grant funds would be used for storage shed, tools and rainwater collection system.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Somewhat
    - Proposal would benefit from more detailed tasks and strategies to improve building weatherization, and establish and maintain the community orchard.
    - Unclear who will be managing these multiple efforts.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Recently adopted a climate action plan and will implement several policies and actions.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Somewhat
  - Described community engagement during the climate action plan but would benefit from more engagement during project
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat
  - Improvement to library will increase ability to serve as a cooling center



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Cumberland

**DATE:** 8/21/23

- Community orchard is open to all community members
  - Once trees mature, harvests can be shared with local food banks and schools.

Project duration: 24 months

- Basic timeline provided

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Cumberland

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   17**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Totals not calculated
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
  - Narrative would benefit from more detail on how costs were derived.
  - Narrative did not include Efficiency Maine incentives
  - Vendor quotes would be helpful to justify costs for Tasks 1 and 2
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes- Efficiency Maine funds
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Danforth  
**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>50</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>18</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>88</b>          |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Danforth

**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Danforth Select Board
  - Washington County Commissioners

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Danforth

**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Danforth

**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Resiliency Planning for Danforth’s Future
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned F1, F2, G1, and G5.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Danforth

**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**

Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available:** 60                      **Score:**   50  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Roles and responsibilities are clearly defined
  - Task 1: Comprehensive Plan Update
    - Include climate resilience planning
    - Identify community vulnerabilities
  - Task 2: Emergency Operations and Hazard Mitigation Plans Update
    - Address identified vulnerabilities
  - Task 3: Culvert Inventory
    - Follow DEP Stream Smart Program assessment protocols
    - Will Danforth adopt steam crossing guidelines or just follow them?
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve desire outcomes.
  - Referencing *Municipal Climate Adaptation Guidance Series: Comprehensive Planning and Stream Smart Guide*

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Road repairs and Downtown Revitalization were identified as high priorities in the community workshops.
  - Storm events have led to failed culverts and roads being washed out.
  - Comprehensive and Emergency Response plans are out of date and do not include climate resilience elements.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Well-designed
    - Online community survey
    - Unspecified alternative access for those without internet
    - Public visioning
    - Outreach campaign
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Danforth

**DATE:** 8/1/23

- Yes - Identified seniors and those living in a state of financial precarity such as employment, housing, transportation, public services, and agriculture and forestry as most vulnerable populations to be addressed in comp plan.
- Work with Food Pantry and senior program to connect with more vulnerable residents.

Project duration: 24 months

- Basic timeline provided



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Danforth

**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   18**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$42,300
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Task 3 is miscalculated – should be \$2300
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes with the exception of Task 3
  - Narrative could be clearer on the source of other funds/in-kind match
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Dixmont  
**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>13</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>40</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>15</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>73</b>          |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Dixmont  
**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - n/a

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Dixmont

**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Dixmont

**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   13**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Dixmont Community Resilience Grant
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with H1, F14, B4, and G14, somewhat aligned with G2.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFA #: 202305100

RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

APPLICANT: Dixmont

DATE: 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   40**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Partially described
  - Need to identify point person at the Town will be managing these projects.
  - Task 1: Community Support Group
    - Create a community stakeholder committee to oversee peer-to-peer volunteer program called Helping Hands.
    - Grant cannot fund supplies.
  - Task 2: Emergency Preparedness
    - 2.1 Develop an extreme temperature emergency plan
      - Lack of detail around how the plan will be created or who will do it
    - 2.2 Upgrade the Gold Crest Riders Snowmobile Club, which serves as the Town's warming/cooling center, with heat pumps to increase resiliency.
    - Town must own building to be eligible for grant funding for heat pumps.
  - Task 3: Infrastructure Improvements
    - Long-range capital improvement plan for stormwater and maintenance of roadbed systems
    - Assess road condition, structure depth, culvert crossing size and locations, roadside ditching and known areas of concern
    - Develop recommended options for repair and improvement for each roadway.
    - Application and engineer's scope of work would both benefit from detail on how climate impacts will be considered in the assessment and recommendations.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve
  - Parts of Tasks 1 and 2 include ineligible uses of funding.
  - Task 3 vendor scope and estimate have been obtained.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Task 3 would benefit from detail on how climate change would be considering in engineering report recommendations.
    - Road infrastructure plan will help Town stretch limited resources for improvements.
  - Town is aware of individuals who already check on vulnerable neighbors and sees benefit to formalizing the program
  - Town is aware of individuals without reliable or adequate heating and cooling in their homes
  - Road deterioration is increasing as climate changes increases frequency of freeze-thaw cycle and flooding, making some roads impassable and a limitation on emergency services

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Dixmont

**DATE:** 8/11/23

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Well-designed
    - Plan to do outreach and education to the community to inform them of available resources and help them prepare homes/property for the impacts of climate change.
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes – identified low-income and elderly individuals as the most vulnerable.
    - These residents would benefit most from the peer-to-peer program and warming/cooling shelter.

Project duration: 24

- Detailed timeline provided.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Dixmont

**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$36,300
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Budget narrative doesn't include emergency plan cost
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, Efficiency Maine funding
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Supplies and heat pumps are not eligible for grant funds
  - Town contributing \$37,000 to support project
  - Vendor estimate for Tasks 2 and 3 included
  - Is \$100/year insurance premium for the entire program or per volunteer?



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Eliot  
**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                  | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                  |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)  | <b>3</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points) | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points) | <b>55</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points) | <b>20</b>          |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   |                  | <b>93</b>          |
|   |                  |                    |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Eliot  
**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Rep. Michele Meyer
  - Michael J. Sullivan, Town Manager
  - Eliot Conservation Commission
  - Eliot Planning Board
  - SMPDC

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Eliot

**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   3**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Eliot

**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Eliot Climate Change Vulnerability and Resilience Assessment
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with F1, G1, H4, and H5.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFA #: 202305100

RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

APPLICANT: Eliot

DATE: 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   55**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and Reasonable
  - Roles and responsibilities were well defined
  - Task 1: Establish and Lead Community Working Group
  - Task 2: Conduction Climate Change Vulnerability and Resilience Assessment and Develop Recommendations
    - Evaluate impacts to the built, social and natural environment, public health, and the economy
    - Scope to be determined by the need of the Town, based on working group input, and availability of data
  - Task 3: Community Engagement
    - Public outreach, community survey, community workshop
  - Task 4: Host a UNH Sustainability Fellow (or another intern) for Project Support
    - Intern will support consultant with gathering and analyzing data, GIS mapping, developing outreach and summary materials, drafting work products, and supporting community engagement
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve desired outcomes.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Vulnerability assessment was identified as a priority during community workshop.
  - Comp plan development in process – vulnerability assessment will be integrated into plan
  - Will identify climate impacts and inform emergency response planning
  - May renovate Town Hall in the future and the vulnerability assessment will inform the design

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Well-designed
  - Proposal states that Consultant will be tasked with developing a robust and equity focused community engagement plan.
  - Anticipated engagement efforts include a community workshop, updates to the Town's website, social media posts, newsletter articles, and a community survey.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Eliot

**DATE:** 8/9/23

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? *(yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected)*
  - Somewhat
  - Sustainability Fellow will support Consultant to identify key vulnerable/disadvantaged groups.
  - Engagement plan will have an equity-focus

Project duration: 24 months

- Detailed timeline provided

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Eliot

**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   20**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - In-kind volunteer/staff time valued at \$7,000 included.
  - Town will apply for grant funds from UNH to reduce the cost of hosting the fellow.
  - If fellow/intern costs are below budget or not needed, town will return unused grant funds to the state – need to update application with this change

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Ellsworth  
**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                  | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                  |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)  | <b>3</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points) | <b>12</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points) | <b>42</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points) | <b>18</b>          |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   |                  | <b>75</b>          |
|   |                  |                    |



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Ellsworth  
**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Resident, Business owner, school board member, Comp Plan Steering Committee member, downtown resident, Ellsworth City Councilor & Owner, business owner
  - Sen. Nicole Grohoski

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Ellsworth

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   3**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Ellsworth

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   12**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Reducing Carbon Emissions and Vehicular Miles Traveled While Increasing Active Transportation in Ellsworth
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)\ul style="list-style-type: none;">- Well-aligned with A5. Limited detail on how this project will align with E1.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Ellsworth

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   42**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Scope**

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Partially described
  - Would benefit from greater detail on tasks
  - Coordinated by City Planner, Highway Foreman, Economic Development Director and City Manager
  - Task 1: Development of an Active Transportation Plan for village center.
    - Identify strategies to reduce carbon emissions on the roadway, such as increasing active transportation facilities, applying road diets and parking reductions, and thus reducing vehicle miles traveled.
    - Look at efficiencies for delivery, freight, and commercial traffic within the study area
    - Correlate with MDOT Village Partnership Initiative Planning Phase
  - Task 2: Transportation plan recommendation implementation and public engagement.
    - Implementation of unspecified recommendations
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Task 1 is likely to be achieved – working with MDOT – but without completed plan feasibility of Task 2 is unclear.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Ellsworth sees high daily vehicular traffic due to being a county hub, commuters and tourists traveling to Acadia and Downeast.
  - City if currently not considered walkable due to missing pedestrian infrastructure and safeguards.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
  - Engaged community in priority setting process for enrollment.
  - Would benefit from more information on how the City plans to continue to engage resident during the process.
  - Proposal states that transportation planning will allow for more community engagement but no additional detail is provided.
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Ellsworth

**DATE:** 8/7/23

- Somewhat
- Identified most vulnerable populations as elderly, disabled, and low income families.
- These populations live or work in the proposed study area.
- Vulnerable groups often do not own vehicles and would benefit from improved pedestrian and bike systems.
- City plans to collect feedback from these groups during the planning project.
- Public engagement strategy not included.

Project duration: 24 months

- Basic timeline provided

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Ellsworth

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   18**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - \$50,000 MDOT VPI Planning Grant
  - \$20,000 City funds
  - Cannot fund Task 2 because actions and costs are not specified.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Enfield-Howland  
**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                  | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                  |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)  | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points) | <b>11</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points) | <b>40</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points) | <b>20</b>          |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   |                  | <b>76</b>          |
|   |                  |                    |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Enfield-Howland

**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Enfield Town Manager
  - Howland Town Manager
  - Eastern Maine Development Corporation

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Enfield-Howland

**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Enfield-Howland

**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score: \_\_11\_\_**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Enfield & Howland: Stormwater/Wastewater Infrastructure Resilience Analysis
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with G3. Limited detail on how infrastructure will be assessed specific to climate hazard vulnerability (G1) or development of a Capital Investment Plan (G2).

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Enfield-Howland

**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available:** 60                      **Score:**   40  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Roles and responsibilities are clearly defined
  - Would benefit from a Task 5 that compiles all of the data gathered into a report with recommendations and next steps.
  - Task 1: GIS Mapping of Existing Infrastructure
    - 1.1 Enfield GIS mapping or wastewater system
    - 1.2 Howland GIS mapping of wastewater system
  - Task 2: Investigation of Existing Infrastructure
    - 2.1 Enfield infiltration & inflow study
    - 2.2 Wastewater systems home inspections
  - Task 3: Improve North Street Stormwater Runoff in Enfield
  - Task 4: Video Surveillance of Wastewater System in Howland
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes of understanding the towns’ wastewater system and identifying sources of I&I
  - This is primarily a data collection project (with the exception of Task 3). The proposal would benefit from discussion or inclusion of next steps in the vulnerability assessment process – how will the towns prioritize projects that are identified by these studies? How will climate change influence the design of system improvements?

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Cause of increased infiltration and inflow may have a strong climate link – increased precipitation
  - Deliverables and outcomes are missing an opportunity to incorporate climate informed solutions
  - Both towns are experiencing flooding of roadways and pump failures
  - Both towns have high percent of population in FEMA floodplain

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
  - Proposal includes regular stakeholder and public meetings, one-on-one conversations, site visits, educational fliers, and newspaper coverage.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Enfield-Howland

**DATE:** 8/9/23

- Would benefit from more detail on when during the project timeline meetings will be held and for what purposes.
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat– no specific engagement planned for vulnerable or disadvantaged community members.
  - Reducing risks of wastewater infrastructure will reduce risk of backup to private properties
  - Project will benefit all users of the wastewater system.

Project duration: 12 months

- Detailed timeline provided.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Enfield-Howland

**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   20**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$125,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - How task costs were generated would benefit from a contractor quote.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Eustis  
**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>45</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>19</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>84</b>          |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Eustis

**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - The Stratton Eustis Food Pantry

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Eustis

**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Eustis

**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Upgrade HVAC system in community building to heat pumps. Upgrade food pantry to energy efficient appliances. Upgrade to LED lighting in the community building.
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B2, B3, and B4.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFA #: 202305100

RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

APPLICANT: Eustis

DATE: 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   45**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Scope**

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1: Heat Pump Installation
  - Task 2: Energy Efficient Appliances for Food Pantry
  - Task 3: Install LED Lighting in Community Building
  - Budget request in scope does not match budget worksheet (scope number may mistakenly omit Task 3)
  - Detailed and reasonable – project tasks and deliverables are clearly defined and explained.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve desired outcomes.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Small, aging community with HHI below state average and high percentage of elderly residents.
  - Food pantry upgrades are necessary to continue to provide that service to residents. Existing appliances are inefficient and unreliable.
  - Heat pumps will offer a cool place for residents to go during hot weather that they otherwise wouldn’t have access to.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
  - No outreach or education is planned.
  - Heat pumps will be visible to users of the building.
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat – identified elderly and low income residents as key demographics.
  - Food pantry upgrade will benefit these groups.
  - Community building can be a cooling center on high heat days.

Project duration: 12 months

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Eustis

**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   19**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$49,949.37
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, incorporated Efficiency Maine rebates for heat pumps.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Included vendor quote for heat pumps
  - Need a vendor quote for Task 3 to confirm that Efficiency Maine LED lighting incentive is incorporated in the estimate.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Fairfield  
**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                  | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                  |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)  | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points) | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points) | <b>32</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points) | <b>20</b>          |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   |                  | <b>72</b>          |
|   |                  |                    |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Fairfield

**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - n/a

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Fairfield

**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Fairfield

**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Fairfield Community Center energy efficiency conversions to become emergency shelter for vulnerable populations.
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B3, no concrete actions related to F13, H3, and H5.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFA #: 202305100

RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

APPLICANT: Fairfield

DATE: 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   32**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1 – Replace existing stove model with modern electric model
  - Detailed and reasonable – task, deliverables, roles/responsibilities are clearly defined.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Installation of the stove may increase energy efficiency
  - Commercial electric ranges are not given EnergyStar ratings so not able to determine if the unit is actually more energy efficient. The manufacturer does make EnergyStar compliant appliances in other categories.
  - Strategy areas cited include identifying and planning to reduce public health threats and amplifying public health advisories, however the project description only lists one task, to replace the existing stove with a modern electric stove.
  - Unclear if education and emergency sheltering outcomes will be realized because application does not include relevant concrete tasks.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Somewhat aligned
  - Median age is 5 years old than state median, median income is less than half the state median, 1/3 of people over 65 are below poverty.
  - Would benefit from more tasks for making the community center ready to be an emergency shelter.
  - Application identified the opportunity to host climate educational events but no plan included.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
  - Resident survey indicated concern around the effects of severe weather, extreme temperatures, and air quality issues on public health, and showed a desire for a community center that can be used for a shelter when needed.
  - Resident survey also showed a desire for more educational events – this project would benefit from an outreach plan to outline potential educational events and ways to engage the community in the updated center.
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Fairfield

**DATE:** 8/1/23

- Does not describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged group will specifically be engaged. Would benefit from an outreach plan to vulnerable populations during extreme weather events and about the emergency shelter.

Project duration: 12 months.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Fairfield

**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   20**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$23,430
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Included vendor cost for stove.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Freedom  
**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>55</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>16</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>91</b>          |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Freedom  
**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Freedom Select Board
  - KVCOG
  - Sebasticook Regional Land Trust
  - Sen. Chip Curry
  - Rep. Scott Wynn Cyrway

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Freedom

**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Freedom

**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score: 15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Planning and Feasibility Studies for Wetland Protection, Open Space and Community Solar in Freedom Village.
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with E1, E6, E10, C7, and D1.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFA #: 202305100

RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

APPLICANT: Freedom

DATE: 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**

Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   55**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable - 14-acre town-owned Cannery Lot in village center contains stream shoreland, wetlands, floodplains, and Public Works Department
  - Detailed project goals provided: 1) climate friendly development and conservation options for lot, and 2) site a renewable energy project on the property. Outcome is a multi-use plan.
  - Task 1 – Existing Site Conditions Assessment and Land Use feasibility (multiuse) plan (consultant #1, led by town committee)
  - Task 2 Community Solar feasibility (consultant #2)
  - Task 3 Community meetings to review findings
  - Task 4 Integrate findings into Comp Plan, Zoning, and conservation plans
  - May inform resiliency aspects of adjacent Town emergency management, development and conservation projects.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned – will address elevated flood risk in more dense village center
  - Median income is below state and county
  - Results of the assessment will help Town improve zoning of wetlands and floodplains.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed
    - Multiple community meetings
    - Field trip to the site
    - Open to community engagement plan being adapted as they complete the work.
    - Would benefit from more detail on outreach efforts to engage the community
    - 2021 community survey showed strong support for resource protection and restoration at the site.
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat – vulnerability related to HHI and rural location
    - Benefit from participation of low income and residents impacted by flooding at community meetings.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Freedom

**DATE:** 7/31/23

Project duration: 12 months

- Detailed project timeline provided.



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Freedom

**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   16**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - No – Total project cost column doesn't align with the narrative.
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - No
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Budget costs were derived from estimates from potential firms.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Freeport  
**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                  | <u>Points<br/>Awarded:</u> |
|---|------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                  |                            |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>                |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>                |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)  | <b>3</b>                   |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points) | <b>15</b>                  |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points) | <b>49</b>                  |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points) | <b>18</b>                  |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   |                  | <b>85</b>                  |
|   |                  |                            |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Freeport  
**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Town Manager
  - Freeport Town Council
  - Freeport Police Department
  - Freeport Public Works
  - passivehausMAINE
  - Freeport Sustainability Advisory Board
  - Mason Morfit, Resident
  - Robert Stevens, Resident
  - John O'Bryan, Resident

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Freeport

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   3**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Freeport

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Municipal Energy Efficiency: Retrofitting Freeport’s Harbormaster’s Cottage
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B1, B2, B7 and B4.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Freeport

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available:** 60                      **Score:**   49  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task roles and outcomes were detailed and reasonable.
  - Task 1: Confirming Bids
    - Retain contractor and necessary subcontractors
  - Task 2: Energy Efficiency Upgrades
    - Replacing doors and windows and weatherizing frames
    - Upgrading roof from original asphalt to tin
      - Not an eligible use of funds
    - Adding insulation to the walls, roof, or floor as required
    - Updating all interior and exterior lighting fixtures to LED
  - Task 3: Heat Pump Installation
  - Task 4: Community Outreach
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve desired outcomes
  - Partnership with passivehaus Maine

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Visible building in an active location
  - Town adopted stretch codes in 2023
  - Project is a demonstration of the stretch codes
  - One of several municipal retrofit projects
  - Building is small, so GHG impact is less significant compared to other municipal buildings

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderate
  - Community engagement is included in Task 4 of the scope of work to educate residents about the energy efficiency upgrades.
    - Educational signage, social media posts, municipal cable channel, Town bulletin, and other channels
    - PassivehausMAINE advising on communications
      - Would benefit from more detail on the role of Passivehaus

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Freeport

**DATE:** 8/21/23

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimal – would benefit from more information on the working waterfront and how this will impact that group.

Project duration: 24 months

- Basic timeline provided
- Tasks only span 12 months

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Freeport

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   18**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Task 3 total in narrative has minor calculation error but it doesn't impact overall budget.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes- Efficiency Maine funds
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Would benefit from a cost breakdown for building improvements in Task 2
  - Roof replacement isn't eligible for funding



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Fryeburg  
**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                  | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                  |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)  | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points) | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points) | <b>56</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points) | <b>18</b>          |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   |                  | <b>94</b>          |
|   |                  |                    |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Fryeburg

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:** \_\_Pass\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Upper Saco Valley Land Trust
  - Alicia Beckwith, Fryeburg Middle School Teacher

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:** \_\_Pass\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Fryeburg

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Fryeburg

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score: 15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Fryeburg Town Forest Conservation and Composting Pilot Program
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with E6, E10, H2, and H4.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Fryeburg

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   56**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Well organized and complete scope of work for two separate projects
  - Experienced partners – Upper Saco Valley Land Trust
  - Clearly defined roles and deliverables for both proposed projects.

Fryeburg Town Forest Conservation

- Task 1. Fryeburg Town Forest Conservation project administration
  - The Town will manage all aspects of the Fryeburg Town Forest Conservation project, including keeping track of the project budget and completing and submitting grant reports.
  - Deliverables: Quarterly reports, final CAG report
- Task 2. Survey of Town Forest Parcel
  - The Town will work with the Fryeburg Conservation Committee to hire a contractor to survey the Town Forest parcel to define the boundaries of the conservation easement.
  - Deliverables: Survey documents
- Task 3. Development of Conservation Easement
  - The Town will work with Upper Saco Valley Land Trust to draft a conservation easement for the Town Forest. The Town will contract with an attorney to review and revise the conservation easement.
  - Deliverables: Draft conservation easement
- Task 4. Approval and establishment of Conservation Easement
  - The conservation easement will be voted on at Town Meeting. In establishing the conservation easement, the Town will also provide Upper Saco Valley Land Trust with a one-time fee to support ongoing maintenance and conservation of the property.
  - Deliverables: Town Meeting Results, final conservation easement
- Task 5. Installation and use of Outdoor Learning Center
  - The Town will work with the Fryeburg Conservation Committee to hire a contractor to build the outdoor classroom at the Outdoor Learning Center at the Town Forest.
  - The Fryeburg Conservation Committee will develop and deliver educational activities for youth and adults about the Town Forest and the role it plays in increasing climate resilience in the Town of Fryeburg.
  - The Fryeburg Conservation Committee will support Fryeburg Public School teachers in developing and delivering educational activities for youth about climate resilience. One program already in development is a weeklong forest ecology program that will include on the ground silvicultural work in the Town Forest to aid in carbon capture and sequestration.
  - Deliverables: Outdoor Classroom Pavilion, educational activities for youth and adults at the Outdoor Learning Center

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Fryeburg

**DATE:** 8/7/23

Fryeburg Composting Pilot Program

- Task 1: Compost pilot program administration and development
- Task 2: Compost Training and Testing
- Task 3: Pilot compost program
- Task 4: Pilot evaluation and recommendation

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Complex project but have a solid understand of project components and reasonable timeline to complete work. Likely to achieve.
  - Composting pilot is reasonably sized and Town staff will attend composting school at Maine DEP

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned with MWW.
  - Outdoor learning space will enable outdoor educational opportunities for youth and adults.
  - Composting would reduce solid waste, save money, and reduce emissions.
  - Transfer station doesn't currently compost household food waste.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-defined
  - Projects were determined as priorities during community engagement workshops
  - Outdoor classroom shelter will support further engagement with students and adults
  - Composting pilot program will work directly with 25 households and 5 businesses to educate residents and evaluate program.
  - Plan to work in partnership with several local organizations to share information.
  - Ensure long-term access to the community forest.
  - Town will create materials to communicate about both projects.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat
  - No fee for residents to participate in composting pilot, which will reduce barriers to participation.
  - Would benefit from specific outreach activities to benefit low income or other disadvantaged groups.

Project duration: 24 months

- Detailed project timeline provided.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Fryeburg

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   18**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Task 3 funds requested were incorrect
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Total cost for Town Forest Conservation is incorrect in the narrative
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Would benefit from vendor estimate/more detail on the design and material cost for the shelter
  - In-kind staff time

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Garland  
**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>45</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>19</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>84</b>          |



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Garland  
**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Garland Planning Board

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Garland

**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Garland

**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score: 15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Garland Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Project
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with Strategy B.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Garland

**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**

Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available:** 60                      **Score:**   45  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1: Building weatherization
  - Project is part of a longer term plan to make the building more efficient. Future tasks are identified that are not part of this application.
  - Detailed and reasonable – phased approach makes sense to increase efficiency and comfort and reduce energy costs.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned – energy efficiency improvements will make the Community Building a warming/cooling center for residents.
  - Garland is a small town with a significant portion of elderly residents reliant on social security. Many residents don’t have air conditioning and have difficulty paying for fuel even with heat assistance due to income limitations. The updated community center will provide access to heating and cooling in severe weather events.
  - Building was inspected in 2022 and was provided a list of improvements necessary to make the building a warming/cooling facility. This grant will fund Phase 1 of the improvements

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimally considered – the updated Community Building will better serve residents but an outreach and engagement plan to educate residents on the upgrades is not included in the proposal.
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - They note that once the facility becomes operational, the Select Board will give notice to all Garland residents.
  - Would benefit from additional detail regarding notification/education of residents about the heating/cooling center, particularly during weather events.
  - Making the building a warming/cooling center will benefit the town’s most vulnerable: elderly, disabled individuals, residents with health issues and financially disadvantaged.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Garland

**DATE:** 8/1/23

Project duration: 12 months

- 6 month fabrication time for the windows

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Garland

**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   19**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
  - Unclear who will cover the remaining \$271 balance
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Budget includes a \$4,570 for rotted or damaged wood repair, unused funds would need to be returned

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Gorham  
**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>0</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>50</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>20</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>85</b>          |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Gorham  
**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - GPCOG

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Gorham

**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   0**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Gorham

**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Municipal Center Parking Lot Retrofit
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with F12 and G4.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Gorham

**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   50**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Roles and responsibilities are defined in budget narrative
  - Tasks and deliverables/outcomes are clearly outlined.
  - Has this project already been started?
  - Task 1: Assessment and Design
    - Final design plans for retrofit
  - Task 2: Construction Coordination
    - Source materials and finalize construction schedule
  - Task 3: Construction
    - Complete stormwater retrofits
  - Task 4: Outreach
    - Install educational signage
    - Regular social media updates
  - Task 5: Maintenance Plan
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
  - Identified vendor for the work.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Existing stormwater infrastructure is 70+ years old
    - Needs replacement to avoid damage to buildings/parking infrastructure
  - Parking lot stormwater is currently untreated and run directly into Indian Camp Brook/Stroudwater River – this section of river is listed as “impaired”
  - Planning for increased precipitation due to climate change
  - Important public access point for residents
  - Raise awareness of new LID ordinance (planned for adoption in 2024)

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Basic educational plan
  - Social media outreach throughout the project and education signage at the location will inform the public about LID technology and process.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Gorham

**DATE:** 8/11/23

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimal – parking lot serves library which serves elderly and youth demographics.

Project duration: 24 months

- Timeline provided.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Gorham

**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   20**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Town contributing \$32,396.80 in-kind hours
  - Vendor estimate and design included.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Hallowell  
**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>50</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>20</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>90</b>          |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Hallowell  
**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:** \_\_Pass\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - City of Hallowell Mayor, George Lapointe
  - Hallowell Conservation Commission Chair, Rosemary Presnar

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:** \_\_Pass\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Hallowell

**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Hallowell

**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Improving Energy Efficiency of Hallowell City Hall: Comprehensive Energy Audit and Heat Pump Installation
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B1 and B4.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Hallowell

**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**

Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available:** 60                      **Score:**   50  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Tasks and deliverables were clear and well defined.
  - Roles and responsibilities were assigned.
    - City manager will be project manager for both tasks and coordinate with EMC and the installer.
  - Task 1: Comprehensive Energy Audit – lighting, heat pumps, heating and boiler system, third floor ventilation system, building envelope
  - Task 2: Heat Pump Installation on Third Floor
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes.
  - Completed preliminary audit with EMC which is how audit costs were derived.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Working toward MWW goal of achieving net-zero carbon emissions by 2045
  - Project was determined as a high community priority
  - 3<sup>rd</sup> floor heat pump installation will complete heating electrification of the building.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
  - Extensive community engagement during CRP enrollment process and recent Comprehensive Planning process.
  - No community outreach planned as part of this project.
  - Missed opportunity to educate residents on benefits of energy audit and heat pumps.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat
  - Strive to promote Inclusion, Diversity, Equity and Access (IDEA) in city functions
  - City Hall serves all residents and access is high priority
  - Heat pumps in third floor will make public meetings/events more comfortable
  - Recently made accessibility improvements to the building, including 3<sup>rd</sup> floor space, but it needs more adequate temperature control.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Hallowell

**DATE:** 8/25/23

Project duration: 24 months

- Detailed timeline provided.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Hallowell

**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   20**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes – EMT funds
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - City providing \$3,478.52 cash match
  - EMC provided SOW for energy audit

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Harpswell  
**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                  | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                  |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)  | <b>3</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points) | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points) | <b>55</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points) | <b>18</b>          |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   |                  | <b>91</b>          |
|   |                  |                    |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Harpswell

**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - J. Hays, Mackerel Cove, Abner Point Rd.
  - Wilkins, Homeowner Abner Point Rd.
  - Lamon, Homeowner Abner Point Rd.
  - Harbormaster
  - Dolphin Marine Services
  - Maine Coast Fishermen’s Assn.
  - Harpswell Heritage Land Trust
  - Casco Bay Estuary Partnership
  - Harpswell’s Maine State Delegation

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Harpswell

**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   3**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Harpswell

**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Preliminary Engineering Design of Town Road Improvements to Address Sea Level Rise
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with G1.



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Harpswell

**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available:** 60                      **Score:**   55  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Engineering firm will be leading/managing the project – Town Staff to lead project should be identified
  - Task 1: Hold a kickoff meeting with town staff and residents to review the scope and goals of the project.
  - Task 2: Make site visits to document current conditions. Identify and evaluate the impacts likely caused by sea level rise and storm surge on public and business access, utilities, and emergency services.
  - Task 3: Prepare base plans for each site.
  - Task 4: Participate in a community public forum to review the project and gather input from the public.
  - Task 5: Prepare the conceptual improvement plans to mitigate road vulnerability for at least 1.5 feet of sea level rise/Sea, Lake, Overland Surges from Hurricanes(SLOSH) scenarios. Assess possible strategies including protection, accommodation, avoidance, and/or retreat.
  - Task 6: Provide costs and benefits of each approach and include permitting efforts needed.
  - Task 7: Hold a second public meeting to review the plans and costs and attend a final meeting with the Board of Selectmen to review the report and project findings, including information about the costs.
  - Task 8: Begin conversations about ecological resilience with neighbors of the adjoining wetlands.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve
  - Clear goals and objectives
  - Broad coalition of supporters and partners

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - 2016 Study found that six major town roads will be vulnerable to two feet of sea level rise
  - Committing to manage 1.5 feet by 2050
  - Abner Point Road leads to the town landing utilized by fishermen and is the only access road for 40 families to reach their homes and four commercial fishing businesses.
  - Bethel Point Road leads to Cundy’s Harbor, where 52.26% of the population has low or moderate income and houses a working waterfront population. It is also where the fire boat and Coast Guard Rescue boats are launched.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Harpswell

**DATE:** 8/25/23

- Roads are already experiencing overtopping/flooding during high windstorm events coupled with king tides.
- Completed community infrastructure vulnerability assessment in 2022
- Created Resiliency and Sustainability Committee to implement assessment
  - Developed Sustainability Plan to map out 2 year and 3-5 year priorities
  - Making improvements to Town roads is part of the 2-year plan
- Created Sustainability Plan Implementation Reserve Account and appropriated \$60,000 for local match for grant opportunities

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Well-designed
  - Multiple public forums led to the prioritization of this project for funding.
  - Would benefit from additional educational outreach to community members about climate impacts and steps that they can take.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes
  - Identified residents served by Abner Point Rd and Bethel Point Rd as vulnerable
  - Residents who use the working waterfront are particularly vulnerable
    - These residents were specifically engaged as part of the planning process

Project duration: 12 months

- Detailed timeline provided

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Harpswell

**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   18**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Town contributing \$10,000 from reserve account
- Other notes
  - Would benefit from more detail on how engineering consultant fee was determined – has an engineer been selected?

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Hartford  
**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                  | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                  |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)  | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points) | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points) | <b>45</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points) | <b>20</b>          |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   |                  | <b>85</b>          |
|   |                  |                    |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Hartford

**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - n/a

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Hartford

**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Hartford

**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Making the Switch to Heat Pumps and Solar in Hartford
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B4 and C7.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Hartford

**DATE:** 8/1/23

• \*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   45**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1: Install heat pumps in the town hall and office.
  - Task 2: Install solar photovoltaic panels on the town hall roof.
    - 17 panels expected to generate 6,800kWh annually
    - Solar array is expected to cover roughly 60% of the Town office and hall’s electricity consumption.
    - Includes inverter to connect to battery storage in the future.
    - Alternative solar solutions will be explored prior to installation.
  - Detailed and reasonable
    - Project tasks and deliverables are clearly defined.
    - Missing roles/responsibilities to manage task 2
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcome.
  - Received bids and applied for the Efficiency Maine retrofit.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned – installation of heat pumps and solar array will reduce reliance on heating oil and reduce energy costs.
  - Project will serve as a model for residents and demonstrate the benefits of these technologies.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected – strong community engagement during enrollment process.
  - Heat pumps and solar will serve as a visible demonstration project.
  - No additional community engagement planned during/after grant period.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat – the most vulnerable/disadvantaged groups were not identified or engaged in proposal.
  - Prepares buildings to be warming/cooling centers
  - Solar will be designed to allow future battery storage to allow emergency sheltering during power outages



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Hartford

**DATE:** 8/1/23

Project duration: 12 months

- Detailed timeline is provided.
- Contractor needs to submit Hartford's EMT application by 8/31 to be eligible for rebate, with work completed by 2/28/24 at the latest.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Hartford

**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   20**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, Efficiency Maine funding
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Remind Town of 30% federal tax credit.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Jonesport  
**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>11</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>40</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>16</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>72</b>          |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Jonesport

**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:** \_\_Pass\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Jonesport Select Board
  - John Church, resident
  - William Milliken, resident
  - Denise Cilley, Select Board and Economic Development Committee
  - David Rier, Planning Board

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:** \_\_Pass\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Jonesport

**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Jonesport

**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score: \_\_11\_\_**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Jonesport Public Water System Feasibility Study
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with F13 and G2, somewhat aligned with E7 and A8.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Jonesport

**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**

Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available:** 60                      **Score:**   40  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Partially described
  - Tasks would benefit from greater detail and clearer roles and responsibilities.
  - Missed opportunity to include climate as a forward-looking consideration in the plan.
  - Task 1 - Meet with Selectman: gather data and present project outline
  - Task 2 - Community Workshop: gather data and present project outline
  - Task 3 - Hydrological Study: examine water resources in area using existing information and provide recommendations for a public water supply
  - Task 4 - Feasibility Analysis: based on the source and quality of the water under Task 3, analyze data collected, evaluate best treatment methods, estimate costs and present projections
  - Task 5 – Community Workshops including community consultations on the draft final report and presentation of Final Report to community and selectboard.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Scope of work is likely to produce a feasibility study
  - Unclear whether the study would lead to an implementable public water supply

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - First step to address concern around clean drinking water for residents due to saltwater intrusion and failing septic systems.
  - Public water in the downtown was identified by the community as a priority in CRP enrollment workshop and Comp Plan development but this support was often tempered by concerns about financial feasibility.
  - Project identified saltwater intrusion as a possible source of the problem but the scope doesn’t include solutions that consider climate change in the design of the new system.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed
  - Focus on the importance of community engagement
    - Public workshops and community survey are planned as part of the project
  - Jonesport community members are historically very engaged in these processes.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Jonesport

**DATE:** 8/9/23

- Yes - identified low-income residents as being the most impacted from contaminated private wells.
  - Cost prohibitive to repair or replace wells.
  - Lack of access to vehicles makes it challenging to get clean water and/or employment.
  - Also most concerned about the potential increase in property tax/water bill if a public water system is installed.
- No engagement strategy included to educate and work with these residents.

Project duration: 24 months

- Basic timeline provided



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Jonesport

**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   16**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Budget narrative doesn't include costs
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Town in-kind match of \$400
  - Consultant estimate/scope referenced but not provided.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Kittery  
**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                  | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                  |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)  | <b>0</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points) | <b>12</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points) | <b>35</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points) | <b>20</b>          |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   |                  | <b>67</b>          |
|   |                  |                    |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Kittery  
**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:** \_\_Pass\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - n/a

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:** \_\_Pass\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

\*\*\*\*\*

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Kittery

**DATE:** 8/21/23

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5**

**Score:   0**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Kittery

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   12**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Project title: LED Smart Light Conversion – Town Hall Complex including Town Hall, School Administration and Police Department
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Proposal doesn’t state strategies/actions that the project aligns with
  - Well-aligned with B2 and B5.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Kittery

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   35**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Scope**

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Modestly but sufficiently described
  - Would benefit from identification of the Town’s project manager
  - Task 1: Interior and exterior LED lighting conversion
    - 512 CFL interior lights
    - 16 exterior lights
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve
  - Installer has been selected
  - Part of a larger lighting conversion effort.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Last piece of lighting improvements across the Town
  - Expect to save 49,260 kWh annually

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimal
  - Proposal demonstrates history of engagement, but doesn’t discuss engagement efforts/intent specific to this project.
  - Missed opportunity to report energy savings to residents
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimal – no vulnerable/disadvantaged groups identified in proposal

**Project duration: 12 months**

- Basic timeline provided.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Kittery

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   20**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes – Efficiency Maine funds
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Vendor estimate included
  - Kittery GHG Inventory attached

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Limestone  
**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                  | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                  |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)  | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points) | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points) | <b>40</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points) | <b>18</b>          |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   |                  | <b>78</b>          |



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Limestone

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:** \_\_Pass\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Aroostook Partnership
  - Limestone Town Manager
  - Limestone Chamber of Commerce and Limestone Development Foundation
  - Maine School of Science and Mathematics
  - Eastern Maine Development Corporation
  - Rep. Mark Babin

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:** \_\_Pass\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Limestone

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Limestone

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Promote Clean Energy Transportation
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Somewhat-aligned with A2 (already installed chargers), A5 (bikes are for recreational use rather than replacing car trips), and H4 (minimal engagement with youth audience).

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFA #: 202305100

RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

APPLICANT: Limestone

DATE: 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   40**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - No timeline included
  - Task 1: Complete the electrical service upgrade, install security cameras, area lighting, and paving at EV charger location (unanticipated cost that was not included in the EMT program)
    - Paving and security cameras not eligible for grant funding
    - Lighting needs to be LED to be eligible for grant funding
  - Task 2: Purchase GEM electric utility vehicle
  - Task 3: Purchase six electric power bicycles for community rental
    - Would a charging station need to be installed for the bikes?
    - Bicycles are not intended to be used as part of the municipal fleet and are therefore ineligible for a grant
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - LWSD has available solar credits from PPA agreement to utilize that can be applied to the usage of these EV chargers

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimally considered
  - Plan to install educational signage about sustainability and energy resilience
  - GEM and e-bikes will offer alternative mode of transportation to residents
  - Would benefit from a community outreach strategy that promotes the access to e-bikes and GEM rides for EV charger users
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat – vulnerable/disadvantaged groups were not identified or engaged.
    - Lower water/sewer costs included in deferred rate increases for service

Project duration: 12 months

- No timeline provided

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Limestone

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   18**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Task 1 – electrical work and signage are eligible, paving and security not eligible
    - Need to confirm that the exterior lighting is LED
  - Task 2 – cannot exceed \$7500 for Action A1
  - Task 3 – E-bikes are not eligible use of funds unless for municipal fleet

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Lisbon  
**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                  | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                  |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)  | <b>3</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points) | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points) | <b>30</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points) | <b>18</b>          |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   |                  | <b>66</b>          |
|   |                  |                    |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Lisbon

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Positive Change Lisbon
  - Sen. Jeffrey Timberlake

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Lisbon

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   3**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Lisbon

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score: 15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Lisbon Town Office Energy Efficient Light Upgrade
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B2.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Lisbon  
**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   30**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Minimally described
  - Roles and responsibilities not included.
  - Task 1: Install LED lights in Town Office to replace existing light fixtures.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve
  - Detailed vendor estimate provided.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - 14,900 kWh estimated annual energy savings
  - Additional detail around how this fits within community priorities would benefit application.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimal
  - No community participation planned.
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimal – not described
  - Vulnerable/disadvantaged groups not identified or engaged.

Project duration: 12 months  
Projected to take one month

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Lisbon

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   18**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$48,547.51
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - No narrative provided but a file was scanned that we couldn't review
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Unclear whether they plan to utilize Efficiency Maine funds
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Detailed vendor estimate provided

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Long Island  
**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                  | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                  |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)  | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points) | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points) | <b>60</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points) | <b>19</b>          |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   |                  | <b>99</b>          |
|   |                  |                    |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #: 202305100**

**RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant**

**APPLICANT: Long Island**

**DATE: 8/9/23**

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available: Pass/Fail                      Score: Pass**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Rep. Stephen Moriarty
  - Sen. Ben Chipman
  - Susie Arnold, Ocean Scientist, Island Institute
  - Long Island Ground Water Quality Committee
  - Chebeague Island Climate Action Team
  - GPCOG

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available: Pass/Fail                      Score: Pass**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Long Island

**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Long Island

**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Town of Long Island Groundwater Sustainability Study
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with G3 and H2.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Long Island

**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   60**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Deliverables and roles and responsibilities are well defined.
  - Very well organized.
  - Task 1 - Data Collection and Compilation
    - 1.A. Well and Septic Survey
    - 1.B. Inventory and Map Existing Wells
    - 1.C. Water Quality Testing
    - 1.D. Map and Describe Long Island’s Aquifer
  - Task 2 - Water Balance and Carrying Capacity
  - Task 3: Future Applicability and Implementation
  - Task 4: Community Education
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve desired outcome.
  - Experienced partner – Island Institute – and multiple local committees and volunteers working together to complete each task.
  - Builds off of the comprehensive plan update.
  - Includes future looking studies of water balance and carrying capacity

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Protecting and conserving the groundwater supply determined as a top priority during comprehensive planning process
  - Vulnerable to runoff
  - Established a Groundwater Quality Committee
  - Long Island is one of the eleven communities currently involved in GPCOG and Gulf of Maine Research Institute’s (GMRI) ‘Climate Ready Casco Bay’ flood monitoring.
  - Long Island has completed two sessions of GMRI’s Resilience Training protocol
  - Island Institute’s ShoreUp grant to protect dunes on Fowlers Beach
  - Interested to partner with other communities in the future to construct a local or regional groundwater model.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Long Island

**DATE:** 8/9/23

- Island residents are very engaged and active in town committees, projects and planning processes.
- Plan to continue to engage the community throughout this process –
  - Informational literature, community forum events, and more
- Included specific steps to support community participation
- Focus on effective science communication
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes and well-designed
  - Equitable water testing – will eliminate costs to make sure that all residents can access water testing program
  - Specific mention of engaging elderly, fishermen, and seasonal residents.
  - Considerate access to gatherings.

Project duration: 24 months

- Detailed timeline provided

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Long Island

**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   19**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$45,400
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Total cost for PFAS testing is incorrect in the narrative (should be \$2500)
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - In-Kind volunteer hours by Groundwater Committee

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Lovell  
**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>36</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>14</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>70</b>          |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Lovell  
**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - n/a

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Lovell

**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Lovell

**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Project title: Weatherization and Heat Pump Installation in Lovell’s Buildings
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B1 and B4.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Lovell  
**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available:** 60                      **Score:**   36  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1: Install heat pump systems in Lovell’s three fire department buildings.
    - Systems not yet designed
    - Not yet applied for Efficiency Maine incentives, deadline is Aug 31 for Small Municipality Retrofit incentive
  - Task 2: weatherization of Lovell Town Hall using insulation.
  - Partially described – project tasks and deliverables weren’t clearly defined.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Reasonably likely to achieve due to awareness of Efficiency Maine Program, but more information on heat pump specifications and weatherization costs are needed

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned – Fire barns currently rely on propane for heating and have no cooling, and Town hall uses heating oil and weatherization is needed to reach a comfortable temperature.
  - Center Station is used for large community events and will now have cooling capability.
  - May pursue future solar project on roof of fire station or town garage.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected – community engagement was completed during the enrollment process – promoted on print and digital channels and offering stipends and hybrid meeting options to residents.
  - No additional community engagement or education is planned during or after project completion.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat – most vulnerable/disadvantaged residents were not identified or engaged in the proposal.
  - Proposal notes that the fire department’s main station has been used as a warming center in the past. Heat pump installation will give the town the option to use the space as a warming and cooling center going forward, particularly for those vulnerable to extreme heat and cold.
  - Could use additional detail on potential for using the space as a heating/cooling center in the future.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Lovell

**DATE:** 8/1/23

Project duration: 12 months

- Contractor needs to submit Lovell's EMT application by 8/31 to be eligible for rebate, with work completed by 2/28/24 at the latest.



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Lovell

**DATE:** 8/1/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   14**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - No, Task 1 col 1: should be \$37,931 which results in request that exceeds max allowed
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - No – North Lovell fire barn calculation are incorrect
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, Efficiency Maine rebates are included
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Unclear how Task 1 costs were derived given that the heat pump estimate was not completed yet.
  - Unable to determine if this budget is feasible due to errors and missing vendor estimate.
  - Need to confirm whether budget estimates were derived from a vendor estimate.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Mariaville  
**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                  | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                  |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)  | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points) | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points) | <b>43</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points) | <b>18</b>          |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   |                  | <b>81</b>          |
|   |                  |                    |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Mariaville  
**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - n/a

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Mariaville

**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Mariaville

**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Fire-Fighting Water Supply Cisterns
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with G2, scope doesn’t address G1 or H1.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Mariaville

**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**

Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   43**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Reasonable
  - Provided good technical description of existing access, challenges of existing system, and why a cistern may address some of their existing challenges.
  - Would benefit from detail on who would oversee the project.
  - Task 1 Legal and admin step to acquire an easement on site property
  - Task 2 Purchase 14,000gal cistern
  - Task 3 Piping
  - Task 4 Site prep and installation
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to be able to bid and install cistern. However it’s unclear that the project contains a vulnerability assessment or reasonable consideration of the climate impacts on legacy water sources at present and over time.
  - Would benefit from a description of why proposed cistern site is feasible and how it contributes to addressing vulnerability.
  - Additional cisterns will be needed across the town.
  - Budget narrative implies that a landowner has been identified and offered a site.
  - Request a letter of support from the landowner and confirmation of the easement.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Climate change is lengthening dry periods which makes once-reliable fire ponds less dependable
  - Climate change is lengthening mud season which reduces safe access to lakesides for heavy tanker trucks
  - Population growth is increasing the number of structures that rely on the fire department
  - Application suggests that the site will replace the town’s primary dry hydrant at Tannery Brook Bridge

Engagement and equity

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Mariaville

**DATE:** 8/11/23

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimally considered
  - No community participation planned.
  - Mariaville would lead by example by installing a cistern and help neighboring towns complete similar projects.
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat
  - Cisterns provide equitable access to water sources to fight fires, but this will only be true if it's properly sited.
  - Disadvantaged community members typically do not live along the waterfront where there is good water access for fire-fighting.

Project duration: 12 months

- Detailed timeline provided.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Mariaville

**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   18**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$49,500
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Town providing 10% local match
  - Would benefit from a vendor estimate.
  - Would FEMA fund any of this project?



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Millinocket  
**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>12</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>32</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>10</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>59</b>          |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Millinocket  
**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Dr. Sharon Klein
  - *Thrive* Penobscot

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Millinocket

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Millinocket

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   12**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Heat Pumps for Millinocket Homes: Initiating a residential bulk purchase program.
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Somewhat-aligned with H7.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFA #: 202305100

RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

APPLICANT: Millinocket

DATE: 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   32**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Partially detailed
  - Grant funding will cover Community Initiatives Director salary
    - What funding will cover the cost of the heat pumps and installation?
    - Are there plans to sustain the position for longer than one year?
  - Tasks need to be more clearly defined.
  - Task 1: Hire Community Initiatives Director to implement and manage residential heat pump bulk purchase program.
  - Task 2: CID to develop and release RFA to Millinocket residents to apply for heat pump assistance.
    - Most vulnerable residents will be prioritized (low income, elderly)
    - What are the key steps beyond sending the RFA to residents and prioritizing households?
  - Task 3: CID to coordinate installation of heat pumps with Pine State Electric.
    - Goal: Install heat pumps in at least 25 homes.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Somewhat likely to achieve
  - Vendor is identified but unclear where the funds for installations will come from.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - 11.3% of Millinocket residents live below the poverty line and may experience issues keeping warm during the winter.
  - High need for a program to support resident heat pump conversions in low income households.
    - However, the subsidy model is not what Action H7 envisions
  - “The funding from the CAG will ensure the CID position will be in place for another year and will focus primarily on the residential heat pump program during that time.”
    - Typically, the CAG does not fund existing positions.
    - If the grant is paying a municipal employee salary we will need much more detail on the tasks, deliverables, timelines, responsibilities.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust community participate is envisioned but scope needs further development

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Millinocket

**DATE:** 8/21/23

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes – this program targets vulnerable/disadvantaged residents that require heating assistance
  - CID will work with Mobilize Katahdin to identify residents in need.

Project duration: 12 months

- Basic timeline provided
- Seems to underestimate time needed for each task

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Millinocket

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   10**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes but the narrative needs more detail
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Vendor will assist the residents to take advantage of Efficiency Maine incentives
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - “The Town is going to impact the most vulnerable by providing them funding for a low cost, green energy, heat source”
    - What is this source of funding? Is it the CID’s current salary that the grant will replace?
  - Insufficient budget detail – cannot determine if this is an eligible use of grant funds
  - Has the vendor provided a discount on bulk heat pump purchase?
  - Might be an opportunity to connect low-income residents with Maine Housing to fund heat pumps

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Mount Desert - Tremont  
**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>58</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>20</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>98</b>          |



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Mount Desert - Tremont

**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Tremont Town Manager
  - Tremont Selectboard
  - Mount Desert Selectboard
  - Mount Desert Finance Director
  - Mount Desert Sustainability Committee
  - A Climate to Thrive

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Mount Desert - Tremont

**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - Multi-community
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Mount Desert - Tremont

**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Contracted Personnel Support focused on list of priority actions aligned with Mount Desert Climate Action Plan, Tremont Community Resilience Planning process, and Maine Won’t Wait - pilot for future official staff position in both towns
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with A1, B1, C4, D1, F2, F9, F14, F15.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Mount Desert - Tremont

**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available:** 60                      **Score:**   58  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Specific tasks, deliverables and responsibilities are clearly outlined for this new position to manage.
  - Would benefit from information on who will be overseeing this position from the Towns and ACTT.
  - Identified both collaborative and single-town tasks
  - Tasks for the Position to complete:
    - Task 1 (B1): Develop plan and identify funding support for efficiency upgrades for Mount Desert Elementary and Tremont Consolidated Schools
    - Task 2 (C4): Develop renewable energy ordinances and support ordinance adoption
    - Task 3 (F2 & F9) - Incorporate results of Tremont and Mount Desert vulnerability assessments into the next update of the EMA hazard mitigation plan and into mapping within the plan
    - Task 4 (F14): Planning process for heating/cooling centers for vulnerable residents for both towns.
    - Task 5 (F15): Develop a peer-to-peer check-in network to support vulnerable populations in Mount Desert and Tremont
    - Task 6: Identify pathways to improve current solid waste management and funding for implementation
    - Task 7 (D1): Develop town ordinances to support local food production. (Tremont-only)
    - Task 8 (A1): Develop coordinated plan for town fleet electrification and integrate plan into Mount Desert Capital Improvement Plan, Comprehensive Plan, and town budget
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve
  - Ambitious task list for one person working part time, but support from ACTT and well-defined tasks provided here should make it feasible.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Mount Desert recently completed a climate action plan
  - Tremont is currently completing a community resilience plan
  - NRCM has identified Tremont as one of 20 most threatened town by sea level rise
  - Towns do not have existing capacity to implement these plans and respond to climate impacts
  - Pilot would demonstrate value of this capacity, in time for town meetings in 2025 to vote on town support for position(s)

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Mount Desert - Tremont

**DATE:** 8/25/23

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed
  - Each priority action will have an education/engagement component.
  - Visible examples of energy efficiency measures
  - Heating/cooling savings could benefit taxpayers
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes and well-designed
  - Wide scope of project could be benefits to communities broadly
  - Several tasks are targeted to at-risk populations – peer-to-peer check ins, heating/cooling centers, etc.
  - Identified elderly, low-income residents and children as most vulnerable
  - Actions overlap those needed to address vulnerabilities of at-risk populations within these communities

Project duration: 24 months

- Detailed timeline provided

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Mount Desert - Tremont

**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   20**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$119,790
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - 10% cost share for Task 6
- Other notes
  - Towns to provide copy of MOU to GOPIF

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** North Haven-Vinalhaven  
**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>55</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>18</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>93</b>          |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** North Haven-Vinalhaven

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Town of North Haven Select Board
  - Vinalhaven Town Manager
  - Fox Islands Electric Cooperative
  - A Climate to Thrive

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** North Haven-Vinalhaven

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - Multi-community
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** North Haven-Vinalhaven

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Fox Islands LED Streetlight Conversion and Community Education Project
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B5 and H2

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** North Haven-Vinalhaven

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available:** 60                      **Score:**   55  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Experienced vendor
  - Roles and responsibilities were well defined
  - ACTT to provide 35 hours of training with an outcome of 6 trained ambassadors on each island
  - Task 1: Engage LED Streetlight Service Provider
  - Task 2: Draft and Submit LED Streetlight Tariff for Approval by the Maine PUC
  - Task 3: Conduct GIS Audit of Existing Streetlights
  - Task 4: Provide Climate Ambassador Training
  - Task 5: Generate and Approve Lighting Plan through Community Engagement
  - Task 6: Install LED Retrofits
  - Task 7: Generate and Share Educational Materials; Conduct Community Engagement Events
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve desired outcomes
  - Experienced partners onboard

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned with MWW
  - Consistent with NH sustainability goals
  - Both communities already share many resources
  - Shared challenge of high cost of energy and energy transmission.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Well-designed
  - Climate Ambassadors program open to all islanders
  - Seeking audiences broader than typical climate-themed events
  - Trained volunteers will support further community engagement
  - Additional community engagement to be led by Island Fellow
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat
    - Additional detail about engagement strategies would be beneficial

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** North Haven-Vinalhaven

**DATE:** 8/3/23

- Events will include accessibility considerations for year-round and seasonal residents, most-impacted by climate, youth, seniors, working waterfront occupations, limited mobility, childcare.

Project duration: 24 months

- Detailed project timeline provided

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** North Haven-Vinalhaven

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   18**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$123,246
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Would benefit from more detail on \$23,049 Vinalhaven match
  - Tree trimming not an eligible activity - reduce award by \$28,000

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Northport  
**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>40</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>20</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>80</b>          |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Northport

**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Senator Chip Curry
  - Representative Jan Dodge
  - James Kossuth, Town Administrator, Town of Northport
  - Janae Novotny, President, Northport Village Corporation
  - Meg Rasmussen, Community Sustainability Planner, Midcoast Council of Governments
  - Joe Reilly, President, Bayside Historic Preservation Society

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Northport

**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Northport

**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Northport Coastal Stabilization Engineering Study
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with G1, G2, and H1.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Northport

**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**

Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   40**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Tasks were well developed, and roles/responsibilities were assigned
  - Task 1: Develop Request for Proposal (RFP), interview candidates, and enter into a contract with an engineering firm (Town Administrator to oversee)
  - Task 2: Conduct the Coastal Stabilization Study (Engineering firm to do work; Town Administrator to oversee)
    - Survey
    - Schematic Design and Planning
    - Civil Engineering
    - Permitting
  - Task 3: Community outreach, education, and engagement
  - Task 4: Develop Funding Strategy
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve
  - Working with experienced partner – MCOG
  - Initial assessment of project scope has been completed by an engineering firm – Gartley and Dorsky
  - Already spoken with Doug Beck and Mathew Henion of the Land and Water Conservation Fund and intend to complete the pre-authorization by December 31, 2023 for their 2024 funding cycle.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Somewhat aligned
  - Erosion impacts are threatening utility and other types of infrastructure
  - Application mentions sea level rise and climate change as a threat but would benefit from more explicit consideration of sea level rise projections and impacts in the coastal stabilization study as well as nature-based or grey-green alternatives to the town’s past use of seawalls as a stabilizing strategy
    - The consultant’s two scopes of work make no mention of including SLR considerations or nature-based alternative
  - If funded, require that town includes SLR considerations and nature-based alternatives in the consultant’s scopes of work

Engagement and equity

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Northport

**DATE:** 8/9/23

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed
  - Utilize Town website, social media, monthly email newsletter, email announcements, weekly newspaper, Town Office signage, paper surveys, tax bill inserts, public meetings
    - Many activities are targeted to the Village
    - Identify increased engagement with inland residents as a deliverable
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat– vulnerable/disadvantaged community members are not identified.
  - Tax bill inserts help to reach some less engaged residents.
  - Benefit from additional outreach to vulnerable groups.

Project duration: 24 months

- Detailed timeline provided

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Northport

**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   20**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
  - Included 10% contingency on Task 2 and will need to return any unused funds.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Town contributing \$6,098.69 in funding and in-kind hours
  - Included engineering consultant estimate and assessment

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Norway  
**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>3</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>50</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>20</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>88</b>          |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Norway

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - n/a

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Norway

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   3**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Norway

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

Project title: Solar Installation at Norway’s Town Office and Energy Audit

- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with C1 and C7.



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Norway

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   50**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Scope**

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Roles and responsibilities were assigned
  - Task 1: Solar installation at Town Office/Police Garage
    - 24-panel array
    - Estimated to produce 13,275 kWh/annually – 15% of town office, police garage, and fire station usage
  - Task 2: Municipal energy audit
    - Written report with emissions data and recommendations for decarbonization.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes.
  - Have design specs for the solar array.
  - Unclear if the solar installer and consultant have been finalized.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Development of renewable energy
    - Reduce Town’s energy costs
    - Visible location will serve as demonstration project for residents
  - Energy audit will set groundwork for energy efficiency updates
    - Will support climate action planning process

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
  - Projects will be discussed at public selectboard meetings
  - Energy audit results will be presented to the public at selectboard meeting
  - Data will support climate action planning community outreach
  - Energy savings could make room in the town budget for more community services
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimal – vulnerable/disadvantaged groups were not identified or engaged

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Norway

**DATE:** 8/21/23

Project duration: 12 months

- Project timeline provided

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Norway

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   20**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$48,526
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Plan to seek federal tax incentive for solar
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Remind Town to seek federal tax credit

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Ogunquit  
**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>3</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>54</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>20</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>92</b>          |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Ogunquit  
**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Town of Ogunquit
  - Ogunquit Sustainability Committee

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Ogunquit

**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   3**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Ogunquit

**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Rooftop Solar Installation – Dunaway Community Center, Ogunquit
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with C7

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFA #: 202305100

RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

APPLICANT: Ogunquit

DATE: 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   54**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Scope**

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Install 33kW solar on community center, roughly ½ of building’s consumption
  - Task 1 Project admin – town will lead project mgt and grant admin
  - Task 2 Selection of solar developer (RFP process)
  - Task 3 System design and construction
    - Site assessment and interconnection study, evaluation of financial incentives, design-engineering-permitting, procurement and installation, interconnection and performance evaluation,
  - Task 4 Community outreach – public meetings, info sessions, print and digital material
  - Detailed and reasonable - Includes clear task list, including deliverables, list of roles/responsibilities, bulleted list of expected outcomes, and illustrated project timeline by task
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
  - Listed clear outcomes and defined roles/responsibilities for town staff, vendor, and SMPDC

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned – Town recently approved municipal campus buildout of Dunaway Center which will prepare the building for rooftop solar.
  - Dunaway Center houses many key Town services – Town Office, Police Dept., public meeting spaces
  - Pursuing municipal renewable energy was a priority identified at their community workshop

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed
    - Public meetings to inform and gather input
    - Project is a demonstration of financial and environmental benefits
    - Plan to develop a broader strategy for public engagement throughout this project
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat
    - Identify older and seasonal residents as their priority audience



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Ogunquit

**DATE:** 7/31/23

- Gave examples on how to reinvest program savings into initiatives to address climate change and aging populations. Would benefit from a commitment or mechanism to make this happen.

Project duration: 24 months

- Project timeline provided.
- Project is in conjunction with roof renovations scheduled for spring/summer 2025.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Ogunquit

**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   20**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Budget is based on an estimate from Revision but the Town will competitively select a vendor.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Not required funding sources:
    - Town is contributing ~20% of cost
    - SMPCD contributing in-kind services
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Planning to utilize federal tax credit

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Old Orchard Beach  
**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                  | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                  |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)  | <b>3</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points) | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points) | <b>56</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points) | <b>19</b>          |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   |                  | <b>93</b>          |
|   |                  |                    |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Old Orchard Beach

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - OOB Conservation Commission

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Old Orchard Beach

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   3**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Old Orchard Beach

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Improving the Energy Efficiency of Old Orchard Beach’s Municipal Facilities and Buildings: Energy Audits and Implementation Plan for Energy Efficiency Upgrades and Weatherization
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B1 and C1.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Old Orchard Beach

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   56**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Energy audit of 6 municipal facilities - Town Hall, police department facility, fire department facility, Public Works building, wastewater treatment facility, and public library
  - Town Staff and Council members will conduct the RFP
  - Task 1: Issue RFP and Select Consultant to Conduct Energy Audits of Six Municipal Buildings
  - Task 2: Conduct Energy Audits
  - Task 3: Report of Findings, Recommendations, and Cost Estimates for Energy Efficiency Upgrades/Weatherization
  - Task 4: Community Workshop to Discuss Audit Results and Recommendations
  - Task 5: Development of Implementation Plan
  - Task 6: Community Outreach
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes, tasks and outcomes were clearly detailed
  - Would benefit from detail on which staff will be involved and their roles due to complexity of project.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Improving energy efficiency of municipal buildings identified as a priority during community workshop
  - Information from audit will inform future investment decisions.
  - Project will serve as an example for residents and demonstrate commitment to climate action.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed
  - Community engagement and outreach materials will be designed with priority populations (elderly, disabled, households below poverty level) in mind and will be tailored to OOB’s unique demographic conditions.
  - Community workshops will engage residents with audit results and recommendations. Workshops will be well publicized. Public comment will directly inform energy efficiency implementation plan.
  - Print and digital communications in plain language

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Old Orchard Beach

**DATE:** 8/3/23

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Identified people over 65, residents with disabilities, and households below the poverty line as most vulnerable/disadvantaged.
  - Outreach materials and tactics will be designed to target these groups.
  - Application states that the broad community will benefit because energy savings can be redirected toward other community services. Would benefit from more detail on these services or a mechanism to ensure savings are redirected to these services

Project duration: 12 months

- Detailed timeline provided.



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Old Orchard Beach

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   19**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$33,500
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes – small typo in Task 4
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Providing in-kind staff time

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Orono  
**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                  | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                  |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)  | <b>0</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points) | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points) | <b>40</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points) | <b>17</b>          |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   |                  | <b>72</b>          |
|   |                  |                    |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Orono  
**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Orono Town Manager

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n):
  - Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n)
  - Yes

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Orono

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   0**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Orono

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Executing Projects Identified in the Municipal Building Energy Audit (Level 1)
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B3.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Orono  
**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available:** 60                      **Score:**   40  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Reasonable
  - Environmental Service Coordinator, energy audit consultant, and Public Works Director will lead the project.
  - Task 1: Birch Street School (Senior Citizen Center) – upgrade thermostats, install heat pump water heater, install natural gas boiler, spray foam foundation walls
  - Task 2: Keith Anderson Community House – upgrade thermostats, replace front doors, install WindowDressers inserts
    - Town of Orono hosting WindowDressers event
  - Task 3: Water Pollution Control Facility – ASHRAE level 3 energy audit
  - Task 4: Town Hall – design updated HVAC system, install heat pump water heater
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve
  - Projects were directed by the energy audit.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Energy efficiency upgrades will reduce GHG emissions, save money, and help the Town lead by example.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimal
  - Met with public to discuss energy audit actions
  - Would benefit from a communications strategy to residents on why the Town is making these improvements and how the Town is leading by example.
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimal
  - Vulnerable/disadvantaged community members were not identified or engaged.

Project duration: 12 months

- Timeline provided

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Orono  
**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   17**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Costs not included in budget narrative but tasks were listed
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes – EMT heat pump water heater rebate
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Task 1 isn't eligible for funding (gas boiler)
  - Energy audit with priority recommendations attached.
  - Vendor estimates not included to support estimated costs.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Otisfield  
**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                  | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                  |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)  | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points) | <b>13</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points) | <b>55</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points) | <b>19</b>          |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   |                  | <b>92</b>          |
|   |                  |                    |



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Otisfield  
**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Otisfield Community School
  - Otisfield Fire Department

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Otisfield

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Otisfield

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   13**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Energy Sustainability Plan, Student Pollinator Garden, and Programs to Address Extreme Temperatures
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with C1, C7, F14 and somewhat aligned with H4.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #: 202305100**

**RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant**

**APPLICANT: Otisfield**

**DATE: 8/24/23**

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   55**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Project tasks and deliverables are clear and well developed.
  - Roles and responsibilities for each task are defined.
  - Application would benefit from more detailed explanation on the Town’s extreme temperature program and how Task 3 fits into the overall plan.
    - Task 3.1 improvements do not appear to be energy related
  - Task 1: Municipal energy audit
    - 1.1 Data gathering and development of energy sustainability plan
    - 1.2 Solar predevelopment study
  - Task 2: Pollinator garden at community school
    - 2.1 Garden design and planting
    - 2.2 Pollinator education
  - Task 3: Implementation and Improvement of Extreme Temperature Program
    - 3.1 Community hall (emergency shelter) improvements – activity center, purchase and storage of emergency provisions, sign-in system, electric sign
    - 3.2 Emergency weather kit pilot program – assemble 40 emergency weather kits to distribute to at-risk community members
    - 3.3 Extreme weather education and communication to residents
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcome
  - Experienced partner – CEBE

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Task 1 well-aligned
  - Task 2 & 3 moderately aligned
    - Would like to see more information about the climate educational component of the pollinator garden
    - Digital sign seems less aligned with MWW than the emergency kits and the mailers

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed
  - Communication tactics focused on most vulnerable populations that may not have access to internet/computers

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Otisfield

**DATE:** 8/24/23

- Mailers
  - Electronic sign
  - Written feedback
- Pollinator garden will engage youth audience and provide site for future community events
- Community engagement is planned to select solar site after predevelopment study is complete
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes and well-designed
  - Identified elderly, disabled and most rural residents as the most vulnerable.
  - Targeted communications strategy to engage those groups.

Project duration: 12 months

- Detailed timeline provided

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Otisfield

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   19**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$45,596
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Task 3.1 in narrative is miscalculated – overstates cost by \$400
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Would need more detail on the emergency management plan to fund Task 3

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Penobscot  
**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>25</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>14</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>59</b>          |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Penobscot

**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Penobscot Select Board
  - Senator Nicole Grohoski
  - Representative Ron Russell
  - Bailey Bowden, Bagaduce River Monitor
  - Hans Carlson, Executive Director, Blue Hill Heritage Trust
  - Ciona Ulbrich, Senior Project Manager, Maine Coast Heritage Trust
  - Jeremy Bell, Climate Adaptation Program Director - Maine, The Nature Conservancy

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Penobscot

**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Penobscot

**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Penobscot Mill Creek Tidal Marsh Resilience Project
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with Strategy E.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Penobscot

**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available:** 60                      **Score:**   25  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Minimally described
  - Would benefit from more detailed tasks and deliverables.
  - Unclear from the Scope of Work what this project entails.
  - Task 1: Comprehensive plan for designing, engineering, permitting and implementing the Mill Creek Tidal Marsh Resilience Project
  - Task 2: Schematic design at the 15% level
  - Task 3: Corollary cost estimate
  - Task 4: Recommendations – throughout the one-year contract period – regarding government and non-government funding opportunities.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Unable to determine is this scope of work with achieve desired outcomes.
  - Lack of task detail and deliverables make it challenging to determine whether desired outcomes will be met.
  - Good partners on board.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Project need is well-aligned but the project is not well developed.
  - Part of a larger project to protect and restore the Mill Creek Marsh
  - Other efforts underway include:
    - Moving Town sand-salt pile away from the marsh
    - Stabilizing areas impacted by DPW operations and truck movements
  - Failing culvert impacts aquatic organism passage and increases flood risk on a key connector roadway, causing a 35-mile detour – DOT classifies the culvert condition as “fair”
  - Applied for Maine DOT Village Partnership

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimally considered
  - Missed opportunity for community engagement and education.
  - No community outreach planned during grant period.
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Vulnerable/disadvantaged groups engagement not included in the proposal.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Penobscot

**DATE:** 8/9/23

Project duration: 12 months

- General timeline provided.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Penobscot

**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   14**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Minor error in Task 1 narrative - \$800 difference
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - \$7,200 requested for part-time project manager for project administration. Clear tasks or deliverables for this person and a description of how they will be hired would benefit this proposal.
  - Narrative notes town has applied for additional funding from the Woodard & Curran Foundation to fund a pilot project to restore a portion of the marsh.
  - Would benefit from more detailed cost estimates.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Penobscot Nation

**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>60</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>18</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>98</b>          |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Penobscot Nation

**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:** \_\_Pass\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Tribal Government
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - n/a

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:** \_\_Pass\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Penobscot Nation

**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - Tribal application
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Penobscot Nation

**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Wabanaki Workforce Development for Residential Energy Efficiency
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B1, D4, H1, H2, and H5.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Penobscot Nation

**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   60**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Tasks and deliverables are clearly defined and roles/responsibilities are assigned.
  - Task 1: Penobscot Nation Resilience Committee (November 2023-August 2025)
    - Recruit participants – will receive stipend
    - Structure committee and roles
  - Task 2: Energy Efficiency Training (May 2024-August 2025)
    - Recruit two Penobscot citizens to complete Building Science Principles training and certification and become Efficiency Maine vendors.
    - Once trained, the team will conduct energy assessments and air sealing on 9 Penobscot Nation residences and recommend additional weatherization solutions.
    - This is a pilot program with the intention of expanding it once more funding is available.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes.
  - Project partners have implemented a similar program at another Tribal Nation.
  - Builds on several ongoing efforts.
  - Project team and committee member roles are clearly defined.
  - Dr. Klein has EPA funding to hire a Sustainable Energy Coordinator for 4 years to work with all 5 Wabanaki communities

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - 19.1% of Penobscot Nation reservation residents live below the federal poverty line (compared to 11.5% in Maine) with a 10% unemployment rate (compared to 2.8% in Maine overall)
  - Housing stock currently relies on heating oil.
  - Mold/poor air quality in homes contributes to a high asthma rate.
  - Program will develop a skilled workforce and build capacity to address these issues.
  - Pilot program that can be extended via pending \$5 million intertribal proposal to DOE

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed
  - Formation of a new committee and broad outreach to tribal community members is planned

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Penobscot Nation

**DATE:** 8/11/23

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes – as outlined in the *Need* section – reservation residents are considered vulnerable/disadvantaged and this program will address their specific needs.
  - Pilot is specifically targeting their most vulnerable community members.

Project duration: 24 months

- Detailed timeline provided.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Penobscot Nation

**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   18**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Task 1 in the narrative doesn't match table 2 or the budget worksheet
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Utilize Efficiency Maine training grant

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Phillips  
**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>45</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>20</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>85</b>          |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Phillips  
**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:** \_\_Pass\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Phillips Town Manager and Staff
  - Phillips Road Commissioner

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:** \_\_Pass\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Phillips

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Phillips

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

Project title: Update office HVAC to heat pumps and LED lighting. Update town garage lighting to LED.

- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B2 and B4.



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Phillips

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   45**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Roles/responsibilities included in budget narrative.
  - Task 1: Office and Garage Lighting Upgrades.
    - Vendor quote with project specifications.
  - Task 2: Office HVAC Energy Efficiency Upgrade.
    - Reduce use of fossil fuel furnace but installing heat pumps
    - Estimate includes equipment, installation and electrical work
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve desired outcome.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Small, rural, aging community that often faces extreme storms, floods, extreme heat, and is considered socially vulnerable
  - Community members were hesitant about participating in the CRP but this project can demonstrate benefits of simple steps and cost savings

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
  - Posting cost savings to demonstrate benefit of the project.
  - Visibility of heat pumps in public spaces will build public awareness.
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimally – vulnerable/disadvantaged groups not identified.

Project duration: 12 months

- Project timeline narrative included.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Phillips

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   20**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$30,742.73
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Suggest doublechecking whether this project is eligible for Efficiency Maine funding.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - \$1600 in-kind staff time to manage the work
  - Vendor estimate is included.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Phippsburg  
**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                  | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                  |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)  | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points) | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points) | <b>55</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points) | <b>19</b>          |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   |                  | <b>94</b>          |
|   |                  |                    |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Phippsburg

**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Senator Eloise Vitelli
  - Rep. Allison Hepler

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Phippsburg

**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Phippsburg

**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Sam Day Hill Road Hydrologic and Hydraulic Analysis
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with G1.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Phippsburg

**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   55**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Scope**

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Identification of specific Town employees supporting process would be helpful.
  - Task 1.a. Background Data Collection
    - FEMA flood mapping
    - Maine Geological Survey
    - Maine Climate Council
  - Task 1.b. Stakeholder Engagement
    - Identify impacted stakeholders and determine needs
  - Task 2: Field Survey – assess current conditions
  - Task 3: Hydrologic & Hydraulic Modeling
    - Examine streamflow, water surface elevations, water depths, and velocities
    - Explore possible solutions
  - Task 4.a. Final Report – summarize findings and provide conceptual modifications
  - Task 4.b. Community Presentation of the report
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve desired outcomes
  - Unclear whether consultant has been identified/hired or how they will be selected.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Road is already experiencing periodic storm damage, erosion, and flooding where it crosses a tidal pond
  - Town has spent \$50,000 in road repairs to date
  - Road is critical for solid waste management and emergency response access

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Well-designed
  - Plan to host public meeting with community and study consultant at the beginning of the process
    - Designed to accommodate all community members – remote option and scheduled at a time deemed most appropriate for working residents and families with children
  - Town will put up signage about the project
  - A second public meeting will be held to review findings

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Phippsburg

**DATE:** 8/9/23

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Well-designed – specific accommodations will be made for elderly and other mobility-challenged residents to attend meetings
  - Direct outreach to vulnerable residents on Sam Day Hill Rd.

Project duration: 12 months

- Basic timeline provided



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Phippsburg

**DATE:** 8/9/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   19**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Town and Conservation Commission offering in-kind hours and refreshments at public meetings
  - Would be helpful to understand how the consulting costs were derived. No vendor proposal included.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Poland  
**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>3</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>37</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>15</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>70</b>          |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Poland  
**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Town of Poland Select Board
  - Sen. Eric Brakey
  - Rep. David Boyer
  - William Almy, Library Trustee
  - Cyndi Robbins, Chair of the Poland Community and Economic Development Committee

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Poland

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   3**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Poland

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: A.B. Ricker Library HVAC Project
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B4.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Poland

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   37**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Scope**

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Partially described.
  - Town Manager to oversee project.
  - Need to confirm that the library is owned by the Town.
  - Task 1: Install updated HVAC system as part of larger remodel.
    - Part of a larger remodeling plan that includes larger children’s area, space for remote workers, a more capable lift, updated bathrooms and common areas, and adding more space by finishing the basement.
    - HVAC design in process – review in Fall 2023
    - New library design will incorporate elements to make HVAC system more efficient.
    - New HVAC system will improve air quality which is important for primary library users – elderly and children.
    - Library is also the Town Warming Center.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Unable to determine is the project is likely to achieve desired outcomes.
  - Project is pending final design and planning board approval.
  - Town funds have not yet been secured.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Part of a Town-wide initiative to increase energy efficiency in all municipal buildings which includes solar development, LED streetlights, LED interior lights, and Town Office HVAC upgrades

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimally considered.
  - Misses an opportunity to educate library users on energy efficiency.
  - Limited detail on how they will engage people during/after project.
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat
  - No engagement planned.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Poland

**DATE:** 8/7/23

- Project would serve all residents but have biggest impact on the Seniors and children that use the library most
- Will improve ability to serve as an emergency shelter.

Project duration: 24 months

- Detailed timeline provided
- Timeline is for the full remodel project

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Poland

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, EMT rebate.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Town contributing \$177,200 toward system cost.
  - Cost estimate of \$250,000. Has not gone to bid. Unclear how detailed the designs are.
  - Suggest the Town reapplies once planning board approval is received, HVAC design is complete, and Town Bond has been authorized by voters.



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Portland  
**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>3</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>59</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>20</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>97</b>          |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Portland

**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Quality Housing Coalition

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Portland

**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   3**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Portland

**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Electrify Everything! Building Electrification & Efficiency Outreach Campaign
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with H2, H5, and H6.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFA #: 202305100

RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

APPLICANT: Portland

DATE: 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   59**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Tasks and deliverables are clearly defined and detailed.
  - Roles and responsibilities were assigned – would benefit to know specific City Staff that will be working on each task.
  - Task 1: Update Community Wide GHG Emissions Inventory
    - Consultant to train City Staff to run model and complete future inventories without assistance
  - Task 2: Residential Outreach
    - Outreach campaign to low- and moderate-income residents with technical information, financing options, and rebate/tax credit opportunities to improve energy efficiency
    - City has \$75,000 budgeted to pay for certain residential projects.
  - Task 3: Commercial Outreach
    - Target commercial and multi-tenant residential property owners to encourage them to reduce their energy use through weatherization, energy efficiency, and adopting renewable energy.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve
  - Consultant will train City Staff to complete GHG emissions modeling – allowing for easier updating in the future.
  - Project scope acknowledges diverse groups of residents to be targeted and specific strategies to reach each audience.
  - Have City funding to implement incentives.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - City Council has committed to reducing community wide GHG emissions 80% before 2050
  - Connect residents with state and federal rebates and tax incentives
  - Energy benchmarking program has shown the commercial building owners need more education on how to increase efficiency and lower costs
  - Community wide emissions are 60% from buildings
  - Integrated with other city efforts – OCF, Electrify Everything, DIY Electrify
  - Supports key populations and stakeholders for emissions reductions
  - Clear focus on LMI and immigrant communities

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Portland

**DATE:** 8/25/23

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and Well-designed
  - Entire project is focused on community engagement, outreach and education
  - Developed integrated approach to reach their targets audiences:
    - Translated materials
    - Advertisements in publications that target audience reads
    - Translated videos
    - Partnership with Quality Housing Coalition
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes and well-designed
  - Identified low- and moderate-income residents, senior citizens, immigrants and New Mainers, minority groups, people with disabilities, renters, new residents in a community, people who don't have access to the internet or social media, and those that are not part of an organization/group as primary target audience.
  - Plan to translate marketing materials to 6 most used languages and utilize media outlets that these community groups use.
  - City has budgeted \$75,000 to help offset the cost of energy efficiency upgrades for these groups.
  - Partnering with several housing entities to spread information to harder to reach populations

Project duration: 24 months

- Detailed timeline provided

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Portland

**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   20**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$45,200
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - City contributing \$76,200 cash match (\$75,000 from ARPA)

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Rangeley  
**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>40</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>18</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>78</b>          |



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Rangeley  
**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - n/a

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Rangeley

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Rangeley

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Upgrade the town garage HVAC to a heat pump system.
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B4.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Rangeley

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   40**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Scope**

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Project will be overseen by Town Manager
  - Design quote provided by vendor.
  - Task 1: Install Heat Pump System in Town Garage
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve desired outcome.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Completed wastewater system climate action plan
  - Opportunity to address energy efficiency in a public building that is behind the scenes.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimally
  - Community outreach completed during enrollment process.
  - No additional community outreach is planned.
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimally - vulnerable/disadvantaged groups not clearly identified or engaged.
  - Can provide cost savings “back to the taxpayers” – would benefit from specifying the mechanism for this.

**Project duration: 12 months**

- Project timeline narrative included.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Rangeley

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   18**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - In-kind funds not included in the worksheet
  - Town is seeking funding for a portion of the project. Doesn't note source of remaining funds to pay for \$91,977.81 project beyond a contribution of in-kind staff time valued at \$1,000.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, Efficiency Maine rebate
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Would benefit from inclusion of a vendor quote if that is where Efficiency Maine incentive is included.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Rockland  
**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                  | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                  |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)  | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points) | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points) | <b>60</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points) | <b>20</b>          |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   |                  | <b>100</b>         |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #: 202305100**

**RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant**

**APPLICANT: Rockland**

**DATE: 8/24/23**

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available: Pass/Fail                      Score: \_\_Pass\_\_**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Rockland Police Department
  - Rockland Energy and Sustainability Advisory Committee
  - Rockland Main Street, Inc.
  - MCOG
  - Rockland Rolls and Bicycle Coalition of Maine Community Spoke

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available: Pass/Fail                      Score: \_\_Pass\_\_**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Rockland

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): high



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Rockland

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Strategy to Measurably Advance Bicycling as Active Transportation in Rockland: A 4-Part Approach Including a Bike Route Network Plan, Installation of Striping, Sharrows and Signage, Rockland Police Leadership by Example with Active Transportation, and Integrated Community Outreach
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with A1, A5, A6, H2, and H4.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Rockland

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available:** 60                      **Score:**   60  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Highly detailed and reasonable
  - Project tasks and deliverables are well thought out, clear and defined.
  - Roles and responsibilities are assigned for each task.
  - Consultant has been identified for network plan.
  - Task 1: Develop Bike Route Network Plan – improve safety and connect neighborhoods to resources
  - Task 2: Installation of Striping, Sharrows, and Signage
  - Task 3: Purchase e-bike for Rockland Police Patrol unit
  - Task 4: Community engagement –
    - Outreach through Recharge Rockland
    - Police-led outreach through distribution of e-bike information
    - Bike Route Network Plan outreach
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve
  - Working with Jim Tasse Consulting – expert on roadway design, user safety, complete streets, active transportation, and bicycle and pedestrian law
  - Several local partners

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Transportation is the largest source of GHG emissions in Rockland
  - Goal of carbon neutrality by 2045
  - Providing youth and low-income residents with a safe, cost-effective, accessible mode of transportation – 25.3% of Rockland youth live below 150% of federal poverty line
  - Builds on previous bike and e-bike projects
  - E-bike will eliminate emissions from a police cruiser for part of the year

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed
  - Integrated community outreach strategies throughout each phase of the project

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Rockland

**DATE:** 8/24/23

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes and well-designed
  - Identify youth, low-income individuals, and seniors as most vulnerable groups.
  - Program is designed to improve accessibility and transportation equity for these groups

Project duration: 24 months

- Detailed timeline provided

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Rockland

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   20**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$37,720
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes – EMT funds
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Town providing \$8,904 match in staff time
  - E-bike estimate included

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Rockport  
**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>3</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>45</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>20</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>83</b>          |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Rockport

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Rep. Vicki Doudera
  - Rockport Public Library
  - Rockport Library Foundation
  - Rockport Planning and Development

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Rockport

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   3**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Rockport

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Solar Panel Installation – Rockport Public Library
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with C7.



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Rockport

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   45**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Reasonable
  - Roles and responsibilities are assigned
  - Task 1: Install 20.8 kW rooftop solar array on the Rockport Public Library
    - Solicit bids from 3 vendors
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve
  - Library roof is solar ready

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Installation of renewable energy
  - Building’s primary heat source is heat pumps; the solar will offset that consumption
  - Savings will be used to increase investment in library programs

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Well-designed
  - Intend to use cost savings to expand community services and educational outreach
  - Plan to display a monitor showing solar array output and savings
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimal
  - Plan to increase engagement with low-income and elderly community members utilizing cost savings

Project duration: 12 months

- Basic timeline provided

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Rockport

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   20**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes – federal tax credit applied
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Town to contribute \$7,000 to project
  - May need to adjust grant amount based on final selected bid
  - Cost estimate for planning purposes but will solicit competitive bids

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Sedgwick  
**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                  | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                  |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)  | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points) | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points) | <b>42</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points) | <b>14</b>          |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   |                  | <b>76</b>          |
|   |                  |                    |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Sedgwick

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Board of Selectmen
  - Sen. Nicole Grohoski
  - Rep. Nina Milliken
  - Hancock County Commissioners
  - Hancock County Planning Commission
  - Superintendent of Schools
  - Anne Bowes, Town resident
  - Peter Neill, Town resident
  - Patrick and Susan Reilly, Town residents
  - Bob Sargent, Town resident
  - Jerry Wetterskog, Town resident

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Sedgwick

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Sedgwick

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Sedgwick Fossil Fuel Reducing Energy Upgrades
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B1, B2, B3, B4, B5. C1 and H5 were claimed but not described in related tasks.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Sedgwick

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**

Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available:** 60                      **Score:**   42  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Project will be overseen by the Select Board and their delegates – Blue Hill Peninsula Tomorrow
  - Task 1: Heat pump installation in Town Office and fire stations.
    - Apply to Efficiency Maine Small Municipality Retrofit Program
  - Task 2: Insulation and weather sealing of the Town Office.
  - Task 3: LED lighting upgrades in Sedgwick Community School.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes.
  - Significant community support.
  - Unclear if vendor has been identified for Tasks 1 and 2.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned.
  - Builds on previous energy efficiency and clean energy commitments and projects.
  - Air sealing will improve efficiency of existing heat pumps.
  - Eliminate or reduce heating oil usage in Town facilities.
  - Lighting upgrades were identified through a professional assessment.
  - Noted that project was identified as a community priority.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimally considered
  - Application does not anticipate public engagement or education as part of the project
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat
  - Would benefit from a commitment to or mechanism for “reducing the tax burden, which falls disproportionately on Sedgwick’s lower-income residents”
  - School service diverse set of residents.

Project duration: 12 months

- Basic timeline provided

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Sedgwick

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   14**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Worksheet filled out incorrectly (funds requested total should be \$50,000)
  - Task 1 narrative doesn't include costs
  - Task 3 narrative cost doesn't match worksheet – left the Efficiency Maine incentive out of worksheet
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, Efficiency Maine incentive
  - Narrative mentions lighting rebate but it doesn't appear in the table
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Cost estimates for insulation, lighting, and heat pumps are best-knowledge estimates from experienced contractors
  - Would benefit from an actual vendor estimate



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Skowhegan  
**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                  | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                  |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)  | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points) | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points) | <b>45</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points) | <b>12</b>          |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   |                  | <b>77</b>          |
|   |                  |                    |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Skowhegan

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Joel Greenwood, Skowhegan Town Planner
  - Lake George Regional Park
  - Somerset Woods Trustees
  - Somerset Public Health
  - Skowhegan Board of Selectmen

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Skowhegan

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Skowhegan

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Town of Skowhegan Bike and Pedestrian Village Connector (The Hub)
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with A5, A6, and H2.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Skowhegan

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**

Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   45**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Scope is reasonable, though it would be helpful if tasks were organized similarly across scope, timeline, and budget
  - Project will create a bike/ped “Hub” in a neglected area on town property. A map or drawings would be helpful, it is difficult to envision what the Hub actually is – a building? an intersection?
  - Town Planner and Town Manager will manage implementation.
  - Task 1 – Improvements to pedestrian/bicyclist safety
    - Replace deteriorated fencing.
    - Repair and repaint stanchions that block motorized vehicles from the bridge pathway.
    - Re-orientate and paint crosswalks.
  - Task 2 – Improve accessibility and mobility
    - Clear brush and growth
    - Create a trail through lots 2-6 to connect paved footbridge to existing paved Debe trail.
    - Create and install directional signage for Debe Trail and Bike Pump Park, Philbrick Trails, Mill Street access, and Redington/Fairview Hospital trail.
  - Task 3 – Increase green space, resting points for community members
    - Plant shrubbery and perennial flowers
    - Install park bench at river overlook
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Scope is somewhat achievable. Steps are reasonably detailed, but additional information would help understand feasibility of work.
  - Bike network is expected to reduce VMT by 0.75mi per use
  - Difficult to determine how this particular project will meet the VMT target above

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Project creates bike/ped connections to several neighborhoods on the south side of the river in Skowhegan.
  - Increases bike/ped safety by offering trails not shared with cars/trucks.
  - Decreases downtown congestion.
  - Adds to work with MaineDOT Village Partnership initiative.
  - Project appears to have broad community support and partners.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Skowhegan

**DATE:** 8/7/23

- Moderately expected
- Held community events to discuss the project, including design charettes.
- Would benefit from an ongoing community engagement plan to activate the space and educate residents on the improved trails.
- Plan to have ribbon cutting and dedication ceremony.
- LGRP letter of support describes expected outreach by partners. Letter also anticipates returning for funding in future grant rounds
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat – identifies seniors and mobility impaired community members as key groups that will benefit from this project. Would benefit from an outreach plan that targets these groups and involves them in the project development.
  - Trail system would connect underserved communities to downtown employment opportunities.
  - Installing appropriate signage for diverse groups.
  - Free gear library to improve access to outdoor activities.

Project duration: 12 months

- Detailed timeline included

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Skowhegan

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   12**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Narrative doesn't include costs for each task.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
  - Town is committing \$5,000 of in-kind staff time.
- Other notes
  - Would be helpful to know how the cost estimates were derived.
  - Narrative references attachments that were missing.
  - Looking for donations or discounts on materials.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Solon  
**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                  | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                  |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)  | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points) | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points) | <b>30</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points) | <b>20</b>          |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   |                  | <b>70</b>          |
|   |                  |                    |



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Solon  
**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: n/a

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) No

\*\*\*\*\*

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Solon

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5**

**Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Solon

**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Town Office & Fire Station Solar Energy Installation
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with C7

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Solon  
**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available:** 60                      **Score:**   30  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Details were limited
  - Noted the project to take 10 days, which may include the installation but not the permitting and other site work needed for installation.
  - Task 1 Install 25kW solar array on the fire station
    - Applicant reports that permit requirements will be facilitated by the vendor
    - Will save town \$7000 in first year and \$260,000 over 25 years
    - Any additional energy produced will credit toward town's other buildings
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Somewhat - Based on the quote and Town's approval of funds toward project, it's likely it can be completed.
  - Would generally benefit from more detail.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned, desire to lead by example
  - High percentage of LMI residents so it would be difficult to proceed with project without grant award.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Describe participation in enrollment workshops but no plans to engagement for this project.
  - Missed opportunity to do active public education around project.
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimally, general benefit of cost savings that can mitigate the Town's need to raise taxes

Project duration: 12 months

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Solon

**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   20**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Yes, approved \$30,000 of Town funds to use toward the project
- Other notes
  - Suggest doublechecking federal tax credit

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** South Portland

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                  | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                  |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)  | <b>0</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points) | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points) | <b>60</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points) | <b>20</b>          |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   |                  | <b>95</b>          |
|   |                  |                    |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** South Portland

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:** \_\_Pass\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - South Portland Bicycle and Pedestrian Committee

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:** \_\_Pass\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : Yes

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** South Portland

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   0**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large
- SVI (low, med, high): low



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** South Portland

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Thornton-Cash Neighborhood Byway
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with A5, A6, and H4.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** South Portland

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available:** 60                      **Score:**   60  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Project tasks and deliverables are clear and well-defined.
  - Roles and responsibilities are assigned.
  - Contractors are identified.
  - Task 1: Pilot Project Improvements
    - Traffic calming tactics in key corridors
  - Task 2: Hard Infrastructure Improvements
    - Addition of a ADA accessible pedestrian crossing at key connector street
  - Task 3: Wayfinding Signs and Markings
    - 44 signs and painted markings on roadways
  - Task 4: Planning and engineering
    - Hire Sebago Technics to plan and engineering roadway treatments
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve desired outcomes.
  - Experienced consultant – Sebago Technics
  - Proactive public engagement throughout the process

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Transportation in South Portland contributes to 30% of City’s GHG emissions
  - Support’s City’s climate action plan goals, including:
    - By 2035, 26% of trips in SP will use public transit or active transportation
    - 5% of all trips completed by bike by 2040
  - School consolidation may lead to increased traffic along this corridor – byway will offer a safer route to walk or bike to new school
  - Byway concept was first introduced by GPCOG in *Cash Corner Traffic Calming Report*
  - Mode shift is a critical piece of the City’s climate plan
  - Testing new concepts with pilot projects
  - Timing aligns well with new school opening

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed
  - Focus on equity

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** South Portland

**DATE:** 8/24/23

- Community-centered planning process to develop byway
- Walking and biking “school buses” will engage families to use byway to get to the new school
- Includes public input and participate during the project
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes and well-designed
  - Byway will serve Justice 40 neighborhoods surrounded by major, high-traffic roadways
  - 6-month public engagement process leading up to proposal
  - Community survey will assess success, and treatment can be changed based on response
  - Work with School Community Liaison

Project duration: 12 months

- Detailed timeline provided

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** South Portland

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   20**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** South Thomaston  
**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>55</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>20</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>95</b>          |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** South Thomaston

**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Rep. Ann Matlack
  - Sen. Pinny Beebe-Center
  - Wessaweskeag Historical Society
  - South Thomaston Lions Club
  - South Thomaston Conservation Commission
  - Midcoast Council of Governments

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** South Thomaston

**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** South Thomaston

**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: South Thomaston Municipal Solar Project
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with C7 and H4.



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** South Thomaston

**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   55**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable - 18.53kW grid tied, ground mounted solar array at Library and Community Center, which serves as town’s emergency shelter, will generate 59% of buildings’ load
  - Sundog solar selected as vendor through RFP
  - Task 1 Contracting with installer upon receipt of grant funds
  - Task 2 CMP engineering study (interconnection and NEB agreements)
  - Task 3 Installation (90-day work window)
  - Task 4 Community Engagement
    - Grand opening
    - Fencing and interpretive signage
    - Plantings
    - Progress updates to town email list
    - Educational sessions and activities for youth in library programming, outreach to schools
    - Would benefit from details on how educational content will be developed.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve, good team in place and have already selected an installer through a competitive RFP

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
    - Renewable energy was a community member priority during enrollment workshops
    - Gilford-Butler Library is also used as a community emergency shelter
    - Future projects could include heat pumps, solar and storage
    - Identify developing a robust emergency shelter plan as a priority.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed
    - 5 month engagement process leading up to enrollment.
    - Variety of tactics will be utilized to continue community engagement throughout the process
      - Town listserv

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** South Thomaston

**DATE:** 7/31/23

- Signage
  - Library programming
  - Community garden
  - Grand opening event with educational session
- Emphasizes youth engagement
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes and well-designed
    - Identified older population and fishing community as most vulnerable residents
      - Would benefit from more information on how to continue to connect with these vulnerable communities.
    - Identified youth as a key demographic for outreach.

Project duration: 12 months

- Project timeline included.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** South Thomaston

**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   20**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Included federal tax credit in proposal, plan to pay for native plants and interpretive signage with refund
  - Estimate from Sundog Solar included

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Southwest Harbor

**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                  | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                  |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)  | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points) | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points) | <b>50</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points) | <b>18</b>          |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   |                  | <b>88</b>          |
|   |                  |                    |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Southwest Harbor

**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:** \_\_Pass\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Select Board
  - Town Manager and Public Works Foreman
  - Gulf of Maine Research Institute
  - A Climate to Thrive

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:** \_\_Pass\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Southwest Harbor

**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Southwest Harbor

**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Municipal Solar Pre-Development and Vulnerability Assessment
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with C7 and F1.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Southwest Harbor

**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   50**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Working with A Climate to Thrive and hope to work with GMRI.
  - Project tasks and deliverables were clearly defined, and specific roles/responsibilities were assigned.
  - Task 1: Municipal Solar Array, Pre-Development
    - 1.1 Site Prioritization
    - 1.2 Feasibility Study for Top Sites
    - 1.3 Build Community Understand and Support
    - 1.4 Integration into the Town Budget and Planning
    - 1.5 Request for Proposals Process
  - Task 2: Community Vulnerability Assessment
    - Contract with a consultant to complete a vulnerability assessment that will include social and economic vulnerability, infrastructure vulnerability, and impact on more sensitive groups (elderly, children, low income residents, and working waterfront community)
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve desired outcomes
  - Task 2 would benefit from a contractor or a process for selecting a contractor.
  - Experienced partners – ACTT and GMRI

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Island community with major fishing population
  - Significant aging population
  - Solar array could significantly reduce municipal carbon emissions and build local energy resilience.
  - Lack staff capacity to build understanding and conduct their vulnerability assessment
  - Recent comp plan update prioritized planning for sea level rise and climate impacts.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed for Task 1
  - Task 2 would benefit from more detail on engagement activities



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Southwest Harbor

**DATE:** 8/11/23

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat - Identified vulnerable community members - elderly, children, low-income residents, and working waterfront community – but specific engagement tactics are not included for vulnerability assessment.

Project duration: 24 months

- Detailed timeline provided.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Southwest Harbor

**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   18**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$49,875
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Would benefit from a description on how Task 2 costs were derived.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Standish  
**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>0</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>40</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>20</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>75</b>          |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Standish

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:** \_\_Pass\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - GPCOG

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:** \_\_Pass\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Standish

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   0**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Standish

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Town Office LED Conversion
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B2.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Standish

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   40**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Reasonable
  - Replace all existing florescent lights in Town Office with LED lights
  - Would benefit from identification of a project manager.
  - Estimated to save \$3000/year
  - Task 1:
    - Replacing 76 96w florescent fixtures with 50w LED fixtures,
    - Replacing 8 32w florescent lamps with 12w LED lamps,
    - Replacing 1 36w florescent fixture with an 18w LED fixture,
    - Replacing 54 96w florescent fixtures with 35w LED fixtures,
    - Replacing 57 15w recessed lamps with 9w LED recessed lamps.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve desired outcome.
  - Vendor estimate provided.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Reducing energy consumption.
  - Leading by example for residents.
  - Using this project to initiate long term goals.
  - Builds on previous energy efficiency project.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimally considered
  - Project will raise visibility of energy efficiency efforts
  - No public engagement or education is planned as part of the project.
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimally – vulnerable/disadvantaged groups not identified or engaged.

Project duration: 12 months

- Basic timeline included.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Standish

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   20**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$44,639
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, Efficiency Maine rebate
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Vendor estimate included.



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Stonington  
**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>50</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>20</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>90</b>          |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #: 202305100**

**RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant**

**APPLICANT: Stonington**

**DATE: 8/11/23**

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available: Pass/Fail                      Score: \_Pass\_**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Senator Nicole Grohoski
  - State Legislator Holly Eaton
  - Town of Stonington Selectmen

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available: Pass/Fail                      Score: \_Pass\_**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Stonington

**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Stonington

**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score: 15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Advancing Stonington’s Community & Economic Resilience: Implementing Climate-Ready Infrastructure and Promoting Natural Climate Solutions
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with Strategy E5, E9, G1, and G2.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Stonington

**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**

Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available:** 60                      **Score:**   50  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Tasks are detailed and reasonable
  - Town would need to request authorization to change scope depending on approval of other grants
  - Demonstrating the planning to implementation timeline.
  - Confirm with DMR and DACF to see if grants are funded.
  - Task 1 Town Match for Multiple Grant Opportunities:
    - \$26,000 as a partial town match of \$671,364 requested from the Northern Border Regional Commission (Maine DECD): The project will raise a 400' section of Oceanville Road 3 - 4 feet to Elevation 10 NAVD 88 in response to sea level rise and climate change.
    - \$13,000 as town match for \$50,000 Coastal Community Planning Grant requested from the Department of Marine Resources: Create the plans and bid packages for a climate-ready infrastructure project that protects the natural environment around a sea-level rise adaptation for 650' of Fifield Point Road.
    - \$11,000 as town match for \$50,000 Shore & Harbor Planning Grant requested from the Department of Marine Resources: Commission professional expertise to recommend climate resilient measures to improve public access to Stonington's working waterfront to improve both commercial and recreational uses, and to begin adaptation of the town's Main Street shoreline that is gravely subject to climate-driven sea level rise.
  - Task 2: Village Partnership Initiative Planning Grant
    - If any of the above applications aren't awarded, use funds to improve walkability/bikeability of downtown areas, installation of EV chargers, or complete streets policy development.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Pending funding of full town match and other grants, likely to achieve.
  - If awarded, would need to see applications for other grants to further understand climate considerations for each project.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - All 3 projects are priorities identified in Town's sea level rise adaptation report and economic resiliency strategy.
  - Project #1 is a one-way in road for over 100 residents.
  - Stonington is both the poorest and the oldest community in Hancock County.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Stonington

**DATE:** 8/11/23

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Somewhat - Strong record of community engagement on past projects but community engagement connected to the proposed projects is not described.
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Well-designed - Projects will benefit the town's poorest residents and a number of generational fishermen

Project duration: 24 months

- Basic timeline provided

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Stonington

**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   20**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
  - Village Partnership Initiative project not included in the narrative or worksheet
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Confirm how Town is funding the remaining \$671,364 cost
  - Task 1 - \$1,298,728 grant funds available with match
  - Task 2 - \$50,000 grant funds available with match
  - Task 3 - \$50,000 grant funds available with match

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Sumner  
**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>43</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>20</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>83</b>          |



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Sumner

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - n/a

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Sumner

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Sumner

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Energy Efficiency Improvements in the Town Office and Fire Barn
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B1, B2, B3, and B4.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Sumner

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   43**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable.
  - Town select board and Town Clerk will oversee the process.
  - Task 1: weatherize town office and fire barn.
    - Air sealing and insulation
  - Task 2: install a VRF heat pump system in town office and fire barn.
  - Task 3: upgrade interior lighting to LED – approximately 19 new LED fixtures.
    - May be too small to qualify for Efficiency Maine incentives
  - Task 4: Appliance upgrade – two Energy Star compliant or suggested refrigerators and a range.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve desired outcomes.
  - Timeline had reasonable expectations around challenges of getting a contractor.
  - Task 2 heat pump system was designed by an Efficiency Maine qualified vendor.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Heat pumps will replace heating oil system.
  - Part of a greater energy efficiency plan – including a future solar installation.
  - Approx. 30% of residents make less than \$35k/annually – keeping taxes low is a priority

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
  - Community workshop was held as part of the enrollment process.
  - No additional community engagement planned.
  - Visible heat pumps/LED lighting will demonstrate energy efficient technology to the community.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimally – vulnerable/disadvantaged groups not identified or engaged.

Project duration: 12 months

- Detailed project timeline included.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Sumner

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   20**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$41,050
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes – Efficiency Maine
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Mentions vendor quote for tasks 1, 2, and 3 and a search of local retailers for task 4.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Topsham  
**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>3</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>60</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>20</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>98</b>          |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Topsham  
**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Topsham Select Board
  - Topsham Conservation Commission
  - Topsham Comprehensive Plan Implementation Committee
  - Topsham Fire and Rescue
  - Topsham Public Library
  - Highland Green
  - Cathance River Education Alliance
  - Sen. Eloise Vitelli
  - Rep. Rebecca Jauch

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #: 202305100**

**RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant**

**APPLICANT: Topsham**

**DATE: 8/24/23**

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score: 3**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): low



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Topsham

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Topsham Climate Action Plan Update
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with C1, C2, C3, F1, G1, and H2.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFA #: 202305100

RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

APPLICANT: Topsham

DATE: 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   60**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Scope of work involves many tasks and deliverables but is clearly outlined and roles/responsibilities are assigned.
  - Task 1: Community Engagement
    - 1.a. Community visioning
    - 1.b. Ongoing community engagement – provide examples of strategies that project partners may include in community engagement
  - Task 2: Hire a Consultant, Write and release a CAP consultant RFP, interview candidates, and enter into a contact with a consultant.
  - Task 3: Infrastructure, Assets, and Social and Economic Vulnerability Assessment
  - Task 4: GHG inventory and emission reduction target setting
  - Task 5: Climate Action Planning
  - Task 6: Report Drafting
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve desired outcomes
  - Experienced partners – MCOG, Maine Sea Grant, Casco Bay Estuary Partnership
  - Large and experienced partner team with clear roles
  - Budget narrative describes MCOG as overseeing consultant and hiring ICLEI

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned.
  - Topsham is anticipating more historically large river rises from its over 12-mile Androscoggin River frontage and large watershed with properties in the FEMA flood zones.
  - Committed to transitioning to clean energy and energy efficiency.
  - Updated plan will help to determine where town resources would be best directed to address climate impacts

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and Well-Designed
  - Task 1 outlines detailed community engagement strategy as part of the climate action planning process.
  - Anticipates barriers to participation by various groups
  - Will work closely with community leaders in implementing an engagement plan

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Topsham

**DATE:** 8/24/23

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes – vulnerability assessment will identify most vulnerable/disadvantaged community members that are most at risk to climate hazards.
  - Developing guidelines for equitable actions and engagement.

Project duration: 24 months

- Detailed timeline included.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Topsham

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   20**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - \$9,500 MCOG and Town Staff in-kind hours included

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Vassalboro  
**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                  | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                  |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)  | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points) | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points) | <b>43</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points) | <b>20</b>          |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   |                  | <b>83</b>          |
|   |                  |                    |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Vassalboro

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Town Manager
  - Vassalboro Conservation Commission

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Vassalboro

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Vassalboro

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Upgrading Municipal Buildings Heating/Cooling
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B4.



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Vassalboro

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   43**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Scope**

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Task 1: Install heat pumps at Town Office, Fire Station, and Public Works Garage.
  - Expected outcome are clear but overall scope of work is limited.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes.
  - Timeline may be ambitious depending on contractor availability.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Reducing heating oil usage with energy efficient heat pumps.
  - Part of greater plan to reduce Town’s carbon footprint, including a WindowDresser’s community build.
  - Already have solar net metering and heat pumps in municipal buildings.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately considered
  - Vassalboro Conservation Commission—a volunteer citizen group—meets regularly and has worked actively on both natural resource management and energy efficiency.
  - Letter from town manager notes intention of establishing an ad hoc energy committee to propose long-term planning for energy and advise the town on options for future projects.
  - Proposal lacks detail on how community will participate in this particular project.
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimally – vulnerable/disadvantaged groups not identified or engaged.
  - Applicant expects project to distribute tax savings equitably, but does not specify a mechanism
  - Town partners with WindowDressers to assist vulnerable community members, but is not part of this project

**Project duration: 12 months**

- Heat pumps anticipated to be installed by the end of 2023.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Vassalboro

**DATE:** 8/3/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   20**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$34,745
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Budget narrative doesn't include costs but there is sufficient detail provided in the Appendices.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, Efficiency Maine rebates.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Vendor estimate attached.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Waldoboro  
**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>8</b>           |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>35</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>20</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>68</b>          |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Waldoboro

**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Town of Waldoboro
  - Town of Waldoboro Economic Development Committee

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Waldoboro

**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Waldoboro

**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   8**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Purchase of Solar Array located at the Waldoboro Transfer Station
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Somewhat aligned with C6

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFA #: 202305100

RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

APPLICANT: Waldoboro

DATE: 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**

Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   35**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Project outcome was clear, but the detail was limited.
  - Task 1: Purchase an existing solar array from Sundog Solar.
    - Total purchase cost is \$164,176.
    - Town voters approved \$82,000 for purchase in June 2023. Will warrant remaining cost less CAG award in November
    - Town will assume maintenance costs
    - PPA gives town option to purchase in 6th year of contract. Must be completed by June 30, 2024
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Will reduce the Town’s expenses but will not reduce emissions.
  - Since the project is an existing solar project it seems like the main barrier is the funds to purchase the PPA.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Project is only somewhat aligned, the funding would just allow the Town to own the solar project in full and lower energy costs.
  - Application says savings may be directed toward “other important priorities as part of the Resilience program” and “the savings...will allow community to take on additional solar-supported projects – including conversion of certain municipal properties to heat pump [and] heating and electrical assistance for town’s less fortunate residents”
  - These are terrific ideas, however we don’t see a commitment to implementing them. Application would greatly benefit from a commitment from the town to implement these ideas, for example, by adding a task to create a town fund that receives the savings each year and dedicates them to the ideas described above.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimally considered – an adhoc groups considered pros and cons of purchase and made decision to move forward, unclear how many people were involved in decision making process.
  - No additional engagement is planned.
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Waldoboro

**DATE:** 7/31/23

- Somewhat, ideas for benefitting less fortunate residents are presented, but no commitment to or mechanism for implementation is provided

Project duration: 12 months

- Project timeline provided



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Waldoboro

**DATE:** 7/31/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   20**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
- Other notes
  - Town approved \$82,000 and will warrant the remaining cost after the grant award

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Waterford  
**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                  | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                  |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)  | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points) | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points) | <b>45</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points) | <b>20</b>          |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   |                  | <b>85</b>          |
|   |                  |                    |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Waterford  
**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:** \_\_Pass\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - n/a

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:** \_\_Pass\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Waterford

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Waterford

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Install LED lighting in Town Hall; Install Phase II of a Solar Array to Power Town Hall
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B2 and C7.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFA #: 202305100

RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

APPLICANT: Waterford

DATE: 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   45**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Reasonable
  - Would benefit from information on who would oversee the projects.
  - Task 1: Install LED lighting in office portion of Town Hall
  - Task 2: Install Phase II of Solar Array to Power Town Hall
    - Offset heat pump usage – powering 100% of town hall electricity load
    - 8.6 kW DC
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
  - Town has a track record of successful execution.
  - Contractor has been selected.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - LED lighting with reduce energy consumption and carbon emissions
    - More reliable lighting in area of Town Hall that is utilized as a Center of Refuge
  - Solar installation will add more renewable power to the grid
    - Demonstrate benefits of solar to residents
    - Lead by example

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderate
  - Visible display of clear energy technology and benefits
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimal
  - Would benefit from mechanism to pass savings benefits along to residents.

Project duration: 12 months

- Timeline provided

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Waterford

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   20**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$45,126.55
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes – Efficiency Maine lighting incentive
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Project should be eligible for federal tax credit
  - Would benefit from including vendor estimates.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Westbrook-Windham  
**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>3</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>10</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>37</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>20</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>70</b>          |



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Westbrook-Windham

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Westbrook DECD
  - GPCOG
  - Other letters from state and delegation for CDS request
  - No letter from Windham

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Westbrook-Windham

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   3**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - Multi-community
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Westbrook-Windham

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   10**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Presumpscot River Corridor Landslide Vulnerability Assessment
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Somewhat aligned with F1 and G1.
  - Lack of inclusion of climate hazards in study.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Westbrook-Windham

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**

Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available:** 60                      **Score:**   37  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed
  - CRP funding will be used as a match to support Task 1
  - Roles and responsibilities are clearly defined
    - Would benefit from more detail on Windham’s role
  - Task 1: Data Inventory + Geotechnical Evaluation
    - Outcome 1.1 – Available geologic and geotechnical data will be compiled and analyzed by UMaine College of Engineering.
    - Outcome 1.2 – A multi-disciplinary group of experts (i.e., Technical Advisory Panel [TAP]) will be convened to review data, identify gaps and provide input to develop and implement a comprehensive geotechnical investigation.
    - Outcome 1.3 – A comprehensive geotechnical investigation will be conducted by a licensed and qualified geotechnical contractor to harvest additional information needed for the project partners and TAP
    - Outcome 1.4 – A summary report will be prepared by UMaine to provide technical guidance on the triggers associated with the 2020 landslide, and to guide/support the development of policy
  - Other task under earmark funding –
    - Task 2: POLICY EVALUATION + DEVELOPMENT
    - Task 3: Recommendations for Future Mitigation
    - Task 4: Program Implementation + Project Closeout
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve the City’s desired outcomes
  - Complex project with well-organized scope, strong project partners and regional impact

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Minimal alignment with Maine Won’t Wait
  - This appears to be a hazard mitigation project consisting of a geotechnical analysis, local policy evaluation, and mitigation recommendations
  - Application would benefit from consideration of possible climate-related conditions that led to the landslide, consideration of climate-related influences in the future stability of other sites along the river, and consideration of climate adaptation and resilience in policy recommendations and future funding requests.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Westbrook-Windham

**DATE:** 8/21/23

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
  - GPCOG to help organize workshop for local/state legislators
  - Plan to have experts craft messaging to community members
  - Plan to circulate meeting minutes
  - Application would benefit from more detail on engagement efforts and ways for the public to provide input
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimally
  - Proposal notes that all census tracts in Westbrook are LMI and project will build resiliency/ability to protect LMI homes and support infrastructure in the area.
  - Additional detail on stakeholder engagement and specific engagement of LMI/other vulnerable populations with complex scientific data would benefit proposal.

Project duration: 24 months

- Detailed timeline provided

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Westbrook-Windham

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   20**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$125,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Detailed scope of work and budget narrative attached
  - Only requesting funds for Task 1

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Westport Island  
**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                  | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                  |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)  | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points) | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points) | <b>60</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points) | <b>20</b>          |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   |                  | <b>100</b>         |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Westport Island

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Senator Cameron Reny, Senate District 13.
  - Holly Stover, Representative, House District 89
  - Mary Ellen Barnes, Executive Director, Lincoln County Regional Planning Commission
  - Donna Curry, Chair, Westport Island Select Board
  - Ruth Indrick, Kennebec Estuary Land Trust
  - Jason Kates, Director, Westport Island Emergency Management Agency
  - James Cromwell, Westport Island Road Commissioner

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n):
  - Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Westport Island

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Westport Island

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Sea Level Rise Risks and Adaptation for Westport Island Roads, Bridges, Tidal Inlets and Homes
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with F1, G1, G2, and G5.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

RFA #: 202305100

RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

APPLICANT: Westport Island

DATE: 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   60**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Highly detailed and reasonable
  - Deliverables and outcomes were clearly explained and defined.
  - Roles and responsibilities were assigned for each task.
  - Task 1 – Establish Sea Level Rise Projection Ranges
    - 1.1 Utilize guidelines provided by “Maine Won’t Wait”, MDOT, Maine Geological Survey and Maine CoastWise forecasts
    - 1.2 Select High, Medium, Low and Very Low Risk Tolerance Allowances for use in cost/risk analysis.
  - Task 2 - Identify All Public and Private Roads and Homes Susceptible to SLR and Storm Surge Inundation
    - 2.1 Utilize an updated GIS model to examine all tidal inlets and low-lying roads and homes subject to inundation.
    - 2.2 Reach out to residents of susceptible locations to provide information and options.
  - Task 3 – Conduct a Pre-Design Study for 3 Known tidal crossings
    - 3.1 Data Collection
    - 3.2 Stakeholder Outreach
    - 3.3 Draft Feasibility Report
    - 3.4 Public Outreach
    - 3.5 Final Feasibility Report
  - Task 4 - Identify Options for Marsh Restoration and Habitat Enhancement for three known Tidal Inlets Impacted by Road Crossings.
    - 4.1 Consider Options for Restoration
    - 4.2 Evaluate Ecological Benefits of each option
  - Task 5 – Conduct a Public Hearing to Present the Results of the Study and the Recommendations for Public Comment
  - Task 6 –Publish a Final Report.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve
  - Strong project partners with clear roles, tasks and deliverables
  - Identified engineering consultant
  - Integrate MWW SLR projections, Stream Smart, and CoastWise

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Westport Island

**DATE:** 8/24/23

- Certain roads are already experiencing overtopping and flooding during major storm events, cutting residents off from emergency services
- Plan to apply for subsequent funding for design and construction of new tidal crossings
- Several vulnerable areas were highlighted in Lincoln County hazard mitigation plan

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed
  - Outreach strategies to engage residents to educate and offer input are included throughout the process – public meetings and surveys.
  - Notification of property owners who buildings will be impacted by sea level rise
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes and well-designed
  - Desired outcome is to ensure safety of all residents in response to storm surge and rising sea levels

Project duration: 24 months

- Detailed timeline provided.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Westport Island

**DATE:** 8/24/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   20**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - 200 in-kind volunteer hours
  - Identified Wright Pierce or similarly qualified engineering consultant

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Wilton  
**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                  | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                  |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)  | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points) | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points) | <b>40</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points) | <b>20</b>          |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   |                  | <b>80</b>          |
|   |                  |                    |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Wilton

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - n/a

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Wilton

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Wilton

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Heat Pump Installation in the Town Office
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B4.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Wilton

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**

Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   40**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Town Manager to oversee project.
  - Additional detail about educational piece would be beneficial.
  - Task 1: Install Heat Pump System in the Town Office
    - Two-unit heat pump system
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcome.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Insufficient heating/cooling in public meeting space.
  - Town’s first step in increasing energy efficiency in municipal buildings and beginning resiliency efforts.
  - Use project to build buy-in from the community.
  - Interest in implementing a bulk purchasing program in the future.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
  - Described enrollment workshop but no specific engagement or education activities were described as part of this project.
  - Heat pumps will serve as a demonstration project for residents to learn from.
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimally – vulnerable/disadvantaged groups were not addressed.

Project duration: 12 months

- Detailed project timeline provided.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Wilton

**DATE:** 8/7/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   20**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$18,445.60
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Project is too small for an EMT rebate.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - 40 house in-kind match
  - Vendor estimate referenced but not attached.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** WindowDressers-Passamaquoddy Indian Township & Pleasant Point

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik

**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                  | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                  |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)      | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)  | <b>5</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points) | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points) | <b>60</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points) | <b>19</b>          |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   |                  | <b>99</b>          |
|   |                  |                    |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** WindowDressers-Passamaquoddy Indian Township & Pleasant Point

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Tribal Government
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Indian Township Tribal Government
  - Pleasant Point Reservation

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:**   Pass  

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** WindowDressers-Passamaquoddy Indian Township & Pleasant Point

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   5**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - Multi-tribal governments
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** WindowDressers-Passamaquoddy Indian Township & Pleasant Point

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Weatherization at Indian Township and Pleasant Point
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with H1, H5, and H7.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** WindowDressers-Passamaquoddy Indian Township & Pleasant Point

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF**

Criteria 5 – Scope of Work

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   60**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Starting off by hiring a project coordinator
  - Roles/responsibilities were well defined
  - Described how application differs from Pleasant Point/Eastport grant from previous round
  - Task 1: Education, Outreach, & Planning (Sep 2023-March 2024)
    - Communicate home energy saving benefits of window inserts to tribal members
    - Hire Project Coordinator to manage build
    - Form Indian Township Resilience Citizen Committee – stipends will be offered
    - Collaborate with Tribal Housing, Tribal LIHEAP, MaineHousing Programs, Efficiency Maine and other state and federal programs to implement the Insulation Project.
    - Develop RFP for weatherization bulk purchase needs (insulation)
  - Task 2: Application Review & Selection (April-July 2024)
    - Conducting outreach, identifying applicants, and measuring windows for the window insert build while also identifying homes for the spray foam insulation project.
    - Focused outreach on most vulnerable residents – elderly and low-income
  - Task 3: Project Implementation (June-December 2024 and beyond)
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve
  - Can learn from Pleasant Point/Eastport project
  - Experienced partners

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Small, isolated communities with high poverty rates and older populations.
  - Current reliance on heating oil.
  - Residents desire weatherization upgrades but cannot afford them.
  - Housing stock has challenges and has deteriorated
  - Lack of awareness of incentive programs

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed
  - Builds capacity by supporting citizen committees and a program coordinator



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** WindowDressers-Passamaquoddy Indian Township & Pleasant Point

**DATE:** 8/21/23

- Task 1 outlines an integrated community engagement strategy that will ongoing throughout the project period.
  - Utilizes multiple communication channels
  - Community events
  - Marketing materials
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes and well-designed
  - Focused outreach and services on the most vulnerable community members
  - Compensate committee members and provide food at trainings and build event

Project duration: 24 months

- Detailed timeline provided

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** WindowDressers-Passamaquoddy Indian Township & Pleasant Point

**DATE:** 8/21/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   19**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$124,998
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - “Other Funds” total incorrect
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Budget narrative tasks do not align with project scope tasks
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, Efficiency Maine insulation rebates
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Should grant fund stipends for Sipayik Committee members a second time?
    - \$17,000
  - \$156,395, or 56%, in-kind hours and travel expenses included

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** Yarmouth  
**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>3</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>50</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>18</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>86</b>          |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Yarmouth

**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:** \_\_Pass\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): Yes
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Friends of Casco Bay
  - Casco Bay Estuary Partnership
  - Royal River Alliance
  - Royal River Conservation Trust

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available:** Pass/Fail                      **Score:** \_\_Pass\_\_

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Yarmouth

**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   3**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Yarmouth

**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Whitcomb’s Brook Crossing Culvert, Yarmouth Wastewater Treatment Plant
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with G3 and G5.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Yarmouth

**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   50**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Scope**

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - The Town Engineer will be leading the project
  - Task 1: Confirming Bid
  - Task 2: Complete Sitework
    - Replace undersized culvert with Stream Smart crossing 7' culvert
  - Task 3: Project Outreach
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve
  - Following DEP Stream Smart crossing standards

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Only vehicular access road to Town's wastewater treatment facility
  - Culvert is in danger of collapsing

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
  - Plan to develop educational communication materials to share with community after project completion.
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? [*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*]
  - Minimal – most vulnerable/disadvantaged groups not identified or engaged.

**Project duration: 12 months**

- Timeline provided
- Confirm that work has not already started

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** Yarmouth

**DATE:** 8/25/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   18**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - No costs included in the narrative
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Town is allocating at least \$650,000 wastewater capital reserve
- Other notes
  - Recommend to DOT culvert program
  - Would benefit from including vendor bid for the project



**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100  
**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant  
**APPLICANT:** York  
**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**DEPARTMENT NAME:** Governor’s Office of Policy Innovation and the Future  
**NAME OF RFP COORDINATOR:** Ashley Krulik  
**NAMES OF EVALUATORS:** Brian Ambrette, Ashley Krulik, Caroline Colan

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUMMARY PAGE**

|   |                   | Points<br>Awarded: |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| <b>Numerical Score:</b>   |                   |                    |
| Criteria 1: General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 2: Previous Community Action Grant Status                      | (Pass/Fail)       | <b>Pass</b>        |
| Criteria 3: Community Characteristics                                   | (Max: 5 Points)   | <b>0</b>           |
| Criteria 4: Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)                     | (Max: 15 Points)  | <b>15</b>          |
| Criteria 5: Scope of Work   | (Max: 60 Points)  | <b>52</b>          |
| Criteria 6: Budget Proposal   | (Max: 20 Points)  | <b>14</b>          |
|   |                   |                    |
| <b>TOTAL POINTS</b>   | (Max: 100 Points) | <b>81</b>          |

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #: 202305100**

**RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant**

**APPLICANT: York**

**DATE: 8/11/23**

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

**Total Points Available: Pass/Fail                      Score: \_\_Pass\_\_**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Previous applicant (y/n): No
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - York’s Energy Steering Committee
  - York Community Service Association
  - York County Habitat for Humanity
  - Rep. Gerry Runte
  - Abode Energy Management
  - HeatSmart Alliance

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

**Total Points Available: Pass/Fail                      Score: \_\_Pass\_\_**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : No

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** York

**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

**Total Points Available: 5                      Score:   0**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** York

**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

**Total Points Available: 15                      Score:   15**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: York Energy Coach Program: A Residential Building Decarbonization Pilot Program
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with H2 and H5.

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** York

**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Total Points Available: 60                      Score:   52**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Project description and goals are clearly outlined
  - Roles and responsibilities were well defined.
    - Town is the grantee and is responsible for grant deliverables and reporting – will need a role for town staff in this project
  - Task 1: Coach assists residents to decarbonize their homes.
    - Volunteers work with neighbors to provide information on energy audits, weatherization, heat pumps, financial incentives, and potential savings.
    - Connect residents with vendors.
  - Task 2: Energy coach training and support
    - Abode Energy Management to train coaches and develop toolkit
  - Task 3: Public engagement
    - Develop marketing materials, hold public events, publish news columns, distribute surveys
  - Task 4: Program management
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Somewhat likely
  - Complex project with many partners and roles, strong project management will be critical
  - Would like to see more involvement and role for Town staff
  - Two-year pilot but most activities are in year one
  - A significant missing target is the number of coaches to be trained
    - Letter of support from HeatSmart Alliance says MA volunteers average 1-2 consultation per month. The applicant’s goal of 67 consultations in 12 months would require just 3-5 coaches. The program already has 4 according to page 6.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Residential buildings are the largest contributor to GHG emissions in York – buildings make up 74% of all community emissions
  - York Climate Action Plan call for a 50% decrease in emissions by 2030 and 100% cut by 2050.
  - To reach these goals, residents must take action to decarbonize their homes.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** York

**DATE:** 8/11/23

- Robust and well-designed
- An integrated community engagement plan is outlined in Task 3 of the scope
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes – identified elderly and low-income individuals as most vulnerable.
  - Working with key partners to reach these groups – York Community Services Association, York Housing Authority, and York County Habitat for Humanity
    - Partners will refer clients to the coaches
  - For income-qualified households, the Program will provide additional assistance by accessing the Maine Housing and York County Community Action programs and the Household Equity Fund to pay for costs that aren't covered by other programs.
  - Target for low-income installation seems low, would like more information to justify this

Project duration: 12 months

- Detailed timeline provided

**STATE OF MAINE  
TEAM CONSENSUS EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant

**APPLICANT:** York

**DATE:** 8/11/23

\*\*\*\*\*

**EVALUATION OF  
Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

**Total Points Available: 20                      Score:   14**

\*\*\*\*\*

**Evaluation Team Comments:**

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
  - Need more detailed breakdown of how CAG funds will be deployed in Tasks 2 and 4.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Nearly all of the grant funds support personnel – consultant or YRCA executive director. In this case we need more robust description of deliverables and targets.
  - Remaining \$46,000 cost will be covered by foundation grants and fundraising activities.
    - What is current fundraising status?
  - Letter of support from town finance director states support provided the town signs MOU with YRCA and planning department hires an environmental planner – need confirmation of these two pieces
  - Would like to see how targets are derived.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Abbot

**DATE:** 7/29/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - none

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): y
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): med

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Abbot Town Office Heat Pump and LED Indoor and Outdoor Lighting
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with B4, B2, B5

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1 Heat pump installation – vendor already selected
  - Task 2 LED lighting upgrades – vendor already selected
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Population of 650, aging residents on fix-income, 47% are LMI – applicant says project is cost is above ability to raise taxes
  - Town office is also an extreme weather shelter and priority for community



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Abbot

**DATE:** 7/29/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Describes enrollment workshop engagement
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Would benefit from description of emergency shelter plan including who the vulnerable residents are and how they will be communicated with

Project duration – 12 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$18,134
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Funds requested and total project budget are correct
  - Minor error – Task 1 and 2 total costs omit in-kind
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
  - Vendor estimate provided, includes incentives
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - yes
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - In-kind provided but not required
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Abbot

**DATE:** 7/30/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: None

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Abbot Town Office Heat Pump and LED Indoor and Outdoor Lighting
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned, targeting MWW strategy B, Action B2, B4, and B5.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - The two tasks and their outcomes are clear. Proposal includes an anticipated timeline for the work and estimates from Efficiency Maine qualified contractors. The First Selectman will oversee all work.
    - Task 1: Install a heat pump at the town office which also serves as a meeting space and the town's extreme weather shelter.
    - Task 2: Replace current lighting inside and outside of town office with energy efficient LEDs.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve work. Have estimates in hand from Efficiency Maine contractors. Relatively straightforward project.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW?
  - Well-aligned. Town wishes to "lead the community by example by minizing climate impacts."
    - Priority items were identified in the public workshop.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Abbot

**DATE:** 7/30/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Cost of the project is outside the means of the town without putting an additional burden on residents. Abbot has a 47.1% LMI rate and an aging population.
- Due to town's rurality and aging population, as well as the increase in significant weather events, it's a priority to improve their town office which also serves as the "extreme weather shelter" in addition to a place for community meetings.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is moderately expected. Town hosted community resilience workshop on June 20 and advertises through posting notices at frequently visited areas in town and through word of mouth. Meeting was open to the public and held outside of regular business hours to allow more people to attend.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes?
  - Application doesn't provide significant detail on this portion, however they note the importance of the project components to improving their extreme weather shelter, particularly for the elderly and those of medications. Would benefit from additional detail on emergency shelter planning and communication.

Project duration: 12 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$18,134
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) Yes, includes Efficiency Maine rebates for heat pump and lighting upgrades.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - Includes in-kind staff time to oversee projects by the First Selectman at a rate of \$20/hour.
  - Includes estimates from Superior Service (heat pump) and J.K. Electric (lighting upgrades), both Efficiency Maine qualified contractors.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Abbot

**DATE:** 7/28/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - n/a

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n):
  - Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n):
  - No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n)
  - No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Abbot Town Office Heat Pump and LED Indoor and Outdoor Lighting
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned – with B2, B4, and B5.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
    - Overall task is explained but could benefit from including more specific steps involved in the process.
    - Expected outcomes could benefit from more information – total savings, GHG reduction, etc.
  - Task 1 Heat pump installation – vendor already selected
  - Task 2 LED lighting upgrades – vendor already selected
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely – assuming that outcomes are more efficient, clean energy.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Abbot

**DATE:** 7/28/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
    - Proposed heat pumps will support municipal operation as well as a community gathering and meeting place and emergency shelter.
    - Project addresses a high priority need within the community to have an emergency shelter to provide a safe place for residents during a storm event.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected – community engagement was completed to encourage participate at the community workshops.
    - No additional community engagement is planned during/affect project completion.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat - Project will benefit most vulnerable (elderly) members of the community by providing an emergency shelter.
  - Specific outreach was completed to this community prior to the community workshop.

**Project duration:** 12 months

- Project timeline is included

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$18,134
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - No, third column doesn't include in-kind costs
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, Efficiency Maine funding
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - In-kind costs
  - Vendor estimate provided that includes incentives

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Bath

**DATE:** 8/18/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - none

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): no
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Spring and Fall 2022
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): yes
  - Proposed scope is equipment purchases with little ongoing strain on capacity

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - City of Bath Parks & Recreation Department – Parks & Recreation Fleet Electrification – utility vehicle and equipment purchase
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with A1

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1. Solicitation and purchase of equipment
  - Task 2. Baseline data development
  - Task 3. Usage monitoring
  - Task 4. Report detailing usage and impacts
  - Parks & Rec Director will oversee task 1
  - Sustainability Director will oversee tasks 2-4
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Bath

**DATE:** 8/18/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Well aligned with MWW
- Replaces a planned purchase of an internal combustion engine vehicle with a light duty EV maintenance vehicle

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected – both vehicles will be visible to public. City will share emissions reduction data publicly.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimally considered

**Project duration – 12 months**

- Basic timeline provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$15,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a – EM rebates not available for maintenance vehicles and mowers
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - yes
- Other notes
  - Vendor estimates provided

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** City of Bath

**DATE:** 8/22/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: None

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes, Spring 2022 and Fall 2022 grants active.
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): Yes

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Medium
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: City of Bath Parks & Recreation Department – Parks & Recreation Fleet Electrification – utility vehicle and equipment purchase
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with MWW action A1.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Reasonable scope.
  - Bath will purchase an electric utility vehicle and electric mower.
  - Sustainability Director will track usage and cost savings, emissions reductions, and usage impacts.
  - Project management will be shared between Parks and Rec and Sustainability Director.

**Tasks:**

1. Solicitation and purchase of equipment
2. Baseline data development
3. Usage monitoring
4. Report detailing usage and impacts

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Straight forward project. Likely to achieve desired outcomes.



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** City of Bath

**DATE:** 8/22/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Need is well aligned.
  - Part of plan to begin electrifying town vehicles and equipment. Town is working with vendor to apply for NEVI funding for fast charging in community.
  - Bath Climate Action Plan identified increase in vehicle emissions and need to reduce trend.
  - Aligns with capital improvement vehicle replacement schedule for fiscal year.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Well-designed. Will make its data tracking on usage and fuel reductions available to the public via a website. Will use purchase as showcase of electrification of vehicles.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Proposal doesn't identify or address vulnerable or disadvantaged groups.

Project duration: 12 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$15,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
  
- Other notes
  - Vendor quotes attached.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Bath

**DATE:** 8/22/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - n/a

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): yes

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: City of Bath Parks & Recreation Department – Parks & Recreation Fleet Electrification – utility vehicle and equipment purchase
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with A1.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Reasonable
  - Would benefit from more information on the vehicles that Bath is interested in purchasing.
  - Roles and responsibilities are assigned
  - Task 1: Solicitation and purchase of equipment
  - Task 2: Baseline data development
  - Task 3: Usage monitoring
  - Task 4: Report detailing usage and impacts
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Bath

**DATE:** 8/22/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Aligns with Bath Climate Action Plan priorities – decrease municipal fleet emissions
  - Currently developing parking area EV charging policy
  - Applying for federal NEVI funding for fast chargers

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
  - Developing public website to track vehicle usage and fuel/emissions reductions
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimal – vulnerable/disadvantaged groups not identified or engaged.

**Project duration:** 12 months

- Basic timeline provided.

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$15,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Budget narrative doesn't include costs
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Bath is offering \$49,306 in cash match to purchase the vehicles

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Belfast

**DATE:** 7/29/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - City manager
  - Chair, City of Belfast Climate, Energy, Utilities Committee

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): y
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): med
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Assessments for, and installations of, heat pump systems and/or variable refrigerant flow systems for the heating and cooling of City of Belfast buildings and upgrading building electrical subpanels.
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - B4

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1 Conduct assessment of buildings
    - City hall, boathouse, and former Waldo County Courthouse which is “likely” to be acquired during grant period
  - Task 2 Purchase and install heat pumps or VRF
    - “in one, two, or all three buildings depending on suitability and available funding”
    - Lacks specificity needed to fund this project, need to know which buildings and have cost estimates
  - Task 3 Upgrade building electrical panels
    - Cannot fund unspecified “if necessary” costs

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Belfast

**DATE:** 7/29/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Heat pumps are likely to reduce the city's costs and emissions, however, the applicant only describes which buildings and which work may be needed.
  - Would be better if assessment had been conducted prior to the application

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned
  - City currently has more NEB credits from PPAs and owned solar than is being consumed by operations. Heat pump improvements would utilize this excess capacity at little cost

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Describes public engagement during enrollment workshops and city council meetings
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Applicant states that reducing city's energy costs would reduce the tax revenues needed to be raised, which they consider an equitable distribution
    - Would the city actually lower taxes, or deploy the savings elsewhere in the budget? Could the savings be used for future energy or resilience projects?

### Project duration – 24 months

- Detailed timeline provided

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes but appears dependent upon loose estimates rather than vendor quotes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Two narratives and budget worksheets provided depending on whether heat pump or VRF system is chosen.
  - Applicant needs to choose a system design and receive cost estimates before this can be eligible for grant funding
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - yes
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Invite to reapply once assessment is completed, project specifications are known, and cost estimates and incentives are more firm

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** City of Belfast

**DATE:** 7/30/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Erin Herbig, City Manager, City of Belfast
  - Jon Beal, Chair, City of Belfast Climate, Energy, and Utilities Committee

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Medium
- SVI (low, med, high): High

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Assessments for, and installations of, heat pump systems and/or variable refrigerant flow systems for the heating and cooling of City of Belfast buildings, and upgrading building electrical subpanels
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned, targeting MWW Strategy B, Action B4.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Tasks/deliverables/expected outcomes are partially described. Several "if necessary" pieces of project included. Detailed timeline included. More clear roles and responsibilities of specific city staff would be useful. Who will be project coordinator?
    - Task 1: Conduct suitability assessment for heat pump system an/or VRF system in city owned buildings (Belfast City Hall, Belfast Boathouse, Waldo County Courthouse). [Courthouse not currently owned by town]
    - Task 2: Based on results of assessment, install energy efficient heating system in 1-3 town buildings. [Would like to better understand whether scope will be 1, 2, or 3 buildings]
    - Task 3: If needed as determined by assessment, complete electrical subpanel upgrades.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes?

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** City of Belfast

**DATE:** 7/30/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Based on outcome of assessment, scope of work is likely to be achieved. More detailed needed.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Need is well-aligned. Project was determined to be a community priority at the community workshop (held June 6) and based on multiple conversations at Belfast City Council meetings. Believe project would meet two City objectives: reducing dependence on fossil fuels and reducing energy costs. These reductions would reduce the City budget. Project would also build upon other efforts undertaken by the town, including 3 city solar arrays.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - City meetings are televised live and rerun on the city's government television station with an estimated audience of >1,000. Also streamed online, on the radio, and are notified on the city website in advance. The community workshop was also shared on those platforms. Application notes that the workshop was intended to be an inclusive planning process and a sincere effort to encourage robust engagement, but doesn't describe specific efforts or whether they believe they achieved that aim.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Lacks detail on specific engagement with vulnerable or disadvantaged groups.
  - Application notes that the improvements made to public buildings, reduce in fossil fuel use and greenhouse gas generation, and reduced property tax needs will all be outcomes that are "distributed equitably." More detail needed on how equitable distribution of benefits would occur.

Project duration: 24 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) Yes, includes expected rebates for heat pumps or VRF system.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - Application includes two separate budgets, one for the heat pump only system and one for a VRF only system, depending on the outcome of the initial building assessment.
  - There may be a federal incentive for electrical subpanel upgrades. Cost estimate for panel upgrades potentially too low.
  - Budget narrative notes they have previously obtained estimates which costs are based off of—may ask for estimates to be shared with application.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Belfast

**DATE:** 7/28/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - City of Belfast
  - City of Belfast Climate, Energy, and Utilities Committee

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n):
  - Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n):
  - No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n)
  - No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Assessments for, and installations of, heat pump systems and/or variable refrigerant flow systems for the heating and cooling of City of Belfast buildings, and upgrading building electrical subpanels.
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B4.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Partially described
    - Would benefit from explanation on how improvements will be reviewed and approved once assessments are complete.
  - Task 1 Conduct assessment of buildings
    - City hall, boathouse, and former Waldo County Courthouse which is “likely” to be acquired during grant period but presently not owned by the City.
  - Task 2 Purchase and install heat pumps or VRF



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Belfast

**DATE:** 7/28/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

- "in one, two, or all three buildings depending on suitability and available funding"
- Lacks specificity needed to fund this project, need to know which buildings and have cost estimates
- Task 3 Upgrade building electrical panels
  - Cannot fund unspecified "if necessary" costs
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Somewhat likely – assuming the assessments have clear path forward for approval and implementation once complete.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned – reduces City's dependence on fossil fuels, reducing City's energy costs.
  - Project has been determined to be a priority at a variety of public meetings.
  - City solar arrays produce enough energy to power the heat pumps.
  - Heat pumps will replace oil heat.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected – extensive community engagement during enrollment process to determine community priority actions.
  - No additional engagement planned during project process.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimally – vulnerable populations are not identified or addressed within proposal.

**Project duration: 24 months**

- Project timeline provided
  - Per timeline, it appears that the project duration could be 12 months.

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Unable to assess
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Unable to assess
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, Efficiency Maine
  - Doesn't include potential federal tax credit
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Unable to assess two separate budgets
  - Waldo County Courthouse will need to be purchased by the City before any work can be completed on that building.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Berwick

**DATE:** 8/6/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Piscataqua Region Estuaries Partnership
  - Maine Farmland Trust
  - Great Works Regional Land Trust
  - House of Hope
  - Table of Plenty
  - American Legion
  - Cultivating Well-being (business)
  - Resident
  - Berwick Public Library

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Town of Berwick Open Space Planning and Community Garden
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with D1
  - Well aligned with E4, E5, E6, E10
  - Would benefit from stronger commitments to including climate-related goals in open space plan and education

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Two separate projects, town feels that community garden is important to build public support for stewardship of open and agricultural spaces
  - Project 1 Open Space Planning

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Berwick

**DATE:** 8/6/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Lead by town manager
- SMPDC project manager will assist, review ordinances, and suggest revisions
- Task 1 Open space plan project admin – SMPDC
- Task 2 Open Space Plan
  - 2A inventory and analysis
  - 2B Public engagement – online and print survey, community meeting, comment period
  - 2C Drafting and review
  - 2D Revision and adoption
- Task 3 Incorporation into Comp Planning Process
  - 3A Integration of public engagement for Open Space Plan and the Comprehensive Plan's Future Land Use Plan
  - 3B Incorporate Open Space Plan into Comprehensive Plan Inventory
  - 3C Incorporate Open Space Plan into Comprehensive Plan Goals Policies and Actions
- Task 4 Ordinance Review and Revision Suggestions
  - 4A Review current ordinances
  - 4B Draft revisions
  - 4C Assist with adoption of revisions
- Project 2 Berwick Community Garden
  - Town-owned site
  - Town and Envision Berwick have developed garden plan, budget, and operating plan
  - 20% of beds will be reserved for two community meal programs
  - Task 5: Community Garden Project Management
  - Task 6: Site Assessment and Preparation
  - Task 7: Infrastructure Development
  - Task 8: Garden Layout and Bed Construction
  - Task 9: Amenities and Facilities
  - Task 10: Community Garden operations for 1st growing season
- Roles, deliverables, outcomes are clearly defined
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Identifies key staff at town and SMPDC

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Somewhat aligned - Would benefit from inclusion of climate-related factors and goals in open space plan and climate-related education in garden project
  - Open space plan will supply information on existing open space, future areas for conservation, establish goals, and inform investment of open space impact fees in conservation goals
  - Neighboring towns are updating open space plans in near future
  - Strong community support for the garden
  - Will provide locally-grown, nutritious food to vulnerable community members

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Berwick

**DATE:** 8/6/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Open space plan includes several specific steps for inclusive public engagement
  - Community garden includes specific steps to be accessible to, inclusive of, an beneficial to vulnerable or disadvantaged groups
  - Well designed

Project duration – 24 months

- Detailed timeline and Gantt chart provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - In-kind time on garden
- Other notes
  - Very well-designed projects, would benefit from more discussion of climate connections and inclusion of climate-related goals and education

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Berwick

**DATE:** 8/4/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Piscataqua Region Estuaries Partnership
  - Maine Farmland Trust
  - Great Works Regional Land Trust
  - House of Hope Volunteers
  - Table of Plenty
  - Bryan English, American Legion
  - Cultivating Well-Being
  - Deb Anthony, Berwick resident
  - Berwick Public Library

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Medium
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Town of Berwick Open Space Planning and Community Garden
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned, targeting MWW Actions D1, E5, E5, E6, and E10.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Proposal includes two projects. Detailed and reasonable. Includes illustrated timeline by task.
  - Berwick Open Space Plan (key roles: town manager, land use and sustainability planner, SMPDC staff, Envision Berwick Chair)
    - Task 1: Project Administration
    - Task 2: Open Space Plan
      - A: Inventory and Analysis
      - B: Public Engagement
      - C: Plan drafting and review
      - D: Plan revision and adoption

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Berwick

**DATE:** 8/4/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Task 3: Incorporate plan into Comprehensive Plan
  - A, B, C
- Task 4: Ordinance review and revision suggestions
  - A, B, C
- Berwick Community Garden (key roles: Town manager, Envision Berwick Member & Garden Steward, Envision Berwick Chair)
  - Task 1: Project administration
  - Task 2: Site assessment and prep
  - Task 3: Development of Infrastructure (fencing, gates, water system, etc)
  - Task 4: Garden build
  - Task 5: Install amenities/facilities (waste management, picnic area, educational signage, garden tools)
  - Task 6: Operate first season.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Projects are complex and have many steps, but seem to have understanding of all project components, reasonable timeline, and committed partners on project team. Likely to achieve.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Need is well-aligned.
  - Open space/conservation work identified as community priorities.
  - This will be town's first Open Space Plan, will identify areas for future conservation and establish goals/policies for open space and conservation efforts. Will also assist Town in determining need/value of Open Space impact fees.
  - Will also inform update of comprehensive plan and align town with neighboring towns updating open space plans over next 18 months (also SMPDC towns).
  - Local food was also a town priority illustrated through survey results.
  - Project will help town assess growing challenge of food insecurity and provide nutritious/fresh food to vulnerable community members throughout the growing season.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed.
    - Town will work closely with SMPDC to incorporate equity into open space planning, recognizing conservation efforts may come at cost to certain communities.
    - Will develop robust engagement strategy for open space plan. Details included in proposal.
    - Community Garden will focus on DEIA in design and operations.
    - Will engage those who actively use garden and those who don't.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Berwick

**DATE:** 8/4/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Yes and well-designed.
- Identify vulnerable/disadvantaged populations and provide clear examples of impacts and ways to engage these populations in proposal.

Project duration: 24 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
  
- Other notes
  - Includes \$41,155 of in-kind services to be provided by Garden Steward.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Berwick

**DATE:** 8/4/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Piscataqua Region Estuaries Partnership
  - Maine Farmland Trust
  - Great Works Regional Land Trust
  - House of Hope
  - Table of Plenty
  - Bryan English, American Legion
  - Colleen Griffin, Registered Horticultural Therapist
  - Deb Anthony, resident
  - Sharon Kelly, Director, Berwick Public Library

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Town of Berwick Open Space Planning and Community Garden
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with D1, E4, E5, E6, and E10.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Project tasks, deliverables, roles and responsibilities were all clearly defined.
  - Project scope is comprehensive and shows expertise and knowledge of process.



## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Berwick

**DATE:** 8/4/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

### Berwick Open Space Plan

- Task 1: Open Space Project Administration
  - SMPDC
- Task 2: Open Space Plan - SMPDC
  - 2.1 Inventory and Analysis
  - 2.2 Public Engagement
  - 2.3 Plan drafting and review
  - 2.4 Plan Revision and adoption
- Task 3: Incorporation into Comprehensive Planning Process – Town Manager/SMPDC
  - 3.1 Integration of public engagement for Open Space Plan and the Comprehensive Plan's Future Land Use Plan
  - 3.2 Incorporate Open Space Plan into Comprehensive Plan
  - 3.3 Incorporate Open Space Plan into Comp Plan Goals Policies and Actions
- Task 4: Ordinance Review and Revision Suggestions - SMPDC
  - 4.1 Review current ordinance language
  - 4.2 Draft ordinance revisions
  - 4.3 Assist with ordinance revision adoption

### Berwick Community Garden

- Task 5: Community Garden Project Management – Envision Berwick
  - Task 6: Site assessment and Preparation
  - Task 7: Infrastructure Development
  - Task 8: Garden Layout and Bed Construction
  - Task 9: Amenities and Facilities
  - Task 10: Community Garden Operations for 1<sup>st</sup> Growing Season
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
    - Likely to achieve outcome
    - Experienced partners – SMPDC, Envision Berwick
    - Operational plan for community garden in place once project is complete.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Open Space Plan identified as community priority for the resilience of the farming community, increasing greenspace, and protecting open space for agriculture and conservation
    - Will guide usage of Open Space impact fees
    - Timing is right to incorporate it into the comprehensive planning process that is underway
  - Berwick Community Garden – local food production identified as a community priority
    - Survey results show community support and desired engagement with the garden
    - "Sharing the Harvest" program will provide produce to vulnerable community members throughout the growing season

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Berwick

**DATE:** 8/4/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed
  - Open Space Planning –
    - Focus on equity considerations
    - Plan to develop a public engagement strategy as part of the project process that will aim to include a diverse group of residents.
  - Community Garden –
    - DEIA are a focus for this project
    - Partnership with high school
    - Plan to host educational events
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes and well-designed
  - Extensive public engagement to reach diverse group of residents
  - Community Garden -
    - Garden will be wheelchair accessible
    - "Sharing the Harvest" will distribute food to those in need
    - Lower garden plot fee for disadvantaged community members

Project duration: 24 months

- Detailed project timeline provided.

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - \$41,155 in-kind services by Garden Steward

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Bowdoinham

**DATE:** 8/21/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Bath planning director
  - KELT
  - Pete Slovinsky
  - TNC
  - Bowdoinham Community Development Initiative
  - Comp Plan Committee
  - Community Development Advisory Committee
  - resident

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): no
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Fall 2022
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): no
  - Active grant will be completed by Aug 2023

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Cathance Meadows Waterfront Public Access, Restoration, and Living Shoreline Project
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Full project is well aligned with E1, E5, E9, E10

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable with tasks, deliverables, outcomes, roles, and timelines
  - Task 1. Construction documents and administration
  - Task 2. Write and release a Request for Qualifications (RFQ), interview candidates, and enter into a contract with a construction contractor
  - Task 3. Installation of shoreline stabilization treatments
    - only task to be funded by this grant
  - Task 4. Site work, trails, and landscaping
  - Task 5. Installation of shoreline trail amenities

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Bowdoinham

**DATE:** 8/21/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned but would benefit from discussion of how sea level rise has been considered in site and shoreline stabilization designs
  - Implements the waterfront master site plan
  - 70% of site is in a FEMA flood zone with active erosion

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately - Community has been very involved in site planning. Little community participation, volunteer, or educational opportunities envisioned in project tasks.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Moderately - Provides ADA and accessible features. Provides shaded areas for refuge from heat.

**Project duration – 24 months**

- Aiming for 12 months but allowing 24 in case of delays

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$50,000 for Task 3 only
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Not required but project combines several sources of local and potential state funding
- Other notes
  -

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Bowdoinham

**DATE:** 8/23/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Advisory Committee on Age Friendly Bowdoinham
  - Community Development Advisory Committee
  - Kennebec Estuary Land Trust
  - Comprehensive Planning Committee
  - Bath Planning Director
  - Maine Geological Survey
  - The Nature Conservancy
  - Bowdoinham Farmers' Market

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes, Fall 2022 grant is active.
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Cathance Meadows Waterfront Public Access, Restoration, and Living Shoreline Project
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with MWW actions E1, E5, E9, and E10.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable.
  - Includes defined roles and responsibilities for each task.
  - Aiming for project to be completed within 12 months, but expect they may encounter delays with large project.
    - Task 1: Construction documents and administration
      - Contract with professional engineer to design documents and inspect construction work.
    - Task 2: Write and release RFQ, enter contract

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Bowdoinham

**DATE:** 8/23/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Town will draft and release RFQ for shoreline, site, trail, and landscaping work.
  - Task 3: Installation of shoreline stabilization treatments – *ONLY TASK FUNDED BY GRANT REQUEST*
  - Task 4: site work, trails, landscaping work
  - Task 5: Installation of shoreline trail amenities
    - Signage (educational, historical, and interpretative)
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Complex project, but likely to succeed. Have clear outline of work required and professional services needed to complete work.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Need is well-aligned.
  - Project has been in planning process for several years, parcel first identified by Waterfront Plan in 2005.
  - Have completed some aspects of parcel redesign through other grant opportunities.
  - More than 70% of project area located in FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected.
  - Town will work with community development advisory committee and age friendly coordinator to design trail amenities including signage, seating, tables.
  - Several community surveys, charettes, and public meetings have been done related to this project.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Well-designed.
  - Have worked with an outdoor accessibility specialist to address ADA accessibility.
  - Includes ADA accessible boat launch and cooling shelter.

Project duration: 24 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Not required, but significant town match in \$ and staff time included.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Bowdoinham

**DATE:** 8/23/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Other notes
  - Significant funds from other grant opportunities included.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Bowdoinham

**DATE:** 8/23/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - City of Bath
  - Kennebec Estuary Land Trust
  - Peter Slovinsky, DACF
  - The Nature Conservancy
  - Bowdoinham Community Development Initiative
  - Bowdoinham Comprehensive Planning Committee
  - Bowdoinham Community Development Advisory Committee
  - Bowdoinham Farmer’s Market
  - Age-Friendly Bowdoinham

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Cathance Meadows Waterfront Public Access, Restoration, and Living Shoreline Project
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with E1, E5, E9, and E10.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Project tasks and deliverables were clear and defined.
  - Roles and responsibilities are assigned.
  - Task 1: Construction documents and administration



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Bowdoinham

**DATE:** 8/23/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Task 2: Write and release RFQ, interview and enter into an agreement with Construction Contractor
- Task 3: Installation of shoreline stabilization treatments
- Task 4: Site work, trails and landscaping
  - Installation of shoreline trail amenities – educational/interpretive signage, benches, tables, and bike rack
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve
  - Plan to hire professional engineer and construction contractor
  - Have consulted with various local and state agencies to develop project proposal.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Part of a larger Waterfront Plan project that was approved in 2014 comprehensive plan update
  - Received a grant from the Mid Coast Public Health Council in partnership with Maine CDC to increase the use of the waterfront park area
  - Developed Waterfront Master Site Plan utilizing Coastal Community Grant
    - Approved in 2019
  - Design work was funded by a fall 2020 Shore and Harbor Planning Grant through the Maine Coastal Program
  - Unsuccessful proposal to fund work using NFWF grant
  - Awarded two grants in 2021 from the Maine Boating Facilities Fund and the Maine DOT Small Harbor Improvement Program (SHIP) to begin Phase I of the project
  - Applied for LWCF federal grant – a decision is expected in September 2023
    - CAG funds will allow project to continue and avoid permit expiration
  - Over 70% of the proposed waterfront park is located within a FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area.
  - Active and ongoing erosion leading to tree loss

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Well-designed
  - Project development has included community surveys, design charettes, public hearings, selectboard hearings, and Town meetings
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes and well-designed
  - Identified seniors, children and those with limited mobility as most vulnerable groups.
  - ADA approved sidewalks lead to the site
  - Employed Outdoor Accessibility Specialist to assess accessibility to trail system
  - Generated universal design guide for wayfinding signage

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Bowdoinham

**DATE:** 8/23/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

Project duration: 24 months

- Timeline provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Leveraging
    - \$537,501 potential LWCF funds
    - \$10,000 Project Canopy funds
    - \$477,501 Town match
  - Would benefit from more information on how task estimates were determined.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Bridgton

**DATE:** 8/24/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Public services director
  - Loon Echo Land Trust
  - Residents
  - Woodard & Curran

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): no
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Spring 2022
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): yes
  - Active grant will be complete by July 2023

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Mountain Road stormwater management evaluation
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with G1, G3

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Comprehensive drainage analysis for Mountain Road:
    - Task 1 Mapping and Inventory
    - Task 2 Creating 2D/1D models of existing conditions to project climate scenarios
    - Task 3 Prioritize improvements with cost estimates for CIP
  - Tasks, including climate projections, further described in budget narrative and engineering firm's letter of support
  -
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
  - Includes a detailed scope of work to be completed by engineering firm
  - Would benefit from detail on town staff who will be involved and their roles

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Bridgton

**DATE:** 8/24/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned
  - Mountain Road is important to Bridgton's economy as access to skiing, hiking, and lakeshore residences
  - Intensifying rain events and development pressure is causing erosion and sediment transport to the lake
  - Project meets several of community priorities developed during enrollment workshops

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected - Application describes the projects origins in enrollment workshops but minimally considers public education or participation in this study. Missed opportunity for residents to provide input to and learn from the report.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat – addresses vulnerability for people who live and work along affected road, but application minimally addresses disadvantaged community members

**Project duration – 12 months**

- Basic timeline provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Vendor estimate included

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Bridgton

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Woodard & Curran Engineering
  - Bridgton Public Services Director
  - Loon Echo Land Trust
  - Mountain Road Residents

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes, spring 2022 grant is active.
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): Yes

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Medium
- SVI (low, med, high): High

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Mountain Road Stormwater Management Evaluation
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with MWW actions G1 and G3.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable. (additional detail for each task located in budget narrative)
  - Have contracted with engineering firm Woodard & Curran to do a Stormwater Management Evaluation for Mountain Road. Will study feasibility of upgrades to improve stormwater resiliency. Will include modeling of existing conditions to climate change scenarios and formulate target levels of service and improvement options.
  - Identification of specific town staff who will have key roles in project implementation would benefit proposal.
    - Task 1: Culvert and Storm Drain Mapping and Inventory
    - Task 2: Modeling and Projections
    - Task 3: Planning and Cost Estimating
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve. Have contractor identified and detailed scope attached.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Bridgton

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Need is well-aligned.
  - Road infrastructure has experienced drainage and erosion issues due to increased storm/precipitation events.
  - Impacting access points to recreation opportunities that are vital to town's economy.
  - Want to plan for increased frequency/intensity of storms through climate change scenario modeling and use of NOAA, NRCC, and IPCC forecasting.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimally considered.
  - Proposal explains public engagement on past projects (Open Space Plan) and engagement in CRP workshop where community priorities were identified.
  - However, this proposal doesn't seem to have planned community engagement or education components.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat. Identify road issues and putting in place plan to protect natural assets as vital to recreation economy.

Project duration: 12 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - N/A
- Other notes
  - Consultant's proposed budget attached.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Bridgton

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Bridgton Public Services Director
  - Loon Echo Land Trust
  - 3 Residents on Mountain Road

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): Yes

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Mountain Road Stormwater Management Evaluation
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with G1 and G3.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Reasonable
  - Drainage analysis components not yet finalized.
  - Would benefit from more detail on roles/responsibilities for each task.
  - Task 1: Mapping and inventory including digitalization of existing maps, conducting drain surveys, potentially Closed Camera Television inspection, and GIS mapping/creation of the database.
  - Task 2: Creating a 2D/1D model of existing condition to project climate change scenarios and formulate target levels of service and drainage improvement options
  - Task 3: Prioritize improvements with cost estimating and capital investment planning

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Bridgton

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve
  - Experienced consultant – Woodard & Curran

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Already experiencing drainage and erosion issues – leading to increased sediment in Moose Pond
  - Access point to key economic driver – Pleasant Mountain Ski Area and hiking trails

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
  - Determined as a priority during CRP enrollment process and the development of the Open Space Plan
  - No engagement planned as part of this project.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimal
  - Identified Mountain Road residents and ski area users as most vulnerable audience.
  - No outreach/engagement planned to these groups as part of this project.

**Project duration:** 12 months

- Basic timeline provided.

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
  - Detailed budget narrative explains how costs are derived and elements included in each task.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Consultant's scope/estimate provided



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Brooklin

**DATE:** 7/29/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Brooklin Climate Response Committee
  - Island Institute
  - Blue Hill Peninsula Tomorrow
  - Sen. Nicole Grohowski
  - Rep. Holly Eaton

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Brooklin Transition to Energy Efficiency and Climate Resilience
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - B1, C2, F13, H2, H5, H6, H7

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Will fund town's participation in Island Fellow program for two years
  - Task 1 Island Fellow host community for two years
    - Run Neighbor to Neighbor program to help residents and businesses identify weatherization and energy efficiency "opportunities"
      - What does this mean? find a contractor, find incentives, financing, find in-home projects?
    - Secure ongoing funding to make program sustainable
  - Task 2 Hire energy efficiency expert (former Island Fellow)

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Brooklin

**DATE:** 7/29/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- to assist Selectboard and Climate Response Committee in mentoring Island Fellow during year 1
  - Committee will seek additional funds to purchase materials for WindowDressers build workshop for Neighbor-to-Neighbor participants
  - Application sketches a potentially fundable scope of work, but much more detail is necessary on what the fellow's work will be, what specific outcomes on what timelines (e.g., fellow will engage # residents in N-to-N program by X date; will hold X number webinars, info sessions, etc. for residents; will host a WindowDressers build by X date; will raise X dollars by Y date needed to make the N-to-N program sustainable)
  - What is Island Institute's role in mentoring? There is energy and leadership expertise in the organization.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
    - Not likely. Outcomes would benefit from greater specificity and a list of tasks to be performed by the fellow to reach those outcomes
    - For the fellow, more concrete deliverables and timeline will improve odds of success

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Concept is well-aligned. Needs more development
  - Committee members have been conducting home energy visits and need a dedicated person to continue the work
  - Town successfully applied to Island Fellow program and identified a candidate
  - Jesse Minor identified to be mentor

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Application places community engagement at the heart of the project but requires more detail to adequately describe how the engagement will proceed.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Well-aligned but underdeveloped
  - Fellow will identify community members most likely to benefit, including low income and those living in manufactured homes, renters and link them to community resources and organizations "consistent with Justice40 and goals of the MCC Equity Subcommittee"
  - How will these groups be engaged? What are the community resources and organizations?

Project duration – 24 months

I really like the concept. It blends several successful and well-organized programs (Island Fellows and WindowDressers). The proposal needs more development to ensure success.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Brooklin

**DATE:** 7/29/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$40,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Brooklin

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Brooklin Climate Response Committee
  - The Island Institute
  - Blue Hill Peninsula Tomorrow
  - Senator Grohoski
  - Representative Eaton
  - Hancock County Planning Commission

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Brooklin Transition to Energy Efficiency and Climate Resilience
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned, targeting several MWW actions including B1, C2, F13, and H2-7.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Partially described. The proposal seeks funding to support an Island Institute fellow who will serve in the community for two years and funding for an efficiency specialist for 1 year. These two positions will help support the existing "Neighbor to Neighbor Program" however details are only partially described. The application would benefit from clear deliverables and outcomes that these positions will achieve, such as metrics on number of houses they would like to visit, or events they aim to host such as a WindowDressers event. More detailed timeline and roles and responsibilities would also benefit this application.
    - It is unclear until the budget narrative that the person who will supervise the Island Fellow is also the "energy efficiency expert" who will be hired. This person

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Brooklin

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

doesn't have any described tasks and deliverables beyond mentoring and supervising the Island Fellow.

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - The Island Institute has approved the Brooklin Select Board's application for an island fellow. The potential for success of the fellow and efficiency specialist in the community would be better understood with development of more define outcomes and deliverables.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - The Neighbor to Neighbor program is well-aligned with MWW. As of June 2023, the Brooklin Climate Response Committee had visited 10 homes and two businesses through the program, several of which moved forward with an efficiency project after the visit.
  - An Island Fellow would help support the growth of this program and identify a path for sustainability of the program. The Climate Response Committee specifically asked the Select Board to apply for a fellow to do this support work.
  - Community hopes they can become a model for neighboring towns.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - A group of community members on the Brooklin Climate Response Committee started the Neighbor to Neighbor program and has visited some several homes to date educated local residents about efficiency and weatherization opportunities.
  - The application does not mention the Community Resilience Workshop, attendance, or outreach that identified this program as a priority for CRP funding.
    - Proposal would benefit from more detail on the breadth of community participation and a goal.
    - Proposal would also benefit from goals around community participation in their program once it has additional resources via an Island Fellow.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - The Island Fellow will help the Select Board and Climate Response Committee identify members of the community who are most likely to benefit from the Neighbor to Neighbor program and future energy rebates, incentives, and programs.
    - The Committee has already identified residents of manufactured homes and renters as important segments of the population to serve.
    - Proposal notes that the Neighbor to Neighbor program will benefit disadvantaged community members by linking them to community resources and organizations.

Project duration: 24 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$40,000

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Brooklin

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
  
- Other notes
  - In order to fund staff capacity, proposal needs a detailed list of tasks, deliverables, and outcomes that each staff person would complete by the end of the grant period.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Brooklin

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Sen. Nicole Grohoski
  - Rep. Holly Eaton
  - Brooklin Climate Response Committee
  - Island Institute
  - Hancock County Planning Commission
  - Elizabeth and Tim Parson, Neighbor-to-Neighbor

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Brooklin Transition to Energy Efficiency and Climate Resilience
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B1, C2, F13, H2, H4, H5, H6, and H7.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Partially described – grant will fund Island Fellow position which is needed to continue Neighbor to Neighbor Program and secure ongoing funding.
    - Neighbor to Neighbor program works directly with residents to reduce reliance on fossil fuels through transition to clean energy and weatherization.
  - Task 1 Island Fellow host community for two years
    - Run Neighbor to Neighbor program to help residents and businesses identify weatherization and energy efficiency “opportunities”

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Brooklin

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- What specifically does this entail?
  - Secure ongoing funding to make program sustainable
- Task 2 Hire energy efficiency expert (former Island Fellow) to assist Selectboard and Climate Response Committee in mentoring Island Fellow during year 1
- The role of the energy efficiency expert/mentor is unclear.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Unable to determine due to lack of detail in scope.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned - Island Fellow is necessary to continue Neighbor to Neighbor program to reduce residential GHG emissions.
  - Program provides model for the region on how to work directly with residents to increase energy efficiency and reduce carbon emissions.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
  - Emphasizes importance of Neighbor to Neighbor program and engaging with the community but could benefit from a more detailed outreach and engagement plan.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Well-designed.
  - Identified residents living in manufactured homes and renters as priority groups but doesn't detail how they will be engaged.
  - Benefits disadvantaged community members by linking them community resources that promote equity.
  - Assists disadvantaged groups lower their energy bills.

Project duration: 24 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$40,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Brooklin

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Brunswick

**DATE:** 8/23/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Friends of Casco Bay
  - Casco Bay Estuary Partnership
  - Maine DEP

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): no
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n):
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n):
  - Applicant used the old application form

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s):
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Maquoit Bay Watershed Non-Point Source Study
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with E3, F13
  - Somewhat aligned with
    - D1
    - E2, E6, E8
    - H1, H2, H4, H5

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - 
  - Task 1 Development of Water Quality Sampling and Analysis Plan, and Data Quality Plan
  - Task 2 Baseline Sampling of Maquoit Bay and Maquoit Bay Watershed
  - Task 3 Bacteria and Nitrogen Model Development
  - Task 4 Community Engagement, Education, and Outreach
  - Task 5 Action Planning
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Brunswick

**DATE:** 8/23/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Likely to achieve town's outcomes

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Somewhat-to-well aligned
  - This is primarily a water quality and non-point pollution study. Implications for climate planning and action are secondary in the scope of work
  - Maquoit Bay suffered a significant algal bloom in 2022

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected – most engagement is one-directional informing the public. Application would benefit from further detail about community workshops planned for later in the project.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - somewhat expected – would benefit from more commitment and detail on how underserved and socially vulnerable will be identified and engaged.

Project duration – 24 months

- 

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - yes
- Other notes
  - Applicant states that costs are not fully understood.
  - Town has pledged to cover at least 40% of costs

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Brunswick

**DATE:** 8/23/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Casco Bay Estuary Partnership
  - DEP Watershed Management Unit
  - Friends of Casco Bay
  - Maquoit Bay Water Quality Task Force
  - Cumberland County Soil and Water Conservation District

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n):
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n)

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Large
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Maquoit Bay Watershed Non-Point Source Study
  
- The proposed scope of work is aligned with MWW actions in strategies D, E, F, and H, though not well-aligned will all actions they list.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable.
    - Task 1: Development of water quality sampling and analysis plan, Data quality plan
    - Task 2: Baseline sampling of bay and watershed
    - Task 3: Bacteria and nitrogen model development
    - Task 4: Community engagement, education, and outreach
    - Task 5: Action planning
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Somewhat likely to achieve. Significant partner list and clear vision of outcomes, but should better connect climate impacts/actions.

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Brunswick

**DATE:** 8/23/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Somewhat aligned. Proposal is mostly focused on water quality, but doesn't make a strong case for its connection or consideration of climate impacts, or mitigation/adaptation.
  - Several contaminants have been identified in Maquoit Bay that are likely cause of affected water quality.
  - Improved understanding of upland environment, runoff, and broader watershed health will help Brunswick with decision making regarding upland activity, zoning, goals and recommendations to reduce vulnerability, and more sustainable development.
  - Brunswick in process of updating Climate Action Plan and Comprehensive Plan. Study results might inform those plans with real data.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Well-designed.
  - Engagement will include a schedule of workshops, educational events, and outreach throughout project.
  - Task Force members will coordinate.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat.
  - Pending study results, project staff and partners will work to identify key stakeholder groups to craft targeted educational campaign and meetings.
  - Town is open to adapting engagement efforts to include translated materials and workshop dates most conducive to public.

Project duration: 24 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Additional detail of cost breakdown in narrative would be helpful.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Not required, but providing match in form of cash and staff time.
- Other notes
  - Vendor quote for cost estimates would be helpful.
  - Proposal notes final cost of study is unknown.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Brunswick

**DATE:** 8/23/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Friends of Casco Bay
  - Casco Bay Estuary Partnership
  - Maine DEP

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Maquoit Bay Watershed Non-Point Source Study
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with D1, E3, and F13. Somewhat aligned with E2, E6, E8, H1, H2, H4, and H5.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Would benefit from identifying specific Town Staff that will be supporting the project and roles/responsibilities of partners:
    - Maquoit Bay Water Quality Task Force
    - Casco Bay Estuary Partnership
    - Friends of Casco Bay
    - Maine DEP
    - Cumberland County Soil and Water Conservation District

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Brunswick

**DATE:** 8/23/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Task 1: Development of Water Quality Sampling and Analysis Plan, and Data Quality Plan
  - Task 2: Baseline Sampling of Maquoit Bay and Maquoit Bay Watershed
  - Task 3: Bacteria and Nitrogen Model Development
  - Task 4: Community Engagement, Education and Outreach
  - Task 5: Action Planning
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
    - Somewhat likely to achieve outcomes
    - Would benefit from more information on how Study will be used to develop future policies that will support MWW strategies.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Somewhat aligned
  - How are climate impacts being factored into the Study?
  - Study will inform development and zoning ordinances to protect water quality.
  - Currently developing climate action plan and updating comprehensive plan.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderate
  - Task force will organize and lead workshop, educational events, and outreach (social media, website, email, newspaper) throughout project.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat
  - Plan to engage with underserved and socially vulnerable members of the community
  - These groups have not been identified

**Project duration:** 24 months

- Basic timeline provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Budget narrative doesn't break out costs/deliverables
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Brunswick

**DATE:** 8/23/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Other notes
  - Town to contribute 40% match
  - Casco Bay Estuary Partnership, Friends of Casco Bay, Maine DEP, and the Cumberland County Soil and Water Conservation District will provide matching funds in the form of in-kind time
  - Vendor estimate not included



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Camden

**DATE:** 8/23/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - CamdenCAN
  - Conservation Commission
  - Harbor Committee

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): no
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Spring 2022, Fall 2022
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): no

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Camden Public Landing – Sea Level Rise/Storm Surge Resiliency Adaptation Project
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with G1, G2

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Task 1 – Develop Request for Proposals for Project Engineer
  - Task 2 – Outreach and Engagement
  - Task 3 – Develop Site Plan Design and Construction Documents
  - Task 4 – Explore Funding Options
  - Planning and Development Director will serve as project manager, and the Harbormaster will provide additional staff support
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Camden

**DATE:** 8/23/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned with MWW
  - Landing has been damaged repeatedly by flood events
  - Builds on several previous reports and studies including SLR scenarios and resiliency options
  - Develops site plan docs that make town landing shovel ready for when larger funds become available
  - Leverages \$10,000 Shore & Harbor Grant award

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Well designed
  - Town and engineering consultant will hold community meetings to gather input
  - Educational meetings with CamdenCAN
  - Engage residents, waterfront businesses and property owners, low and fixed income residents
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat – would benefit from more detail on engagement of low-income groups

**Project duration – 12 months**

- Basic timeline provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Not required, town and CamdenCAN in kind
- Other notes
  - CAG funds would match \$10,000 Shore & Harbor Planning Grant award

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Camden

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Camden Climate Action Now
  - Camden Conservation Commission
  - Camden Harbor Committee

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes, fall 2022 grant is active.
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Medium
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Camden Public Landing – Sea Level Rise/Storm Surge Resiliency Adaptation Project
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with MWW actions G1 and G2.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable. Roles and responsibilities identified.
  - Clear outcomes in place.
    - Task 1: Develop an RFP for a Project Engineer, select consultant
    - Task 2: Outreach and Engagement
      - Consultant will host two public meetings
      - CamdenCAN will host 4-6 workshops, talks, and educational events on climate impacts, resiliency, and adaptation
    - Task 3: Develop Site Plan Design and Construction Documents
      - Plan will be based on Camden Public Landing Report, Wood Report, Maine Geological Survey Coastal Hazards mapping, FEMA flood mapping, and municipal zoning/floodplain requirements.
      - Will utilize long range sea level rise scenarios in development of site plan design.
    - Task 4: Explore Funding Options

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Camden

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Planning and Development Director will explore federal funding sources for construction.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve. Clear steps in place for work.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned.
  - Public Landing is economic driver of community.
  - Proposed scope will build off of previous planning work done with other funding sources.
  - Will generate construction documents required for town to obtain federal funding to construct resilient public landing.
  - Will plan for sea level rise, storm surge, and flooding with long-term forecasts and scenarios.
  - Increased storm events have already caused significant damage to landing infrastructure.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well designed.
  - Consultant and town will host public stakeholder meetings to describe the project, get feedback, and share final site design and construction documents.
  - CamdenCAN will host series of community workshops and events focused on climate change education.
  - Will host at public library and provide hybrid format when possible and record for later viewing.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Well-designed.
  - Will design engagement to accommodate those most impacted by climate change, including working waterfront, property owners, and LMI residents.

Project duration: 12 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - N/A
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Camden

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Anticipate additional funds from Shore and Harbor Grant to support work. In-kind time provided.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Camden

**DATE:** 8/23/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Camden Harbor Committee
  - CamdenCAN (Climate Action Now)
  - Camden Conservation Commission

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Camden Public Landing – Sea Level Rise/Storm Surge Resiliency Adaptation Project
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with G1 and G2.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
- Detailed and reasonable
- Town Staff assignments are included in the budget narrative
- Task 1 – Develop Request for Proposals for Project Engineer
- Task 2 – Outreach and Engagement
  - Public meeting with key stakeholders at project start - commercial fisherman, commercial schooner and day-sailor operators, adjacent property owners, and the broader public
  - 4-6 workshops, talks and educational events about climate change
  - Public meeting to review site plan design and construction documents
- Task 3 – Develop Site Plan Design and Construction Documents
  - Utilize long range SLR scenarios – plan for 4 feet of SLR

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Camden

**DATE:** 8/23/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Task 4 – Explore Funding Options
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve
  - Builds upon existing work - Camden Public Landing Report, the Wood Report, Maine Geological Survey's (MGS) Coastal Hazards mapping, FEMA flood mapping, and municipal zoning and floodplain requirements
  - Planning for long range SLR scenarios

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Rising sea levels and the impacts of storm surge pose a significant and substantial threat to the Landing and working waterfront.
  - December 2022 storm caused \$150k in damage
  - The Public Landing is a key economic driver

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed
  - Planning a series of public meetings, workshops and educational events
  - Events will be hybrid when possible and recorded for later viewing
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes and well-designed
  - Identified most vulnerable groups as primary working waterfront users - commercial fisherman, commercial schooner and day-sailor operators, and adjacent property owners
  - Planning specific outreach to these engage these groups

**Project duration:** 12 months

- Basic timeline provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Camden

**DATE:** 8/23/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Other notes
  - \$16,942 in-kind staff and committee time
  - \$10,000 Shore and Harbor Grant (awarded)



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Casco

**DATE:** 8/6/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: none

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Addressing Community Safety Through Complete Streets Policy Adoption
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with A9

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Working with Principle Group (consultant?) and CEBE (no letter of support)
    - Would benefit from more clearly defined roles for town, consultant, and CEBE
  - Will ask Maine DOT to participate
  - Policy outline included
  - Task 1 Onboarding and Discovery
  - Task 2 Public Engagement
  - Task 3 Policy Development
  - Task 4 Quick Build Guidebook
  - Task 5 Policy Adoption
  - Task 6 Implementation
  - More detail on tasks, roles, and outcomes needed
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Scope is likely to achieve applicant's desire for a complete streets policy.
  - Will include elements from National Complete Streets Coalition and Greater Portland regional Complete Streets policy

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Casco

**DATE:** 8/6/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- However, with no mention of climate or emissions reduction, how will plan lead to reduced VMT and emissions?

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Somewhat aligned
  - Strong desire to make streets safer for walking and biking
  - Would benefit from discussion of climate goals and inclusion of emissions reduction strategies

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected. Community fora and public meetings are included in timeline
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat
  - Equitable benefits are well-discussed.
  - Participation in policy development by vulnerable or disadvantaged groups is not discussed.

**Project duration – 12 months**

- Detailed timeline provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
  - Cost estimates for each task would benefit from detail on how estimates were derived. For example, more detail on the \$3000 for Task 6 technical assistance and public event is needed
  - What funds are going to consultant and CEBE?
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - More detailed budget narrative needed

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Casco

**DATE:** 8/6/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: None

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small
- SVI (low, med, high): High

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Addressing Community Safety Through Complete Streets Policy Adoption
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned, targeting MWW Action A9.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Scope is reasonable. Additional detail by task would be helpful.
  - An advisory work group of town staff and elected officials, and appointed citizen representatives will work closely with a team of transportation planning consultants to develop a Complete Streets Policy and A Quick Build Guidebook to help with implementation. Will also host public education/safety event.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve. Have Principal Group and CEBE on board to work with town Advisory Group on this project. Have clear plan in place for meetings, presentations, and decision points. Additional consideration of climate in planning would benefit proposal.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - More focused on safety elements of plan than climate connection. Somewhat aligned.
  - Bicycle/pedestrian-friendly streets were highlighted as a community priority during comprehensive planning work and community workshops.
  - Adopting a Complete Streets policy was included in town's draft comprehensive plan.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Casco

**DATE:** 8/6/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Several state highways are key routes from Casco. There have been 3 fatalities from high speed crashes in the last year. Streets are currently unsafe for pedestrians, cyclists, and drivers.
- Limited sidewalk infrastructure.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed.
  - Proposal details public engagement and educational materials including posters and brochures, social media blog posts, tabling at the library, a video summarizing the policies, and a public survey of implementation strategies. Areas of concern to be addressed will be community identified.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Proposal discusses how lack of transportation or safe walking/cycling access is an important equity issue, particularly impacting low-income families and the elderly, as well as youth. Additional detail on how impacted groups will be engaged would be helpful.

Project duration: 12 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - Additional detail on what Principle Group and CEBE will be paid would be helpful.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Casco

**DATE:** 8/4/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - n/a

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Addressing Community Safety Through Complete Streets Policy Update
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with A9.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Reasonable
  - Town Staff, appointed officials, a resident committee, Principle Group and CEBE will lead the work.
  - Plan will incorporate regional and national best practices and recommended standards from existing policies – National Complete Streets Coalition and Greater Portland Regional Complete Streets
  - Task 1: Onboarding and Discovery
  - Task 2: Public Engagement
    - Establish public engagement plan, host forums, establish vision, build awareness
  - Task 3: Policy development
    - Develop Complete Streets Policy and Vision Zero Policy
  - Task 4: Quick Build Guidebook Development

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Casco

**DATE:** 8/4/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Implementation strategy and custom implementation guidebook
- Task 5: Policy Adoption
- Task 6: Implementation
  - Prepare community for implementation project.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Casco Planning Days exercises, and Community Workshop process identified slower, safer streets as a high priority
  - Complete Streets and Vision Zero policies are included in the Town's comprehensive plan
  - Wide, curving streets create unsafe conditions for pedestrians and cyclists
  - Lack of safe bike/ped infrastructure

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed
  - Extensive community outreach completed leading up to the Complete Streets policy development
  - Public engagement and education during process – outreach materials, social media, tabling event, video and survey
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes
  - Identified elderly and low-income individuals as key benefactors from these policies.
    - Less reliance on vehicles to access goods/services
  - Address transportation equity and lead by example for other Maine towns

**Project duration:** 12 months

- Detailed timeline provided.

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Casco

**DATE:** 8/4/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- n/a
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Chelsea

**DATE:** 7/29/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: none

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): med

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Energy Efficiency in the Town's Municipal Office
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - B2, B3, B4, and B5

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Scope**

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Identifies tasks, roles, detailed timeline, and specific outcomes
  - Task 1: Install a heat pump system for heating/cooling in the municipal office.
  - Task 2: Install an air filtration system in the municipal office.
  - Task 3: Purchase 5 tablet style computers for town staff
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - likely

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Town office is 100 years old and not energy efficient



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Chelsea

**DATE:** 7/29/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Describes enrollment workshop participation
  - Deliverables list includes generation of outreach materials but these are not described in tasks, engagement description, or budget
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Not addressed

Project duration – 12 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$31,900
- Is the budget math correct? (*yes/no*)
  - Yes but worksheet needs reorganization
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (*yes/no*)
  - Yes
  - Narrative would benefit from explanation how heat pump cost estimate was derived. Vendor quote?
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - yes
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (*yes, no, n/a*)
  - N/a
- Other notes
  - Would not fund Task 2+3

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Chelsea

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: None

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Energy Efficiency in the Town's Municipal Office
  
- The proposed scope of work is mostly well-aligned
  - Proposal notes that it seeks to implement MWW Actions B2, B3, B4, and B5. Well aligned with B3 and B4, not aligned with B2 and B5.
    - Unclear if the purchase of tablets to reduce paper consumption aligns with MWW strategies listed here.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1: Install a heat pump system in town office.
  - Task 2: Install an air filtration system in the town office. [Is this an eligible activity?]
  - Task 3: Purchase 5 tablets for town staff. [Is this an eligible activity?]
  - Proposal provides details of town staff responsible for coordinating project and managing funds, but it is unclear who is responsible for developing outreach and education materials and the final report summarizing energy savings and cost reductions.
  - Proposal includes list of expected outcomes in terms of reductions in heating and cooling costs, reductions in paper use, and reduction in total municipal office carbon footprint.
  - 12 month project timeline included. Timeline does not include development of outreach materials.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Chelsea

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Scope is somewhat likely to achieve outcomes. Would benefit from additional information on roles and responsibilities, particularly regarding the development of outreach and educational materials and a plan for how those materials will be shared.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Municipal building is currently heated by old oil furnace and the building can be very cold in the winter. The installation of heat pumps would increase comfort of municipal employees and residents, and reduce reliance of the oil furnace saving the town money.
  - The building is dusty. An air filtration system will make building safer for those with health problems.
  - Town relies on paper copies of record for the most part. Tablets would save town money on paper and ink.
    - Project need is somewhat aligned with MWW. Unsure if air filtration system or tablets are eligible.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Town hosted community resilience workshop on March 8, 2023. Prior to the workshop it was advertised on the town website, flyers at local hubs, and on town Facebook pages.
  - Workshop was open to the public and held outside normal business hours to increase attendance of working population.
  - Proposal does not describe community engagement during or after the project. It mentions outreach and education materials in the scope, but not in detail.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Proposal does not describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate.

Project duration: 12 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$31,900
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Narrative does not detail expected Efficiency Maine incentive.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) Yes
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - Unclear if applicant has received an estimate for the heat pump installation.
  - Unsure if \$450 is a reasonable cost for a "built-in air filtration system."
  - Unsure if tablets or air purification system can be funded.
  - If installing 6 new heat pumps, town may need to upgrade electrical panel.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Chelsea

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - n/a

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Energy Efficiency in the Town's Municipal Office
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B4, somewhat aligned with B2, B3, and B5.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1: Install a heat pump system for heating/cooling in the municipal office.
  - Task 2: Install an air filtration system in the municipal office.
  - Task 3: Purchase 5 tablet style computers for town staff
  - Tasks and deliverables are reasonable and roles/responsibilities have been defined.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Yes, the installation of heat pumps is likely to lower carbon footprint and reduce heating and cooling costs.
  - Unclear what type of air filtration system the Town is proposing to install.

Need

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Chelsea

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Heat pump installation is well-aligned – This project would allow the Town of Chelsea to “lead by example” by promoting high efficiency heating, and cooling; climate-friendly construction materials; and renewable energy use for reduced operating costs and emissions reductions.
  - Heat pumps would reduce use of inefficient oil heating system.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
  - A variety of community outreach tactics were used during the enrollment process to get residents to attend workshops.
  - Outreach materials are listed in the deliverables but no further detail is included in the Community Participation section.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimally – vulnerable/disadvantaged groups were not identified or addressed.

**Project duration:** 12 months

- Detailed project timeline included.

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$31,900
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Somewhat– Total funds requested is incorrect but the correct total is included in Total Project Budget.
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, Efficiency Maine rebate.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Cherryfield

**DATE:** 8/6/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - TNC
  - SCEC

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Cherryfield Riverwalk Shoreline Restoration & Vegetation Management Planning
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Somewhat aligned with Strategies E, F, G – does not identify specific actions from List of Community Actions
  - Moderate alignment with E1, E5

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1 Vegetation Management Plan
  - Task 2 Removal of Invasive Species
  - Task 3 Native Revegetation
  - Task 4 Installation of park benches
  - Some information on deliverables, roles, and responsibilities are provided for each task but would benefit from greater detail
    - Who will lead the overall project?
    - Is the contractor already identified to write the management plan? Who is it or how will it be selected?
    - How will volunteer days be coordinated? By whom?
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Cherryfield

**DATE:** 8/6/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Somewhat – scope may achieve outcomes during the grant period but ongoing maintenance may be needed after grant ends. Unclear if the vegetation plan will address long-term maintenance and how to pay for it.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Somewhat aligned - How will the vegetation plan incorporate sea level rise into planting design and maintenance?
  - Restore resilience to the shoreline by removing invasive species (that do not hold soil) with native species that help reduce erosion and retain water
  - Riverwalk needs ongoing maintenance

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately addressed
  - Application envisions that community members and landowners will undertake the removal of non-native species, planting and initial care of seedlings and seeds
    - but does not describe how this will be organized or encouraged
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimally addressed
  - Riverwalk will provide access to healthy exercise for all demographics

### Project duration – 24 months

- Would benefit from more detail of when tasks are expected to occur

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes, but budget tasks are not the same as scope of work tasks
  - Narrative would benefit from greater detail of specific costs and information about how the costs were derived. Specifically what activities will contractor provide? How will the \$10,000 for volunteer days be spent?
  - How will town maintain the revegetation after the two-year grant period? How will it pay for ongoing maintenance?
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
  - Moderate alignment with E1, E5
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Cherryfield

**DATE:** 8/6/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - The Nature Conservancy
  - Sunrise County Economic Council

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small
- SVI (low, med, high): High

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Cherryfield Riverwalk Shoreline Restoration & Vegetation Management Planning
  
- The proposed scope of work is generally aligned, targeting MWW Strategies E and F, but doesn't list specific actions.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Partially described, greater detail particularly on outcomes of management plan would be helpful. Includes roles and responsibilities for each task, but relies a lot on volunteers. Timeline would benefit from additional detail by task.
    - Task 1: Vegetation Management Plan
    - Task 2: Removal of invasive species
    - Task 3: Native Revegetation
    - Task 4: Installation of park benches
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Somewhat likely to achieve. Relies significantly on volunteers. Need additional detail on strategies for work.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)



## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Cherryfield

**DATE:** 8/6/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Need is somewhat aligned. Could use addition detail regarding management plan's consideration of long-term resilience planning/action.
- Community is a coastal zone community, directly facing threats of climate change.
- Restoring resilience of shoreline will make area more prepared for future flooding.
- Riverwalk needs maintenance to ensure safety. Revitalization of area will serve as more accessible outdoor greenhouse to all living in the area.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust community outreach prior to application with support from Sunrise Country Economic Development.
  - DOT and Maine Coast Heritage Trust are working with community to address resiliency.
  - Funds may support volunteer efforts.
  - Moderately expected.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Identify vulnerable groups as high population of people over 65 and population with disabilities. Notes riverwalk would provide opportunity for safe exercise, but engagement efforts need additional detail and thought.

Project duration: 24 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes, but greater detail would be helpful, broken out by task.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - Narrative describes \$30,000 for invasive plant removal, \$10,000 for plan. \$10,000 will support volunteer work days, community outreach, and the purchase of native plants.
    - Which part of budget will support bench construction/installation?
    - Budget item for volunteer days and plant purchase seem too low.
  - Does community have a plan for upkeep of riverwalk/native plantings after 24 month grant period?

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Cherryfield

**DATE:** 8/4/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - The Nature Conservancy
  - Sunrise County Economic Council

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

Project title: Cherryfield Riverwalk Shoreline Restoration & Vegetation Management Planning

- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Somewhat-aligned with strategies E and F.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Partially described
  - Project tasks, deliverables, roles and responsibilities would benefit from additional detail.
  - Task 1: Vegetation Management Plan
  - Task 2: Removal of Invasive Species
  - Task 3: Native Revegetation
  - Task 4: Installation of park benches
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Somewhat likely to achieve applicant's desired outcomes.

Need

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Cherryfield

**DATE:** 8/4/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Somewhat-aligned
  - Project will help to restore the resilience of a tidally-influenced zone community and better prepare it for risk of flooding.
  - Will create safer access to public open space
  - Removal of invasive species is not on the list of CRP actions

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
  - Community workshop during enrollment process identified Riverwalk as a high priority
  - Would benefit from more detailed plan on how to engage the community in participating in the volunteer planting days and engage with the open space.
  - Mention of funds to support volunteer planting days.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat
  - Residents over 65, and civilians with disabilities identified as most vulnerable populations.
  - Riverwalk provides opportunity for these groups to engage with the waterfront and community members.

Project duration: 24 months

- Basic timeline provided.

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes, but budget narrative doesn't match scope.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - References vendor estimate but it's not included
  - Would benefit from further details around how \$10,000 will be distributed to support all other proposal tasks.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Cranberry Isles

**DATE:** 7/25/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type:
  - municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Island Institute, ACTT, Cranberry Isles Community Solar Assn, Hancock County Planning Commn, GMRI
  - Sen. Nicole Grohowski

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): y
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): med

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Powering Progress: Granting Municipal Building Energy Resilience and Citizen Engagement to Cranberry Isles
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with C7, H2

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1.1 Solar + Battery installation
    - Managed by town manager
    - Sun Dog Solar selected as vendor
  - Task 1.2 Town office open house
    - Tour installation, learn about energy transition, update from Resilience Committee
    - Town mgr, Resilience Committee, ACTT, GMRI, HCPC
  - Task 2.1 Community Resilience Committee Recruitment and Development Support
    - Led by Island Institute
  - Task 2.2 Community Resilience Training for Committee and wider community

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Cranberry Isles

**DATE:** 7/25/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Planning Forward workshop, Resource Workshop, Resilience Planning Workshop
- Led by Committee with II, ACTT, GMRI
- 
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - 5 unbridged islands, 160 year-round residents, 3-4x summer residents
  - Investigating a community-wide microgrid, applied for ETIPP
  - Adopted new flood hazard maps and updated Shoreland Zoning to address current hazards and SLR
  - Need for "resilient community hub" to provide electricity and emergency communication during extreme events
  - Project aims to engage community in this solar+battery project AND lay community groundwork for future energy and resilience projects
  - Task 1 benefits: cost-savings, emergency response and communications, evacuation center and shelter, sustainability
  - Task 2 benefits: diverse and inclusive committee, year-round and summer resident participation,

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed with open houses, trainings, committee recruitment, and support for committee members to attend off-island conferences
  - Designed to build community-wide familiarity with clean energy, climate, and resilience
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Solar and battery project will provide a layer of resilience to all community members. They will need to plan how the most vulnerable will be able to utilize the facility in an emergency.
  - Identifies steps to be inclusive and accessible to broad community participation

Project duration: 12 months

- Detailed timeline provided

Solid proposal with attention to roles/responsibilities, timelines, deliverables, outcomes. Strong climate resilience and clean energy outcomes likely. Lays community engagement/education groundwork for future projects.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Cranberry Isles

**DATE:** 7/25/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$49,340.00
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes but not required
  - Makes good use of federal direct pay option for tax credits
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - In kind support provided
  - Match from II and GMRI/NOAA
- Other notes
  - Vendor quote provided, estimate valid for 30 days

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** The Town of Cranberry Isles

**DATE:** 7.26.23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Island Institute
  - A Climate to Thrive
  - Cranberry Isles Community Solar Association
  - Senator Grohoski
  - Hancock County Planning Commission
  - Gulf of Maine Research Institute
  - Sundog Solar

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Granting Municipal Building Energy Resilience and Citizen Engagement to Cranberry Isles
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned; targets Action C7 and Action H2.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Tasks, deliverables, roles and responsibilities, and expected outcomes are detailed and reasonable for both task 1 and task 2. Includes clear project timeline for 12 month project broken into quarters.
    - Task 1: Work with contractor (Sundog Solar identified, have bid) to install solar and battery. Host town office open house for community members.

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** The Town of Cranberry Isles

**DATE:** 7.26.23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Task 2: Form Community Resilience Committee to coordinate and implement resilience initiatives/provide educational opportunities; recruit membership. Work with partners to host three community trainings.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve. Experienced partners on board.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned. Need is well thought out and explained. Explains guiding principles identified at community workshop. Project aims to address how individual climate issues sit within the broader context of community sustainability priorities and engage local leaders to build buy-in and ownership for emerging solutions.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust. Committed to providing meaningful opportunities for all community members to participate in planning, implementation, and outcomes of work.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes, well designed. Will schedule events at diverse times to accommodate schedules, offer hybrid options and select accessible meeting locations. Will use multiple channels to reach broad audience. Will cover registration fees and/or reimburse mileage to aid community attendance. Would benefit from greater thought regarding access to facility by most vulnerable, particularly in weather events.

Project duration: 12 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$49,340
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - Budget includes value of expected federal tax credits, \$4,500 of in kind support from partners and community; and a \$10,050 NOAA grant for the Community Resilience Training. Have an estimate.



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Cranberry Isles

**DATE:** 7/28/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Island Institute
  - A Climate to Thrive
  - Cranberry Isles Community Solar Association
  - State Senator Nicole Grohoski
  - Hancock County Planning Commission
  - Gulf of Maine Research Institute

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n):
  - Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n):
  - No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n)
  - No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Powering Progress: Granting Municipal Building Energy Resilience and Citizen Engagement to Cranberry Isles
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with C7 and H2.
    - Development of renewable solar energy
    - Promotes community resilience with solar array and battery power back-up that can be used during power outages.
    - Incorporates community engagement and education

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Cranberry Isles

**DATE:** 7/28/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable – timeline is reasonable, and tasks are well thought out, with assigned projects leads.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely, SOW is well organized and shows a clear understanding of the tasks ahead and what is needed to accomplish them.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned, project will produce renewable energy, promote community resilience, and educate residents about climate change and mitigation actions.
  - 5 unbridged islands -- Great Cranberry Island, Little Cranberry Island (Islesford), Sutton Island, Baker Island, and Bear Island.
  - 160 year-round residents, with influx of summer residents
  - Already experiencing hazards related to climate change – flooding, increased power outages, erosion, SLR
  - Backup battery source will power lighting, heating, cooling, medical equipment, radios, phones, and internet connectivity

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed, including a community open house, creation of a community resilience committee to address SLR and flooding, and creating/offering a community resilience training to residents to become more informed.
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes, well-designed
  - Proposed committee will include members from several islands, to offer equal representation, year round and summer residents
  - Events will be scheduled at diverse times to accommodate different schedules and offer hybrid options
  - Cover registration fees and/or mileage for community members to attend state climate action conferences

Project duration: 12 months

- Detailed timeline provided

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$49,340
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Cranberry Isles

**DATE:** 7/28/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, federal tax credit and NOAA ELG funds for Community Resilience Training
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
  
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Cumberland

**DATE:** 8/10/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Rep. Steven Moriarty
  - Fire chief
  - Library director
  - Chebeague and Cumberland Land Trust

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): no
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Spring 2022
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): no
  - Town has designated adequate staff to complete the work on time and prospective vendors have completed past projects for the Town on a timely and professional basis

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Reduce greenhouse gas emission of the Town of Cumberland by increasing energy efficiency, reducing use of fossil fuels, developing a local food economy, and enhancing sequestration from natural systems.
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - B1, B4
  - F14
  - C7
  - D1
  - E1
  - H4, H5

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1 Fire station improvements
    - Install 10kW rooftop solar on fire station
    - Weatherization of fire station

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Cumberland

**DATE:** 8/10/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Budget narrative states that an energy audit will determine weatherization tasks
  - Install three level-2 EV chargers
- Task 2 library improvements
  - Weatherization – “as needed” (unspecified)
  - heat pumps
- Task 3 Support local food economy by establishing 5-acre Community Orchard on town land
  - Volunteer help, including local students
  - Grant funds to purchase shed, tools, rainwater collection system
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Somewhat likely
  - Would benefit from substantially more detail on project coordination roles, specific weatherization tasks, and timelines

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned
  - Implements newly approved climate action plan

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimal engagement planned
  - Highlight to residents that town is leading on GHG reductions
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - somewhat
  - Library improvements increases ability to serve as a cooling center
  - Orchard produce can be shared with food banks – would benefit from stronger commitment here

**Project duration – 24 months**

- Basic timeline

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
  - Narrative does not include EM incentives
  - Would benefit from vendor estimates

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Cumberland

**DATE:** 8/10/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - yes
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Unable to fund unspecified weatherization tasks.
  - Vendor quotes would be helpful to justify costs for tasks 1 and 2

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Cumberland

**DATE:** 8/20/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Cumberland Fire Department
  - Prince Memorial Library, Cumberland
  - Chebeague & Cumberland Land Trust

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes, Spring 2022 grant is active.
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Medium
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Reduce greenhouse gas emission of the Town of Cumberland by increasing energy efficiency, reducing use of fossil fuels, developing a local food economy, and enhancing sequestration from natural systems
  
- The proposed scope of work is aligned with several, but not all MWW actions they list, including B1, B4, F14, C7, D1, E1, H4, and H5.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Scope is partially described. Will implement town's Climate Action Plan through several tasks.
  - Additional detail specifically regarding types of weatherization activities at fire station and library would benefit proposal.
  - Lacks defined roles and responsibilities for managing project work.
  - Timeline also lacks detail for 24 month period.
    - Task 1: Install rooftop solar on fire station and weatherize building. Install 3 EV chargers.
    - Task 2: Weatherize library and install 2 heat pumps.
    - Task 3: Establish 5-acre community orchard.

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Cumberland

**DATE:** 8/20/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Somewhat likely to achieve desired outcomes. Additional detail regarding project scope and roles/responsibilities of folks that will ensure work and grant is moving forward as planned would help determine likelihood of achieving outcomes.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned.
  - Cumberland recently adopted a Climate Action Plan as their official policy. The project will help implement the policy through several actions.
  - See a need to highlight further to residents that the Town is leading by example in reducing emissions.
  - Citizen survey indicated strong interest in policies/programs to support local food production and consumption.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected. Proposal describes community engagement in development of Climate Action Plan.
  - Orchard will engage volunteers including students.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Improvements to library will increase building's ability to serve as a cooling center.
  - Orchard harvests "can be shared with local community food banks."
  - Proposal would benefit from additional planning efforts/commitment to educational activities at the orchard and at the fire station/library projects.

Project duration: 24 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Narrative doesn't describe rebates.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Includes EMT rebates for EV chargers and heat pumps.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - N/A
- Other notes
  - Might suggest subtracting Efficiency Maine rebates from total budget request.
  - Did estimates come from contractors?



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Cumberland

**DATE:** 8/10/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Cumberland Fire Department
  - Prince Memorial Library
  - Chebeague & Cumberland Land Trust
  - Rep. Stephen Moriarty

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

Project title: Reduce greenhouse gas emission of the Town of Cumberland by increasing energy efficiency, reducing use of fossil fuels, developing a local food economy, and enhancing sequestration from natural systems.

- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with A2, B1, B4, and C7. Somewhat aligned with F14 – weatherization and heating upgrades can prepare a building for emergency response, but more detail is needed on what the emergency plan and strategies are. Somewhat aligned with H4 and H5 – no community engagement plan included in the scope. Minimally aligned with D1 and E1 – no policy development or targets include in the scope.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Reasonable
  - Roles and responsibilities not assigned.
  - Would benefit from more detail on weatherization tactics that will be employed

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Cumberland

**DATE:** 8/10/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Would benefit from more detail on how community orchard will be established, managed, and maintained and who it will benefit.
- Task 1 Fire Station:
  - Install 10 kw rooftop PV solar
  - Enhance weatherization
  - Install 3 Level 2 EV chargers for use by area residents.
- Task 2 Prince Memorial Library:
  - Weatherization improvements
  - Install two air source heat pumps
- Task 3: Establish a 5-acre Community Orchard on town-owned land with volunteer help including students from local schools as indicated by their education program.
  - Grant funds would be used for storage shed, tools and rainwater collection system.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Somewhat –
    - Proposal would benefit from more detailed tasks and strategies to improve building weatherization, and establish and maintain the community orchard.
    - Unclear who will be managing these multiple efforts.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Adding renewable energy to the grid
  - Increasing energy efficiency – reducing carbon emissions.
  - Supporting local food production.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Somewhat
  - There was extensive community engagement during the climate action planning process which these actions support.
  - Plan to engage students and community in community orchard but no detailed strategy for engagement is included.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat
  - West Cumberland Fire Station serves the part of town with lowest level of family income.
  - Heat pumps will allow library will serve as a cooling center to help residents with no air conditioning.
  - Community orchard is open to all community members
    - Once trees mature, harvests can be shared with local food banks and schools.

Project duration: 24 months

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Cumberland

**DATE:** 8/10/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Basic timeline provided.

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Totals not calculated
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
  - Narrative would benefit from more detail on how costs were derived.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes – Efficiency Maine funds
  - Unclear whether solar cost includes federal tax credit.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
  
- Other notes
  - Would benefit from vendor estimates with more detailed costs.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Danforth

**DATE:** 8/7/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Selectboard
  - Washington County manager

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Resiliency Planning for Danforth's Future
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with F1, F2
  - Well aligned with G1, G5

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - TASK 1 - Comprehensive Plan Update
    - Form a committee to work with SCEC
  - TASK 2 - Emergency Operations and Hazard Mitigation Plans Update
    - Ahead of county plan update in 2024
  - TASK 3 - Culvert Inventory
    - Will follow Stream Smart Crossing Guidelines in inventory
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes

Need

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Danforth

**DATE:** 8/7/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Comp plan and emergency response plan are both more than a decade old and do not include climate considerations
  - Recent flooding and culvert washouts have led residents to prioritize resilience

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Well designed
  - Online community survey and unspecified “alternative access” for those without internet
  - Public visioning meeting. Food pantry will deliver flyers. Will engage an active senior program at community center.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - somewhat
  - Identifies financial vulnerability and relevant issues, plan will suggest strategies to “help protect those most at risk”

Project duration – 24 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$42,300 or \$42,000?
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Math is correct in narrative and worksheet but they are not the same
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - No, Task 3 has \$300 difference between narrative and worksheet
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Not required but DOT funds are included for transportation chapter of Task 1
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Not required, town providing some funding and volunteer time
- Other notes

From Narrative:

|        | Requested | Other  | Total  |
|--------|-----------|--------|--------|
| Task 1 | 35,700    | 13,500 | 49,200 |
| Task 2 | 4,000     | 600    | 4,600  |
| Task 3 | 2,300     |        | 2,300  |
| Total  | 42,000    | 14,100 | 56,100 |

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Danforth

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Carrie Oliver, Chair of Danforth Board of Selectman
  - Betsy Fitzgerald, Washington County Commissioners

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small
- SVI (low, med, high): High

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Resiliency Planning for Danforth's Future
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with MWW Actions F1, F2, G1, and G5.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1: Comprehensive Plan Update
  - Task 2: Emergency Operations & Hazard Mitigation Plan Updates
    - Will use results of analysis to contribute to update of Washington County Hazard Mitigation Plan.
  - Task 3: Culvert Inventory
  - Each task includes deliverables and roles & responsibilities to achieve outcomes. Detailed and reasonable. Timeline included for each task.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve.
  - Will reference Municipal Climate Adaptation Guidance Series for comprehensive planning.
  - Will follow assessment protocols from DEP's Stream Smart Program for culvert inventory.

Need

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Danforth

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Need is well-aligned.
  - Host two community workshops in 2022 which highlighted community priorities of road repair and downtown planning for revitalization.
  - Town recently experienced severe flash flooding and road damage that cut off residents and left aging community members in danger. There is a growing awareness of sensitivity to intense storm events.
  - Town has begun downtown revitalization efforts. Updated comp plan will add a climate resilience perspective to revitalization by helping town designate growth areas, etc.
  - Neither the comp plan nor the emergency response plan include climate change impacts in vulnerability assessments.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed.
  - Have created a diverse and engaged committee to work on comp planning process.
  - Will additionally recruit from sections of community not generally represented to broad perspectives.
  - Will distribute a community survey.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Identify those most vulnerable as low-income residents and those with employment, housing, and transportation challenges. As well as those engaged in public services, agriculture and forestry.
  - Will host a community visioning meeting that will be advertised through mailings, radio, newspaper, and digital media. Will ask food pantry to distribute flyers to those that use their services. Will engage "active senior program."

Project duration: 24 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$42,300
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Some errors for task 3 total.
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Narrative could be more clear regarding source of "other funds" or in-kind match.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - N/A
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Danforth

**DATE:** 8/7/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Danforth Select Board
  - Washington County Commissioners

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Resiliency Planning for Danforth's Future
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with F1, F2, G1 and G5.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Roles and responsibilities are clearly defined.
  - Would benefit from more information on how community vulnerability will be identified and addressed in the Comprehensive plan update.
  - Task 1: Comprehensive Plan Update
    - Include climate resilience planning
    - Identify community vulnerabilities
  - Task 2: Emergency Operations and Hazard Mitigation Plans Update
    - Address identified vulnerabilities
  - Task 3: Culvert Inventory
    - Follow DEP Stream Smart Program assessment protocols



## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Danforth

**DATE:** 8/7/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve desired outcomes.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Road repairs and Downtown Revitalization were identified as a high priority in the community workshops.
  - Storm events have led to failed culverts and roads being washed out.
  - Comprehensive and Emergency Response plans are out of date and do not include climate resilience elements.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed
  - Create diverse and engaged comp plan committee to lead process.
  - Community survey
  - Community visioning meeting
  - Outreach campaign including mailings, newspaper, radio, and digital media.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Identified seniors and those living in a state of financial precarity such as employment, housing, transportation, public services, and agriculture and forestry as most vulnerable populations to be addressed in comp plan.
  - Work with Food Pantry to connect with more vulnerable residents.

Project duration: 24 months

- Basic timeline provided.

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$42,300
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Task 3 is miscalculated – should be \$2300
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Dixmont

**DATE:** 8/10/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - MOU with Gold Crest Riders Snowmobile Club for use of facility as emergency shelter

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Dixmont Community Resilience Grant
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with H1, F15
  - Well aligned with B4, F14,
  - Somewhat aligned with G2

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Partially described – missing description of emergency planning process
  - Task 1 Community Support Group
    - Committee-led “Helping Hands” program that matches vulnerable neighbors with volunteers who can help during dangerous weather (shoveling, salting, firewood, etc.)
    - Seeking funds for incidental supplies for volunteers
  - Task 2 Emergency Preparedness –
    - 2.1 create an extreme temperature emergency plan
      - Does not discuss who would lead the planning process or how it would be conducted
    - 2.2 install heat pumps at snowmobile club which serves as town’s emergency shelter
      - Properties that are not owned by the town are not eligible
  - Task 3 Infrastructure Improvements

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Dixmont

**DATE:** 8/10/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Contract with engineer to assess (Phase 2) condition of paved roads and culvert crossings, and recommend options for repair
- Application and engineer's scope of work would both benefit from detail on how climate impacts will be considered in the assessment and recommendations
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve town's outcomes, but parts of Tasks 1 and 2 include ineligible uses of funds

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned, though Task 3 would benefit from detail on how climate change will be considered in the engineering report recommendations
  - Town is aware of individuals who already check on vulnerable neighbors and sees benefit to formalizing the program
  - Town is aware of individuals without reliable or adequate heating and cooling in their homes
  - Road deterioration is increasing as climate changes increases frequency of freeze-thaw cycle and flooding, making some roads impassable and a limitation on emergency services

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Well-designed
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Well-designed

**Project duration – 24 months**

- Basic timeline provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$36,300
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
  - The budget narrative does not discuss cost of Emergency plan. This is the part of Task 2 that is eligible for funding
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - yes
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - yes but not required

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Dixmont

**DATE:** 8/10/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Other notes
  - Vendor quotes provided:
    - James W. Sewall Company (civil engineering) proposal
    - Don's Plumbing and Heating Inc. heat pump estimate
    - Welch and Sons Electric estimate
  - Is the \$100/year volunteer insurance premium for the entire program or per volunteer?

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Dixmont

**DATE:** 8/10/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Dixmont Community Resilience Grant
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with MWW actions H1, F15, B4, and G2. Need additional detail to know if proposal is well-aligned with F14 (extreme temperatures emergency plan).

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Scope is partially described. Could use additional detail identifying point people for managing each task
    - Task 1: Establish Community Support Group
    - Task 2: Create an emergency plan that outlines risks associated with high or low temperatures & install heat pumps at designated emergency shelter
      - **Shelter not municipally owned.**
      - **Unsure who will complete emergency plan.**
    - Task 3: Develop a plan for capital improvement needs for stormwater and road maintenance
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Relatively likely to achieve most of scope. Clear roles and responsibilities would strengthen project work. Emergency shelter not municipally owned, so ineligible.

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Dixmont

**DATE:** 8/10/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Need is well-aligned.
  - Seeking to achieve multiple community priorities through this grant proposal.
  - Community support group will provide formalized assistance to vulnerable citizens to ensure efficiency and reliability.
  - Emergency preparedness plan will better equip town to handle emergencies and protect residents in extreme events. Will provide access to reliable heating and cooling. Power outages are common in Dixmont creating potentially dangerous conditions for vulnerable citizens.
  - Have identified roads as vulnerable infrastructure being exacerbated by climate change. Plans will help stretch limited resources for improvements.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Well-designed.
  - Have plans for outreach and education to community to inform them of new resources (support group/emergency shelter improvements).
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Well-designed.
  - Proposed projects will be most impactful to vulnerable residents, particularly low income and elderly.

Project duration: 24 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$36,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Includes Efficiency Maine heat pump rebate
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
  
- Other notes
  - Includes \$20,000 from town for road consulting work.
  - Includes \$17,000 Commissioner's Grant for emergency shelter project.
  - It is unclear who will complete the emergency plan and how it will be paid for.
  - Emergency shelter not a municipally owned building
  - Cannot fund supplies list for community group (salt, wood, etc.)
  - Proposal includes vendor quotes for road assessment and heat pump installation.
    - Sewall evaluation does not mention climate resiliency or consideration of extreme weather in road evaluation.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Dixmont

**DATE:** 8/9/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - n/a

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Dixmont Community Resilience Grant
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with H1, F14, B4, and G14, somewhat aligned with G2.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Partially described
  - Would benefit from more detail on who at the Town will be managing these projects.
  - Task 1: Community Support Group
    - Create a community stakeholder committee to oversee peer-to-peer volunteer program called Helping Hands.
  - Task 2: Emergency Preparedness
    - Focus on protection from extreme temperatures
    - Upgrade the Gold Crest Riders Snowmobile Club, which serves as the Town's warming/cooling center, with heat pumps to increase resiliency.
      - Town must own building to be eligible for grant funding.
  - Task 3: Infrastructure Improvements

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Dixmont

**DATE:** 8/9/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Long-range capital improvement plan for stormwater and maintenance of roadbed systems
  - Assess road condition, structure depth, culvert crossing size and locations, roadside ditching and known areas of concern
  - Develop recommended options for repair and improvement for each roadway.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
    - Likely to achieve
    - Tasks cover a broad range of resiliency issues.
    - Parts of Tasks 1 and 2 include ineligible uses of funding.
    - Task 3 vendor scope and estimate have been obtained.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned
  - Peer-to-peer program will formalize community connections and increase resiliency
  - Task 2 will assist residents that do not have access to a reliable heating/cooling sources, making them more vulnerable to extreme heat.
    - Heat pumps will offset fossil fuel system.
  - Task 3 – deteriorating road conditions are exacerbated by climate change impacts
    - Town has limited resources to improve roads
    - Some roads are at risk of washing out due to culvert issues

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
    - Plan to do outreach and education to the community to inform them of available resources and help them prepare homes/property for the impacts of climate change.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes – identified low-income and elderly individuals as the most vulnerable.
    - These residents would benefit most from the peer-to-peer program and warming/cooling shelter

Project duration: 24 months

- Detailed timeline provided.

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$36,300
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Dixmont

**DATE:** 8/9/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, Efficiency Maine funding.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - If snowmobile club isn't owned by the town, grant funds can't be used to install heat pumps.
  - Town contributing \$37,000 to support Tasks.
  - Vendor estimate for Task 3 included.
    - Assessment will need to consider future climate impacts on the roadways.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Eliot

**DATE:** 8/7/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Rep. Michele Meyer
  - Town manager
  - Conservation Commission
  - Planning Board
  - SMPDC

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Eliot Climate Change Vulnerability and Resilience Assessment
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with F1, G1, H4, H5

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1. Establish and Lead Community Working Group
    - Town planner will lead, convene working group
  - Task 2. Conduct Climate Change Vulnerability and Resilience Assessment and Develop Recommendations
    - Competitive bid process to hire a consultant
    - Identifies and details various components of the assessment
  - Task 3. Community Engagement
  - Task 4. Host a UNH Sustainability Fellow (or another intern) for Project Support
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Eliot

**DATE:** 8/7/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned
  - Vulnerability assessment is a staff and community priority
  - Currently updating comp plan
  - Town may renovate Town Hall in the future. Vulnerability assessment can inform climate- and resilience-related services the new designs should accommodate.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well designed
  - Anticipated engagement efforts include a community workshop, updates to the Town's website, social media posts, newsletter articles, and a community survey
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat – application states “public engagement will be equity-focused” without providing detail on strategies or approaches

**Project duration – 24 months**

- Detailed Timeline provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - In kind
- Other notes
  - If fellow/intern costs are below budget or not needed, town will return unused grant funds to the state – need to update application with this

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Eliot

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Representative Michele Meyer
  - Town Manager
  - Eliot conservation Commission
  - Eliot Planning Board
  - SMPDC

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Medium
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Eliot Climate Change Vulnerability and Resilience Assessment
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned, targeting MWW Actions F1, G1, H4, and H5.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable.
  - Detailed timeline included for each task. Each task additionally notes key roles/responsibilities.
    - Task 1: Establish community working group
    - Task 2: Conduct climate change vulnerability and resilience assessment. Develop recommendations.
    - Task 3: Community Engagement
    - Task 4: Host a UNH Sustainability Fellow for project support
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve. Have good town support.

Need

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Eliot

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Town hosted a series of discussions as part of enrollment process and identified vulnerability assessment as a priority project.
  - Town lacks local information about climate hazards, impacts of hazards, and vulnerabilities to those impacts. Assessment will inform planning.
  - Will use completed climate vulnerability assessment results to inform future planning and action to increase community resilience (people, infrastructure, property, and natural resources) to climate change.
  - Timing of project aligns with other town planning initiatives, including update to comp plan.
  - Town is exploring potential renovation/expansion of townhall. Assessment could inform certain aspects of design.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed.
  - Scope includes public engagement activities including creation of several types of informational resources; a community survey; presentations. Will host and facilitate a community workshop related to vulnerability assessment.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Workshop related to vulnerability assessment will be designed to gather feedback and input from the community about climate hazards and impacts of interest and concern; areas, populations, natural resources, and assets (e.g., critical infrastructure) within the community that are exposed to climate hazards; information about adaptive capacity and sensitivity of areas, populations, resources, and assets; and priority impacts for the Town to address.
  - Sustainability fellow will support consultant in engagement related specifically to vulnerable residents. Additional detail on this engagement or sectors of community that will be focused on would be helpful.

Project duration: 24 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
  
- Other notes
  - In-kind volunteer/staff time valued at \$7,000 included.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Eliot

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Town will apply for grant funds from UNH to reduce the cost of hosting the fellow. Any cost difference between the budget line item of \$10,000 and town's actual cost to host the fellow will be applied to community engagement efforts.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Eliot

**DATE:** 8/7/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Rep. Michele Meyer
  - Michael J. Sullivan, Town Manager
  - Eliot Conservation Commission
  - Eliot Planning Board
  - SMPDC

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Eliot Climate Change Vulnerability and Resilience Assessment
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with F1, G1, H4, and H5.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and Reasonable
  - Roles and responsibilities were well defined
  - Task 1: Establish and Lead Community Working Group
  - Task 2: Conduction Climate Change Vulnerability and Resilience Assessment and Develop Recommendations
    - Evaluate impacts to the built, social and natural environment, public health, and the economy

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Eliot

**DATE:** 8/7/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Scope to be determined by the need of the Town, based on working group input, and availability of data
- Task 3: Community Engagement
  - Public outreach, community survey, community workshop
- Task 4: Host a UNH Sustainability Fellow (or another intern) for Project Support
  - Intern will support consultant with gathering and analyzing data, GIS mapping, developing outreach and summary materials, drafting work products, and supporting community engagement
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Vulnerability assessment was identified as a priority during community workshop.
  - Comp plan development in process – vulnerability assessment will be integrated into plan
  - Will identify climate impacts and inform emergency response planning

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed
  - Proposal states that Consultant will be tasked with developing a robust and equity focused community engagement plan.
  - Anticipated engagement efforts include a community workshop, updates to the Town's website, social media posts, newsletter articles, and a community survey.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat
  - Consultant to identify key vulnerable/disadvantaged groups.
  - Engagement plan will have an equity-focus

### Project duration: 24 months

- Detailed timeline provided

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Eliot

**DATE:** 8/7/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- n/a
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Ellsworth

**DATE:** 8/5/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Resident
  - Business owner, school board member, Comp Plan Steering Committee member
  - downtown resident, Ellsworth City Councilor & Owner, business owner
  - Sen. Nicole Grohoski

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Reducing Carbon Emissions & VMT while Increasing Active Transportation in Ellsworth
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with A5
  - Minimally aligned with E1

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Partially described - Would benefit from greater detail on tasks
  - Task 1 Develop an active transportation plan to reduce carbon emissions
    - Hire a traffic planning consultant
  - Task 2 Initial implementation of plan recommendations (unspecified)
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Depending on scope, feasibility, and implementation of recommendations, the plan may lead to emissions reductions
  - Plan will be completed in similar timeframe as comp plan update
  - Identifies city staff who will lead and coordinate on tasks

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Ellsworth

**DATE:** 8/5/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned
  - Major traffic congestion in during tourism seasons
  - Missing bike/ped infrastructure

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Describes enrollment workshop and prioritization
  - Moderately expected - Would benefit from description of public outreach and education activities related to this project
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Identifies specific vulnerable populations that tend to live in city's urban core and may be exposed to transportation-related emissions
  - Somewhat - Would benefit from description of public outreach and education activities for these specific groups

### Project duration – 12 months

- Would benefit from a detailed timeline of project tasks

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Not required
  - Maine DOT Village Program Initiative is providing \$50,000
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Not required
  - City providing \$20,000
- Other notes
  - Cannot fund Task 2 because actions/costs are not specified - \$18,000

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** City of Ellsworth

**DATE:** 8/4/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Jennifer Sala, Ellsworth resident
  - Paul Markosian, Ellsworth resident
  - Jon Stein, Ellsworth resident
  - Senator Grohoski

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Medium
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Reducing Carbon Emissions & Vehicular Miles Traveled While Increasing Active Transportation in Ellsworth
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with Action A5, but limited detail is provided for Action E1.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are *[detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described]*.
  - Scope is reasonable, but additional detail on specific tasks would benefit, particularly additional information about implementation of plans/public engagement task would benefit proposal.
  - City proposes using funds to complete a transportation plan that integrates with current Village Partnership Initiative Planning they are doing with MaineDOT. Propose using remaining funds for implementation.
  - Project will be coordinated by City Planner, Highway Foreman, Economic Development Director, and City Manager.
    - Task 1: Complete transportation plan
    - Task 2: implement recommendations of plan or perform additional public engagement

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** City of Ellsworth

**DATE:** 8/4/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Task 1 is likely to be achieved. Working with MaineDOT already.
  - Without completed plan don't know feasibility of Task 2, however have significant city staff team in place.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Need is well-aligned with MWW.
  - 25,000 vehicles pass through city daily with summer high of 36,000.
  - Ellsworth is major service center for Hancock County.
  - City center currently missing pedestrian infrastructure and safeguards.
  - Significant investments have been made in rural roads in Ellsworth, but not in city center.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected.
  - Survey was advertised to the public, asked citizens to rank strategy areas. Was advertised via boosted post on Facebook and on town website, as well as via a press release. Public forum was held to discuss results. Prioritized assessment.
  - Proposal notes that transportation planning will allow for community engagement from community as a whole, but additional information on what that will look like would benefit this application.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat.
  - Proposal identifies Ellsworth most vulnerable populations as the elderly, disabled, and low-income. Proposal notes that these populations tend to live near the urban core and often don't own a vehicle, so they stand to benefit from improved pedestrian/bike infrastructure and increased safety. Would help them access work and essential services.
  - Additional detail regarding how these groups would be engaged in planning process would benefit proposal.

Project duration: 24 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
  
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** City of Ellsworth

**DATE:** 8/4/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Total transportation plan cost is \$100,000. \$50,000 from DOT grant, \$32,000 from CRP, \$18,000 from city of Ellsworth.
- \$18,000 from CRP to begin implementation plus \$2,000 from city.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Ellsworth

**DATE:** 8/4/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Resident, Business owner, school board member, Comp Plan Steering Committee member, downtown resident, Ellsworth City Councilor & Owner, business owner
  - Sen. Nicole Grohoski

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Reducing Carbon Emissions and Vehicular Miles Traveled While Increasing Active Transportation in Ellsworth
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with A5. Limited detail on how this project will align with E1.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Partially described
  - Would benefit from more detail on the scope of the transportation plan, area to be assessed, expected metrics from the plan that will inform recommendations, etc.
  - Task 1: Development of an Active Transportation Plan for village center.
    - Identify strategies to reduce carbon emissions on the roadway, such as increasing active transportation facilities, applying road diets and parking reductions, and thus reducing vehicle miles traveled.
    - Look at efficiencies for delivery, freight, and commercial traffic within the study area

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Ellsworth

**DATE:** 8/4/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Correlate with MDOT Village Partnership Initiative Planning Phase
- Task 2: Transportation plan recommendation implementation and public engagement.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Somewhat likely – would benefit from more details about the plan and how it will be used to inform improvements.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Ellsworth sees high daily vehicular traffic due to being a county hub, commuters and tourists traveling to Acadia and Downeast.
  - City if currently not considered walkable due to missing pedestrian infrastructure and safeguards.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
  - Engaged community in priority setting process for enrollment.
  - Would benefit from more information on how the City plans to continue to engage resident during the process.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat
  - Identified most vulnerable populations as elderly, disabled, and low income families.
  - These populations live or work in the proposed study area.
  - Vulnerable groups often do not own vehicles and would benefit from improved pedestrian and bike systems.
  - City plans to collect feedback from these groups during the planning project.
  - Would benefit from more information on how the City plans to engage with these groups.

### Project duration: 24 months

- Basic timeline provided.

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Ellsworth

**DATE:** 8/4/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Other notes
  - \$50,000 MDOT VPI Planning Grant
  - \$20,000 City funds

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Enfield-Howland

**DATE:** 8/7/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Enfield
  - Howland
  - EMDC

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Multi-community
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Enfield & Howland: Stormwater/Wastewater Infrastructure Resilience Analysis
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Somewhat aligned with G1, G2
    - Project is not assessing the climate vulnerability as described in G1, nor is the project developing a Capital Investment Plan that prioritizes future needs and investments
  - Aligned with G3

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Towns hire AE Hodson Consulting as project manager, which will subcontract as needed
  - Task 1 GIS mapping of wastewater system in both towns
  - Task 2 Investigation of existing infrastructure – Enfield Infiltration & Inflow, Howland home inspections
  - Task 3 Improve North Street Runoff in Enfield
  - Task 4 Video surveillance of Wastewater System in Howland
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Enfield-Howland

**DATE:** 8/7/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Likely to achieve outcomes of understanding the towns' wastewater system and identifying sources of I&I
- This is primarily a data collection project (with the exception of Task 3). The proposal would benefit from discussion or inclusion of next steps in the vulnerability assessment process – how will the towns prioritize projects that are identified by these studies? How will climate change influence the design of system improvements?

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - The cause of increased I&I may have strong climate link to increased precipitation
  - The deliverables and outcomes are missing an opportunity to incorporate climate-informed solutions
  - Both towns are experiencing flooding of roadways from stormwater and pump failures from overloaded systems

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Towns plan to hold stakeholder and public meetings, one-on-one conversations, site visits as necessary, fliers, town social media sites, local newspaper
  - Moderately expected
    - Would benefit from more detail about when in the project timeline the meetings will be held and for what purposes
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Both towns have high social vulnerability and populations in floodplains
  - Somewhat
    - Would benefit from how the project will encourage participation of vulnerable and disadvantaged community members

### Project duration – 12 months

- Task timeline provided

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request:
  - \$125,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
  - How were task costs generated? Would benefit from the contractor quote.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Enfield-Howland

**DATE:** 8/7/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Could this be funded by DEP Clean Water State Revolving Fund? Email Brandi Piers

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Enfield and Town of Howland

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Joint application of two community
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Town of Enfield
  - Town of Howland
  - Eastern Maine Development Corporation

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Multi-community
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small
- SVI (low, med, high): High

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Enfield & Howland: Stormwater/Wastewater Infrastructure Resilience Analysis
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with MWW Actions G1, G2, and G3.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Reasonable. Each tasks includes sub-tasks, anticipated budget.
  - Have engineering firm identified who will manage project and subcontractors.
  - Timeline provided with anticipated schedule for each task.
    - Task 1: GIS mapping of existing infrastructure
    - Task 2: Investigation of existing infrastructure
    - Task 3: Improve North Street Stormwater Runoff in Enfield
    - Task 4: Video Surveillance of Wastewater System in Howland
  - Would benefit from a Task 5 that includes a final report and recommendations.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve scope outlined above. Have identified engineering firm and clear task list.
  - However, missing some opportunities to align with listed MWW actions and make a strong case for the project's climate/resiliency implications/considerations.

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Enfield and Town of Howland

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Moderately aligned. Additional tie into climate/resiliency would benefit proposal- specific consideration of climate vulnerability in assessment, not just data collection.
  - Enfield and Howland are tied into the same wastewater treatment facility located in Howland. Extreme weather events have been putting stress on existing wastewater infrastructure. Project will allow towns to map and investigate vulnerabilities of existing infrastructure.
  - Study will illuminate possible expansion limitations and failure points, allowing communities to make repairs that help mitigate risk of wastewater service being interrupted due to system failures.
  - Communities are both highly socially vulnerable and have high percent of populations in FEMA floodplain.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderate. Both communities working to keep public informed of project.
  - Will hold regular stakeholder and public meetings during the project, one-on-one conversations, and site visits as necessary. Will develop flyers about project and post on community websites.
  - Have plans to work with Lincoln News to discuss overall project and communicate how project will improve community's resilience to climate change.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat.
  - Need section discusses high social vulnerability and impacts of excess flooding which has caused difficulty for people accessing healthcare.
  - Reducing risk to wastewater infrastructure will reduce public health risks from possible backup into residential properties.
  - Additional detail about engagement with vulnerable populations would be helpful.

Project duration: 12 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$125,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
  
- Other notes
  - Towns will hire A.E. Hodson Consulting Engineers who will serve as the main point of contact for the project. A.E. Hodson will subcontract with other firms that are experts in field of work to complete scope.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Enfield-Howland

**DATE:** 8/7/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Enfield Town Manager
  - Howland Town Manager
  - Eastern Maine Development Corporation

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - Multi-community
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Enfield & Howland: Stormwater/Wastewater Infrastructure Resilience Analysis
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with G3. Limited detail on how infrastructure will be assessed specific to climate hazard vulnerability (G1) or development of a Capital Investment Plan (G2).

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Roles and responsibilities are clearly defined
  - Task 1: GIS Mapping of Existing Infrastructure
    - 1.1 Enfield GIS mapping of wastewater system
    - 1.2 Howland GIS mapping of wastewater system
  - Task 2: Investigation of Existing Infrastructure
    - 2.1 Enfield infiltration & inflow study
    - 2.2 Wastewater systems home inspections
  - Task 3: Improve North Street Stormwater Runoff in Enfield

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Enfield-Howland

**DATE:** 8/7/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Task 4: Video Surveillance of Wastewater System in Howland
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
  - Partnering with experienced consultant – A. E. Hodsdon Consulting

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Wastewater system is experiencing stress due to extreme precipitation events, causing stormwater overflow that can lead to flooding and malfunction.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
  - Proposal includes regular stakeholder and public meetings, one-on-one conversations, site visits, educational fliers, and newspaper coverage.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimal – no specific engagement planned for vulnerable or disadvantaged community members.
  - Project will benefit all users of the wastewater system.

**Project duration:** 12 months

- Detailed timeline provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$125,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Would benefit from seeing vendor estimate.



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Eustis

**DATE:** 7/29/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Stratton Eustis Food Pantry

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): y
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Upgrade HVAC system in community building to heat pumps. Upgrade food pantry to energy efficient appliances. Upgrade to LED lighting in the community building.
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with B2, B3, B4

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Budget request in scope does not match budget worksheet (scope number may mistakenly omit Task 3)
  - Tasks, deliverables, timeline, and roles are adequately described
  - Task 1 Heat Pump Installation in community building
  - Task 2 Energy efficient appliances for food pantry
    - Two 17.5cu-ft EnergyStar refrigerators
    - Two EnergyStar industrial freezers
    - One freestanding EnergyStar refrigerator
    - Town administrator will oversee task
    - Savings will be put back into pantry (good but would benefit from what specifically this means)
  - Task 3 Install LED lighting in community building

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Eustis

**DATE:** 7/29/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - likely

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Small, aging community with household income below state average

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
  - Describes enrollment workshop participation
  - Heat pumps will be visible to users of building
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Well designed
  - Food pantry serves elderly and low-income residents
  - Community building can be a cooling center on high heat days

Project duration – 12 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$49,949.37
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
  - Has quote from vendor for heat pump
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - yes
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Yes but not required
- Other notes
  -

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Eustis

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Stratton Eustis Food Pantry

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small
- SVI (low, med, high): High

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Upgrade HVAC system in community building to heat pumps. Upgrade food pantry to energy efficient appliances. Upgrade to LED lighting in the community building.
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned, targeting MWW Actions B2, B3, and B4.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1: Install a multi-zone heat pump system in the town community building.
  - Task 2: Replace inefficient appliances a town food pantry with efficient ones.
  - Task 3: Install LED lighting in community building. Limited detail on lighting task throughout proposal.
  - Tasks, deliverables, and outcomes are detailed and reasonable. Detailed timeline by task is also provided.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Scope of work is likely to be achieved. Uncertainty of timelines and contractor scheduling built into timeline and expectations.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Eustis

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Need is well-aligned. Eustis is a very small and highly socially vulnerable town. It relies heavily on regional resources and town programs. It is also an aging community with average household income well below the state average.
- Priority items identified at community workshop included reducing fossil fuel use, making energy efficiency a priority, and providing for the most vulnerable community members. Town also hopes to showcase heat pumps at the municipal building and answer questions about the system for residents.
- Food pantry upgrades important to underserved residents. Existing fridges and freezers are inefficient and unreliable. New, efficient appliances will ensure reliability, reduce operating costs.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Town hosted community workshop in February 2023 and advertised throughout the community at hubs, including at the food pantry to target underserved populations. The town also made a worksheet available in print and online for those that couldn't make the meeting in person and accepted responses on community priorities for a month to help guide the grant application development.
  - During/after the project, town hopes to be able to showcase heat pumps and educate residents about the technology.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Proposal notes those savings can be spread back to the town. More detail on how savings would be used would benefit application.
  - More efficient appliances will make sure the food pantry continues to reliably be able to provide good quality food and a meal to the community.

Project duration: 12 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$49,949.37
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Efficiency Maine rebate included for heat pumps in Task 1. Should also include lighting rebate in Task 3.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - In-kind match provided for tasks 1 and 2, cash match of \$500 provided for task 3 to keep project under \$50,000 total ask.
  - Town has a quote for the multi-zone heat pump installation. Town utilized online suppliers for quotes on appliances. Town has quote from IEC to install LED lighting. Does the lighting quote include rebate?

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Eustis

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - The Stratton Eustis Food Pantry

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

Project title: Upgrade HVAC system in community building to heat pumps. Upgrade food pantry to energy efficient appliances. Upgrade to LED lighting in the community building.

- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B2, B3, and B4.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1: Heat Pump Installation
  - Task 2: Energy Efficient Appliances for Food Pantry
  - Task 3: Install LED Lighting in Community Building
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Project tasks and deliverables are clearly defined and explained.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Yes, the installation of heat pumps, LED lighting, and more efficient appliances is likely to reduce costs and carbon emissions.

Need

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Eustis

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Food pantry upgrades are necessary to continue to provide that service to residents.
  - Town would not be able to afford the upgrades without the grant.
  - Heat pumps will offer a cool place for residents to go during hot weather that they otherwise wouldn't have access to.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
  - Town performed extensive and creative outreach for the community workshop to involve as many community members as possible.
  - No outreach or education is planning during project, although it is mentioned that the Town hopes that by installing heat pumps they are leading by example and help educate residents about the benefits.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat – elderly and low income population were identified as key groups.
  - Food pantry upgrade will directly benefit these groups.
  - No additional outreach/education is planned.

Project duration: 12 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$49,949.37
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, Efficiency Maine rebates
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Heat pump cost based on vendor estimate.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Fairfield

**DATE:** 7/30/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: none

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): med
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Fairfield Community Center energy efficiency conversions to become emergency shelter for vulnerable populations.
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B3,
  - Not seeing concrete actions related to F13, H3, H5

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1 – Replace existing stove in community center with modern electric 6 burner electric stove with griddle and dual ovens
  - Town staff will order and install
  - Roles, deliverables, outcomes are described. Timeline is a general order of tasks.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Installation of the stove may increase energy efficiency
  - Commercial electric ranges are not given EnergyStar ratings so not able to determine if the unit is actually more energy efficient. The manufacturer does make EnergyStar compliant appliances in other categories.

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Fairfield

**DATE:** 7/30/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Unclear if education and emergency sheltering outcomes will be realized because application does not include relevant concrete tasks

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Somewhat aligned
  - Median age of town is 5 years older than state median. Median income is less than half state median.
  - 36% of 65+ are below poverty line
  - Application would benefit from more tasks around making the community center ready to be an emergency shelter – develop a sheltering plan, communications plan, identify other upgrades needed, identify at-risk residents, etc.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Describes enrollment workshop and survey with several responses desiring the community center to be more versatile and to be utilized as a shelter or warming/cooling center. Respondents also wanted more educational activities around climate issues
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Stove will primarily benefit community groups that hold events in the space.
  - Application would benefit from more detail on the proposed climate education activities and sheltering

Project duration – 12 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request:
  - \$23,430
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes, screenshot of website pricing provided
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - None, not required
- Other notes



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Fairfield

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Medium
- SVI (low, med, high): High

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Fairfield Community Center energy efficiency conversions to become emergency shelter for vulnerable populations.
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with B3, but don't see detail regarding actions F13, H3, and H5.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - The proposal notes its aim to convert the community center to an emergency shelter for residents of the town, particularly vulnerable populations during extreme weather and long-term power outages. Strategy areas sited include identifying and planning to reduce public health threats and amplifying public health advisories, however the project description only lists one task, to replace the existing stove with a modern electric stove.
  - Proposal would benefit from additional detail about how the community center will be updated to become a proper emergency shelter and how information about the shelter will be shared with vulnerable populations during emergency events.
  - Town has designed the Town Manager as the project manager.
  - Timeline included, but lacks detail.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Need additional information on key outcomes to understand likelihood of achievement.

Need

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Fairfield

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Need regarding improvement of emergency shelter for extreme weather events is well-aligned. Median age is significantly higher than state. Household income is also less than half of medium for state, thus there is a significant population of people vulnerable to extreme weather events.
  - Converting community center to be more efficient would allow location to be used more frequently and by a wider range of community members.
  - Proposal notes that the town could host educational sessions and meetings about climate change with the updates, but doesn't make a commitment to hosting educational events.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Town worked with KVCOG to publicize their community survey. Survey was also sent to schools, churches, adult ed centers, and other locations via social media. Survey indicated a level of concern for extreme weather and air quality events. Several indicated a desire for the community center to be more versatile. Other comments noted an interest in educational events on climate change, resilience, invasive species, and natural hazards.
  - Does not discuss in detail engagement with community during or after project completion.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Does not describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will specifically be engaged. Would benefit from an outreach plan to vulnerable populations during extreme weather events and about the emergency shelter.

Project duration: 12 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$23,430
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
  
- Other notes
  - Includes a web price for the stove
  - Includes \$430 for equipment rental and labor to install the new stove and remove the old one.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Fairfield

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - n/a

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

Project title: Fairfield Community Center energy efficiency conversions to become emergency shelter for vulnerable populations.

- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B3, no actions supporting F13, H3, and H5.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1 – Replace existing stove model with modern electric model
  - Detailed and reasonable – task, deliverables, roles/responsibilities are clearly defined.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Installation of an energy efficient stove is likely to reduce energy costs.
  - The goal of increasing use of the community center would benefit from a community outreach and education plan to notify residents of improved space.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Fairfield

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Somewhat-aligned
- Older residents and higher % of low income residents increase the need for an accessible community center for use during extreme weather events.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
  - Resident survey indicated a high level of concern around the effects of severe weather, extreme temperatures, and air quality issues on public health, and showed a desire for a community center that can be used for a shelter when needed.
  - Resident survey also showed a desire for more educational events – this project would benefit from an outreach plan to outline potential educational events and ways to engage the community in the updated center.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat
  - Identified older and low income residents as the most vulnerable.
  - Community Center is well-located to be accessible to those residents.

Project duration: 12 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$23,430
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Included vendor cost for stove.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Freedom

**DATE:** 7/26/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Selectboard
  - Town of Knox, LOS for Round 2 Service Provider Grant, undated
  - Sebecook Regional Land Trust
  - Sen. Chip Curry
  - Rep. Scott Wynn Cyrway

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Planning and Feasibility Studies for Wetland Protection, Open Space and Community Solar in Freedom Village.
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned
  - E1, E6, E10
  - C7
  - D1

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - 14-acre town-owned Cannery Lot in village center contains stream shoreland, wetlands, floodplains, and Public Works Department
  - Detailed project goals provided: 1) climate friendly development and conservation options for lot, and 2) site a renewable energy project on the property. Outcome is a multi-use plan.

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Freedom

**DATE:** 7/26/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Task 1 – Existing Site Conditions Assessment and Land Use feasibility (multiuse) plan (consultant #1, led by town committee)
  - Task 2 Community Solar feasibility (consultant #2)
  - Task 3 Community meetings to review findings
  - Task 4 Integrate findings into Comp Plan, Zoning, and conservation plans
  - Well-organized application with detailed roles, tasks, deliverables, outcomes, and timeline.
  - May inform resiliency aspects of adjacent town conservation, development, and emergency management projects
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
    - Likely to achieve outcomes

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Will address elevated flood risk from Sandy Stream in more dense village center
  - Median income below state and county

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - 2021 community survey showed strong support for natural resource protection and restoration of the brownfield site
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Application states that solar would eventually reduce town expenses and keep taxes low, especially helping low income residents
  - Would benefit from attention to including participation of low-income residents and those with elevated flood-risk in community meetings

### Project duration -12 months

- detailed timeline provided

Very solid proposal. Area of improvement would be active steps to increase participation of low income and flood vulnerable residents in community processes.

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Typos in last column
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - no
  - Budget estimates derived from estimates from potential firms
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Freedom

**DATE:** 7/26/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
  
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Freedom

**DATE:** 7/28/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Freedom Select Board
  - Tom Aversa, Chair, Sebasticook Regional Land Trust Board
  - Senator Chip Curry
  - Representative Cyrway
  - Galen Larrabee, Town of Knox

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small
- SVI (low, med, high): High

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Planning and Feasibility Studies for Wetland Protection, Open Space and Community Solar in Freedom Village
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned and will target several strategies and actions including E (1, 6, 10), C (7), and D (1).

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Tasks are described in detail with deliverables for each. Detailed timeline and desired outcomes also provided. Identification of key personnel responsible for project tasks could be more clear.
    - Task 1: Hire consultant to assess environmental conditions and infrastructure conditions of Cannery Lot. Develop multi-use site plan.
    - Task 2: Hire solar consultant to assess feasibility of community solar project. Outline costs and workplan for implementation.
    - Task 3: Host community meetings to review consultant findings. Hire project coordinator to help facilitate meetings.
    - Task 4: Integrate findings into Comprehensive Plan, Zoning and conservation plans.



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Freedom

**DATE:** 7/28/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Scope and timeline seem reasonable and likely to achieve outcomes.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Need is well-aligned with MWW.
  - Developing solar on the developed portion of the site can generate economic benefits for the town (reducing electric costs) and simultaneously reduce GHG emissions. The protection of wetlands, flood-prone areas and the creation of new open space and public access was a clear priority in the community survey, with residents interested in having a small park and undertaking environmental restoration.
  - Results of assessments will help town improve its zoning of wetlands and floodplains. Project can be a model for additional zoning or conservation efforts elsewhere in town.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed. Community has been supportive of reuse of Cannery Lot and has been engaged by Community Development Advisory Committee. Community engagement will continue throughout process. Application notes community engagement process may be adapted as they learn along the way, but lists initial engagement including community meetings, work sessions, field trips, etc.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat. The application mostly discusses rurality and household income in their section on equity. Note that solar project could help reduce town expenses and keep taxes low for residents. Could provide additional open space opportunities. More detail on how low income residents are being/will be engaged would benefit proposal.

Project duration: 12 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) No
  - Total project budget column doesn't align with narrative.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - \$ budget for consultants are based on estimates from consulting firms.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Freedom

**DATE:** 7/28/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Freedom Select Board
  - KVCOG
  - Sebecook Regional Land Trust
  - Sen. Chip Curry
  - Rep. Scott Wynn Cyrway

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n):
  - Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n):
  - No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n)
  - No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Planning and Feasibility Studies for Wetland Protection, Open Space and Community Solar in Freedom Village.
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with E1, E6, E10, C7, and D1.
    - Open-space conservation
    - Wetland/floodplain protection
    - Renewable energy installation
    - Potential local food production

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Freedom

**DATE:** 7/28/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

- Tasks and deliverables and very detailed and informative of the process and expected outcomes
- Would benefit from including Town project lead for managing project/hiring consultants/presenting information to the community
- Project work aligns with existing work already being completed in the Town re: wetland protection and emergency management.
- Task 1 – Existing Site Conditions Assessment and Land Use feasibility (multiuse) plan (consultant #1, led by town committee)
- Task 2 Community Solar feasibility (consultant #2)
- Task 3 Community meetings to review findings
- Task 4 Integrate findings into Comp Plan, Zoning, and conservation plans
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely – planning studies will give the Town the information needed to determine conservation uses and protections needed to re-activate this property.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned – project generates multiple benefits that align with MWW – land conservation, wetland/floodplain protection, renewable energy development
    - Project promotes community resilience by supporting an underutilized piece of Town property to provide future benefits to the community

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
    - Multiple community meetings
    - Field trip to the site
    - Would benefit from more detail on outreach efforts to engage the community
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimally - Vulnerable/disadvantaged communities are not identified
    - Project will benefit from more public open space in Town center

Project duration: 12 months

- Detailed project timeline provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - No – Total project cost column doesn't align with the narrative.
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - No
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Freedom

**DATE:** 7/28/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

- n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
  
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Freeport

**DATE:** 8/17/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Town manager
  - Town Council
  - Police chief
  - Superintendent of Public Works
  - Sustainability Advisory Board
  - passivhausMaine
  - Mason Morfit, FreeportCAN
  - Robert Stevens, John O'Bryan - residents

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): no
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Fall 2022
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): no
  - Grants will be overseen by separate staff with minimal overlap in duties
  - Interim town manager will have oversight of both

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Municipal Energy Efficiency: Retrofitting Freeport's Harbormaster's Cottage
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with B1, B2, B4, B7

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Tasks, roles, and outcomes are detailed and reasonable
  - Task 1 Scope of Work and Confirming bid
  - Task 2 Energy Efficiency Upgrades at Harbormaster's Cottage
    - a. Replacing doors and windows and weatherizing frames
    - b. Upgrading roof from original asphalt to tin (not an eligible use of funds)
    - c. Adding insulation to the walls, roof, or floor as required
    - d. Updating all interior and exterior lighting fixtures to LED

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Freeport

**DATE:** 8/17/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Task 3 Heat pump installation
- Task 4 Community Outreach
  - In partnership with passivhausMaine
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned with MWW
  - Older building needing weatherization and heating improvements
    - Building is small so GHG impact will be less significant versus other town buildings
  - Fairly visible building in an active location
  - Town adopted stretch codes in 2023, and project will be a visible demonstration

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderate
  - Signage at site, updates at project milestones via town communications, social, town meetings
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimally addressed

### Project duration – 24 months

- Basic timeline
- Tasks only span 12 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Very minor error in Task 3 narrative, does not affect budget
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - yes
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Would benefit from cost breakdown of building improvements in Task 2
  - New roof is not an eligible use of funds

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Freeport

**DATE:** 8/20/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - passivhausMAINE
  - Town Manager/Planner
  - Freeport Town Council
  - Freeport Police Department
  - Freeport Public Works
  - Freeport Sustainability Advisory Board
  - Mason Morfit, resident
  - Robert Stevens, resident
  - John O'Bryan, resident

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes, Fall 2022 grant is active.
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Medium
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Municipal Energy Efficiency: Retrofitting Freeport's Harbormaster's Cottage
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with MWW actions B1, B2, B4, and B7.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Scope is detailed and reasonable with clear outcomes.
    - Task 1: Public Works superintendent will retain a certified contractor and confirm scope of work/project timeline.
    - Task 2: Energy efficiency upgrades to include new doors and windows, upgraded roof, insulation, and LED lighting. (*Roof install not eligible*)
    - Task 3: Heat pump installation.
    - Task 4: In collaboration with passivhausMaine, town will lead community outreach to inform community of project progress and about benefits of efficient/all-electric solutions.

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Freeport

**DATE:** 8/20/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned.
  - Town has made significant improvements to municipal facilities, but Harbormaster's cottage has presented a more complex retrofit project.
  - Harbormaster cottage is hub of waterfront and is where Harbormaster and staff oversee recreational and commercial marine activities.
  - Town adopted stretch codes. Project will meet those codes.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected. Town will communicate with residents through existing channels (social media, municipal cable tv, town bulletin). Signage will highlight project.
  - passivhausMAINE and town staff will develop communications materials to be shared during project.
  - More detail on role of passivhausMAINE would benefit proposal.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Proposal does not address how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate or benefit from project outcomes. How will project impact working waterfront community?

Project duration: 24 months (timeline only spans 12 months)

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Includes heat pump incentive and notes intention of utilizing EMT LED discount program.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - N/A
- Other notes
  - How were cost estimates derived?
  - Breakdown of costs in task 2 would benefit proposal. Roof replacement not eligible for grant funding.



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Freeport

**DATE:** 8/11/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Town Manager
  - Freeport Town Council
  - Freeport Police Department
  - Freeport Public Works
  - passivehausMAINE
  - Freeport Sustainability Advisory Board
  - Mason Morfit, Resident
  - Robert Stevens, Resident
  - John O’Bryan, Resident

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Municipal Energy Efficiency: Retrofitting Freeport’s Harbormaster’s Cottage
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B1, B2, B4, and B7.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Task 1: Confirming Bids
    - Retain contractor and necessary subcontractors
  - Task 2: Energy Efficiency Upgrades

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Freeport

**DATE:** 8/11/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Replacing doors and windows and weatherizing frames
  - Upgrading roof from original asphalt to tin – not eligible
  - Adding insulation to the walls, roof, or floor as required
  - Updating all interior and exterior lighting fixtures to LED
- Task 3: Heat Pump Installation
- Task 4: Community Outreach
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
  - Comprehensive weatherization and heating upgrade will assist in providing a more comfortable space and reducing carbon emissions.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Increasing efficiency of a municipal building that is integral to waterfront access.
  - Would benefit from more information on how many people utilize the waterfront for commercial and recreational uses.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderate
  - Community engagement is included in Task 4 of the scope of work to educate residents about the energy efficiency upgrades.
    - Educational signage, social media posts, municipal cable channel, Town bulletin, and other channels
    - PassivehausMAINE advising on communications
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimal – would benefit from more information on the working waterfront and how this will impact that group.

**Project duration:** 24 months

- Basic timeline provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Task 3 total in narrative has minor calculation error.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, Efficiency Maine funds
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Freeport

**DATE:** 8/11/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- n/a
- Other notes
  - Vendor estimate referenced but not attached.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Fryeburg

**DATE:** 8/5/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Upper Saco Valley Land Trust
  - Middle School teacher

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Fryeburg Town Forest Conservation and Composting Pilot Program
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with E6, E10
  - Well-aligned with H2, H4

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Scope is detailed and reasonable - well-organized and complete scope of work for two separate projects
  - Project 1 – Conservation Easement for Town Forest and build new Outdoor Learning Center
    - Task 1 Town Forest Conservation Project Admin.
    - Task 2 Survey of Town Forest Parcel
    - Task 3 Development of Conservation Easement
    - Task 4 Approval and Establishment of Conservation Easement
    - Task 5 Installation of Outdoor Classroom
  - Project 2 – Establish a composting pilot program
    - Task 6 Compost Program Admin. & Development
    - Task 7 Compost Training & Testing
    - Task 8 Pilot Compost Program
    - Task 9 Pilot Evaluation & Recommendation

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Fryeburg

**DATE:** 8/5/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
  - Staff roles and deliverables are clearly defined
  - Land trust is experienced project partner
  - Composting pilot is reasonably sized and town staff will attend composting school with Maine DEP

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned
  - Outdoor learning space will create natural, conservation, and climate educational opportunities for students and adults
  - Composting would reduce solid waste and save town tens of thousands of dollars while reducing emissions from organic waste in landfills

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Outdoor learning space will create natural, conservation, and climate educational opportunities for students and adults
    - Would benefit from development of specific education opportunities that will utilize the outdoor center
  - Town will create materials to communicate about both projects
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - No fee for residents to participate in composting pilot will reduce barrier to participation
  - Would benefit from specific outreach activities to target low income or other disadvantaged groups

### Project duration – 24 months

- Detailed timeline and Gantt chart provided

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Error in budget worksheet Task 3 column 1
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - In kind staff time
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Fryeburg

**DATE:** 8/5/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

o

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Fryeburg

**DATE:** 8/4/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Upper Saco Valley Land Trust
  - Alicia Beckwith, teacher at Molly Ockett Middle School

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Fryeburg Town Forest Conservation and Composting Pilot Program
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned, targeting MWW Actions E6, E10, H2, and H4.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Scope of work is detailed and reasonable. Includes illustrated timeline for each task.
  - Fryeburg Town Forest Conservation (key roles: town manager, conservation committee, land trust)
    - Task 1: Project administration
    - Task 2: Survey of Town Forest Parcel
    - Task 3: Develop Conservation Easement
    - Task 4: Approval of easement
    - Installation of outdoor learning center
  - Fryeburg Composting Pilot Program (key roles: (town manager, town bookkeeper, transfer station staff)
    - Task 1: Develop pilot program
    - Task 2: Compost training and testing
    - Task 3: launch pilot
    - Task 4: evaluate pilot and make recommendations

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Fryeburg

**DATE:** 8/4/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Complex project, but have a solid understand of project components and reasonable timeline to complete work. Likely to achieve.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned with MWW.
  - Additional conservation and associated projects were identified as priority climate actions during community workshop.
  - Recent improvements to town forest have received positive community response.
  - Outdoor classroom will support engagement with youth and adults; a place to hold environmental/climate education activities.
  - Regarding compost pilot- transfer station doesn't currently accept household food waste. Composting/diverting food waste from landfill could reduce methane emissions.
  - Could lead to significant municipal savings on landfill disposal costs.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Well-designed.
  - Conservation commission will work in partnership with other local orgs to raise awareness for project and share info through various channels. Project will ensure long-term access to town forest for all community members with a particular focus on youth.
  - Composting project will include the creation of outreach and educational materials and engagement with pilot participants. No fee for participation.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Youth are the primary focus of the conservation project and will be engaged through multiple channels.

Project duration: 24 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Task 3 \$ incorrect
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
  
- Other notes
  - Budget narrative notes that all town staff time to administer, manage, and participate in the project will be provided in-kind. No \$ value of in-kind time listed.



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Fryeburg

**DATE:** 8/4/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Upper Saco Valley Land Trust
  - Alicia Beckwith, Fryeburg Middle School Teacher

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

Project title: Fryeburg Town Forest Conservation and Composting Pilot Program

- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with E6, E10, H2, and H4.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Experienced partner – Upper Saco Valley Land Trust
  - Clearly defined roles and deliverables for both proposed project.

Fryeburg Town Forest Conservation

- Task 1. Fryeburg Town Forest Conservation project administration
  - The Town will manage all aspects of the Fryeburg Town Forest Conservation project, including keeping track of the project budget and completing and submitting grant reports.
  - Deliverables: Quarterly reports, final CAG report
- Task 2. Survey of Town Forest Parcel

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Fryeburg

**DATE:** 8/4/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- The Town will work with the Fryeburg Conservation Committee to hire a contractor to survey the Town Forest parcel to define the boundaries of the conservation easement.
- Deliverables: Survey documents
- Task 3. Development of Conservation Easement
  - The Town will work with Upper Saco Valley Land Trust to draft a conservation easement for the Town Forest. The Town will contract with an attorney to review and revise the conservation easement.
  - Deliverables: Draft conservation easement
- Task 4. Approval and establishment of Conservation Easement
  - The conservation easement will be voted on at Town Meeting. In establishing the conservation easement, the Town will also provide Upper Saco Valley Land Trust with a one-time fee to support ongoing maintenance and conservation of the property.
  - Deliverables: Town Meeting Results, final conservation easement
- Task 5. Installation and use of Outdoor Learning Center
  - The Town will work with the Fryeburg Conservation Committee to hire a contractor to build the outdoor classroom at the Outdoor Learning Center at the Town Forest.
  - The Fryeburg Conservation Committee will develop and deliver educational activities for youth and adults about the Town Forest and the role it plays in increasing climate resilience in the Town of Fryeburg.
  - The Fryeburg Conservation Committee will support Fryeburg Public School teachers in developing and delivering educational activities for youth about climate resilience. One program already in development is a weeklong forest ecology program that will include on the ground silvicultural work in the Town Forest to aid in carbon capture and sequestration.
  - Deliverables: Outdoor Classroom Pavilion, educational activities for youth and adults at the Outdoor Learning Center

Fryeburg Composting Pilot Program

- Task 1: Compost pilot program administration and development
- Task 2: Compost Training and Testing
- Task 3: Pilot compost program
- Task 4: Pilot evaluation and recommendation
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
  - Experienced partner – Upper Saco Valley Land Trust
  - Project components and steps are comprehensive and established.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned with MWW.

Engagement and equity

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Fryeburg

**DATE:** 8/4/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-defined
  - Projects were determined as priorities during community engagement workshops
  - Outdoor classroom shelter will support further engagement with students and adults
  - Composting pilot program will work directly with 25 households and 5 businesses to educate residents and evaluate program
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimally – vulnerable/disadvantaged groups were not identified or engaged.

Project duration: 24 months

- Detailed project timeline provided.

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Task 3 funds requested are incorrect
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Total cost for Town Forest Conservation is incorrect in the narrative
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Would benefit from vendor estimate/more detail on the design and material cost for the shelter

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Garland

**DATE:** 7/30/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Chair, Planning Board

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Garland Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Project
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Strategy B

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1 Replace 20 windows in community building
  - Project is part of a longer-term plan for making the building more energy efficient. Future tasks are identified that are not part of this application.
  - Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles, and outcomes are adequately described
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Garland

**DATE:** 7/30/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Building was inspected in 2022 and was provided a list of improvements necessary to make the building a warming/cooling facility. This grant will fund Phase 1 of the improvements
- Population is aging and many on fixed income or below poverty level. Heating and cooling can be restricted by cost. Improvements to building will serve this vulnerable population.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Not described
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Making the building a warming/cooling center will benefit the town's most vulnerable: elderly, disabled individuals, residents with health issues and financially disadvantaged
  - Would benefit from an operations and communications plan for sheltering during emergencies

### Project duration -12 months

- 6-month fabrication time for custom windows

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - EM not identified, does EM incentivize windows for towns?
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Not explicitly described but with 10% contingency project cost is greater than \$50,000
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Garland

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Nathan Pitts, Chair, Garland Planning Board

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Garland Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Project
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with several MWW actions targeting building modernization and addressing public health through providing a warming/cooling facility for use during extreme weather.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - The town plans to make several improvements to its community buildings (former school) to make the building an energy efficient cooling/warming facility. The proposal describes three main phases of work to upgrade the building. Phase 1 is included in the current grant application.
    - Phase 1: Building weatherization – replace 19 windows.
  - Reasonable detail for tasks, deliverables, and outcomes. Two town officials have been named as project coordinators.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Phase 1 is likely to be achieved. Got an inspection and list of upgrades needed to qualify as a shelter.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Garland

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Well-aligned. Garland is a small town with a significant portion of elderly residents reliant on social security. Many residents don't have air conditioning and have difficulty paying for fuel even with heat assistance due to income limitations. The updated community center will provide access to heating and cooling in severe weather events.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Limited detail on community participation in project during planning or implementation of project.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - The proposal notes that the warming/cooling center will benefit the most vulnerable portion of the town's population (elderly, disables, those with health issues, financially disadvantaged) though all town residents will have access. They also note that once the facility becomes operational, the Select Board will give notice to all Garland residents.
    - Would benefit from additional detail regarding notification/education of residents about the heating/cooling center, particularly during weather events.

Project duration: 12 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Additional detail provided in narrative such as the window discount from the window company Renewal by Anderson.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - May be a federal tax credit available for new doors/windows, not sure if included in estimate.
  - Budget includes \$4,570 for rotted or damaged wood repair on windows. Unsued funds for this use would need to be returned.
  - Where does additional \$271 come from?

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Garland

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Garland Planning Board

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): no

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Garland Energy Efficiency and Weatherization Project
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with Strategy B.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Phase 1: Building weatherization
  - Phase 2: Exterior doors and heat pump installation (not part of grant application)
  - Phase 3: Well drilling (not part of grant application)
  - Detailed and reasonable – phased approach makes sense to increase efficiency and comfort and reduce energy costs.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes

Need



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Garland

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned – energy efficiency improvements will make the Community Building a warming/cooling center for residents.
  - 31% of Garland resident are elderly

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimally considered – the updated Community Building will better serve residents but an outreach and engagement plan to educate residents on the upgrades is not included in the proposal.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat - Identified elderly, disabled, and lower income residents as most vulnerable.
  - Updated building will help to serve them but no specific outreach to those groups is planned.

Project duration: 12 months

- Rough timeline provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
  - Unclear who will cover the remaining \$271 balance
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Gorham

**DATE:** 8/10/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - GPCOG

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Municipal Center Parking Lot Retrofit
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with F12, G4

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable scope of work
  - Task 1 Assessment and Design
  - Task 2 Construction Coordination
  - Task 3 Construction
  - Task 4 Outreach
  - Task 5 Maintenance Plan
  - Budget narrative identifies town engineer and public works director to oversee project
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Gorham

**DATE:** 8/10/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Aging stormwater infrastructure at the site. Future lighting and landscaping projects expected
- Stormwater is untreated and flows to Stroudwater River which has impaired water quality
- Increased precip means infrastructure needs to handle higher volumes of stormwater
- Lot serves town hall and library and project is highly visible demonstration of LID ahead of new LID ordinance adoption in July 2024

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Basic educational plan - signage, social media, visibility to users of town hall and library
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - minimal

**Project duration – 24 months**

- Timeline provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Provided, not required
- Other notes
  - Vendor quote provided

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Gorham

**DATE:** 8/10/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - GPCOG

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Large
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Municipal Center Parking Lot Retrofit
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with MWW actions F12 and G4.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Scope is relatively detailed. Defined roles and responsibilities for project included in budget narrative.
    - Task 1: Assessment and design
    - Task 2: Construction coordination
    - Task 3: Construction
    - Task 4: Outreach
    - Task 5: Maintenance Plan
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve. Have identified vendor to complete work.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Need is well-aligned.
  - Existing stormwater infrastructure is near end of useful life.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Gorham

**DATE:** 8/10/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Upgraded infrastructure will protect municipal buildings and parking lot from damage.
- Stormwater currently untreated and flows directly into Indian Camp Brook then to the Stroudwater River which is listed as "Impaired" by Maine DEP.
- Increased precipitation due to climate has highlighted need to accommodate higher runoff rates.
- Lot serves Town Hall and Library which provide important services to community.
- Need to increase public awareness of stormwater and treatment opportunities. This could showcase low impact development techniques, align with upcoming town ordinance on low impact development.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Well-designed.
  - Community expressed concern for flooding and need to improve infrastructure at workshops.
  - Project will raise awareness of water quality. Will update public through social media and other outreach channels throughout the project installation.
  - Will install signage to help residents learn about stormwater project and benefits of treatment to environment and public health. Will host public ribbon cutting.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimally considered.
  - Proposal notes that project in this location will be visible to all and to those who access services at Town Hall and Library from youth to the elderly.

Project duration: 24 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
  
- Other notes
  - \$32,396.80 in town funds/staff time provided.
  - Proposal includes vendor quote.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Gorham

**DATE:** 8/10/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - GPCOG

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Municipal Center Parking Lot Retrofit
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with F12 and G4.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Roles and responsibilities are defined in budget narrative
  - Tasks and deliverables/outcomes are clearly outlined.
  - Has this project already been started?
  - Task 1: Assessment and Design
    - Final design plans for retrofit
  - Task 2: Construction Coordination
    - Source materials and finalize construction schedule
  - Task 3: Construction
    - Complete stormwater retrofits
  - Task 4: Outreach
    - Install educational signage

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Gorham

**DATE:** 8/10/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Regular social media updates
- Task 5: Maintenance Plan
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Existing stormwater infrastructure is 70+ years old
    - Needs replacement to avoid damage to buildings/parking infrastructure
  - Parking lot stormwater is currently untreated and run directly into Indian Camp Brook/Stroudwater River – this section of river is listed as “impaired”
  - Planning for increased precipitation due to climate change
  - Important public access point for residents
  - Raise awareness of new LID ordinance

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
  - Actions to mitigate flooding were identified as high priorities during community workshop/survey
  - Social media outreach throughout the project and education signage at the location will inform the public about LID technology and process.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimal – parking lot serves library which serves elderly and youth demographics.

**Project duration:** 24 months

- Timeline provided.

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Town contributing \$32,396.80 in-kind hours

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Gorham

**DATE:** 8/10/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Vendor estimate and design included.



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Hallowell

**DATE:** 8/24/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Mayor
  - Conservation Commission

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): no
  - Previous grant award was returned in full
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): no
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Improving Energy Efficiency of Hallowell City Hall: Comprehensive Energy Audit and Heat Pump Installation
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with B1, B4

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed reasonable with tasks, roles, deliverables and outcomes
  - City manager will manage tasks
  - Task 1 Comprehensive energy audit
    - Vendor identified
  - Task 2 Heat pump installation on 3<sup>rd</sup> floor
    - System specs included
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Hallowell

**DATE:** 8/24/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned
  - Older, poorly insulated building with heat pumps in 2 of the 3 floors
  - High priority from community workshops and comp plan

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Modestly expected
  - Describes robust community participation prior to project. Applicant could how the project could be a demonstration of benefits and savings from energy efficiency and heat pump technology
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimally considered

### Project duration – 24 months

- Detailed timeline provided

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - yes
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Yes but not required
- Other notes
  - Vendors identified and provided estimates

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** City of Hallowell

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Mayor of Hallowell
  - Hallowell Conservation Commission

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Improving Energy Efficiency of Hallowell City Hall: Comprehensive Energy Audit and Heat Pump Installation
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with MWW actions B1 and B4.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable.
  - City Manager will serve as project manager for both tasks. Will coordinate work with EMC for audit and with Efficiency Maine Qualified installer for Task 2.
    - Task 1: Comprehensive Energy Audit of Town Hall
      - To be performed by Energy Management Consultants
    - Task 2: Install heat pumps on third floor of Town Hall
      - Expect 2 VRF ECO outdoor units with 4 indoor wall units.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve. Have done preliminary audit with EMC already. Have installed heat pumps on first and second floors of town hall. Third floor installation will complete heating electrification of building.

Need

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** City of Hallowell

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Need is well-aligned.
  - Have done preliminary audit with EMC already. Have installed heat pumps on first and second floors of town hall. Third floor installation will complete heating electrification of building.
  - Project identified as priority by community.
  - Tangible project that can be easily implemented and will reduce municipal costs and pollutants.
  - Aligns with Hallowell's 2022 Comprehensive Plan.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately considered.
  - Proposal details robust community engagement on previous projects including comprehensive plan update, Conservation Commission hosted workshops.
  - Proposal provides limited detail about how currently proposed project will engage community or provide additional educational opportunities/materials.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat.
  - Reducing energy use and improving comfort of City Hall will benefit those who access city services.
  - City recently made accessibility improvements to building, including auditorium space on third floor where heat pumps will be installed, but space is not properly temperature controlled at present. Project will improve comfort of space.

Project duration: 24 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, will use EMT prescriptive program for heat pumps.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - N/A
- Other notes
  - Will provide municipal match of \$3,478.52.
  - EMC has provided a scope of work to provide comprehensive energy audit based on walkthrough of City Hall.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Hallowell

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - City of Hallowell Mayor, George Lapointe
  - Hallowell Conservation Commission Chair, Rosemary Presnar

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No – received grant and returned it
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Improving Energy Efficiency of Hallowell City Hall: Comprehensive Energy Audit and Heat Pump Installation
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B1 and B4.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Tasks and deliverables were clear and well defined.
  - Roles and responsibilities were assigned.
  - Task 1: Comprehensive Energy Audit – lighting, heat pumps, heating and boiler system, third floor ventilation system, building envelope
  - Task 2: Heat Pump Installation on Third Floor
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Hallowell

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Working toward MWW goal of achieving net-zero carbon emissions by 2045
  - Determined as a high community priority

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
  - Extensive community engagement during CRP enrollment process and recent Comprehensive Planning process.
  - No community outreach planned as part of this project.
  - Missed opportunity to educate residents on benefits of energy audit and heat pumps.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat
  - Strive to promote Inclusion, Diversity, Equity and Access (IDEA) in city functions
  - City Hall serves all residents and access is high priority
  - Heat pumps in third floor will make public meetings/events more comfortable

**Project duration:** 24 months

- Detailed timeline provided.

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes – EMT funds
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - City providing \$3,478.52 cash match

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Harpswell

**DATE:** 8/23/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - J. Hays, Mackerel Cove, Abner Point Rd.
  - Wilkins, Homeowner Abner Point Rd.
  - Lamon, Homeowner Abner Point Rd.
  - Harbormaster
  - Dolphin Marine Services
  - Maine Coast Fishermen’s Assn.
  - Harpswell Heritage Land Trust
  - Casco Bay Estuary Partnership
  - Sen. Mattie Daughtry and Rep. Cheryl Golek

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): no
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Fall 2022
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): no
  - Active grant will be complete by start of proposed project

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Preliminary Engineering Design of Town Road Improvements to Address Sea Level Rise
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with G1

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - “The project will investigate strategies for allowing roads to remain useable to 1.5 feet of sea level rise and storm surge. It also provides an opportunity to begin conversations with local property owners about assessing adjoining wetlands regarding their ecological resilience to sea level rise as higher tides become more frequent”
  - Task 1 - Engineering Services: \$55,000
  - Task 2 - Community Outreach & Information Sessions \$5,000
  - Detailed scope description:

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Harpswell

**DATE:** 8/23/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- 1. Hold a kickoff meeting with town staff and residents to review the scope and goals of the project.
  - 2. Make site visits to document current conditions. Identify and evaluate the impacts likely caused by sea level rise and storm surge on public and business access, utilities, and emergency services.
  - 3. Prepare base plans for each site.
  - 4. Participate in a community public forum to review the project and gather input from the public.
  - 5. Prepare the conceptual improvement plans to mitigate road vulnerability for at least 1.5 feet of sea level rise/Sea, Lake, Overland Surges from hurricanes (SLOSH) scenarios. Assess possible strategies including protection, accommodation, avoidance, and/or retreat.
  - 6. Provide costs and benefits of each approach and include permitting efforts needed.
  - 7. Hold a second public meeting to review the plans and costs and attend a final meeting with the Board of Selectmen to review the report and project findings, including information about the costs.
  - 8. Begin conversations about ecological resilience with neighbors of the adjoining wetlands.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
    - Likely to achieve outcomes

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned.
  - Two critical town roads that are at risk flooding and are vulnerable to 2 feet of SLR
    - Roads provide access to working waterfronts, homes, businesses
  - Town has a Sustainability Plan Implementation Reserve Acct seeded with \$60,000 to supply local matching funds when needed

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well designed
  - Public meeting to help develop the grant project, several meetings planned in scope
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat - Vulnerable community members are those living or working in places that might be cut off when roads are impassable.
  - Disadvantaged communities are not addressed

### Project duration – 12 months

- Detailed timeline provided



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Harpswell

**DATE:** 8/23/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Not required, town contributing \$10,000 from sustainability reserve acct
- Other notes
  - More detail on budget narrative would be helpful
    - How was budget estimated? What would be the cost of the 8 tasks in the assessment?

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Harpswell

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - J. Hays, Mackerel Cove, Abner Point Rd.
  - Wilkins, Homeowner Abner Point Rd.
  - Lamon, Homeowner Abner Point Rd.
  - Harbormaster
  - Dolphin Marine Services
  - Maine Coast Fishermen's Assn.
  - Harpswell Heritage Land Trust
  - Casco Bay Estuary Partnership
  - Harpswell's Maine State Delegation

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes, fall 2022 grant is active.
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Medium
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Preliminary Engineering Design of Town Road Improvements to Address Sea Level Rise
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with MWW action G1.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable.
  - Would benefit from identification of specific town staff responsible for project implementation.

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Harpswell

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Project will study 2 town roads that will be impacted by less than 2 feet of sea level rise. One of the roads is the only access road to 40 families, including condos for older adults and several commercial fishing businesses, two of which are multi-generational and family-owned. Also important for launching emergency services' fire boat and Coast Guard rescue boats.
- Tasks included in engineering assessment include:
  - Task 1: Host kickoff meeting with town staff and residents
  - Task 2: Site visits to document current conditions.
  - Task 3: Prepare base plans for each site.
  - Task 4: Community public forum to review and get input.
  - Task 5: Prepare conceptual improvement plans to mitigate vulnerability for at least 1.5 feet of sea level rise.
  - Task 6: Cost/benefit analysis for each approach
  - Task 7: Second public meeting to review plans and costs.
  - Task 8: Talk with neighbors of adjoining wetlands.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve. Have broad coalition of support.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Need is well-aligned.
  - Town has committed to managed for 1.5 feet of sea level rise by 2050 and 3.9 feet by 2100.
  - Town identified 6 major town roads that are vulnerable to two feet of sea level rise in 2016 vulnerability analysis.
  - Access to working waterfront vital for livelihoods of commercial fishermen.
  - Road improvements will foster social and community equity.
  - Long list of demonstrated work on vulnerability assessment and planning efforts related to sea level rise and asset management through other grant opportunities.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Well-designed.
  - In advance of project, public meeting was hosted with that that are employed or live on vulnerable town roads.
  - Consensus among group to focused efforts on 2 roads for this project.
  - Project includes several public meetings to learn about the project, and get feedback on results.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Well-designed. Robust engagement of fishing community, elderly, and those who are employed or live on roads that have been identified as most vulnerable to sea level rise/storm surges.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Harpswell

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

Project duration: 12 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - N/A
  
- Other notes
  - \$10,000 provided from seed fund for SPIRA Committee.
  - Additional information on how budget estimates were derived would benefit proposal.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Harpswell

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support
  - J. Hays, Mackerel Cove, Abner Point Rd.
  - Wilkins, Homeowner Abner Point Rd.
  - Lamon, Homeowner Abner Point Rd.
  - Harbormaster
  - Dolphin Marine Services
  - Maine Coast Fishermen’s Assn.
  - Harpswell Heritage Land Trust
  - Casco Bay Estuary Partnership
  - Harpswell’s Maine State Delegation

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000):
  - medium
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Preliminary Engineering Design of Town Road Improvements to Address Sea Level Rise
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with G1.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Harpswell

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Engineering firm will be leading/managing the project – who is the point of contact on the for the Town?
  - Task 1: Hold a kickoff meeting with town staff and residents to review the scope and goals of the project.
  - Task 2: Make site visits to document current conditions. Identify and evaluate the impacts likely caused by sea level rise and storm surge on public and business access, utilities, and emergency services.
  - Task 3: Prepare base plans for each site.
  - Task 4: Participate in a community public forum to review the project and gather input from the public.
  - Task 5: Prepare the conceptual improvement plans to mitigate road vulnerability for at least 1.5 feet of sea level rise/Sea, Lake, Overland Surges from Hurricanes(SLOSH) scenarios. Assess possible strategies including protection, accommodation, avoidance, and/or retreat.
  - Task 6: Provide costs and benefits of each approach and include permitting efforts needed.
  - Task 7: Hold a second public meeting to review the plans and costs and attend a final meeting with the Board of Selectmen to review the report and project findings, including information about the costs.
  - Task 8: Begin conversations about ecological resilience with neighbors of the adjoining wetlands.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
    - Likely to achieve
    - Clear goals and objectives

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - 2016 Study found that six major town roads will be vulnerable to two feet of sea level rise
  - Committing to manage 3.9 feet of SLR
  - Abner Point Road leads to the town landing utilized by fishermen and is the only access road for 40 families to reach their homes and four commercial fishing businesses.
  - Bethel Point Road leads to Cundy's Harbor, where 52.26% of the population has low or moderate income and houses a working waterfront population. It is also where the fire boat and Coast Guard Rescue boats are launched.
  - Roads are already experiencing overtopping/flooding during high windstorm events coupled with king tides.
  - Completed community infrastructure vulnerability assessment in 2022
  - Created Resiliency and Sustainability Committee to implement assessment
    - Developed Sustainability Plan to map out 2 year and 3-5 year priorities
    - Making improvements to Town roads is part of the 2-year plan
  - Created Sustainability Plan Implementation Reserve Account and appropriated \$60,000 for local match for grant opportunities

### Engagement and equity

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Harpswell

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Well-designed
  - Multiple public forums led to the prioritization of this project for funding.
  - Would benefit from additional educational outreach to community members about climate impacts and steps that they can take.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes
  - Identified residents served by Abner Point Rd and Bethel Point Rd as vulnerable
  - Residents who use the working waterfront are particularly vulnerable
  - These residents were specifically engaged as part of the planning process

Project duration: 12 months

- Detailed timeline provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Town contributing \$10,000 from reserve account
- Other notes
  - Would benefit from more detail on how engineering consultant fee was determined – has an engineer been selected?

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Hartford

**DATE:** 7/31/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: none

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Making the Switch to Heat Pumps and Solar in Hartford
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with B4, C7

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1 Install heat pumps in town hall and office
    - 4 units in town hall, 1 in town office
    - Coordinated by selectboard
  - Task 2 Install solar on roof of town hall
    - Will generate 60% of the two buildings' usage, designed for future expansion and battery storage
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve stated outcomes of replacing oil furnace, reducing emissions, and reducing energy costs for town

Need



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Hartford

**DATE:** 7/31/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned with MWW
  - Heating cost was \$3000 last year
  - Project location offers visibility for both technologies

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Describes enrollment workshop participation
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Benefits users of the spaces by making it more comfortable
  - Prepares buildings to be warming/cooling centers
  - Solar project will be designed to allow future battery storage to allow emergency sheltering during power outages

Project duration – 12 months

- Heat pump system to be designed before Aug 31 to qualify for EM Small Municipality Retrofit incentive, must be installed by Feb 2024

Very solid proposal. Would hope to see future application for shelter improvements and sheltering plan

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - EM incentive for heat pumps provided
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Remind town of 30% federal direct pay

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Hartford

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: None

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Making the Switch to Heat Pumps and Solar in Hartford
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned, targeting MWW Action B4 and C7.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1: Install 4 heat pumps and town hall and office.
  - Task 2: Install solar panels on town hall roof.
  - Includes tasks, expected outcomes, roles and responsibilities, as well as a timeline. Could benefit from additional detail though project is relatively straight forward.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve. Have bids and have also applied for Efficiency Maine Trust's small municipality retrofit funding opportunity.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned.
  - Town of Hartford relies on heating oil for town hall and office. Lowering energy costs and reducing emissions were identified as important priorities during the town's community workshop. The combination of heat pumps with solar will offset most of the town's municipal energy needs.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Hartford

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Locating heat pumps and solar on public buildings in a visible place will show the town is leading by example and “normalize renewable energy.”

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - The town held multiple workshops during the enrollment process that were aimed to be engaging and accessible to all residents. Meetings were publicized in digital and physical formats. Participation stipends were offered, as well as hybrid meeting options. They invite ongoing engagement and discussion on the projects. Would benefit from additional information or thought about meaningful engagement/educational opportunities with community once projects are built.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Limited detail regarding engagement or impact on vulnerable or disadvantaged groups.
  - Proposal does note that the solar array will be designed to allow for future battery storage to better prepare the hall to act as an emergency shelter during extended power outages.
  - Would benefit from additional detail about emergency shelter planning.

Project duration: 12 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) Yes
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - Does it include federal tax credit for solar install?

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Hartford

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - n/a

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Making the Switch to Heat Pumps and Solar in Hartford
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B4 and C7.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1: Install heat pumps in the town hall and office.
  - Task 2: Install solar photovoltaic panels on the town hall roof.
    - 17 panels expected to generate 6,800kWh annually
    - Solar array is expected to cover roughly 60% of the Town office and hall's electricity consumption.
    - Includes inverter to connect to battery storage in the future.
    - Alternative solar solutions will be explored prior to installation.
  - Detailed and reasonable
    - Project tasks and deliverables are clearly defined.
    - Missing roles/responsibilities to manage task 2

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Hartford

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Installation of heat pumps and a solar array are likely to reduce Hartford's energy consumption and costs and transition the Town to renewable energy, reduce carbon emissions.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned – installation of heat pumps and solar array will reduce reliance on heating oil and reduce energy costs.
  - Project will serve as a model for residents and demonstrate the benefits of these technologies.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected – strong community engagement during enrollment process.
  - Heat pumps and solar will serve as a highly visible demonstration project.
  - Prepares hall for being a cooling/warming center in the future.
  - No additional community engagement planned during/after grant period.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimally – the most vulnerable/disadvantaged groups were not identified or engaged in proposal.

**Project duration:** 12 months

- Detailed timeline is provided.
- Contractor needs to submit Hartford's EMT application by 8/31 to be eligible for rebate, with work completed by 2/28/24 at the latest.

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, Efficiency Maine funding.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Eligible for federal tax incentive
  - Received estimate from solar installer but did not attach.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Jonesport

**DATE:** 8/7/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Selectboard, planning board and committee members
  - Business owners

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Jonesport Public Water System Feasibility Study
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Aligned with F13, G2
  - Somewhat aligned with E7,
  - has implications for A8 but not addressed in deliverables

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1 - Meet with Selectman: gather data and present project outline
  - Task 2 - Community Workshop: gather data and present project outline
  - Task 3 - Hydrological Study: examine water resources in area using existing information and provide recommendations for a public water supply
  - Task 4 - Feasibility Analysis: based on the source and quality of the water under Task 3, analyze data collected, evaluate best treatment methods, estimate costs and present projections
  - Task 5 – Community Workshops including community consultations on the draft final report and presentation of Final Report to community and selectboard.
  - Scope of work provides an outline of the final report
    - Climate only addressed as existing concern.
    - Would like to see climate addressed in sections pertaining to potential water sources, design of distribution system, and implementation costs

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Jonesport

**DATE:** 8/7/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Scope of work is likely to produce a feasibility study
  - Unable to determine if the study will lead to actionable implementation of a drinking water system

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Somewhat aligned – project identifies climate change (saltwater intrusion) as a possible source of the problem, the scope does not include solutions that consider climate change in the design of a new system

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected – a community meeting is planned near the start of the project to collect information and share the project plan
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat – application identifies challenges to low-income residents from lack of safe drinking water and the expenses associated with a new system
  - However, application does not include specific outreach or inclusion of low income residents or residents experiencing poor water quality

Project duration – 24 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes but would benefit from information on how these cost estimates were generated
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  -

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Jonesport

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Jonesport Selectboard
  - John Chuch, business owner
  - Denise Cilley, Member of Select Board and Economic Development Committee
  - William Milliken, business owner
  - David Rier, member of Comprehensive Planning Board

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small
- SVI (low, med, high): High

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Jonesport Public Water System Feasibility Study
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned, targeting MWW actions F13 and G2. Somewhat aligned with E7 and A8.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Partially described
  - Town will hire engineering firm to perform a Public Water System Feasibility Study.
  - Includes timeline.
  - Proposal would benefit from addition of clear roles and responsibilities. Would also benefit from additional consideration of climate impacts/ongoing challenges to resiliency.
    - Task 1: Meet with Select Board: gather data and present project outline.
    - Task 2: Community workshop: gather data and present project outline.
    - Task 3: Hydrological Study: examine water resources in area using existing information and provide recommendations for a public water source.
    - Task 4: Feasibility analysis: based on source and quality of the water under Task 3, analyze data collected, evaluate best treatment methods, estimate costs and present projections.



## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Jonesport

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Task 5: Community workshops including community consultations on the draft final report and presentation of final report to community and selectboard.
  - Scope includes an outline of the final report.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve feasibility study. Have contractor on to help. But likelihood of ultimately implementing public water supply is less positive due to costs/other project challenges.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Need is generally aligned, but assessment needs additional consideration of climate challenges.
  - Town sees a need to evaluate and determine feasibility of providing public water to citizens based on overall quality of residential wells, many of which suffer from saltwater intrusion. This problem is exacerbated by drought and sea-level rise.
  - Lack of municipal sewage treatment and density of residential septic systems another threat to water quality.
  - Downtown development limited by lack of public water.
  - Majority of community members that responded to town survey were supportive of public water system, but costs are a concern.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected. Additional detail on engagement and how community feedback will be collected/incorporated would benefit proposal.
  - Town has been actively engaged in planning during the community workshop as well as during a community survey and visioning meeting as part of a recent comprehensive plan update.
  - Engagement is important to success of the project. Study will rely partially on local knowledge and expertise. Ultimately investing in a public water system would require trust in results of study.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Identifies low-income residents as most vulnerable. Low income residents have greater difficulty with replacing or repairing failing wells or septic systems and as a result may suffer from lack of access to clean water that exacerbates other health effects associated with living in poverty.
  - Additional detail regarding engagement of low-income residents or those with septic/well systems in disrepair would benefit proposal.

Project duration: 24 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Jonesport

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
  
- Other notes
  - Town in-kind staff time valued at \$400 to advertise and host public meetings.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Jonesport

**DATE:** 8/7/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Jonesport Select Board
  - John Church, resident
  - William Milliken, resident
  - Denise Cilley, Select Board and Economic Development Committee
  - David Rier, Planning Board

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Jonesport Public Water System Feasibility Study
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with F13 and G2, limited mention of E7 or A8.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Partially described
  - Would benefit from more detail around each task and specific roles/responsibilities.
  - Task 1 - Meet with Selectman: gather data and present project outline
  - Task 2 - Community Workshop: gather data and present project outline
  - Task 3 - Hydrological Study: examine water resources in area using existing information and provide recommendations for a public water supply

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Jonesport

**DATE:** 8/7/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Task 4 - Feasibility Analysis: based on the source and quality of the water under Task 3, analyze data collected, evaluate best treatment methods, estimate costs and present projections
- Task 5 – Community Workshops including community consultations on the draft final report and presentation of Final Report to community and selectboard.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Somewhat likely – difficult to determine outcome success due to the lack of detail included.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - First step to address concern around clean drinking water for residents due to saltwater intrusion and failing septic systems.
  - Public water in the downtown was identified by the community as a priority in CRP enrollment workshop and Comp Plan development but this support was often tempered by concerns about financial feasibility.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed
  - Focus on the importance of community engagement
    - Public workshops and community survey are planned as part of the project
  - Jonesport community members are historically very engaged in these processes.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes - identified low-income residents as being the most impacted from contaminated private wells.
    - Cost prohibitive to repair or replace wells.
    - Lack of access to vehicles makes it challenging to get clean water and/or employment.
    - Also most concerned about the potential increase in property tax/water bill if a public water system is installed.
  - No engagement strategy included to educate and work with these residents.

**Project duration:** 24 months

- Basic timeline provided.

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Budget narrative doesn't include costs.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Jonesport

**DATE:** 8/7/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Town in-kind match of \$400
  - Consultant estimate/scope referenced but not provided.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Kittery

**DATE:** 8/18/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - none

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): no
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Spring 2022
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): no
  - Active grant is being managed by SMPDC

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - LED Smart Light Conversion – Town Hall Complex including Town Hall, School
  - Administration and Police Department
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Section not completed by applicant
  - Nevertheless, well aligned with B2, B5

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Scope**

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Modestly but sufficiently described scope of work
    - Would benefit from identification of town's project manager
  - Replace 512 existing interior CFL lights and 16 exterior lights with smart LEDs
  - Town hall complex includes town hall admin office, school dept, and police dept
  - Installer has been selected
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Kittery

**DATE:** 8/18/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Well aligned
- Last piece of a lighting improvement effort across town buildings
- Nearly 50,000kWh/yr savings

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimally considered
  - Describes outreach activities during climate action plan development, but not this project
  - Missed opportunity to report on energy savings to residents
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - minimal

**Project duration – 12 months**

- very basic timeline

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - EM lighting incentive
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Not required but provided
- Other notes
  - Detailed vendor contract provided

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Kittery

**DATE:** 8/20/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: None

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes, spring 2022 grant is active.
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Large
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: LED Smart Light Conversion – Town Hall Complex including Town Hall, School Administration and Police Department
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - MWW actions/strategies not identified in proposal.
  - But aligned with B2.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Sufficiently described. Limited detail. Lacks roles and responsibilities.
  - Project will convert 512 CFL interior lights and 16 exterior lights to LEDs at Town Hall Complex using EMT's approved smart lighting program.
  - Installer has been selected through a sole-source procurement.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely. Project is straight forward and an installer has been selected.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Kittery

**DATE:** 8/20/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Need is well-aligned.
- Town has been working to address its contribution to climate change and reduce local GHG emissions. Town has a goal of reducing GHG emissions by 40% by 2030.
- Project will reduce usage by 49,262 kWh per year.
- Project will show town is leading by example.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimal.
  - Proposal demonstrates history of engagement, but doesn't discuss engagement efforts/intent specific to this project.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Proposal does not identify vulnerable or disadvantaged groups, or identify specific engagement.
  - Does mention prior engagement of resident groups, faith groups, business orgs, boards, commissions and committees.

Project duration: 12 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Will utilize EMT LED lighting program
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Local match/cost share of \$50,829 provided.
- Other notes
  -

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Kittery

**DATE:** 8/11/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - n/a

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: LED Smart Light Conversion – Town Hall Complex including Town Hall, School Administration and Police Department
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Proposal doesn't state strategies/actions that the project aligns with
  - Well-aligned with B2 and B5.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Partially described
    - Tasks not outlined in project description.
  - Task 1: Interior and exterior LED lighting conversion
    - 512 CFL interior lights
    - 16 exterior lights
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve desired outcomes.
  - Part of a larger lighting conversion effort.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Kittery

**DATE:** 8/11/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Conversion to LED lighting will increase energy efficiency and reduce carbon emissions.
  - Will help Kittery meet goal of reducing GHG emissions 40% by 2030.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately
  - This project is part of a larger climate planning process that Kittery has underway
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimal – no vulnerable/disadvantaged groups identified in proposal – perhaps these groups were identified in Kittery's climate action plans.

**Project duration:** 12 months

- Basic timeline provided.

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, Efficiency Maine funds
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Vendor estimate included
  - Kittery GHG Inventory attached

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Limestone

**DATE:** 8/18/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Town manager
  - Aroostook Partnership
  - Limestone Chamber of Commerce
  - MSSM
  - EMDC
  - Rep. Mark Babin

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): no
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Fall 2022
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): no
  - Different managing personnel for each grant

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Promote Clean Energy Transportation
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with A2, A5, H4

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Public EV chargers installed in May at LWSD on route 1
  - Task 1 Complete electrical service upgrade, lighting, paving, and security camera not covered by EM
  - Task 2 Purchase EV utility vehicle for meter reading and rides for EV drivers while charging
  - Task 3 Purchase 6 electric bikes for community use
  - Detailed and reasonable scope
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Limestone

**DATE:** 8/18/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Likely to achieve outcomes

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned
  - EV chargers are public and along Rt 1 but maybe ½ mile from downtown
  - Confirm that exterior lighting is LED
  - Not sure paving and security is eligible use of funds
  - Electricity for charging supplied by existing LWSD solar array and keeps fees low for users

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - minimal
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Moderate - New EV utility vehicle will have lower operating costs, helping keep water fees lower for customers
  - Benefits EV drivers and community members who use e-bikes

### Project duration – 12 months

- Timeline not provided

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Yes but not required
- Other notes
  - Task 1 – electrical work and signage are eligible, paving and security not eligible
  - Task 2 – cannot exceed \$7500 for Action A1
  - Task 3 – E-bikes are not eligible use of funds unless for municipal fleet

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Limestone

**DATE:** 8/23/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Limestone Water and Sewer District
  - Aroostook Partnership
  - Limestone Town Manager
  - Limestone Chamber of Commerce
  - Maine School of Science and Mathematics
  - EMDC
  - Representative Mark Babin

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes, a Fall 2022 grant is active.
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small
- SVI (low, med, high): High

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Promote Clean Energy Transportation
  
- The proposed scope of work is somewhat well-aligned with MWW action A5. A2, install EV chargers, has already been completed outside the scope of the proposed work. Don't see proposed activities regarding action H4.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Scope is reasonable.
  - No timeline included.
  - Project will be managed by the Limestone Water and Sewer District
    - Task 1: Electrical service upgrade at installed EV charger location, plus installation of security cameras, lighting, and paving. [Unsure if grant can be used for cameras, lighting, and paving]
    - Task 2: Purchase GEM electric utility vehicle for water district
    - Task 3: Purchase 6 electric power bicycles [are these considered municipal fleet?]

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Limestone

**DATE:** 8/23/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Somewhat likely. Not all project components and dollar requests may not all be eligible.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Need is well aligned.
  - Will utilize excess credits from solar project owned by the Limestone Water and Sewer District to power their EV chargers.
  - Project components will further demonstrate the use of electric vehicles, community leading by example, allow community members to experience electric vehicles/bicycles.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected.
  - Electric bike access will provide low-cost recreational activity for diverse group of community members including seniors, families, and students.
  - Charging station will have signage to promote sustainability and energy independence.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat.
  - GEM utility vehicle will lower operational costs for LWSD, lowering costs included in deferred rate increases for service provided.

Project duration: 12 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Mostly matches, but source of "other funds" not identified.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Already accessed funds for EV charger installation.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - N/A
- Other notes
  - A maximum of \$7,500 of a Community Action Grant may be applied to the purchase of an electric vehicle for municipal or tribal fleets.
  - Unsure that bikes are considered part of a municipal fleet.
  - Can aesthetic updates to charging area be included?

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Limestone

**DATE:** 8/22/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Aroostook Partnership
  - Limestone Town Manager
  - Limestone Chamber of Commerce and Limestone Development Foundation
  - Maine School of Science and Mathematics
  - Eastern Maine Development Corporation
  - Rep. Mark Babin

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Promote Clean Energy Transportation
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Somewhat-aligned with A2, A5, and H4.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - The project will support the use of four newly installed EV chargers
  - Partnership with Limestone Water and Sewer District – EV chargers are located at the LWSD building
  - Task 1: Complete the electrical service upgrade, install security cameras, area lighting, and paving at EV charger location (unanticipated cost that was not included in the EMT program)



## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Limestone

**DATE:** 8/22/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Task 2: Purchase GEM electric utility vehicle
  - Task 3: Purchase six electric power bicycles for community rental
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Somewhat likely
  - EV charger users would need to be informed on the available rides on the GEM and e-bike rentals, making this location more of a "destination" for EV drivers
  - Would benefit from looking into green infrastructure alternative to asphalt

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - LWSD has available solar credits from PPA agreement to utilize that can be applied to the usage of these EV chargers
  - Supports EV charging network
  - Introduces alternative modes of transportation to residents/visitors

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
  - GEM and e-bikes will offer alternative mode of transportation to residents
  - Would benefit from a community outreach strategy that promotes the access to e-bikes and GEM rides for EV charger users
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimal – most vulnerable/disadvantaged groups were not identified or engaged.

### Project duration: 12 months

- No timeline provided.

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Three distinct projects that could be funded separately
  - No vendor estimates included.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Lisbon

**DATE:** 8/18/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Positive Change Lisbon
  - Sen. Jeffrey Timberlake

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): no
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Fall 2022
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): no
  - Proposed project is expected to take less than two weeks. Contractor ready to begin work.

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Lisbon Town Office Energy Efficient Light Upgrade
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with B2

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Modestly but sufficiently describes scope of work
    - Would benefit from identification of town staff who will oversee project
  - Task 1 Upgrade to LED lights in town office, dispose of removed fixtures
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned with MWW
  - Removes improperly wired fixtures

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Lisbon

**DATE:** 8/18/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Savings: 14,900 kWh and \$3100

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimally considered
  - Missed opportunity to communicate/educate residents on energy savings
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - not addressed

Project duration – 12 months

- Projected expected to take 1 month

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$48,547.51
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
  - No narrative provided. A file was embedded in the application but lost when applicant converted to PDF
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Unable to determine if EM incentive is included. Budget narrative, worksheet, and vendor estimate do not mention EM incentives.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Vendor estimate provided

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Lisbon

**DATE:** 8/20/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Positive Change Lisbon
  - Senator Jeffrey Timberlake

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes, Fall 2022 grant is active.
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Medium
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Lisbon Town Office Energy Efficient Light Upgrade
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with MWW action B2.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Minimally described.
  - Will install LED lights in town office.
  - No roles/responsibilities listed for project management.
  - Proposal does include contractor bid. Expect installation to take one month.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely. Project is straight forward. Details are limited, but includes vendor quote.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned with MWW. Will increase efficiency and decrease costs. Additional detail on how this fits with community priorities/resilience planning would benefit proposal.
  - Annual utility savings of \$3,130.29. Annual energy savings of 14,900 kWh.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Lisbon

**DATE:** 8/20/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimally considered. Unsure how community priorities were reached. No engagement plan described.
  - Proposal notes project will “model climate change resilience” but limited detail on how connection to climate or resilience will be shared.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Not described.

Project duration: 12 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$48, 547.51
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Unclear whether contractor/town plan to take advantage of EMT LED lighting program.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - N/A
- Other notes
  - Contractor estimate included.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Lisbon

**DATE:** 8/11/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Positive Change Lisbon
  - Sen. Jeffrey Timberlake

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Lisbon Town Office Energy Efficient Light Upgrade
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B2.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Partially described
  - Roles and responsibilities not included.
  - Task 1: Install LED lights in Town Office to replace existing light fixtures.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve
  - Detailed vendor estimate provided.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Lisbon

**DATE:** 8/11/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Replaces inefficient light fixtures with efficient LED lighting.
  - 14,900 kWh estimated annual energy savings

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimal
  - No community participation planned.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimal
  - Vulnerable/disadvantaged groups not identified or engaged.

Project duration: 12 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$48,547.51
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - No
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - No – confirm whether program is eligible for Efficiency Maine funds
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Detailed vendor estimate provided.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Long Island

**DATE:** 8/8/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Long Island Groundwater Quality Committee
  - Long Island Comprehensive Plan Implementation Committee
  - Chebeague Climate Action Team
  - The Island Institute
  - Greater Portland Council of Governments (GPCOG)
  - State Senator Ben Chipman
  - State Representative Steve Moriarty

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Town of Long Island Groundwater Sustainability Study
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with G3, H2

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Very well organized, detailed and reasonable scope of work with roles, deliverables and timelines
  - Groundwater Quality Committee, Island Comprehensive Plan (LICP) Implementation Committee, Community Land Operating Company (LICLOC), and other community volunteers will collaborate on outreach, education, and implementation of these four tasks
  - Task 1 Data Collection and Compilation
    - Well and Septic Survey
    - Inventory and Map Existing Wells
    - Water Quality Testing



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Long Island

**DATE:** 8/8/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Map and Describe Long Island's Aquifer
- Task 2 Water Balance and Carrying Capacity
- Task 3 Future Applicability and Implementation
- Task 4 Community Education
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
  - Well organized and well-conceived effort
  - Includes future looking studies of water balance and carrying capacity

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned with MWW
  - Strong community support for protecting the water supply
  - Community has completed 2 sessions of GMRI's Resilience Training
  - Sole aquifer supplies the island, stressed during summer months when population increases 3 times
  - Climate change impacts such as sea level rise, unpredictable precipitation and drought bring saltwater intrusion and other changes, which threaten the quality and quantity

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well designed with specific steps to support community participation
    - Equitable water testing for all residents, access to information, access to gatherings
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes, well designed

**Project duration – 24 months**

- Detailed timeline provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$45,400
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Minor error in narrative for PFAS testing costs
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Not required but volunteer time included
- Other notes
  -

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** The Town of Long Island

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Long Island Groundwater Quality Committee
  - Long Island Comprehensive Plan Implementation Committee
  - The Island Institute
  - GPCOG
  - State Senator Ben Chipman
  - State Representative Steve Moriarty

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Town of Long Island Groundwater Sustainability Study
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with MWW Actions G3 and H2.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable. Tasks and subtasks include timeline, deliverables, roles and responsibilities.
    - Task 1: Data Collection and Compilation
      - A: well and septic survey
      - B: inventory and map existing wells
      - C: water quality testing
      - D: map and describe aquifer
    - Task 2: Water Balance and Carrying Capacity
    - Task 3: Future Applicability and Implementation
    - Task 4: Community Education
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** The Town of Long Island

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Likely to achieve. This work will build off comprehensive plan update. Have several community committees in place as well as a consultant/hydrologist.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned.
  - 150 community members participated in a total of 8 in-person and virtual public forums/visioning workshops as part of comprehensive plan update. Protecting and conserving groundwater supply was a clear priority for the committee.
  - Maintaining a year-round community depends on equitable access to groundwater and resourceful management/use of resources.
  - Town established a Groundwater Quality Committee and gave it a modest budget to begin work on projects.
  - CRP grant would support community's continued commitment to strategic planning for town's future.
  - Single-source aquifer relies on precipitation. Is vulnerable to runoff.
  - Interested to partner with other communities in the future to construct a local or regional groundwater model.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed.
  - Have a strong focus on effective science communication principles to promote understanding, engagement, and informed decision making.
  - Have strategy to ensure meetings are accessible and inclusive.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Will provide free water testing to all residents.
  - Proposal makes mention of particular strategies to engage the elderly, fishermen/fisherwomen, seasonal residents.

Project duration: 24 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$45,400
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
  
- Other notes
  - Will provide in-kind volunteer hours for well sampling by Groundwater Committee

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Long Island

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Rep. Stephen Moriarty
  - Sen. Ben Chipman
  - Susie Arnold, Ocean Scientist, Island Institute
  - Long Island Ground Water Quality Committee
  - Chebeague Island Climate Action Team
  - GPCOG

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

Project title: Town of Long Island Groundwater Sustainability Study

- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with G3 and H2.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
- Detailed and reasonable
- Deliverables and roles and responsibilities are well defined.
- Task 1 - Data Collection and Compilation
  - 1.A. Well and Septic Survey
  - 1.B. Inventory and Map Existing Wells
  - 1.C. Water Quality Testing
  - 1.D. Map and Describe Long Island's Aquifer
- Task 2 - Water Balance and Carrying Capacity

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Long Island

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Task 3: Future Applicability and Implementation
- Task 4: Community Education
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve desired outcome.
  - Experienced partner – Island Institute – and multiple local committees and volunteers working together to complete each task.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Protecting and conserving the groundwater supply determined as a top priority during comprehensive planning process.
  - Single-source aquifer relies on precipitation. The island loses approximately 33% or one-third of the gallons of rain as runoff, and what remains provides the only source of available groundwater/drinking water.
  - Established Groundwater Quality Committee
  - Summer population increase (239 to 800) strains aquifer and other resources.
  - Long Island is one of the eleven communities currently involved in GPCOG and Gulf of Maine Research Institute's (GMRI) 'Climate Ready Casco Bay' flood monitoring.
  - Long Island has completed two sessions of GMRI's Resilience Training protocol
  - Island Institute's ShoreUp grant to protect dunes on Fowlers Beach

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed
  - Island residents are very engaged and active in town committees, projects and planning processes.
  - Plan to continue to engage the community throughout this process –
    - Informational literature, community forum events, and more
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes and well-designed
  - Equitable water testing – will eliminate costs to make sure that all residents can access water testing program
  - Improve access to information – use plain language, clear and concise, visual aids, storytelling, engage stakeholders at community meetings/online forums/dedicated helplines, present information in multiple formats, collaborative approach, regular updates, local context
  - Considerate access to gatherings – diverse meeting times, hybrid meetings, accessible meeting locations, various communication channels, clear and transparent communication, facilitated discussions, follow-up materials, ongoing engagement

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Long Island

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

Project duration: 24 months

- Detailed timeline provided.

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$45,400
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Total cost for PFAS testing is incorrect (should be \$2500)
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - In-Kind volunteer hours by Groundwater Committee

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Lovell

**DATE:** 7/31/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
  - Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: none

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Weatherization and Heat Pump Installation Lovell's Buildings
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with B1, B4

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1 Install heat pumps in three fire department buildings
    - Systems not yet designed
    - Not yet applied for Efficiency Maine incentives, deadline is Aug 31 for Small Municipality Retrofit incentive
  - Task 2 Weatherize town hall
    - Conservation Commission will act as liaison
    - Prioritized for completion in December before winter
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Projects likely to achieve outcomes but more information on heat pump specifications and weatherization costs are needed

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned with MWW

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Lovell

**DATE:** 7/31/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Town spent \$9,500 on heating in 2022
- May pursue future solar on roof of fire station or town garage
- Center Station is often used for large gatherings, meetings, and trainings

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimal
  - Heating efficiency was a priority of enrollment workshops
  - Participation in enrollment workshops is described
  - Application does not describe any public engagement or education as part of this project
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat
  - Project will benefit all users of the building by making the spaces more comfortable
  - Main fire station has been used in the past as a warming center. Heat pumps will enable it to be a cooling center also
    - Would benefit from discussion of existing or needed warming/cooling operation plan – who will operate it, what supplies are needed, who are most vulnerable residents and how will they be communicated with?

Project duration – 12 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - No, Task 1 col 1: should be \$37,931 which results in request that exceeds max allowed
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Not with the errors
  - Unclear how cost estimates were derived if system has not been designed
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Not yet applied for Efficiency Maine incentives, deadline is Aug 31 for Small Municipality Retrofit incentive
  - Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a): n/a
- Other notes
  - Unable to determine if this budget is feasible due to errors and missing vendor estimate

|                |        |          |         |
|----------------|--------|----------|---------|
| Center Station | 24,179 | (34,560) | 58,739  |
| Village Barn   | 5,426  | (15,360) | 20,786  |
| North Barn     | 8,326  | (8,064)  | 16,390  |
| Task 1 total   | 37,931 | (57,984) | 95,915  |
|                |        |          |         |
| Task 2         | 12,960 | -        | 12,960  |
| Total          | 50,891 | (57,984) | 108,875 |



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Lovell

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: None

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Weatherization and Heat Pump Installation in Lovell's Buildings
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned, targeting MWW Actions B1 and B4.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Tasks, deliverables, timelines, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are moderately detailed.
  - Task 1: Install VRF systems in three fire department buildings. Will also take advantage of Efficiency Maine Small Municipality Retrofits program.
  - Task 2: Weatherize Lovell Town Hall. Will include blower door test, weather stripping, air sealing, and caulking.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Reasonably likely. Town is already aware of the Efficiency Maine program for small municipalities and will stack funding opportunities for efficiency and weatherization projects.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Need is well-aligned with MWW. Lovell is a small town, but owns several municipal buildings, each of which have energy costs and would benefit from efficiency upgrades.

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Lovell

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

Fire department buildings currently rely on propane for heating and have no cooling. Heat pumps will reduce emissions and limit economic impacts associated with price volatility of propane. Center fire station is often used for town meetings, dinners, trainings. Heat pumps will make the space more comfortable.

- Town hall is currently heated by oil. Weatherization improvements to this building was a priority at the town's community workshop.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Projects included in proposal come directly from list of priority actions developed by town residents over two public engagement workshops. Workshops were widely publicized, held in person and virtual. Attendance stipends were offered.
  - Limited detail on how the community will be engaged during/after the project. Suggest some further education opportunities would add to this proposal.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat. Proposal notes that the fire department's main station has been used as a warming center in the past. Heat pump installation will give the town the option to use the space as a warming and cooling center going forward, particularly for those vulnerable to extreme heat and cold.
  - Could use additional detail on potential for using the space as a heating/cooling center in the future.

Project duration: 12 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Discrepancy on North Lovell fire barn/total cost.
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, includes small municipality retrofit program rebates.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
  
- Other notes
  - Seems like the town has received detailed quotes for installation of heat pump and VRF systems in their fire department buildings, but would like to confirm vendor quote.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Lovell

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - n/a

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Weatherization and Heat Pump Installation in Lovell's Buildings
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B1 and B4.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1: Install heat pump systems in Lovell's three fire department buildings.
  - Task 2: weatherization of Lovell Town Hall through insulation.
  - Partially described – project tasks and deliverables were not clearly defined. Roles/responsibilities were not included.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Installation of heat pumps and increased insulation are likely to lower energy consumption and costs and reduce carbon emissions.

Need

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Lovell

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned – Fire barns currently rely on propane for heating and have no cooling and Town hall uses heating oil and weatherization is needed to reach a comfortable temperature.
  - Center Station is used for large community events and will now have cooling capability.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected – community engagement was completed during the enrollment process – promoted on print and digital channels and offering stipends and hybrid meeting options to residents.
  - No additional community engagement or education is planned during or after project completion.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimally – most vulnerable/disadvantaged residents were not identified or engaged in the proposal.

**Project duration:** 12 months

- Contractor needs to submit Lovell's EMT application by 8/31 to be eligible for rebate, with work completed by 2/28/24 at the latest.

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - No – North Lovell fire barn calculations are incorrect
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, efficiency Maine rebates included
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Mariaville

**DATE:** 8/10/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - none

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Fire-fighting Water Supply Cisterns
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Mostly aligned with G1, G2,
  - No tasks related to H1

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1 Legal and admin step to acquire an easement on site property
  - Task 2 Purchase 14,000gal cistern
  - Task 3 Piping
  - Task 4 Site prep and installation
  - Would benefit from additional detail on who will over see the project
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcome, though additional cisterns are needed across the town
  - Is not entirely clear if the cistern site has been confirmed. Budget narrative implies that a landowner has been identified and has offered a site.
    - Request letter of support from landowner and confirmation of the easement

Need

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Mariaville

**DATE:** 8/10/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned
  - Need is thoroughly described
  - Climate change is lengthening dry periods which makes once-reliable fire ponds less dependable
  - Climate change is lengthening mud season which reduces safe access to lakesides for heavy tanker trucks
  - Population growth is increasing the number of structures that rely on the fire department
  - Application suggests that the site will replace the town's primary dry hydrant at Tannery Brook Bridge

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimally considered
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - somewhat
  - Cisterns benefit the whole community but can be most beneficial if placed farther away from water sources. Disadvantaged residents don't typically live on waterfronts so may be more likely to benefit from proximity to a cistern

**Project duration – 12 months**

- Basic timeline provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - 10%+ cash match
- Other notes
  - Can MEMA/FEMA fund any of this?

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Mariaville

**DATE:** 8/10/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: None

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Fire-Fighting Water Supply Cisterns
  
- The proposed scope of work is somewhat aligned with MWW action G2, but the proposed scope of work does not address G1 or H1.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Scope of work section provides technical explanation of existing water access for firefighting and the challenges of the existing system. It also explains why a cistern may be easier to manage for particular areas of the town.
  - Scope provides limited detail regarding the details of the project to install water supply cisterns, why location was selected, and who will manage project work.
  - From timeline, tasks include:
    - Legal work to write easement/covenant agreement between town and identified property owner where a cistern would be located
    - Contract will be put out to bid for construction work
    - Contract awarded
    - Construction of cistern in spring
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to be able to bid and install cistern. However it's unclear that the project contains a vulnerability assessment or reasonable consideration of the climate impacts on legacy water sources at present and over time.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Mariaville

**DATE:** 8/10/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Need is somewhat aligned with MWW.
  - Need section notes that drought conditions have caused ponds to dry and created challenges for water access from lakes.
  - Proposal notes "ideally, the cistern should be located so that it is not affected by mud season." A site analysis should be completed prior to construction to evaluate site vulnerability and resiliency to drought conditions and other climate hazards.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimally considered.
  - Proposal notes that cisterns provide coverage to everyone in the community, however this may only be true if they are properly sited and maintained throughout the community.
  - Have an interest in working with neighboring towns to build additional cisterns to provide climate-ready fire protection.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimally considered. Proposal notes that the local school would greatly benefit from the security of a cistern.
  - Notes that marginalized or disadvantaged communities typically don't live along the waterfront where there is good access to water for firefighting.

Project duration: 12 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$49,500
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Match/other funds not described in narrative. I believe that that comes from the town of Mariaville.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Proposal notes that 10% cost share is included.
- Other notes
  - Unclear how cost estimates were derived.



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Mariaville

**DATE:** 8/9/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - n/a

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Fire-Fighting Water Supply Cisterns
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with G2. Minimally aligned with G2 and H1 due to lack of planned community participation and engagement.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Would benefit by more information about placement of the cistern, tasks involved in installing the cistern, size, # of houses it would serve, etc.
  - Roles/responsibilities are not included.
  - Task 1: Install a fire-fighting water cistern.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Unable to determine based on lack of detail around installation process and cistern location.

Need

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Mariaville

**DATE:** 8/9/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Somewhat aligned
  - Access to fire-fighting water sources in rural areas is limited, increasing the amount of time needed to extinguish a fire.
  - Climate change is impacting legacy water sources, causing ponds to dry up and be unusable.
  - Population growth is leading to a broader area of residents to be served by limited water sources.
  - A dry hydrant that is considered a primary water source for the fire department is planned to be removed as part of RT 181 Tannery Brook Bridge replacement project, further limiting water sources.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimally considered
  - No community participation planned.
  - Mariaville would lead by example by installing a cistern and help neighboring towns complete similar projects.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat
  - Cisterns provide equitable access to water sources to fight fires.

Project duration: 12 months

- Detailed timeline provided.

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$49,500
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Who will be paying the \$5,500 in matching funds?
  - Would benefit from a vendor estimate.
  - Would benefit from letter of support from Town administrator.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Millinocket

**DATE:** 8/18/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - UMaine – Sharon Klein
  - Thrive Penobscot, Millinocket Regional Hospital (and Town Councilor)

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): no
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Fall 2022
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): no
  - Active grant will be completed in August 2023

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Heat Pumps for Millinocket Homes: Initiating a residential bulk purchase program.
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Somewhat aligned H7

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Community Investment Director will oversee project. Grant funds will pay CID salary
  - It appears that town is subsidizing the heat pumps but it is unclear what source of funds will pay for resident's heat pumps
  - Tasks need to be more clearly defined
    - What are key steps beyond sending the RFA to residents and prioritizing households?
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Unable to determine, more specific tasks descriptions are needed

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Millinocket

**DATE:** 8/18/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- High need for a program to support resident heat pump conversions in low-income households.
  - However, the subsidy model is not what Action H7 envisions
- “The funding from the CAG will ensure the CID position will be in place for another year and will focus primarily on the residential heat pump program during that time.”
  - Typically, the CAG does not fund existing positions.
  - If the grant is paying a municipal employee salary we will need much more detail on the tasks, deliverables, timelines, responsibilities.
- “The Town is going to impact the most vulnerable by providing them funding for a low cost, green energy, heat source”
  - What is this source of funding? Is it the CID’s current salary that the grant will replace?

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust community participation is envisioned but scope needs further development
  - Partnerships with Age Friendly Community and Mobilize Katahdin
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Concept envisions benefiting low income, vulnerable community members

### Project duration – 12 months

- Very basic timeline provided.
- Seems to underestimate time needed for each task

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes but narrative requires more detail
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Says vendor will help residents get EM incentives
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Insufficient narrative detail. Cannot determine if this is an eligible use of grant funds.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Millinocket

**DATE:** 8/20/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Sharon Klein, UMaine
  - Millinocket Regional Hospital/Thrive Penobscot

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes, Fall 2022 grant is active.
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Medium
- SVI (low, med, high): High

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Heat Pumps for Millinocket Homes: Initiating a bulk purchase program
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with MWW action H7.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Reasonable scope of work. Roles and responsibilities identified.
  - Unclear what funds will be used to pay for heat pumps.
  - Is the Community Initiatives Director a new position? Are there plans to sustain the position following the end of the grant period?
    - Community Initiatives Director (CID) will administer community bulk purchasing program. Funding request will cover salary. First task will be to send out an RFA to residents through email and newspaper.
    - CID and Sustainability Committee will prioritize households with household income at or below 80% AMI.
    - Will work with Pine State Electric as vendor. Located in Millinocket and registered through Efficiency Maine.
    - Will work to maximize EMT incentives and other federal incentives.
    - CID will seek funding to cover costs to low-income homeowners that other incentives don't cover.
    - Goal of installing heat pumps in at least 25 homes.

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Millinocket

**DATE:** 8/20/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Somewhat likely to achieve. Have vendor on board, but unclear where funds for installations will come from.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned.
  - Project is targeted toward most vulnerable residents, those that live below the poverty line (11.3%). Will help them gain low-cost, green heat source.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Well-designed. Community workshop hosted on August 23, 2022 with more than 30 community members. Survey to identify priority actions shared on social media and via town email list. Weatherization and heat pumps were identified as top two priorities.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes. Age Friendly Committee and Mobilize Katahdin will promote the program within committees and community groups that typically help the most vulnerable.
  - Further supports efforts of Mobilize Katahdin who offers heating assistance. Mobilize Katahdin will share information about the application with citizens that have requested heating assistance.

Project duration: 12 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Will work to maximize Efficiency Maine incentives.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
  
- Other notes
  - Ensure community is also connected with MaineHousing who may be able to fund heat pumps for lowest income residents.
  - Would like to better understand funding source for heat pump installations or expected savings from bulk purchase. Has vendor provided a discount?

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Millinocket

**DATE:** 8/11/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Dr. Sharon Klein
  - *Thrive* Penobscot

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Heat Pumps for Millinocket Homes: Initiating a residential bulk purchase program.
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Somewhat-aligned with H7.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Partially detailed
  - Grant funding will cover Community Initiatives Director salary
    - What funding will cover the cost of the heat pumps and installation?
  - Task 1: Hire Community Initiatives Director to implement and manage residential heat pump bulk purchase program.
  - Task 2: CID to develop and release RFA to Millinocket residents to apply for heat pump assistance.
    - Most vulnerable residents will be prioritized (low income, elderly)
  - Task 3: CID to coordinate installation of heat pumps with Pine State Electric.
    - Goal: Install heat pumps in at least 25 homes.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Millinocket

**DATE:** 8/11/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Somewhat likely to achieve if there is a funding source for the heat pumps and installation.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - 11.3% of Millinocket residents live below the poverty line and may experience issues keeping warm during the winter.
  - Heat pumps offer an efficient, reliable solution to keeping vulnerable residents warm.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Well-designed
  - Weatherizing homes and installing heat pumps determined as high priorities during community workshops.
  - CID will reach out directly to low-income residents to evaluate need for heat pumps.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes – this program targets vulnerable/disadvantaged residents that require heating assistance
  - CID will work with Mobilize Katahdin to identify residents in need.

**Project duration:** 12 months

- Basic timeline provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - How are heat pumps and installation being funded?



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Mount Desert

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Town of Tremont
  - Tremont Selectboard
  - Mount Desert Selectboard
  - Finance Director, Mount Desert
  - Mount Desert Sustainability Committee
  - A Climate to Thrive

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes, spring 2022 and fall 2022 grants are active.
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Multi-community
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Contracted Personnel Support focused on list of priority actions aligned with Mount Desert Climate Action Plan, Tremont Community Resilience Planning process, and Maine Won't Wait - pilot for future official staff position in both towns
- The proposed scope of work is targeting MWW actions A1, B1, C4, D1, F2, F9, F14, and F15.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Scope is detailed. Identification of specific town staff or committee members who will coordinate priority projects with contracted staff person would benefit proposal.
  - Mount Desert and Tremont will contract for shared personnel support to support the implementation of the town's climate action and resilience priorities.
  - The position will act as a pilot; each town hopes to demonstrate the effectiveness of a permanent position.
  - Priority actions include:
    - Plan for efficiency upgrades at elementary schools
    - Develop and adopt renewable energy ordinances that reflect local priorities

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Mount Desert

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Incorporate vulnerability assessment results in next update of EMA hazard mitigation plan
  - Conduct and complete planning process for heating/cooling centers
  - Develop a peer-to-peer check-in network to support vulnerable populations
  - Explore options and funding sources for composting program
  - Tremont specific- develop ordinances to support local food production
  - Mount Desert specific- develop a coordinated plan for municipal fleet conversion to EVs.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
    - Somewhat likely to achieve desired outcomes. Scope is very ambitious. Contracted personnel will work 21 hours per week.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Need is well-aligned.
  - List of priority actions/activities were identified by climate action/community resilience plans in each town.
  - Each of the town currently lack the necessary capacity to meet their goals and timelines.
  - This contracted position will serve as a pilot to prove effectiveness in advance of 2025 town meetings where they hope to have support to create permanent positions.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Well-designed.
  - Actions are reflection of priorities expressed by each community in recent listening sessions.
  - Each action designed to include community education and opportunities for feedback.
  - Actions overlap with those needed to address vulnerabilities of at-risk populations in each community.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Well designed. Identify most vulnerable populations as the elderly, low-income residents, children, and the working waterfront community.
  - Several activities target specific at-risk populations (peer to peer program, heating/cooling)

Project duration: 24 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$119,790
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - N/A

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Mount Desert

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Cost share of \$1,650 provided.
  
- Other notes
  - Towns will draw up an MOU to support shared contract.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Mount Desert and Tremont

**DATE:** 8/23/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Tremont town manager
  - Tremont selectboard
  - Mount Desert finance director (will draw up MOU for towns)
  - Mount Desert Sustainability Committee
  - ACTT

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): no
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Spring 2022, Fall 2022
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): no
  - This proposal brings new capacity to the towns
  - Active grants are managed by separate staff and will be completed in coming months

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Multi-community
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Contracted Personnel Support focused on list of priority actions aligned with Mount Desert Climate Action Plan, Tremont Community Resilience Planning process, and Maine Won't Wait - pilot for future official staff position in both towns
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with A1, B1, C4, D1, F2, F9, F14, F15

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Pilot of staffing to implement MWW/CRP by contracting for services from ACTT
  - Very detailed scope of work with tasks and deliverables for each town and collaborative efforts
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Mount Desert and Tremont

**DATE:** 8/23/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Ambitious task list for one person working part time, but support from ACTT and well-defined tasks provided here should make it feasible.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned
  - Towns have completed or are in process of developing climate action plan
  - Both towns need capacity for implementation
  - Pilot would demonstrate value of this capacity, in time for town meetings in 2025 to vote on town support for position(s)

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well designed
  - Engagement, education and input are part of several tasks
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Well designed
  - wide scope of project can bring benefits to communities broadly
  - several tasks are targeted to at-risk populations – peer-to-peer check ins, heating/cooling centers, etc.

### Project duration – 24 months

- Very detailed timeline provided

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request:
  - \$119,790
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Yes - Towns contributing 11% cost share to Task 6 solid waste which is not on List of Community Actions
- Other notes
  - Very strong proposal

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Mount Desert-Tremont

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Tremont Town Manager
  - Tremont Selectboard
  - Mount Desert Selectboard
  - Mount Desert Finance Director
  - Mount Desert Sustainability Committee
  - A Climate to Thrive

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - Multi-community
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Contracted Personnel Support focused on list of priority actions aligned with Mount Desert Climate Action Plan, Tremont Community Resilience Planning process, and Maine Won't Wait - pilot for future official staff position in both towns
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - The Town have identified the following strategies as priorities to be addressed by the new position: A1, B1, C4, D1, F2, F9, F14, F15.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Specific tasks, deliverables and responsibilities are clearly outlined for this new position to manage.
  - Would benefit from information on who will be overseeing this position from the Towns and ACTT.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Mount Desert-Tremont

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Tasks for the Position to complete:
  - Task 1 (B1): Develop plan and identify funding support for efficiency upgrades for Mount Desert Elementary and Tremont Consolidated Schools
  - Task 2 (C4): Develop renewable energy ordinances and support ordinance adoption
  - Task 3 (F2 & F9) - Incorporate results of Tremont and Mount Desert vulnerability assessments into the next update of the EMA hazard mitigation plan and into mapping within the plan
  - Task 4 (F14): Planning process for heating/cooling centers for vulnerable residents for both towns.
  - Task 5 (F15): Develop a peer-to-peer check-in network to support vulnerable populations in Mount Desert and Tremont
  - Task 6: Identify pathways to improve current solid waste management and funding for implementation
  - Task 7 (D1): Develop town ordinances to support local food production. (Tremont-only)
  - Task 8 (A1): Develop coordinated plan for town fleet electrification and integrate plan into Mount Desert Capital Improvement Plan, Comprehensive Plan, and town budget
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve.
  - Experienced and successful partner – A Climate to Thrive

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Mount Desert recently completed a climate action plan
  - Tremont is currently completing a community resilience plan
  - NRCM has identified Tremont as one of 20 most threatened town by sea level rise
  - Towns do not have existing capacity to implement these plans and respond to climate impacts

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed
  - Each priority action will have an education/engagement component.
  - Visible examples of energy efficiency measures
  - Heating/cooling savings could benefit taxpayers
  
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes and somewhat designed
  - Identified elderly, low-income residents and children as most vulnerable
  - Several tasks are targeted to at-risk populations

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Mount Desert-Tremont

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

Project duration: 24 months

- Detailed timeline provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$119,790
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
  
- Other notes



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of North Haven

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Town of Vinalhaven
  - Fox Islands Electric Cooperative, Inc.
  - A Climate to Thrive

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Multi-community (North Haven & Vinalhaven)
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): North Haven=low; Vinalhaven=high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Fox Islands LED Streetlight Conversion and Community Education Project
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with MWW Actions B5 and H2.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1: Engage LED Streetlight Service Provider. Have reviewed one proposed scope/budget from a provider so far.
  - Task 2: Draft and submit LED Streetlight Tariff for Approval to PUC
  - Task 3: GIS Audit of existing streetlights to inform lighting plans
  - Task 4: A Climate to Thrive will provide 35 hours of Climate Ambassador Training
  - Task 5: Generate and Approve Lighting Plan through community engagement
  - Task 6: Install LED retrofits
  - Task 7: Generate and share educational materials, conduct community engagement events. Will highlight Fox Island electric Cooperative's sustainability goals, energy conservation measures, info about accessing Efficiency Maine programming, and additional climate resources. Will host 4-6 events.
  - Tasks, deliverables, timeline, and roles are detailed and reasonable.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of North Haven

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Likely to achieve desired outcomes. Experienced partners on board.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Need is well-aligned.
  - North Haven and Vinalhaven already share many resources, including their cooperative utility. Both communities feel the high costs of energy.
  - Utility board is working on strategies to address energy affordability by investing in efficiency and sustainability measures. Plan to take advantage of federal funding opportunities to install battery storage for existing wind power project.
  - Lighting project will reduce energy demand and tax burden.
  - North Haven has an active Climate Working Group which led workshops to identify community priorities. Top priorities identified include community education and energy sustainability.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - North Haven has Weekly community-wide emails, periodic community engagement events, one-on-one and small group conversations to solicit feedback regarding community actions.
  - Committed to education project as key component of work.
  - Climate Ambassadors program well detailed, will be open to all islanders. Will train volunteers who can support further community engagement.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Have identified those most impacted by climate change in their community as youth, seniors, people with limited means, and those employed in working waterfront occupations.
  - Proposal notes that events will be designed to accommodate both year-round and seasonal residents, but will prioritize engagement of those listed above. Additional detail about engagement strategies would benefit this proposal.

Project duration: 24 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$123,246
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Mostly, some detail lacking, including on Vinalhaven match dollars (\$23,049?)
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
  
- Other notes
  - Significant in-kind labor included.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of North Haven

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- When will "pending Vinalhaven match" be confirmed? Is this cash match or in-kind?

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** North Haven-Vinalhaven

**DATE:** 7/31/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Town of Vinalhaven
  - Fox Islands Electric Cooperative
  - ACTT

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes for North Haven
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): no
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): no

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Multi-community
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Fox Islands LED Streetlight Conversion and Community Education Project
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with B5, H2

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are detailed and reasonable
  - Task 1: Engage LED Streetlight Service Provider
    - One experienced vendor identified. If selected, North Haven will contract with vendor.
  - Task 2: Draft and Submit LED Streetlight Tariff for Approval by the Maine PUC
    - Revise tariff to account for 60% less usage and virtually no maintenance
  - Task 3: Conduct GIS Audit of Existing Streetlights
  - Task 4: Provide Climate Ambassador Training
    - ACTT to provide 35 hours training to climate committees, community members, HS students; outcome is 6 trained ambassadors on each island

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** North Haven-Vinalhaven

**DATE:** 7/31/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Task 5: Generate and Approve Lighting Plan through Community Engagement
  - Task 6: Install LED Retrofits
  - Task 7: Generate and Share Educational Materials; Conduct Community Engagement Events
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
    - Likely to achieve outcomes

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned with MWW
  - Consistent with North Haven's sustainability goals
  - Cost of electricity is high but stable
  - FIEC taking steps to improve efficiency and sustainability, including federal funding for battery storage
  - Will save NH and VH \$7100 and \$22,400 annually, respectively. Reduction of 62% (same for each town?) in annual energy consumption

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Climate Ambassadors program open to all islanders
  - Will seek audiences broader than typical climate-themed events
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Events will include accessibility considerations for year-round and seasonal residents, most-impacted by climate, youth, seniors, working waterfront occupations, limited mobility, childcare.

### Project duration – 24 months

- Timeline provided

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request:
  - \$123,246
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Included but not required
- Other notes
  - Tree trimming in Task 6 is not an eligible use of grant funds. Reduce award by \$28,000.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** North Haven-Vinalhaven

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Town of North Haven Select Board
  - Vinalhaven Town Manager
  - Fox Islands Electric Cooperative
  - A Climate to Thrive

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes for North Haven; No for Vinalhaven
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Vinalhaven - Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): Vinalhaven - Yes

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - Multi-community
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low (NH); high (VH)

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Fox Islands LED Streetlight Conversion and Community Education Project
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B5 and H2

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1: Engage LED Streetlight Service Provider
  - Task 2: Draft and Submit LED Streetlight Tariff for Approval by the Maine PUC
  - Task 3: Conduct GIS Audit of Existing Streetlights
  - Task 4: Provide Climate Ambassador Training
  - Task 5: Generate and Approve Lighting Plan through Community Engagement
  - Task 6: Install LED Retrofits
  - Task 7: Generate and Share Educational Materials; Conduct Community Engagement Events

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** North Haven-Vinalhaven

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Detailed and reasonable – the project tasks and deliverables were very detailed and showcase a level of expertise and knowledge to support this project.
- Roles and responsibilities were well defined.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Yes, the tasks and deliverables lay the groundwork to likely achieve the desired outcome of transitioning to LED streetlights.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned – transitioning to LED lighting will lessen energy consumption and the need for VH/NH to purchase energy off the grid.
  - Offshore islands with shared challenge of high cost of energy and energy transmission.

#### For North Haven

- Operational Expenditure (OPEX) savings = \$7,109 for converting 46 current streetlights, a reduction of 74% from the Town's current annual tariff cost of \$9,614
- Cumulative Savings over 10 years = \$71,089
- Cumulative Savings over the life of the fixtures (20 years) = \$142,178
- Annual Energy Savings = 15,677 kWh, a reduction of 62% from the Town's current consumption of 25,475 kWh

#### For Vinalhaven

- Operational Expenditure (OPEX) savings = \$22,415 for converting 144 current streetlights, a reduction of 74% from the Town's current annual tariff cost of \$30,230
- Cumulative Savings over 10 years = \$224,155
- Cumulative Savings over the life of the fixtures (20 years) = \$448,310
- Annual Energy Savings = 49,714 kWh,

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Well-Designed
  - Climate Ambassador Program – 35 hours of training to North Haven Climate Working Group and Vinalhaven Sea Level Rise Committee
    - Participants will learn about:
      - Basic climate science, including current status and global warming targets, sources of greenhouse gas emissions, and local and global climate solutions
      - Climate action in Maine: statewide, municipal, and community-driven initiatives
      - Mapping roles in climate solutions
      - Effective climate communication, and Sustainable involvement in climate solutions – climate change and mental health.
  - Goal is to have 6 trained Ambassadors on each island to share skills and knowledge
  - Additional community events and materials will also assist in outreach – community engagement to be led by Island Fellow

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** North Haven-Vinalhaven

**DATE:** 7/31/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat – no specific vulnerable/disadvantaged groups identified
    - Electric cost savings will assist all residents
    - Events will be designed to reach diverse set of residents.

Project duration: 24 months

- Detailed project timeline provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$123,246
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Tree trimming is not an eligible activity



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Northport

**DATE:** 8/8/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Sen. Chip Curry
  - Rep. Janice Dodge
  - Town administrator
  - Northport Village Corporation
  - MCOG
  - Bayside Historic Preservation Society

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Northport Coastal Stabilization Engineering Study
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with G1, G2, H1

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1: Develop Request for Proposal (RFP), interview candidates, and enter into a contract with an engineering firm
    - Town Administrator will oversee, with support from MCOG
  - Task 2: Conduct the Coastal Stabilization Study
    - Engineering firm to do work
    - Town Administrator to oversee
    - will include survey, schematic design and planning, civil engineering, landscape design, and permit applications to stabilize shoreline erosion at the identified sites: Auditorium Park, Bayview Park, Kelly Cove, and Shore Road
  - Task 3: Community outreach, education, and engagement (New outreach committee will oversee)

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Northport

**DATE:** 8/8/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Task 4: Develop Funding Strategy (Town Administrator to oversee)
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
  - Well organized scope with roles, deliverables, timelines, and outcomes

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Somewhat aligned
  - Application mentions sea level rise and climate change as a threat but would benefit from more explicit consideration of sea level rise projections and impacts in the coastal stabilization study as well as nature-based or grey-green alternatives to the town's past use of seawalls as a stabilizing strategy
    - The consultant's two scopes of work make no mention of including SLR considerations or nature-based alternative
    - If funded, require that town includes SLR considerations and nature-based alternatives in the consultant's scopes of work

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well designed
  - Will form an outreach committee to inform and collect feedback on options
  - Describes various print and digit outlets for disseminating information
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Mostly well designed, would benefit from further detail on the strategies to include vulnerable groups
  - Committee "will develop and implement strategies to inform and learn from vulnerable populations" as well as increase participation of inland residents

**Project duration – 24 months**

- Detailed timeline provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - In-kind staff and volunteer time

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Northport

**DATE:** 8/8/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Other notes
  - Includes 10% contingency on Task 2 – will need to return all unused funds

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Northport

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Northport Village Corporation (Bayside)
  - Midcoast Council of Governments (MCOG)
  - Senator Chip Curry
  - Representative Jan Dodge
  - Town Administrator
  - Bayside Historic Preservation Society

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Northport Coastal Stabilization Engineering Study
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned, targeting MWW Actions G1, G2, and H1.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable. Clear timeline.
  - Project proposal includes assessment, survey, civil engineering and landscape design, and permitting work needed to stabilize banks and limit erosion at critical public sites on Northport and Bayside's shoreline.
    - Task 1: Develop RFP and select engineering firm. Town Administrator will manage.
    - Task 2: Conduct Coastal Stabilization Study. Engineering firm + Town Administrator.
    - Task 3: Community outreach, education, and engagement. Outreach Committee will oversee.
    - Task 4: Develop funding strategy. Town Administrator to oversee.

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Northport

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve. Working closely with MCOG. Have clear understanding of tasks to be completed by engineering firm, including permitting requirements, etc.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Somewhat aligned. Missing consideration of nature-based strategies for shoreline stabilization. Further consider impacts of sea level rise on infrastructure development.
  - 
  - Several public areas in town are vulnerable to shoreline erosion that threatens town and village infrastructure, public access to water/beaches, and historic places.
  - Erosion impacts are threatening utility infrastructure at present.
  - Town has started climate resilience planning and actions, invested \$1.6 million to build new seawall to protect public boating/swimming access. Don't have fiscal cushion to support next phase of work as proposed without grant.
  - Proposal builds on this earlier/ongoing work by the community.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected.
  - Through enrollment process, town engaged more than 76 community members through surveys and public meetings, significantly more than average annual town meeting attendance.
  - Town uses a variety of channels to engage with community including websites, facebook, newsletter, announcements, calendar, physical signs, etc.
  - Host monthly "Donuts and Dialog" event to engage Bayside community. Community workshop highlighted need for additional outreach. Will form a committee of Town and Bayside stakeholders that will consult with MCOG about strategies to strengthen and expand community outreach.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat.
  - Town has had success in connecting with hard-to-reach residents through property tax bill inserts.
  - Proposal would benefit from identification of most vulnerable groups and additional strategies for engagement.
  - Additional consideration of engagement with Northport community as a whole, not just Bayside, would benefit proposal.

Project duration: 24 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Northport

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
  
- Other notes
  - Costs above grant award will be covered by the Town and Village contingency funds.
  - Significant volunteer time also included.
  - Appendix includes proposal for professional engineering services from Gartley & Dorsky Engineering.
  -

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Northport

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Senator Chip Curry
  - Representative Jan Dodge
  - James Kossuth, Town Administrator, Town of Northport
  - Janae Novotny, President, Northport Village Corporation
  - Meg Rasmussen, Community Sustainability Planner, Midcoast Council of Governments
  - Joe Reilly, President, Bayside Historic Preservation Society

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

Project title: Northport Coastal Stabilization Engineering Study

- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with G1, G2, and H1.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
- Detailed and reasonable
- Tasks were well developed and roles/responsibilities were assigned
- Task 1: Develop Request for Proposal (RFP), interview candidates, and enter into a contract with an engineering firm (Town Administrator to oversee)
- Task 2: Conduct the Coastal Stabilization Study (Engineering firm to do work; Town Administrator to oversee)
  - Survey
  - Schematic Design and Planning

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Northport

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Civil Engineering
  - Permitting
- Task 3: Community outreach, education, and engagement
- Task 4: Develop Funding Strategy
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve
  - Working with experienced partner – MCOG
  - Initial assessment of project scope has been completed by an engineering firm – Gartley and Dorsky
  - Already spoken with Doug Beck and Mathew Henion of the Land and Water Conservation Fund and intend to complete the pre-authorization by December 31, 2023 for their 2024 funding cycle.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Several public areas are vulnerable to shoreline erosion which is further impacted by climate change, threatening Town and Village infrastructure, public access to the water and beaches, and historic roots.
  - Erosion is threatening electrical infrastructure
  - Constructed a new seawall to protect the public boating and swimming dock as well as Ruggles Park and its assets above the dock – cost \$1.6 million and left no financial reserves
  - Builds on earlier and ongoing work –
    - Tree preservation
    - Management of storm debris
    - Working with MCOG to be informed on grant opportunities

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed
  - Utilize Town website, social media, monthly email newsletter, email announcements, weekly newspaper, Town Office signage, paper surveys, tax bill inserts, public meetings
    - Many activities are targeted to the Village – how is the rest of Northport engaged in the process? Are meetings ever held outside of the Village?
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimally – vulnerable/disadvantaged community members are not identified.
  - Tax bill inserts help to reach some less engaged residents.

Project duration: 24 months

- Detailed timeline provided



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Northport

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
  
- Other notes
  - Town contributing \$6,098.69 in funding and in-kind hours
  - Included engineering consultant estimate and assessment

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Norway

**DATE:** 8/15/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - none

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): no
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Spring 2022
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): yes
  - Active project will be complete in August 2023 with no expected overlap with proposed scope of work

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Solar Installation at Norway's Town Office and Energy Audit
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with C1, C7

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1 Install solar at town office/police garage
    - Coordinated by town manager
    - Generate 15% of need
  - Task 2 Energy audit of town buildings
    - Coordinated by climate committee and town manager
    - Written report with emissions data and recommendations for decarbonization
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
  - Task 1 solar array appears to have been designed

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Norway

**DATE:** 8/15/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned with MWW
  - Town spends \$13,000 on electricity for town office
  - New solar will reduce paid offtake from solar array at landfill, making capacity available to local businesses
  - Visible demonstration of town's commitment to climate mitigation and resilience
  - Energy audit report will be a foundation for targets and strategies in town's upcoming climate action plan

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderate – both projects will be discussed at selectboard meetings with opportunities for public comment
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimal – both projects can help save the town on energy costs, making more funds available for other services

**Project duration – 12 months**

- Basic timeline provided – additional detail on energy audit timeline would be helpful
- Tasks are independent

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$48,526
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Solar has design and cost, but quote not provided
  - Energy audit cost has quotes, but quote not provided
  - Remind town of federal direct pay tax credit for solar

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Norway

**DATE:** 8/20/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: None

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes, Spring 2022 grant active.
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): Yes

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Medium
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Solar Installation at Norway's Town Office and Energy Audit
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with MWW actions C1 and C7.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable. Includes 2 tasks, identifies roles and responsibilities.
    - Task 1: Solar installation by local solar installer. Oversight by town manager and code enforcement officer.
    - Task 2: Consultant will conduct an energy audit, coordinated by town's climate committee and town manager. Written report with decarbonization recommendations will be final deliverable.
      - Unsure if solar installer and/or consultant have been selected or finalized yet. Proposal notes installer likely to complete work is booking four to five months out.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve. Community has received CRP grant funding prior and understands process. Support of CEBE.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Need is well-aligned.

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Norway

**DATE:** 8/20/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Municipal buildings spend \$13,000/year on electricity. They have subscribed to a solar farm being constructed on a capped landfill in town, so in tandem their electricity costs will decrease.
- Project will serve as tangible, visible demonstration of commitment to resilience/climate mitigation.
- Further assessment of municipal energy usage will inform future policy such as emission reduction targets and identify key strategies to meet those targets.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected. Audit and solar installation will be discussed at select board meetings with opportunity for the public to ask questions. The results of the audit will be presented at these meetings.
    - Additional detail regarding engagement or advertisement of public meetings would benefit proposal.
    - Audit will serve as foundation for more robust community engagement as climate committee develops local Climate Action Plan. Thought this work is outside the scope of the proposed grant-funded project.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Proposal notes that audit and installation will benefit community as a whole through cost savings. Recognizes that audit won't reduce costs immediately, but recommendations will prioritize actions that will save the town money while reducing greenhouse gas emissions.
  - Savings will allow for additional services in town budget.
  - Proposal doesn't identify vulnerable or disadvantaged community groups, or discuss specific engagement with these groups.

Project duration: 12 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$48,526
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - N/A
- Other notes
  - Narrative notes they will seek federal tax incentive for solar installation.
  - Narrative mentions quote for energy audit, but unclear if contractor has been identified or officially selected for work.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Norway

**DATE:** 8/10/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - n/a

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

Project title: Solar Installation at Norway's Town Office and Energy Audit

- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with C1 and C7.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Roles and responsibilities were assigned.
  - Task 1: Solar installation at Town Office/Police Garage
    - 24-panel array
    - Estimated to produce 13,275 kWh/annually – 15% of town office, police garage, and fire station usage
  - Task 2: Municipal energy audit
    - Written report with emissions data and recommendations for decarbonization.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcome

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Norway

**DATE:** 8/10/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Development of renewable energy
    - Reduce Town's energy costs
    - Visible location will serve as demonstration project for residents
  - Energy audit will set groundwork for energy efficiency updates
    - Will support climate action planning process

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
  - Projects will be discussed at public selectboard meetings
  - Energy audit results will be presented to the public at selectboard meeting
  - Data will support climate action planning community outreach
  - Energy savings could make room in the town budget for more community services
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimal – vulnerable/disadvantaged groups were not identified or engaged

**Project duration:** 12 months

- Detailed timeline provided.

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$48,526
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Federal direct pay tax incentive not included
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Ogunquit

**DATE:** 7/25/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Chair, Conservation Commission
  - Chair, Sustainability Committee

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): y
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n):
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n)

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): med

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Rooftop Solar Installation – Dunaway Community Center, Ogunquit
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - C7

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Install 33kW solar on community center, roughly ½ of building's consumption
  - Task 1 Project admin – town will lead project mgt and grant admin
  - Task 2 Selection of solar developer (RFP process)
  - Task 3 System design and construction
    - Site assessment and interconnection study, evaluation of financial incentives, design-engineering-permitting, procurement and installation, interconnection and performance evaluation,
  - Task 4 Community outreach – public meetings, info sessions, print and digital material
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes



## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Ogunquit

**DATE:** 7/25/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Solid proposal with clear roles, responsibilities, timelines, deliverables, and outcomes.
- Provides roles and responsibilities for town staff, solar vendor, and SMPDC to assist with RFP
- Outcomes:
  - Produce 50% of building's energy needs
  - Reduce costs
  - Further town's GHG reduction goals
  - Lead by Example
  - Build community support for municipal and individual clean energy projects

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned with MWW
  - Dunaway Center houses town office, police, and public meeting spaces
  - Building is nearly 50 years old, hasn't been improved in 25 years
  - Project will reduce costs and build community support for future sustainability work

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Public meetings to inform and gather input about project
  - Demonstration project of financial and environmental benefits
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Participation - Identifies high proportion of older residents and seasonal residents and takes steps to include this audience
    - Materials in print and digital formats
    - In-person and virtual meeting options
    - Multiple meeting times
    - Track community meeting fatigue (how?)
    - Allow different formats for providing feedback
  - Benefits
    - General community benefits of reduced costs and GHG reductions
    - Savings may help Town invest in future sustainability efforts or address community vulnerabilities related to climate and aging population. Would benefit from a commitment or mechanism to make this happen.

### Project duration – 24 months

- Gantt chart provided
- solar array installed in conjunction with roof renovations during spring and summer of 2025

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Ogunquit

**DATE:** 7/25/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
  - Budget based on estimate from ReVision energy (though town will select a vendor through RFP process)
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Includes 30% federal ITC
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Not required but town paying for approx. 20% of project cost after ITC and CAG award
  - In kind staff time for project management and community outreach
  - SMPDC in kind for RFP assistance
  
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Ogunquit

**DATE:** 7/28/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Jennifer Walker, Chair, Ogunquit Conservation Commission
  - Marjorie Katz, Chair, Ogunquit Sustainability Committee

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Rooftop Solar Installation – Dunaway Community Center, Ogunquit
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned. Targeting Action C7, install a renewable energy project on municipal property.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Includes clear task list including deliverables; list of roles and responsibilities; bulleted list of expected outcomes; and illustrated project timeline by task.
    - Tasks 1-3 describe process of issuing RFP, selecting solar developer, system design and construction plans, permitting processes, evaluation of all financial incentives, final installation and interconnection.
    - Task 4 describes community engagement and outreach activities related to the solar project. Town will host several public meetings and information sessions.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely- have clear understanding of all the technical steps required for solar installation.

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Ogunquit

**DATE:** 7/28/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Need is well-aligned with MWW.
  - Town recently approved a municipal campus build-out which includes renovations to the Dunaway Center (currently houses the Town Office, Police Department, public meeting spaces). This will include roof updates which provides an opportunity to plan for the installation of rooftop solar on the building.
  - Pursuing municipal renewable energy was a priority identified at the partnership community meeting which will help support a town goal of reducing municipal operations and building community support for renewable energy and sustainability.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed; town will host public meetings and info sessions. Will allow community members to provide feedback in different formats and at various stages in the process.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat. Application notes that town will reinvest utility savings back into municipal operations and ensure the benefits are distributed equitably throughout the community, examples include future sustainability initiatives, community gatherings and programs at Dunaway Center, or to address vulnerabilities related to climate change and aging population. Would like to better understand how the savings will be put aside.

Project duration: 24 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
  
- Other notes
  - Received a quote from Revision Energy
  - Plan to utilize federal tax credit
  - Town will contribute \$44,690 to solar installation.
  - Town will commit in-kind services for tasks 1,2, and 4. SMPDC will provide in-kind services to assist with RFP development.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Ogunquit

**DATE:** 7/28/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Town of Ogunquit
  - Ogunquit Sustainability Committee

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n):
  - Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n):
  - No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n)
  - No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Rooftop Solar Installation – Dunaway Community Center, Ogunquit
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with C7 – reduce emissions through development of a solar array
  - Proposed array will offset roughly 50% of the Dunaway Center's annual electricity load
  - Plan to retire the RECS and claim the environmental benefits

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable – each step and related action are clearly described
  - Roles are assigned with responsibility – the Town may benefit from some additional capacity to manage the project.
  - Task 1 Project admin – town will lead project mgt and grant admin
  - Task 2 Selection of solar developer (RFP process)
  - Task 3 System design and construction

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Ogunquit

**DATE:** 7/28/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

- Site assessment and interconnection study, evaluation of financial incentives, design-engineering-permitting, procurement and installation, interconnection and performance evaluation,
- Task 4 Community outreach – public meetings, info sessions, print and digital material
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned – reduces GHG emissions with the development of renewable solar energy
  - Dunaway Center houses many key Town services – Town Office, Police Dept., public meeting spaces
  - Project will reduce municipal electricity cost and serve as an example to residents to build support for future sustainability projects

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed
    - Host in-person and online community meetings and information sessions
    - Utilize solar array as a demonstration project
    - Develop engagement materials in multiple formats
    - Schedule meetings at different times/days to include diverse set of community members
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat – includes various tactics to reach different community groups
    - Would benefit from specific strategies to reach more vulnerable community members

Project duration: 24 months

- Project timeline provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Federal Investment Tax Credit
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Ogunquit

**DATE:** 7/28/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Old Orchard Beach

**DATE:** 8/1/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Kimbark Smith, Chair, OOB CONCOM

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Medium
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Improving the Energy Efficiency of Old Orchard Beach's Municipal Facilities and Buildings: Energy Audits and Implementation Plan for Energy Efficiency Upgrades and Weatherization
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned, targeting MWW Strategies B (B1) and C (C1).

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1: Issue RFP and select consultant to conduct energy audit of municipal buildings
  - Task 2: Conduct energy audits
  - Task 3: Report findings, recommendations, and cost estimates for efficiency and weatherization upgrades
  - Task 4: Community workshop to discuss results and recommendations
  - Task 5: Develop implementation plan
  - Task 6: Community outreach
  - Clear, detailed and reasonable tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities.
  - Town staff & council will conduct RFP. Which staff?
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve. Clear goals broken into achievable segments. Team in place, but could better detail which staff will take on which pieces. Complex.



## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Old Orchard Beach

**DATE:** 8/1/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned. Need to understand current energy usage of municipal buildings and taking steps to improve efficiency of buildings was identified as priority by municipal staff, board, committee members, and broader community.
  - Currently, OOB tracks annual energy costs for municipal buildings and facilities, but does not have information about the relative cost of different types of energy usage of municipal buildings and facilities. Further, the Town does not have information about the appropriateness of current energy usage based on the facility/building size and type. The Town also lacks information and guidance about what steps it could take to reduce energy usage and cost by improving energy efficiency and weatherization of municipal facilities/buildings.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed. Community engagement and outreach materials will be designed with priority populations (elderly, disabled, households below poverty level) in mind and will be tailored to OOB's unique demographic conditions.
  - Community workshops will engage residents with audit results and recommendations. Workshops will be well publicized. Public comment will directly inform energy efficiency implementation plan.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat describes. Proposal notes benefit of potential projects will reduce energy costs which can be redirected toward other community services. Additional detail/commitment regarding redistribution of savings would benefit proposal.

Project duration: 12 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$33,500
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Small discrepancy on Task 4.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
  
- Other notes
  - Town staff time provided as in-kind match.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Old Orchard Beach

**DATE:** 8/1/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Chair, Conservation Committee?

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): y
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): med
- SVI (low, med, high): med

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Improving the Energy Efficiency of Old Orchard Beach's Municipal Facilities and Buildings: Energy Audits and Implementation Plan for Energy Efficiency Upgrades and Weatherization
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with B1, C1

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Scope is detailed and reasonable
  - Task 1: Issue RFP and Select Consultant to Conduct Energy Audits of Six Municipal Buildings
    - Town staff and council members will conduct RFP
  - Task 2: Conduct Energy Audits
    - Town Hall, police department facility, fire department facility, Public Works building, wastewater treatment facility, and public library
    - ASHRAE Level 2 requirements, which results in more detailed energy calculations and added financial analysis of proposed energy measures
  - Task 3: Report of Findings, Recommendations, and Cost Estimates for Energy Efficiency Upgrades/Weatherization

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Old Orchard Beach

**DATE:** 8/1/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Task 4: Community Workshop to Discuss Audit Results and Recommendations
- Task 5: Development of Implementation Plan
- Task 6: Community Outreach
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes – tasks and outcomes are clear and detailed.
  - Would benefit from detail on which staff will be involved and their roles.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned with MWW
  - Improving energy efficiency in town buildings was a priority from enrollment workshops, to reduce emissions and save money
  - Information from the audit will inform future investment and building operation decisions

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well designed education and communication
  - Higher proportions of residents over age 65, with disability, or below poverty level
  - Print and digital communications/materials in plain language
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat
  - Application states that the broad community will benefit because energy savings can be redirected toward other community services. Would benefit from more detail on these services or a mechanism to ensure savings are redirected to these services

**Project duration -12 months**

- Timeline provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$33,500
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Task 4 in narrative contains a cost typo
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Not required but in-kind staff time is provided
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Old Orchard Beach

**DATE:** 8/2/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - OOB Conservation Commission

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

Project title: Improving the Energy Efficiency of Old Orchard Beach's Municipal Facilities and Buildings: Energy Audits and Implementation Plan for Energy Efficiency Upgrades and Weatherization

- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B1 and C1.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Energy audit of 6 municipal facilities - Town Hall, police department facility, fire department facility, Public Works building, wastewater treatment facility, and public library
  - Audits will meet ASHRAE Level 2 requirements
  - Roles and responsibilities are clearly assigned
  - Task 1: Issue RFP and Select Consultant to Conduct Energy Audits of Six Municipal Buildings
  - Task 2: Conduct Energy Audits
  - Task 3: Report of Findings, Recommendations, and Cost Estimates for Energy Efficiency Upgrades/Weatherization
  - Task 4: Community Workshop to Discuss Audit Results and Recommendations

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Old Orchard Beach

**DATE:** 8/2/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Task 5: Development of Implementation Plan
- Task 6: Community Outreach
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve desired outcomes.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Improving energy efficiency of municipal buildings identified as a priority during community workshop
  - Town currently lacks information and guidance to make these improvements.
  - Project will serve as an example for residents and demonstrate commitment to climate action.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed
  - Digital and print outreach materials
  - Community workshop to review energy audit and prioritize implementation
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Identified people over 65, residents with disabilities, and households below the poverty line as most vulnerable/disadvantaged.
  - Outreach materials and tactics will be designed to target these groups.
  - Mentioned redirecting savings toward other community services, but would benefit from an implementation plan.

**Project duration:** 12 months

- Detailed timeline provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$33,500
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Orono

**DATE:** 8/18/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  -

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): no
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Spring 2022 and Fall 2022
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): yes

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Executing Projects Identified in the Municipal Building Energy Audit (Level 1)
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with B3

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1 Birch Street School– upgrade thermostats, install heat pump water heater, install natural gas boiler, spray foam foundation walls
    - Cannot fund natural gas boiler
  - Task 2 Keith Anderson Community House – upgrade thermostats, replace front doors, install window inserts
  - Task 3 Water Pollution Control Facility level 3 energy audit
  - Task 4 Town Hall – design new HVAC system, install heat pump water heater
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Orono

**DATE:** 8/18/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Well-aligned
- Town spends \$270,000 annually on energy costs

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimally considered
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimally considered

**Project duration – 12 months**

- Basic timeline provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Budget narrative does not include cost justifications
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes – EMT heat pump water heater rebate
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Task 1 gas boiler is not an eligible use of funds
  - Would benefit from additional justification of costs

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Orono

**DATE:** 8/22/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Orono Town Manager

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes, active grants from Spring 2022 and Fall 2022.
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): Yes

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Large
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Executing Projects Identified in the Municipal Building Energy Audit (Level 1)
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with MWW action B3.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Scope is reasonable. Project coordinators/roles are identified. Timeline included.
  - Unclear if WindowDressers event is part of grant proposal or just happening during the same time period as the grant.
  - Seeking funds to execute low-cost projects in 4 buildings identified by energy audit of municipal buildings from prior Community Action Grant.
    - Birch Street School
      - Programmable thermostats
      - Heat pump water heater
      - Boiler upgrade to natural gas boiler (Not eligible)
      - Spray foam
    - Keith Anderson Community House
      - Programmable thermostat
      - Replace front doors
      - Install WindowDressers inserts
    - Water Pollution Control Facility
      - ASHRAE Level 3 energy audit



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Orono

**DATE:** 8/22/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Town Hall
  - Evaluate cooling system
  - Heat pump water heater
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve. Projects result of energy audit. Have clear municipal team in place to work with contractors.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Need is well-aligned. Proposed tasks were identified by an energy audit of municipal buildings.
  - Town spends \$270,000 on energy costs annually.
  - Proposal prioritizes upgrades that reduce GHG emissions, lead by example, and will reduce taxpayer dollars spent on energy.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimal.
  - The Environmental Services Coordinator met with the Environmental Committee during a public meeting to discuss priority actions from the energy audit.
  - Proposal doesn't describe specific community engagement activities related to project implementation/post install.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Proposal does not identify or address vulnerable or disadvantaged groups in the project scope.

Project duration: 12 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Budget narrative doesn't include costs, but does list tasks.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - EMT rebates included for heat pump water heaters.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - N/A
- Other notes
  - Gas boiler upgrade not eligible.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Orono

**DATE:** 8/22/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Orono Town Manager

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): Yes

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Executing Projects Identified in the Municipal Building Energy Audit (Level 1)
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B3.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Partially described
  - Tasks will align with energy audit's low-cost priorities, but these items are not listed in Project Description.
    - Budget Narrative includes more detailed tasks
      - Task 1: Birch Street School (Senior Citizen Center) – upgrade thermostats, install heat pump water heater, install natural gas boiler, spray foam foundation walls
      - Task 2: Keith Anderson Community House – upgrade thermostats, replace front doors, install WindowDressers inserts
      - Task 3: Water Pollution Control Facility – ASHRAE level 3 energy audit
      - Task 4: Town Hall – design updated HVAC system, install heat pump water heater

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Orono

**DATE:** 8/22/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Environmental Service Coordinator, energy audit consultant, and Public Works Director will lead the project.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve based on the project descriptions in the budget narrative.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Energy efficiency upgrades will reduce GHG emissions, save money, and help the Town lead by example.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimal
  - Discussed during Town committee meetings.
  - Would benefit from a communications strategy to residents on why the Town is making these improvements and how the Town is leading by example.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimal
  - Vulnerable/disadvantaged community members were not identified or engaged.

**Project duration:** 12 months

- Timeline provided.

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Costs not included in budget narrative
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes – EMT heat pump water heater rebate
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Energy audit with priority recommendations attached.
  - Task 1 isn't eligible (gas boiler)

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Otisfield

**DATE:** 8/18/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Otisfield Community School
  - EMA director

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): no
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Fall 2022
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): no
  - Expects limited overlap of the two grant timelines

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Energy Sustainability Plan, Student Pollinator Garden, and Programs to address Extreme Temperatures
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with C1, C7
  - Moderately aligned with F14 and H4

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Task 1 Municipal energy audit to inform solar predevelopment
    - 1.1 Hire consultant for audit and energy plan, overseen by selectboard
    - 1.2 CEBE will assess system design needs and potential site for solar project
  - Task 2 Elementary school pollinator garden
    - Stipend for garden manager. Overseen by principal.
    - 2.1 garden design and prep for planting
    - 2.2 pollinator education
  - Task 3 Implementation of extreme temperature program
    - 3.1 community hall improvements for warming/cooling center (improvements do not appear to be energy related) and digital sign
    - 3.2 emergency kits and education

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Otisfield

**DATE:** 8/18/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- 3.3 townwide mailer about emergency kits and community hall as warming/cooling center
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Task 1 is well aligned
  - Task 2 and 3 are moderately aligned
    - Would like to see more detail about climate education associated with garden project
    - The digital sign seems less aligned with MWW than the emergency kits and mailers

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Well-designed for garden and extreme temps planning. Minimal community participation in energy audit and solar design.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Well designed for extreme temps plan

### Project duration – 12 months

- Detailed timeline provided

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request:
  - \$45,596
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Error in Task 3 narrative calculation overstates cost by approx. \$400
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes, error included
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Volunteer time
  - Partial funding for tasks 1 and 2 and 3.2-3.3 ?

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Otisfield

**DATE:** 8/22/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Otisfield Community School, MSAD 17
  - Otisfield Emergency Management Director/Fire Department

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes, fall 2022 grant is active.
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Energy Sustainability Plan, Student Pollinator Garden, and Programs to Address Extreme Temperatures
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with MWW actions C1, C7, F14, and H4.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable. Includes roles and responsibilities, as well as timeline.
    - Task 1: Energy Audit
      - 1.1: Gather data to inform audit. Develop energy sustainability plan including report of energy usage, assessment of costs and benefits, recommended roadmap for next steps.
      - 1.2: Solar predevelopment study on town property to be led by CEBE Energy Working Group.
    - Task 2: Pollinator Garden
      - 2.1: Finalize draft garden design with student input. Landscape architect to be contracted and prepare beds.
      - 2.2: Pollinator education to be led by teachers with support from Outdoor Learning Coordinator.

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Otisfield

**DATE:** 8/22/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Task 3: Improve Extreme temperatures programs [May not be able to fund all components of this task. Would like to see more detail about extreme temperature plan]
  - 3.1: Community Hall Improvements including activity area, extreme weather tote/materials, electric sign.
  - 3.2: Pilot emergency weather kit program.
  - 3.3: Outreach to community via bulk mailer.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Somewhat likely to achieve. Unsure what components of task 3 could be funded with Community Action Grant.
  - Three distinct projects, but have several project partners (including CEBE) and roles identified.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Need is mostly well-aligned.
  - Will address identified gaps in town resilience.
  - Energy audit and solar predevelopment study will help town strategically implement future efficiency and electrification projects.
  - Solar study will help model responsible municipal solar development in community that has concerns about large community solar farms.
  - Encourage community members to utilize cooling/heating center or stay safe if they can't reach shelter.
  - Pollinator garden will support outdoor education that will provide hands on learning and climate resilient ecosystems.
  - Task 3, less aligned with MWW.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed.
  - Emergency shelter project targeted towards engaging most vulnerable and providing opportunities for feedback.
  - Pollinator garden will engage community school families and could be site of future community outreach and programming.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Elderly and those with mobility issues identified as most vulnerable.

Project duration: 12 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$45,596
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Otisfield

**DATE:** 8/22/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Some errors in budget narrative math. Believe Task 3 actually costs \$25,764.
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - N/A
  
- Other notes



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Otisfield

**DATE:** 8/22/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Otisfield Community School
  - Otisfield Fire Department

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Energy Sustainability Plan, Student Pollinator Garden, and Programs to Address Extreme Temperatures
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with C1, C7, F14 and H4.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Project tasks and deliverables are clear and well developed.
  - Roles and responsibilities for each task are defined.
  - Task 1: Municipal energy audit
    - 1.1 Data gathering and development of energy sustainability plan
    - 1.2 Solar predevelopment study
  - Task 2: Pollinator garden at community school
    - 2.1 Garden design and planting
    - 2.2 Pollinator education
  - Task 3: Implementation and Improvement of Extreme Temperature Program

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Otisfield

**DATE:** 8/22/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- 3.1 Community hall (emergency shelter) improvements – activity center, purchase and storage of emergency provisions, sign-in system, electric sign
  - 3.2 Emergency weather kit pilot program – assemble 40 emergency weather kits to distribute to at-risk community members
  - 3.3 Extreme weather education and communication to residents
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
    - Likely to achieve
    - Experienced partner – CEBE

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Task 1 well-aligned, tasks 2 and 3 moderately aligned.
  - Energy audit and solar predevelopment will lay groundwork for transition to clean energy
  - Extreme temperature program better prepares the Town to support residents during extreme weather events
    - 22% of population is over 65
    - 27% of HH report a disability
  - Pollinator garden supports outdoor educational opportunities and increases students' systems thinking skills

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed
  - Communication tactics focused on most vulnerable populations that may not have access to internet/computers
    - Mailers
    - Electronic sign
    - Written feedback
  - Pollinator garden will engage youth audience and provide site for future community events
  - Community engagement is planned to select solar site after predevelopment study is complete
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes and well-designed
  - Identified elderly, disabled and most rural residents as the most vulnerable.
  - Targeted communications strategy to engage those groups.

**Project duration:** 12 months

- Detailed timeline provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$45,596

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Otisfield

**DATE:** 8/22/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Task 3.1 in narrative is miscalculated
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
  
- Other notes
  - \$6,000 stipend for garden steward included in the cost

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Penobscot

**DATE:** 8/8/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - 1. Penobscot Select Board
  - 2. Senator Nicole Grohoski
  - 3. Representative Ron Russell
  - 4. Bailey Bowden, Bagaduce River Monitor
  - 5. Hans Carlson, Executive Director, Blue Hill Heritage Trust
  - 6. Ciona Ulbrich, Senior Project Manager, Maine Coast Heritage Trust
  - 7. Jeremy Bell, Climate Adaptation Program Director - Maine, The Nature Conservancy

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Penobscot Mill Creek Tidal Marsh Resilience Project
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with E1, E3-E10

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Partially described, would benefit from additional detail on tasks, roles, deliverables
  - Task 1 Hire a consultant (“project team”) to develop:
    - a comprehensive plan for designing, engineering, permitting and implementing the Mill Creek Tidal Marsh Resilience Project
    - a schematic design at the 15% level
    - a corollary cost estimate
    - recommendations – throughout the one-year contract period -- regarding government and non-government funding opportunities.
  - Task 2 Hire a part-time project manager
    - May assist with future grant applications

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Penobscot

**DATE:** 8/8/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Unclear what the Resilience Project actually entails. What are the components that will be designed and engineered?
- DOT should be involved in any study of state roads and culverts
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Unclear what outcomes project is seeking
  - Project manager to assist town with capacity, complexity, coordinator of multiple partners

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Project needs are well aligned, but the project scope is underdeveloped
  - Salt pile leaches minerals into adjacent marsh
  - Underperforming culvert inhibits aquatic organism passage and floods state road, causing lengthy detours and erodes public safety/services
  - Town has requested a DOT Village Partnership Initiative

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimally considered – missed opportunity for community engagement and education about project designs and outcomes
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Community is high SVI, benefits expected to accrue to all socio-economic strata of town
  - Minimal engagement of vulnerable community members

### Project duration – 12 months

- Basic timeline provided

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Minor error in Task 1 amount in narrative
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Would benefit from more detailed cost estimates

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Penobscot

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Bagaduce River Monitor
  - Blue Hill Heritage Trust
  - Maine Coast Heritage Trust
  - The Nature Conservancy
  - Senator Nicole Grohoski
  - Representative Donald Russell

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small
- SVI (low, med, high): High

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Penobscot Mill Creek Tidal Marsh Resilience Project
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned, targeting MWW Actions E1 and E3-10.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Minimally described. Proposal would benefit from a more detailed task list and identification of specific town staff that will oversee the project. Somewhat unclear what particular focuses of resilience project are.
  - Seeking \$50,000 to engage a project team to work with the town and project partners to develop an action-ready plan that positions the community to apply for federal or other available funds to complete the Mill Creek Tidal Marsh Resilience Project on the Bagaduce River. [What types of actions are they anticipating? Unclear from proposal.]
  - Deliverables include:
    - Comprehensive plan for designing, engineering, permitting, implementing resilience project
    - Schematic design at 15% level
    - A cost estimate

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Penobscot

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Recommendations regarding government and non-government funding opportunities
- Town of Penobscot will oversee project with assistance of part-time project manager.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Unable to determine.
  - Have good partners on board, but additional detail needed to understand scope of work.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned with MWW Strategy E, but final outcomes unclear.
  - Town sand and salt pile not well-located. Currently leaches salt and insoluble chemicals into Mill Creek Marsh and public works vehicles encroach on marsh lands.
  - Town also seeking funding currently from Woodard & Curran Foundation and Maine Natural Resource Conservation Program for revegetation of marshland.
  - Fish passage impacted due to inadequate culvers.
  - Roadways at risk of flooding. Detours add significant mileage (35 mile detour).
  - Work may complement a future Maine DOT Village Partnership Initiative project that has been requested by the town.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimally considered.
  - Shared maps and fliers describing project during the Alewife Celebration in May. Project representatives explained project and solicited public feedback.
  - Proposal doesn't explain how community will be engaged during the project.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimally described. Doesn't identify vulnerable populations or discuss engagement strategies.
  - Proposal notes that benefits from roadway and culvert improvements would accrue to all socio-economic strata. And would ensure uninterrupted passage of vehicles including emergency vehicles through town.

Project duration: 12 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Budget worksheet is correct.
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Budget narrative notes different allocation for professional services.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Penobscot

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - N/A
- Other notes
  - \$7,200 requested for part-time project manager for project administration. Clear tasks or deliverables for this person and a description of how they will be hired would benefit this proposal.
  - Narrative notes town has applied for additional funding from the Woodard & Curran Foundation to fund a pilot project to restore a portion of the marsh.
  - Would benefit for more information on cost estimate (\$42,000).



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Penobscot

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Penobscot Select Board
  - Senator Nicole Grohoski
  - Representative Ron Russell
  - Bailey Bowden, Bagaduce River Monitor
  - Hans Carlson, Executive Director, Blue Hill Heritage Trust
  - Ciona Ulbrich, Senior Project Manager, Maine Coast Heritage Trust
  - Jeremy Bell, Climate Adaptation Program Director - Maine, The Nature Conservancy

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Penobscot Mill Creek Tidal Marsh Resilience Project
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with Strategy E.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Partially described
  - Would benefit from more detailed tasks and deliverables.
  - Multiple partners and people were listed as being involved in the project but roles and responsibilities were not assigned.
  - Task 1: Comprehensive plan for designing, engineering, permitting and implementing the Mill Creek Tidal Marsh Resilience Project

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Penobscot

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Task 2: Schematic design at the 15% level
- Task 3: Corollary cost estimate
- Task 4: Recommendations – throughout the one-year contract period – regarding government and non-government funding opportunities.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Unable to determine – lack of task detail and process make it challenging to determine whether desired outcomes will be met.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Part of a larger project to protect and restore the Mill Creek Marsh
  - Other efforts underway include:
    - Moving Town sand-salt pile away from the marsh
    - Stabilizing areas impacted by DPW operations and truck movements
  - Failing culvert impacts aquatic organism passage and increases flood risk on a key connector roadway, causing a 35-mile detour – DOT classifies the culvert condition as “fair”
  - Applied for Maine DOT Village Partnership

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimally considered
  - Climate Resilience committee prioritized this project and solicited public feedback during a public event.
  - No community outreach planned during grant period.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Vulnerable/disadvantaged groups engagement not included in the proposal.

**Project duration:** 12 months

- General timeline provided.

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Penobscot

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Other notes
  - Blue Hill Heritage Trust applied to the Woodard & Curran Foundation for a pilot project to restore a small portion of the marsh.
  - The Nature Conservancy provided technical assistance.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Penobscot Nation

**DATE:** 8/10/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - none

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? tribal
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Wabanaki Workforce Development for Residential Energy Efficiency
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with B1, D4, H1, H2, H5

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Scope is detailed and reasonable
  - Task 1 Form a Penobscot Nation Resilience Committee
    - Identifies roles and outcomes for committee members
    - Members will receive a stipend
  - Task 2 Energy Efficiency Training
    - Two tribal members who previously participated in a WindowDressers build will be trained, certified, and register as a qualified vendor with Efficiency Maine to conduct air sealing & assessments. Will be eligible for EM training scholarships
    - The new team will assess and air seal 9 residences owned by PN Housing Department
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
  - Builds on existing efforts and relationships in energy efficiency
  - Project team and committee member roles are clearly identified

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Penobscot Nation

**DATE:** 8/10/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- EPA funding through Dr Klein to hire a Sustainable Energy Coordinator for 4 years to serve all 5 Wabanaki communities
- Project team will work with trainees to establish an LLC or nonprofit to sustain their work.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned
  - Project is a pilot that can be extended via a pending \$5M intertribal proposal to DOE
  - Population of Indian Island is far below statewide median income, 19% are below poverty line and 10% unemployment rate
  - Housing is heavily reliant on heating oil, averaging \$3000/yr/home
  - Asthma rates are twice as high due to smoke from wood stoves and mold
  - Growing a skilled local workforce and building capacity to lower oil use in homes

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well designed – formation of new committee and broad outreach to tribal community members planned
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Well designed - Pilot will target most vulnerable community members to receive assessment and air sealing services

### Project duration – 24 months

- Detailed timeline

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Task 1 total in narrative does not match Table 2 or budget worksheet
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Not required
  - in-kind, EM scholarship
- Other notes
  - Task 3 includes tribe's 38.47% indirect cost rate

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Penobscot Nation

**DATE:** 8/10/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Tribal Government
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: None

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Tribal Government
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small
- SVI (low, med, high): High

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Wabanaki Workforce Development for Residential Energy Efficiency
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with MWW actions B1, D4, H1, H2, and H5.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable. Clear roles and responsibilities. Includes timeline with target deadlines for each subtask.
  - Proposed work builds on and enhances ongoing resilience-building efforts.
    - Task 1: Establish Penobscot Nation Resilience Committee
    - Task 2: Energy Efficiency Training – energy assessments and air sealing in local housing.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes.
  - Have strong support from Sharon Klein who is experienced in program design, grant administration, and outreach/engagement with communities.
  - Proposed project work builds on several ongoing efforts to build resiliency.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Need is well-aligned with MWW.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Penobscot Nation

**DATE:** 8/10/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Penobscot nation has household income lower than Maine median and poverty and unemployment rates significantly higher than Maine median rates.
- Housing stock relies heavily on heating oil and many houses on reservation have poor air quality/inadequate HVAC systems. LIHEAP critical resources for community.
- High asthma rates in community
- Project will reduce heating oil use, reducing cost and environmental and public health impacts associated with 10 homes owned by the Tribal Government.
- Will build capacity/skilled workforce in community.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Well-designed.
  - Engagement plan well described.
  - Will form new citizen committee and conduct outreach through multiple channels.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Well-designed.
  - Will target outreach to most vulnerable people in Penobscot Nation, including the elderly and low-income who live in tribal housing. Will work closely with LIHEAP administrators and elderly centers to ensure these populations receive services and are engaged.

Project duration: 24 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Believe cost for Task 1 should be \$26,000 based on narrative description.
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Check Task 1 costs.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Will utilize Efficiency Maine Training grant.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - N/A
- Other notes
  - Proposal notes that current cost estimates are tentative and may be adjusted as the committee is formed, depending on the needs and priorities identified by the new members.
  - In-kind volunteer time from Sharon Klein, Jasmine Lamb, Gary Fearon, and Wabanaki Sustainable Energy Coordinator valued at \$28, 539.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Penobscot Nation

**DATE:** 8/9/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Tribal Government
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - n/a

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - Tribal
- Region(s): 4
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

Project title: Wabanaki Workforce Development for Residential Energy Efficiency

- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B1, D4, H1, H2, and H5.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Tasks and deliverables are clearly defined and roles/responsibilities are assigned.
  - Task 1: Penobscot Nation Resilience Committee (November 2023-August 2025)
    - Recruit participants – will receive stipend
    - Structure committee and roles
  - Task 2: Energy Efficiency Training (May 2024-August 2025)
    - Recruit two Penobscot citizens to complete Building science Principles training and certification and become Efficiency Maine vendors.
    - Once trained, the team will conduct energy assessments and air sealing on 9 Penobscot Nation residences and recommend additional weatherization solutions.
    - This is a pilot program with the intention of expanding it once more funding is available.



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Penobscot Nation

**DATE:** 8/9/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes.
  - Project partners have implemented a similar program at another Tribal Nation.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - 19.1% of Penobscot Nation reservation residents live below the federal poverty line (compared to 11.5% in Maine) with a 10% unemployment rate (compared to 2.8% in Maine overall)
  - Housing stock currently relies on heating oil.
  - Mold/poor air quality in homes contributes to a high asthma rate.
  - Program will develop a skilled workforce and build capacity to address these issues.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed
  - Community engagement strategy outlines in Task 1
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes – as outlined in the *Need* section – reservation residents are considered vulnerable/disadvantaged and this program will address their specific needs.

**Project duration:** 24 months

- Detailed timeline provided.

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Task 1 in the narrative doesn't match table 2 or the budget worksheet
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - \$28,539 (67%) in-kind match

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Phillips

**DATE:** 8/1/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Town manager
  - Road commissioner

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Update office HVAC to heat pumps and LED lighting. Update town garage lighting to LED.
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with B2, B4

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Scope of work is detailed and reasonable
  - Task 1: Office and Garage Lighting Upgrades.
    - Vendor quote obtained, with project specifications
    - Will purchase equipment through Efficiency Maine approved distributor
  - Task 2: Office HVAC Energy Efficiency Upgrade.
    - Estimate includes equipment, installation, electrical work and piping
    - Coordinated by town manager
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Phillips

**DATE:** 8/1/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned
  - small, rural, aging community that often faces extreme storms, floods, extreme heat, and is considered socially vulnerable
  - Community members were hesitant about participating in the CRP but this project can demonstrate benefits of simple steps and cost savings

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderate
  - Cost savings will be posted publicly and distributed to taxpayers to demonstrate the savings
  - Visibility of heat pumps in public spaces will build public awareness
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Not addressed

Project duration – 12 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$30,742.73
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Specifications do not meeting EM qualifications. EM-qualified vendor
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Not required, in-kind provided
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Phillips

**DATE:** 8/1/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Maureen Haley, Town Manager
  - Glenn Gaudette, Road Commissioner

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Update office HVAC to heat pumps and LED lighting. Update town garage lighting to LED
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with MWW actions B2 and B4.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1: Install town office and garage lighting upgrades
  - Task 2: Install ducted heat pump system in town office.
  - Scope is detailed and reasonable.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Scope is likely to achieve desired outcomes. Have installer quote for work.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned. Phillips is a small, rural, aging community that often faces extreme storms, floods, extreme heat, and is considered socially vulnerable. The project proposal was selected as a priority due to its relative simplicity and effectiveness. Through the project, town hopes that citizens will see new technology and start to implement them in the

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Phillips

**DATE:** 8/1/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

community more broadly and start a larger conversation about climate change and resiliency.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Hosted community workshop in January 2023. Advertised broadly and provided a worksheet with the chance to provide feedback for those who couldn't attend in person.
  - Will demonstrate benefit of project by posting savings to try to start new conversations.
  - Moderately expected.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimally considered. Proposal notes that cost savings would be equally distributed among all taxpayers in town and would all patrons of municipal buildings to experience benefits of the technology.
  - Doesn't identify vulnerable population in detail, but mentions rural, aging population.

Project duration: 12 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$30,742.73
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Proposal claims that installer said project wasn't eligible. Check this.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - Town will provide \$1,600 total in-kind staff time to manage project work.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Phillips

**DATE:** 8/2/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Phillips Town Manager and Staff
  - Phillips Road Commissioner

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

Project title: Update office HVAC to heat pumps and LED lighting. Update town garage lighting to LED.

- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B2 and B4.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Roles/responsibilities included in budget narrative.
  - Task 1: Office and Garage Lighting Upgrades.
  - Task 2: Office HVAC Energy Efficiency Upgrade.
    - Reduce use of fossil fuel furnace but installing heat pumps
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve desired outcome of decreasing energy usage and cost and reducing reliance on fossil fuels.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Phillips

**DATE:** 8/2/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Small, rural, aging community that has been experiencing extreme storms, floods, and heat.
  - Socially vulnerable
  - Community is hesitant to acknowledge climate change or take climate action. This project will demonstrate the benefits of energy efficiency to residents.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
  - Outreach was performed to engage residents in community workshops to educate and prioritize actions.
  - Some outreach planned to share benefits from project once complete.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat – small, rural, aging community that would benefit from reduced energy costs.

**Project duration:** 12 months

- Project timeline narrative included.

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$30,742.73
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - No – relook at Efficiency Maine incentives
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Vendor estimates included

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Phippsburg

**DATE:** 8/8/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Sen. Eloise Vitelli
  - Rep. Allison Hepler

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Sam Day Hill Road Hydrologic and Hydraulic Analysis
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with G1

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Task 1 Background data collection and stakeholder outreach
    - Includes MCC SLR projections
  - Task 2 Field Survey
  - Task 3 Hydrologic and Hydraulic modelling
    - Models will include effect of SLR on infrastructure
    - Will develop one model scenario for current conditions and 1.5 ft SLR and may consider nature-based solutions
  - Task 4 Final report and community presentation
    - Permitting, land acquisition not included
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Somewhat likely to achieve outcomes
  - Well defined task list



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Phippsburg

**DATE:** 8/8/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Would benefit from discussion of town staff project management and consultant roles
- Unclear if consultant been identified or hired, or how it will be selected

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned
  - Sam Day Hill Road is critical town road for solid waste management and emergency access. It experiences flooding and damage during extreme events, costing the town \$50,000 in repairs over time

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well designed - Town and Conservation Commission will hold at least one hybrid public meeting early in the project and another later to communicate the results
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Well designed with personal invitations and transportation to vulnerable residents living on Sam Day Hill Road

**Project duration – 12 months**

- Basic timeline provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
  - Are consultant costs derived from a vendor quote?
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  -

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Phippsburg

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Senator Eloise Vitelli
  - Representative Allison Hepler

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Sam Day Hill Road Hydrologic and Hydraulic Analysis
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with MWW Action G1.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Scope is detailed and reasonable. Identification of specific town officials that will be engaged would be helpful.
  - Town will engage a hydraulic engineering professional to develop modeling that evaluates present and future erosion and flooding and impacts to resiliency.
    - Task 1: Background data collection & stakeholder outreach
    - Task 2: Field survey
    - Task 3: Hydrologic & Hydraulic modeling
    - Task 4: Final report and community presentation
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve. Have clear outline of project steps that will position town in good place to make policy/action decisions to build resiliency based on plan recommendations.
  - Has consultant been identified? Unclear.

Need

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Phippsburg

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned.
  - Sam Day Hill Road is a critical town roadway for solid waste management and emergency management access.
  - The road experiences periodic upland and coastal damage from storm events with flooding and overtopping, as well as erosion. Has cost the town \$50,000 plus in periodic repairs.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Well-designed.
  - Town hosted a series of public meetings during the enrollment process to solicit ideas for priority projects.
  - During task 1, at least one public meeting will be held. It will be advertised through multiple avenues and those that live on Sam Day Hill Road will be personally invited by the Conservation Commission.
  - Task 4 will include an additional meeting and community presentation summarizing the methods, findings, and recommendations from the study.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Well-designed. Proposal notes engagement will make efforts to accommodate individuals needing transportation to attend and the elderly. Will engage broader community but have particular focus on those most vulnerable to impacts of flooding and erosion on Sam Hill Day Road.

Project duration: 12 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
  
- Other notes
  - Proposal includes in-kind coordination, support, event materials, engagement efforts from Conservation Commission and Town Officials.
  - Detail on where cost estimates came from would benefit proposal.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Phippsburg

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Senator Eloise Vitelli
  - Rep. Allison Hepler

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Sam Day Hill Road Hydrologic and Hydraulic Analysis
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with G1.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Task 1.a. Background Data Collection
    - FEMA flood mapping
    - Maine Geological Survey
    - Maine Climate Council
  - Task 1.b. Stakeholder Engagement
    - Identify impacted stakeholders and determine needs
  - Task 2: Field Survey – assess current conditions
  - Task 3: Hydrologic & Hydraulic Modeling
    - Examine streamflow, water surface elevations, water depths, and velocities
    - Explore possible solutions

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Phippsburg

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Task 4.a. Final Report – summarize findings and provide conceptual modifications
- Task 4.b. Community Presentation of the report
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve desired outcomes
  - Detailed and thoughtful process.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Sam Day Hill Rd is critical to town solid waste management and emergency access
    - Only road connecting the lower eastern portion of the peninsula to the transfer station
    - Shortest route between emergency services and houses along the town's southeastern edge
  - Road is already experiencing periodic storm damage, erosion and flooding where it crosses a tidal pond
  - Town has spent \$50,000 in road repairs to date

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Well-designed
  - Plan to host public meeting with community and study consultant at the beginning of the process
    - Designed to accommodate all community members – remote option and scheduled at a time deemed most appropriate for working residents and families with children
    - Direct outreach to residents on Sam Day Hill Rd.
  - Town will put up signage about the project
  - A second public meeting will be held to review findings
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat – specific accommodations will be made for elderly and other mobility-challenged residents to attend meetings

Project duration: 12 months

- Basic timeline provided.

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Phippsburg

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Town and Conservation Commission offering in-kind hours and refreshments at public meetings
  - Would be helpful to understand how the consulting costs were derived. No vendor proposal included.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Poland

**DATE:** 8/4/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Selectboard
  - Sen. Eric Brakey
  - Rep. David Boyer
  - Chair, Library Trustees
  - President, Poland Spring Resort

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - A.B. Ricker Library HVAC Project
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with B4

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1 Install heat pump system in library
  - Task is part larger remodel project,
  - Town manager will oversee the project
  - Confirm that library is owned by town
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Heat pump system is part of energy efficiency improvements to be included in library remodel.
  - Remodel delays, including planning board approval, could delay heat pump installation

Need

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Poland

**DATE:** 8/4/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Part of library remodel on first floor, will replace aging original heat system.
  - Remodel and HVAC project still requires Planning Board approval, expected fall 2023
  - Library is town warming center. Project will make it a more “viable” emergency shelter.
  - Town has completed other energy projects – solar on salt barn, PPA, LED streetlights and interior lighting, etc...

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Describes prioritization workshop
  - Misses opportunity to educate library users on energy efficiency technologies and benefits
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Benefits all users of the library
  - Minimal engagement of vulnerable/disadvantaged residents

Project duration – 24 months

- Timeline for full remodel provided, heat pump installation expected mid-late 2024

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - yes
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Not required
  - Town is paying and bonding for \$1M cost of remodel, which includes heat pump system
- Other notes
  - Cost estimate of \$250,000. Has not gone to bid. Unclear how detailed the designs are.
  - Suggest town reapplies when designs and costs are known, and project has planning board approval.



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Poland

**DATE:** 8/2/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Town of Poland Select Board
  - Senator Eric Brakey
  - Representative David Boyer
  - William Almy, Library Trustee
  - Cyndi Robbins, Poland Spring Resort & Chair of Policy Community and Economic Development Committee

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Medium
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: A. B. Ricker Library HVAC Project
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned, targeting MWW Action B4.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Town Manager will be responsible for managing project. Outcomes, roles, timelines are detailed and reasonable. More detail on specific HVAC portion would be beneficial.
  - Installing energy efficient HVAC system part of a larger library remodel project for the library. Type of HVAC system and design not yet identified.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - The HVAC system is part of a larger, \$1 million remodel of the Poland library. Town will buy a bond from the Maine Bond Bank and has \$150,000 from the library trust. Cost of HVAC portion of project has been estimated by engineering firm. Reasonably likely to achieve.

Need

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Poland

**DATE:** 8/2/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Project is well-aligned.
  - Town has been actively improving efficiency and taking steps towards climate resiliency.
  - HVAC project is part of larger goal to equip all municipal buildings with energy and efficient HVAC, lighting, etc.
  - Community Workshop identified this project as a priority.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Community workshop was held. Proposal notes attendees were diverse and representative of the larger community, including high and low income, working and retired, elderly, disabled, and those of a range of professional experiences and interests.
  - Limited detail about how the project will engage community members after installation, but generally will improve library space which is undergoing a larger remodel.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Proposal notes that the library serves as the town's emergency shelter and an efficient HVAC system will make it better equipped to serve that role.
  - Proposal notes they considered who the project will serve, will serve all residents including most vulnerable. The elderly identified as those more sensitive to extreme weather. Upgrades to library will provide a space for people to safely conduct business in comfort and have cleaner air that will reduce airborne disease.

Project duration: 24 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, expected EMT rebate included.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
  
- Other notes
  - Expected total cost of HVAC component of project is \$250,000. Comes from architectural and engineering services used for library renovation plan. Expect \$22,800 rebate from Efficiency Maine. Have \$150,000 from existing trust that will cover the remainder of the HVAC project. However, have not yet bid the project so exact costs may vary.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Poland

**DATE:** 8/4/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Town of Poland Select Board
  - Sen. Eric Brakey
  - Rep. David Boyer
  - William Almy, Library Trustee
  - Cyndi Robbins, Chair of the Poland Community and Economic Development Committee

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: A.B. Ricker Library HVAC Project
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B4.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Partially described.
  - Task 1: Install updated HVAC system as part of larger remodel.
    - Part of a larger remodeling plan that includes larger children's area, space for remote workers, a more capable lift, updated bathrooms and common areas, and adding more space by finishing the basement.
    - HVAC design in process – review in Fall 2023
    - New library design will incorporate elements to make HVAC system more efficient.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Poland

**DATE:** 8/4/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- New HVAC system will improve air quality which is important for primary library users – elderly and children.
- Library is also the Town Warming Center.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Unable to determine is the project is likely to achieve desired outcomes.
  - Project is pending final design and planning board approval

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Part of a Town-wide initiative to increase energy efficiency which includes solar development, LED streetlights, LED interior lights, and Town Office HVAC upgrades
  - Other environmental initiatives include water quality monitoring, Project Canopy work, and working with Poland Spring Bottling plant to understand climate change impact on local aquifers.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimal
  - Community enrollment workshop engaged diverse set of residents.
  - No additional community engagement is planned.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat
  - Identified most vulnerable/disadvantaged community members – elderly
  - Project would serve all residents but have biggest impact on the Seniors and children that use the library most

**Project duration:** 24 months

- Detailed timeline provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: 50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, Efficiency Maine rebate
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Poland

**DATE:** 8/4/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- HVAC system not yet designed – unknown what the actual cost will be.
- Would benefit from more information on how the cost estimate was derived.
- Town contributing \$177,200 toward system cost.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Portland

**DATE:** 8/23/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Quality Housing Coalition

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): no
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Fall 2022
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): no

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Electrify Everything! Building Electrification and Efficiency Outreach Campaign
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with H2, H5, H6

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Very detailed and reasonable scope with well defined tasks, deliverables and timelines
    - Would benefit from identifying staff that will carry out tasks
  - Task 1 Update 2017 Community-wide GHG inventory
  - Task 2 Outreach Residents
    - Outreach supports implementation of DIY Electrify! Program funded by city
  - Task 3 Outreach to Commercial and Multi-tenant residential building owners
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned
  - Integrated with other city efforts – OCF, Electrify Everything, DIY Electrify

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Portland

**DATE:** 8/23/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Supports key populations and stakeholders for emissions reductions
- Clear focus on LMI and immigrant communities

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well designed
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Well-designed
  - Translation into six languages
  - \$75,000 from City's ARPA allocation for rebates to LMI residents for energy efficient appliances and improvements
  - Will partner with local organizations that support immigrant communities

### Project duration – 24 months

- Detailed timeline

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request:
  - \$45,200
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - \$75,000 from ARPA
- Other notes
  -

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** City of Portland

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Quality Housing Coalition (QHC)

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes, fall 2022 grant is active.
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Large
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Electrify Everything! Building Electrification & Efficiency Outreach Campaign
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with MWW actions H2, H5, and H6.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable.
  - Will expand Electrify Everything! Education campaign and do outreach specific to LMI and immigrant residents, and commercial building owners/managers.
  - Outreach materials will come in many forms via social media, surveys, videos translated into several common languages of target populations.
  - City has appropriated \$75,000 for small efficiency/weatherization project incentives.
  - Will work with consultant on GHG inventory update. Will hire translators for campaign materials. Will hire graphic designer to design effective outreach materials.
  - City Sustainability Office will be town lead.
  - Three components:
    - Update community-wide GHG inventory
    - Outreach to residents
    - Outreach to commercial and multi-tenant residential building owners
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]



## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** City of Portland

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Likely to achieve. Have clear goals, objectives, and funding source for providing incentives. Detailed timeline of hires, deliverables, actions.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Need is well-aligned.
  - City has committed to 80% emissions reductions by 2050. Buildings currently account for more than 60% of city GHG emissions.
  - Aligns with One Climate Future goals.
  - Will take advantage of trifecta of federal tax incentives, EMT rebates/loans, and locally available funding.
  - This proposal will fund the robust education and outreach campaign required to reach target populations.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed.
  - Project is focused on community outreach, engagement, and education throughout.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Robust and well-designed.
  - Will translate outreach materials including educational video series into 6 most common languages other than English.
  - Will focus on engaging folks who are typically most difficult to reach, including senior citizens, LMI populations, immigrants and new Mainers.
  - Will advertise in publications that are aimed at these populations such as Amjambo Africa.
  - Partnering with Quality Housing Coalition, Avesta Housing, others to spread info to harder-to-reach populations.

Project duration: 24 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$45,200
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - N/A
- Other notes
  - Requested funds will supplement \$75,000 in American Recovery Plan Act funds.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Portland

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Quality Housing Coalition

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Electrify Everything! Building Electrification & Efficiency Outreach Campaign
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with H2, H5, and H6.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Tasks and deliverables are clearly defined and detailed.
  - Roles and responsibilities were assigned – would benefit to know specific City Staff that will be working on each task.
  - Task 1: Update Community Wide GHG Emissions Inventory
    - Consultant to train City Staff to run model and complete future inventories without assistance
  - Task 2: Residential Outreach
    - Outreach campaign to low- and moderate-income residents with technical information, financing options, and rebate/tax credit opportunities to improve energy efficiency
    - City has \$75,000 budgeted to pay for certain residential projects.

# STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Portland

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Task 3: Commercial Outreach
  - Target commercial and multi-tenant residential property owners to encourage them to reduce their energy use through weatherization, energy efficiency, and adopting renewable energy.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve
  - Consultant will train City Staff to complete GHG emissions modeling – allowing for easier updating in the future.
  - Project scope acknowledges diverse groups of residents to be targeted and specific strategies to reach each audience.

## Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - City Council has committed to reducing community wide GHG emissions 80% before 2050
  - Connect residents with state and federal rebates and tax incentives
  - Energy benchmarking program has shown the commercial building owners need more education on how to increase efficiency and lower costs

## Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and Well-designed
  - Developed integrated approach to reach their targets audiences:
    - Translated materials
    - Advertisements in publications that target audience reads
    - Translated videos
    - Partnership with Quality Housing Coalition
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes and well-designed
  - Identified low- and moderate-income residents, senior citizens, immigrants and New Mainers, minority groups, people with disabilities, renters, new residents in a community, people who don't have access to the internet or social medias, and those that are not part of an organization/group as primary target audience.
  - Plan to translate marketing materials to 6 most used languages and utilize media outlets that these community groups use.
  - City has budgeted \$75,000 to help offset the cost of energy efficiency upgrades for these groups.

## Project duration: 24 months

- Detailed timeline provided

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Portland

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$45,200
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
  - Budget narrative provides detailed information on how costs were derived
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
  
- Other notes
  - City contributing \$76,200 cash match

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Rangeley

**DATE:** 8/2/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: none

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Upgrade the town garage HVAC to a heat pump system.
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with B4

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Scope**

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Scope reasonably described
  - Task 1: Install Heat Pump System in Town Garage
    - 8 units
  - Overseen by town manager
  - Design quote provided by vendor
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes – vendor identified and system design has been completed

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Tourism-based economy

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Rangeley

**DATE:** 8/2/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Town has a climate action plan for the wastewater system
- Opportunity to address energy efficiency in a public building that is behind the scenes

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Describes enrollment workshops, in which energy efficiency was a town priority
  - Would benefit from some public engagement or education (for example, posting/sharing the annual energy savings from the heat pump improvements)
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Can provide cost savings "back to the taxpayers" – would benefit from specifying the mechanism for this.
  - Vulnerable and disadvantaged groups are not addressed in the project

Project duration: 12 months

- Timeline provided

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Assume that other funds includes EM incentive, but not described in narrative
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Not required but in-kind staff time is included
- Other notes
  - Would benefit from inclusion of vendor quote if that is where Efficiency Maine incentive is included

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Rangely

**DATE:** 8/1/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: None

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Upgrade the town garage HVAC to a heat pump system
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned, targeting MWW Action B4.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1: install heat pump system (8 units) in town garage.
  - Scope is reasonably described; project is relatively straight forward. Have a quote from IEC for project work.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Town has quote in hand for project and it will be overseen by town manager. Likely to be achieved.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Town is rural and has limited access to community services. However, town has a climate action plan in place for their wastewater system as well as a downtown revitalization plan.
  - Town garage is an overlooked building. This building is not a public space but will benefit town by reducing costs and providing cost reduction from system back to taxpayers of Rangely.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Rangely

**DATE:** 8/1/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimally considered. Town hosted their community workshop during regularly scheduled selectboard meeting. Advertised around community. Provided worksheet for those to provide feedback who couldn't attend. Feedback was accepted for a month and all responses were considered.
  - No detail provided about engagement of public during or after project installation. Town garage is municipally owned, but not open to the public so heat pumps will not be visible to most residents.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - No consideration/details of engagement with vulnerable or disadvantaged groups.

Project duration: 12 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Unknown. Does installer bid include EMT rebates?
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - Town is seeking funding for a portion of the project. Doesn't note source of remaining funds to pay for \$91,977.81 project beyond a contribution of in-kind staff time valued at \$1,000. Potentially value of EMT rebate?



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Rangeley

**DATE:** 8/2/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - n/a

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Upgrade the town garage HVAC to a heat pump system.
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B4.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Scope**

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Project will be overseen by Town Manager
  - 8 units
  - Task 1: Install Heat Pump System in Town Garage
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Rangeley

**DATE:** 8/2/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Well-aligned
- Rural community with limited capacity to address community needs.
- Completed wastewater system climate action plan.
- Worked to increase pedestrian access downtown.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimal
  - Community outreach completed during enrollment process.
  - No additional community outreach planned.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Vulnerable/disadvantaged groups not clearly identified or engaged.

Project duration: 12 months

- Project timeline narrative included.

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - In-kind funds not included in worksheet.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, Efficiency Maine
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Vendor estimate was referenced in application but not attached.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Rockland

**DATE:** 8/21/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Tim Carroll, Rockland Police Department Chief of Police
  - Constance Evans, Chair of Rockland Energy and Sustainability Advisory Committee
  - David Gogel, Executive Director of Rockland Main Street, Inc.
  - Meg Rasmussen, Community Resilience Planner for Midcoast Council of Governments
  - Matthew Ondra, Co-Founder of Rockland Rolls

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): no
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Fall 2022
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): no
  - Different city staff on each project

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Strategy to Measurably Advance Bicycling as Active Transportation in Rockland: A 4-Part Approach Including a Bike Route Network Plan, Installation of Striping, Sharrows and Signage, Rockland Police Leadership by Example with Active Transportation, and Integrated Community Outreach
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with A1, A6, H2, H4

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Part 1: Bike Route Network Plan
  - Part 2: Installation of Striping, Sharrows and Signage
  - Part 3: Rockland Police Leadership by Example with Active Transportation
  - Part 4: Integrated Community Engagement
  - Scope is highly detailed with tasks, roles, deliverables, outcomes, and timelines

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Rockland

**DATE:** 8/21/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned
  - City goal to be carbon neutral by 2045
  - Builds on previous bike and e-bike projects w community
  - Addresses youth and low-income community needs

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed
  - Community input survey and workshops planned
  - Several outreach activities identified, including police engagement and Recharge Rockland
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Well-designed
  - Routes will connect neighborhoods, including low-income, with community resource locations

**Project duration – 24 months**

- Detailed timeline provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$37,720
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - yes
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Yes but not required
- Other notes
  - Very detailed budget narrative
  - E-bike quote provided, includes police kit

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** City of Rockland

**DATE:** 8/23/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Rockland City Council
  - Rockland Chief of Police
  - Rockland Energy and Sustainability Advisory Committee
  - Rockland Main Street, Inc.
  - Midcoast Council of Governments
  - Rockland Rolls

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes, Fall 2022 grant is active.
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Medium
- SVI (low, med, high): High

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Strategy to Measurably Advance Bicycling as Active Transportation in Rockland: A 4-Part Approach Including a Bike Route Network Plan, Installation of Striping, Sharrows and Signage, Rockland Police Leadership by Example with Active Transportation, and Integrated Community Outreach
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with MWW strategies A1, A5, A6, H2, and H4.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable. Clear roles and responsibilities, timeline, expected outcomes.
  - Consultant has been identified for Bike Route Network Plan.
  - 4-part project.
    - Part 1: Bike Route Network Plan
      - Includes short, medium, and long term actions/visioning; stakeholder engagement through a survey and workshops.
    - Part 2: Installation of Striping, Sharrows and Signage
    - Part 3: Rockland Police Active Transportation

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** City of Rockland

**DATE:** 8/23/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Includes buying an e-bike, e-bike patrol training, three-season e-bike patrols
- Part 4: Integrated community engagement
  - Outreach activities related to incentives and education.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve. Rockland experienced with grant planning and implementation. Have several local partners on board to participate in integrated project implementation.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Need is well-aligned.
  - Fits with city carbon reduction goals and comprehensive plan.
  - Builds on existing collaborations/relationships with community stakeholders.
  - Engagement efforts target youth and low-income residents.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed.
  - Bike Route Network Plan includes stakeholder engagement through its development through multiple different project partners and aimed at youth and low-income community members.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Well-designed. Transportation planning will consider all potential users in developing new bike infrastructure. Will prioritize improving safety and connections to underserved and lower income areas in Rockland, highlighting bicycling as a cost-effective form of transit.

Project duration: 24 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$37,720
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Includes EMT e-bike incentive.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - N/A
- Other notes
  - Though not require, town providing 19% cost match.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Rockland

**DATE:** 8/23/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Rockland Police Department
  - Rockland Energy and Sustainability Advisory Committee
  - Rockland Main Street, Inc.
  - MCOG
  - Rockland Rolls and Bicycle Coalition of Maine Community Spoke

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Strategy to Measurably Advance Bicycling as Active Transportation in Rockland: A 4-Part Approach Including a Bike Route Network Plan, Installation of Striping, Sharrows and Signage, Rockland Police Leadership by Example with Active Transportation, and Integrated Community Outreach
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with A1, A5, A6, H2, and H4.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Project tasks and deliverables are well thought out, clear and defined.
  - Roles and responsibilities are assigned for each task.
  - Task 1: Develop Bike Route Network Plan – improve safety and connect neighborhoods to resources

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Rockland

**DATE:** 8/23/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Task 2: Installation of Striping, Sharrows, and Signage
- Task 3: Purchase e-bike for Rockland Police Patrol unit
- Task 4: Community engagement –
  - Outreach through Recharge Rockland
  - Police-led outreach through distribution of e-bike information
  - Bike Route Network Plan outreach
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve
  - Working with Jim Tasse Consulting – experts on roadway design, user safety, complete streets, active transportation, and bicycle and pedestrian law
  - Outcomes are clearly defined and well-supported.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Transportation is the largest source of GHG emissions in Rockland
  - Goal of carbon neutrality by 2045
  - Providing youth and low-income residents with a safe, cost-effective, accessible mode of transportation – 25.3% of Rockland youth live below 150% of federal poverty line

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed
  - Integrated community outreach strategies throughout each phase of the project
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes and well-designed
  - Identify youth, low-income individuals, and seniors as most vulnerable groups.
  - Program is designed to improve accessibility and transportation equity for these groups

### Project duration: 24 months

- Detailed timeline provided.

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$37,720
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes- EMT funds
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Rockland

**DATE:** 8/23/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Other notes
  - Town providing \$8,904 match in staff time
  - E-bike estimate included

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Rockport

**DATE:** 8/15/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Rep. Vivki Doudera
  - Library director and foundation president
  - Planning and Development director

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): no
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Fall 2022
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): no
  - separate staff on the two projects

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Solar Panel Installation – Rockport Public Library
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with C7

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Reasonable
  - Task 1 Install 20.8kW solar on new town library
  - Managed by team consisting of town manager, planner, conservation commissioner, and library director
  - Will solicit bids from 3 vendors
  - Installation expected early 2024
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes

Need

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Rockport

**DATE:** 8/15/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned
  - Library was constructed in 2020 to be solar-ready
  - System will generate about 30% of building's electricity consumption
  - Breakeven in 8 years, save \$6,000 annually and \$350,000 over lifetime
  - Reduce 26,000lbs carbon annually

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Well-designed
  - Software to display energy generation publicly
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - moderate
  - Designates uses for savings, e.g. will be put towards books for children, low-income, and large print for seniors

Project duration – 12 months

- Basic timeline

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
  - Cost estimate obtained for planning purposes but will solicit competitive bids
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - N/a but town will seek local donations of \$7,000
- Other notes
  - Town will apply for federal tax credit

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Rockport

**DATE:** 8/20/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Representative Vicki Doudera
  - Rockport Public Library
  - Rockport Library Foundation
  - Rockport Planning and Development Director

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes, Fall 2022 grant active.
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Solar Panel Installation – Rockport Public Library
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with MWW action C7.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Scope is reasonable. Will install a 20.8 kW solar array on the new public library. Library roof was built solar ready.
  - Contractor has not yet been identified, but plan to solicit bids from 3 qualified contractors. Town has received an indicator price as proof-of concept.
  - Project will be managed by Conservation Commission, Library Director, Town Planner, and Town Manager.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to succeed. Have received proof-of-concept bid. Have municipal team to manage work. Library roof was built to be solar ready, so no roof alternation is anticipated, likely reducing total install costs.

Need

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Rockport

**DATE:** 8/20/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned.
  - Anticipate solar install will reduce annual operating expenses by more than \$6,000 which will be used to increase investment in community education and outreach opportunities, such as laptops for loan.
  - Library is a gathering place increasingly relied on for social interaction, group meetings and workshops, lectures and forums.
  - Building's primary heat source is heat pumps. Solar will offset portion of energy consumption from heat pumps.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Well-designed.
  - Will share real-time solar energy data in some way with community.
  - Will use savings to invest in community programming at library.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimal. Proposal describes how community members will benefit—through savings that could be used to improve existing library programs for youth and people with vision issues, but limited detail on engagement during the project install.

Project duration: 12 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
  
- Other notes
  - Includes expected value of federal tax incentive.
  - Town will raise \$7,000 privately.
  - May need to adjust grant amount based on final selected bid through the competitive process they've described.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Rockport

**DATE:** 8/10/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Rep. Vicki Doudera
  - Rockport Public Library
  - Rockport Library Foundation
  - Rockport Planning and Development

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Solar Panel Installation – Rockport Public Library
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with C7.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Roles and responsibilities are assigned
  - Task 1: Install 20.8 kW rooftop solar array on the Rockport Public Library
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcome
  - Town will put the project out to bid to find a qualified contractor

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Rockport

**DATE:** 8/10/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Installation of renewable energy

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
  - Intend to use cost savings to expand community services and educational outreach
  - Plan to display a monitor showing solar array output and savings
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimal
  - Increase engagement with low-income and elderly community members utilizing cost savings

### Project duration: 12 months

- Basic timeline provided.

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes – federal tax credit applied
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Town to contribute \$7,000 to project
  - Confirm that the Town owns the library building

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Sedgwick

**DATE:** 8/2/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Blue Hill Peninsula Tomorrow
  - Sen. Nicole Grohowski, Rep. Nina Milliken
  - Rep. Holly Bean (listed but letter not included)
  - Sedgwick Board of Selectmen
  - Hancock County Administrator
  - HCPC
  - School Union 76 Superintendent
  - 5 letters from residents

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) : n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Sedgwick Fossil Fuel Reducing Energy Upgrades
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with B1, B2, B3, B4, B5
  - C1 and H5 are claimed but application does not describe related tasks

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Scope is adequately described
  - Task 1 Insulate town office basement
  - Task 2 Install 5 heat pumps (two in each of two fire stations, one in town office)
  - Task 3 Replace fluorescent lighting with LEDs in 6 classrooms in school
  - Oversight provided by selectboard and Peninsula Tomorrow



## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Sedgwick

**DATE:** 8/2/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Scope of work is likely to achieve outcomes
  - Unclear if vendor has been identified for Tasks 1 and 2

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned with MWW
  - Project builds on previous energy efficiency and clean energy commitments and projects

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Application does not anticipate public engagement or education as part of the project
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Would benefit from a commitment to or mechanism for "reducing the tax burden, which falls disproportionately on Sedgwick's lower-income residents"

### Project duration – 12 months

- Basic timeline provided

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Budget worksheet is missing \$1000 EM incentive the narrative describes in Task 3
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, but incentive for Task 3 is an estimate and not included in project budget
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Not required
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Sedgwick

**DATE:** 8/1/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Blue Hill Peninsula Tomorrow
  - Senator Grohoski
  - Sedgwick Board of Selectmen
  - Representative Milliken
  - Michael Crooker, County Administrator, Hancock County
  - Hancock County Planning Commission
  - School Union 76
  - Anne Bowes, Sedgwick resident
  - Peter Neill, Sedgwick resident
  - Patrick and Susan Reilly, Sedgwick summer residents
  - Jane and Bob Sargent, Sedgwick residents
  - Jerry Wetterskog, Sedgwick residents

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small
- SVI (low, med, high): High

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Sedgwick Fossil Fuel Reducing Energy Upgrades
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned, targeting MWW Actions B1-B5, C1, and H5.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Scope is detailed and reasonable. Project components will be overseen by Select Board and co-coordinators of Blue Hill Peninsula Tomorrow. Provided basic timeline.
    - Task 1: Apply for Efficiency Maine Small Municipality Retrofit Program. Heat pump installation will be conducted by EMT qualified installer.
    - Task 2: Insulation and weather sealing at Town Office. Have contractor quote identifying scope.

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Sedgwick

**DATE:** 8/1/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Task 3: LED lighting will be installed by district maintenance director who is a master electrician.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve desired outcomes. Have quotes/estimates/scope of work in hand for each project component. Significant community support.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Projects are an extension of the town's existing efforts to support renewables, efficiency, and fossil fuel reduction.
  - Insulation and air sealing will improve operational efficiency of existing heat pump.
  - Lighting upgrades at school identified as need during a 2019 assessment by a licensed architect.
  - Efficiency a community priority.
  - Project will reduce tax burden from heating, cooling, and lighting while improving occupant comfort and safety in a town with high social vulnerability.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Community participation in project is minimally described, however their application did come with several letters of support from community members.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Proposal notes that Sedgwick is highly socially vulnerable and also has a higher mil rate than surrounding towns, and as a result has lower property values that require higher taxes to sustain essential municipal services.
  - Note that reduction in tax burden will impact low income residents who the burden falls on disproportionately.
  - Proposal would benefit from additional consideration of community engagement and vulnerable populations. Could consider developing educational materials/community meetings/site visits to see new installations/etc.

Project duration: 12 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Narrative missing cost for insulation.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Includes rebate for heat pumps via EMT Small Municipal Retrofit Grant.
  - Narrative mentions lighting rebate, but doesn't appear in table.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Sedgwick

**DATE:** 8/1/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Other notes
  - Worksheet switches “funds requested” and “total project budget” columns.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Sedgwick

**DATE:** 8/2/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Board of Selectmen
  - Sen. Nicole Grohoski
  - Rep. Nina Milliken
  - Hancock County Commissioners
  - Hancock County Planning Commission
  - Superintendent of Schools
  - Anne Bowes, Town resident
  - Peter Neill, Town resident
  - Patrick and Susan Reilly, Town residents
  - Bob Sargent, Town resident
  - Jerry Wetterskog, Town resident

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Sedgwick Fossil Fuel Reducing Energy Upgrades
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B1, B2, B3, B4, and B5. C1 and H5 were claimed but not described in related tasks.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Sedgwick

**DATE:** 8/2/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Project will be overseen by the Select Board and their delegates – Blue Hill Peninsula Tomorrow
  - Task 1: Heat pump installation in Town Office and fire stations.
    - Apply to Efficiency Maine Small Municipality Retrofit Program
  - Task 2: Insulation and weather sealing of the Town Office.
  - Task 3: LED lighting upgrades in Sedgwick Community School.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
    - Likely to achieve outcomes.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Eliminate or reduce heating oil usage in Town facilities.
  - LED lighting will replace insufficient school lighting to improve learning experience.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimally considered – no mention of community engagement.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Vulnerable/disadvantaged populations minimally identified
  - School serves diverse residents

**Project duration:** 12 months

- Rough timeline provided.

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Worksheet filled out incorrectly (funds requested total should be \$50,000)
  - Budget narrative doesn't include costs
  - Task 3 narrative cost doesn't match worksheet.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, Efficiency Maine incentive
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Cost estimates are best-knowledge estimates from professional contractors, no vendor estimates attached.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Skowhegan

**DATE:** 8/5/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Planning Office, Town of Skowhegan
  - Lake George Regional Park
  - Somerset Woods Trustees
  - Somerset Public Health
  - Skowhegan Board of Selectmen

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Town of Skowhegan Bike and Pedestrian Village Connector (The Hub)
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Aligned with A5, A6
  - Aligned with H2

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Scope is detailed and reasonable, though it would be helpful if tasks were organized similarly across scope, timeline, and budget
  - Project will create a bike/ped “Hub” in a neglected area on town property.
    - A map or drawings would be helpful, it is difficult to envision what the Hub actually is – a building? an intersection?
  - CEO/planner/town manager will manage project and future maintenance
  - Task 1 A&E Design: More detailed engineered design for the whole project

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Skowhegan

**DATE:** 8/5/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Task 2 Demo & Site Prep: remove/replace existing fence, clear brush, straighten and repaint stanchions
- Task 3 Rough Grading: rough out path of connection paths
- Task 4 Drainage Structures: install and/or reset existing appropriate drainage infrastructures to correct grade to capture stormwater as per A&E designs.
- Task 5 Hardscaping: create and install directional signage, create and install hard top of connecting trail hub, install bench. (this task can be supplemented by Town Public Works in-kind labor)
- Task 6 Softscaping: plant shrubbery and perennial plants, repaint crosswalks
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Somewhat likely to achieve outcomes but difficult to determine how this particular project will meet the VMT target to reduce VMT by 0.75mi per use

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Project is in line with Skowhegan Transportation Study.
  - Town involved in a DOT Village Partnership Initiative study
  - This project is Phase 1 of a larger project to create a 50-mile trail system that will link underserved neighborhoods to downtown and employment opportunities without needing to travel a very busy vehicular bridge, enhance school connectivity
  - Project appears to have broad community support and partners

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Describes enrollment workshop
  - Describes recent outreach and design charettes and outreach via Main Street Strategic Plan and VPI study
  - Application does not describe outreach plans that would improve awareness of the network and encourage increased use
  - LGRP letter of support describes expected outreach by partners. Letter also anticipates returning for funding in future grant rounds.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Town has a Gear Hub to loan outdoor equipment to residents. Unclear if bikes are included.
  - Trail system would connect underserved communities to downtown and employment opportunities

### Project duration – 12 months

- Project stages provided but would benefit from detailed timeline of when stages are expected to occur
- Would help if stages aligned with Tasks in both scope and budget narrative



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Skowhegan

**DATE:** 8/5/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Mostly, narrative stops listing estimates at Task 3
  - Would help to know how estimates were derived
  - Narrative references attachments that are missing
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Not required, town committing \$5,000 in kind staff time
  - Town will look for material donations/discounts and sponsorships
- Other notes
  -

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Skowhegan

**DATE:** 8/3/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Planning Office, Town of Skowhegan
  - Lake George Regional Park
  - Somerset Woods Trustees
  - Somerset Public Health
  - Skowhegan Board of Selectmen

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Medium
- SVI (low, med, high): High

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Town of Skowhegan Bike and Pedestrian Village Connector (The Hub)
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned, targeting MWW Actions A5, A6, and H2.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - The proposed project is phase one of a larger project building out a network of bike and pedestrian routes and trails.
  - Municipal staff (planner, town manager) will manage implementation of the project.
  - Project is partially described. Map of work and description of how phase one fits into larger project would benefit proposal. Dates added to project timeline would also improve clarity of proposal.
    - Task 1: Make improvements to pedestrian and bike safety
    - Task 2: Make improvements to accessibility and mobility
    - Task 3: Increase green space, resting points
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Scope is somewhat achievable. Steps are reasonably detailed, but additional information would help understand feasibility of work.

# STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Skowhegan

**DATE:** 8/3/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

## Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Project and need are well-aligned with MWW.
  - Project will connect several neighborhoods via bike and pedestrian paths and will increase access to downtown without having to drive.
  - Will engage older, vulnerable and mobility impaired community by installing appropriate signage.
  - Will increase space for community to gather.
  - Will decrease congestion in downtown/Margaret Chase Smith Bridge.
  - Will reduce vehicle miles traveled.
  - Part of larger downtown revitalization project, including work with DOT Village Partnership Initiative.

## Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Community workshop held in February 2023. Workshop was advertised via social media and monthly newsletter. Have held several engagement events to build support for cycling/pedestrian amenities. Have ongoing planning efforts that include outreach efforts.
  - Limited detail about ongoing engagement tied to this project, but plan to have a dedication ceremony and ribbon cutting when project concludes.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Limited detail about engagement with vulnerable or disadvantaged groups, but proposal does mention a focus on building accessibility in the community, including through improving access to town through mobility projects, installing appropriate signage, etc. Town also has a free gear library to improve access to outdoor activities to all levels of ability, regardless of economic status.

Project duration: 12 months

## Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes, however “funds requested” and “total project budget” columns are switched.
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Budget narrative doesn't include costs for all tasks.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - N/A
- Other notes
  - Proposal includes \$5,000 in-kind contribution in form of time from professional staff to manage project and labor from Public Works crews.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Skowhegan

**DATE:** 8/3/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Town will also look for sponsorship or discounted costs from local landscaping company for softscaping.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Skowhegan

**DATE:** 8/4/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Joel Greenwood, Skowhegan Town Planner
  - Lake George Regional Park
  - Somerset Woods Trustees
  - Somerset Public Health
  - Skowhegan Board of Selectmen

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Town of Skowhegan Bike and Pedestrian Village Connector (The Hub)
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with A5, A6, and H2.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Reasonable
  - Would benefit from a map, photos, or more comprehensive description about the existing area to illustrate the proposed improvements.
  - Task 1 – Improvements to pedestrian/bicyclist safety
    - Replace deteriorated fencing.
    - Repair and repaint stanchions that block motorized vehicles from the bridge pathway.
    - Re-orientate and paint crosswalks.

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Skowhegan

**DATE:** 8/4/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Task 2 – Improve accessibility and mobility
  - Clear brush and growth
  - Create a trail through lots 2-6 to connect paved footbridge to existing paved Debe trail.
  - Create and install directional signage for Debe Trail and Bike Pump Park, Philbrick Trails, Mill Street access, and Redington/Fairview Hospital trail.
- Task 3 – Increase green space, resting points for community members
  - Plant shrubbery and perennial flowers
  - Install park bench at river overlook
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes.
  - Would benefit from a community outreach strategy to inform residents about the new connections and improvements.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Project creates bike/ped connections to several neighborhoods on the south side of the river in Skowhegan.
  - Increases bike/ped safety by offering trails not shared with cars/trucks.
  - Result in reduced VMT.
  - Serve older, vulnerable, and mobility impaired community members.
  - Create a new community gathering spot.
  - Reduces vehicle congestion on the Margaret Chase Smith Bridge.
  - Part of a larger downtown revitalization project

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
  - Held community events to discuss the project, including design charettes.
  - Would benefit from an ongoing community engagement plan to activate the space and educate residents on the improved trails.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat – identifies seniors and mobility impaired community members as key groups that will benefit from this project.
  - Would benefit from an outreach plan that targets these groups and involves them in the project development.

Project duration: 12 months

- Detailed timeline included.

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Skowhegan

**DATE:** 8/4/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Narrative doesn't include costs for each task.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
  
- Other notes
  - Would benefit from a vendor estimate for time and materials.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Solon

**DATE:** 7/25/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: none

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n):
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n)

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Town Office & Fire Station Solar Energy Installation
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - C7

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1 Install 25kW solar array on the fire station
    - Applicant reports that permit requirements will be facilitated by the vendor
    - Will save town \$7000 in first year and \$260,000 over 25 years
    - Any additional energy produced will credit toward town's other buildings
  -
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Town has 46% LMI residents, demonstrating energy cost savings is important

Engagement and equity



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Solon

**DATE:** 7/25/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Describes community participation in enrollment workshop, but not in this project
  - Missed opportunity to do active public education around the project
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - General benefit of costs savings that can mitigate town's need to raise taxes

Project duration – 12 months

- Installation expected to take 10 days

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - \$30,000 town funds appropriated
- Other notes
  - Suggest including 30% ITC

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Solon

**DATE:** 7/28/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: None

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Town Office & Fire Station Solar Energy Installation
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned, targeting Action C7, install a renewable energy system.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Project description and details are limited, as well as roles and responsibilities.
  - Noted that project is estimated to take 10 days. I assume that is just the time that the installation will take and additional time will be needed for work on permitting, etc.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Based on the quote the town has from Solar Logix and the town's approval of \$30,000 towards the project, it is likely they could get the project installed should they be awarded requested funds, but more detail could help determine likelihood of success.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - In describing the need for the project, it's noted that the town wished to lead by example in minimizing climate impacts and seeking clean energy solutions. They note that based on the town's high level of LMI residents, it would be difficult for them to go ahead with the project without additional financial support.

Engagement and equity

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Solon

**DATE:** 7/28/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - It is noted that the town's Community Resilience Partnership Workshop was well attended and they received robust feedback on the project. The meeting was advertised at local hubs, the town office, no an electronic sign, on the town office website, and through word of mouth.
  - No plans mentioned regarding community engagement or participation during/after the project. Minimally considered.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - The proposal doesn't describe participation efforts for vulnerable/disadvantaged groups. It does note that a high percentage of town residents are LMI and doing this project may limit the need to raise taxes and economic burden on this population.

Project duration: 12 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
  
- Other notes
  - Town has approved \$30,000 to go toward this project.
  - Bid from solar company does not account for any federal tax credit. Worth checking in why that is. Could significantly reduce cost to town for the project.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Solon

**DATE:** 7/28/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: n/a

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n):
  - Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n):
  - No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n)
  - No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Town Office & Fire Station Solar Energy Installation
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with C7: Install solar array to reduce emissions.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Minimally described
    - Timeline could benefit from more detail and by breaking out each task involved in the project.
    - Roles and responsibilities should be added.
    - Expected outcomes are cost savings for the community
  - Task 1 Install 25kW solar array on the fire station
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Somewhat – due to the lack of detail in the scope of work it is challenging to determine whether applicant is likely to achieve desired outcome.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Solon

**DATE:** 7/28/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned – installing solar panels adds renewable energy to the grid

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimally considered – community outreach and engagement was demonstrated to get public input at the initial community workshop
  - No additional outreach is planned during or after the project.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimally – no focus on vulnerable groups included in proposal

**Project duration:** 12 months

- No detailed timeline included
- Anticipated that the project will take 10 days.
- Suggest relooking at the timeline and including additional management tasks associated with solar development.

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - No – suggest including other sources of funding that may be available – federal tax credit.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Yes
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** South Portland

**DATE:** 8/21/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - South Portland Bicycle and Pedestrian Committee

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): no
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Spring 2022, Fall 2022
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): yes
  - Proposed timeline is only 6 months and multiple departments will contribute

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Thornton-Cash Neighborhood Byway
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with A5, A6, H4

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
    - Key staff identified
    - Outcomes and deliverables are clear
    - Timeline helps clarify project tasks, but scope would benefit from additional narrative about the process and milestones
  - 3 goals for byways: connectivity, wayfinding, comfort
  - Task 1. Pilot project improvements
  - Task 2. Hard infrastructure improvements
  - Task 3. Wayfinding signs and markings
  - Task 4. Planning and engineering
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** South Portland

**DATE:** 8/21/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned
  - Mode shift is a critical piece of city's climate action plan
  - City goal to double trips using public transit or active transportation
  - Test new concepts with pilots
  - Safe routes to schools needed

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Well designed
  - Responds to public desire for more active transport options
  - Include public input and participation during project
  - Walking/biking "buses"
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Well-designed
  - Route connects J40 zones and bypasses high traffic/high speed roads
  - Coordination with School-Community Liaison

**Project duration – 12 months**

- 6 months expected timeline

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a but staff time provided
- Other notes
  -

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** City of South Portland

**DATE:** 8/23/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - South Portland Bicycle and Pedestrian Committee

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes, Spring 2022 and Fall 2022 grants are active.
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): Yes

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Large
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Thornton-Cash Neighborhood Byway
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with MWW actions A5, A6, and H4.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable.
  - Key city staff leading task have been identified.
  - Contractor for planning and engineering tasks has also been identified.
  - Tasks include:
    - Planning and engineering work
    - Corridor improvements to calm traffic
    - ADA accessible pedestrian crossing
    - Signage
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve. Have identified contractor and key city staff who will play a role in project.

Need



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** City of South Portland

**DATE:** 8/23/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Need is well-aligned.
  - Aligns with city climate action plan including “mode shift” goals.
  - Pilot project will test infrastructure changes before making larger capital investments across city.
  - Will provide parents and children with a safe route to local middle school.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed.
  - Robust public process informed byway concept, route, and traffic calming features.
  - Community survey will be completed over the winter.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes, well-designed.
  - Byway will connect residential neighborhoods considered Justice40 zones.
  - Will pilot walking and biking “school buses” with families and school children.
  - Will work with new School-Community Liaison to educate school population on alternative transportation.

Project duration: 12 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - N/A
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** South Portland

**DATE:** 8/23/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - South Portland Bicycle and Pedestrian Committee

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): Yes

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Thornton-Cash Neighborhood Byway
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with A5, A6, and H4.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Project tasks and deliverables are clear and well-defined.
  - Roles and responsibilities are assigned.
  - Task 1: Pilot Project Improvements
    - Traffic calming tactics in key corridors
  - Task 2: Hard Infrastructure Improvements
    - Addition of a ADA accessible pedestrian crossing at key connector street
  - Task 3: Wayfinding Signs and Markings
    - 44 signs and painted markings on roadways
  - Task 4: Planning and engineering
    - Hire Sebago Technics to plan and engineering roadway treatments

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** South Portland

**DATE:** 8/23/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve desired outcomes.
  - Experienced consultant – Sebago Technics
  - Proactive public engagement throughout process

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Transportation in South Portland contributes to 30% of City's GHG emissions
  - Support's City's climate action plan goals, including:
    - By 2035, 26% of trips in SP will use public transit or active transportation
    - 5% of all trips completed by bike by 2040
  - School consolidation may lead to increased traffic along this corridor – byway will offer a safer route to walk or bike to new school
  - Byway concept was first introduced by GPCOG in *Cash Corner Traffic Calming Report*

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed
  - Focus on equity
  - Community-centered planning process to develop byway
  - Walking and biking "school buses" will engage families to use byway to get to the new school
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes and well-designed
  - Byway will serve Justice 40 neighborhoods surrounded by major, high-traffic roadways
  - 6-month public engagement process leading up to proposal
  - Community survey will assess success, and treatment can be changed based on response

**Project duration:** 12 months

- Detailed timeline provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Costs match
  - Task names and cost buckets do not align, but can be inferred from provided information
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** South Portland

**DATE:** 8/23/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- n/a
- Other notes
  - Vendor/consultant estimates not included

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** South Thomaston

**DATE:** 7/26/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Sen. Pinny Beebe-Center
  - Rep. Ann Matlack
  - South Thomaston Conservation Commission
  - MCOG
  - Wessaweskeag Historical Society
  - South Thomaston Lions

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): med

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - South Thomaston Municipal Solar Project
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - C7, H4

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - 18.53kW grid tied, ground mounted solar array at Library and Community Center, which serves as town's emergency shelter, will generate 59% of buildings' load
  - Sundog solar selected as vendor through RFP
  - Task 1 Contracting with installer upon receipt of grant funds
  - Task 2 CMP engineering study (interconnection and NEB agreements)
  - Task 3 Installation (90-day work window)
  - Task 4 Community Engagement

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** South Thomaston

**DATE:** 7/26/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Grand opening
- Fencing and interpretive signage
- Plantings
- Progress updates to town email list
- Educational sessions and activities for youth in library programming, outreach to schools
  - How will this content be developed? By whom?
- Roles
  - ST Community Resilience Committee – monitoring, reporting, engagement, maintain CRP enrollment
  - Terri Baines, town manager – point of contact
  - Selectboard – review and approvals
  - Pennie Alley, librarian – coordination of youth programming
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Solid proposal that includes community engagement, likely to achieve outcomes
    - Vendor selected
    - Solar array installed with possibility of expansion
    - \$286,000 cost savings over 30 years
    - 39,000 lbs CO2 reduction
    - Increased community support for future projects

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - 53% of (all?) residents voted for solar as top project
  - Robust community process during enrollment for identifying this project as top need
  - Future projects may include heat pumps in two municipal buildings, solar+storage at town emergency shelter (library)
  - Develop a sheltering plan

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Town's enrollment process demonstrates a commitment to community engagement
  - Identifies demographic challenges engaging aging population and fishing community
  - Focus on youth education
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Basic accessibility features in proposal, e.g., age-appropriate signage located at heights appropriate to youth and those in wheelchairs
  - Would benefit from more attention to engaging the groups identified as difficult to connect with

Project duration – 12 months

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** South Thomaston

**DATE:** 7/26/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Not required
  - Solar ITC will pay for signage
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of South Thomaston

**DATE:** 7/28/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Representative Ann Matlack
  - Senator Pinny Beebe-Center
  - Michael Dumont, President, Wessaweskeag Historical Society
  - Ann Smith, President, South Thomaston Lions
  - Henry VW Spencer, South Thomaston Conservation Commission
  - Meg Rasmussen, Midcoast Council of Governments

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n) No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: South Thomaston Municipal Solar Project
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned and will target Actions C7 (install a renewable energy project) and H4 (engage youth in resilience, clean energy, and energy use reduction).

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Includes detailed task list, deliverables, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes. Also includes a realistic timeframe for project completion.
    - Tasks 1-3 focus on contracting, planning, and installation of a solar array at the Gilford-Butler site, a municipal property which hosts the library and community center.
    - Task 4 includes several community engagement activities: grand opening, community planting of pollinator-attracting plants, sending of regular progress updates, and a series of educational sessions and activities incorporated into existing children's programming.
    - South Thomaston Community Resilience Committee will lead the project in coordination with the town manager and selectboard.



## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of South Thomaston

**DATE:** 7/28/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes as they have a good team in place and have already selected an installer through a competitive RFP

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned.
  - Installing a solar array on municipal property was voted as the #1 choice of residents for action by a majority of votes after 5-months of assessment and engagement by the Community Resilience Workgroup.
    - Project will reduce electrical costs to the town.
    - Project will help town move forward on additional actions they have identified as necessary and desirable through their community assessment. Potential future actions include heat pump installation, back up batteries, an a robust emergency shelter plan.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Have well-designed community engagement plans following installation of project.
  - Will host grand opening including "solar 101" presentation; will post interpretive signage about solar, cost savings and climate benefits; will provide educational programming for children; will have volunteer led planting day around installation.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Identify town climate vulnerabilities including significant older population and its status as one of Midcoast Maine's most robust fishing communities.
  - During enrollment period, specific outreach was made to members of the fishing community and one-on-one conversations were offered. Also engaged older population and those with transportation barriers online. Provided opportunity to vote on community priorities in person and through online ballot via Town ListServ.
  - How will this engagement continue during/after the project with these populations?

Project duration: 12 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
  
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of South Thomaston

**DATE:** 7/28/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Plan to pay for native plants and interpretive signage with money from ITC tax credit.
- Community engagement activities will be volunteer-led. Have volunteers through library.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** South Thomaston

**DATE:** 7/28/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Rep. Ann Matlack
  - Sen. Pinny Beebe-Center
  - Wessaweskeag Historial Society
  - South Thomaston Lions Club
  - South Thomaston Conservation Commission
  - Midcoast Council of Governments

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n):
  - Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n):
  - No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n)
  - No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - South Thomaston Municipal Solar Project
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with C7 and H4 – install renewable solar energy to reduce GHG emissions; engage youth in resilience

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable – each project task is clearly defined, and roles/responsibilities are assigned
  - Expected outcomes include cost savings for Town and carbon offset

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** South Thomaston

**DATE:** 7/28/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned –
    - Renewable energy was a community member priority during enrollment workshops
    - Gilford-Butler Library is also used as a community emergency shelter

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed –
    - Extensive engagement leading up to the application period
    - Variety of tactics will be utilized to continue community engagement throughout the process
      - Town listserv
      - Signage
      - Library programming
      - Community garden
      - Grand opening event with educational session
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes, and well-designed - Proposal has identified vulnerable populations – older community members and fishing community, and key members for outreach – youth
    - Plan focuses on tactics to reach these key groups

**Project duration:** 12 months

- Project timeline included

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes – federal tax credit is included in the Sundog Solar proposal
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Southwest Harbor

**DATE:** 8/8/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Selectboard
  - Town manager and public works foreman
  - GMRI
  - ACTT

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Municipal Solar Pre-development and vulnerability assessment
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with C7, F1

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Contract with ACTT for solar pre-development and with “a resource like GMRI” for the vulnerability assessment
  - Task 1 Municipal Solar Array Predevelopment
    - 1.1 site prioritization
    - 1.2 feasibility study for top sites
    - 1.3 build community understanding and support
    - 1.4 integration into town budget and planning
    - 1.5 RFP process
  - Task 2 Community Vulnerability Assessment
    - GMRI has indicated interest in contracting with town
    - Assessment will evaluate vulnerability of people and infrastructure to climate stressors – including social and economic vulnerability, and identifies “sensitive” groups and working waterfront community

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Southwest Harbor

**DATE:** 8/8/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Task 1 roles, deliverables, outcomes and timelines are detailed and reasonable
- Task 2 is less developed than Task 1 in the scope of work
  - Budget narrative adds some additional detail on steps for task 2
  - Would benefit from a process to select a contractor for Task 2, and a more detailed task timeline
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Task 1 is likely to achieve outcomes
  - Task 2 is somewhat likely to achieve outcomes and would benefit from having either a contractor on board or a process and timeline to select a contractor

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Recent comp plan update prioritized planning for SLR and climate impacts
  - Coastal community with working waterfront and aging population that is vulnerable to extreme heat and natural disasters
  - Prioritizing locally-sited and -owned solar enables town to build energy resilience and ensure responsible siting
  - Town is eager to take these steps but lacks capacity, so will rely on ACTT and contractor

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well designed for Task 1
  - Task 2 would benefit from more detail on engagement activities
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Not described for Task 1
  - Task 2 includes evaluating climate vulnerabilities of vulnerable residents and waterfront users

Project duration – 24 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$49,875
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
  - Task 1 costs well described
  - Would benefit from description of how Task 2 costs is derived. GMRI quote? Other towns' costs?
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Southwest Harbor

**DATE:** 8/8/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Potential for partial award to Task 1, though Task 2 may be sufficiently developed to award

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Southwest Harbor

**DATE:** 8/10/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Southwest Harbor Select Board
  - Town Manager & Public Works Foreman
  - Gulf of Maine Research Institute
  - A Climate To Thrive

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Municipal Solar Pre-Development and Vulnerability Assessment
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with MWW actions C7 and F1.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable.
  - Will contract with A Climate To Thrive for solar pre-development work and hope to work with GMRI on completion of a vulnerability assessment.
  - Clear timelines, expected outcomes, deliverables.
    - Task 1: Municipal solar array, pre development
      - 1.1: Site prioritization
      - 1.2: Feasibility Study
      - 1.3: Build Community Understanding and Support
      - 1.4: Integration into Town Budget and Planning
      - 1.5: RFP to select solar contractor
    - Task 2: Community Vulnerability Assessment
      - Includes social and economic vulnerability alongside infrastructural vulnerability and evaluates impacts to sensitive groups.



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Southwest Harbor

**DATE:** 8/10/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve. Experiences partners on board to complete work. Clear outline of steps.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Need is well-aligned.
  - Island community with significant fishing population highly vulnerable to impacts of climate change, sea level rise.
  - Aging population.
  - Building equity through ownership of energy production. Responsible siting.
  - Town lacks staff capacity to build understanding and conduct vulnerability assessment. Grant support will help them hire contractor to complete work and engage community.
  - Assessment will allow town to identify where buildings and infrastructure are threatened by sea level rise, increase flooding, and other climate hazards, as well as explore the economic impacts of climate change, particularly on the working waterfront community.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Well-designed.
  - Pre-development work includes several community sessions to discuss prioritization of sites for solar, sharing feasibility study results, and ensuring community members are adequately informed about financial pathways to implement project. Outreach designed to deliver info while leaving room for feedback.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Vulnerability assessment will ensure adaptation efforts are focused on most vulnerable, including elderly, low-income, and those who work on the waterfront.
  - Additional information about how public will be engaged in vulnerability assessment would benefit proposal.

Project duration: 24 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$49,875
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
  
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Southwest Harbor

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Select Board
  - Town Manager and Public Works Foreman
  - Gulf of Maine Research Institute
  - A Climate to Thrive

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Municipal Solar Pre-Development and Vulnerability Assessment
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with C7 and F1.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Project tasks and deliverables were clearly defined, and specific roles/responsibilities were assigned.
  - Task 1: Municipal Solar Array, Pre-Development
    - 1.1 Site Prioritization
    - 1.2 Feasibility Study for Top Sites
    - 1.3 Build Community Understand and Support
    - 1.4 Integration into the Town Budget and Planning
    - 1.5 Request for Proposals Process

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Southwest Harbor

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Task 2: Community Vulnerability Assessment
  - Contract with a consultant to complete a vulnerability assessment that will include social and economic vulnerability, infrastructure vulnerability, and impact on more sensitive groups (elderly, children, low income residents, and working waterfront community)
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve desired outcomes
  - Experienced partners – ACTT and GMRI

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Island community with major fishing population
  - Significant aging population
  - Solar array could significantly reduce municipal carbon emissions and build local energy resilience.
  - Staff capacity limits amount of work that can be completed without consultant assistance.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderate
  - Solar array process will include multiple community meetings, with outreach designed to deliver information and gather feedback
  - Vulnerability assessment process doesn't include community engagement, but this could change once a consultant is hired. Plan to share assessment with community once complete.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Identified vulnerable community members - elderly, children, low-income residents, and working waterfront community – but specific engagement tactics are not included.

Project duration: 24 months

- Detailed timeline provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$49,875
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Southwest Harbor

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- n/a
- Other notes
  - Would benefit from more information on how the cost estimate for the vulnerability assessment was derived.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Standish

**DATE:** 8/2/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - GPCOG

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Town office LED conversion
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with B2

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1 Upgrade 196 lighting fixtures to LEDs
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Scope is likely to achieve outcomes
  - Would benefit from identification of a project manager on town staff
  - Vendor estimate provided

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned with MWW
  - Will save over \$3000 annually in a building that uses 600-900kWh daily
  - Project builds on previous energy efficiency projects in the building that houses all town functions, fire/public safety, except public works
  - Public demonstration of leading by example and alignment with MWW

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Standish

**DATE:** 8/2/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimally considered
  - Describes enrollment workshops
  - Project will raise visibility of energy efficiency efforts, but no public engagement or education is planned as part of the project
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Not considered in project
  - Applicant says project indirectly benefits all taxpayers by reducing costs.

Project duration – 12 months

- Work expected to be completed in 3-week window

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$44,639
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, EM incentive provided
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Vendor estimate provided

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Standish

**DATE:** 8/2/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - GPCOG

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Large
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Town Office LED Conversion
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned, targeting MWW action B2.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Reasonable scope. Deliverables and expected outcomes are clear, though lacks detail on who will manage the project and ways in which they will “showcase” the project and its results.
    - Task 1: replace 196 inefficient lighting fixtures with LED lamps and fixtures.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Project likely to achieve, relatively straight forward. Have clear project scope and estimates.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned. Project will improve energy efficiency and show the town is leading by example. Town Office serves all town functions.
  - Exterior lighting was upgraded in 2022, but interior lighting has not had any upgrades. Inefficient lighting is costing town more than necessary, will reduce overall municipal costs.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Standish

**DATE:** 8/2/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Town wants to continue taking steps to reduce emissions/adapt to climate change.
- Expect project will save more than \$3,000 annually.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - At community workshop, town presented idea of increasing municipal building efficiency. Attendees were supportive of the idea.
  - Community participation during/after project installation minimally considered. Would benefit from more detail on how they will “showcase” work.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Vulnerable/disadvantaged groups not considered. Proposal does note that project will reduce operating costs and they will need to raise fewer property taxes.

Project duration: 12 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$44,639
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Includes EMT rebate for lighting valued at \$4,111
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - Proposal includes quote from Countryside Electric which includes Efficiency Maine rebate value and estimated annual energy savings.



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Standish

**DATE:** 8/2/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - GPCOG

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Town Office LED Conversion
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B2.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable – replace all existing florescent lights in Town Office with LED lights
  - Estimated to save \$3000/year
  - Task 1:
    - Replacing 76 96w florescent fixtures with 50w LED fixtures,
    - Replacing 8 32w florescent lamps with 12w LED lamps,
    - Replacing 1 36w florescent fixture with an 18w LED fixture,
    - Replacing 54 96w florescent fixtures with 35w LED fixtures,
    - Replacing 57 15w recessed lamps with 9w LED recessed lamps.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Standish

**DATE:** 8/2/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Likely to achieve outcomes.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Reducing energy consumption.
  - Leading by example for residents.
  - Use this project to initiate long term goals.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimally considered
  - Held community workshop as part of the enrollment process.
  - No additional outreach planned.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimally – vulnerable/disadvantaged groups not identified or engaged.

**Project duration:** 12 months

- Timeline narrative included.

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$44,639
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, Efficiency Maine rebate
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Vendor estimate attached.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Stonington

**DATE:** 8/9/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Sen. Nicole Grohoski
  - Rep. Holly Eaton
  - Stonington Selectmen
  - Letters were submitted in support of the three NBRC applications described in the narrative section of this proposal:
    - U.S. Representative Jared Golden for Oceanville Rd project
    - Greenhead Lobster for Oceanville Rd project
    - Camoin Associates for Oceanville Rd project
    - Oceanville Boatworks for Oceanville Rd project
    - Granites of America for Oceanville Rd project
    - Fifield Lobster for Fifield Point Road project
    - Stonington Harbor Committee for Odd Fellows / Harbor improvement project

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Advancing Stonington's Community & Economic Resilience: Implementing Climate-ready Infrastructure and Promoting Natural Climate Solutions
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with E5, E9, G1, G2

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - \$50,000 to serve as a local match for the implementation of three Climate-Ready Infrastructure projects
    - Project 1: \$26,000 match for NBRC application – Raise 400ft segment of Oceanville Road 3-4ft

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Stonington

**DATE:** 8/9/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Project 2: \$13,000 match for \$50,000 DMR Coastal Community Grant to develop plans and bid documents to elevate 650' of Fifield Point Road
  - Check with DMR/DACF whether this was funded
- Project 3: \$11,000 match for \$50,000 Shore & Harbor Planning Grant to hire consultant "to recommend climate resilient measures to improve public access to Stonington's working waterfront to improve both commercial and recreational uses, and to begin adaptation of the town's Main Street shoreline that is gravely subject to climate-driven sea level rise."
  - Check with DMR/DACF whether this was funded
- Additional detail provided in budget narrative and letters of support
- If any of the grants for which these funds are match are not successful, town wants to apply CAG funds as municipal share of DOT Village Partnership Initiative planning grant
  - Including EV charging (planning or installation?) and adopting Complete Streets policy
  - VPI "provides us with a clear pathway to Complete Streets"
  - Town would need to request authorization for a change of scope
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes if other grants are awarded
  - Town is demonstrating a strong approach to project development pipeline that implements identified community priorities

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - All three projects are well aligned
  - All three projects are priorities identified in town's SLR Adaptation Report and Economic Resiliency Strategy
  - Project 1 is a one-way-in road for over 100 residences

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Stonington Economic Development Committee provides community outreach and oversight of the grant funded projects
    - Committee has strong track record of engagement but specific outreach for these projects is not described
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Projects will benefit the town's poorest residents and a number of generational fishermen

Project duration – 24 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$50,000

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Stonington

**DATE:** 8/9/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Not required
  - Budget unlocks nearly \$1.4M in other funds
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  -

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Stonington

**DATE:** 9/10/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Senator Nicole Grohoski
  - Representative Holly Eaton
  - Stonington Select Board
  - US Representative Jared Golden
  - Greenhead Lobster, LLC
  - Camoin Associates
  - Oceanville Boatworks, LLC
  - Granites of America
  - Fifield Lobster Co.
  - Stonington Harbor Committee

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small
- SVI (low, med, high): High

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Advancing Stonington's Community & Economic Resilience: Implementing Climate-Ready Infrastructure and Promoting Natural Climate Solutions
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with MWW Actions E5, E9, G1, and G2.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable.
  - Funds requested to serve as town match for three climate adaptation projects from DECD, NBRC, and DMR.
    - 1: Raise a 400 ft section of Oceanville Road 3-4 feet in response to sea level rise.
    - 2: Create plans and bid package to raise a 650 ft section of Fifield Point Road in response to sea-level rise.

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Stonington

**DATE:** 9/10/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- 3: High a professional to recommend climate-resilient measures to improve public access to Stonington's working waterfront.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Pending funding of full town match and award of other grants, likely to achieve desired outcomes, but would like to see full grant applications to better understand climate considerations to each component.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned.
  - Stonington the most active fishing port in Maine.
  - Town working on plans to support year-round community resilience as it faces impacts of climate change, sea level rise, more severe storms, etc.
  - Proposed projects have been identified as priorities by town's Sea Level Rise Adaptation Report by GEI Consultants as well as by its Economic Resiliency Strategy by Camoin Associates.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Stonington Economic Development Committee, a group of 14 community members, provides outreach and oversight for many grant-funded projects. This group collaborated with the Healthy Island Project to engage 60 community members on community asset mapping update. Spun off three separate subcommittees due to level of engagement. Committee meets monthly.
  - Strong record of community engagement in the past, yet specific community engagement connected to the proposed projects is not described.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Identify low income, elderly, and fishermen as most vulnerable.

Project duration: 24 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - Proposal is a request for matching funds for three separate grant-funded projects.
  - For NBRC grant, where will remaining match funds come from?

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Stonington

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Senator Nicole Grohoski
  - State Legislator Holly Eaton
  - Town of Stonington Selectmen

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

Project title: Advancing Stonington's Community & Economic Resilience: Implementing Climate-Ready Infrastructure and Promoting Natural Climate Solutions

- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with Strategy E5, E9, G1, and G2.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Tasks are detailed and reasonable
  - Task 1 Town Match for Multiple Grant Opportunities:
    - \$26,000 as a partial town match of \$671,364 requested from the Northern Border Regional Commission (Maine DECD): The project will raise a 400' section of Oceanville Road 3 - 4 feet to Elevation 10 NAVD 88 in response to sea level rise and climate change.
    - \$13,000 as town match for \$50,000 Coastal Community Planning Grant requested from the Department of Marine Resources: Create the plans and bid packages for a climate-ready infrastructure project that protects the natural environment around a sea-level rise adaptation for 650' of Fifield Point Road.



## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Stonington

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- \$11,000 as town match for \$50,000 Shore & Harbor Planning Grant requested from the Department of Marine Resources: Commission professional expertise to recommend climate resilient measures to improve public access to Stonington's working waterfront to improve both commercial and recreational uses, and to begin adaptation of the town's Main Street shoreline that is gravely subject to climate-driven sea level rise.
  - Task 2: Village Partnership Initiative Planning Grant
    - If any of the above applications aren't awarded, use funds to improve walkability/bikeability of downtown areas, installation of EV chargers, or complete streets policy development.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Grant funds would help to meet matching requirements.
  - Unable to determine likelihood of achieving of other grant goals without more detail.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Part of a larger strategy to support Stonington's working waterfront which is vulnerable to rising sea levels and warming seas.
  - Stonington is both the poorest and the oldest community in Hancock County.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimally considered – community outreach strategies were not included for any of the four projects that the CRP funding would support.
  - Stonington Economic Development Committee has had past success in engaging community members in resilience discussions.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Identified fishing community, elderly and low income populations as the most vulnerable groups.
  - Did not include any communication strategies to engage these groups in the process.

### Project duration: 24 months

- Basic timeline provided.

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
  - Village Partnership Initiative project not included in narrative or worksheet.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Stonington

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Task 1 - \$1,298,728 grant funds available with match
  - Task 2 - \$50,000 grant funds available with match
  - Task 3 - \$50,000 grant funds available with match

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Sumner

**DATE:** 8/2/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: none

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Energy Efficiency Improvements in the Town Office and Fire Barn
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with B1, B2, B3, B4,

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Reasonably described scope of work
  - Task 1 Weatherize the town office and fire barn
    - Blower door test, air sealing, insulation - contractor
  - Task 2 Install a VRF heat pump system in the town office and fire barn
    - System has been designed
    - will utilize EM Small Municipality Retrofit incentive
  - Task 3 Upgrade interior lighting to LED in the town office and fire barn
    - Intention to utilize EM Cost-Effective Lighting Calculator. Project may be too small to qualify for incentives. Still exploring options.
  - Task 4 Appliance upgrades
    - Replace two refrigerators and a range with Energy Star compliant and suggested models

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Sumner

**DATE:** 8/2/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Scope of work is likely to achieve outcomes
  - Identifies town clerk and selectboard as overseeing tasks

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned
  - Town spends \$3600 on electricity and \$4100 on heating oil annually
  - Electrification and efficiency projects will prepare for future solar project
  - Approximately 30% of residents make less than \$35,000/year, keeping taxes low is a town priority

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Described enrollment workshop, which prioritized energy efficiency
  - Project does not include engagement or education activities
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Not described

Project duration – 12 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$41,050
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - yes
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Budget is based on vendor quote for Tasks 1, 2, 3 and search of local retailers for Task 4

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Sumner

**DATE:** 8/2/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: None

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Energy Efficiency Improvements in the Town Office and Fire Barn
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned, targeting MWW Actions B1, B2, B3, and B4.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Scope is detailed and reasonable.
    - Task 1: Weatherize town office and fire barn, will include blower door test, air sealing, and insulation.
    - Task 2: Install VRF heat pump system in town office and fire barn. Will utilize Efficiency Maine Small Municipality Retrofits.
    - Task 3: Upgrade town office and fire barn lighting to LEDs. 19 fixtures will be installed.
    - Task 4: Upgrade 2 existing fridges and 1 electric range to energy efficient models.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Scope is likely to achieve desired outcomes. Have town staff assigned to each project and reasonable expectations about timing/the challenges of booking a contractor for the work.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Sumner

**DATE:** 8/2/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- High energy costs at present. Upgrades will reduce heat loss and inefficiencies that add to costs.
- Municipal buildings are currently heated by oil. Upgrades will reduce oil usage. Upgrades will also help inform sizing for future solar installation.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Proposed projects were identified as priority resilience actions during the community workshop. Offered a variety of ways to engage at workshops, including in person and virtual meeting attendance.
  - No detail about how community will be engaged during or after installation of upgrades.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Limited detail about impacts on vulnerable or disadvantaged groups.
  - Proposal does note that ~30% of households make less than \$35,000/year. Saving money will help control expenses and tax needs, while also reducing emissions.

Project duration: 12 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$41,050
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Includes rebate for VRF system.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Sumner

**DATE:** 8/2/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - n/a

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Energy Efficiency Improvements in the Town Office and Fire Barn
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B1, B2, B3, and B4.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable.
  - Town select board and Town Clerk will oversee the process.
  - Task 1: weatherize town office and fire barn.
    - Air sealing and insulation
  - Task 2: install a VRF heat pump system in town office and fire barn.
  - Task 3: upgrade interior lighting to LED – approximately 19 new LED fixtures.
  - Task 4: Appliance upgrade – two Energy Star compliant or suggested refrigerators and a range.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve desired outcomes.

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Sumner

**DATE:** 8/2/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Heat pumps will replace heating oil system.
  - Planning for future solar installation.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected – a community workshop was held as part of the enrollment process.
  - No additional community engagement planned.
  - Visible heat pumps/LED lighting will demonstrate energy efficient technology to the community.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimally – vulnerable/disadvantaged groups not identified or engaged.

### Project duration: 12 months

- Detailed project timeline included.

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$41,050
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes – Efficiency Maine incentives
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Vendor estimate referenced but not attached.



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Topsham

**DATE:** 8/10/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Selectboard
  - Conservation Commission
  - Comp Plan Implementation Committee
  - Rep. Rebecca Jauch
  - Sen. Eloise Vitelli
  - Cathance River Education Alliance
  - Highland Green
  - Library
  - Fire chief
  - No letter from the Energy Committee which is tasked with overseeing the project

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): no
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Topsham Climate Action Plan Update
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with C1, C2, C3, F1, G1, H2

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1 Community Engagement
    - 1A Community Visioning w MCOG, Sea Grant, Casco Bay Estuary Partnership
    - 1B Ongoing community engagement
      - Provides examples of strategies that Energy Committee, MCOG, and MSG/CBEP may include in engagement plan
  - Task 2 RFP process to hire a consultant
  - Task 3 Infrastructure, Asset, Social, and Economic Vulnerability Assessment (consultant)

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Topsham

**DATE:** 8/10/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Strong commitment to equity and vulnerable groups
  - Task 4 GHG Inventory and emission reduction target setting
    - MCOG and ICLEI ClearPath
  - Task 5 Climate Action Planning (consultant)
  - Task 6 Report drafting (consultant) including intro, GHG inventory, emission reduction targets, vulnerability assessment, potential actions, implementation strategy
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
    - Likely to achieve outcomes
    - Large and experienced project team with clear roles
      - Budget narrative describes MCOG as overseeing consultant and hiring ICLEI
    - Deliverables and outcomes are well defined

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned
  - Town's previous climate action plan did not include adaptation, so an update is needed
  - More flood events are expected with 12 miles of river frontage
  - Climate plan will help town determine where resources are best directed

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - robust and well-designed with multiple engagement mechanisms,
  - anticipates barriers to participation by various groups
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Well designed
  - Includes analysis of vulnerable populations
  - Equity criteria to be included in prioritization of actions

**Project duration – 24 months**

- Detailed timeline provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - In-kind provided
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Topsham

**DATE:** 8/23/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Topsham Conservation Commission
  - Topsham Select Board
  - Topsham Comprehensive Planning Committee
  - Topsham Fire & Rescue
  - Topsham Public Library
  - Highland Green
  - Cathance River Education Alliance
  - Senator Eloise Vitelli
  - Representative Rebecca Jauch

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Medium
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Topsham Climate Action Plan Update
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with MWW actions C1-3, F1, G1, and H2.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable. Clear list of roles and responsibilities included. Anticipated timeline by task.
  - Will update their Climate Action Plan.
    - Task 1: Community Engagement
    - Task 2: RFP, hire consultant
    - Task 3: Vulnerability Assessment (infrastructure, assets, and social/economic)
    - Task 4: GHG inventory and emission reduction target setting
    - Task 5: Climate action planning
    - Task 6: Report drafting

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Topsham

**DATE:** 8/23/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Several tasks, but likely to achieve. Have clear roles and responsibilities, partners, as well as planning capacity in town government.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Need is well-aligned.
  - Project focused on climate mitigation and adaptation.
  - Updated climate action plan will identify areas and degrees of risk from extreme weather events and provide adaptation strategies for residents, businesses, and infrastructure.
  - Significant property in FEMA flood zones.
  - Updated plan will help determine where town resources will be best directed to address climate impacts.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed.
  - Energy Committee will create community engagement plan. Will identify and target wide diversity of audiences with a focus on young families and individuals who don't typically participate for a variety of reasons.
  - Will work closely with community leaders including fire and police departments, conservation commission, and other municipal committees.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes. Vulnerability assessment will use tools such as Maine SVI and will identify populations most vulnerable to climate change and evaluate risks in those areas.

Project duration: 24 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Not required, but in-kind match of \$9,500 being provided.
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Topsham

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Topsham Select Board
  - Topsham Conservation Commission
  - Topsham Comprehensive Plan Implementation Committee
  - Topsham Fire and Rescue
  - Topsham Public Library
  - Highland Green
  - Cathance River Education Alliance
  - Sen. Eloise Vitelli
  - Rep. Rebecca Jauch

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s):
  - 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Topsham Climate Action Plan Update
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with C1, C2, C3, F1, G1, and H2.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Scope of work involves many tasks and deliverables but is clearly outlined and roles/responsibilities are assigned.

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Topsham

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Task 1: Community Engagement
    - 1.a. Community visioning
    - 1.b. Ongoing community engagement
  - Task 2: Hire a Consultant, Write and release a CAP consultant RFP, interview candidates, and enter into a contact with a consultant.
  - Task 3: Infrastructure, Assets, and Social and Economic Vulnerability Assessment
  - Task 4: GHG inventory and emission reduction target setting
  - Task 5: Climate Action Planning
  - Task 6: Report Drafting
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
    - Likely to achieve desired outcomes
    - Experienced partners – MCOG, Maine Sea Grant, Casco Bay Estuary Partnership

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Climate Action Plan needs to be updated to identify vulnerable areas and people, and provide adaptation strategies where needed.
  - Topsham is anticipating more historically large river rises from its over 12-mile Androscoggin River frontage and large watershed with properties in the FEMA flood zones.
  - Committed to transitioning to clean energy and energy efficiency.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and Well-Designed
  - Task 1 outlines detailed community engagement strategy as part of the climate action planning process.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes – vulnerability assessment will identify most vulnerable/disadvantaged community members that are most at risk to climate hazards.
  - Developing guidelines for equitable actions and engagement.

Project duration: 24 months

- Detailed project timeline included.

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Topsham

**DATE:** 8/8/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - \$9,500 MCOG and Town Staff in-kind hours included

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Vassalboro

**DATE:** 8/2/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Town manager
  - Conservation commissioner

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Upgrading Municipal Buildings Heating and Cooling
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with B4

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1 Install heat pumps at town office, fire station, and public works garage
  - Vendor identified
  - Project overseen by town manager
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned with MWW



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Vassalboro

**DATE:** 8/2/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Town has completed previous projects to reduce carbon footprint (solar net metering, heat pumps in municipal buildings)

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Describes general town activities to increase communications
  - Conservation Commission is active in energy efficiency and clean energy projects
  - Application does not describe engagement or education activities within this project
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimal
  - Application does not describe activities to include vulnerable or disadvantaged communities
  - Applicant expects project to distribute tax savings equitably, but does not specify a mechanism
  - Town partners with WindowDressers to assist vulnerable community members, but is not part of this project

**Project duration – 12 months**

- Completion expected by end of 2023

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$34,745
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Narrative not detailed but sufficient detail provided in appendices
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - yes
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Vendor estimates via email from EcoHeat Maine include EM incentives

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Vassalboro

**DATE:** 8/2/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Aaron Miller, Vassalboro Town Manager
  - Peggy Horner, Vassalboro Conservation Commission

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Medium
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Upgrading Municipal Buildings Heating/Cooling
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with MWW Action B4.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Expect to award bid to EcoHeat Maine to install heat pumps.
  - Project will be overseen by town manager. Expect work to be complete before end of 2023.
  - Expected outcomes are clear, but overall detail regarding scope of work is limited.
    - Task 1: Install heat pumps at fire station
    - Task 2: Install heat pumps at public works
    - Task 3: Install heat pumps at town office
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Scope is relatively straight forward. Have a contractor they expect to do the work. Likely to achieve. Timeline might be ambitious depending on actual date of grant award and contractor availability.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Vassalboro

**DATE:** 8/2/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Installing heat pumps to reduce oil consumption and overall energy costs is well-aligned with MWW.
- Town has been active in supporting conservation in the community. This project would add to other efforts to reduce town's carbon footprint.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Vassalboro Conservation Commission—a volunteer citizen group—meets regularly and has worked actively on both natural resource management and energy efficiency.
  - Letter from town manager notes intention of establishing an ad hoc energy committee to propose long-term planning for energy and advise the town on options for future projects.
  - Proposal lacks detail on how community will participate in this particular project.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Proposal notes that the town understands climate change impacts marginalized communities the most. They have committed funds to the Window Dressers program to help vulnerable community members reduce heat loss in their homes.
  - However, proposal lacks detail on how this project will engage vulnerable or disadvantaged groups beyond noting that the savings from the project will be distributed equitably.

Project duration: 12 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$34,745
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Budget narrative doesn't include explanation of costs. Appendix includes bid from contractor.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, bid includes EMT incentives
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
  
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Vassalboro

**DATE:** 8/2/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Town Manager
  - Vassalboro Conservation Commission

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Upgrading Municipal Buildings Heating/Cooling
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B4.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Task 1: Install heat pumps at Town Office, Fire Station, and Public Works Garage.
  - Expected outcome are clear but overall scope of work is limited.
  - Would benefit from more information on how many heat pumps will be installed, anticipated installation process, and expected energy consumption/heating oil offset
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes.

Need

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Vassalboro

**DATE:** 8/2/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Reduce heating oil usage with energy efficient heat pumps.
  - Part of greater plan to reduce Town's carbon footprint, including a WindowDresser's community build.

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately considered
  - Town's Conservation Commission has been leading process to transition to cleaner energy and reduce energy use.
  - Facebook page created
  - No additional community engagement included.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimally – vulnerable/disadvantaged groups not identified or engaged.

Project duration: 12 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$34,745
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Budget narrative doesn't include costs.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, Efficiency Maine rebates.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Vendor estimate attached.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Waldoboro

**DATE:** 7/23/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Town manager – selectboard voted unanimously to approve grant application
  - Chair, Economic Development Committee

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): y
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? n
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): med
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Purchase of Solar Array Located at the Waldoboro Transfer Station
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - C6

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1: Purchase an existing solar array from Sundog Solar.
    - Total purchase cost is \$164,176.
    - Town voters approved \$82,000 for purchase in June 2023. Will warrant remaining cost less CAG award in November
    - Town will assume maintenance costs
    - PPA gives town option to purchase in 6<sup>th</sup> year of contract. Must be completed by June 30, 2024
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Will reduce town's expenses but not reduce emissions

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Waldoboro

**DATE:** 7/23/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Minimally aligned. Solar array already exists and is benefitting the town.
  - This is a cost saving measure for the town. Town will save \$21,000/year during remaining 25-30 years. Otherwise, PPA states that town's price will be pegged at 12.5% lower than CMP standard offer.
  - Application says savings may be directed toward "other important priorities as part of the Resilience program" and "the savings...will allow community to take on additional solar-supported projects – including conversion of certain municipal properties to heat pump [and] heating and electrical assistance for town's less fortunate residents"
    - These are terrific ideas, however we don't see a commitment to implementing them. Application would greatly benefit from a commitment from the town to implement these ideas, for example, by adding a task to create a town fund that receives the savings each year and dedicates them to the ideas described above.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - No additional engagement or education is planned
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Ideas for benefitting less fortunate residents are presented, but no commitment to or mechanism for implementation is provided

Project duration – 12 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Yes, town funds but not required
- Other notes
  - Perhaps candidate for partial funding. Or invite to reapply in next round with mechanism for directing savings to future energy, resilience, or low-income programs?

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Waldoboro

**DATE:** 7/28/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Julie Keizer, Town Manager of Waldoboro
  - Jan Visser, Chair of the Waldoboro Economic Development Committee

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Medium
- SVI (low, med, high): High

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Purchase of Solar Array Located at the Waldoboro Transfer Station
  
- The proposed scope is somewhat aligned and will target Action C6, enter into a long-term service contract or PPA for renewable energy.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Project outcome is clear but overall detail is limited.
  - Town seeks to purchase an existing solar array that is currently under a PPA and is owned by Sundog Solar.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - It seems likely the town could achieve the desired outcome. Since the project is an existing solar project, it seems the main barrier at present is available funds to buy out the PPA from Sundog Solar.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - The town entered into the PPA with Sundog Solar in 2017. The solar array covers all municipal buildings and is sized to accommodate additional load. The PPA includes an



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Waldoboro

**DATE:** 7/28/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- option for the town to buy out the project and purchase the array in the 6<sup>th</sup> year. This would lower the cost of the energy from the array, saving the town \$21,000 annually.
- This project is only somewhat aligned. The town already is party to the project and the renewable energy, but this funding would allow them to own the project in full and lower energy costs.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimally considered.
  - An ad hoc group considered the pros and cons of purchasing the project instead of continuing with the PPA and ultimately decided it made sense to move forward with purchase as it would benefit all residents. Unclear how many residents were engaged in this decision-making process. The application notes that savings will allow the community to take on other projects such as adding heat pumps to municipal properties, but lacks a commitment or details on how that would happen.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Notes that reduced energy costs will free up funds for heating and electricity assistance to town's less fortunate residents. Need more detail on commitment to using funds for these or other purposes.

Project duration: 12 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  
- Other notes
  - Town will cover portion of purchase not covered by grant.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Waldoboro

**DATE:** 7/28/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Town of Waldoboro
  - Town of Waldoboro Economic Development Committee

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n):
  - Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n):
  - No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n)
  - No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Purchase of Solar Array located at the Waldoboro Transfer Station
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Somewhat aligned with C6 - project does not add new solar energy to the grid, but it does give the Town ownership of their solar array to offset emissions into the future and increase community resilience.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Reasonable project but detail was limited – each project task is described to achieve expected outcome
    - Roles and responsibilities could benefit from some additional detail
  - Task 1: Purchase an existing solar array from Sundog Solar.
    - Total purchase cost is \$164,176.
    - Town voters approved \$82,000 for purchase in June 2023. Will warrant remaining cost less CAG award in November

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Waldoboro

**DATE:** 7/28/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

- Town will assume maintenance costs
- PPA gives town option to purchase in 6th year of contract. Must be completed by June 30, 2024
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely – SOW would achieve the purchase of the solar array
    - Would benefit from additional information on outcomes of purchasing the array
    - Desired outcome appears to be cost savings

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Somewhat aligned – project does not add new solar energy to the grid, but it does give the Town ownership of their solar array to offset emissions into the future and increase community resilience.
    - Project also lays the groundwork for transitioning municipal buildings to clean energy with renewable energy production.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimal – ad hoc community group met to make decision on whether to purchase the array.
    - No additional engagement is planned.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat - Mention of using energy savings to offer energy assistance to disadvantaged groups
    - No outreach planned to those groups

Project duration: 12 months

- Project timeline provided

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
- Other notes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Waterford

**DATE:** 8/15/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - none

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): no
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Spring 2022, fall 2022
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): no

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - LED lighting and Phase II of solar project at town office
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with B2, C7

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Project 1 Install LED lighting in town office
    - Contractor identified and ready to begin
  - Project 2 Install additional solar and tracker at town office
    - Fall 2022 project will generate 63% of town office/fire station energy need
    - Proposed additional solar and tracker cover remaining need plus some
    - Contractor identified and ready to begin
  - Would benefit from information who will oversee the projects for the town
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
  - Town has track record of successful projects

Need

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Waterford

**DATE:** 8/15/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned with MWW
  - Town's objective is for the town's facilities to be a model for energy efficiency and resilience
  - Town office is Center of Refuge

Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderate
  - A future project may install a display in town office entrance of solar production and savings
  - Visible display of clean energy technology and benefits
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimal

Project duration – 12 months

- Basic timeline provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$45,126.55
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, EM lighting incentive
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Will utilize federal tax credit
  - Vendors named, quotes not provided

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Waterford

**DATE:** 8/21/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Municipality
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes, spring 2022 grant active.
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Install LED lighting in the office portion of the Town Hall & Install Phase II of Solar Array to power the Town Hall.
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with MWW actions B2 and C7.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Reasonable. Additional detail for roles and responsibilities for management of work would benefit proposal. Timeline has limited detail, but notes that contractors are ready to do LED install and solar install.
    - Project 1: Install LED lighting in the office portion of the Town Hall
    - Project 2: Install Phase II of solar array to power Town Hall
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve. Seem to have contractors identified for work. Community has demonstrated near completion of prior grant.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Need is well-aligned.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Waterford

**DATE:** 8/21/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- LEDs will be installed in portion of Town Hall which serves at the town's all-season Center of Refuge. Will provide reliable, efficient lighting that will work better if/when space is operated by a generator.
- Improvements will be visible to town residents and visitors.
- Solar installation will demonstrate and help educate residents and visitors on benefits of going solar, as well as taxpayer savings.
- Solar install will provide 63% of historical electric use prior to installation of heat pump system.
- Town has objective to have town facilities be a model for energy efficiency and resiliency.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately considered. Proposal notes that prior heat pump installation and solar project provide the town with the opportunity to educate others about efficient energy systems, but doesn't commit to specific educational programs/posters.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimally considered. Proposal notes that savings will decrease energy costs and enable benefits to be passed along, but doesn't include commitment to realistic investment or reuse of savings.

Project duration: 12 months

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$45,126.55
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - EMT rebate included for lighting.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - N/A
- Other notes
  - Anticipate they may receive a federal tax incentive for solar, but not incorporated in budget due to lack of detail/guidance.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Waterford

**DATE:** 8/10/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - n/a

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Install LED lighting in Town Hall; Install Phase II of a Solar Array to Power Town Hall
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B2 and C7.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Roles and responsibilities are not assigned
  - Task 1: Install LED lighting in office portion of Town Hall
  - Task 2: Install Phase II of Solar Array to Power Town Hall
    - Offset heat pump usage – powering 100% of town hall electricity load
    - 8.6 kW DC
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Waterford

**DATE:** 8/10/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - LED lighting with reduce energy consumption and carbon emissions
    - More reliable lighting area of Town Hall that is utilized as a Center of Refuge
  - Solar installation will add more renewable power to the grid
    - Demonstrate benefits of solar to residents
    - Lead by example

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Minimal
  - Visibility of projects will help to educate residents about benefits.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimal
    - Project will increase resiliency of Center of Refuge available to all residents

**Project duration:** 12 months

- Timeline provided.

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$45,126.55
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Project should be eligible for Federal tax credit
  - Yes – Efficiency Maine light incentive
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Would benefit from including vendor estimates.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Westbrook-Windham

**DATE:** 8/15/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Westbrook DECD
  - GPCOG
  - Other letters from state and delegation for CDS request
  - No letter from Windham

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes for Westbrook, no for Windham
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Multi-community
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large (both)
- SVI (low, med, high): medium (Westbrook)

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Presumpscot River Corridor Landslide Vulnerability Assessment
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Minimally or somewhat aligned with F1, G1

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed scope of work
  - Requesting CRP funds as local match to ~\$1M 2023 CDS funds
  - Task 1: Data Inventory + Geotechnical Evaluation by UMaine engineering faculty
  - Other tasks under CDS funding
    - Task 2: Policy Evaluation + Development
    - Task 3: Recommendations for Future Mitigation
    - Task 4: Program Implementation + Project Closeout
  - Well defined roles for Westbrook, UMaine, MGS, and GPCOG
    - Would benefit from more detail on Windham's role
  - Well defined tasks and outcomes
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Westbook-Windham

**DATE:** 8/15/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Likely to achieve towns' outcomes
- Well-organized scope of work with strong project partners and regional impact

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Minimal alignment with Maine Won't Wait
  - This appears to be a hazard mitigation project consisting of a geotechnical analysis, local policy evaluation, and mitigation recommendations
  - Application would benefit from consideration of possible climate-related conditions that led to the landslide, consideration of climate-related influences in the future stability of other sites along the river, and consideration of climate adaptation and resilience in policy recommendations and future funding requests.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - moderate – meeting minutes will be shared, GPCOG will help to convene stakeholders from these communities in a series of meetings
  - application would benefit from more detail on engagement efforts and ways for public to provide input
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat - Westbrook census tracts are designated LMI
  - Would benefit from specific ways these community members will be engaged and can expect to participate and benefit

Project duration – 24 months (CDS/FEMA funding is 3 years beginning approximately September 2023)

- timeline provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$125,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  -
- Other notes
  - Leverages \$1,266,900 in federal CDS-FEMA funding
  - CAG funding request is only for Task 1 Geotechnical analysis and soil testing

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** City of Westbrook

**DATE:** 8/20/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Town of Windham, Economic & Community Development
  - GPCOG
  - Additional letters of support included from CDS request

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Multi-community
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Large
- SVI (low, med, high): Westbrook=Medium; Windham=Low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Presumpscot River Corridor Landslide Vulnerability Assessment
  
- The proposed scope of work is somewhat aligned with MWW actions F1 and G1. Additional consideration of future climate risks and nature-based solutions needed.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed. Includes clear outcomes, roles and responsibilities, and timeline.
  - Challenging to distill what this grant request will fund out of the larger project.
    - Task 1: Data inventory and geotechnical evaluation. This task includes 4 outcomes/deliverables. - **ONLY requesting funds for this task.**
      - Task 2: Policy evaluation and development. This task includes 6 outcomes/deliverables.
      - Task 3: Recommendations for future mitigation. This task includes 3 outcomes/deliverables.
      - Task 4: Program implementation and project closeout. Programmatic materials will be developed and shared for regionally consistent implementation.
        - Who is consultant for task 4? If not yet identified, how will they be selected?

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** City of Westbrook

**DATE:** 8/20/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Complex project, but several partners and funding sources in place to support this work. Many of the partners have been working together since 2020.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Somewhat aligned. Clear reason for doing work, but not clearly connected to climate/resilience building with climate hazards of the future.
    - 2020 landslide in Westbrook resulted in State of Emergency.
    - Project outcomes will benefit communities along corridor from Portland to Standish, not just Westbrook and Windham.
    - Project will provide science-based guidance on vulnerable and high-risk areas and support enhanced municipal/regional policies.
    - Project in an areas that was identified as highly vulnerable to landslides by county hazard mitigation plan and state hazard mitigation plan.
    - Gaining the data, mapping, and knowledge of potential triggers + vulnerable sites along the corridor will allow municipalities to protect these sites through:
      - Proactive policy changes
      - Focusing future development in less vulnerable areas; and/or
      - Considering additional geotechnical analysis in locations where risk is identified thus reducing future risk + impacts associated with the sensitive soils that contribute to landslide susceptibility.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Expected. GPCOG will convene stakeholders from communities in a series of meetings throughout period of project, plus a special workshop for local and state officials.
  - Will keep communities updated with information on municipal websites and will circulate minutes.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimally considered.
  - Proposal notes that all census tracts in Westbrook are LMI and project will build resiliency/ability to protect LMI homes and support infrastructure in the area.
  - Additional detail on stakeholder engagement and specifically engagement of LMI/other vulnerable populations with complex scientific data would benefit proposal.

Project duration: 24 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$125,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Additional detail on how \$125,000 would be utilized is included by subtask in attachment.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** City of Westbrook

**DATE:** 8/20/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - N/A
- Other notes
  - This request adds to several other funding sources from a CDS request, FEMA, and other state agencies.
  - Additional detail on how \$125,000 would be utilized is included by subtask in attachment.
  - Significant in-kind funds included in detailed budget chart.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Westbrook-Windham

**DATE:** 8/18/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Westbrook DECD
  - GPCOG
  - Other letters from state and delegation for CDS request
  - No letter from Windham

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - Multi-community
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Large
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Presumpscot River Corridor Landslide Vulnerability Assessment
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Somewhat-aligned with F1 and G1.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed
  - CRP funding will be used as a match to support Task 1
  - Roles and responsibilities are clearly defined
  - Task 1: Data Inventory + Geotechnical Evaluation
    - Outcome 1.1 – Available geologic and geotechnical data will be compiled and analyzed by UMaine College of Engineering.

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Westbrook-Windham

**DATE:** 8/18/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Outcome 1.2 – A multi-disciplinary group of experts (i.e., Technical Advisory Panel [TAP]) will be convened to review data, identify gaps and provide input to develop and implement a comprehensive geotechnical investigation.
  - Outcome 1.3 – A comprehensive geotechnical investigation will be conducted by a licensed and qualified geotechnical contractor to harvest additional information needed for the project partners and TAP
  - Outcome 1.4 – A summary report will be prepared by UMaine to provide technical guidance on the triggers associated with the 2020 landslide, and to guide/support the development of policy
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
    - Likely to achieve outcomes.
    - Experienced partners – UMaine College of Engineering's Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Maine Geological Survey, GPCOG
    - Experienced stakeholders - MaineDEP, Maine DOT, MTA, Portland Water District, MEMA/FEMA

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Minimally aligned
  - Lack of consideration of climate impacts in Study scope
  - 2020 landslide in Westbrook resulted in a State of Emergency and impacted municipalities across the region and down river
  - This project will help protect:
    - existing homes and infrastructure, as well as guide future development;
    - regional water quality by addressing riverbank instability;
    - regional drinking water supply by protecting the infrastructure maintained by Portland Water District that crosses the Presumpscot River just south of the 2020 landslide.
  - Landslide locations in Maine are most concentrated within the Presumpscot River Corridor
  - Gaining the data, mapping, and knowledge of potential triggers and vulnerable sites along the corridor will allow municipalities to protect these sites through:
    - Proactive policy changes
    - Focusing future development in less vulnerable areas; and/or
    - Considering additional geotechnical analysis in locations where risk is identified thus reducing future risk and impacts associated with the sensitive soils that contribute to landslide susceptibility.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
  - Workshop for local/state legislators
  - Plan to have experts craft messaging to community members
  - Plan to circulate meeting minutes



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Westbrook-Windham

**DATE:** 8/18/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimally – vulnerable/disadvantaged community members not engaged
  - Identified neighborhoods along the Presumpscot as the most vulnerable

Project duration: 24 months

- Detailed timeline provided.

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$125,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Detailed scope of work and budget narrative attached

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Westport Island

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Senator Reny
  - Representative Stover
  - Lincoln Country Regional Planning Commission
  - Westport Island Select Board
  - Kennebec Estuary Land Trust
  - Westport Island EMA Director
  - Westport Island Road Commissioner

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes, fall 2022 grant is active.
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Sea Level Rise Risks and Adaptation for Westport Island Roads, Bridges, Tidal Inlets and Homes
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with MWW actions F1, G1, G2, and G5.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable.
  - Key personnel have been identified for each task.
    - Task 1: Establish Sea Level Rise Projection Ranges for new infrastructure and for existing infrastructure for 30, 50, and 80 year study periods.
      - Collaboration between Conservation Commission, Maine Geologic Survey, Wright-Pierce, and CoastWise project teams.
    - Task 2: Identify all public and private roads and homes susceptible to SLR and storm surge inundation.

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Westport Island

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Collaboration between Lincoln County Regional Planning Commission and Conservation Commission.
  - Task 3: Conduct pre-design study for 3 known tidal road crossings that are hazards to residents and emergency responders.
    - Wright-Pierce consultant will lead engineering efforts in this task.
  - Task 4: Identify Options for marsh restoration and habitat enhancement at three known tidal inlets impacted by road crossings.
    - Collaboration between Kennebec Estuary Land Trust and Conservation Commission.
  - Task 5: Hold a public hearing to present results of study and recommendations for public comment.
    - Conservation Commission will lead with support from Wright-Pierce and the Kennebec Estuary Land Trust.
  - Task 6: Publish Final Report which will include funding recommendations and potential grant sources for implementation of recommendations and local match requirements.
    - Collaboration between all partners.
- 
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
    - Likely to achieve. Have defined clear outcomes and deliverables. Have identified engineering consultant and additional key partners. Partnership with state agency.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Need is well-aligned.
  - Have identified clear risk to community and community infrastructure from SLR and storm surge at present and into the future.
  - Adaptation work identified as a top priority at community workshop.
  - Several vulnerable areas highlighted in 2021 Lincoln County Hazard Mitigation Plan.
  - Will use Maine's Stream Smart and CoastWise principles in redesign work to effectively incorporate adaptation and environmental restoration.
  - Town will use results from study to apply for follow-on grants for full design and construction of new tidal inlet crossings at three high risk sites studies under this grant.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Well-designed.
  - Will start project with report to residents on goals and objectives of grant followed by a stakeholder outreach meeting to engage the public. Will share survey and solicit public input through digital and print mediums.
  - Will host public hearing to present initial findings and recommendations.
  - Second public hearing will be held to present and discuss final report.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Westport Island

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Well-designed.
- Will focus engagement on abutting property owners to three tidal crossing causeways during redesign/restoration planning.
- Desired outcome of project is to ensure safety of all residents in the events of a storm surge and rising sea levels. Maintain road accessibility for safety and protection of natural resources.

Project duration: 24 months – Anticipated work is planned for ~15 months.

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - N/A
- Other notes
  - Budget includes ~200 hours of in-kind time from project partners.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Westport Island

**DATE:** 8/23/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Sen. Cameron Reny, Senate District 13.
  - Rep. Holly Stover, House District 89
  - Mary Ellen Barnes, Executive Director, Lincoln County Regional Planning Commission
  - Donna Curry, Chair, Westport Island Select Board
  - Ruth Indrick, Kennebec Estuary Land Trust
  - Jason Kates, Director, Westport Island Emergency Management Agency
  - James Cromwell, Westport Island Road Commissioner

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): no
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Fall 2022
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n):
  - Separate teams on active and proposed projects
  - Active project on schedule

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Sea Level Rise Risks and Adaptation for Westport Island Roads, Bridges, Tidal Inlets and Homes
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with F1, G1, G2, G5

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Very detailed and reasonable scope with tasks, deliverables, outcomes, roles and timelines well described
  - Task 1 – Establish Sea Level Rise Projection Ranges over the 75+ Year Life of new road and bridge Improvements and for the 30-year mortgage life and 50 to 80-year study period for existing dwellings and buildings. (Conservation Commission task)

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Westport Island

**DATE:** 8/23/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Task 2 - Identify All Public and Private Roads and Homes Susceptible to SLR and Storm Surge Inundation (Conservation Commission task with model developed by Lincoln County Regional Planning Commission staff)
  - Task 3 – Conduct a Pre-Design Study for 3 Known tidal crossings (Wright-Pierce or equivalent tasks)
  - Task 4 - Identify Options for Marsh Restoration and Habitat Enhancement for three known Tidal Inlets Impacted by Road Crossings. (In conjunction with Kennebec Estuary Land Trust)
  - Task 5 – Conduct a Public Hearing to Present the Results of the Study and the Recommendations for Public Comment
  - Task 6 –Publish a Final Report
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
    - Likely to achieve outcomes
    - Strong project partners with clear roles, tasks, and deliverables
    - Integration with MWW SLR projections, StreamSmart, and CoastWise

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Island community with vulnerable tidal crossings that overtop or flood during storms
  - Town plans to apply for subsequent funding for design and construction of new tidal crossings

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well designed
  - Survey, public hearings, outreach to abutting and affected residents, notification of property owners whose buildings will be impacted by SLR
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat – Project identifies community members who are vulnerable to flood risks. Does not identify disadvantaged community members.

### Project duration – 24 months

- Detailed timeline

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Westport Island

**DATE:** 8/23/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Not required but local match provided
- Other notes
  - Identifies Wright-Pierce or similarly qualified engineering firm

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Westport Island

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Senator Cameron Reny, Senate District 13.
  - Holly Stover, Representative, House District 89
  - Mary Ellen Barnes, Executive Director, Lincoln County Regional Planning Commission
  - Donna Curry, Chair, Westport Island Select Board
  - Ruth Indrick, Kennebec Estuary Land Trust
  - Jason Kates, Director, Westport Island Emergency Management Agency
  - James Cromwell, Westport Island Road Commissioner

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Sea Level Rise Risks and Adaptation for Westport Island Roads, Bridges, Tidal Inlets and Homes
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with F1, G1, G2, and G5.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
- Highly detailed and reasonable
- Deliverables and outcomes were clearly explained and defined.
- Roles and responsibilities were assigned for each task.
- Task 1 – Establish Sea Level Rise Projection Ranges
  - 1.1 Utilize guidelines provided by “Maine Won't Wait”, MDOT, Maine Geological Survey and Maine CoastWise forecasts



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Westport Island

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- 1.2 Select High, Medium, Low and Very Low Risk Tolerance Allowances for use in cost/risk analysis.
- Task 2 - Identify All Public and Private Roads and Homes Susceptible to SLR and Storm Surge Inundation
  - 2.1 Utilize an updated GIS model to examine all tidal inlets and low-lying roads and homes subject to inundation.
  - 2.2 Reach out to residents of susceptible locations to provide information and options.
- Task 3 – Conduct a Pre-Design Study for 3 Known tidal crossings
  - 3.1 Data Collection
  - 3.2 Stakeholder Outreach
  - 3.3 Draft Feasibility Report
  - 3.4 Public Outreach
  - 3.5 Final Feasibility Report
- Task 4 - Identify Options for Marsh Restoration and Habitat Enhancement for three known Tidal Inlets Impacted by Road Crossings.
  - 4.1 Consider Options for Restoration
  - 4.2 Evaluate Ecological Benefits of each option
- Task 5 – Conduct a Public Hearing to Present the Results of the Study and the Recommendations for Public Comment
- Task 6 –Publish a Final Report.
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant’s desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve
  - Very well thought out and detailed scope with actionable tasks.
  - Strong partnerships with experienced agencies and organizations.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Certain roads are already experiencing overtopping and flooding during major storm events, cutting residents off from emergency services
  - New crossings will use “Stream Smart” and “CoastWise” principals, serving as a model for proper adaptation and environmental restoration.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed
  - Outreach strategies to engage residents to educate and offer input are included throughout the process – public meetings and surveys.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project’s outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes and well-designed

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Westport Island

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Personal outreach is planned to residents with homes/properties most vulnerable to SLR to educate them on the impacts and actions they can take.

Project duration: 24 months

- Detailed timeline provided.

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - 200 in-kind volunteer hours

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Wilton

**DATE:** 8/4/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: none

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Heat pump installation in Town Office
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with B4

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1: Install heat pump system in town office
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned
  - Will be town's first energy efficiency project and demonstration of technology and savings
  - Project provides an opening to begin to discuss climate resilience with residents, especially following recent rains and road washouts in Franklin County

Engagement and equity

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Wilton

**DATE:** 8/4/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Describes enrollment workshop, but no specific engagement or education activities are described as part of this project
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Not addressed

Project duration – 12 months

- Basic timeline provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$18,445.60
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Project is not big enough to qualify for EM incentive
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - In-kind staff time provided but not required
- Other notes
  - Estimates provided by vendor (not included in application)

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Wilton

**DATE:** 8/2/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support: None

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small
- SVI (low, med, high): Medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Heat pump installation in the Town Office
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with MWW Action B4.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Two-unit heat pump system will be installed in town office which is currently heated with oil and cooled with window units.
  - Project will be overseen by town manager.
  - Straight forward project. Proposal notes that the installation will act as an educational piece for residents who visit the town office. Additional detail regarding making a quality educational experience, such as pamphlets about EMT incentives, could be beneficial.
    - Task 1: Install Heat Pump System in Town Office
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Proposal to install heat pumps in town office is straight forward and timeline is reasonable, building in expectations regarding contractor scheduling. Likely to achieve.

Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Heat pump installation at town office is well-aligned with MWW.

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Wilton

**DATE:** 8/2/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- This project would mark the beginning of the town's resiliency and efficiency efforts. They hope to see the return it provides. To build buy in from community, needed a project that would demonstrate savings and provide an educational opportunity for residents to see how heat pumps function. Proposal notes a hope that the project will initiate conversation with residents and inspire action.
- Note interest in implementing a bulk purchasing program in the future.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Hosted two meetings as part of community workshops for enrollment. The first was an informational meeting and was covered by the local paper.
  - Utilized worksheet to help identify community priorities and get input from residents who couldn't attend.
  - Does not include specific details about engagement after the project is installed, but hopes that the project will start more conversations. Additional detail about how the town will support those conversations and knowledge building would benefit proposal.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Proposal does not identify or address vulnerable/disadvantaged groups.

Project duration: 12 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$18,445.60
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Proposal notes they are not eligible for EMT incentive based on building/unit size requirements.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
- Other notes
  - Provide in-kind staff time to oversee project valued at \$920.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Wilton

**DATE:** 8/4/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - n/a

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 3
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): medium

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Heat Pump Installation in the Town Office
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with B4.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

**Scope**

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Town Manager to oversee project.
  - Task 1: Install Heat Pump System in the Town Office
    - Two-unit heat pump system
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve desired outcomes.

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Wilton

**DATE:** 8/4/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Well-aligned
- Insufficient heating/cooling in public meeting space
- Reduce use of oil heating system
- Town's first step in increasing energy efficiency in municipal buildings and beginning resiliency efforts.
- Increased education around heat pumps could lead to a community bulk purchase to help residents improve energy efficiency in their homes.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
  - Community engagement and outreach was completed during enrollment process to ensure workshop participation.
  - Heat pumps will serve as a demonstration project for residents to learn from.
  - No additional community engagement planned during/after project.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimally – vulnerable/disadvantaged groups were not identified or engaged.

**Project duration:** 12 months

- Detailed project timeline provided.

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$18,445.60
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Project is too small for an EMT rebate.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - 40 hour in-kind match
  - Vendor estimate referenced but not attached.



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** WindowDressers – Passamoquoddy Tribe at Indian Township and at Pleasant Point

**DATE:**

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Indian Township chief
  - Pleasant Point chief

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Multi-community, tribal
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Weatherization at Indian Township and Pleasant Point
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with H1, H5, H7

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Task 1. Education, Outreach, & Planning
    - Guidance on the following provided by Jasmine Lamb
    - Form Indian Township Resilience Citizens Committee (stipends provided for members) with specific roles defined for each member
    - Including hiring a program coordinator to lead planning for window insert build workshop
    - RFP for bulk purchasing of weatherization services
  - Task 2. Application Review & Selection
    - Both communities - review applications from households to participate in build workshop and weatherization
    - CAG funds only residents of tribally owned housing after other incentives have been maximized
  - Task 3. Project Implementation
    - At least 12 homes will have one or both of: new window inserts and insulation
  - Describes how this application differs from Pleasant Point-Eastport grant

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** WindowDressers – Passamoquoddy Tribe at Indian Township and at Pleasant Point

**DATE:**

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes
  - Roles clearly defined, focus on capacity building
  - Would like to understand reasoning behind target of 24 households
  - Proposal leverages and transfers experience of Pleasant Point community

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned
  - Median income in both communities is well below state
  - Inefficient housing stock
  - Cited a lack of awareness of incentive programs

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well designed
  - Builds capacity by supporting citizens committees and program coordinator
  - Outreach and education activities described
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Well designed
  - Focuses outreach and services on most vulnerable community members

### Project duration – 24 months

- Detailed timeline provided

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request:
  - \$124,998
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Summation error in worksheet for Other Funds
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  -
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, Efficiency Maine
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Yes
- Other notes
  - Perhaps not fund stipends for 2<sup>nd</sup> time on Sipayik Resilience Committee? (\$17,000)

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** WindowDressers-Passamaquoddy

**DATE:** 8/20/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Application submitted by a service provider organization on behalf of a group of communities.
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Passamaquoddy Tribe at Indian Township
  - Passamaquoddy Tribe at Pleasant Point

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): First application for Indian Township and second for Pleasant Point.
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Pleasant Point currently on track to complete their first grant.
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? Multi-community/Tribal
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Small
- SVI (low, med, high): High

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Weatherization at Indian Township and Pleasant Point
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with MWW actions H1, H5, and H7.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable. Includes deliverables, timeline, and anticipated roles and responsibilities. Will hire Project Coordinator in first phase.
    - Phase 1: Education, outreach, planning
      - Form ITRCC
      - Advertise for and hire joint Project Coordinator
      - Recruit 7-8 committee members with specific roles
      - Develop an RFP for bulk purchase
      - Coordinate with WindowDressors to offer in-dept trainings on how to plan and implement a window build.
    - Phase 2: Application Review & Selection
      - Conduct outreach, identify applicants, and measure windows for window insert build while identifying homes for insulation project.
      - Post RFP for insulation services.

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** WindowDressers-Passamaquoddy

**DATE:** 8/20/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Project Coordinator will also seek funding for window inserts/insulation services for community members that don't live in Tribal Housing or projects not covered by existing state/federal programs.
- Phase 3: Project Implementation
  - Insulation work to be completed in August/early September
  - Window insert build to be completed between August and December.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve. Based on existing CRP grant activity in Pleasant Point/Eastport. Working closely with partners at WindowDressers and with Sharon Klein.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned.
  - Both communities are small and isolated with high poverty rates and older populations.
  - Each community is facing rising heating oil costs and looking for ways to increase the efficiency of residential homes, but lacks access to or information about existing assistance programs.
  - Housing stock was not built to last and has deteriorated.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed.
  - Project scope outlines engagement plan for each community.
  - The work is centered around citizen committees.
  - Project coordinator will be the lead on community engagement that meets community needs.
  - Will communicate through several channels with residents to spread information and opportunities for engagement (newspaper, community flyer, Facebook and other social media sites).
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Well-designed.
  - The Coordinator will also discuss the program at council meetings and work with Tribal Housing and LiHEAP offices to communicate directly with residents in most need.
  - Citizen committees will compensate members for attendance. Food will be provided at trainings/build event.

Project duration: 24 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$124,998
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** WindowDressers-Passamaquoddy

**DATE:** 8/20/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes-EMT rebates for insulation included.
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - N/A
- Other notes
  - Cost share/in-kind time plus EMT rebates valued at \$156,395.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** WindowDressers: Passamaquoddy Indian Township & Pleasant Point

**DATE:** 8/9/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Tribal Government
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Indian Township Tribal Government
  - Pleasant Point Reservation

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - Multi-tribal application
- Region(s): 2
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): small
- SVI (low, med, high): high

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Weatherization at Indian Township and Pleasant Point
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with H1, H5, and H7.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Project tasks and deliverables are clearly defined and roles/responsibilities are assigned.
  - Task 1: Education, Outreach, & Planning (Sep 2023-March 2024)
    - Communicate home energy saving benefits of window inserts to tribal members
    - Hire Project Coordinator to manage build
    - Form Indian Township Resilience Citizen Committee – stipends will be offered
    - Collaborate with Tribal Housing, Tribal LIHEAP, MaineHousing Programs, Efficiency Maine and other state and federal programs to implement the Insulation Project.
    - Develop RFP for weatherization bulk purchase needs (insulation)
  - Task 2: Application Review & Selection (April-July 2024)

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** WindowDressers: Passamaquoddy Indian Township & Pleasant Point

**DATE:** 8/9/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Conducting outreach, identifying applicants, and measuring windows for the window insert build while also identifying homes for the spray foam insulation project.
  - Focused outreach on most vulnerable residents – elderly and low-income
- Task 3: Project Implementation (June-December 2024 and beyond)
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve
  - Builds upon an existing program and leverages successes to provide guidance, experience, and expand opportunities.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Small, isolated communities with high poverty rates and older populations.
  - Current reliance on heating oil.
  - Residents desire weatherization upgrades but cannot afford them.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed
  - Task 1 outlines an integrated community engagement strategy that will ongoing throughout the project period.
    - Utilizes multiple communication channels
    - Community events
    - Marketing materials
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes - Project Need identifies tribal members that live on the reservation as vulnerable/disadvantaged – specifically elders and low-income individuals
  - This program will focus efforts on those groups.

### Project duration: 24 months

- Detailed project timeline is provided

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$124,998
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Other funds total is incorrect
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Budget narrative tasks do not align with project scope tasks
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - Yes, Efficiency Maine insulation rebates
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** WindowDressers: Passamaquoddy Indian Township & Pleasant Point

**DATE:** 8/9/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- n/a
- Other notes
  - \$156,395, or 56%, in-kind hours and travel expenses included



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Yarmouth

**DATE:** 8/24/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Casco Baykeeper
  - Casco Bay Estuary Partnership
  - Royal River Alliance
  - Royal River Conservation Trust

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): no
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Fall 2022
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): no
  - Minimal overlap of active and proposed projects

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - Emergency Replacement of Critical Infrastructure: Whitcomb's Brook Crossing Culvert, Yarmouth Wastewater Treatment Plant
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with G3, G5

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Scope is reasonably detailed
  - Task 1 Confirm bid
  - Task 2 Complete site work
  - Task 3 Project outreach – social media and town newsletter
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve outcomes

Need

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Yarmouth

**DATE:** 8/24/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned
  - project will replace a failing, undersized culvert with a Stream Smart sized conveyance that will provide fish and benthic organism passage as well as required hydraulic capacity for future resilience, protecting access to WWTP

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected – town engineer and sustainability coordinator will develop educational communication materials after the project is completed
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimally addressed

### Project duration – 24 months

- Basic timeline
- Confirm with applicant that work has not already started/completed

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Not required, town allocating at least \$650,000
- Other notes
  - Recommend to DOT culvert program

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Yarmouth

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Friends of Casco Bay
  - Casco Bay Estuary Partnership
  - Royal River Alliance
  - Royal River Conservation Trust

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes, fall 2022 grant is active.
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Medium
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Emergency Replacement of Critical Infrastructure: Whitcomb's Brook Crossing Culvert, Yarmouth Wastewater Treatment Plant
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with MWW actions G3 and G5.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable.
  - Town Engineer will oversee bidding and site improvements, ensuring completion of tasks with hired contractor for construction.
  - Will follow Stream Smart specifications for replacement of culvert to provide fish passage and capacity for future resilience. Will protect access to town's wastewater treatment plant.
    - Task 1: Confirm bid with certified contractor to do construction.
    - Task 2: Complete sitework
    - Task 3: Project outreach
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of Yarmouth

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Likely to achieve. Clear outcomes and deliverables. Will follow Stream Smart specifications.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Need is well-aligned.
  - Roadway over culvert is in danger of collapse during major flooding events which poses a possible hazardous scenario if wastewater facility cannot be accessed or untreated wastewater flows into brook and Royal River.
  - Road/culvert only access road to Yarmouth's wastewater treatment facility.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected.
  - Town will develop communications materials to be shared after project completion.
  - Will use project as an opportunity to illustrate town's investment in climate resilience and climate adaptation benefits, as well as value of habitat connectivity and ecosystem health.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Somewhat. Infrastructure this project will address has implications for protecting critical community infrastructure for public health.

Project duration: 24 months

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no) Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - N/A
- Other notes
  - Requested funds will supplement funds from town's wastewater capital reserve account and other town fund sources.
  - Preliminary bid received for project was significantly higher in cost than expected.
  - Are there other culvert/stormwater funds at state level that can be accessed?

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Yarmouth

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - Friends of Casco Bay
  - Casco Bay Estuary Partnership
  - Royal River Alliance
  - Royal River Conservation Trust

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): No
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): Yes
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): medium
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: Whitcomb's Brook Crossing Culvert, Yarmouth Wastewater Treatment Plant
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with G3 and G5.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - The Town Engineer will be leading the project
  - Task 1: Confirming Bid
  - Task 2: Complete Sitework
    - Replace undersized culvert with Stream Smart crossing 7' culvert
  - Task 3: Project Outreach
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Likely to achieve

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Yarmouth

**DATE:** 8/24/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Following DEP Stream Smart crossing standards

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Only vehicular access road to Town's wastewater treatment facility
  - Culvert is in danger of collapsing

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Moderately expected
  - Plan to develop educational communication materials to share with community after project completion.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Minimal – most vulnerable/disadvantaged groups not identified or engaged.

**Project duration:** 12 months

- Timeline provided

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - No costs included in the narrative
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
- Other notes
  - Would benefit from including vendor bid for the project
  - Town providing \$650,000 in funding

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** York

**DATE:** 8/10/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - SMPDC
  - Energy Steering Committee
  - York Community Service Association
  - Habitat for Humanity York County
  - Rep. Gerry Runte
  - Town finance director
  - Abode Energy Management (MA)
  - HeatSmart Alliance (MA)

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): n/a
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): n/a

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? no
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title:
  - York Energy Coach Program: A residential building decarbonization pilot project
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well aligned with H2, H5

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Town will engage York Ready for Climate Action (YRCA, 501c3) to deliver the coaching program supported by:
    - Abode Energy Management for mentoring and backing up coaches (Abode is a Massachusetts-based energy management and consulting company with expertise in residential building science, energy efficiency, and decarbonization)
    - Partnerships with York Community Services Association and Habitat for Humanity to reach low-income and socially vulnerable households
  - Not part of this grant, YRCA will establish a fund for income-qualified households that do not qualify for programs by Efficiency Maine, Maine Housing, and York Co CAP

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** York

**DATE:** 8/10/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Pilot goals provided
- Roles: states that YRCA will provide program management and report to CRP.
  - SMPDC will advise in reporting
  - Town is the grantee and is responsible for grant deliverables and reporting – will need to edit scope to reflect this
- Coaches are unpaid volunteers, roles are well defined
  - How many coaches is the pilot planning to train?
- Task 1 Coaches assist residents
- Task 2 Energy coach training and support
- Task 3 Public engagement
- Task 4 Program admin
- Tasks, roles, deliverables, outcomes are detailed and reasonable.
  - A significant missing target is the number of coaches to be trained
    - Letter of support from HeatSmart Alliance says MA volunteers average 1-2 consultations per month. The applicant's goal of 67 consultations in 12 months would require just 3-5 coaches. The program already has 4 according to page 6.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Somewhat - This is a complex project with many partners, partnerships, and roles. Strong project management will be critical to success.
  - Would like to see a more involvement and a defined role for town staff as the town would be the grantee responsible for the outcomes.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well aligned
  - 70% of voters supported town's climate action plan
  - Home energy efficiency services are fragmented, the incentives can be cumbersome, so homeowners would benefit from assistance to put the pieces together
  - Pilot will demonstrate the need and best practices for a full time program

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Well-designed but more detail needed in scope or work - Strong partners that can help reach low-income and disadvantaged community members, but the application would benefit from substantially more detail on the how the pilot would collaborate with these organizations.
    - Letters of support from YSCA and HfH say that the organizations will refer clients to the coaches



**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** York

**DATE:** 8/10/2023

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Brian Ambrette

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Target for low-income installations seems low, would like more information to justify this number.

Project duration – 12 months for the grant but project is a 2-year pilot from April 2023 to March 2024

- Detailed timeline

Strong project concept and good candidate for funding. But requires additional detail.

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request:
  - \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
  - Need more detailed breakdown of how CAG funds will be deployed in Tasks 2 and 4.
  - Nearly all of the grant funds support personnel – consultant or YRCA executive director. In this case we need more robust description of deliverables and targets.
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - Not required but \$46,000 to be provided by fundraising – what is current fundraising status and amount?
- Other notes
  - Letter of support from town finance director states support provided the town signs MOU with YRCA and planning department hires an environmental planner – need confirmation of these two pieces

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of York

**DATE:** 8/10/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - York Ready for Climate Action (YRCA)
  - SMPDC
  - York's Energy Steering Committee
  - York Community Services Association
  - Habitat for Humanity York County
  - Representative Gerry Runte
  - Finance Director, Town of York
  - Abode
  - HeatSmart Alliance

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application? No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): Large
- SVI (low, med, high): Low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won't Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: York Energy Coach Program: A Residential Building Decarbonization Pilot Project
  
- The proposed scope of work is well-aligned with MWW Actions H2 and H5.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Scope is detailed and reasonable. Roles and responsibilities are well defined.
  - Tasks and deliverables include:
    - Assisting residents through website inquiries and referrals
    - Training for energy coaches
    - Public engagement through marketing materials, news articles, program evaluation, website, etc.
    - Program management
  - Includes Program Targets for 12-months grant period:
    - 67 client consults, 33 installations, 10 low-income or socially vulnerable households.

## STATE OF MAINE INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of York

**DATE:** 8/10/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Final report and case study will be delivered to York Select Board at end of 12 month grant period though pilot will be 2 years.
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Complex project, but reasonably likely to achieve. Have several well-defined roles and responsibilities, but town role should be identified as grant applicant. Several project partners on board. Program currently in trial phase/pre-pilot.

### Need

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned.
  - Fits within York Climate Action Plan which identifies household emissions as nearly half of town emissions.
  - Will help consumers access information and financial incentives to retrofit their homes.
  - Will demonstrate that personal assistance can increase acceptance of carbon-cutting actions and accelerate rate of adoption.
  - Will address challenges faced by disadvantaged populations by working with York County Habitat for Humanity to establish relationships and provide additional financial assistance through establishment of Household Equity Fund.

### Engagement and equity

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well designed.
  - Will maintain website with information.
  - Planned presentations at York Public Library and community organizations such as Rotary Club, Senior Center.
  - Will continue to contribute new articles to local paper.
  - Tabling events. Will solicit feedback for evaluation and improving coaching service.
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Well-designed.
  - Will work closely with York County Habitat for Humanity to deliver services to their client bases and identify unique challenges faced by those clients. For income-qualified households, will provide additional assistance where needed by accessing York County Community Action Agency and by establishing a Household Equity Fund to pay for costs that aren't covered by existing state programs.

Project duration: 12 months, but describes 2-year pilot

### Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no) Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Budget worksheet and narrative do not align in a clear manner.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** Town of York

**DATE:** 8/10/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Caroline Colan

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Governor's Energy Office

- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives) N/A
- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a) N/A
  
- Other notes
  - \$46,000 anticipated from other grants and private fundraising. Proposal notes this is in process. Status?

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** York

**DATE:** 8/9/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

\*\*\*\*\*

**Individual Evaluator Comments:**

**Criteria 1 – General Information, Eligibility, and Applicant Information**

- Community type: Municipality
- Community/Partner/other Letters of Support:
  - York’s Energy Steering Committee
  - York Community Service Association
  - York County Habitat for Humanity
  - Rep. Gerry Runte
  - Abode Energy Management
  - HeatSmart Alliance

**Criteria 2 – Previous Community Action Grant Status**

- First-time applicant (y/n): Yes
- Does the community currently have an active community action grant? (y/n): No
- Has an extension ever been requested for the active grant? (y/n): No

**Criteria 3 – Community Characteristics**

- Multi-community, UT, or tribal application?
  - No
- Region(s): 1
- Population size (small <4,000; medium 4,000-10,000): large
- SVI (low, med, high): low

**Criteria 4 – Maine Won’t Wait Strategy and action(s)**

- Project title: York Energy Coach Program: A Residential Building Decarbonization Pilot Program
  
- The proposed scope of work is [*well-aligned, somewhat aligned, minimally aligned*] with the stated MWW strategy and/or actions? (i.e., will the project lead to reduced emissions or improved community resilience to climate impacts?)
  - Well-aligned with H2 and H5.

**Criteria 5 – Scope of Work**

Scope

- Tasks, deliverables, timeline, roles and responsibilities, and outcomes are [*detailed and reasonable, partially described, minimally described*].
  - Detailed and reasonable
  - Project description and goals are clearly outlined
  - Roles and responsibilities are assigned.
  - Task 1: Coach assists residents to decarbonize their homes.
    - Volunteers work with neighbors to provide information on energy audits, weatherization, heat pumps, financial incentives, and potential savings.
    - Connect residents with vendors.

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** York

**DATE:** 8/9/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Task 2: Energy coach training and support
  - Abode Energy Management to train coaches and develop toolkit
- Task 3: Public engagement
  - Develop marketing materials, hold public events, publish news columns, distribute surveys
- Task 4: Program management
  
- Is the scope of work likely to achieve the applicant's desired outcomes? [*likely, somewhat, not likely/unable to determine*]
  - Somewhat likely to achieve outcomes
  - Complex project with many partners and roles, strong project management will be critical

**Need**

- Does the project need align with MWW? [*well-aligned, somewhat, minimally*] (i.e., is the climate connection strong enough to merit funding?)
  - Well-aligned
  - Residential buildings are the largest contributor to GHG emissions in York – buildings make up 74% of all community emissions
  - York Climate Action Plan call for a 50% decrease in emissions by 2030 and 100% cut by 2050.
  - To reach these goals, residents must take action to decarbonize their homes.

**Engagement and equity**

- Community participation in the project is [*robust and well-designed, moderately expected, minimally considered, not applicable to scope*].
  - Robust and well-designed
  - An integrated community engagement plan is outlined in Task 3 of the scope
- Does the proposal describe how vulnerable or disadvantaged groups will participate in the project and/or benefit from the project's outcomes? (*yes and well-designed, somewhat, minimally/not expected*)
  - Yes – identified elderly and low-income individuals as most vulnerable.
  - Working with key partners to reach these groups – York Community Services Association, York Housing Authority, and York County Habitat for Humanity
  - For income-qualified households, the Program will provide additional assistance by accessing the Maine Housing and York County Community Action programs and the Household Equity Fund to pay for costs that aren't covered by other programs.

**Project duration: 12 months**

- Detailed timeline provided.

**Criteria 6 – Budget Proposal**

- Total request: \$50,000
- Is the budget math correct? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Does the budget worksheet match the budget narrative? (yes/no)
  - Yes
- Are other sources of funding included where required? (e.g., Efficiency Maine incentives)
  - n/a

**STATE OF MAINE  
INDIVIDUAL EVALUATION NOTES**

**RFA #:** 202305100

**RFA TITLE:** Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grants

**BIDDER NAME:** York

**DATE:** 8/9/23

**EVALUATOR NAME:** Ashley Krulik

**EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** GOPIF

- Is the cost share provided, if required? (yes, no, n/a)
  - n/a
  
- Other notes
  - Remaining \$46,000 cost will be covered by foundation grants and fundraising activities.



**STATE OF MAINE**  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

Janet T. Mills  
Governor

Hannah Pingree  
Director

**AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**  
**RFA# 202305100**

**RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant**

I, Brian Ambrette accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFP.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand and agree that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner without bias or prejudice. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

**I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the award decision notices for public distribution.**

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'B. Ambrette'.

7/25/2023

---

Signature

---

Date





**STATE OF MAINE**  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

Janet T. Mills  
Governor

Hannah Pingree  
Director

**AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**  
**RFA# 202305100**

**RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant**

I, Caroline Colan accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFP.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand and agree that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner without bias or prejudice. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

**I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the award decision notices for public distribution.**

*Caroline Colan*

Signature

7/26/2023

Date



**STATE OF MAINE**  
Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future

Janet T. Mills  
Governor

Hannah Pingree  
Director

**AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**  
**RFA# 202305100**

**RFA TITLE: Community Resilience Partnership Community Action Grant**

I,  Ashley Krulik  accept the offer to become a member of the Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for the State of Maine Governor's Office of Policy Innovation and the Future. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in this agreement AND hereby disclose any affiliation or relationship I may have in connection with a bidder who has submitted a proposal to this RFP.

Neither I nor any member of my immediate family have a personal or financial interest, direct or indirect, in the bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest" may include, but is not limited to: current or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former Board membership; current or former employment with the bidder; current or former personal contractual relationship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); and/or current or former relationship to a bidder's official which could reasonably be construed to constitute a conflict of interest (personal relationships may be perceived by the public as a potential conflict of interest).

I have not advised, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the preparation of any proposal submitted in response to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of support or similar endorsement.

I understand and agree that the evaluation process is to be conducted in an impartial manner without bias or prejudice. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, there are no circumstances that would reasonably support a good faith charge of bias. I further understand that in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it will rest with me to decide whether I should be disqualified from participation in the evaluation process.

**I agree to hold confidential all information related to the contents of Requests for Proposals presented during the review process until such time as the Department formally releases the award decision notices for public distribution.**

*Ashley R. Krulik*

7/28/2023

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date