

**FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING
FOR CORRIDOR TYPE PROJECTS**

PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)		3. Date of Land Evaluation Request	4. Sheet 1 of <u>1</u>
1. Name of Project Proposed Trafton Rd. Interchange		5. Federal Agency Involved Federal Highway Administration	
2. Type of Project Exit/Entrance Ramp		6. County and State Kennebec, ME	
PART II (To be completed by NRCS)		1. Date Request Received by NRCS 7/22/2014	2. Person Completing Form Granger - RSS
3. Does the corridor contain prime, unique statewide or local important farmland? (If no, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this form.) YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO <input type="checkbox"/>		4. Acres Irrigated 137	Average Farm Size 127
5. Major Crop(s) Corn, Forage	6. Farmable Land in Government Jurisdiction Acres: 82457 % 13.5	7. Amount of Farmland As Defined in FPPA Acres: 95532 % 15.7	
8. Name Of Land Evaluation System Used LESA	9. Name of Local Site Assessment System	10. Date Land Evaluation Returned by NRCS 7/1/14	

PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)	Alternative Corridor For Segment			
	Corridor A	Corridor B	Corridor C	Corridor D
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly	2.9			
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services	0.0			
C. Total Acres In Corridor	8.2			
PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information				
A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland	0.24			
B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland	2.73			
C. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted	< 0.1			
D. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value	17.28			
PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information Criterion Relative value of Farmland to Be Serviced or Converted (Scale of 0 - 100 Points)	57			
PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(c))	Maximum Points			
1. Area in Nonurban Use	15	15		
2. Perimeter in Nonurban Use	10	10		
3. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed	20	6		
4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government	20	0		
5. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average	10	5		
6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland	25	10		
7. Availability Of Farm Support Services	5	5		
8. On-Farm Investments	20	0		
9. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services	25	0		
10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use	10	0		
TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS	160	51	0	0
PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)				
Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)	100	57	0	0
Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)	160	51	0	0
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)	260	108	0	0

1. Corridor Selected: Corridor A-	2. Total Acres of Farmlands to be Converted by Project: 2.9	3. Date Of Selection: 7/30/14	4. Was A Local Site Assessment Used? YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---	---	---	---

5. Reason For Selection:
This corridor was modified from a corridor A assessed and selected in October of 2013. Corridor A (Partial Clover Leaf) is the preferred alternative based on several factors. The disturbance footprint is much smaller than Corridor C and there are no property takings (as there would be with Corridor B). In addition to a reduction in property takings and a smaller environmental impact Corridor A is also the most practicable alternative as it is the most cost effective from a construction standpoint.

Signature of Person Completing This Part 	DATE 7/31/14
---	------------------------

NOTE: Complete a form for each segment with more than one Alternate Corridor