

Healthy Maine Partnerships
Ranking

LEAD AGENCY	HMP	Cost of Operations		Salary Guide Compliance		Support and Promotion of Developing Infrastructure	Project Officer Discussions		District Liaison Discussions		Sub Total	Average completion of Tobacco & PAN Milestones		Tiebreaker Rating	Final Score
		All operating costs+G&A as % of Community Total	Overhead Rating	Percent of staff within salary guidelines	Salary Rating		Total	Rating	Total	Rating		Milestones	Rating		
Aroostook County Action Program	Healthy Aroostook	17.00%	1	80.00%	2	5	43	2	57	2	19				19
Cary Medical Center	Power of Prevention	5.51%	2	66.67%	1	3	34	1	54	1	12				12
Healthy Communities of the Capital Area	Healthy Communities Capitol Area	4.65%	4	75.00%	1	3	36	4	27	1	20	53.83%	1		21
MaineGeneral Health	PATCH	7.38%	2	100.00%	2	3	33	1	61	4	16	81.43%	4		20
Redington Fairview Hospital	Greater Somerset	11.87%	1	100.00%	2	5	34	2	59	3	20	73.67%	3		23
Sebasticook Valley Hospital	Healthy SV	5.00%	3	100.00%	2	3	34	2	28	2	17	56.31%	2		19
Portland, City of (HP)	Healthy Portland	8.29%	3	28.57%	1	5	39	4	60	4	26				26
Portland, City of (HCB)	Healthy Casco Bay	7.48%	4	57.14%	2	3	38	3	59	3	21				21
People's Regional Opportunity Program (HL)	Healthy Lakes	18.76%	1	60.00%	4	4	36	2	58	2	19				19
People's Regional Opportunity Program (HR)	Healthy Rivers	16.33%	2	40.00%	3	3	36	2	57	1	16				16
Healthy Acadia	Healthy Acadia	17.35%	1	80.00%	2	4	34	1	56	2	15				15
Washington, County of	Washington Co. One	9.36%	2	66.67%	1	2	35	2	35	1	12				12
Mid Coast Hospital	Access Health	12.01%	2	42.86%	2	5	40	4	58	3	25				25
Youth Promise	Healthy Lincoln Co.	24.52%	1	100.00%	4	2	25	2	44	1	14				14
Penobscot Bay YMCA	Knox Co. Healthy Com.	8.98%	4	33.33%	1	3	22	1	59	4	17				17
Waldo County General Hospital	Healthy Waldo Co.	11.59%	3	33.33%	1	3	37	3	57	2	18				18
Bangor Health and Welfare	Bangor Regional	13.04%	1	75.00%	2	5	36	3	44	1	20				20
Katahdin Shared Services	Healthy No. Penobscot	8.66%	3	100.00%	3	4	34	1	49	2	18				18
Mayo Regional Hospital	Piscataquis Pub Health	10.47%	2	66.67%	1	4	35	2	50	3	18				18
Central Maine Community Health	Healthy Androscoggin	10.00%	1	66.67%	1	3	38	3	30	3	17				17
Healthy Community Coalition of Greater Franklin	Healthy Comm. Coalition	7.32%	3	100.00%	2	1	27	1	17	2	11				11
River Valley Healthy Communities Coalition	Healthy River Valley	9.33%	2	100.00%	2	5	40	4	46	4	26				26
Western Maine Health	Healthy Oxford Hills	5.87%	4	100.00%	2	2	28	2	16	1	15				15
Goodall Hospital, Inc.	Partners for Healthier Comm	16.05%	1	66.67%	3	2	29	1	29	1	11				11
University of New England	Coastal Healthy Comm	13.32%	2	50.00%	2	5	35	2	62	3	21				21
York Hospital	Choose to be Healthy	9.38%	3	25.00%	1	3	36	3	35	2	15				15
	Mean	11.14%		Mean	69.75%										

P:\HMP_3rd_Grant_Cycle\Contract FY_13\Rating_Talking_Pts.docx

Summary Explanation of Total Scoring

Cost of Operation Column: All Operating Costs and General and Administrative (G&A) were derived from the FY12 contract numbers. Total contract amounts minus school health coordinator funding were used to determine the percentage. Scoring was done on a ranking basis within each District with the HMP with the lowest G&A awarded the highest score.

Salary Guide Compliance Column: Staff within salary guidelines was determined by the hourly salary rate from each FY12 budget compared to the recommendations contained in RFP 201010788. Scoring was conducted on a ranking basis within each District with the HMP with the greatest percentage of salaries within guidelines given the highest score.

Infrastructure Development Column: The 'Support and Implementation of Developing Infrastructure' score was determined from staff knowledge of coalition activities and progress in this area. Each HMP was scored in a Likert scale (rating scale) within each District

Project Officer and District Liaison Columns: These discussions focused on questions that assessed grantee collaboration with Maine CDC, compliance with Maine CDC direction, implementation of Maine CDC initiatives at the local level, and support of Maine CDC's district level work. Each HMP was rated by applying a Likert scale of 1-5 to questions that were designed to show the individual HMP performance in key areas of leadership (as opposed to programmatic performance) that were determined to be important to Maine CDC. These ratings were aggregated to provide a total score within the Project Officer/District Liaison discussion columns. HMPs were then rated within their district dependent on their aggregated score.

Tie Breaker Column: Where aggregate scores tied, a tie breaker was used. The tie breaker consisted of the measure of completion of tobacco-related and physical activity and nutrition-related milestones as reported by each grantee in the HMP KIT monitoring system. This score was a strict percentage of completion of milestones with the HMP completing the highest percent of their milestones given the highest score.

Aggregate Subtotal: Aggregate subtotal score was derived from totaling the rating score from each column after applying a weighting to two areas determined to be most significant, Support and Promotion of Developing Infrastructure and responses from the Project Officer Discussions. These areas were selected because of Maine CDC's investment in developing the public health infrastructure at the district level. In addition, because the project officers have worked closely with the HMPs for a significant number of years and are very familiar with their respective strengths and weaknesses their input was considered key. The formula used to reach the aggregate subtotal compiled the ratings in the following way: Cost of Operations + Salary Guideline Compliance + (Support and Promotion of Developing Infrastructure *2) + (Project Officer discussions*2) + District Liaison discussions.

Total Score: The total score is this aggregated subtotal, except in the Central District where the aggregate subtotal resulted in a tie score for two coalitions. In that case, scoring from the Tie Breaker Column was added to the aggregated subtotal.

