Honorable Barry J. Hobbins, Senate Chair Honorable Jon Hinck, House Chair Joint Standing Committee on Utilities and Energy 100 State House Station Augusta, Maine 04333-100

Re: Public Law, Chapter 548, 123rd legislature, An Act to Amend Cable Television Laws and Establish a Model Cable Franchise Agreement

Dear Chairman Hobbins and Chairman Hinck,

Please accept this letter of support for the model cable television franchise agreement from Jeffrey Austin of the Maine Municipal Association and Tony Vigue and John Goran of the Community Television Association of Maine, acting as municipal and community stakeholders*.

We recommend that municipalities seriously consider the use of this model. It is extremely thorough and provides a satisfactory level of consumer protection and municipal benefits with multiple references to relevant Federal and State statutes. It also includes non-compliance penalties and other protections for the towns. The franchise agreement that a town adopts will be tailored to the particular needs of a community. The model franchise is flexible in that several basic issues require further specific negotiation. These issues include but are not limited to:

- the franchise fee,
- the number of PEG channels and support
- build out density.

These important topics are not predetermined in a "one-size-fits all" manner. Instead, the model franchise includes some "fill-in-the-blanks" following local negotiation. The benefit of the model is that it provides standardized terms and conditions that should not require expensive negotiation to develop.

The bottom line is that if a particular municipality is comfortable with the model then it should be in a very good position to successfully negotiate a cable franchise agreement. Municipalities do not have to use the model and are free to propose changes. That said, the more substantive changes to the model a municipality seeks in its favor, a similar reaction could fairly be expected of a cable company.

It is also recommended that municipalities review their local cable ordinances to insure that they are in agreement with their franchise, regardless of which franchise they choose to use.

Ultimately, we are hopeful that municipalities will benefit from the hours of negotiation and compromise in which the stakeholders were engaged over the past year in the development of Maine's Model Cable Television Franchise Agreement.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Austin Tony Vigue John Goran

*CTAM is a non-profit 501c3 Affiliate of Maine Municipal Association assisting over 90 Community Television stations in the State of Maine, together providing local municipal and educational programming to over 350,000 homes. Community television channels in Maine also provide free or low cost promotional outreach opportunities for more than 250 non-profit charitable organizations and private foundations which serve all the citizens of Maine and together create more locally produced programs in a single month than all the commercial networks produce in a year. Phil Lindley Office of Information Technology 26 Edison Drive 145 State House Station Augusta, ME 04333-0145

Dear Phil,

Please accept this letter as the comments of Jeffrey Austin of the Maine Municipal Association (MMA) and Tony Vigue and John Goran of the Community Television Association of Maine (CTAM) for the OIT report to the Legislature on the development of a model cable television franchise agreement.

The need to revise and improve the cable franchising process here in Maine has long been recognized. The most compelling evidence of a problem is that cable franchise agreements in many municipalities have lapsed and no successor has been negotiated. In these communities, both the municipalities (and their citizens) and the operator of the cable system are stuck in a log-jam.

There have been several unsuccessful pieces of legislation over the past few Legislative cycles that would have addressed the process of cable franchising in different ways.

In 2008, LD 2133, An Act To Amend the Cable Television Laws and Establish a Model Cable Franchise Agreement was filed and an amended version ultimately was enacted as PL 2007, c. 548.

The bill as drafted required the Utilities and Energy commission to adopt via rulemaking a model franchise agreement for use by any municipality that would have chosen to adopt its provisions as a municipal ordinance. It would also have required the Public Utilities Commission to review each side's position and make binding decisions over disputed issues where necessary.

The Utilities and Energy Committee ultimately rejected the PUC rulemaking process and instead requested the parties – municipal representatives and industry representatives – to see if a negotiated model could be developed (the Office of Information Technology was tabbed to oversee the negotiations).

Dozens of meetings were held over the course of the following year between the cable and telephone industry representatives, MMA, CTAM, municipal officials and the

Office of Information Technology. As is typical for negotiations, there were periods where agreement was easy to find and provisions readily drafted. There were also painfully slow periods of "wordsmithing," revisions and even stalemate.

Ultimately though, a model franchise was developed that was acceptable to both sides. Each could undoubtedly point to concessions and provisions that were uncomfortable to make. Nevertheless, we believe the final product is enough of a compromise that both sides can recommend its use.

Maine Municipal Association and Community Television Association of Maine will recommend that municipalities seriously consider use of this model franchise. We feel it is thorough and provides a satisfactory level of consumer protection and municipal benefits with multiple references to relevant Federal and State statutes. It also includes penalties and protections, however accessing those penalties is not going to be easy and a town will have to be willing to do some work in the event that it becomes necessary.

The franchise agreement that a town adopts will be tailored to the particular needs of a community. The model franchise is flexible in that several basic issues require further specific negotiation. These issues include:

- the franchise fee,
- the number of PEG channels, and,
- build out density.

These important topics are not predetermined in a "one-size-fits all" manner. Instead, the model franchise includes some "fill-in-the-blanks" following local negotiation. The benefit of the model is that it provides standardized terms and conditions that should not require expensive negotiation to develop.

The bottom line is that if a particular municipality is comfortable with the model then it should be in a very good position to successfully negotiate a cable franchise agreement. Municipalities do not have to use the model and are free to propose changes. That said, the more substantive changes to the model a municipality seeks in its favor, a similar reaction could fairly be expected of a cable company.

Ultimately, we are hopeful that municipalities will benefit from the hours of negotiation and compromise in which the stakeholders were engaged over the past year in the development of Maine's Model Cable Television Franchise Agreement.

Jeffrey Austin Tony Vigue John Goran



New England Cable & Telecommunications Association, Inc.

Ten Forbes Road Suite 440W Braintree, MA 02184 Tel: (781) 843-3418 Fax: (781) 849-6267

Capitol Place 21 Oak Street, Suite 307 Hartford, CT 06106 Tel: (860) 524-5820 Fax: (860) 728-1302

November 10, 2009

Honorable Barry J. Hobbins, Senate Chair Honorable Jon Hinck, House Chair Joint Standing Committee on Utilities and Energy 100 State House Station Augusta, Maine 04333-100

Re: Public Law, Chapter 548, 123rd Legislature, An Act To Amend Cable Television Laws and Establish a Model Cable Franchise Agreement.

Dear Chairman Hobbins and Chairman Hinck,

The New England Cable & Telecommunications Association, Inc (NECTA) represents substantially all cable television providers in the six New England states. NECTA participated in the process that created the Model Cable Franchise Agreement. The cable television industry supports the final product with the following qualifications.

The intent of the process was to create a document that would aid communities in the franchise negotiation process. It is important to note that the model is provided as a resource and is not binding on either party in a negotiation. Franchises are by nature creatures of local community need and must be tailored to address the requirements of individual cities and towns. Two adjoining towns might have opposite opinions on public access, franchise fees, or other issues. That is why it was essential that the Model Cable Franchise Agreement serve only as a resource and not a binding mandate on local communities or franchisees.

NECTA and its members are grateful to Phil Lindley for his work in stewarding the parties through the yearlong process. He took this project on voluntarily and worked extremely hard to bring the process to a positive conclusion. We appreciated the opportunity to participate in the creation of the Model Cable Franchise Agreement and look forward to working with the committee in the coming session.

Sincerely,

William D. Durand Executive Vice President Chief Counsel