State of Maine Master Score Sheet

RFA# 202305111 **Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot** MSAD 46 Dexter MSAD #13/ **Bidder Name:** Madawaska **Regional High MSAD #27 RSU #83** School \$6000.00 \$2199.00 \$4300.00 \$6000.00 **Proposed Cost: Points Scoring Sections Available** Pass/Fail Pass Pass Pass Criteria I: Eligibility to Apply Pass Criteria II: EHR System Pass/Fail Pass Pass Pass Pass Requirement Criteria III: Prioritization Points 5 5 5 10 10 Criteria IV: EHR Selection 65 60 62 30 62 Criteria V: Budget & Budget 25 25 25 20 25 Narrative **TOTAL** 100 90 97 55 92 **RSU #68 RSU #87 Bidder Name: MSAD #35 MSAD #74 Proposed Cost:** \$6000.00 \$5775.00 \$5893.00 \$5300.00 **Points Scoring Sections Available** Pass/Fail Pass Pass Pass Criteria I: Eligibility to Apply Pass Criteria II: EHR System Pass/Fail Pass Pass Pass Pass Requirement Criteria III: Prioritization Points 5 0 10 10 10 65 55 60 62 Criteria IV: EHR Selection 57 Criteria V: Budget & Budget 25 25 25 25 25 Narrative **TOTAL** 100 85 85 97 92

State of Maine Master Score Sheet

RFA# 202305111					
Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot					
	Bidder Name:	RSU #9	Wells Ogunquit CSD #18		
F	Proposed Cost:	\$6000.00	\$6000.00		
Scoring Sections	Points Available				
Criteria I: Eligibility to Apply	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass		
Criteria II: EHR System Requirement	Pass/Fail	Pass	Pass		
Criteria III: Prioritization Points	10	10	5		
Criteria IV: EHR Selection	65	62	50		
Criteria V: Budget & Budget Narrative	25	25	20		
TOTAL	<u>100</u>	<u>97</u>	<u>75</u>		
	Bidder Name:				
ı	Proposed Cost:				
Scoring Sections	Points Available				
Criteria I: Eligibility to Apply	Pass/Fail				
Criteria II: EHR System Requirement	Pass/Fail				
Criteria III: Prioritization Points	10				
Criteria IV: EHR Selection	65				
Criteria V: Budget & Budget Narrative	25				
TOTAL	<u>100</u>				

STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



Pender Makin Commissioner

August 28, 2023

Christian Arnold, Principal Madawaska School District 353 11th Avenue Madawaska, ME 04756 chrarn@madawaskaschools.org

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA #202305111,

Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

Dear Mr. Arnold,

This letter is regarding the Request for Application (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Education for Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following applicants:

- Madawaska School District
- Maine School Administrative District #46
- Maine School Administrative District #13
- Maine School Administrative District #27
- Maine School Administrative District #35
- Maine School Administrative District #74
- Regional School Unit #9
- Regional School Unit #68
- Regional School Unit #87
- Wells-Ogunquit Consolidated School District

The applicants listed above met the requirements listed within RFA #202305111. All organizations are highly encouraged to review the feedback to their application. To do this, please view the Selection Package associated with RFA #202305111 on the State of Maine's Division of Procurement Services website, https://www.maine.gov/dafs/bbm/procurementservices/vendors/grants.

- the total award dollar amount
- appropriate usage of federal funding for purchasing food, supplies and materials
- identification of the Electronic Health Record Champion

As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful applicant.

The applicant shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely, Emily Poland

Emily Poland, MPH, RN, NCSN Maine Department of Education

8/28/2023

STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).

STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



Governor

Pender Makin Commissioner

August 28, 2023

Marcy Melcher, District Clinical Social Worker **RSU #13** 110 Meadow St Bingham, ME 04920 marcy.melcher@sad13.org

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA #202305111,

Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

Dear Ms. Melcher,

This letter is regarding the Request for Application (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Education for Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following applicants:

- Maine School Administrative District #13
- Madawaska School District
- Maine School Administrative District #46
- Maine School Administrative District #27
- Maine School Administrative District #35
- Maine School Administrative District #74
- Regional School Unit #9
- Regional School Unit #68
- Regional School Unit #87
- Wells-Ogunquit Consolidated School District

The applicants listed above met the requirements listed within RFA #202305111. All organizations are highly encouraged to review the feedback to their application. To do this, please view the Selection Package associated with RFA #202305111 on the State of Maine's Division of Procurement Services website, https://www.maine.gov/dafs/bbm/procurementservices/vendors/grants.

- the total award dollar amount
- appropriate usage of federal funding for purchasing food, supplies and materials
- identification of the Electronic Health Record Champion

As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful applicant.

The applicant shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely, Emily Poland

Emily Poland, MPH, RN, NCSN Maine Department of Education

8/28/2023

STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).

STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



Governor

Pender Makin Commissioner

August 28, 2023

Ben Sirois, Superintendent Monique Michaud, RN **MSAD #27** 84 Pleasant Street Fort Kent, ME 04743 moniquemichaud@sad27.org; bsirois@vuesc.org

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA #202305111,

Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

Dear Mr. Sirois and Ms. Michaud,

This letter is regarding the Request for Application (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Education for Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following applicants:

- Maine School Administrative District #27
- Maine School Administrative District #13
- Madawaska School District
- Maine School Administrative District #46
- Maine School Administrative District #35
- Maine School Administrative District #74
- Regional School Unit #9
- Regional School Unit #68
- Regional School Unit #87
- Wells-Ogunquit Consolidated School District

The applicants listed above met the requirements listed within RFA #202305111. All organizations are highly encouraged to review the feedback to their application. To do this, please view the Selection Package associated with RFA #202305111 on the State of Maine's Division of Procurement Services website, https://www.maine.gov/dafs/bbm/procurementservices/vendors/grants.

- the total award dollar amount
- appropriate usage of federal funding for purchasing food, supplies and materials
- identification of the Electronic Health Record Champion

As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful applicant.

The applicant shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely, Emily Poland

C3233348CFF7483...

Emily Poland, MPH, RN, NCSN

Maine Department of Education

8/28/2023

STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).

STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



Governor

Pender Makin Commissioner

August 28, 2023

Angie Buker, School Nurse MSAD 46, Dexter Regional High School 12 Abbott Hill Rd Dexter, ME 04930 abuker@aos94.org

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA #202305111,

Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

Dear Ms. Buker,

This letter is regarding the Request for Application (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Education for Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following applicants:

- Maine School Administrative District #46
- Madawaska School District
- Maine School Administrative District #13
- Maine School Administrative District #27
- Maine School Administrative District #35
- Maine School Administrative District #74
- Regional School Unit #9
- Regional School Unit #68
- Regional School Unit #87
- Wells-Ogunquit Consolidated School District

The applicants listed above met the requirements listed within RFA #202305111. All organizations are highly encouraged to review the feedback to their application. To do this, please view the Selection Package associated with RFA #202305111 on the State of Maine's Division of Procurement Services website, https://www.maine.gov/dafs/bbm/procurementservices/vendors/grants.

- the total award dollar amount
- appropriate usage of federal funding for purchasing food, supplies and materials
- identification of the Electronic Health Record Champion

As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful applicant.

The applicant shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely, Emily Poland

Emily Poland, MPH, RN, NCSN Maine Department of Education

8/28/2023

STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).

STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



Pender Makin Commissioner

August 28, 2023

Laurie Hanson-Hiscock, School Nurse MSAD #74 56 North Main St. North Anson, ME 04958 Lhanson-hiscock@carrabec.org

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA #202305111,

Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

Dear Ms. Hanson-Hiscock,

This letter is regarding the Request for Application (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Education for Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following applicants:

- Maine School Administrative District #74
- Madawaska School District
- Maine School Administrative District #46
- Maine School Administrative District #13
- Maine School Administrative District #27
- Maine School Administrative District #35
- Regional School Unit #68
- Regional School Unit #87
- Wells-Ogunquit Consolidated School District

The applicants listed above met the requirements listed within RFA #202305111. All organizations are highly encouraged to review the feedback to their application. To do this, please view the Selection Package associated with RFA #202305111 on the State of Maine's Division of Procurement Services website, https://www.maine.gov/dafs/bbm/procurementservices/vendors/grants.

- the total award dollar amount
- appropriate usage of federal funding for purchasing food, supplies and materials
- identification of the Electronic Health Record Champion

As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful applicant.

The applicant shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely, Emily Poland

Emily Poland, MPH, RN, NCSN Maine Department of Education

8/28/2023

STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).

- the total award dollar amount
- appropriate usage of federal funding for purchasing food, supplies and materials
- identification of the Electronic Health Record Champion

As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful applicant.

The applicant shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely, Emily Poland

C32333348CFF7483...

Emily Poland, MPH, RN, NCSN

Maine Department of Education

8/28/2023

STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).

STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



Janet T. Mills Governor Pender Makin Commissioner

August 28, 2023

Stacy Shorey, Superintendent RSU #68 572 Bangor Rd. Dover-Foxcroft, ME 04426 sshorey@sedomocha.org

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA #202305111,

Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

Dear Ms. Shorey,

This letter is regarding the Request for Application (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Education for Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following applicants:

- Regional School Unit #68
- Madawaska School District
- Maine School Administrative District #46
- Maine School Administrative District #13
- Maine School Administrative District #27
- Maine School Administrative District #35
- Maine School Administrative District #74
- Regional School Unit #9
- Regional School Unit #87
- Wells-Ogunquit Consolidated School District

The applicants listed above met the requirements listed within RFA #202305111. All organizations are highly encouraged to review the feedback to their application. To do this, please view the Selection Package associated with RFA #202305111 on the State of Maine's Division of Procurement Services website, https://www.maine.gov/dafs/bbm/procurementservices/vendors/grants.

- the total award dollar amount
- appropriate usage of federal funding for purchasing food, supplies and materials
- identification of the Electronic Health Record Champion

As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful applicant.

The applicant shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely, Emily Poland

C32333348CFF7483...

Emily Poland, MPH, RN, NCSN

Maine Department of Education

8/28/2023

STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).

STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



Pender Makin Commissioner

August 28, 2023

Mark Turner, Superintendent Regional School Unit #87 44 Plymouth Rd. Carmel ME 04419 mturner@rsu87.org

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA #202305111,

Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

Dear Mr. Turner,

This letter is regarding the Request for Application (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Education for Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following applicants:

- Regional School Unit #87
- Maine School Administrative District #35
- Madawaska School District
- Maine School Administrative District #46
- Maine School Administrative District #13
- Maine School Administrative District #27
- Maine School Administrative District #74
- Regional School Unit #9
- Regional School Unit #68
- Wells-Ogunquit Consolidated School District

The applicants listed above met the requirements listed within RFA #202305111. All organizations are highly encouraged to review the feedback to their application. To do this, please view the Selection Package associated with RFA #202305111 on the State of Maine's Division of Procurement Services website, https://www.maine.gov/dafs/bbm/procurementservices/vendors/grants.

- the total award dollar amount
- appropriate usage of federal funding for purchasing food, supplies and materials
- identification of the Electronic Health Record Champion

As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful applicant.

The applicant shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely, Emily Poland

Emily Poland, MPH, RN, NCSN Maine Department of Education

8/28/2023

STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).

STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



Pender Makin Commissioner

August 28, 2023

Janneke Strickland, BSN, RN, NCSN Regional School Unit #9 129 Seamon Rd., Suite 2 Farmington, ME 04938 Jstrickland@mtbluersd.org

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA #202305111,

Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

Dear Ms. Strickland,

This letter is regarding the Request for Application (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Education for Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following applicants:

- Regional School Unit #9
- Madawaska School District
- Maine School Administrative District #46
- Maine School Administrative District #13
- Maine School Administrative District #27
- Maine School Administrative District #35
- Maine School Administrative District #74
- Regional School Unit #68
- Regional School Unit #87
- Wells-Ogunquit Consolidated School District

The applicants listed above met the requirements listed within RFA #202305111. All organizations are highly encouraged to review the feedback to their application. To do this, please view the Selection Package associated with RFA #202305111 on the State of Maine's Division of Procurement Services website, https://www.maine.gov/dafs/bbm/procurementservices/vendors/grants.

- the total award dollar amount
- appropriate usage of federal funding for purchasing food, supplies and materials
- identification of the Electronic Health Record Champion

As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful applicant.

The applicant shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely, Emily Poland

Emily Poland, MPH, RN, NCSN Maine Department of Education

8/28/2023

STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).

STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION



Janet T. Mills Governor Pender Makin Commissioner

August 28, 2023

Patricia Endsley, RN Wells Ogunquit CSD #18 1460 Post Rd Wells, ME 04090 pendsley@wocsd.org

SUBJECT: Notice of Conditional Contract Awards under RFA #202305111,

Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

Dear Dr. Endsley,

This letter is regarding the Request for Application (RFA), issued by the State of Maine Department of Education for Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot. The Department has evaluated the applications received using the evaluation criteria identified in the RFA, and the Department is hereby announcing its conditional contract awards to the following applicants:

- Wells-Ogunquit Consolidated School District
- Madawaska School District
- Maine School Administrative District #35
- Maine School Administrative District #46
- Maine School Administrative District #13
- Maine School Administrative District #27
- Maine School Administrative District #74
- Regional School Unit #9
- Regional School Unit #68
- Regional School Unit #87

The applicants listed above met the requirements listed within RFA #202305111. All organizations are highly encouraged to review the feedback to their application. To do this, please view the Selection Package associated with RFA #202305111 on the State of Maine's Division of Procurement Services website, https://www.maine.gov/dafs/bbm/procurementservices/vendors/grants.

The Department will be contacting the aforementioned applicants soon. Contract negotiations will include, but not be limited to:

- the total award dollar amount
- appropriate usage of federal funding for purchasing food, supplies and materials
- identification of the Electronic Health Record Champion

As provided in the RFA, the Notice of Conditional Contract Award is subject to execution of a written contract and, as a result, this Notice does NOT constitute the formation of a contract between the Department and the apparent successful applicant.

The applicant shall not acquire any legal or equitable rights relative to the contract services until a contract containing terms and conditions acceptable to the Department is executed. The Department further reserves the right to cancel this Notice of Conditional Contract Award at any time prior to the execution of a written contract.

As stated in the RFA, following announcement of this award decision, all submissions in response to the RFA are considered public records available for public inspection pursuant to the State of Maine Freedom of Access Act (FOAA). 1 M.R.S. §§ 401 et seq.; 5 M.R.S. § 1825-B (6).

This award decision is conditioned upon final approval by the State Procurement Review Committee and the successful negotiation of a contract. A Statement of Appeal Rights has been provided with this letter; see below.

Thank you for your interest in doing business with the State of Maine.

Sincerely, Emily Poland

C3233348CFF7483...

Emily Poland, MPH, RN, NCSN Maine Department of Education

STATEMENT OF APPEAL RIGHTS

Any person aggrieved by an award decision may request an appeal hearing. The request must be made to the Director of the Bureau of General Services, in writing, within 15 days of notification of the contract award as provided in 5 M.R.S. § 1825-E (2) and the Rules of the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, Bureau of General Services, Division of Purchases, Chapter 120, § (2) (2).

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER: Madawaska DATE: 8/2/2023

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Education

Name of RFP Coordinator: Emily Poland

FACILITATOR: Jennifer Tarr

Names of Evaluators: Staci Warren, Bear Shea, Emily Poland

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Criteria 1: Eligibility to Apply	Pass	
Criteria 2: EHR System Requirement	Pass	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Criteria 3: Prioritization Points	10	5
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65	60
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25	25
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	90

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER: Madawaska DATE: 8/2/2023

OVERVIEW OF PASS/FAIL CRITERIA

Criteria 1: Eligibility to Apply
raluation Team Comments: Pass – Public School District and plan for all the schools to participate. Staci ted that the application was not signed.
Criteria 2: EHR System Requirement

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER: Madawaska DATE: 8/2/2023

EVALUATION OF SCORING SECTIONS

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Criteria 3. Prioritization Points	10	5

Evaluation Team Comments:

• 5 Points in criteria 3, didn't check off that they were economically disadvantaged.

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Criteria 4. EHR Selection	65	60

Evaluation Team Comments:

- Seems like it meets all criteria points, has a plan for sustainability
- Team agrees
- They have a good plan with description of acting plan
- Score of 60 agreed on the team

	Points Available	Points Awarded
Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative	25	25

Evaluation Team Comments:

• Budget is appropriate and in line with the requirements

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER: MSAD 46 Dexter Regional High School

DATE: 8/2/2023

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Education

Name of RFP Coordinator: Emily Poland

FACILITATOR: Jennifer Tarr

Names of Evaluators: Staci Warren, Bear Shea, Emily Poland

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Criteria 1: Eligibility to Apply	Pass	
Criteria 2: EHR System Requirement	Pass	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Criteria 3: Prioritization Points	10	10
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65	62
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25	25
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>97</u>

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot BIDDER: MSAD 46 Dexter Regional High School

DATE: 8/2/2023

OVERVIEW OF PASS/FAIL CRITERIA

	Criteria 1: Eligibility to Apply				
Eva	Evaluation Team Comments: Pass – Public School District and plan for all the schools to participate.				
	Criteria 2: EHR System Requirement				

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER: MSAD 46 Dexter Regional High School

DATE: 8/2/2023

EVALUATION OF SCORING SECTIONS

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Criteria 3. Prioritization Points	10	10

Evaluation Team Comments:

Economically disadvantaged and don't use EHR

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Criteria 4. EHR Selection	65	62

Evaluation Team Comments:

- They already used EHR but are going to expand to mental health and take advantage of direct billing to mainecare which they couldn't previously do
- Liked how they will work with social workers and how it will integrate the comprehensive school records
- They want to include the entire district

	Points Available	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative	25	25

Evaluation Team Comments:

- Budget is appropriate and in line with the RFA
- Budget was less because of buying add ons, could we give them more than they requested? Or is it what they are requesting?
- It would have to be shown how they're spending it and what it will be used for

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER: MSAD 13 RSU 83

DATE: 8/2/2023

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Education

Name of RFP Coordinator: Emily Poland

FACILITATOR: Jennifer Tarr

Names of Evaluators: Staci Warren, Bear Shea, Emily Poland

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Criteria 1: Eligibility to Apply	Pass	
Criteria 2: EHR System Requirement	Pass	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Criteria 3: Prioritization Points	10	5
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65	30
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25	20
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>55</u>

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER: MSAD 13 RSU 83

DATE: 8/2/2023

OVERVIEW OF PASS/FAIL CRITERIA

	Criteria 1: Eligibility to Apply
Ev	raluation Team Comments: Pass
	Criteria 2: EHR System Requirement

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER: MSAD 13 RSU 83

DATE: 8/2/2023

EVALUATION OF SCORING SECTIONS

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Criteria 3. Prioritization Points	10	5

Evaluation Team Comments:

- They did respond to all of the questions
- Didn't get the sense that they were going to utilize keeping notes separate
- They do not use an EHR thus awarding 5 pts

	Points Available	Points Awarded
Criteria 4. EHR Selection	65	30

Evaluation Team Comments:

- Concern with integration outcomes
- Sustainability plan is weak
- No stipend for person doing the work
- No training mentioned
- They use an app (Therap) for mental health and unsure if this will integrate

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative	25	20

Evaluation Team Comments:

• No stipend for the person doing the work

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER: MSAD 27 **DATE:** 8/2/2023

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Education

Name of RFP Coordinator: Emily Poland

FACILITATOR: Jennifer Tarr

Names of Evaluators: Staci Warren, Bear Shea, Emily Poland

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Criteria 1: Eligibility to Apply	Pass	
Criteria 2: EHR System Requirement	Pass	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Criteria 3: Prioritization Points	10	5
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65	62
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25	25
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	92

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER: MSAD 27 **DATE:** 8/2/2023

OVERVIEW OF PASS/FAIL CRITERIA

	Criteria 1: Eligibility to Apply				
Ev	Evaluation Team Comments: Pass				
	Criteria 2: EHR System Requirement				

Evaluation Team Comments: PAss

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER: MSAD 27 **DATE:** 8/2/2023

EVALUATION OF SCORING SECTIONS

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Criteria 3. Prioritization Points	10	5

Evaluation Team Comments:

Doesn't use an EHR

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Criteria 4. EHR Selection	65	62

Evaluation Team Comments:

- EHR champion
- Software training, development, and a stipend listed
- Thoroughly completed

	Points Available	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative	25	25

Evaluation Team Comments:

• Appropriate and in line

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER: MSAD 35 **DATE:** 8/2/2023

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Education

Name of RFP Coordinator: Emily Poland

FACILITATOR: Jennifer Tarr

Names of Evaluators: Staci Warren, Bear Shea, Emily Poland

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Criteria 1: Eligibility to Apply	Pass	
Criteria 2: EHR System Requirement	Pass	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Criteria 3: Prioritization Points	10	5
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65	55
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25	25
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>85</u>

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER: MSAD 35 **DATE:** 8/2/2023

OVERVIEW OF PASS/FAIL CRITERIA

	Criteria 1: Eligibility to Apply
Ev	aluation Team Comments: Pass
	Criteria 2: EHR System Requirement

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER: MSAD 35 **DATE:** 8/2/2023

EVALUATION OF SCORING SECTIONS

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Criteria 3. Prioritization Points	10	5

Evaluation Team Comments:

• They don't use an EHR in a comprehensive manner

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Criteria 4. EHR Selection	65	55

Evaluation Team Comments:

- Infinite campus for medical billing and social workers only currently
- Are they going to integrate with social services or stay separate
- Theyre using SNAP for nursing so should be integrating
 - Expanding to social work
- Just listed items, wasn't in a narrative form, no benefits listed

_

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative	25	25

Evaluation Team Comments:

Budget is appropriate and in line with the RFA

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER: MSAD 74 **DATE:** 8/2/2023

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Education

Name of RFP Coordinator: Emily Poland

FACILITATOR: Jennifer Tarr

Names of Evaluators: Staci Warren, Bear Shea, Emily Poland

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Criteria 1: Eligibility to Apply	Pass	
Criteria 2: EHR System Requirement	Pass	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Criteria 3: Prioritization Points	10	0
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65	60
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25	25
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>85</u>

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER: MSAD 74 **DATE:** 8/2/2023

OVERVIEW OF PASS/FAIL CRITERIA

	Criteria 1: Eligibility to Apply
	aluation Team Comments: Pass – didn't check the boxes but are a public school district. Could've been
sys	stem error
	Criteria 2: EHR System Requirement

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER: MSAD 74 **DATE:** 8/2/2023

EVALUATION OF SCORING SECTIONS

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Criteria 3. Prioritization Points	10	0

Evaluation Team Comments:

• Didn't check off any of the boxes so not answered

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Criteria 4. EHR Selection	65	60

Evaluation Team Comments:

- Counting on billing to offset cost to continue
- Liked that the school nurse would be the EHR person

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative	25	25

Evaluation Team Comments:

• In line and appropriate with the RFA

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER: RSU 9 **DATE:** 8/7/2023

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Education

Name of RFP Coordinator: Emily Poland

FACILITATOR: Jennifer Tarr

Names of Evaluators: Staci Warren, Bear Shea, Emily Poland

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Criteria 1: Eligibility to Apply	Pass	
Criteria 2: EHR System Requirement	Pass	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Criteria 3: Prioritization Points	10	10
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65	62
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25	25
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	97

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER: RSU 9 **DATE:** 8/7/2023

OVERVIEW OF PASS/FAIL CRITERIA

	Criteria 1: Eligibility to Apply	
Ev	valuation Team Comments: Pass	
	Criteria 2: EHR System Requirement	

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER: RSU 9 **DATE:** 8/7/2023

EVALUATION OF SCORING SECTIONS

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Criteria 3. Prioritization Points	10	10

Evaluation Team Comments:

• They meet both areas of criteria, using PowerSchool and selected SNAP

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Criteria 4. EHR Selection	65	62

Evaluation Team Comments:

- SNAP melds with PowerSchool
- SNAP said they were waiving the service fee, this is okay on our end

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative	25	25

Evaluation Team Comments:

- Budget is more than allowable cost, can we give them more money
- Budget is appropriate and in line with the RFA

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER: RSU 68 **DATE:** 8/2/2023

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Education

Name of RFP Coordinator: Emily Poland

FACILITATOR: Jennifer Tarr

Names of Evaluators: Staci Warren, Bear Shea, Emily Poland

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Criteria 1: Eligibility to Apply	Pass	
Criteria 2: EHR System Requirement	Pass	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Criteria 3: Prioritization Points	10	10
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65	62
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25	25
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>97</u>

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER: RSU 68 **DATE:** 8/2/2023

OVERVIEW OF PASS/FAIL CRITERIA

	Criteria 1: Eligibility to Apply	
Ev	valuation Team Comments: Pass	
	Criteria 2: EHR System Requirement	

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER: RSU 68 **DATE:** 8/2/2023

EVALUATION OF SCORING SECTIONS

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Criteria 3. Prioritization Points	10	10

Evaluation Team Comments:

· Looking to integrate social services and nursing

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Criteria 4. EHR Selection	65	62

Evaluation Team Comments:

- Thorough with the responses
- · Use web to school and it will integrate with SNAP
- Will support the health services in the future of the budget as well for sustainability plan

	Points Available	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative	25	25

Evaluation Team Comments:

- In line with the RFA
- Would they be allowed to buy a new laptop? If it would be utilized in line with the RFA or system then we think yes?

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER: RSU 68 **DATE:** 8/2/2023

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER: RSU 87 **DATE:** 8/2/2023

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Education

Name of RFP Coordinator: Emily Poland

FACILITATOR: Jennifer Tarr

Names of Evaluators: Staci Warren, Bear Shea, Emily Poland

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Criteria 1: Eligibility to Apply	Pass	
Criteria 2: EHR System Requirement	Pass	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Criteria 3: Prioritization Points	10	10
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65	57
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25	25
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	92

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER: RSU 87 **DATE:** 8/2/2023

OVERVIEW OF PASS/FAIL CRITERIA

	Criteria 1: Eligibility to Apply
Εν	valuation Team Comments: Pass
	Criteria 2: EHR System Requirement

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER: RSU 87 **DATE:** 8/2/2023

EVALUATION OF SCORING SECTIONS

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Criteria 3. Prioritization Points	10	10

Evaluation Team Comments:

• Economically disadvantaged and don't use EHR currently for full 10 pts

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Criteria 4. EHR Selection	65	57

Evaluation Team Comments:

- They don't have a sustainability plan
- They did respond to the question

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative	25	25

Evaluation Team Comments:

- Interesting to see how they came up with the fee amounts and the breakdown, had more detail
- Including future cost as part of the budget

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER: Wells-Ogunquit

DATE: 8/2/2023

SUMMARY PAGE

Department Name: Education

Name of RFP Coordinator: Emily Poland

FACILITATOR: Jennifer Tarr

Names of Evaluators: Staci Warren, Bear Shea, Emily Poland

Pass/Fail Criteria	<u>Pass</u>	<u>Fail</u>
Criteria 1: Eligibility to Apply	Pass	
Criteria 2: EHR System Requirement	Pass	
Scoring Sections	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	Points Awarded
Criteria 3: Prioritization Points	10	5
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65	50
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25	20
<u>Total Points</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>75</u>

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER: Wells-Ogunquit

DATE: 8/2/2023

OVERVIEW OF PASS/FAIL CRITERIA

	Criteria 1: Eligibility to Apply					
<u>Ev</u>	Evaluation Team Comments: Pass					
	Criteria 2: EHR System Requirement					

Evaluation Team Comments: Pass

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER: Wells-Ogunquit

DATE: 8/2/2023

EVALUATION OF SCORING SECTIONS

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Criteria 3. Prioritization Points	10	5

Evaluation Team Comments:

• Doesn't have an EHR in place

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Criteria 4. EHR Selection	65	50

Evaluation Team Comments:

- SNAP has a billing agent
- Not full buy in
- Not full school district
- Social workers haven't committed to using new system and the nurses will advocate for change.

	<u>Points</u> <u>Available</u>	<u>Points</u> <u>Awarded</u>
Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative	25	20

Evaluation Team Comments:

- They have a stipend and refreshment cost will have to make note in the award.
- Funds need to be used appropriately

RFA #: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: Madawaska

DATE: 7/28/2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Emily Poland **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Education

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. **No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings.** A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

For this RFA: The score will be based on a 100-point scale and will measure the degree to which each application meets the following criteria. DO NOT SCORE ANY APPLICATION. MAKE COMMENTS ONLY

Scoring Criteria	Maximum Points Available
Criteria 1 -Eligibility to Apply	Pass/Fail
Criteria 2 -EHR System Requirement	Pass/Fail
Criteria 3 -Prioritization Points	10 points
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65 points
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25 points
Total Points	100 points

- I. Criteria 1. Eligibility to Apply
 - Public school district
- II. Criteria 2. EHR System Requirement
 - Plan for all schools to participate
- III. Criteria 3. Prioritization Points
 - Does not use an EHR
 - Did not check off economically disadvantaged
 - Identified EHR champion for district
- IV. Criteria 4. EHR Selection
 - Responded to questions succinctly
 - Selected a system that integrates with SIS, is FERPA/HIPAA compliant
 - 4e-states that billing is an option that could be accessed by parents
- V. Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative
 - Budget is appropriate and in line with requirements of this RFA

RFA #: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: MSAD 13

DATE: 7/28/2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Emily Poland **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Education

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. **No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings.** A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

For this RFA: The score will be based on a 100-point scale and will measure the degree to which each application meets the following criteria. DO NOT SCORE ANY APPLICATION. MAKE COMMENTS ONLY

Scoring Criteria	Maximum Points Available
Criteria 1 -Eligibility to Apply	Pass/Fail
Criteria 2 -EHR System Requirement	Pass/Fail
Criteria 3 -Prioritization Points	10 points
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65 points
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25 points
Total Points	100 points

- I. Criteria 1. Eligibility to Apply
 - Public school district
- II. Criteria 2. EHR System Requirement
 - Plan for all schools to participate
- III. Criteria 3. Prioritization Points
 - Does not use an EHR in a comprehensive manner
 - Identified EHR champion for district
- IV. Criteria 4. EHR Selection
 - Responded to questions succinctly
 - Uses combination of paper and SIS charting
 - Investigating how selected EHR interacts with immunization system
- V. Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative
 - Budget is appropriate and in line with requirements of this RFA

RFA #: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: MSAD 27

DATE: 7/28/2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Emily Poland **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Education

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. **No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings.** A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

For this RFA: The score will be based on a 100-point scale and will measure the degree to which each application meets the following criteria. DO NOT SCORE ANY APPLICATION. MAKE COMMENTS ONLY

Scoring Criteria	Maximum Points Available
Criteria 1 -Eligibility to Apply	Pass/Fail
Criteria 2 -EHR System Requirement	Pass/Fail
Criteria 3 -Prioritization Points	10 points
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65 points
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25 points
Total Points	100 points

- I. Criteria 1. Eligibility to Apply
 - Public school district
- II. Criteria 2. EHR System Requirement
 - Plan for all schools to participate
- III. Criteria 3. Prioritization Points
 - Does not use an EHR in a comprehensive manner
 - Identified EHR champion for district
- IV. Criteria 4. EHR Selection
 - Responded to questions succinctly
 - Uses combination of paper and SIS charting
 - Selected system has all capabilities required in this RFA
- V. Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative
 - Budget is appropriate and in line with requirements of this RFA

RFA #: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: MSAD 35

DATE: 7/28/2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Emily Poland **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Education

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. **No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings.** A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

For this RFA: The score will be based on a 100-point scale and will measure the degree to which each application meets the following criteria. DO NOT SCORE ANY APPLICATION. MAKE COMMENTS ONLY

Scoring Criteria	Maximum Points Available
Criteria 1 -Eligibility to Apply	Pass/Fail
Criteria 2 -EHR System Requirement	Pass/Fail
Criteria 3 -Prioritization Points	10 points
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65 points
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25 points
Total Points	100 points

- I. Criteria 1. Eligibility to Apply
 - Public school district
- II. Criteria 2. EHR System Requirement
 - Plan for all schools to participate
- III. Criteria 3. Prioritization Points
 - Does not use an EHR in a comprehensive manner
 - Identified EHR champion for district
- IV. Criteria 4. EHR Selection
 - Responded to questions in list form
 - Uses SIS for charting; and currently only social work bills for MaineCare
 - Selected system has all capabilities required in this RFA
- V. Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative
 - Budget is appropriate and in line with RFA

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: MSAD 46

DATE: 7/28/2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Emily Poland **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Education

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. **No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings.** A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

For this RFA: The score will be based on a 100-point scale and will measure the degree to which each application meets the following criteria. DO NOT SCORE ANY APPLICATION. MAKE COMMENTS ONLY

Scoring Criteria	Maximum Points Available
Criteria 1 -Eligibility to Apply	Pass/Fail
Criteria 2 -EHR System Requirement	Pass/Fail
Criteria 3 -Prioritization Points	10 points
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65 points
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25 points
Total Points	100 points

- I. Criteria 1. Eligibility to Apply
 - Public school district
- II. Criteria 2. EHR System Requirement
 - Plan for all schools to participate
- III. Criteria 3. Prioritization Points
 - Does not use an EHR in a comprehensive manner
 - Economically disadvantaged at 40% or higher.
 - Identified EHR champion for district
- IV. Criteria 4. EHR Selection
 - Responded to questions succinctly
 - Nursing uses EHR currently, but want to expand to social services and mental health
 - Selected a system that integrates with SIS, is FERPA/HIPAA compliant
 - Will add billing through selected EHR which was not utilized previously
 - Piloting the comprehensive use of EHR at high school with plan to expand to K-8
- V. Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: MSAD 46

DATE: 7/28/2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Emily Poland **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Education

• Budget is appropriate and in line with requirements of this RFA

RFA #: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: MSAD 68

DATE: 7/28/2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Emily Poland **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Education

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. **No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings.** A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

For this RFA: The score will be based on a 100-point scale and will measure the degree to which each application meets the following criteria. DO NOT SCORE ANY APPLICATION. MAKE COMMENTS ONLY

Scoring Criteria	Maximum Points Available
Criteria 1 -Eligibility to Apply	Pass/Fail
Criteria 2 -EHR System Requirement	Pass/Fail
Criteria 3 -Prioritization Points	10 points
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65 points
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25 points
Total Points	100 points

- I. Criteria 1. Eligibility to Apply
 - Public school district
- II. Criteria 2. EHR System Requirement
 - States all schools with utilize the system.
- III. Criteria 3. Prioritization Points
 - 40 % economically disadvantaged.
 - Identified EHR champion for district
- IV. Criteria 4. EHR Selection
 - Thorough responses to questions
 - Uses paper and SIS for charting
 - Selected system capabilities required in this RFA
- V. Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative
 - Budget is appropriate, detailed, and in line with RFA

RFA #: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: MSAD 74

DATE: 7/28/2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Emily Poland **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Education

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. **No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings.** A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

For this RFA: The score will be based on a 100-point scale and will measure the degree to which each application meets the following criteria. DO NOT SCORE ANY APPLICATION. MAKE COMMENTS ONLY

Scoring Criteria	Maximum Points Available
Criteria 1 -Eligibility to Apply	Pass/Fail
Criteria 2 -EHR System Requirement	Pass/Fail
Criteria 3 -Prioritization Points	10 points
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65 points
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25 points
Total Points	100 points

- I. Criteria 1. Eligibility to Apply
 - Did not check off boxes in eligibility but they are a public school district
- II. Criteria 2. EHR System Requirement
 - Did not check off box that state all schools with utilize the system.
- III. Criteria 3. Prioritization Points
 - Did not check off any priorities in criteria 3
 - Identified EHR champion for district
- IV. Criteria 4. EHR Selection
 - Responded to questions in list form
 - Uses SIS for charting
 - Selected system capabilities required in this RFA
- V. Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative
 - Budget is appropriate, detailed, and in line with RFA

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: RSU 87

DATE: 7/28/2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Emily Poland **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Education

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. **No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings.** A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

For this RFA: The score will be based on a 100-point scale and will measure the degree to which each application meets the following criteria. DO NOT SCORE ANY APPLICATION. MAKE COMMENTS ONLY

Scoring Criteria	Maximum Points Available
Criteria 1 -Eligibility to Apply	Pass/Fail
Criteria 2 -EHR System Requirement	Pass/Fail
Criteria 3 -Prioritization Points	10 points
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65 points
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25 points
Total Points	100 points

- I. Criteria 1. Eligibility to Apply
 - Public school district
- II. Criteria 2. EHR System Requirement
 - States all schools with utilize the system.
- III. Criteria 3. Prioritization Points
 - Does not currently use a system
 - 40 % economically disadvantaged.
 - Identified EHR champion for district
- IV. Criteria 4. EHR Selection
 - Succinct responses to questions
 - Uses only paper for documentation
 - Selected system has interoperability with SIS
 - Selected system has capabilities required in this RFA
 - No sustainability plan
- V. Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative
 - Budget is appropriate and in line with RFA

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: RSU 87

DATE: 7/28/2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Emily Poland **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Education

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: Wells Ogunquit CSD #18

DATE: 7/28/2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Emily Poland **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Education

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. **No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings.** A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

For this RFA: The score will be based on a 100-point scale and will measure the degree to which each application meets the following criteria. DO NOT SCORE ANY APPLICATION. MAKE COMMENTS ONLY

Scoring Criteria	Maximum Points Available
Criteria 1 -Eligibility to Apply	Pass/Fail
Criteria 2 -EHR System Requirement	Pass/Fail
Criteria 3 -Prioritization Points	10 points
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65 points
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25 points
Total Points	100 points

- I. Criteria 1. Eligibility to Apply
 - Public school district
- II. Criteria 2. EHR System Requirement
 - States all schools with utilize the system.
- III. Criteria 3. Prioritization Points
 - Does not currently use a system
 - Identified EHR champion for district
- IV. Criteria 4. EHR Selection
 - Succinct responses to questions
 - Nurses use SIS for documentation; social workers use paper
 - Social workers are not committed to using new system. EHR champion and nurses will work with other providers in the district to advocate for change
 - Selected system has interoperability with SIS
 - Selected system has capabilities required in this RFA
- V. Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: Wells Ogunquit CSD #18

DATE: 7/28/2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Emily Poland **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Education • *Provided detailed budget.*

• Budget is appropriate and in line with RFA except that the stipend for champion is

slightly decreased.

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: Regional School Unit #9

DATE: 8/3/2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Emily Poland **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Education

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. **No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings.** A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

For this RFA: The score will be based on a 100-point scale and will measure the degree to which each application meets the following criteria. DO NOT SCORE ANY APPLICATION. MAKE COMMENTS ONLY

Scoring Criteria	Maximum Points Available
Criteria 1 -Eligibility to Apply	Pass/Fail
Criteria 2 -EHR System Requirement	Pass/Fail
Criteria 3 -Prioritization Points	10 points
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65 points
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25 points
Total Points	100 points

- I. Criteria 1. Eligibility to Apply
 - Public School
- II. Criteria 2. EHR System Requirement
 - All schools will use system
- III. Criteria 3. Prioritization Points
 - Does not use an EHR currently
 - At least 40% disadvantaged
- IV. Criteria 4. EHR Selection
 - Nurses currently use School Information System health modules and paper files
 - Provided detailed response related to improved coordination and enhancement of services.
 - Selected SNAP which offers uses of different credentials (RN, LCSW, PT)
 - Identified champion

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: Regional School Unit #9

DATE: 8/3/2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Emily Poland **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Education

V. Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative

• Provided detailed budget; their costs will be more than the allowable amount.

• Could we consider increasing their allotment

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: CSD 18 Wells Ogunquit

DATE: August 1st 2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Bear Shea

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Education

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. **No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings.** A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

For this RFA: The score will be based on a 100-point scale and will measure the degree to which each application meets the following criteria. DO NOT SCORE ANY APPLICATION. MAKE COMMENTS ONLY

Scoring Criteria	Maximum Points Available
Criteria 1 -Eligibility to Apply	Pass/Fail
Criteria 2 -EHR System Requirement	Pass/Fail
Criteria 3 -Prioritization Points	10 points
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65 points
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25 points
Total Points	100 points

- I. Criteria 1. Eligibility to Apply
 - a SAU as defined under as defined under 20-A M.R.S.A Section 1, Subsection 26;
- II. Criteria 2. EHR System Requirement
 - Plan for all schools to participate in EHR
- III. Criteria 3. Prioritization Points
 - The school system does not currently utilize an electronic health record in a comprehensive manner that includes both nursing and behavioral health services (5 points).
- IV. Criteria 4. EHR Selection
 - Provided relevant information for parts A,B,C
 - Selected SNAPP
 - 4D: The program links with current SIS and ImmPact
 - 4 E: Billing is available through the system social workers will not be using the system nurses
 - 4 G: "We plan to use any Medicaid billing funds as well as funds procured at present by billing Anthem and 5 other insurances for our student and staff influenza vaccines."

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: CSD 18 Wells Ogunquit

DATE: August 1st 2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Bear Shea

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Education

/. Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative

• Budget is appropriate and in line with requirements of this RFA

Rev. 2/4/2020

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: Madawaska **DATE:** August 1st 2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Bear Shea

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Education

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. **No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings.** A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

For this RFA: The score will be based on a 100-point scale and will measure the degree to which each application meets the following criteria. DO NOT SCORE ANY APPLICATION. MAKE COMMENTS ONLY

Scoring Criteria	Maximum Points Available
Criteria 1 -Eligibility to Apply	Pass/Fail
Criteria 2 -EHR System Requirement	Pass/Fail
Criteria 3 -Prioritization Points	10 points
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65 points
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25 points
Total Points	100 points

- I. Criteria 1. Eligibility to Apply
 - a publicly supported secondary school as defined under 20-A M.R.S.A Section 1, Subsection 23-B. B
- II. Criteria 2. EHR System Requirement
 - Plan for all schools to participate in EHR
- III. Criteria 3. Prioritization Points
 - The school system does not currently utilize an electronic health record in a comprehensive manner that includes both nursing and behavioral health services (5 points).
- IV. Criteria 4. EHR Selection
 - Provided relevant information for parts A,B,C
 - Selected SNAP Health Center System
 - 4D: The program links with our current SIS, Power School.
 - 4 E: Billing is available through the system and could be accessed by the parents if the option is preferred.

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: Madawaska **DATE:** August 1st 2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Bear Shea

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Education

• 4 G: "make us more effective, efficient, and confident workers in the field. The ability to pull data alone is something that will back the sustainability conversation with Board members and administrators."

V. Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative

• Budget is appropriate and in line with requirements of this RFA

Rev. 2/4/2020

RFA #: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: RSU 9 **DATE:** August 1st 2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Bear Shea

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Education

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. **No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings.** A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

For this RFA: The score will be based on a 100-point scale and will measure the degree to which each application meets the following criteria. DO NOT SCORE ANY APPLICATION. MAKE COMMENTS ONLY

Scoring Criteria	Maximum Points Available
Criteria 1 -Eligibility to Apply	Pass/Fail
Criteria 2 -EHR System Requirement	Pass/Fail
Criteria 3 -Prioritization Points	10 points
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65 points
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25 points
Total Points	100 points

- I. Criteria 1. Eligibility to Apply
 - a SAU as defined under as defined under 20-A M.R.S.A Section 1. Subsection 26:
- II. Criteria 2. EHR System Requirement
 - Plan for all schools to participate in EHR
- III. Criteria 3. Prioritization Points
 - The school system does not currently utilize an electronic health record in a comprehensive manner that includes both nursing and behavioral health services (5 points).
 - >40% students are economically disadvantaged
- IV. Criteria 4. EHR Selection
 - Provided relevant information for parts A,B,C
 - Selected SNAPP
 - 4D: The program links with current SIS and ImmPact
 - 4 E: Billing is available through the system
 - 4 G: hoping to learn if mainecare revenue can offset expense

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: RSU 9 **DATE:** August 1st 2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Bear Shea

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Education

V. Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative

• Budget is appropriate and in line with requirements of this RFA

RFA #: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: MSAD 13 RSU 83

DATE: August 1st 2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Bear Shea

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Education

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. **No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings.** A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

For this RFA: The score will be based on a 100-point scale and will measure the degree to which each application meets the following criteria. DO NOT SCORE ANY APPLICATION. MAKE COMMENTS ONLY

Scoring Criteria	Maximum Points Available
Criteria 1 -Eligibility to Apply	Pass/Fail
Criteria 2 -EHR System Requirement	Pass/Fail
Criteria 3 -Prioritization Points	10 points
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65 points
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25 points
Total Points	100 points

- I. Criteria 1. Eligibility to Apply
 - a SAU as defined under as defined under 20-A M.R.S.A Section 1, Subsection 26;
- II. Criteria 2. EHR System Requirement
 - Plan for all schools to participate in EHR
- III. Criteria 3. Prioritization Points
 - The school system does not currently utilize an electronic health record in a comprehensive manner that includes both nursing and behavioral health services (5 points).
- IV. Criteria 4. EHR Selection
 - Provided relevant information for parts A,B,C
 - Selected THERAP
 - 4D: Unsure at this time how the program links with current SIS and ImmPact
 - 4 E: Billing is available through the system
 - 4 G: "we will build the cost of the HER into our budget for the following years."
- V. Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: MSAD 13 RSU 83

DATE: August 1st 2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Bear Shea

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Education

• Budget is appropriate and in line with requirements of this RFA

No monies for training included

RFA #: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: MSAD 27 DATE: August 1st 2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Bear Shea

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Education

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. **No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as** that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings. A separate form is available for

team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to

your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

For this RFA: The score will be based on a 100-point scale and will measure the degree to which each application meets the following criteria. DO NOT SCORE ANY APPLICATION. MAKE COMMENTS ONLY

Scoring Criteria	Maximum Points Available
Criteria 1 -Eligibility to Apply	Pass/Fail
Criteria 2 -EHR System Requirement	Pass/Fail
Criteria 3 -Prioritization Points	10 points
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65 points
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25 points
Total Points	100 points

- I. Criteria 1. Eligibility to Apply
 - a SAU as defined under as defined under 20-A M.R.S.A Section 1. Subsection 26:
- II. Criteria 2. EHR System Requirement
 - Plan for all schools to participate in EHR
- III. Criteria 3. Prioritization Points
 - The school system does not currently utilize an electronic health record in a comprehensive manner that includes both nursing and behavioral health services (5 points).
- IV. Criteria 4. EHR Selection
 - Provided relevant information for parts A,B,C
 - Selected SNAPP
 - 4D: The program links with current SIS and ImmPact
 - 4 E: Billing is available through the system
 - 4 G: "...collect data to demonstrate the value and effectiveness of the EHR, making it easier to secure ongoing funding and support from the local school board. The district

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: MSAD 27 **DATE:** August 1st 2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Bear Shea

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Education

will build in its annual budget approximately \$3,000 to maintain the SNAP Health Center

software subscription for our school nurses,"

V. Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative

• Budget is appropriate and in line with requirements of this RFA

Rev. 2/4/2020

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: MSAD 35 **DATE:** August 1st 2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Bear Shea

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Education

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. **No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings.** A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

For this RFA: The score will be based on a 100-point scale and will measure the degree to which each application meets the following criteria. DO NOT SCORE ANY APPLICATION. MAKE COMMENTS ONLY

Scoring Criteria	Maximum Points Available
Criteria 1 -Eligibility to Apply	Pass/Fail
Criteria 2 -EHR System Requirement	Pass/Fail
Criteria 3 -Prioritization Points	10 points
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65 points
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25 points
Total Points	100 points

- I. Criteria 1. Eligibility to Apply
 - a SAU as defined under as defined under 20-A M.R.S.A Section 1, Subsection 26;
- II. Criteria 2. EHR System Requirement
 - Plan for all schools to participate in EHR
- III. Criteria 3. Prioritization Points
 - The school system does not currently utilize an electronic health record in a comprehensive manner that includes both nursing and behavioral health services (5 points).
- IV. Criteria 4. EHR Selection
 - Provided relevant information for parts A,B,C
 - Selected SNAPP
 - 4D: The program links with current SIS and ImmPact
 - 4 E: Billing is available through the system
 - 4 G: The district will build in its annual budget with mainecare revenue to offset expense
- V. Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative
 - Budget is appropriate and in line with requirements of this RFA

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: MSAD 46 Dexter

DATE: August 1st 2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Bear Shea

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Education

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. **No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings.** A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

For this RFA: The score will be based on a 100-point scale and will measure the degree to which each application meets the following criteria. DO NOT SCORE ANY APPLICATION. MAKE COMMENTS ONLY

Scoring Criteria	Maximum Points Available
Criteria 1 -Eligibility to Apply	Pass/Fail
Criteria 2 -EHR System Requirement	Pass/Fail
Criteria 3 -Prioritization Points	10 points
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65 points
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25 points
Total Points	100 points

- I. Criteria 1. Eligibility to Apply
 - a publicly supported secondary school as defined under 20-A M.R.S.A Section 1, Subsection 23-B. B
- II. Criteria 2. EHR System Requirement
 - Plan for all schools to participate in EHR
- III. Criteria 3. Prioritization Points
 - The school system does not currently utilize an electronic health record in a comprehensive manner that includes both nursing and behavioral health services (5 points).
 - At least 40 percent of the students in the school system are economically disadvantaged, pursuant to section 15675, subsection 2 (5 points).
- IV. Criteria 4. EHR Selection
 - Provided relevant information for parts A,B,C
 - Selected SNAP Health Center System
 - 4D: The program links with current SIS and ImmPact

RFA #: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: MSAD 46 Dexter

DATE: August 1st 2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Bear Shea

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Education

• 4 E: Billing is available through the system

4 G: "monthly updates to admin of schools and school board about progress of pilot.
Updates will include scenarios and collab of care as well as billing revenue.
Continued us of EHR will be up to school board."

V. Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative

Budget is appropriate and in line with requirements of this RFA

RFA #: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: MSAD 74 **DATE**: August 1st 2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Bear Shea

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Education

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. **No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings.** A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

For this RFA: The score will be based on a 100-point scale and will measure the degree to which each application meets the following criteria. DO NOT SCORE ANY APPLICATION. MAKE COMMENTS ONLY

Scoring Criteria	Maximum Points Available
Criteria 1 -Eligibility to Apply	Pass/Fail
Criteria 2 -EHR System Requirement	Pass/Fail
Criteria 3 -Prioritization Points	10 points
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65 points
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25 points
Total Points	100 points

- I. Criteria 1. Eligibility to Apply
 - Didn't check box but are a public school district
- II. Criteria 2. EHR System Requirement
 - Didn't check box
- III. Criteria 3. Prioritization Points
 - Didn't check box
- IV. Criteria 4. EHR Selection
 - Provided relevant information for parts A,B,C
 - Selected SNAPP
 - 4D: The program links with current SIS and ImmPact
 - 4 E: Billing is available through the system
 - 4 G: hoping to learn if mainecare revenue can offset expense
- V. Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative
 - Budget is appropriate and in line with requirements of this RFA

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: RSU 68 **DATE:** August 1st 2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Bear Shea

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Education

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. **No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings.** A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

For this RFA: The score will be based on a 100-point scale and will measure the degree to which each application meets the following criteria. DO NOT SCORE ANY APPLICATION. MAKE COMMENTS ONLY

Scoring Criteria	Maximum Points Available
Criteria 1 -Eligibility to Apply	Pass/Fail
Criteria 2 -EHR System Requirement	Pass/Fail
Criteria 3 -Prioritization Points	10 points
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65 points
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25 points
Total Points	100 points

- I. Criteria 1. Eligibility to Apply
 - a SAU as defined under as defined under 20-A M.R.S.A Section 1, Subsection 26;
- II. Criteria 2. EHR System Requirement
 - Plan for all schools to participate in EHR
- III. Criteria 3. Prioritization Points
 - The school system does not currently utilize an electronic health record in a comprehensive manner that includes both nursing and behavioral health services (5 points).
 - At least 40% disadvantaged (5 points)
- IV. Criteria 4. EHR Selection
 - Provided relevant information for parts A,B,C
 - Selected SNAPP
 - 4D: The program links with current SIS and ImmPact
 - 4 E: Billing is available through the system
 - 4 G: Plan to incorporate EHR into nurse budget moving forward
- V. Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: RSU 68 **DATE**: August 1st 2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Bear Shea

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Education

• Budget is appropriate and in line with requirements of this RFA

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: RSU 87 **DATE:** August 1st 2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Bear Shea

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Education

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. **No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings.** A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

For this RFA: The score will be based on a 100-point scale and will measure the degree to which each application meets the following criteria. DO NOT SCORE ANY APPLICATION. MAKE COMMENTS ONLY

Scoring Criteria	Maximum Points Available
Criteria 1 -Eligibility to Apply	Pass/Fail
Criteria 2 -EHR System Requirement	Pass/Fail
Criteria 3 -Prioritization Points	10 points
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65 points
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25 points
Total Points	100 points

- I. Criteria 1. Eligibility to Apply
 - a SAU as defined under as defined under 20-A M.R.S.A Section 1, Subsection 26;
- II. Criteria 2. EHR System Requirement
 - Plan for all schools to participate in EHR
- III. Criteria 3. Prioritization Points
 - The school system does not currently utilize an electronic health record in a comprehensive manner that includes both nursing and behavioral health services (5 points).
 - At least 40% disadvantaged (5 points)
- IV. Criteria 4. EHR Selection
 - Provided relevant information for parts A,B,C
 - Selected SNAPP
 - 4D: The program links with current SIS and ImmPact
 - 4 E: Billing is available through the system
 - 4 G: "the cost should be sustainable beyond the first year"
- V. Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: RSU 87 **DATE**: August 1st 2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Bear Shea

EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT: Education

• Budget is appropriate and in line with requirements of this RFA

RFA #: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: Madawaska

DATE: 7-18-2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Staci Warren **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Education

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. **No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings.** A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

For this RFA: The score will be based on a 100-point scale and will measure the degree to which each application meets the following criteria. DO NOT SCORE ANY APPLICATION. MAKE COMMENTS ONLY

Scoring Criteria	Maximum Points Available
Criteria 1 -Eligibility to Apply	Pass/Fail
Criteria 2 -EHR System Requirement	Pass/Fail
Criteria 3 -Prioritization Points	10 points
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65 points
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25 points
Total Points	100 points

- I. Criteria 1. Eligibility to Apply
 - Pass-publicly supported secondary school
 - Application not signed
- II. Criteria 2. EHR System Requirement
 - Pass
- III. Criteria 3. Prioritization Points
 - Does not utilize EHR
 - Does not meet 40% economically disadvantaged
- IV. Criteria 4. EHR Selection
 - A. Currently use Google docs spreadsheets Files are housed in school
 - B. Wants unified system between elementary and Middle High School
 - C. SNAP web-based with 24/7 access
 - a. HIPAA/ERPA secure, private data access
 - b. Vaccination, health visits, medication updates, health status recorded

RFA #: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: Madawaska

DATE: 7-18-2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Staci Warren **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Education

- D. Links with current SIS and PowerschoolE. Can bill thru system or accessed by parents
- F. Pelletier has previous experience with other health pilots and is willing to work with others
- G. Want to show how much this system will streamline their work so that they can go back to the board members and administrators.
- V. Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative
 - Stipend: \$1K EHR Champion
 - System: \$5K covers implementation and additional tech-related needs
 - •

RFA #: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: MSAD 13

DATE: 8/23/2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Staci Warren **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Education

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. **No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings.** A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

For this RFA: The score will be based on a 100-point scale and will measure the degree to which each application meets the following criteria. DO NOT SCORE ANY APPLICATION. MAKE COMMENTS ONLY

Scoring Criteria	Maximum Points Available
Criteria 1 -Eligibility to Apply	Pass/Fail
Criteria 2 -EHR System Requirement	Pass/Fail
Criteria 3 -Prioritization Points	10 points
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65 points
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25 points
Total Points	100 points

- I. Criteria 1. Eligibility to Apply
 - A. Pass-SAU as defined
- II. Criteria 2. EHR System Requirement
 - A. Pass-will be utilized by all schools
- III. Criteria 3. Prioritization Points
 - A. Currently does not use HER
 - B. Nothing else checked
 - C. POC is district clinical social worker
- IV. Criteria 4. EHR Selection
 - A. Currently manually documentation or within PowerSchool to some degree for nursing. All MH/SS are independently documented or within GEMCARE for Special education students.
 - B. Having grant would allow school to share information across disciplines, keep records more efficiently, bill for services and access information so that our department runs more efficiently.

RFA #: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: MSAD 13

DATE: 8/23/2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Staci Warren **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Education

- C. THERAP-MH and nursing tracking, case notes, attendance, bulling, behave. Plan. Customizable to users. Can store assessments and create custom labs for individual students based on their needs.
- D. Integrates with PowerSchool but plans to still use PowerSchool for regular education services. THERAP is looking into how it can communicate with ImmPact so that the school nurse can pull reports that she can upload to ImmPact.
- E. THERAP can bill MaineCare; checks for errors before submitting therefore reducing denials and incomplete claims.
- F. LCPC will start up the program alongside the nurse and special education director. Implementing individual therapy services for billing of day treatment and is committed to using a program to make the process efficient. LCPC will attend meetings as the POC.
- G. Plans to continue use within budget for future years.

V. Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative

A. \$4,300 request; included nursing documentation, etc. and BHP, ISP, and billing. no stipend and covers cost of training. Will be used district wide by nursing, social work, counseling,

RFA #: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: MSAD 27

DATE: 8/23/2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Staci Warren **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Education

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. **No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings.** A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

For this RFA: The score will be based on a 100-point scale and will measure the degree to which each application meets the following criteria. DO NOT SCORE ANY APPLICATION. MAKE COMMENTS ONLY

Scoring Criteria	Maximum Points Available
Criteria 1 -Eligibility to Apply	Pass/Fail
Criteria 2 -EHR System Requirement	Pass/Fail
Criteria 3 -Prioritization Points	10 points
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65 points
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25 points
Total Points	100 points

- I. Criteria 1. Eligibility to Apply
 - A. Pass-SAU as defined
- II. Criteria 2. EHR System Requirement
 - A. Pass-will be utilized by all schools
- III. Criteria 3. Prioritization Points
 - A. Currently does not use HER
 - B. <40% of students are economically disadvantaged.
 - C. POC EHR Champion school nurse
- IV. Criteria 4. EHR Selection
 - A. Currently use health portion in PowerSchool
 - B. Would be healthcare specific to improve documentation, medication admin, reporting, health plans, and expedite workflow with ability for social workers to also document within same system.

RFA #: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: MSAD 27

DATE: 8/23/2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Staci Warren **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Education

- C. SNAP: log daily encounters, med admin, med count, Medicare link for service capture, immunization link to Maine ImmPact system to import data. Many templates for reporting to use or customize.
- D. Can import demographics, caregivers, contacts, and alters from PowerSchool. Track immunizations; can generate delinquent letters to parents/guardians and reports to admins.
- E. SNAP has direct Medicare billing capabilities. integrated IC-10 billing codes and additional billing features that enable Medicaid documentation to be captured at the time of service.
- F. School nurse is EHR Champion
- G. District plans to continuously monitor and evaluate the impact of the EHR system on the school healthcare system. Plans to continue use within budget for future years.
- V. Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative
 - A. \$6000; Software and training, professional development, and Stipend

RFA #: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: MSAD 35

DATE: 8/23/2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Staci Warren **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Education

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. **No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings.** A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

For this RFA: The score will be based on a 100-point scale and will measure the degree to which each application meets the following criteria. DO NOT SCORE ANY APPLICATION. MAKE COMMENTS ONLY

Scoring Criteria	Maximum Points Available
Criteria 1 -Eligibility to Apply	Pass/Fail
Criteria 2 -EHR System Requirement	Pass/Fail
Criteria 3 -Prioritization Points	10 points
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65 points
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25 points
Total Points	100 points

- I. Criteria 1. Eligibility to Apply
 - A. Pass-SAU as defined
- II. Criteria 2. EHR System Requirement
 - A. Pass-will be utilized by all schools
- III. Criteria 3. Prioritization Points
 - A. Currently does not use EHR
 - B. No other box checked
 - C. POC EHR Champion school nurse
- IV. Criteria 4. EHR Selection
 - A. Infinite campus for Medicare billing (social workers only)
 - B. Would be able to bill MaineCare for services. Better interface with State Immunization system, thus saving time to bill for services.
 - C. SNAP: nursing, BH, integrates with SIS, State immunization registry
 - D. SNAP integrates into both systems
 - E. SNAP has direct Medicare billing capabilities.

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: MSAD 35

DATE: 8/23/2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Staci Warren **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Education *F. School nurse is EHR Champion*

G. Plans to request annual cost of \$4,375 into general budget for future years.

V. Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative

A. \$6000; Software and training, and one-time Stipend

Rev. 2/4/2020

RFA #: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: MSAD 46 (Dexter)

DATE: 8/23/2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Staci Warren **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Education

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. **No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings.** A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

For this RFA: The score will be based on a 100-point scale and will measure the degree to which each application meets the following criteria. DO NOT SCORE ANY APPLICATION. MAKE COMMENTS ONLY

Scoring Criteria	Maximum Points Available
Criteria 1 -Eligibility to Apply	Pass/Fail
Criteria 2 -EHR System Requirement	Pass/Fail
Criteria 3 -Prioritization Points	10 points
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65 points
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25 points
Total Points	100 points

- I. Criteria 1. Eligibility to Apply
 - A. Pass-publicly supported secondary school
- II. Criteria 2. EHR System Requirement
 - A. Pass-will be utilized by all schools
- III. Criteria 3. Prioritization Points
 - A. Currently does not use EHR
 - B. At least 40% are econ. disadvantaged
- IV. Criteria 4. EHR Selection
 - A. Currently uses SNAP for documentation, MHS, and SS provided by nurse, MH and SS provided by social worker are kept on paper. They do not have the billing subscription in order to bill MaineCare.
 - B. Having grant would allow school to document services by nurse and social worker to better identify students in need of services. Less change of students needing services being missed. Wants to expand program to include all providers in all schools in the district.

RFA #: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: MSAD 46 (Dexter)

DATE: 8/23/2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Staci Warren **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Education

- C. SNAP Health Center. Integrates into PowerSchool and ImmPact, with billing capabilities for MaineCare. Has 24/7 support and training
- D. Integrates with PowerSchool and ImmPact to pull immunizations records instead of manually entering immunization information. Can spot incomplete records and give rationale. Pulls demographic from PowerSchool easing documentation and administrative time.
- E. Works with billing partners to bill MaineCare; checks for errors before submitting therefore reducing denials and incomplete claims.
- F. Has committed, well qualified, educated EHR Champion. Willing to attend monthly meetings.
- G. Plan includes monthly updates with administrators and board. Plans to propose continued use within budget so that they can expand to all schools in the district.
- V. Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative
 - A. \$2,199 request; inc. \$1K stipend and covers cost of training

Rev. 2/4/2020

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: MSAD 74

DATE: 8/23/2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Staci Warren **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Education

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. **No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings.** A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

For this RFA: The score will be based on a 100-point scale and will measure the degree to which each application meets the following criteria. DO NOT SCORE ANY APPLICATION. MAKE COMMENTS ONLY

Scoring Criteria	Maximum Points Available
Criteria 1 -Eligibility to Apply	Pass/Fail
Criteria 2 -EHR System Requirement	Pass/Fail
Criteria 3 -Prioritization Points	10 points
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65 points
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25 points
Total Points	100 points

- I. Criteria 1. Eligibility to Apply (nothing checked but other choices removed)
 - A. Pass-publicly supported school EUT
- II. Criteria 2. EHR System Requirement
 - A. Pass-will be utilized by all schools (not checked)
- III. Criteria 3. Prioritization Points-no choices checked
 - A. POC EHR Champion school nurse
- IV. Criteria 4. EHR Selection
 - A. Synergy
 - B. Would be able to bill MaineCare for services, improved health care plans, reporting, screening and referrals, med inventory, privacy standards and user support.
 - C. SNAP: Provides documentation with Algorithms for EBP, Health Care Plans, Immunizations, Reporting, Medication uses and documentation.
 - D. SNAP offers immunization registry link.
 - E. Easily syncs to MediCare billing system.

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: MSAD 74

DATE: 8/23/2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Staci Warren **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Education *F. School nurse is EHR Champion*

G. Hopes billing Medicare will help offset the annual cost of maintaining EHR system.

May request increase in nurse budget.

- V. Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative
 - A. \$5775; Software and training, and one-time Stipend
 - B. Cost to have current system connect with SNAP

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: RSU 9 DATE: 7-18-2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Staci Warren **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Education

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. **No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings.** A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

For this RFA: The score will be based on a 100-point scale and will measure the degree to which each application meets the following criteria. DO NOT SCORE ANY APPLICATION. MAKE COMMENTS ONLY

Scoring Criteria	Maximum Points Available
Criteria 1 -Eligibility to Apply	Pass/Fail
Criteria 2 -EHR System Requirement	Pass/Fail
Criteria 3 -Prioritization Points	10 points
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65 points
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25 points
Total Points	100 points

- I. Criteria 1. Eligibility to Apply
 - Pass-SAU as defined
- II. Criteria 2. EHR System Requirement
 - Pass
- III. Criteria 3. Prioritization Points
 - Does not utilize EHR
 - Does not meet 40% economically disadvantaged
- IV. Criteria 4. EHR Selection
 - A. Currently use PowerSchool; only nurses will utilize EHR
 - B. Enable consistent, thorough and high-quality documentation; improve district communication between staff, parents, and state agencies.
 - C. SNAP web-based with 24/7 access
 - a. HIPAA/ERPA secure, private data access; FERPA/HIPPA compliant

RFA #: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: RSU 9 DATE: 7-18-2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Staci Warren **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Education

- b. Vaccination, health visits, medication updates, health status recorded
- c. Integrates with current Powerschool for data collection
- d. Individual health plans, forms and letter templates
- e. Supports multiple users
- f. Tech support
- D. Current PowerSchool doesn't integrate with ImmPact.
- E. Direct billing to MaineCare
- F. EHR Champion School Nurse
- G. Use billed MaineCare expenses to sustain cost of software
- V. Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative
 - Stipend: One time stipend: \$1K EHR Champion
 - System: \$5K covers implementation, training, and additional tech support
 - SNAP is waiving the usual set up fee for any district who receives the grant.

RFA #: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: RSU 68

DATE: 8/23/2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Staci Warren **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Education

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. **No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings.** A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

For this RFA: The score will be based on a 100-point scale and will measure the degree to which each application meets the following criteria. DO NOT SCORE ANY APPLICATION. MAKE COMMENTS ONLY

Scoring Criteria	Maximum Points Available
Criteria 1 -Eligibility to Apply	Pass/Fail
Criteria 2 -EHR System Requirement	Pass/Fail
Criteria 3 -Prioritization Points	10 points
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65 points
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25 points
Total Points	100 points

- I. Criteria 1. Eligibility to Apply
 - A. Pass-SAU
- II. Criteria 2. EHR System Requirement
 - A. Pass-will be utilized by all schools
- III. Criteria 3. Prioritization Points-
 - A. No EHR system used
 - B. At least 40% economically challenged
 - C. POC EHR Champion school nurse
- IV. Criteria 4. EHR Selection
 - A. Currently housed in file cabinet. Nurses and social workers must share files. EHR would make it easier to access.
 - B. Would be able to bill MaineCare for services, improved health care plans, reporting, screening and referrals, med inventory, privacy standards and user support.
 - C. SNAP: Created by nurse to follow nurse's workflow. MaineCare billing add on and connect to State immunization registry, and portal to connect with parent/guardians as needed.

RFA #: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: RSU 68

DATE: 8/23/2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Staci Warren **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Education

- D. Currently use Web2School. Designer says there is compatibility btwn SNAP and W2S. SNAP is compatible with State immunization registry.
- E. Easily syncs to MediCare billing system, huge help to social workers who are currently doing paper notes, and then has to upload them to a different billing system for special needs students..
- F. School nurse is EHR Champion
- G. Plans to build the cost into budget since it will benefit more than nurse. (2 social workers, and 2 counselors in addition to nurse)
- V. Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative
 - A. \$5,893; Software and training, and one-time laptop upgrade and stipend

Rev. 2/4/2020

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: RSU 87 (Carmel)

DATE: 8/23/2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Staci Warren **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Education

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. **No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings.** A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

For this RFA: The score will be based on a 100-point scale and will measure the degree to which each application meets the following criteria. DO NOT SCORE ANY APPLICATION. MAKE COMMENTS ONLY

Scoring Criteria	Maximum Points Available
Criteria 1 -Eligibility to Apply	Pass/Fail
Criteria 2 -EHR System Requirement	Pass/Fail
Criteria 3 -Prioritization Points	10 points
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65 points
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25 points
Total Points	100 points

- I. Criteria 1. Eligibility to Apply
 - A. Pass-SAU
- II. Criteria 2. EHR System Requirement
 - A. Pass-will be utilized by all schools
- III. Criteria 3. Prioritization Points-
 - A. No EHR system used
 - B. At least 40% economically challenged
 - C. POC EHR Champion school nurse
- IV. Criteria 4. EHR Selection
 - A. Currently housed in file cabinet using paper charting using SOM health records charts.
 - B. Reduce admin time for school nurse, better communication with parents, monitor trends in absences of student or groups of students.
 - C. SNAP: Able to link to SIS system and ImmPact immunization registry. This software helps with accuracy, efficiency, data collection and security, and communication.
 - D. Will improve interaction between PowerSchool and ImmPact.

RFA #: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: RSU 87 (Carmel)

DATE: 8/23/2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Staci Warren **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Education

- E. Easily integrated to MediCare billing system.
- F. School nurse is EHR Champion
- G. Feels the cost will be sustainable beyond the first year.
- V. Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative
 - A. \$5,300; Software (2 years) and initial training, and one-time stipend.
 - B. The SNAP platform in \$875 per nurse plus \$400.00 for training for each nurse This will set up all three schools and both nurses for the next 2 years.

RFA#: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: Wells-Ogunquit

DATE: 8/23/2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Staci Warren **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Education

<u>Instructions:</u> The purpose of this form is to record proposal review notes written by <u>individual</u> evaluators for this Request for Proposals (RFP) process. It is <u>required</u> that each individual evaluator make notes for each proposal that he or she reviews. **No numerical scoring should take place on these notes, as that is performed only during team consensus evaluation meetings.** A separate form is available for team consensus evaluation notes and scoring. Once complete, please submit a copy of this document to your Department's RFP Coordinator or Lead Evaluator for this RFP.

For this RFA: The score will be based on a 100-point scale and will measure the degree to which each application meets the following criteria. DO NOT SCORE ANY APPLICATION. MAKE COMMENTS ONLY

Scoring Criteria	Maximum Points Available
Criteria 1 -Eligibility to Apply	Pass/Fail
Criteria 2 -EHR System Requirement	Pass/Fail
Criteria 3 -Prioritization Points	10 points
Criteria 4 -EHR Selection	65 points
Criteria 5 -Budget & Budget Narrative	25 points
Total Points	100 points

- I. Criteria 1. Eligibility to Apply
 - A. Pass-SAU
- II. Criteria 2. EHR System Requirement
 - A. Pass-will be utilized by all schools
- III. Criteria 3. Prioritization Points-
 - A. No EHR system used
 - B. < 40% economically challenged
 - C. POC EHR Champion Wells HS nurse
- IV. Criteria 4. EHR Selection
 - A. Nurses use Infinite campus; Social workers use paper
 - B. Nurses are committed to using EHR; however social workers haven't agreed to use the system. Nurses want an electronic system that has security features such as overwrite protect, user authentication, enhanced report capabilities which will add to the body or local, state, and national data sets. Nurses hope the success in using the new system will be the impetus for other providers within the district to switch.

RFA #: 202305111

RFP TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health Record Pilot

BIDDER NAME: Wells-Ogunquit

DATE: 8/23/2023

EVALUATOR NAME: Staci Warren **EVALUATOR DEPARTMENT:** Education

- C. SNAP: SNAP has all the features required of this grant including security, compatibility with our SIS Infinite Campus, as well as Medicaid billing features and integration with the Maine ImmPact Immunization Registry. SNAP also will help us produce enhanced reporting for Maine DOE purposes. SNAP is compliant with all the requirements listed in the instructions.
- D. Fully integrates with Infinite Campus and ImmPact.
- E. Easily integrated to MediCare billing system.
- F. School nurse is EHR Champion
- G. We plan to use any Medicaid billing funds as well as funds procured at present by billing Anthem and 5 other insurances for our student and staff influenza vaccines. Planning on future cost with estimate of \$3,325 for second year onward.
- V. Criteria 5. Budget and Budget Narrative
 - A. \$5,025; Software, health portal, training
 - B. \$975; Stipend and refreshments for training.



STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Pender Makin Commissioner

Covernor		ISCLOSURE STATEMENT : 202305111	Commission
R		e Electronic Health Record Pi	lot
Department of Edu	equest for Proposals (RFF acation. I do hereby accept tion or relationship I may h	accept the December of the State (a) Evaluation Team for the State (a) the terms set forth in this agreed ave in connection with a bidder value in connection with a bidder value (a)	ment AND hereby
indirect, in the bidd limited to: current of membership; curred contractual relation relationship to a bi	ders whose proposals I will or former ownership in the ent or former employment v nship with the bidder (exam dder's official which could i	nmily have a personal or financial be reviewing. "Interest" may includer's company; current or for with the bidder; current or former aple: paid consultant); and/or curreasonably be construed to consived by the public as a potential	lude, but is not mer Board personal rent or former titute a conflict of
		d any bidder in the preparation of submitted a letter of support or s	
without bias or pre are no circumstand understand that in	judice. In this regard, I here ces that would reasonably the event a good faith cha	rocess is to be conducted in an inceby certify that, to the best of my support a good faith charge of binge of bias is made, it will rest will ation in the evaluation process.	knowledge, there as. I further
Proposals preser	nted during the review pro	related to the contents of Recocess until such time as the Dees for public distribution.	
Emily Poland			
C3233348CFF7483 Signature		<u>8/1/2023</u> Date	
9			

8/22/2023



STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Pender Makin

Governor	AGREEMENT	AND DISCLOSUR RFA #: 20230511		Commissioner
	RFA TITLE: Compre			Pilot
Department of E	per of the Request for ducation. I do hereby liation or relationship I	accept the terms s	valuation Team for et forth in this agre	ement AND hereby
indirect, in the bilimited to: currer membership; cu contractual relat relationship to a	member of my immedders whose proposal of or former ownership trent or former employ ionship with the bidder bidder's official which all relationships may be	Is I will be reviewin in the bidder's con ment with the bidd r (example: paid co	g. "Interest" may in mpany; current or fo er; current or forme insultant); and/or cu be construed to cor	clude, but is not ormer Board er personal urrent or former astitute a conflict of
	ed, consulted with or a conse to this RFP nor	-	• •	• • •
without bias or p are no circumsta understand that	d agree that the evaluate rejudice. In this regard ances that would reason in the event a good fall be disqualified from p	d, I hereby certify the conably support a go ith charge of bias is	nat, to the best of mod faith charge of less made, it will rest was	ny knowledge, there bias. I further with me to decide
Proposals pres	confidential all informented during the reves the award decision	iew process until	such time as the	•
DocuSigned by:				
Staci Warren	•		8/1/2023_	
Signature	8/22/2023	Da	ite	<u></u>

Rev. 4/4/2023



STATE OF MAINE **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

Janet T. Mills Governor	AGREEMENT AND DISCLOSURE STATE RFA #: 202305111 RFA TITLE: Comprehensive Electronic Health	
Department of E	Request for Proposals (RFP) Evaluation Team for t Education. I do hereby accept the terms set forth in filiation or relationship I may have in connection with	this agreement AND hereby
indirect, in the bi limited to: currer membership; cur contractual relati relationship to a	by member of my immediate family have a personal bidders whose proposals I will be reviewing. "Interest or former ownership in the bidder's company; current or former employment with the bidder; current tionship with the bidder (example: paid consultant); a bidder's official which could reasonably be construited relationships may be perceived by the public as a	st" may include, but is not irrent or former Board t or former personal and/or current or former led to constitute a conflict of
	sed, consulted with or assisted any bidder in the pre sponse to this RFP nor have I submitted a letter of s	
without bias or p are no circumsta understand that	nd agree that the evaluation process is to be conduct prejudice. In this regard, I hereby certify that, to the cances that would reasonably support a good faith c t in the event a good faith charge of bias is made, it d be disqualified from participation in the evaluation	best of my knowledge, there harge of bias. I further will rest with me to decide
Proposals pres	confidential all information related to the conte sented during the review process until such tim ses the award decision notices for public distrib	e as the Department
Bear Stea A861B5FE8AB04A8		3
Signature 8	Date	