

State of Maine

HMGP PROJECT APPLICATION RANKING – 2007

The State Hazard Mitigation Grant Program Review Council reviews applications for **eligibility** and **completeness**, and **ranks and assigns priorities** for funding to all eligible projects.

Ranking for each item below is on a 1-10 scale, with 10 indicating the highest score.

#	PROJECT TITLE: <u>XXX</u> TOWN/COUNTY: <u>XX / XXX</u>	RANK 1 – 10 (average)	COMMENTS
1	Hazard location and resulting problem(s) are clearly described <u>and</u> documented.		•
2	Damages are clearly described <u>and</u> quantified with supporting documentation.		•
3	Decision making process is clearly described <u>and</u> demonstrates community commitment to the proposed project (solution).		•
4	Benefit Cost Analysis (BCA) demonstrates that the project is cost effective <u>and</u> is attached.		•
5	Project is clearly described <u>and</u> demonstrates the technical capacity* to successfully prevent or reduce future damages and losses.		•
6	Project will protect: life, safety, essential services, critical facilities and/or economy of the community.		•
7	Cost estimates are <u>complete</u> and include: total costs (100%) federal share (75%) and local share (25%)		•
8	Scope of Work (SOW) is consistent with estimated project costs <u>and</u> also documents applicant responsibility for future maintenance.		•
9	Project is consistent with the Local and State Hazard Mitigation Plans.		•
10	Project is consistent with federal and state archeological, historic, environmental and habitat laws and regulations.		•
TOTAL (INITIAL AVERAGE) SCORE			

*design, staffing and expertise