The Maine Land Use Planning Commission is accepting public comment on the zoning petition filed by Wolfden Mt. Chase, LLC. to allow for development of the Pickett Mountain Mine in T6 R6 WELS. Public comments will be accepted until 10 days following the close of the public hearing for the petition. The public hearing has not yet been scheduled. A public notice for the hearing will be issued later this year in accordance with the Commission’s Rules of Practice, 01-672 CMR 4.

Written comments received on the Wolfden Zoning Petition for the Pickett Mountain Mine will be posted periodically on the LUPC webpage. Information on changes to the webpage and other notifications to interested persons will be distributed through the Maine GovDelivery system.

For more information on the review process, filing public comments, and signing-up for notifications, visit the LUPC webpage at: https://www.maine.gov/dacf/lupc/projects/wolfden/wolfden_rezoning.html.
Stacie R. Beyer  
Planning Manager  
Land Use Planning Commission  

Via Electronic Mail  

June 26, 2020  

Dear Ms. Beyer:  

I am submitting these comments on the Wolfden rezoning application on behalf of the Natural Resources Council of Maine (NRCM). NRCM is Maine's largest environmental advocacy group with more than 25,000 members and supporters. NRCM’s mission is protecting, restoring, and conserving Maine's environment, now and for future generations.  

Wolfden continues to ignore multiple, clear LUPC requests for information relating to its proposed Pickett Mountain mining project near Mount Chase. NRCM believes this merits LUPC rejecting the petition. The continued failure to provide accurate, timely information is quite disconcerting given the dangers associated with metal mining. The mining industry is notorious for not providing timely and accurate information about its environmental impacts even though mining’s serious risks make this information necessary.  

Wolfden appears determined to make changes to its petition in response to LUPC requests almost impossible for the public to follow by not submitting them in “track changes” or marking them clearly in some other manner. This would appear to be a sign that Wolfden is uninterested in providing public information in a way Maine citizens can follow. Again, accurate and clear information is particularly necessary for mining projects because of the serious risk they present.  

NRCM also wishes to flag three issues that Wolfden has repeatedly failed to address despite LUPC’s requests that they do so.  

Financial Capacity  

LUPC asked Wolfden for evidence of financial capacity in letters dated March 6\(^1\) and May 27.\(^2\) Wolfden still has not provided any evidence that it has the capacity to carry out a project that its own petition  


identifies as costing more than $1 billion.³ The company’s description of its limited financial capacity is shocking. The Wolfden petition states:

Funding for the Pickett Mountain Mine project to date has been a combination of small equity raises and timber sales from the property. Wolfden’s share price will be an important factor for its ability to fully finance the construction of the project. Wolfden continues to trade at a discount to many of its peers because investors have their doubts about Maine’s willingness to issue mining permits. We anticipate that this trend will continue for the project until milestones like the rezoning have been achieved and the project is proceeding well through its baseline studies.⁴

This is not a description of a company with good prospects for making a billion-dollar investment.

Moreover, the table describing Wolfden’s proposed schedule of investments doesn’t specifically mention the requirement in Maine law (Title 38 section 490-RR(2)(2)) that mining companies must provide financial assurance sufficient to “cover the cost to respond to a worst-case catastrophic mining event or failure, including, but not limited to, the cost of restoring, repairing and remediating any damage to public facilities or services, to private property or to the environment resulting from the event or failure.”⁵ This financial assurance is critical to protect Maine’s taxpayers and its environment. Mining companies have a history of causing terrible environmental damage, going bankrupt, and then leaving the public to pay for cleanup. The Legislature designed Maine’s mining law specifically to prevent this from happening by requiring very substantial financial assurance so that Maine taxpayers never have to foot the bill for cleanup again as they continue to do for the Callahan Mine in Brooksville, which closed in 1972.

The fact that Wolfden appears unable to demonstrate financial capacity does not surprise NRCM. Wolfden has not successfully developed any site it owns, nor has the company ever made a profit from mining. The Portland Press Herald stated the following in 2017:

According to the company’s statement, Wolfden is a mineral exploration company with interests in Manitoba and northern New Brunswick. Corporate filings show that the company has yet to move from exploration to actual mining at any of the sites. The most recent quarter report stated that Wolfden has lost more than $17 million (U.S. dollars) since 2009, including more than $800,000 during the first two quarters of 2017.⁶

Solid financial capacity is necessary to mine safely. Without demonstration of adequate financial capacity, which Wolfden has been unable to do in the five months since filing its petition, LUPC should deny the rezoning.

---


⁴ Ibid., P. 84.


Lack of proven, effective wastewater treatment

Wolfden has repeatedly claimed that it would build a wastewater treatment system capable of treating mining wastewater to natural background levels of contaminants in groundwater upstream of the site. However, although LUPC asked Wolfden to provide an example of a comparable mine that can treat wastewater to such pristine levels in its March 6 letter within 30 days\(^7\), Wolfden has not done so. Although Wolfden did discuss the wastewater treatment system at the Halfmile Mine in New Brunswick in its petition amendment dated May 1, this mine is not relevant to the proposed Pickett Mountain Mine.

The Halfmile Mine ships its ore to another facility for processing.\(^8\) Therefore, its wastewater treatment plant is dealing with a much lighter load of pollutants than a mine with an on-site beneficiation plant that concentrates ore, as Wolfden has proposed at Pickett Mountain. Because beneficiation of ore involves dealing with a greater range of contaminants (toxic materials are added to the flotation tanks to concentrate ore), and there is a greater volume of wastewater and metals to treat, treating the wastewater from a mine with onsite ore-processing is much more difficult than from a mine that ships its ore to another facility for processing. A mine like the Halfmile Mine only has to treat water with metals and acid that may leach from mine workings or run off storage facilities. The proposed Pickett Mountain Mine would have to treat these sorts of contaminants plus the far more polluted volume of wastewater and contaminant types that comes from the beneficiation process.

When NRCM staff met with Wolfden staff last February, we asked them to provide us an example of a mine that could treat wastewater to background levels as they were proposing to do at Pickett Mountain. They mentioned the Halfmile Mine. When we asked if this was a mine that had an onsite ore-processing facility, they said that it was not, but that they would provide us an example of a mine that could treat to background levels and also had onsite ore-processing. They have never provided NRCM with an example of such a mine despite our asking again for them to do so in an email (attached). They have not provided LUPC with such an example either, more than three months after LUPC asked for one.

NRCM believes this failure is grounds to deny Wolfden’s rezoning petition.

Failure to remove references to disposing of tailings paste in mine workings

In its rezoning petition, Wolfden proposed disposal of tailings paste in closed mine workings. LUPC has pointed out to them multiple times that this is not allowed under DEP’s Chapter 200 mining rules, but the company still has not removed reference to this disposal technique from its petition according to LUPC’s May 27 letter.\(^9\)


NRGM also discussed this issue with Wolfden at our February meeting. When we informed them that this risky technique is not permitted under Maine rules, one of the Wolfden staff stated that Maine’s rules were poorly thought-out on this front. We informed him that Maine’s rules took years to craft and that DEP specifically and deliberately prohibited this practice because of the high risk of contamination it poses to groundwater. Maine’s Chapter 200 rules have been approved by both the Board of Environmental Protection and the Legislature.

NRGM is uncertain whether Wolfden’s continued failure to remove references to disposing of mining tailings in closed mine works from its petition reflects sloppiness on the company’s part or contempt for Maine’s mining rules. Either way, NRGM believes this is a sign that Wolfden is not a company Maine should entrust with metal mining. To avoid environmental disaster, companies wishing to mine in Maine must respect our rules and plan and implement their operations meticulously. Wolfden’s failure to remove references to disposal of tailings paste in mine workings indicates either an unwillingness or inability to do either of these things.

For all the above reasons, we urge LUPC to deny Wolfden’s petition for rezoning.

Please feel free to contact me with any questions.

Sincerely,

Nick Bennett
Staff Scientist
Thank you, Ron.

Will you be sending answers to our other key questions:

1. An example of a comparable mine with onsite milling and concentrating that treats to background levels of unimpacted groundwater and the technology such a mine uses for treatment;
2. Sites where Wolfden has been successful in developing a mine and what the results of those developments have been; and
3. An example of a mine that recycles 100% of the chemicals used in the concentrating and flotation processes (i.e., treats none of them).

Regards,

Nick

From: Ron Little <RLittle@wolfdenresources.com>
Sent: Monday, February 17, 2020 12:43 PM
To: Nick Bennett <nbennett@nrcm.org>; Jeremy Ouellette <JOuellette@wolfdenresources.com>
Cc: Pete Didisheim <pdidisheim@nrcm.org>; Lisa Pohlmann <lpohlmann@nrcm.org>; Melanie Sturm <msturm@nrcm.org>; Cathy Johnson <cjohnson@nrcm.org>
Subject: Re: Update Meeting

Nick,

From this link you can find all material documents filed by every Canadian public company.

Regards,

Ron

https://www.sedar.com/issuers/issuers_en.htm
Hi Jeremy:

We can meet with you at 11 am on the morning of the 13th. You can find directions to our office here.

Best,

Nick

Hi Nick,

I’ll be outside of Bangor for our commission meeting on Wednesday the 12th. Does the morning of the 13th work for everyone? I could travel down to Augusta Wednesday night and meet at your convenience to run through the details of the project and discuss path forward.

Thanks again,

Jeremy

Hi Jeremy:

Sorry for the delay in getting back to you. I’ve been out of the office a couple of days.

We would be happy to meet with you, but this week is not going to work. There are four others on our staff who would like to be in the meeting. I’ve cc’ed them on this email.

Do you have any times that would work next week? Tuesday or after would be best.

Thanks,
From: Jeremy Ouellette <JOuellette@wolfdenresources.com>
Sent: Friday, January 31, 2020 1:31 PM
To: Nick Bennett <nbennett@nrcm.org>
Cc: Ron Little <RLittle@wolfdenresources.com>
Subject: Update Meeting

Hi Mr. Bennett,

I’m reaching out as a follow up to communications with Ron Little. My name is Jeremy Ouellette and I’m the VP Project Development for Wolfden Resources Corp.

As you may know, we have submitted a formal Petition for re-zoning our Pickett Mountain Project in Northeastern Maine. With that said, I would like to set up a meeting with you in order to give some insight to the conceptual designs and processes for Pickett Mountain as I am very pleased with the designs thus far and our ability to fully support current legislation.

Are you available Tuesday evening or late next week on either Thursday or Friday for a quick meeting? I have a short presentation to give then I’m happy to open it up for general discussion and answer any questions you may have at this point.

Please let me know your availability and I’ll happily schedule it in.

Looking forward to meeting.

Kind regards,

Jeremy Ouellette, P.Eng.
Vice President Project Development
Wolfden Resources Corp.
(Dir) 807-624-1134
(Mob) 506-312-2242
Email: jouellette@wolfdenresources.com

This message (including any attachments) is confidential and may contain privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete this email and notify sender immediately. Any unauthorized use, dissemination, distribution or disclosure is prohibited.