# RELATIVE SUSCEPTIBILITY OF WOODY LANDSCAPE PLANTS TO JAPANESE BEETLE (COLEOPTERA: SCARABAEIDAE)

By David W. Held

**Abstract.** The Japanese beetle (*Popillia japonica* Newman) was introduced to a New Jersey nursery in 1916 and continues to spread across the United States and Canada. Adults attack foliage, flowers, and fruit of more than 300 species of plants; however, some plants are notably resistant. This paper summarizes data on plant susceptibility of woody plants to Japanese beetles collected from observations and controlled experiments. Resistance to Japanese beetle has been documented among species of maples (Acer) and birch (Betula) and among cultivars of crabapple (Malus), crapemyrtle (Lagerstroemia), and linden (Tilia). Production of certain plant odors, presence of secondary compounds in leaves, and leaf pubescence are factors affecting resistance to this insect. Host plant resistance is the most sustainable means of managing feeding damage or plant losses resulting from Japanese beetle adults. When suitable, incorporating Japanese beetle-resistant plants into new landscapes can reduce or eliminate the expense of replacing damaged plants or frequent insecticide applications.

**Key Words.** *Popillia japonica*; Japanese beetle; integrated pest management; host plant resistance.

The Japanese beetle (Popillia japonica Newman) is one of the most damaging pests of urban landscapes in the eastern United States. Yearly costs for management and mitigation of damage are estimated at US\$500 million (USDA/APHIS 2002). This scarab was introduced in 1916 to the eastern United States in infested nursery stock (Fleming 1972). At that time, entomologists were unaware of the pernicious nature of this species as evidenced from this quote, "While inspecting a nursery in southern New Jersey during August 1916, our attention was attracted by a scarabaeid feeding on the tips of Crataegus. ... Inasmuch as it was assumed to be a southern species, no particular attention to it was paid at that time" (Dickerson and Weiss 1918). In the presence of abundant grass and pasture land, and the apparent absence of natural enemies, the Japanese beetle flourished. Currently, this immigrant species partially infests or is established in all states east of the Mississippi River except Florida, and its range extends north into Canada (NAPIS 2003).

Adult Japanese beetles are broadly oval, 8 to 11 mm (about 0.5 in.) long, metallic green in color and have coppery-brown wing covers. Larvae are typical white grubs, C-shaped and cream colored, with three pairs of legs and a light-brown head capsule (Fleming 1972). Japanese beetle has a 1-year life cycle, spending most of its life underground as a grub. A small grub, about 1.5 mm (0.06 in.) long, emerges from an egg laid 3 to 5 cm (1 to 2 in.) deep into moist soil, typically under turfgrass. Females alternate between periods of feeding and mating on host plants and oviposition. During her lifetime, a female will have 12 or more egg-laying bouts and produce 40 to 60 eggs. Once hatched, grubs feed on roots and will reach full size, about 32 mm (1.25 in.) long, by late summer (Fleming 1972). Management of grubs is accomplished with soil insecticides applied preventively (e.g., Merit or Mach2) before egg hatch, or curatively (e.g., Dylox or Sevin) after small grubs are present. Presence of grubs or grub damage may be associated with nearby plants infested with adults; however, females will disperse to find a suitable site for oviposition (Fleming 1972; Potter and Held 2002).

Japanese beetle adults are active from June through August in most of its geographic range. These beetles are day active and mate and feed concurrently on host plants. They can defoliate more than 300 species of woody and herbaceous plants in 79 plant families (Fleming 1972; Potter and Held 2002). Because of their mobility and gregarious habits, swarms of Japanese beetle continually infest and defoliate new plants during the growing season. These factors can complicate control of adults, especially when using short-residual insecticides such as pyrethroids. Certain systemic products delivered through soil or trunk injection are available for control of Japanese beetles on mature, established street and residential trees; however, that treatment may not be an option for newly installed landscape plantings.

Use of insecticides to manage Japanese beetle can be reduced if resistant plants are substituted for more susceptible ones in commercial and residential landscapes. Observations and controlled experiments indicate that certain plant species, and even cultivars of the same species, vary in susceptibility. For example, moderate or complete resistance to Japanese beetle feeding is documented for most evergreens, certain crabapples (*Malus*), lindens (*Tilia*), maples (*Acer*), birch (*Betula*), and crapemyrtles (*Lagerstroemia*) (Fleming 1972; Ranney and Walgenbach 1992; Spicer et al. 1995; Potter et al. 1998; Miller and Ware 1999; Pettis et al. 2004). There is no resistance to Japanese beetle among species or cultivars of rose (*Rosa*) (Potter et al. 1998; Held and Potter 2004).

Most of the information on host susceptibility to Japanese beetle originated from a landmark survey summarized by W.E. Fleming (1972). This publication has since gone out of print; however, the information remains relevant to urban horticulture because of the continued spread of Japanese beetles into the United States and Canada. In his review, Fleming (1972) established a damage rating system based on observations of plant damage noted for each plant species in his listing. This rating system is qualitative and assigns a relative rank to each species based on written and oral accounts of Japanese beetle feeding damage noted from 1920 through 1963, primarily in the New England area (Fleming 1972).

Additional laboratory and field evaluations of Japanese beetle susceptibility for certain horticulturally important taxa have been further investigated by contemporaries of Fleming. These subsequent studies compared the percentage of defoliation of field- or container-grown plants in a common garden type of experiment (e.g., Potter et al. 1998). Blocks of woody plants representing replicates of each cultivar or species were subject to defoliation by natural beetle populations during one or more years. Additional laboratory or controlled field experiments were also used to verify the results of field tests for crabapple (Ranney and Walgenbach 1992; Spicer et al. 1995), crapemyrtle (Pettis et al. 2004), and linden (Miller and Ware 1999). Besides the field observations on Ulmus procera, U. rubra, and U. americana in Fleming (1972), susceptibility of elm species is based on laboratory experiments with detached leaves or defoliation of plants caged with beetles (Miller et al. 2001). Discrepancies in seasonal results from multi-year field evaluations have been noted and are attributed to the relative abundance of adults from year to year (Fleming 1972; Potter et al. 1998).

The purpose of this paper is to provide landscape architects, professional landscape managers, and arborists a comprehensive list of woody plants and their relative susceptibility to Japanese beetle. Although the results of these resistance screenings were reported in scientific or extension publications, there has been no single source of host plant data for Japanese beetle since Fleming (1972). This paper has compiled the data from Fleming (1972) and amended it with data from recent experiments to produce a comprehensive record of plant susceptibility to Japanese beetle.

### **DESCRIPTION OF DATA PRESENTATION**

Data are presented in table form, alphabetically by family, then scientific name. Tables 1 and 4 use a rating to indicate susceptibility. This rating is an adaptation of the system used by Fleming (1972). When a plant is designated "resistant," it

means observed plants were either never fed on or rarely fed on by Japanese beetles. "\*" and "\*\*" indicate plants on which feeding has been observed but is either occasional or light, respectively. "\*\*\*" and "\*\*\*\*" indicate plants that are commonly fed on by Japanese beetle, resulting in either moderate or extensive feeding damage, respectively. Plants with the latter two ratings will likely sustain considerable feeding damage or be completely defoliated if Japanese beetles are present.

Qualitative ratings for *Prunus serrulata*, *P. serotina*, and *P. virginiana* came from Fleming (1972), whereas all others were adapted from field defoliation data (Ranney and Walgenbach 1992). In the only field study with birch (*Betula*) species and cultivars, defoliation was 1% or less for all nine taxa, except for Himalayan birch (*B. jacquemontii*), which was 16% (Ranney and Walgenbach 1992). Based on these data, most birch are not preferred hosts (Table 1), except for Himalayan, European white, and gray, of which the latter two were ranked as more susceptible by Fleming (1972).

Tables 2 and 3 summarize resistance among cultivars of crapemyrtles and crabapples from field and laboratory experiments. Susceptibility ratings for crapemyrtle varieties are adapted from susceptibility rankings assigned by Pettis et al. (2004). The qualitative ratings assigned to crabapple cultivars (Table 3) were derived from three evaluations conducted in North Carolina (Ranney and Walgenbach 1992) and Kentucky (Spicer et al 1995; Potter et al. 1998). Relative susceptibility of the 26 cultivars common to both sites was similar (Potter et al. 1998).

Crapemyrtle and crabapple species or cultivars are listed under headings indicating their relative susceptibility. As before, "resistant" indicates that observed plants were rarely fed on. For crabapples, only those with less than 10% defoliation in field studies were assigned to this rating. "Moderately resistant" means that beetle feeding was observed but light. Crabapples ranked as moderately resistant generally sustained 20% to 45% defoliation. Plants designated "moderately susceptible" will have noticeable damage by Japanese beetle corresponding to 50% to 70% defoliation for crabapple varieties. All plants considered "susceptible" will be extensively damaged or completely defoliated by Japanese beetle, equivalent to about 75% to 100% defoliation in the crabapple field studies (Ranney and Walgenbach 1992; Spicer et al 1995; Potter et al. 1998).

Ratings for linden taxa (Table 4) were taken from observations in Fleming (1972), a 3-year field study of eight *Tilia* spp. in Kentucky (Potter et al. 1998), and Miller and Ware (1999), which combined laboratory feeding assays with leaves or leaf discs, with field defoliation data of 16 genotypes in Illinois. Ratings of linden were determined based on both studies; however, field defoliation data were used over laboratory results if there was any inconsistency between the relative rankings of the same variety.

### Table 1. Relative susceptibility of deciduous and evergreen woody trees and shrubs to Japanese beetles.

Scientific name	Common name	Rating <sup>z</sup>	Scientific name	Common name	Rating <sup>z</sup>
Aceracea			Cupressaceae		
Acer negundo	Boxelder	*	Chamaecyparis lawsoniana	Lawson white cedar	Resistant
Acer palmatum	Japanese maple	* * * *	Chamaecyparis obtuse	Hinoki cypress	Resistant
Acer platanoides	Norway maple	* * * *	Chamaecyparis pisifera	Sawara cypress	Resistant
Acer pseudoplatanus	Sycamore maple	* *	Chamaecyparis thyoides	Atlantic white cedar	Resistant
Acer rubrum	Red maple	Resistant	Juniperus chinesis	Chinese juniper	*
Acer saccharinum	Silver maple	Resistant	Juniperus communis	Common juniper	*
Acer saccharum	Sugar maple	* *	Thuja occidentalis	American arborvitae	*
Anacardiaceae			Thuja orientalis	Oriental arborvitae	*
Cotinus coggygria	Smoketree	*	Ebenaceae		
Rhus copallina	Flameleaf sumac	* *	Diospyros virginiana	Common persimmon	*
Rhus typhina	Staghorn sumac	*	Diospyros virginiana	common persiminon	
			Ericaceae		
Aquifoliaceae			Kalmia latifolia	Mountain laurel	Resistant
Ilex aquifolium	English holly	Resistant	Rhododendron catawbiense	Catawba rhododendron	*
Ilex cornuta	Chinese holly	Resistant	Rhododendron maximum	Rosebay rhododendron	*
Ilex crenata	Japanese holly	Resistant	Rhododendron pericylmenoides		Resistant
Ilex opaca	American holly	Resistant	Rhododendron viscosum	Swamp azalea	*
Ilex verticillata	Winterberry holly	*	Fabaceae		
Berberidaceae			Albizia julibrissin	Mimosa	Resistant
Berberis thunbergii	Japanese barberry	* *	Cercis canadensis	Eastern redbud	Resistant
0	Jul		Cercis chinensis	Chinese redbud	Resistant
Betulaceae			Robinia pseudoacacia	Black locust	*
Alnus glutinosa	Black alder	* * *	Sophora japonica	Japanese pagoda tree	*
Betula ermanii	Erman's birch	Resistant	Wisteria sinensis	Chinese wisteria	* * *
Betula jacquemontii	Himalayan birch	* * *	Wisteria Strensis	Chinese wisteria	
Betula nigra	River birch	*	Fagaceae		
Betula nigra 'Heritage'	Heritage river birch	*	Castanea crenata	Japanese chestnut	* *
Betula papyrifera	Paperbark birch	Resistant	Castanea dentate	American chestnut	* * * *
Betula pendula	European white birch	* * *	Fagus grandifolia	American beech	* *
Betula platyphylla var.	Asian Whitespire birch	Resistant	Fagus sylvatica	European beech	* *
japonica 'Whitespire'			Quercus alba	White oak	*
Betula platyphylla	Asian Szechuan birch	Resistant	Quercus coccinea	Scarlet oak	*
var. szechuanica			Quercus falcata	Southern red oak	*
Betula populifolia	Gray birch	* * * *	Quercus prinus	Chestnut oak	* *
Corylus americana	American filbert	*	Quercus palustris	Pin oak	* * *
Corylus colurna	Turkish filbert	* *	Quercus rubra	Red oak	*
Pignoniacogo			Quercus stellata	Post oak	*
<b>Bignoniaceae</b> Catalpa bignonioides	Southern catalpa	* * *	Quercus velutina	Black oak	*
Cutatpa Dignomotides	Southern catalpa		Circhesesses		
Buxaceae			Ginkgoaceae	Mailanhain ana	*
Buxus sempervirens	Common boxwood	Resistant	Ginkgo biloba	Maidenhair tree	-1-
Calycanthaceae			Hamamelidaceae		
	Carolina allspice	Resistant	Hamamelis virginiana	Witch hazel	*
Calycanthus floridus	Carolina alispice	Resistant	Liquidambar styraciflua	American sweetgum	*
Caprifoliaceae			<b>TT</b> :	-	
Lonicera fragrantissima	Winter honeysuckle	Resistant	Hippocastaneae	Horsechestnut	* * * *
Lonicera japonica	Japanese honeysuckle	*	Aesculus hippocastanum		* * * Y
Sambuscus canadensis	American elder	*	Aesculus parviflora	Bottlebrush buckeye	***
Symphoricarpos albus	Snowberry	Resistant	Hypericaceae		
Symphoricarpos orbiculatus	Buckbrush	Resistant	Hypericum perforatum	Common St. Johnswort	* * * y
Viburnum dentatum	Arrowwood	* * *		5	
Viburnum opulus	European cranberry bush	*	Juglandaceae		
Weigela florida	Weigela	* *	Carya glabra	Pignut hickory	*
	0		Carya ovata	Shagbark hickory	*
Celastraceae	4 1 1 1 1	D	Carya tomentosa	Mockernut hickory	* *
Celastrus scandens	American bittersweet	Resistant	Juglans cinerea	Butternut	*
Euonymus alatus	Burning bush	*	Juglans nigra	Black walnut	* * * *
Euonymus fortunei	Wintercreeper euonymus	Resistant	Lauraceae		
Clethraceae			Lindera benzoin	Common spicebush	* * *
Clethra alnifolia	Summersweet clethra	***y	Sassafras albidum	Common sassafras	* * * *
-			sussujius uiviuum	Common sassanas	
Cornaceae			Loganiaceae		
Corner Harida	Flowering dogwood	Resistant	D. 111.: . 1: 1::	Derts oufler break	* * * Y
Cornus florida	riowering dogwood	Resistant	Buddleia davidii	Butterfly-bush	~~~~

### 331

### Table 1. Relative susceptibility of deciduous and evergreen woody trees and shrubs to Japanese beetles.

Scientific name	Common name Ra	ting <sup>z</sup>	Scientific name	Common name H	Rating <sup>z</sup>
ythraceae			Rosa spp. and hybrids	Roses	* * * * Y
agerstroemia (see Table 2)	Crapemyrtle	* * * * y	Sorbus americana	American mountain ash	* * * *
Magnaliacoao			Spiraea trilobata	Three-lobed spirea	* *
<b>1agnoliaceae</b> iriodendron tulipifera	Tulip poplar	Resistant	Spiraea × vanhoutei	Vanhoutte spirea	* *
lagnolia grandiflora	Southern magnolia	*y	Rubiaceae		
lagnolia × soulangiana	Saucer magnolia	Resistant	Cephalanthus occidentalis	Buttonbush	* * * Y
lagnolia x soulanglana lagnolia virginiana	Sweetbay magnolia	Resistant	Gardenia jasminoides	Gardenia	*
nagnonia virginiana	Sweetbay magnona	Resistant	Guruenia jusminoides	Gardenia	
Ioraceae			Rutaceae		
icus carica	Common fig	Resistant	Citrus sinensis	Sweet orange	* * * y
icus elastica	Indian rubbertree	*	Salicaceae		
1orus rubra	Red mulberry	Resistant	Populus alba	White poplar	Resistan
lusaceae			Populus alba pyramidalis	Bolleana poplar	Resistan
Iusa × paradisiacal	French plantain	*	Populus nigra italica	Lombardy poplar	****
iusu × puruuisiucui	Frenen plantain		Salix babylonica	Babylon weeping willow	* * *
lyricaceae			Salix discolor	Pussy willow	* * * *
1yrica pensylvanica	Northern bayberry	* *	Suite discolor	russy whitew	
lyssaceae			Saxifragaceae		
lyssa sylvatica	Tupelo	* *	Deutzia gracilis	Deutzia	* * y
	Tupero		Hydrangea arborescens	Smooth hydrangea	Resistan
leaceae			Hydrangea paniculata	Panicle hydrangea	Resistan
orsythia × intermedia	Border forsythia	Resistant	Hydrangea petiolaris	Climbing hydrangea	*
orsythia suspena var. sieboldii		Resistant	Philadelphus coronaries	Mockorange	Resistar
raxinus americana	White ash	Resistant	Simaroubaceae		
raxinus pennsylvanica	Green ash	Resistant	Ailanthus altissima	Tree of Heaven	*
igustrum ovalifolium	California privet	* *			
igustrum vulgare	Common privet	*	Staphyleaceae		
yringa × persica	Persian lilac	Resistant	Staphylea trifolia	American bladdernut	Resistan
yringa vulgaris	Common lilac	Resistant	Styracaceae		
inaceae			Halesia tetraptera	Carolina silverbell	* * *
bies concolor	Balsam fir	Resistant			
arix deciduas	European larch	* * *	Taxaceae		
icea abies	Norway spruce	Resistant	Taxus baccata	English yew	Resistan
icea orientalis	Oriental spruce	Resistant	Taxus brevifolia	Western yew	Resistan
'inus sylvestris	Scotch pine	Resistant	Taxus canadensis	Canada yew	Resistan
inus virginiana	Virginia pine	*	Taxus cuspidate	Japanese yew	Resistan
seudotsuga menziesii	Douglasfir	Resistant	Taxodiaceae		
suga canadensis	Hemlock	Resistant	Cryptomeria japonica	Cryptomeria	*
			Taxodium distichum	Baldcypress	* * *
latanaceae	Landan nlanatura	* * * *		2 x	
latanus × acerifolia latanus occidentalis	London planetree	* * *	Tiliaceae (see Table 4)		
ialanus occidentalis	American planetree		Ulmaceae		
osaceae			Ulmus americana	American elm	* * * *
haenomeles japonica	Japanese flowering quince	* * *	Ulmus changii		* * * *
rateagus laevigata	English hawthorn	* *	Ulmus lanceaefolia		* * *
rateagus monogyna	Singleseed hawthorn	* *	Ulmus procera	English elm	* * * *
xochorda racemosa	Common pearlbush	* *	Ulmus prunifolia	0	* * *
1alus (see Table 3)			Ulmus pseudopropinqua		* * *
runus × cistena	Purpleleaf sandcherry	* * * *	Ulmus rubra	Slippery elm	* *
runus sargentii	Sargent cherry	* * * *	Ulmus taihangshanensis		* * * *
runus serotina	Black cherry	* * * *	Ulmus wallichiana		* * * *
runus serrulata	Oriental cherry	* * *	Verbergesse		
runus serrulata 'Kwanzan'	Kwanzan oriental cherry	* * *	Verbenaceae	Derender de contrado como	Desister
runus serrulata 'Mt. Fuji'	Mt. Fuji oriental cherry	* * * *	Callicarpa dichotoma	Purple beautyberry	Resistar
runus serrulata 'Tai Haku'	Tai Haku oriental cherry	* * *	Lantana camara	Lantana	Resistan
runus subhirtella	'Autumnalis Rosea'	* * * *		" are never fed on or rarely f	
runus virginiana	Common chokecherry	* *		**" indicate plants on which	
runus × incamp 'Okame'	Okame cherry	* * * *		r occasional or light, respectiv	
'runus × yedoensis 'Afterglow'		* * *		that are commonly fed on by	
runus × yedoensis 'Akebono'	Akebono Yoshino cherry	* * *		moderate or extensive feeding	damage,
	T: 1	*	recreatively		
Pyracantha coccinea Pseudocydonia sinensis	Firethorn Chinese quince	* * *	respectively.	re also fed on by Japanese be	

Resistant		
'Acoma'	'Pocomoke'	
Moderately resistant		
'Biloxi'	'Cordon Bleu'	'Potomac'
'Catawba'	'Lipan'	'Sioux'
'Chicksaw'	'Muskogee'	'Tuskegee'
'Choctaw'	'Osage'	'Wichita'
'Comanche'	'Pink Velour'	
Moderately susceptible		
'Apalachee'	'Hope'	'Seminole'
'Byers Standard Red'	'Hopi'	'Tonto'
'Byers Wonderful White'	'Miami'	'Tuscarora'
'Carolina Beauty'	'Natchez'	'Velma's Royal Delight'
'Centennial'	'Ozark Springs'	'Victor'
'Centennial Spirit'	'Pecos'	'William Toovey'
'Dynamite'	'Powhatan'	'World's Fair'
'Hardy Lavender'	'Raspberry Sundae'	'Yuma'
Susceptible		
'Red Rocket'	'Regal Red'	

# Table 2. Relative susceptibility of crapemyrtles to Japanesebeetles.

## Table 3. Relative susceptibility of crabapples to Japanese beetles.

Resistant		
Malus baccata Jackii	'Harvest Gold'	'Strawberry Parfait'
Malus hupehensis	'Jewelberry'	
'Golden Raindrops'	'Louisa'	
Moderately resistant		
'Adirondack'	Malus halliana var. parkmanii	'Red Jewel'
'Baskatong'	Malus tschonoski	Malus sargentii
'Bob White'	'Madonna'	'Sentinel'
'Brandywine'	'Molten Lava'	'Silver Moon'
'Callaway'	'Naragansett'	'Snowdrift'
'Centurion'	'Ormiston Roy'	'Sugar Tyme'
'Christmas Holly'	'Professor Sprenger'	'Wintergold'
'David'	'Profusion'	Malus × zumi 'Calocarpa'
'Doubloons'	'Ralph Shay'	Malus × zumi 'Winter'
'Gem'		
'Edna Mullins'	'Red Jade'	
Moderately susceptible	2	
'Adams'	'Indian Magic'	'Ruby Luster'
'Beverly'	'Indian Summer'	'Selkirk'
'Candymint Sargent'	'Mary Potter'	'Sinai Fire'
'Coralburst'	'Pink Princess'	'Snow Magic'
'Donald Wyman'	'Purple Prince'	'Tina'
Malus floribunda	'Red Baron'	'White Angel'
'Henningii'	'Robinson'	
Susceptible		
Malus baccata	'Liset'	'Royalty'
'Dolgo'	'Radiant'	'Velvet Pillar'
'Hopa'	'Red Splendor'	'Weeping Candied Apple'

Scientific name	Common name/cultivar	Rating <sup>z</sup>
Tilia amurensis		**
Tilia americana		*
Tilia americana	'Legend'	**
	'Redmond'	* * *
Tilia caroliniana		*
Tilia chinesis		**
Tilia cordata	'Chancellor'	* * *
	'Fairview'	* *
	'Glenleven'	* *
	'Greenspire'	* * * *
	'Olympic'	* * * *
	'Prestige'	* * *
Tilia × euchlora		* * *
Tilia heterophylla	'Continental Appeal'	* *
Tilia japonica		*
Tilia maximowicziana		* *
Tilia mongolica		* *
Tilia oliveri		*
Tilia orbicularis		* * *
Tilia petiolaris	Pendent silver linden	*
Tilia platyphyllos	Largeleaf linden	* * *
Tilia platyphyllos	'Parade'	*
Tilia tomentosa		* *
Tilia tomentosa	'Erecta'	* *
Tilia tomentosa	'Sterling'	**
Tilia sp.	'Sundance'	*

# Table 4. Relative susceptibility of lindens to Japanese beetles evaluated in laboratory or field experiments.

*z* "\*" and "\*\*" indicate plants on which feeding has been observed but is either occasional or light, respectively. "\*\*\*" and "\*\*\*\*" indicate plants that are commonly fed on by Japanese beetle, resulting in either moderate or extensive feeding damage, respectively.

### DISCUSSION

Susceptibility of plants to Japanese beetle should be one factor, among many, considered when selecting plants, particularly long-lived woody plants, for residential and commercial landscapes. Resistance of one plant species to Japanese beetle does not necessarily imply resistance to other plant-feeding insects or plant pathogens (Smitley and Peterson 1993; Pettis et al. 2004). For example, the crapemyrtle varieties 'Tonto' and 'Tuscarora' are moderately susceptible to Japanese beetle, but the same varieties are resistant to metallic flea beetles (*Altica* spp.), an important pest of crapemyrtle in production (Pettis et al. 2004).

Resistance of woody host plants to Japanese beetle is probably mediated by the presence or absence of deterrent compounds found in the foliage (Keathley et al. 1999; Potter and Held 2002). Control products containing certain plant extracts, such as neem (azadirachtin), can effectively deter feeding in laboratory choice tests (Ladd et al. 1978; Held et al. 2001) but often fail to provide similar protection when tested on whole plants in the field (Harper and Potter 1994; Witt et al. 1999). Abundant field populations, however, will reduce the efficacy of both conventional and botanical insecticides because of additional adults re-infesting treated plants.

Elms and lindens are considered preferred hosts for the Japanese beetle. Among elms, only *U. lancefolia* and *U. prunifolia* were slightly less susceptible than the other species (Miller et al. 2001). Although no lindens are resistant, varieties such as 'Parade', 'Legend', and 'Sterling' appear to be less susceptible (Potter et al. 1998). Moderate to dense leaf pubescence may be an important factor in susceptibility of linden and elm to Japanese beetle. For example, foliage of *T. platyphyllos* 'Parade', *T. tomentosa* 'Sterling', and *U. lamellose* have heavy pubescence and is less preferred by Japanese beetle (Potter et al. 1998; Miller and Ware 1999; Miller et al. 2001). Conversely, certain plants, such as species of *Ilex* and *Rhododendron*, with waxy or glossy foliage are also resistant to Japanese beetle (Fleming 1972; Keathley et al. 1999).

Plants with purplish or deep red foliage (e.g., 'Crimson King' Norway maple) are often observed to sustain more damage by Japanese beetle than green-leaved cultivars (Rowe et al. 2002). Foliage color alone, however, does not account for these differences. When two artificial ficus trees with foliage painted either green or purple are placed side by side in the field, significantly more beetles land on the green-leaved plants (Rowe et al. 2002). Flower color, however, does influence susceptibility of flowering plants to Japanese beetle. Rose varieties with yellow or white flowers are more likely to be attacked than those with darkercolored blooms (Held and Potter 2004).

Resistance of certain plants to Japanese beetle also depends on the production of attractive volatile compounds following damage by Japanese beetle or other plant-feeding insects (Loughrin et al. 1995). Japanese beetles use a wide range of floral and fruitlike compounds to locate a host plant (Fleming 1972; Loughrin et al. 1995, 1996). Laboratory tests show that Japanese beetle often cannot discriminate among foliage of plants that differ in susceptibility in the field (Loughrin et al. 1995, 1996). This finding indicates that Japanese beetles are attracted to plants regardless of their status as a host (Potter and Held 2002). However, if susceptible plants suffer feeding damage, they produce an array of attractive volatiles that serve as aggregation stimulants for Japanese beetle (Loughrin et al. 1995, 1996). Therefore, a susceptible plant in the field may not be inherently more attractive, but, if damaged, these plants produce the volatiles that recruit Japanese beetles much like sharks attracted to a blood trail in the water.

Host plant resistance is the most sustainable means of managing feeding damage or plant losses resulting from Japanese beetle adults. Landscape designers in states on the front of this insect's range expansion should consider incorporating resistant plants into residential, commercial, and municipal landscapes as well as any other long-term plantings. This approach can reduce the economic and environmental costs associated with the repeated use of insecticides to prevent or reduce damage to urban landscapes in the future.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Dickerson, E.L., and H.B. Weiss. 1918. Popular and practical entomology. Can. Entomol. 7:217–221.
- Fleming, W.E. 1972. Biology of the Japanese beetle. Technical Bulletin 1449 of the United States Department of Agriculture. Washington, DC. 129 pp.
- Harper, C., and D.A. Potter. 1994. Deterrence of neembased insecticides to Japanese beetles in six preferred host plants. Proc. S. Nurs. Res. Conf. 39:60–63.
- Held, D.W., and D.A. Potter. 2004. Floral characteristics influence susceptibility of hybrid tea roses (*Rosa* × *hybrida*) to Japanese beetles. J. Econ. Entomol. 97:353–360.
- Held, D.W., T. Eaton, and D.A. Potter. 2001. Potential for habituation to a neem-based feeding deterrent in Japanese beetles, *Popillia japonica*. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 101:25–32.
- Keathley, C.P., D.A. Potter, and R.L. Houtz. 1999. Freezealtered palatability of Bradford pear to Japanese beetle: Evidence for decompartmentalization and enzymatic degradation of feeding deterrents. Entomol. Exp. Appl. 90:49–59.
- Ladd, T.L. Jr., M. Jacobson, and C.R. Buriff. 1978. Japanese beetles: Extracts from neem tree seeds as feeding deterrents. J. Econ. Entomol. 71:810–813.
- Loughrin, J.H., D.A. Potter, and T.R. Hamilton-Kemp. 1995. Volatile compounds induced by herbivory acts as aggregation kairomones for the Japanese beetle (*Popillia japonica* Newman). J. Chem. Ecol. 21:1457–67.
- Loughrin, J.H., D.A. Potter, T.R. Hamilton-Kemp, and M.E. Byers. 1996. Volatile compounds from crabapple cultivars (*Malus* spp.) differing in susceptibility to the Japanese beetle (*Popillia japonica* Newman). J. Chem. Ecol. 22:1295–1305.
- Miller, F., and G. Ware. 1999. Feeding preferences for selected *Tilia* spp. and cultivars by the adult Japanese beetle (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae). J. Arboric. 25:168–173.
- Miller, F., G. Ware, and J. Jackson. 2001. Preference of temperate Chinese elms (*Ulmus* spp.) for the adult Japanese beetle (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae). J. Econ. Entomol. 94:445–448.
- National Agricultural Pest Information Service (NAPIS). 2003. Pest Tracker Invasive Insect: Japanese Beetle, *Popillia japonica*. www.ceris.purdue.edu/napis/pests/jb (accessed 9/16/04).

- Pettis, G.V., D.W. Boyd, Jr., S.K. Braman, and C. Pounders. 2004. Potential resistance of crape myrtle cultivars to flea beetle (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) and Japanese beetle (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae) damage. J. Econ. Entomol. 97:981–992.
- Potter, D.A., and D.W. Held. 2002. Biology and management of the Japanese beetle. Ann. Rev. Entomol. 47:175–205.
- Potter, D.A., P.G. Spicer, D. Held, and R.E. McNiel. 1998. Relative susceptibility of cultivars of flowering crabapples, lindens, and roses to defoliation by Japanese beetles. J. Environ. Hortic. 16:105–110.
- Ranney, T.G., and J.F. Walgenbach. 1992. Feeding preferences of Japanese beetles for taxa of birch, cherry, and crabapple. J. Econ. Entomol. 88:846–854.
- Rowe, W.J. II, D.A. Potter, and R.E. McNiel. 2002. Susceptibility of purple- versus green-leaved cultivars of woody landscape plants to the Japanese beetle. HortScience 37:362–366.
- Smitley, D.R., and N.C. Peterson. 1993. Evaluation of selected crabapple cultivars for insect resistance. J. Environ. Hortic.11:171–175.
- Spicer, P.G., D.A. Potter, and R.G. McNiel. 1995. Resistance of crabapple (*Malus* spp.) cultivars to defoliation by the Japanese beetle (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae). J. Econ. Entomol. 88:979–985.
- United States Department of Agriculture/Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (USDA/APHIS). 2002. Managing the Japanese beetle. A Homeowner's Handbook. United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC. www.pueblo.gsa.gov/cic\_text/housing/ japanese-beetle/jbeetle.html (accessed 9/16/04).
- Witt, J.D., S.L. Warren, T.G. Ranney, and J.R. Baker. 1999. Biorational and conventional plant protectants reduce feeding by adult Japanese beetles. J. Environ. Hortic. 17:203–206.

**Acknowledgments.** I thank P. Knight, C. Pounders, and B. Layton (Mississippi State University) for helpful comments on an earlier draft of this manuscript. I also acknowledge the authors of the numerous field and laboratory evaluations of host plants of Japanese beetles whose careful observations provide the backbone of this review. This paper is no. J10525 of the Mississippi State Agricultural Experiment Station.

Assistant Professor of Entomology Mississippi State University Coastal Research and Extension Center 1815 Popps Ferry Road Biloxi, MS 39532, U.S.

Zusammenfassung. Der japanische Käfer Popillia japonica Newman wurde 1916 in eine Baumschule in New Jersey eingeführt und breitet sich nach Norden und Osten quer durch die Vereinigeten Staaten aus. Die Erwachsenen attackieren Blätter, Blüten und Früchte von mehr als 300 Arten, dennoch sind einige resistent geblieben. Dieses Papier erfasst die Daten der für diesen Käfer anfälligen Gehölze, die aus Beobachtungen und kontrollierten Experimenten stammen. Eine Resistenz gegenüber Jap. Käfer wurde bei einigen Ahornarten, Birken, einigen Kultivaren von Malus, Lagerstroemia und Tilia dokumentiert. Die Produktion bestimmter Pflanzengerüche, Präsenz sekundärer Inhaltstoffe in den Blättern und behaarte Blätter sind Faktoren, die die Resistenz gegenüber diesem Insekt beeinflussen. Die Resistenz der Wirtspflanze ist die meistzuerhaltene Mittel um Fraßschäden oder Pflanzenverluste durch den Käfer zu managen. Wenn möglich kann die Inkorporation resistenter Pflanzen in neue Landschaften die Ausgaben für die Ersatzpflanzungen beschädigter Gehölze reduzieren und die Insektizidanwendungen minimieren.

**Resumen.** El escarabajo japonés, *Popillia japonica* Newman, fue introducido a New Jersey en 1916, y continúa esparciéndose al norte y este a través de los Estados Unidos. Los adultos atacan el follaje, flores y frutos de más de 300 especies de plantas. Sin embargo, algunas plantas son notablemente resistentes. Este reporte resume los datos sobre la susceptibilidad de plantas leñosas a los escarabajos japoneses colectados de observaciones y experimentos controlados. La resistencia al escarabajo japonés ha sido documentada entres especies de maples (*Acer*), abedules (*Betula*) y entre cultivares de manzanos (*Malus*), astronómicas (*Lagerstroemia*) y tilos (*Tilia*). La producción de ciertos olores por las plantas, presencia de componentes secundarios en las hojas y pubescencia de las mismas son factores que afectan la resistencia a estos insectos. La resistencia de las plantas hospederos es el medio más sustentable de manejo del daño o pérdida de plantas resultante de los escarabajos japoneses adultos. Cuando se desea, la incorporación de plantas resistentes en nuevos escenarios puede reducir o eliminar los gastos de reemplazo de plantas dañadas o las aplicaciones frecuentes de insecticidas.

Résumé. Le scolyte japonais (Popillia japonica Newman) a été introduit dans une pépinière du New Jersey en 1916 et continue depuis de s'étendre vers le nord et l'est des États-Unis. Les adultes attaquent le feuillage, les fleurs et les fruits de plus de 300 espèces de plantes, mais certaines plantes demeurent résistantes de manière notable. Cet article fait un résumé des données recueillies sur la susceptibilité des plantes ligneuses aux scolytes japonais, et ce à partir de d'observations et d'expériences contrôlées. La résistance au scolyte japonais a été documentée parmi des espèces des genres Acer, Betula et sur certains cultivars de Malus, de Lagerstroemia et de Tilia. La production de certaines odeurs par les plantes, la présence de composés secondaires dans les feuilles et la pubescence foliaire sont des facteurs qui affectent la résistance à cet insecte. La résistance de la plante hôte est le moyen le plus efficace pour gérer les dommages par causés par l'alimentation ou encore les pertes de végétaux résultants des scolytes japonais adultes. Lorsque cela est possible, l'incorporation de plants résistants au scolyte japonais dans les nouveaux aménagements peut réduire ou éliminer les dépenses pour le remplacement de végétaux endommagés ou les applications fréquentes d'insecticide.