MAINE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION HIGHWAY PROGRAM GEOTECHNICAL GROUP AUGUSTA, MAINE

#### SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATION FOR STABILIZATION OF SOUTH LUBEC ROAD LUBEC, MAINE

Prepared by:

Kitty Breskin, P.E. Geotechnical Design Engineer

Reviewed by:

Karen Gross Geotechnical Design Engineer



Soils Report No. 2011-120

Washington County PIN 18317.00

December 28, 2011



Brad Foley, Program Manager Rich Crawford & Heath Cowan, Assistant Program Managers Phone: 624-3480 Fax: 624-3481

# Memorandum

To: Shawn Davis, P. E., Project Manager cc: David Gardner, Construction Resident From: Kitty Breskin, P. E., Sr. Geotechnical Engineer Date: December 16, 2011 Subject: South Lubec Road, PIN 18317.00

The South Lubec Road is constructed on the north side of an isthmus of organic soils. These soils extend east from the sharp bend at Carrying Place Cove Road for approximately 1500 feet across Carrying Place Heath until firmer soils and shallow bedrock are reached. Although Lubec Embayment to the north is sheltered compared to conditions on the south side of the isthmus, there is enough fetch with a northeast wind to produce damaging waves. The peat has been eroding and breaking way in blocks for many years according to local residents, and in the area of concern the peat bluff has moved from 50 feet away to 25 feet or less from the edge of the roadway.





A 150 foot long portion of this roadway now has serious cracks in the pavement and a deep crack in the peat near the edge of the shoulder. The north edge of the pavement has dropped substantially below the centerline even in uncracked sections. The crack in the peat appears to extend to a depth of approximately 5 feet, and the face of the peat is undercut at the beach. In other sections, the roadway has settled and the outer edge of peat has raised up with a shallow vertical face that is likely to become a block failure in the future from breakage of the organic fibers that produce "apparent cohesion" in peat soils. This portion of the north lane of the South Lubec Road has been closed to reduce the load at the crack and to protect the public safety when this block breaks away.



No as-built records exist for this roadway. It appears from the vegetation and topography that gravel may extend out from the roadway for several feet on each side acting as a form of shoulder, however no explorations were done beyond the pavement. Our subsurface investigation included an auger boring at the east end of the severely cracked area, a washboring extended to bedrock at the west end, and a hand-dug test pit in the beach adjacent to the area of concern. The existing HMA pavement is 4.5" to 5" thick over 5.5 to 6 feet of gravelly sand. This is underlain by a peat layer measured as 10.5 feet thick. A sample off the auger flights showed a mixture of fibrous and amorphous peat. Below the peat, at beach level, we encountered a layer of sand underlain by soft plastic clay-silt with trace sand. The borings were stopped at or near bedrock, 28.5 to 35.5 feet down. The test pit in the beach showed 3" of brown stony gravel over 6" of gray fine sand. Below that we encountered 6" of gray, gravelly sand. The pit was not extended beyond this layer. Boring logs are attached to this report.





In 2009 the Corps of Engineers provided MaineDOT with a set of plans and NEPA documentation for slope repair and stabilization of 500 feet of this roadway. The section covered by the ACOE plans is approximately 1000 feet northeast of the area of immediate concern, and Maine DOT did not conduct subsurface investigations in the area of the ACOE plans. Soils visible in the slope face appear to be primarily sand. That project was never built due to funding issues and confusion over responsibility for the South Lubec road. The plans show a bioengineering solution with a mix of treatments that were proposed to stabilize the slope and improve wildlife habitat. In one area of concern the peat was to be excavated to a 2h:1v slope and riprap placed on the lower portion of slope up to a foot above mean high water, elevation 10.5 feet on the datum in use for these plans. An area measuring 110' x 70' on the south side of the road was designated as a contractor staging area. A temporary ramp for beach access is shown on the plans and discussed in the NEPA documentation. This project is described as being some 500 feet east of Carrying Place Heath, but peat at the face of the slope is discussed. It is not known what subsurface investigations were done for the ACOE plans.

The NEPA documentation provided by the Corps discusses several alternatives. No Action was rejected because access to the Park, the Coast Guard station and the residences and business would be lost. A stone revetment was considered "cost-effective and physically viable" but rejected as detrimental to wildlife. An H-Pile wall was rejected as too expensive, and relocation of the roadway was rejected due to the cost of ROW and adverse environmental impacts to the bog.

During the first week of November, 2011, a CAT 312B excavator went off the pavement on the Carrying Place Cove Road just south of the intersection with South Lubec Road, and sank.





This is a small excavator, and the calculated stress under the shoes, unloaded, is on the order of 920 psf or 6.3 psi. The strength of the peat was not adequate to support this weight. No testing was done on our peat samples due to both technical difficulties in obtaining an undisturbed sample and questions about the meaning of tests on peat, but the difference in strength between the peat in this location and the material around the corner in the area of concern are likely to be small.

Average peat shear strengths reported in the literature are on the order of 350 psf. These materials have very low compressive and tensile strength and are very compressible.

I suggest that treatments described in the ACOE plans would create additional instability in the current area of concern. I anticipate significant difficulty in excavating the peat to a 2:1 slope; it seem unlikely that the area of peat on the south side of the road would support the loads required for this use, and riprap placed on the lower slope would sink, leading to another vertical face in peat soils closer to the road.

I agree with the Corps discussion that No Action is not a feasible alternative for this area. A sheetpile wall or a soldier pile and lagging solution is not possible with known bedrock depth of 28.5 feet below the roadway: this depth is inadequate to support a full cantilever wall 12.5 feet above the beach, and peat soils do not have adequate strength to use a tieback system.



I recommend that a stone revetment be constructed on the beach at the edge the peat for a length of approximately 120 feet as an emergency stabilization. No treatment of other areas is required at this time, although further erosion of the peat face would make treatment of other areas necessary in the future. According to my computer modeling, a 1.5h:1v slope can be used on the beach with a vertical slope at the peat face for the height needed. A steeper face might be feasible, but it would be difficult to build and this slope repair will be built in challenging conditions. A mixture of stone sizes will be needed to form a compact, stable mass, with large stone armor at the face. The stones at the inner, vertical face of the revetment must carefully placed to be self-supporting to ensure that large stresses are not induced on the peat face. All stones used in this construction must meet the angularity requirements of the current revised specifications for large stone materials – rounded and sub-rounded pit tailings will not be allowed. A typical section is attached to this report. I anticipate that this revetment will extend to approximately 50 feet from the edge of pavement, to fill in the area where is appears that past block failures have occurred.

The work will be done from the beach during periods of low tide when the beach is dry. It is not feasible to construct beach access within the project limits. There is an area approximately 550 feet east of the area of immediate concern where beach access will be built. In this area, the ground slopes down very steeply from the paved roadway to a narrow shelf, and the drop from this shelf to the beach is shallow. Excavation of peat soils and replacement with granular material will be required. The soils at beach level are peat covered by a thin layer of stony gravel, so a geotextile below soils for this section of access road is recommended. This section of peat extends no more than 50 feet from the bank. The depth of overexcavation will be determined in the field.

Repair of the westbound lane of this road will require excavation of the existing HMA surface and placement of gravel to bring this lane up to an appropriate grade before the pavement is replaced. Lightweight fill is not recommended for this roadway due to difficulty of placement and high transportation costs. Some settlement in the peat is anticipated to occur as a result of this added weight, and HMA thickness should be reduced to minimize settlement. The most recent traffic count on this road showed an AADT of 460 based on summer traffic. Three inches of HMA should be adequate even with a substantial increase in traffic volumes. Traffic volumes are anticipated to be very light during the winter, and paving can be done during the 2012 construction season.

Attachments: Location map Plan Section MGS Surficial Materials map NRCS Soils Map Boring Logs Lab Test Data



Map Scale 1:24000

The Maine Department of Transportation provides this publication for information only. Reliance upon this information is at user risk. It is subject to revision and may be incomplete depending upon changing conditions. The Department assumes no liability if injuries or damages result from this information. This map is not intended to support emergency dispatch. Road names used on this map may not match official road names.

# STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### PLAN LEGEND

Town, County, State     Property Lines     R/W Lines-Existing     R/W Lines-Proposed	Centerline-Existing Centerline-Proposed
Culvert Proposed	Catch Basins III Existing Proposed
Curbing Existing Proposed	Manholes C Existing • Proposed
Type 1	Proposed Underdrain
Туре 3	Proposed Ditch
Type 5	Existing Ditch
Outline of Bodies of Water	Utlity Poles $\phi$ Existing $\blacklozenge$ Proposed
	Fire Hydrants 🛛 💿 Existing 👁 Proposed
Buildings	Existing Water Line ——
Trees 🛛 📲 Conifer 💮 Deciduous	Existing San. Sewer ——>
Tree Line	Existing San. Sewer Manhole 🛛 💿
Clearing Limit Line —— CLL ———	Guardrail-Existing FFF-FF-FF-F
	Guardrail-Proposed <del>1 1 1 1</del>
	Guardrail-Cable, Other •



# LUBEC **WASHINGTON COUNTY**

SOUTH LUBEC ROAD

18317.00

PROJECT LENGTH : 0.04 MILES

	PROJECT LOCATIO	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
	PROJECT LOCATION:	
<u>NOT TO SCALE</u>		

#### TRAFFIC DATA

Current (2012) AADT	. 460
Future (20XX) AADT	X
DHV - % of AADT	X
Design Hour Volume	X
% Heavy Trucks (AADT)	X
% Heavy Trucks (DHV)	X
Directional Distribution (DHV)	X
18 kip Equivalent P 2.0	X
18 kip Equivalent P 2.5	X
Design Speed (mph)	X
Functional Class:	<b>X</b>

PROJECT LOCATION:	CAUSEWAY ON WEST QUOI
<u>PROGRAM AREA:</u>	HIGHWAY PROGRAM
SCOPE OF WORK:	Miscellaneous Safety Improve

Description	<u>Sheet No.</u>
Title Sheet	
Typical Sections	2
Plan / Profile	3-4
Cross - Sections	5-8
Right of Way Map	9

WIN 1	8317.00 01831700				
s		PROJECT INFORMATION		STATE OF MAINE	
HE	TUDEC	PROGRAM			
E		PROJECT MANAGER	SIGNATURE	DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORT	ATION
т м 1	DOULT PUDEN WOAD	DESIGNER			CITTE A C
		CONSULTANT	P.E. NUMBER	AFFRUVED	DALE
ME		PROJECT RESIDENT		COMMISSIONER:	
BEF		CONTRACTOR	DATE		
र		PROJECT COMPLETION DATE		CHIEF ENGINEER:	

OF 9

DDY ROAD IN LUBEC
ments

Filename:

Date:12/16/2011



12' Travelway



12' Travelway





	STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	01831700 PIN 18317.00 HIGHWAY PLANS	
23+00 L.O.W.P.	PROJ. MANGER         BY         DATE           DESIGN-DETAILED         BY         DATE           DESIGN-DETAILED	REVISIONS 1         P.B. NUMBER           REVISIONS 1         P.D. LUMBER           REVISIONS 3         P.D. LUMBER           REVISIONS 4         P.D. LUMBER	
	LUBEC SOUTH LUBEC ROAD	PLANS	
	SHEET		
	OF	<b>±</b>	
STA. 17+00.00 TO S	STA. 23-	+49.78	J

.

Date:12/16/2011 ne: kitty.breskin Userr GEOTECH Division: msta\005\_Xsect\_14+00\_001.dgn

ilen



Date:12/16/2011 ne: kitty.breskin Userr GEOTECH ö Divisio msta\006\_Xsect\_14+50\_002.dgn

ilen



ne: kitty.breskin Userr GEOTECH Division: msta\007\_Xsect\_15+00\_003.dgn

iler



Date:12/16/2011



Date:12/16/2011

Username: kitty.breskin

Division: GEOTECH

\msta\008\_Xsect\_15+50\_004.dgn

Filename:

	STATE OF MAINE		DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION		04054700	01631/00		PIN	18317 DD HIGHWAY PI ANS	
			SIGNATURE			P.E. NUMBER			DAIE	
	DATE									
	PROJ. MANAGER BY	DESIGN-DETAILED	CHECKED-REVIEWED	DESIGN2-DETAILED2	DESIGN3-DETAILED3	REVISIONS 1	REVISIONS 2	REVISIONS 3	REVISIONS 4	FIELD CHANGES
_ 30										
_ 25			۱ (				(,	S Z		
_ 20	(	<u>.</u>	, , ,		2		E		<b>/ 1 7 )</b>	
_ 15				Υ 						
_ 10				-				Cr.		
5			(	C T	2		(		נ	
_ 0										
-5	s	H	EE	ET	N		ME	BE	R	
-					E	3				
			(	DF		9				

Sta. 15+50.00 to Sta. 15+50.00



44° 49' 28"

44° 49' 32"







66° 56' 36"

44° 47' 39"

N	AP LEGEND	MAP INFORMATION
Area of	Interest (AOI)	Map Scale: 1:24,000 if printed on A size (8.5" × 11") shee
	Area of Interest (AOI)	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at
Soils	Soil Map Units	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for accume measurements.
Soil F	.atings │	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Servion Web Soil Survey URL: http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.g
	> 5.5 AND <= 6	Coordinate System: UTM Zone 19N NAD83
	> 6 AND <= 12.5	This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified the version date(s) listed below.
	> 12.5 AND <= 63 > 63 AND <= 89.5	Soil Survey Area: Washington County Area, Maine
	Not rated or not available	Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Data not ava
Politica	Features	The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines
•	Cities	compiled and digitized probably differs from the backgrou
Water F	eatures	imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some min- of map unit boundaries may be evident
$\sim$	Streams and Canals	
Transpo	rtation	
+++	Rails	
~	Interstate Highways	
$\sim$	US Routes	
~	Major Roads	

## **Organic Matter**

	Organic Matter— Summary by Ma	o Unit — Washington Cou	nty Area, Maine (ME617	)
Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating (percent)	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
ACE	Abram-Rock outcrop-Ricker complex, 15 to 80 percent slopes	63.00	23.7	2.1%
BW	Bucksport and Wonsqueak soils	89.50	15.8	1.4%
Go	Gouldsboro silt loam	12.50	16.1	1.4%
HWE	Hogback-Abram-Rawsonville complex, 15 to 60 percent slopes, very stony	63.00	120.8	10.6%
Kn	Kinsman sand	53.00	84.9	7.5%
LKB	Lamoine-Rawsonville-Scantic complex, 0 to 8 percent slopes, very stony	5.50	13.0	1.1%
NBB	Naskeag-Rawsonville-Hogback complex, 0 to 8 percent slopes, very stony	63.00	268.5	23.6%
RhB	Rawsonville-Hogback complex, 3 to 8 percent slopes	6.00	4.9	0.4%
RhC	Rawsonville-Hogback complex, 8 to 15 percent slopes	6.00	25.8	2.3%
RmC	Rawsonville-Hogback-Abram complex, 3 to 15 percent slopes, very stony	63.00	22.4	2.0%
SF	Scantic-Biddeford association, 0 to 3 percent slopes	6.00	29.2	2.6%
SG	Sebago and Moosabec soils	89.50	57.0	5.0%
ShB	Sheepscot fine sandy loam, 0 to 8 percent slopes	63.00	30.7	2.7%
SJB	Sheepscot-Croghan-Kinsman complex, 0 to 8 percent slopes	63.00	2.9	0.3%
w	Water		423.1	37.2%
Totals for Area of	Interest		1,138.7	100.0%

### Description

Organic matter is the plant and animal residue in the soil at various stages of decomposition. The estimated content of organic matter is expressed as a percentage, by weight, of the soil material that is less than 2 millimeters in diameter.

The content of organic matter in a soil can be maintained by returning crop residue to the soil. Organic matter has a positive effect on available water capacity, water infiltration, soil organism activity, and tilth. It is a source of nitrogen and other nutrients for crops and soil organisms. An irregular distribution of organic carbon with depth may indicate different episodes of soil deposition or soil formation. Soils that are very high in organic matter have poor engineering properties and subside upon drying.

For each soil layer, this attribute is actually recorded as three separate values in the database. A low value and a high value indicate the range of this attribute for the soil component. A "representative" value indicates the expected value of this attribute for the component. For this soil property, only the representative value is used.

### **Rating Options**

Units of Measure: percent Aggregation Method: Dominant Component Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified Tie-break Rule: Higher Interpret Nulls as Zero: No Layer Options: Surface Layer

M	[aine	Departi	nent of Tran	sporta	tion	Project: Slop	be failure West Quoddy Road causewa	Test Pit No.:		1				
		<u>US CI</u>	<u>Test Pit Log</u> USTOMARY UNITS			Location: L	ubec, Maine	PIN:	183	17.00				
Contra	ctor:	Main	eDOT		Equipme	ent Type:	Shovel	Elevation (ft.)						
Operat	or:	Dagg	ett	-	Samplin	npling Method: No samples taken Datum: NA								
Logged	By:	K. Br	eskin		Test Pit	Dimensions (f	:):							
Date S	tart/Fini	<b>sh: 11/7/</b>	11		Total De	pth (ft):	15"							
Locatio Definition	s:				Water Le	vel* (ft):								
S = Grab V = Insitu U = Thin	Sample Vane She Wall Tube	ear Test e Sample			$S_u = Insitu$ $T_v = Pocker$ $q_p = Uncon$ $S_u(lab) = La$	Institutions:     Definitions:       Insitut Field Vane Shear Strength (psf)     WC = water content, percent       = Pocket Torvane Shear Strength (psf)     LL = Liquid Limit       = Unconfined Compressive Strength (ksf)     PL = Plastic Limit       Iab) = Lab Vane Shear Strength (psf)     PI = Plastic Umit       G = Grain Size Analysis     G								
		Sa	mple Information	T						Laboratory				
Depth (ft.)	Sample No.	Sample Depth (ft.)	Shear Strength (psf)	Elevation (ft.)	Graphic Log		Visual Description and	Remarks		Testing Results/ AASHTO and Unified Class.				
0				-0.25	2-3"	Brown, stony GI	RAVEL.		0.2	G#176412				
			······································	-1.25	6" Gr	ey, fine SAND.			0.3	A-2-4, SM				
				-	6" Gr	ey, gravelly SA1	۱D.		0.8	WC-22.3%				
							Bottom of Test Pit at 1.3 feet belo	w ground surface.						
- 5 -														
					1									
- 10 -														
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·												
- 15 -														
- 20														
20														
F														
25 Remarks	<u>s:</u>													
Stratificatio	n lines rep	present approxim	ate boundaries between so	bil types; trans	itions may be Groundwater	gradual.	occur due to conditions other than those	Page 1 of 1						
present a	a the time	measurements v	vere made.					Test Pit No.:	1					

		<u>Soil/Re</u> US CL	ock Exp	oration	Log	_			Lo	catio	n: Lut	ec, Maine	WIN		2317.00
Driller			DOT		<u> </u>		L EL			<u> </u>					517.00
Operator		Wilde	r/Gilon/F	oggett			Ele	evatio	n (ft.	)			Auger ID/OD:	5" Dia.	
	3v:	K Bre	skin	aggett				Type				VD88	Sampler:	N/A	·····
Date Star	rt/Finish:	11/7/1	1-11/7/1	1				illina I	z. Meth	od.	Sal	E 43U	Hammer Wt./Fall:	N/A	
Boring L	ocation:	58 ft E	East of Po	ole 90-11	10		Ca	sina li	D/OE	):		s Stelli Auger	Vater Lovel*:	N/A	
Definitions:	oon Samole						Def	initions:					Definitions:	3.0 ft ±	
MD = Unsuc J = Thin Wa R = Rock Co / = Insitu Va SSA = Solid	ccessful Spli all Tube San ore Sample ane Shear T I Stem Auge	t Spoon Sam nple est	ple attem	pt			Su Tv qp Su( WC	= Insitu = Pocke = Uncon lab) = La H = wei R = wei	t Torv fined ab Va ight of	vane St Compr ne She 140lb	near Stre ressive Stre ar Strer hamme WOC =	ength (psf) trength (ksf) gth (psf) yth (psf) veight of casing	WC = water content, percer LL = Liquid Limit PL = Plastic Limit PI = Plasticity Index G = Grain Size Analysis <u>C = Consolidation Test</u>		
				Sample	Inforn	nation	T	<u> </u>			1				Laborat
Depth (ft.)	Sample No.	Cample Douth	(ft.)	Blows (/6 in.)	Strength (tosf)	or RQD (%)	N-value	Casing	Blows	Elevation (ft.)	Graphic Log	Visual Descr	ption and Remarks		Testin Result AASHT and Unified C
								ssz	4	-0.37	6 6 6	4½" HMA.		0	37
				-			1	<b>†</b> †		-1 40		Grey-brown, moist, sandy GRAVE	<i></i>	0.	]
										-1.00	8 6 6 6 8 8 6 6 8 8 8 6 6 8 8	changed to wet and silty at 1.6 ft bg	5.	l,	60-
5											8.00,00 8.00,00 8.00,00 8.00,00 8.00,00 8.00,00				
_										-6.00		Brown PEAT		6.0	00
-															
10					u										
									-						
15															
									-1	16.50		Blue-grey, wet, silty SAND.		16.5	0-
20										-					
					<u> </u>										
		_		- <b>T</b> at					-						
		_							-						

	Maine Department of Transportat						Proje	ct:	Slope	failure West Quoddy Road causeway	Boring No.:	HB-W	QH-101
			Soil/Rock Exp	ploration Log IARY UNITS			Loca	tion	: Lul	ec, Maine	WIN:	183	17.00
Drille	ər:		MaineDOT		Ele	vatior	. (ft.)				Auger ID/OD:	5" Dia	
Oper	ator:		Wilder/Giles/	Daggett	Dat	tum:	·······		NA	VD88	Sampler:	N/A	
Logg	jed By:		K. Breskin		Rig	ј Туре			CM	E 45C	Hammer Wt./Fall:	N/A	
Date	Start/Fir	nish:	11/7/11-11/7/	11	Dri	lling N	lethod	l:	Sol	s Stem Auger	Core Barrel:	N/A	
Borin	ng Locat	ion:	58 ft East of F	Pole 90-110	Ca	sing II	)/OD:		N/A		Water Level*:	3.0 ft ±	
Definit D = Sp MD = I U = Th R = Rc V = Ins <u>SSA =</u>	D = Split Spoon Sample MD = Unsuccessful Split Spoon Sample attempt U = Thin Wall Tube Sample R = Rock Core Sample V = Insitu Vane Shear Test <u>SSA = Solid Stem Auger</u> Sample Information						Field Var Torvan fined Co b Vane ght of 14 ght of ro	ne She mpre Shea Olb. <u>ds V</u>	hear Stressive S	ength (psf) ngth (psf) tength (ksf) gth (psf) veight of casing	Definitions: WC = water content, percent LL = Liquid Limit PL = Plastic Limit PI = Plasticity Index G = Grain Size Analysis C = Consolidation Test		
C Depth (ft.)	Sample No.	Pen./Rec. (in.)	Sample Depth (ft.)	Blows (/6 in.) Shear Strength (psf) or ROD (%)	N-value	Casing	Elevation	(ft.)	Graphic Log	Visual Descri	otion and Remarks		Laboratory Testing Results/ AASHTO and Unified Class.
- 30 - - 35 - - 40 - - 45 -   								.40		firm hard Bottom of Exploration at 3 Ran out of auger.	5.40 feet below ground su	35.40- face.	
<u>reina</u>	<u>IND:</u>												
Stratifica	ation lines r	epresent a	approximate boun	daries between soil types; tra	nsitions	may be	gradual			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Page 2 of 2		
* Water than th	level readir nose preser	igs have b it at the tir	een made at time ne measurements	es and under conditions state s were made.	d. Grou	ndwater	fluctuat	ions	may oo	sur due to conditions other	Boring No.:	HB-WQH-	101

	Maine Department of Transporta					tion		Project	: Slope fa	ailure W	/est Quoddy Road causeway Boring No.: HB-W	QH-102		
			US CUSTON	NARY UNITS				Locatio	n: Lubeo	c, Main	WIN:	17.00		
Drill	er:		MaineDOT			Eleva	ation	(ft.)			Auger ID/OD: 5" Solid Stem			
Ope	rator:		Wilder/Giles/	Daggett		Datum:			NAV	D88	Sampler: Standard Split	Spoon		
Log	ged By:		K. Breskin			Rig Type:			CME	45C	Hammer Wt./Fall: 140#/30"			
Date	e Start/Fi	nish:	11/7/11-11/7/	/11		Drilling Method:			Solis	Stem A	uger Core Barrel: N/A			
Bori	ng Loca	tion:	19 ft West of	Pole 90-110		Casir	ng ID	)/OD:	HW		Water Level*: None Observed	1		
Ham	mer Effi	ciency F	actor: 0.84		- Baak C	Ham	mer	Туре:	Automat	ic 🛛	Hydraulic  Rope & Cathead	_		
D = S MD = U = T MU = V = Ir MV =	iplit Spoon Unsuccess hin Wall Tu Unsuccess ssitu Vane S Unsuccess	Sample sful Split Sp ibe Sample sful Thin Wi Shear Test, sful Insitu V	ooon Sample atter all Tube Sample a PP = Pocket P ane Shear Test a	mpt H attempt W Penetrometer W ttempt W	SA = Solid SA = Hollo C = Roller /OH = wei /OR/C = w /O1P = W	d Stem Auger iow Stem Auger r Cone iight of 140lb. hammer weight of rods or casing <u>Veight of one person</u>			2 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	$v_{\rm V} = \ln \sin t$ $v_{\rm P} = \rm Pock$ $ _{\rm P} = \rm Unconstant l-uncorrect lammer l l_{60} = \rm SPl_{60} = (\rm Hactor)$	In ried vane Shear Strength (psr)     Su(lab) = Lab Vane Shear Strength (psr)       Vane Shear Strength (psr)     WC = water content, percer       onfined Compressive Strength (ksr)     LL = Liquid Limit       cted = Raw field SPT N-value     PL = Plastic Limit       Fiftieinery Factor = Annual Calibration Value     PI = Plasticity Index       T N-uncorrected corrected for hammer efficiency     G = Grain Size Analysis       ammer Efficiency Factor/60%)*N-uncorrected     C = Consolidation Test	Strength (p		
		<u> </u>				0			r	1		Labora		
Depth (ft.)	Sample No. Pen./Rec. (in. Sample Depti (ft.) Blows (/6 in.) Shear Strength (psf) or RQD (%)				N-uncorrecte	N <sub>60</sub>	Casing Blows	Elevation (ft.)	Graphic Log	Visual Description and Remarks	Test Resu AASH an Unified			
0								SSA	-0.37	8.0	4½" HMA. 0.37-			
	1D	24/9	1.00 - 3.00	8/8/7/7		15	21				Grey-brown, moist, medium dense, Gravelly SAND, trace silt.	G#17 A-1-a, S WC=(		
								17						
5 -	MD	24/1	5.00 - 7.00	4/4/3/2		7	10	17	1		1" of grey, wet, loose, SAND, some silt.			
			2.00 1.00				10		-6.00		6.00			
								3						
								4						
								5	1					
10 -								WOH						
-	MD	24/0	10.00 - 12.00	5/6/4/4		10	14	WOH			PEAL			
								20						
						-+		10						
								18	-13.00		13.00			
								36						
	4D/A	24/18	14.00 - 16.00	7/3/2/1		5	7	30			Top 3" Brown, SAND. then Grey, loose, saturated, Sandy SILT, trace gravel.	G#176 A-4		
15 -	4D/B							22			-	WC=2		
												G#176 A-4,∃		
			ļ					24				WC=2.		
								22						
								28						
	5D/AAV	24/10	10.00 21.00				7	42	-19.00		Grev wet soft Silty CLAX trace and trace much	G#176		
20 -		24/18	19.00 - 21.00	2121312			1	42			Failed 55x110 mm vane attempt, would not push.	A-6, 9		
			ļ					43				WC-2		
								57						
								61						
				WOHAVOUAVC				00			Grev saturated very soft Clavey SILT trace and trace veryal	C#174		
25	6D/MV 24/10 24.00 - 26.00 WOH/WOH/						65			Failed 55x110 mm vane attempt, would not push.	A-4, CL			

	Mair	le Dep	of Transpo	rtatio	on	Project	Slope f	ailure W	est Quoddy Road causeway	Boring No.:	Boring No.: HB-W		
			Soil/Rock Ex US CUSTON	ploration Log			Locatio	n: Lube	c, Main		WIN:	183	17.00
Drill	er:		MaineDOT		E	levation	(ft.)				Auger ID/OD;	5" Solid Stem	
Ope	rator:		Wilder/Giles/	Daggett	C	atum:		NAV	D88		Sampler:	Standard Split	Spoon
Log	ged By:		K. Breskin		F	kig Type	:	CME	45C		Hammer Wt./Fail:	140#/30"	
Date	e Start/F	inish:	11/7/11-11/7/	/11	C	rilling N	lethod:	Solis	Stem A	uger	Core Barrel:	N/A	
Bori	ing Loca	ation:	19 ft West of	Pole 90-110	C	asing IC	D/OD:	HW			Water Level*:	None Observe	1
Ham	nmer Eff	ficiency Fa	actor: 0.84		H	lammer	Туре:	Automat	ic 🛛	Hydraulic 🗆	Rope & Cathead 🗆		
Defini D = S MD = U = T MU = V = Ir MV =	itions: plit Spoon Unsucces hin Wall T Unsucces nsitu Vane <u>Unsucces</u>	i Sample isful Split Spi ube Sample isful Thin Wa Shear Test, isful Insitu Va	oon Sample atte III Tube Sample / PP = Pocket P ane Shear Test a	R = SSA mpt HS2 RC attempt WO 'enetrometer WO Sample Informat	Rock Core = Solid Sta = Hollow S = Roller Co H = weight R/C = weigh 1P = Weigh <b>ion</b>	Sample em Auger Stem Auge ne of 140lb. h nt of rods o at of one pe	r ammer or casing erson	5 T Q N H N N	S <sub>U</sub> = Insit <sub>V</sub> = Pocl <sub>P</sub> ≃ Unce I-uncorre Iammer I I60 = SP I60 = (Ha	J Field Vane Shear Strength (psf et Torvane Shear Strength (psf) unfined Compressive Strength (ks cted = Raw field SPT N-value Efficiency Factor = Annual Calibra T N-uncorrected corrected for ha <u>immer Efficiency Factor/60%)*N-</u>	) Su(fa WC = f) LL = ation Value PI = F mmer efficiency G = C uncorrected C = C	b) = Lab Vane Shear water content, perce iquid Limit Plastic Limit Plasticity Index irain Size Analysis onsolidation Test	Strength (psf) ht
Depth (ft.)	Sample No.	Pen./Rec. (in.)	Sample Depth (ft.)	Blows (/6 in.) Shear Strength (psf) or RQD (%)	N-uncorrected	N <sub>60</sub>	Casing Blows	Elevation (ft.)	Graphic Log	Visual D	escription and Remarks		Laboratory Testing Results/ AASHTO and Unified Class.
25							63						WC=10.0%
							63						PL=19 PI=6
							63	]					
							40	-28.50		Bottom of Exploratio	n at 28.50 feet below gro	28.50	
- 30 -				 						REFUSAL			
					_								
- 35 -						-							
						+	1						
- 40 -													
40													
		<u>+</u>											
		<b>_</b>				_							
45 -													
						+							
50													
<u>Rem</u> Stratifi	arks:	s represent a	approximate bou	ndaries between soil typ	es; transitio	ons may be	e gradual.				Page 2 of 2		
* Wate than	er level rea those pre	idings have b sent at the tir	been made at tim me measuremen	nes and under conditions ts were made.	s stated. G	roundwate	r fluctuatior	ns may occ	ur due to	conditions other	Boring No.	: HB-WQH	-102



State of Maine Department of Transportation GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION CURVE

UNIFIED CLASSIFICATION

	Boring/Sample No.	Station	Offset, ft	Depth, ft	Description	W, %	LL	PL	ΡI	]	WIN
+	TEST PIT 1	BEACH		1	SAND, some silt, trace gravel.	22.5					018317.00
	HB-WQH-102/1D	P90-110	19.0 WE	1.0-3.0	Gravelly SAND, trace silt.	6.9					Town
	HB-WQH-102/4D-A	P90-110	19.0 WE	14.0-15.0	Sandy SILT, trace gravel.						Lubec
	HB-WQH-102/4D-B	P90-110	19.0 WE	15.0-16.0	Sandy SILT.	23.7					Demostrad by /Deta
	HB-WQH-102/5D	P90-110	19.0 WE	19.0-21.0	Silty CLAY, trace sand, trace gravel.	25.4					Reported by/Date
×	HB-WQH-102/6D	P90-110	19.0 WE	24.0-26.0	Clayey SILT, trace sand, trace gravel.	10.0	25	19	6		WHITE, TERRY A 12/2/2011

#### State of Maine - Department of Transportation Laboratory Testing Summary Sheet

Town(s):	Lubeo	C			Work	ς Νι	ımk	ber	: 183′	17.00	
Boring & Sample	Station	Offset	Depth	Reference	G.S.D.C.	W.C.	L.L.	P.I.	Cla	ssification	Ì
Identification Number	(Feet)	(Feet)	(Feet)	Number	Sheet	%			Unified	AASHTO	Frost
TEST PIT 1	BEACH		1.0	176412	1	22.5			SM	A-2-4	
HB-WQH-102, 1D	P90-110	19.0 WE	1.0-3.0	176413	1	6.9			SW-SM	A-1-a	0
HB-WQH-102, 4D-A	P90-110	19.0 WE	14.0-15.0	176414	1	21.6			ML	A-4	IV
HB-WQH-102, 4D-B	P90-110	19.0 WE	15.0-16.0	176415	1	23.7			ML	A-4	IV
HB-WQH-102, 5D	P90-110	19.0 WE	19.0-21.0	176416	1	25.4			CL	A-6	IV
HB-WQH-102, 6D	P90-110	19.0 WE	24.0-26.0	176417	1	10.0	25	6	CL-ML	A-4	IV
					1						
					}						
					}						
Clossification of th			oordorce with		loopificati	n 6	om 14	1 A E - 4	l O This als	opification	
	Erect Success		ing" from -	n AASHIU C		N SYST		143-4 /hi~!-	u. THIS CIA	sountible	
The "Freet Over	Frust Susce	ting" is here	and upon the	lainaDOT ar		i Coula	ass IV	(ilign	iy irost su	sceptible).	
	ceptionity Ra	ung is bas							ication Sy	Sterns.	
GSDC = Grain Size Distribu	ation Curve as	aetermined	DY AASHIO T	88-93 (1996)	) and/or AS	IMD4	22-63	(кеар	proved 199	98)	

WC = water content as determined by AASHTO T 265-93 and/or ASTM D 2216-98

LL = Liquid limit as determined by AASHTO T 89-96 and/or ASTM D 4318-98

PI = Plasticity Index as determined by AASHTO 90-96 and/or ASTM D4318-98