

Gause, John P

From: Gause, John P
Sent: Tuesday, June 09, 2009 12:07 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: [REDACTED] Hendrick v. Cody's Original Roadhouse, E08-0086

[REDACTED]
When a complainant seeks to amend to change the name of a party from the d/b/a to the actual corporation, we would treat that as a "technical defect or omission" under our Procedural Rule 2.02(F), which means the date of the amendment would relate back to the original filing date. There is no official policy on that, though.
John

From: [REDACTED] <mailto:[REDACTED]@platacorris.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 09, 2009 11:16 AM
To: Gause, John P
Subject: [REDACTED] Hendrick v. Cody's Original Roadhouse, E08-0086

John:
We recently filed a lawsuit in federal court in the above referenced case. [REDACTED] represents the Respondent. He has moved to dismiss arguing that we did not properly name the Defendant in the Charge of Discrimination. The Defendant is [REDACTED] The Charge of Discrimination named [REDACTED] [REDACTED] as the Respondent. Does the MHRC have a policy that I could use as authority to argue that the reference to [REDACTED] Original Roadhouse is sufficient to satisfy the requirement that we identify the employer in the Charge?

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Associates
[REDACTED] Street
[REDACTED] Mine, OH 45041
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.