FSMA vs. GAP: A Side by Side Comparison Presented by: Leah Cook, January 2019 Records & Documentation Requirements			
Procedures	Records	Documents	
OPTION C: NO MANURE OR BIOSOLIDS USED 1-22. Policy stating that no manures or biosolids are used.	<ul> <li>1-12. Records showing crop production areas are regularly monitored for animal presence and activity.</li> <li>1-13. Record of measures taken to reduce chances for animals to enter crop production areas.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>1-3. Water quality assessment for irrigation water, including the following water tests:</li> <li>(1) per year per municipal source.</li> <li>(1) per yr for each ground water source.</li> <li>(3) per year for each surface water source.</li> </ul>	
	OPTION A: RAW MANURE1-14. Application records showing raw manure is incorporated 2 weeks before planting or 120 days before harvest.1-15. Application records showing raw manure was not applied on crops harvested within 120 days of application.1-16. Records of proper treatment to kill pathogens for treated manure if treated manures are used and mixed with raw manures.	<ul> <li>1-4. Water quality assessment for chemical application or fertigation water, including the following water tests: <ul> <li>(1) per year per municipal source.</li> <li>(1) per yr for each ground water source.</li> <li>(3) per year for each surface water source.</li> </ul> </li> <li>(3) per year for each surface water source.</li> <li>(1) per yr for each surface water source.</li> <li>(3) per year for each surface water source.</li> <li>(3) per year for each surface water source.</li> <li>(1) per yr for each surface water source.</li> <li>(1) per yr for each surface water source.</li> <li>(3) per year for each surface water source.</li> <li>(3) per year for each surface source.</li> <li>(1) per yr for each surface source.</li> <li>(3) per year for each surface source.</li> <li>(4) per yr for each surface source.</li> <li>(5) per year for each surface source.</li> <li>(6) per year for each surface source.</li> <li>(7) per year for each surface source.</li> <li>(8) per year for each surface source.</li> <li>(9) per year for each surface source.</li> <li>(1) per year for each surface source.</li> <li>(2) per year for each surface source.</li> <li>(3) per year for each surface source.</li> <li>(4) per year for each surface source.</li> <li>(5) per year for each surface source.</li> <li>(6) per year for each surface source.</li> <li>(7) per year for each surface source.</li> <li>(8) per year for each surface source.</li> <li>(1) per year for each surface source.</li> <li>(2) per year for each surface source.</li> <li>(3) per year for each surface source.</li> <li>(4) per year source.</li> <li>(</li></ul>	
Thung Arcalure	**PAGE 1 OF 2**		
AVALITY ASSUBANCE MAINE DEPART	MENT OF AGRICULTURE, CONSERVA	ΓΙΟΝ & FORESTRY	

FSMA vs. GAP: A Side by Side Comparison Presented by: Leah Cook, January 2019			
<b>Records &amp; Documentation Requirements</b>			
GAP AUDITS:	Part 1: Farm Review		
Procedures	Records	Documents	
	OPTION B: COMPOSTED MANURE		
	1-18. Application records showing <u>only</u> composted manure is used.		
	1-21. Analysis reports for composted manure and treated biosolids.		
	1-23. Previous land use risk assessment.		
	1-24. Soil test results for microbial contamination of any land with identified previous use risks.		
	1-25. Soil test results for microbial contamination in any flooded crop areas.		
	1-26. Map or record showing the crops grown in each production area, coded or identified to enable traceability to production area.		
Arcourse Treature Treature	**PAGE 2 OF 2**		
MAINE DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, CONSERVATION & FORESTRY			