



USPAP Q&A

Vol. 11, No. 6

June 2009

The Appraisal Standards Board (ASB) of The Appraisal Foundation develops, interprets, and amends the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) on behalf of appraisers and users of appraisal services. The USPAP Q&A is a form of guidance issued by the ASB to respond to questions raised by appraisers, enforcement officials, users of appraisal services and the public to illustrate the applicability of USPAP in specific situations and to offer advice from the ASB for the resolution of appraisal issues and problems. The USPAP Q&A may not represent the only possible solution to the issues discussed nor may the advice provided be applied equally to seemingly similar situations. USPAP Q&A does not establish new standards or interpret existing standards. USPAP Q&A is not part of USPAP and is approved by the ASB without public exposure and comment.

Collecting Fee on Behalf of an AMC

Question:

I am completing an appraisal assignment for which I was engaged by an appraisal management company (AMC) on behalf of a lender. The AMC has asked me to collect a fee from the prospective borrower. I am to retain my portion of the total fee as the fee for my appraisal services, and forward the balance to the AMC. The AMC requires that there is to be no disclosure in the report of the total fee, nor of the manner in which the fee is to be split. Does USPAP permit this type of fee arrangement?

Response:

If there was no compensation to procure the assignment, there is no USPAP requirement that the split of the total fee paid for the assignment must be disclosed in the report.

However, in this case, more information must be known in order to make a determination as to whether you are paying a fee to procure the assignment. Consider the following excerpt from the Management section of the ETHICS RULE:

*The payment of **undisclosed** fees, commissions, or things of value in connection with the procurement of an assignment is unethical. (**Bold** added for emphasis)*

The Comment to the Management section goes on to say:

Disclosure of fees, commissions, or things of value connected to the procurement of an assignment must appear in the certification and in any transmittal letter in which conclusions are stated.

As you can see from this USPAP excerpt, the first step is to determine if you, as the appraiser, paid a fee to procure the assignment. The decision would depend on the specific facts of your appraisal engagement agreement with the client (for which the AMC is acting as agent).

If you did not pay a fee to procure the assignment, then no disclosure is necessary. Simply collecting funds from one party on behalf of another party is not, in and of itself, representative of paying a fee for procurement of the assignment.

Of course, if the specific facts of the appraisal engagement agreement with the client lead you or others to believe a fee was paid for procurement of the assignment, disclosure that a fee was paid is required in the certification and any transmittal letter in which your conclusions are stated.

There may be other laws or regulations that enter into this situation. You should be familiar with the any possible state regulations addressing fee arrangements in your particular jurisdiction.

Assignment Conditions, Scope of Work Acceptability, and Geographic Competency

Question:

I am a residential appraiser performing work for several appraisal management companies. Often, I am asked to perform an appraisal assignment outside the areas I am most familiar with. The assignments come with a requirement that a completed report be submitted within 48 hours or less. This time frame does not permit me to adequately research the subject property market. Is it permissible for me to accept an assignment under these conditions?

Response:

The COMPETENCY RULE in USPAP requires an appraiser to notify the client that he or she does not have the necessary competency to complete an assignment prior to accepting the assignment. Because your statement in the question states that the “time frame does not permit me to adequately research the subject property market,” you have already made the determination that becoming geographically competent for this assignment is a concern. The client must be notified, appropriate steps must be taken to become competent, and the lack of competency, plus the steps taken to become competent, must be disclosed in the assignment report. If an appraiser is not in a position to spend the necessary time in a market area to attain geographic competency, affiliation with a qualified local appraiser may be an appropriate response to ensure development of credible assignment results. Alternatively, the appraiser must decline the assignment.

This situation is also addressed by the SCOPE OF WORK RULE in USPAP.

For each appraisal, appraisal review, and appraisal consulting assignment, an appraiser must:

- 1. identify the problem to be solved;*
- 2. determine and perform the scope of work necessary to develop credible assignment results; and*
- 3. disclose the scope of work in the report. (Bold added for emphasis)*

Scope of work is defined as *the type and extent of research and analyses in an assignment*. If you know that the required time frame does not permit you to adequately research the subject property market in order to complete the scope of work necessary to develop credible assignment results, you should decline the assignment.

In some situations, you may initially believe that you can complete the scope of work necessary to develop credible assignment results, but subsequently determine you are unable to do so and still comply with the specific time frame. This circumstance is specifically covered in the Scope of Work Acceptability section of the SCOPE OF WORK RULE.

An appraiser must not allow assignment conditions to limit the scope of work to such a degree that the assignment results are not credible in the context of the intended use.

Comment: If relevant information is not available because of assignment conditions that limit research opportunities (such as conditions that place limitations on inspection or information gathering), an appraiser must withdraw from the assignment unless the appraiser can:

- modify the assignment conditions to expand the scope of work to include gathering the information; or*
- use an extraordinary assumption about such information, if credible assignment results can still be developed.*

Request to Modify a Completed Appraisal Report

Question:

I have completed an appraisal assignment for a client. The report was completed using the 2005 version of the Uniform Residential Appraisal Report (URAR). The client has requested that I remove one of the comparable properties from the report because, in the underwriter's opinion, it is not sufficiently similar to the subject property. If I do this, will my action comply with USPAP?

Response:

Such an action has the potential to be misleading. Certification item #15 of the 2005 URAR states the following:

“I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from this appraisal report and, to the best of my knowledge, all statements and information in this appraisal report are true and correct.” (Bold added for emphasis)

You initially concluded that the comparable you are being asked to remove was relevant in developing and communicating the assignment results. If this opinion has not changed, and you subsequently remove a comparable listing or sale from the appraisal report and sign the certification for this specific report format, it would likely be misleading because information you consider to be significant is being knowingly withheld.

In addition, Standards Rule 2-2(b)(viii) which addresses the content of a Summary Appraisal Report includes the following requirement.

summarize the information analyzed, the appraisal methods and techniques employed, and the reasoning that supports the analyses, opinions, and conclusions; exclusion of the sales comparison approach, cost approach, or income approach must be explained; (Bold added for emphasis)

If the comparable is removed as requested by the client, information that was analyzed would no longer be summarized in the report as required by this Standards Rule.

The USPAP Q&A is posted each month on The Appraisal Foundation website (www.appraisalfoundation.org). The ASB compiles the monthly USPAP Q&A into the USPAP Frequently Asked Questions (USPAP FAQ) for publication with each edition of USPAP. In addition to incorporating the most recent questions and responses issued by the ASB, the USPAP FAQ is reviewed and updated to ensure that it represents the most recent guidance from the ASB. The USPAP Frequently Asked Questions can be purchased (along with USPAP and USPAP Advisory Opinions) by visiting the “Foundation Store” page on The Appraisal Foundation website (<https://commerce.appraisalfoundation.org>).

For further information regarding USPAP Q&A, please contact:

John S. Brenan, Director of Research and Technical Issues

The Appraisal Foundation
1155 15th Street, NW, Suite 1111
Washington, DC 20005

(202) 624-3044

(202) 347-7727 fax

john@appraisalfoundation.org