FRESHWATER BIODIVERSITY

IN MAINE

Peter. D. Vaux

With contributions from:

Arlene Olivero

The Nature Conservancy Maine Department of Environmental Protection Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife

September 2005

(Pre-printing version; subject to revision)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Freshwater ecosystems contribute substantially to Maine's landscape diversity. The Maine Aquatic Biodiversity Project (MABP) was designed to review and evaluate what is known about the floral and faunal diversity of these ecosystems. MABP was spearheaded by The Nature Conservancy, the Department of Environmental Protection and the Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife. Key issues addressed by MABP include:

- What plant and animal species inhabit lakes and streams in Maine, and where are they found?
- What are the geographic patterns in biodiversity across the state?
- How much do we know about the composition of assemblages of species in lakes and streams? How are these assemblages associated with landscape-level ecosystem attributes?
- How do biological communities in key lake and stream types vary across different regions of the state?
- How much is known about the extent to which freshwater communities are changing / have changed through time?
- What are the key threats confronting freshwater biodiversity in Maine? What information exists to document the impacts of these threats?
- Where are major data gaps?
- What is an effective approach for sharing with a broader audience the biodiversity data accessed by MABP?

Lakes, ponds, rivers, streams and freshwater wetlands comprise about one tenth of the state's surface area. There are more than 6,000 lakes and ponds in Maine and over 31,000 miles of rivers and streams. Although not explicitly covered by MABP, wetlands are critical to Maine's freshwater ecosystems. On average, wetlands comprise about one fifth of the riparian area around Maine lakes. Groups of lakes can be defined based on size and shape (e.g. surface area, depth, perimeter configuration) as well as by a series of landscape-level characteristics (e.g. elevation, degree of connectivity to the surface drainage network, extent of isolation from human settlements and roads, intersection with conservation lands). In the west and northwest regions of the state, about one quarter of lakes are located entirely or partially within lands that are under some form of conservation management. Elsewhere, the percentage of lakes within conservation lands is much lower. Other lake groupings include those based on water quality, especially productivity, color, transparency and acidity.

Biological diversity in Maine today is the result of re-colonization of the region by fauna and flora following deglaciation approximately 13,000 years ago. Because of the relatively recent retreat of the glaciers, species richness in many groups is lower in the Northeast than areas to the south. At the same time, however, Maine lies within a transition zone between south and north. The transitional nature of the state contributes to regional biodiversity.

The most intensively surveyed freshwater faunal groups are amphibians and reptiles, fish, freshwater mussels, odonates and mayflies. For these groups, we have a reasonably complete knowledge of regional diversity, although some parts of the state remain under-sampled. Maine has 18 amphibian species and 10 turtle species (three of which are marine). Three turtle species are currently listed as threatened or endangered. Habitat loss, particularly in southern Maine, is the major threat to amphibians and turtles. Seventy one fish species in Maine spend all or part of their life cycle in freshwater. About 70% of these species are native to the state. Non-native species are more common in the southern and central regions of the state. Diversity of native minnows is highest in northern and western Maine. Introductions over the past 150 years have played a major role in shaping the contemporary fish assemblages of Maine's lakes and streams. Today, illegal introductions continue to threaten the biological integrity of freshwater ecosystems.

A number of ponds are known to be fishless and thus represent relatively unique ecosystems. While there are likely a number of fishless ponds remaining to be discovered, it is known that many fishless ponds have been stocked over the past century or more. While most large lakes in Maine have been surveyed for fish, there are many hundreds of smaller waterbodies that have never been sampled. A network of stream sites is routinely surveyed with standardized protocols, providing a valuable information source for trend assessment. Efforts to quantitatively document the fish assemblages of large rivers have got underway in recent years, thus beginning to fill a major gap in our understanding of the state's ichthyofauna. Extensive fish monitoring occurs in the Atlantic salmon watersheds.

Freshwater mussels are one of the most threatened freshwater faunal groups worldwide. Ten mussel species are present in Maine, two of which are listed as threatened here. Mussel species richness tends to be higher in lower elevation regions of central and eastern Maine. The invasive zebra mussel (*Dreisenna polymorpha*) has not yet been found in Maine, although it is known to now exist in neighboring New Hampshire. While many of Maine's waters would probably not be capable of supporting populations of zebra mussel, there are several regions in the state where this species could survive and, possibly, thrive. Maine has similar numbers of odonate and mayfly species (158 and about 170, respectively). Highest odonate diversity occurs in southern Maine, whereas highest mayfly diversity appears to occur in the western part of the state.

For other groups of macro-invertebrates, including crayfish, stoneflies, caddisflies, beetles and snails, survey effort has been much more patchy. With the exception of crayfish, it is likely that current species lists for these groups significantly underestimate actual numbers of species. Furthermore, there are some regions of the state where there are major data gaps. The recent confirmation that the extremely rare, apparently endemic, Roaring Brook mayfly (*Epeorus frisoni*) continues to exist in Baxter State Park serves to further underscore the fact that many of Maine's mayfly species appear to be rare here. Caddisfly species richness is known to be remarkably high in the Tomah Stream area of Washington County. However, little is known about caddisfly diversity in many other parts of the state. Regional patterns in the diversity of snails, aquatic beetles and several other invertebrate groups are poorly understood. There are relatively few crayfish species in Maine. Thus, while survey effort has be variable across the state, the species list is probably complete for this group. More information is needed, however, about the current status of a recently introduced crayfish species.

Assessment of trends in the composition and structure of macro-invertebrate assemblages is complicated by the paucity of consistently-collected data and by changes in taxonomy. The Department of Environmental Protection's (MDEP) stream biomonitoring program nevertheless provides a valuable source of quantitative stream invertebrate data. The fact that many taxa cannot be identified to the species level limits the extent to which these data can be used for documenting temporal variation in species-level assemblage composition.

There are about 130 species of aquatic vascular plants in Maine – the exact number depending on the definition of "aquatic". Plant assemblages have been documented for a number of Maine lakes and rivers. Additional collections of rare species have been, and continue to be, made around the state. Current "rapid bioassessment" surveys of waterbodies are expanding our knowledge of the distribution and frequency of occurrence of plant species. Four species of invasive aquatic plants have been recorded from Maine, with most records being from the southern part of the state. Extensive efforts are currently underway to document new infestations and manage existing populations.

Water quality in many of Maine's rivers has improved substantially over the past 30 years. Nevertheless, a number of factors today threaten the integrity of Maine's freshwater ecosystems. Water quality remains sub-optimal in a number of rivers. Dams and other barriers continue to block fish passage in many river systems. Dam removals have already begun to restore diadromous species to some of their ancestral areas and this process will continue as more dams are removed in the future. At the same time, however, recent dam removals underscore the fact that these barriers also serve to restrict access of non-native species to upstream lakes and streams. Dams and water withdrawals can modify stream and lake hydrology and thus influence aquatic biodiversity. Water withdrawals are particularly significant in parts of southern, northeastern and Downeast Maine. The issue of water level management is being addressed in a series of proposed management regulations under development by MDEP. Habitat degradation and loss is a major threat for many aquatic groups. Sprawl, especially in the south, continues to destroy valuable wetland habitat. Forestry and agricultural practices, including associated road construction, can impact aquatic habitat via non-point and other source of pollution. As indicated above, species introductions continue to reduce biological integrity throughout Maine. At least for fish assemblages, these introductions are leading to an increasing homogenization of biodiversity in lake and stream systems. Mercury is being increasingly seen as a pervasive threat to aquatic (and terrestrial) systems. A number of mercury hotspots are known to be present in Maine and there is a growing body of information on the effects of mercury of aquatic biota.

Acknowledgments

MABP's steering committee donated appreciable amounts of their time getting the project started, and reviewing progress and products. Committee members are:

Nancy Sferra, Dave Courtemanch, Peter Bourque, Cyndy Loftin, Alex Huryn, Rich Dressler, Molly Docherty and Ken Warner.

Dave Courtemanch and Barbara Vickery provided much of the original impetus for this project. Although not formally on the committee for most of the project's duration, Barbara Vickery provided highly valued critiques of project implementation and products.

Many fisheries and wildlife biologists at MDIFW provided extensive data and insights. Their names are included at the end of this report in the full list of persons contacted – we particularly thank Tim Obrey, Merry Gallagher, Phillip DeMaynadier and Beth Swartz who were "bugged" on numerous occasions for data updates and interpretations. Ken Elowe provided consistent support for the project and also supported the decision to merge data-access functions of MABP into PEARL.

Several individuals at MDEP, in particular Linda Bacon, shared extensive data sets on Maine lakes. This information was invaluable for MABP.

Don Cameron, MNAP, provided access to plant data from the Biological Conservation Database.

Completion of MABP would have been impossible without the enthusiastic, efficient and goodnatured assistance of Arlene Olivero (TNC, Boston). She provided GIS advice, data and data manipulations throughout the project. She became a true collaborator in the project during development of the landscape-level classification / evaluation of lakes, rivers and their watersheds.

Many other individuals provided information, suggested leads, and insights during the project. The full list of these individuals appears at the end of Part 2 of this report.

Project funding is gratefully acknowledged from the following organizations:

The Nature Conservancy Maine Department of Environmental Protection Maine Department of Inland Fisheries and Wildlife Maine Atlantic Salmon Commission Maine Outdoor Heritage Fund

Table of Contents

Executi	ve sumn	nary		
ii. List d	owledgn of tables of figures			
1. Intro	duction			1
PART 1	L			
2. 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5	Major ri Lakes a Streams Wetland	nd ponds s and rivers ds	scape ine: an overview f Maine's lakes and watersheds	10 18 18
3. Fresl 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5	Species Freshwa Rare, th Unique	diversity in Main ater communities and high-value s	ine: an overview ne and the Northeastern U.S dangered species ystems: some examples	35 36 38
4. Mana 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6	Introduce Barriers Modifica Land-us Biologice	ation to river an e practices and	n of freshwater ecosystems: threats and challenges d lake hydrology point-source pollution	57 59 61 64
PART 2	2			
5. Aqua	tic biodiv 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5	Data for assess Sampling effort Data gaps	n in Maine purces: an overview ng status and trends issues	85 103 104
6. Fresl	hwater fl 6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5 6.6 6.7 6.8 6.9	Introduction Vascular plants Amphibians & re Fish Molluscs Crustaceans Insects Other macro-inv	ertebrate groups	115 129 140 187 198 202 255

Appendices

- 11.1 Data sets in the MABP database.
- 11.2 Diversity of vascular plants in Maine and northeastern U.S. Numbers of wetland and aquatic species, by family and by region.
- 11.3 Macroinvertebrate diversity by family: A comparison of MABP records wit those from a compilation of northeastern U.S. records.
- 11.4 List of taxa with records in the MABP database.
- 11.5 Species Distribution Maps, based on geo-referenced records in the MABP database.
 - 11.5.1 Plants
 - 11.5.2 Fish: (A) Geographic distribution.
 - (B) Distribution by lake area and elevation.
 - 11.5.3 Crustacea
 - 11.5.4 Ephemeroptera
 - 11.5.5 Odonata
 - 11.5.6 Plecoptera
 - 11.5.7 Trichoptera
 - 11.5.8 Megaloptera
 - 11.5.9 Coleoptera
 - 11.5.10 Chironomidae
 - 11.5.11 Simuliidae
 - 11.5.12 Hydrachnidia
 - 11.5.13 Annelida
- 11.6 Distribution of 56 species of aquatic plants, by elevation and alkalinity
- 11.7 Observations on freshwater ecosystems: information from expert interviews

List of Tables

2.1	(A) Maine's surface water resources.(B) Number of lakes and ponds in Maine by size class.	
2.2	Land use and land cover in lake watersheds.	21
3.1	Amphibians and turtles of the northeastern U.S	28
3.2	Freshwater fish diversity in Maine and the northeastern U.S	30
3.3	Diversity of aquatic macro-invertebrates in Maine and the northeastern U.S	32
3.4	Overview of numbers of species of freshwater animals and plants in six regions of Maine.	34
3.5	Freshwater animal and plant species listed as threatened, endangered or of special concern in Maine	44
4.1	Overview of threats to Maine's freshwater species and communities	71
4.2	Comparison of numbers of dams in four data sets.	72
4.3	Number of dams, by watershed.	73
4.4	Invasive freshwater plant and animal species	74
4.5	Annotated list of introduced species in Maine	75
5.1	Synopsis of data sets contributing to the MABP database.	82
5.2	Summary, by major taxonomic group, of records in the MABP database.	83
5.3	Percentage of MIDAS-numbered lakes that have been surveyed for fish assemblages, by lake size class and region	89
5.4	Period of record for stream fish and macro-invertebrate data series in the MABP database.	90
5.5	Aquatic biodiversity data resources for lakes in conservation lands	91
5.6	Species documented from conservation lands and associated watersheds	92
5.7	Number of fish species documented at 16 Maine lakes with repeated sampling, using standardized effort.	106
5.8	Temporal variation in stream fish assemblages.	107
5.9	Synopsis of key data gaps, by taxonomic group	108
6.2.1	Vascular aquatic plant diversity in Maine and New England	120
6.2.2	Plants of Highland Lake (Windham/Falmouth)	121
6.3.1	Amphibian species in Maine	133

6.3.2	Reptile species in Maine	.134
6.3.3	Distribution and frequency of occurrence of Maine's amphibians and turtles	.135
6.4.1	Freshwater and diadromous fish species in Maine, with frequency of occurrence in lakes.	.147
6.4.2	Occurrence of lake fish species by lake size and elevation classes	.150
6.4.3	Association between fish species richness in Maine lakes and (i) lake morphometry and (ii) geographic location	.154
6.4.4	Fish assemblages in large rivers	.155
6.4.5	Output from indicator species analysis of lake fish assemblage clusters.	.163
6.4.6	Frequency of pairwise combinations of fish species in Maine lakes	.165
6.4.7	Principal fisheries in Maine lakes, by lake size class and region	.176
6.4.8	Percent of lakes that have been stocked by MDIFW during the period 1990-2003, by region and lake size class.	.176
6.4.9	Fish stocking (2000-2003) by county, species and waterbody type	.177
6.4.10	Numbers of fish stocked in lakes and rivers, by species: 1995-2003.	.177
6.4.11	A sixty-year comparison of fish assemblages in selected Maine lakes.	.183
6.4.12	A synopsis of native vs. current fish species assemblages on Mount Desert Island	.184
6.5.1	Annotated list of freshwater mussel species recorded from Maine, with three measures of frequency of occurrence	.191
6.5.2	Known fish hosts of mussel species	.191
6.5.3	Number of fingernail and pea clams documented in Maine	.195
6.5.4	Freshwater snails documented in Maine: by family and county	.197
6.6.1	Annotated list of crayfish species recorded from Maine	.199
6.6.2	Annotated list of non-crayfish crustacean groups in freshwater systems.	.201
6.7.1	Mayflies, odonates, stoneflies and caddisflies in Maine	.207
6.7.2	Three analyses of geographic distributional associations of mayfly species	.209
6.7.3	Comparison of mayfly assemblages in six well-sampled regions of Maine.	.212
6.7.4	Comparison of mayfly collections on Mount Desert Island in the 1940s and 1980s	.213
6.7.5	Distributions of 142 non-rare odonate species	.223
6.7.6	Comparison of odonate assemblages in eight well-sampled areas of Maine	.225

6.7.7	History of odonate records from Mount Desert Island	226
6.7.8	Aquatic Hemiptera in Maine: number of genera and species, by family	242
6.7.9	Aquatic beetles in Maine: number of genera and species, by family	244
6.7.10	Families of aquatic Diptera in Maine, with numbers of genera and species	249
6.7.11	Mosquito vectors of West Nile virus and eastern encephalitis.	251
6.7.12	Two measures of frequency of occurrence of selected blackfly species in Maine	252
6.8.1	Aquatic annelids in Maine and the Northeast	258
7.1	Overview of major watershed groupings.	272
7.2	Evaluation GIS-based classification systems	273
7.3	Regional pairwise comparisons of landscape-level features, water quality and biology	274

List of Figures

2.1	Major drainage basins and rivers in Maine7
2.2	Size frequency distribution of three scales of watersheds in Maine: HUC-8, HUC-10, HUC-12.
2.3	Regions of Maine, with one measure of sampling effort for biodiversity information9
2.4	Water transparency and color in Maine lakes14
2.5	Relationship between surface area and maximum depth for two elevation classes of lakes
2.6	Lake and stream density by watershed (HUC-8)16
2.7	Spatial distribution of open water and wetlands, by watershed (HUC-8)17
2.8	Distribution of lakes in conservation lands, by region23
2.9	High elevation lakes in Maine, with presence/absence of biological data indicated24
2.10	"Remote" lakes in Maine25
2.11	Distribution of lakes apparently isolated from the surface drainage network26
2.12	Section of the 1:24K streams GIS coverage illustrating variation in the level of detail at which streams are mapped
3.1	Distribution of (A) threatened and (B) special-concern mussel species, by watershed46
3.2	Rare (tracked) odonates: numbers of species per watershed47
3.3	Relative occurrence frequency of rare mayfly species, by watershed48
3.4	Tomah mayfly survey sites, with presence / absence records49
3.5	Watersheds containing the distinct population segments of Atlantic salmon in Maine50
3.6	Number of rare aquatic plant species recorded by watershed51
3.7	Distributions of selected rare aquatic plant species in Maine
3.8	Lakes with wild populations of four coldwater fish species, and lakes with high native minnow species richness
3.9	Location and status of dwarf lake whitefish populations in Maine
3.10	Lakes documented as being apparently fishless, by size class
3.11	Fish species richness in low pH and high elevation lakes
4.1	Dams in northern Maine: a comparison of two databases76
4.2	Documented sites of invasive aquatic species77

4.3	Examples of recent fish introductions and translocations in Maine	78
5.1	Sites with taxon records in the MABP database, by major group.	84
5.2	Map showing lakes that have been surveyed for fish assemblages.	93
5.3	Percentage of lakes that have been surveyed only once for fish assemblages by MDIFW.	94
5.4	Lakes sampled by G. Cooper and colleagues between 1938 and 1944	94
5.5	MDIFW stream electrofishing sites, with computerized data as of March 2004	95
5.6	Sites sampled for fish assemblages using standardized sampling protocols and effort levels.	
5.7	Four landscape-level characteristics of stream sites sampled for fish by MDIFW	97
5.8	Large-river surveys of fish assemblages	99
5.9	Lakes that have been sampled for benthic macroinvertebrates	.100
5.10	Lakes that have assemblage-level data for three major taxonomic groups: fish, macro invertebrates and plants.	
5.11	Location of MDEP stream macroinvertebrate sampling sites. Sites are coded by number of years in the data record	.102
5.12	Relationship between number of sampling sites and cumulative stream fish species richness, by watershed (HUC-10).	.109
5.13	Relationship between sampling effort and documented species richness, by watershed, for mayflies and odonates	.110
5.14	Relationship between sampling effort and documented species richness for mussels, by watershed	.111
5.15	Relationship between watershed area and (i) number of species and (ii) number of collection sites for (A) mayflies, (B) odonates, and (C) mussels	.112
5.16	Two views of species number vs. sampling effort for rare ("tracked") odonate species, by watershed	.113
5.17	Sampling effort by watershed for four invertebrate groups: mayflies, odonates, mussels, crayfish.	.114
6.2.1	Aquatic vascular plants of Maine, by family.	.123
6.2.2	Lakes with community-level vascular plant data	.124
6.2.3	Relationship between number of macrophyte species, lake area and alkalinity	.125
6.2.4	Distribution of nine species of aquatic vascular plants across elevation and alkalinity gradients.	.126
6.2.5	Species-effort plot for Highland Lake plant survey	.128

6.3.1	Distribution maps for amphibians and turtles, by township13	6
6.3.2	Pattern of amphibian and reptile richness by county	9
6.4.1	Distribution of diadromous fish species runs, by coastal watershed15	;1
6.4.2	Fish species richness by watershed (HUC-8). (A) Total species, (B) % Maine natives. (C) Relationship between fish species richness and watershed area for southern and northern Maine	6
6.4.3	Associations between lake fish species richness and the extent of aquatic habitat. (A) Species richness vs. lake area. (B) Cumulative species richness vs. lake area, by watershed.	57
6.4.4	Geographic variation in two aspects of lake fish species assemblages, by region15	57
6.4.5	Percent native fish species in six regions of Maine, as a function of total species number.	58
6.4.6	Species richness in stream fish assemblages, as a function of stream size	;9
6.4.7	Geographic variation in the native species complement of lake and stream fish assemblages. 16	60
6.4.8	Native minnow assemblages in lakes	6
6.4.9	Species area relationship for native lake minnows, with northern Maine lakes highlighted16	57
6.4.10	Spatial distribution of lake fish assemblage groups identified by cluster analysis16	57
6.4.11	Overview of frequency of occurrence of lake fish species in six regions of Maine16	8
6.4.12	Composition and relative abundance of fish species in selected lakes sampled by EMAP in six regions of Maine	9
6.4.13	Number of lakes and streams stocked by MDIFW: 1937-200317	'8
6.4.14	Townships in which six fish species were stocked during the period 2000-200317	'9
6.4.15	Location of lakes reclaimed by MDIFW (for fisheries purposes), by decade of the most recent reclamation.	30
6.4.16	Fish species richness in reclaimed lakes as a function of lake area, compared to all lakes statewide	30
6.4.17	Brook trout biomass and fish assemblage richness at sites with long-term data records (1990-2002).	5
6.4.18	Returns of adult Atlantic salmon to Maine rivers18	6
6.5.1	Distribution maps for Maine's freshwater mussel species)2
6.5.2	Mussel species richness at two watershed scales (HUC-8 and HUC-10))3

6.6.1	Distribution maps for Maine's crayfish species.	200
6.7.1	Documented mayfly species richness at two watershed scales (HUC-8 and HUC-10)	214
6.7.2	Frequency of occurrence of (A) mayfly and (B) odonate species.	215
6.7.3	Frequency of occurrence of mayfly species by major region	216
6.7.4	Distribution maps for selected "tracked" odonate species.	227
6.7.5	Documented odonate species richness at two watershed scales (HUC-8 and HUC-10).	228
6.7.6	Frequency of occurrence of odonate species by major region.	229
6.7.7	Number of odonate species recorded from different elevation zones	231
6.7.8	Odonate species by habitat type	232
6.7.9	Documented species richness of stoneflies, by county	235
6.7.10	Map showing number of documented caddisfly species by township	239
6.7.11	Frequency of occurrence of caddisfly species in (A) Maine and (B) Tomah Stream	240
6.7.12	Frequency of occurrence of chironomid species in Maine	253
6.7.13	Number of chironomid taxa in stream macro-invertebrate samples	253
6.7.14	Number of blackfly species documented by county.	254
6.8.1	Frequency of occurrence of leech species at stream sampling sites and number of species per sample.	259
6.9.1	Number of macro-invertebrate taxa in stream samples.	263
6.9.2	Number of macro-invertebrate taxa in samples from the Sheepscot River, 1984-2003.	264
6.9.3	Composition of invertebrate samples in the upper St. John River and tributaries	265
6.9.4	The influence of increasing urban intensity on stream macro-invertebrate richness	267
6.9.5	Benthic invertebrate assemblages in selected Maine lakes	268
7.1	Four regionalization frameworks developed for Maine.	277
7.2	Hydrologic landscape regions in Maine	277
7.3	Stratification of HUC-10 watersheds in Maine	278