

**Status of issues in RFR committee**

**Updated: 3/17/11**

<b>Priority?</b>	<b>RFR issues</b>	<b>Status</b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Business development assistance</li> <li>• Small business ombudsman (Gov)</li> <li>• Consolidated business licensing</li> <li>• Business climate, clarity and consistency in rules</li> <li>• Role of the Secretary of State</li> </ul>	<p><i>Generally under the umbrella of the small business subcommittee. The subcommittee is meeting on 3/14 and will report back to the RFR committee.</i></p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fiscal impact for rules (Gov)</li> </ul>	<p><i>In current law 5(8063), except for the extra provision recommended by the Governor restating the requirement that it must be included in the rule before it can be adopted.</i></p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Nullification of final permits (Gov)</li> </ul>	<p><i>LD 322 (retroactive ordinances) voted in SLG majority OTP-AM (45 days) and minority ONTP.</i></p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Construction in Sand dunes (Gov)</li> <li>• Duplication of environmental laws and rules; agency review</li> <li>• Composting</li> <li>• IFW Significant wildlife habitat review</li> </ul>	<p><i>Issues kept by RFR, but set aside pending action on related issues by the ENR committee</i></p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• LURC; structure and function</li> <li>• Intrastate cooperation</li> </ul>	<p><i>Narrowed to coordinating permitting and enforcement activities between LURC and DEP, but set aside pending ACF committee's consideration of bills affecting LURC.</i></p>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Job Impact Analysis (Gov)</li> <li>• Regulatory impact analysis (BCA) (Gov)</li> <li>• Sound science and clarity (Gov)</li> <li>• Environmental audit (Gov)</li> <li>• APA process (generally)</li> </ul>	<p><b>Updated:</b> <i>Draft language on pieces of these reviewed on 3/14/11. More discussion needed</i></p>

**Status of issues in RFR committee**  
**Updated: 3/17/11**

Priority?	RFR issues	Status
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Judicial review standards (Gov)</li> </ul>	<i>The “limited deference” issue. Discussed by the committee a number of times, but no formal action taken.</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• DEP/BEP (Gov)</li> <li>• Administrative law judges</li> </ul>	<b>Updated:</b> <i>Subcommittee formed on 3/14/11. First meeting scheduled for 3/17/11.</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Issuance of NOV (Gov)</li> </ul>	<i>DEP recommended that this issue be dealt with administratively rather than in statute.</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• DHHS MAAP rules for community agencies</li> </ul>	<i>Discussed briefly in committee; no action taken.</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Scale of development</li> </ul>	<i>No substantive committee discussion</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Comprehensive plans; consistency with state goals</li> </ul>	<i>No substantive committee discussion</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Research and development funding</li> </ul>	<i>No substantive committee discussion</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Food safety laws; GAP and home kitchen licensing</li> </ul>	<i>No substantive committee discussion</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Agricultural lands/access roads</li> </ul>	<i>No substantive committee discussion</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Farms/farm stands; incentives, competition with schools</li> </ul>	<i>No substantive committee discussion</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Purchasing from local suppliers</li> </ul>	<i>No substantive committee discussion</i>

**Status of issues in RFR committee**  
**Updated: 3/17/11**

Priority?	RFR issues	Status
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lodging licenses, consolidation</li> </ul>	<i>No substantive committee discussion</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Health inspections; eliminate duplication</li> </ul>	<i>No substantive committee discussion</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Expand childcare opportunities</li> </ul>	<i>No substantive committee discussion</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Federal v state standards (generally)</li> </ul>	<i>No substantive committee discussion</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• State versus local administration</li> </ul>	<i>No substantive committee discussion</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Clam flat testing</li> </ul>	<b>Updated:</b> <i>Discussion on need to increase resources for sampling and analysis to reduce time required for opening flats closed due to pollution.</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lobster harvesting licenses</li> </ul>	<b>Updated:</b> <i>Discussion on studying the employment impacts of a limited entry lobster fishery</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sunset provisions for all rules</li> </ul>	<i>No substantive committee discussion</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PUC/PA; effectiveness of PUC</li> </ul>	<i>No substantive committee discussion</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Telecommunications (FairPoint issue)</li> </ul>	<i>No substantive committee discussion</i>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• State Fire Marshall: delays in rulemaking; above/below ground tank regulation; coordination with municipal fire wardens</li> </ul>	<b>Updated:</b> <i>New issue, extracted from other categories</i>