

# Maine Air Toxics Initiative

## Proposed Scope of Work & Schedule

### Maine Department of Environmental Protection

Revision Date: November 5, 2003

#### **I. Introduction**

This Scope of Work details the work that Maine Department of Environmental Protection (MEDEP) committed to as part of a Healthy Communities Grant from the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). In securing the grant, the MEDEP provided a mechanism to obtain EPA approval to change this scope of work. This allows flexibility as the project evolves, and provides the Air Toxics Advisory Committee (ATAC), which was formed after the grant was secured, with a process to alter this scope of work if needed. This Scope of Work will be discussed at the first ATAC meeting on November 7, 2003. This Scope of Work is very similar to an August 21, 2003 "Update on the Maine Air Toxics Initiative" that was provided to interested parties by David Wright of the MEDEP. This scope of work includes the accomplishments in the Maine Air Toxics Initiative to date, the steps that will be taken in the short-term, and the upcoming milestones for the project.

#### **II. Background - Summary of the Maine Air Toxics Initiative**

Through implementation of the federal National Emission Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPs), the Maine Department of Environmental Protection (MEDEP) has significantly reduced the exposure of Maine Citizens to Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs). However, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has conducted a National Air Toxics Assessment (NATA) suggesting that Maine Citizens still face unacceptable exposure to HAPs. The NATA is based on estimates of emissions of air pollutants, fate and transport modeling, and estimations of health risks.

In response, MEDEP is undertaking the Maine Air Toxics Initiative (MATI). MATI is a facilitated stakeholder process aimed at verifying whether or not the NATA results seem reasonable, and if so, identification of which Air Toxics are the most responsible for creating health risks, the source of those pollutants, and creation of cost effective solutions to reduce the risk. This holistic assessment of Air Toxics risks will enable Maine to target available resources for maximum risk reduction. By using similar stakeholder processes, MEDEP has gained a fuller understanding of other environmental problems and solutions, while fostering cooperation with Maine citizens in resolving the issue. The ultimate goal of the project is to reduce exposure of all Maine Citizens to acceptable<sup>1</sup> levels of Air Toxics. EPA has awarded MEDEP with a Healthy Communities Grant to help fund the Maine Air Toxics Initiative.

---

<sup>1</sup> Generally, the MEDEP's Air Program defines acceptable risks as risks below a Health index of 1 (for non-carcinogens), and an Incremental Lifetime Cancer Risk of one in a million (for carcinogens).

In verifying NATA, MEDEP will look at state and federal air emission estimates, and ambient air monitoring results. The stakeholder group is composed of community organizations, government organizations (local, state and federal), industrial organizations and environmental organizations having an interest in Air Toxics. An independent, outside facilitator knowledgeable in the mutual-gains approach to facilitation will facilitate the project. After reaching consensus on the list of prioritized air toxics, the group will identify appropriate early actions that will provide significant reductions in Air Toxics. The group will also identify a long-term targeted strategy, with clear implementation goals and timeframes, for MEDEP to pursue. These strategies could include economic incentives, targeted pollution prevention programs, new legislation at the state level, or partnering with regional agencies to resolve interstate issues.

### III. Accomplishments to Date:

- A. **Securing Funding:** On June 6, 2003 the MEDEP filed an application with EPA for a grant to fund a facilitator and research contractors to assist with the MATI. The application included 14 commitment letters from organizations willing to participate in the stakeholder process. Effective October 1, 2003, EPA Region I EPA awarded MEDEP with a \$50,000 grant for the MATI. Susan Lancy with EPA Region I's Air Toxics Program is serving as EPA Project Officer on the grant. David Wright of the MEDEP is the grant administrator for the state.
- B. **Stakeholder Group Formation:** MEDEP invited stakeholders from a broad range of perspectives to form an Air Toxics Advisory Committee (ATAC). ATAC will rank the Air Toxic Priorities in the State, and develop cost-effective and expedient strategies to reduce the risk. The group will strive for consensus decision making. MEDEP has received strong interest in participating in this stakeholder process, with 27 organizations agreeing to participate to date. The groups represent potentially impacted urban & rural areas, Environmental Organizations, Government Programs, Industry and Trade Groups, and Public Health Organizations. The list and current status of the stakeholders is included in attachment 1.
- C. **Development of Initial Strawman List of Air Toxics:** The MEDEP developed a preliminary strawman list of Air Toxics and a Basis Statement for this list, dated October 17, 2003. The list includes 30 compounds ranked by the risk they may pose to Maine Citizens. MEDEP intends to use the list to foster stakeholder discussions aimed at a consensus decision on a final list. In developing the Strawman list, MEDEP assessed EPA's National Emissions Inventory for 1999; emissions from point sources (the Toxics Release Inventory and the state's Chapter 137 inventory); the NATA data; ambient air monitoring data; and literature on missing issues such as Persistent Bioaccumulative Toxics. A chemical's risk was ranked using the toxicity factor from the Risk Screening Environmental Indicators (RSEI) Model or derived risk factors. To begin the

discussion on risk reduction strategies, the list also includes general information on the primary emission sources of the contaminants. To enable knowledgeable parties outside of MEDEP to technically review the Strawman List, the MEDEP concurrently developed a basis statement for the Strawman List. The Strawman List and Basis Statement is available on the MEDEP's Air Toxics Website at <http://www.maine.gov/dep/air/emissions/MATI.html>, or upon request from:

David Wright  
Air Toxics & Inventory Section  
Bureau of Air Quality , DEP  
17 SHS  
Augusta, ME 04333-0017

207-287-6104 (phone)  
207-287-7641 (fax)

david.w.wright@state.me.us

- D. Contract Facilitator.** MEDEP contracted Jonathan Reitman to facilitate the ATAC meetings. Mr. Reitman is a well-respected international facilitator that will ensure that all members of the ATAC are heard and participate in good faith. He also has the skill set to enable the ATAC to reach a consensus decision on an Air Toxics Action Plan.

#### IV. Next Near-term Steps

- A. Hold first Committee Meeting:** The first Committee meeting will be held on November 7, 2003 at the Maple Hill Farm Conference Center in Hallowell, Maine, from 9 to 3:30. At the meeting the ATAC will receive their charge from MEDEP's Commissioner Gallagher, describe their organization's interest in the MATI, discuss the proposed MATI process, this scope of work, and begin discussions on the MEDEP's proposed Strawman List of Air Toxics.
- B. Develop a Quality Assurance Project Plan.** EPA and MEDEP have agreed that MEDEP must develop a Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) for this is a secondary data research project. According to EPA guidance<sup>2</sup>, "[a] secondary data research project involves the gathering and/or use of existing environmental data for purposes other than those for which they were originally collected". Susan Lancy provided David Wright with a two-page guidance on August 12, 2003. David Wright participated in a conference call with Susan Lancy and Nora Conlon, QAPP approval person at Region I EPA, on August 20, 2003, to scope out the document. Currently David Wright anticipates forwarding a draft QAPP

---

<sup>2</sup> QAPP Requirements For Secondary Data Research Projects, EPA NE QAU, Revision 1.0, September 2002, Pages 33 & 34 of 46.

to EPA for review by the end of November. MEDEP has not been delegated the authority to approve this type of QAPP.

- C. **Contact technical reviewers for the Initial Strawman List.** David Wright will contact technical experts to see if they are willing to review the strawman list. David Wright will consult with Ron Severance and the Air Toxics section when determining potential reviewers. At the first stakeholder meeting, the Stakeholders will also be offered the opportunity to review, or have their agents review, the technical basis of the strawman list.

## V. Long-term steps

In support of the grant application to EPA, MEDEP outlined the following steps for completing the MATI. These steps may change based on stakeholder input, and as the project develops. MEDEP will inform all interested parties of any changes.

- A. **Stakeholder meeting to critique Strawman List.** MEDEP provided each of the stakeholders with the proposed Strawman List of Air Toxics Priorities, and a basis statement describing the methods used to derive the list. The first facilitated meeting of the ATAC will focus on the stakeholder process, and a discussion of how to improve the Air Toxics Priority List, including an identification of what additional validation work is necessary to complete the list.
- B. **Validation Work.** MEDEP will then undertake the modifications and validation work agreed upon by the ATAC. This validation work may include additional modeling, inventory work, toxicity evaluation, and further research into missing issues, and/or monitoring. The EPA grant will help fund contracted researchers.
- C. **Redraft Air Toxics Priority List.** Following validation, MEDEP will develop a draft of the Final Air Toxics Priority List. This work will include details on the source of the compounds included on the priority list.
- D. **Form Subcommittees.** Based on the compounds driving the air toxics risk, and the source of those pollutants, several sectors that should be targeted for risk reduction actions will emerge. For example, it may develop that benzene and 1,3 butadiene create a significant risk, and that the majority of these pollutants come from mobile sources. ATAC will then create a Mobile Source Subcommittee to develop recommendations for cost-effective risk reduction strategies. MEDEP will make recommendations on which four to six subcommittees should be formed, and who should serve on the subcommittees, to ensure affected stakeholders are represented.
- E. **Air Toxics Advisory Committee meeting.** After forwarding the draft Final Air Toxics Priority List and subcommittee recommendations to ATAC members, MEDEP will host another facilitated meeting to receive feedback on MEDEP's

proposal. At this meeting, MEDEP will seek consensus on the final Air Toxics Priority List, and the composition and charge of subcommittees that will explore any necessary risk reduction strategies.

- F. Subcommittee meetings.** MEDEP will then host several subcommittee meetings to develop appropriate early actions and identify a long-term targeted strategy, with clear implementation goals and timeframes, that will reduce Air Toxics to acceptable levels. These strategies could include economic incentives, targeted pollution prevention programs, voluntary programs, enhancement of existing regulatory programs, new legislation at the state level, partnering with regional agencies to resolve interstate issues, or no action. The goal will be for a consensus recommendation from each subcommittee, or failing that, options for the ATAC to consider. MEDEP will be responsible for writing up the recommendations of the subcommittee, for presentation to the ATAC by the subcommittee.
- G. Stakeholder consolidation of strategies.** MEDEP will then set-up a facilitated ATAC meeting to review the subcommittees' recommended early actions and long-term strategies. The goal of the meeting will be to reach consensus on recommendations to MEDEP. In the case where subcommittees have provided more than one option, the ATAC will try to, in order of preference, reach consensus on one option, rank the choices, or provide a list of pros and cons for each option.
- H. Department plan for early actions and long-term strategies.** By October 15, 2004, MEDEP will develop a final Air Toxics Risk Reduction Strategy. The plan will consist of:
- a prioritized list of air toxics, ranked by risk;
  - a prioritized list of early-actions to reduce risk posed by air toxics;
  - for each early-action, a description and implementation plan, including the expected risk reduction resulting from the action;
  - a prioritized list of long-term strategies to reduce risk posed by air toxics; and
  - for each long-term strategy, a description and implementation plan, including the expected risk reductions resulting from the action, and an implementation schedule.

The deadline for this strategy has been established to allow time for MEDEP to initiate any necessary legislative changes. This strategy will be based on any consensus decisions produced by the ATC. In the event that the ATC does not reach consensus, the strategy will be based on the information obtained by MEDEP during the stakeholder process. MEDEP believes that a consensus decision will be reached by the ATC on an Air Toxics Reduction Strategy because the process proposed by MEDEP includes the following elements:

- A facilitator from outside the agency;

- a mutual gains type approach;
- stakeholders representing all affected sectors;
- small subcommittees to explore and recommend solutions; and
- a reasonable yet firm deadline, upon which MEDEP will take action.

Further, by using the stakeholder process, stakeholders will become educated and empowered to take their own actions to reduce air toxics.

- I. Early Action: Risk Reduction Notebook & Website for Schools.** One early action that MEDEP has already identified, and that will be undertaken using part of the EPA grant awarded, is aimed at enhancing air quality in Maine's schools. Maine schools are the subject of several regulations and initiatives, such as integrated pest management; management of hazardous chemicals in school labs, art rooms, and shops; asbestos control plans; mercury reduction plans; energy audits; bus idling programs, etc. Schools are inconsistent in implementing these plans because information is provided from a myriad of sources at differing times. MEDEP will compile and provide all of this information to school administrators in a single reference notebook and on a web page. They will explain in simple language the requirements and best management practices for environmental subjects that pertain to schools. They will also provide references for the latest information from other state and federal programs. MEDEP envisions that the project will complement EPA's "Tools for Schools" program and could form the basis for a school Environmental Management System (EMS).

To identify all the information that should be in the notebooks and on the website, MEDEP will research available information in literature, on the Internet, within MEDEP, the Departments of Education and Agriculture, the Maine Indoor Air Quality Council, and the American Lung Association, among others. MEDEP will then compile the information in a logical order in a 3 ring binder. The binder will include a table of contents, a checklist, and a calendar that contains all reporting requirements and recommended time to implement the risk reduction actions and detailed information, including references, web links, and contact information for the various organizations. A copy of this notebook will be mailed to each accredited K-12 school system in Maine. The information will also be posted on a page on the Department's website. Money from the EPA grant will be used to pay for printing and distribution of the notebooks. MEDEP will supply the personnel to compile the information, compose the notebook, and build the web page.

## **VI. Ongoing Activities.**

- A. Presentations.** Throughout the project, the Department will provide "lessons learned" presentations to other parties interested in the project. Additionally, the Department will publish all relevant documents on the MEDEP's Air Toxics Website. Currently this Website is located on the MEDEP's Air Toxics Website at <http://www.maine.gov/dep/air/emissions/MATI.html>

**B. Midcourse adjustments.** At the end of each major meeting, the MEDEP will ask participants if they believe that the project is still on track, or if modifications to the project schedule or tasks are needed. EPA will be notified of any adjustments to the schedule or tasks that are made to ensure a successful outcome.

**VII. Measures of Success.** The final phase of this grant will be for MEDEP to prepare and to provide to EPA a Grant Close-out Report, including these measures of success:

**A. Goal 1 – Feasible Strategies:** The first goal of this project is to develop feasible strategies to reduce, and maintain, ambient levels of air toxics in Maine to acceptable levels of risk<sup>3</sup>. To measure the success of this project, MEDEP will measure:

1. Successful compellation of an Air Toxics Priority List for Maine;
2. The number of early actions under way that will reduce the risk from air toxics;
3. An estimate of the risk reduction that will be achieved with the early actions, expressed in reduced Incremental Lifetime Cancer Risk and reduced Health Index risks. The MEDEP will use standard MEDEP and EPA Guidance to measure risk for this project<sup>4</sup>;
4. Whether or not a long-term implementation strategy has been developed, with clearly defined steps and a schedule;
5. Whether the long-term implementation strategy is feasible, as measured by the financial cost of the strategy and an estimate of the willingness of the State to expend these funds;
6. The number of Public Presentations to Maine Citizens and other States regarding Maine's Program.

**B. Goal 2 – Public Support:** The second goal of this project is to garner widespread support for the ultimate plan that is adopted by the State. MEDEP proposes that success will be measured by whether or not a diverse stake-holder group representing all interested parties is able to reach consensus on an "Early Action Plan and Long-Term Implementation Strategy to Reduce Air Toxics in

---

<sup>3</sup>Generally, the Department defines acceptable risks as risks below a Health index of 1 (for non-carcinogens), and an Incremental Lifetime Cancer Risk of one in a million (for carcinogens).

<sup>4</sup>E.g. State of Maine Department of Environmental Protection and Department of Human Services "Guidance Manual for Human Health Risk Assessments at Hazardous Substance Sites, (MEDEP, BRWM, State House Station 17, Augusta, ME 04333) June 1994; EPA's 3-volume Air Toxics Risk Assessment Library under development by Roy Smith's group in Research Triangle Park; and other EPA guidance on the web.

Maine". To determine this, MEDEP will survey all of the stakeholder participants to determine the extent to which each believes:

1. All relevant interests were represented during the stakeholder process;
2. All opinions were heard and considered by MEDEP;
3. The process was worth the effort expended by the participant
4. MEDEP's Early Action Plan and Long-Term Implementation Strategy to Reduce Air Toxics in Maine is a document that the stakeholder can live with;
5. The participant agrees with all, most, some, a few, or none of the actions in MEDEP's Early Action Plan and Long-Term Implementation Strategy;
6. The participant will actively support all, most, some, a few, or none of the actions in MEDEP's Early Action Plan and Long-Term Implementation Strategy;
7. The participant will actively resist all, most, some, a few, or none of the actions in MEDEP's Early Action Plan and Long-Term Implementation Strategy;
8. The degree to which the participant agrees that they have gained insight into Maine's air toxics situation and will take additional actions on their own to reduce risks posed by air toxics.

- C. Goal 3 – Increased School Compliance:** The Notebooks for Schools project will be successful if schools become more aware of environmental regulations and initiatives aimed at the schools, and if more schools come into compliance with regulations. To evaluate success of this project, MEDEP will send a survey with the notebooks, asking about the school's level of awareness for the various programs covered by the notebook. MEDEP will then do a follow-up survey 6 months later on at least 5% of the schools to see if their level of awareness has increased. MEDEP will also evaluate the correlation between schools' compliance rates with the Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act (AHERA) program before and after the notebooks are delivered. When presenting this correlation, MEDEP will discuss the other factors besides the notebooks program that may have influenced compliance rates. Finally, MEDEP will record the number of visits to the new web page.

## VIII. Project Schedule & Deliverables

The MEDEP filed a schedule of proposed activities with its application to EPA for a grant in June of 2003. The MEDEP is proposing to revise this Schedule as follows. The tasks are described in greater detail in the above sections.

<b>Task Ref</b>	<b>Task (see descriptions above for details)</b>	<b>Original Time (Month, year)</b>	<b>Revised Time (Month, year)</b>	<b>Project Deliverable to EPA</b>
III.A	Secure EPA Grant	June 2003	Completed 10-1-03	N/A
III.C	Develop Strawman List of Air Toxic Priorities	June 2003	1 <sup>st</sup> draft completed 10-17-03	Strawman List of Air Toxics Priorities
III.D	Contract Facilitator	June 2003	Completed 10-1-03	Letter of Award
IV.A	Mailing to Convene Air Toxics Advisory Committee (ATAC)	June 2003	Completed 10-8-03	Sample letter
IV.A & V.A	Stakeholder meeting to critique Strawman List	August 2003	11-7-03	Meeting Summary Notes
IV.B	Develop a Quality Assurance Project Plan	September 2003	November 2003	Quality Assurance Project Plan
V.B & V.C	Validation Work on Air Toxics Priority List	August – October 2003	November 2003 –January 2003	Revised (draft) Maine Air Toxics Priority List and Narrative on Work Performed by MEDEP
V.D	Develop recommendations on subcommittee subject areas for exploring action	October 2003	January 2003	Draft recommendations on subcommittee subject areas, subcommittee members, & subcommittee tasks
V.E	Mailing to ATAC	October 2003	January 2004	Sample Cover letter and Information Package w/ the two above items
V.I	Early Action initiative: Risk Reduction Notebook & Website for Schools	October 2003- June 2004	October 2003- June 2004	Sample of notebook and link to web site.
V.E	ATAC meeting(s) to discuss validation work, final Air Toxics list, & recommended subcommittees for Air Toxic Action Groups	November 2003- December 2003	February 2004	Meeting Summary Notes
V.E	Revision of AT priority list & subcommittee recommendations to Incorporate ATAC comments	November 2003	February 2004	Draft mark-up or summary of changes made

<b>Task Ref</b>	<b>Task (see descriptions above for details)</b>	<b>Original Time (Month, year)</b>	<b>Revised Time (Month, year)</b>	<b>Project Deliverable to EPA</b>
V.F	Subcommittee meetings to develop recommended early actions & long-term strategies	January –June, 2004	February – June 2004	Meeting Summary Notes
V.G	Consolidation of options for early actions & long-term strategies	July 2004	July 2004	Draft of early action plan and long term implementation strategy
V.G	ATAC meeting(s) to discuss early actions and long-term strategies	August – September 2004	August – September 2004	Summary Meeting Notes
V.G	Incorporation of ATAC Recommended revisions to early action plan and long term implementation strategy	September 2004	September 2004	Revised ATAC early action plan and long term implementation strategy
V.G	Final ATAC meeting to review Revised ATAC early action plan and long term implementation strategy	October 2004	October 2004	Summary of Meeting Notes
V.H	Develop Department plan for early actions and long-term strategies	October 2004	October 2004	Department early action plan and long term implementation strategy for Air Toxics
V.H	Begin Implementation of early actions* and long-term strategies	Nov 2004	Nov 2004	Grant Closeout Report from MEDEP to EPA detailing the measurements of success of this program
VI.A	“Lessons Learned” presentations	As requested	As requested	Letter to EPA summarizing presentation & audience
VI.B	Midcourse adjustments	As necessary	As necessary	Letter to EPA informing them of the change

**Attachment 1: Status of the Air Toxics Advisory Committee as of November 5, 2003**

## Status Codes:

“Accepted” means that the participant has agreed to serve on the ATAC and is the organization’s primary participant.

“Alternate” means that the participant will serve as an alternate to the organization’s primary participant.

“CC” means that the individual wishes to be copied on correspondence related to the MATI

“Declined” means that the organization declined to participate as an ATAC member.

“Invited” means that the organization did not respond to the invitation to participate as an ATAC member.

“Observer” means that an individual from a participating organization will observe ATAC meetings and will be copied on correspondence related to the MATI

Status	Name - First	Name - Last	Title	Affiliation
Accepted	Norm	Anderson	Environmental Health Scientist	American Lung Association of Maine
Accepted	Lee Jay	Feldman	Director Planning & Permitting	City of Auburn
Accepted	Brian	Phinney	Environmental Compliance Officer	City of Biddeford
Accepted	Nate	Nickerson	Director of Public Health	City of Portland
Accepted	Pamela	Person	Project Director	Coalition for Sensible Energy
Accepted	Andrew	Smith	Director of Environmental Health Unit	Dept. of Human Services - Bureau of Health
Accepted	Steven	Gurney	Science & Policy Director	Environmental Health Strategy Center
Accepted	Brian	Fitzgerald	Manager EHS, Burlington & Saco Operations	General Dynamics - Armament and Technical Products, Inc.
Accepted	Jonathan	Reitman	Facilitator	Gosline & Reitman
Accepted	Joan	Blauvelt	member	League of Women Voters of Maine
Accepted	Tom	Brown	Executive Director	Maine Automobile Dealers Assoc. Inc.
Accepted	Christopher	Hall	Executive Vice President	Maine Chamber of Commerce
Accepted	Rich	Greves	Environmental Specialist	Maine DEP, Air Toxics Program, BAQ
Accepted	David	Wright	Air Toxics Coordinator	Maine DEP, Air Toxics Program, BAQ

Status	Name - First	Name - Last	Title	Affiliation
Accepted	James	Brooks	Bureau Director	Maine DEP, Bureau of Air Quality
Accepted	Ron	Severance	Division Director	Maine DEP, Div Program Planning, BAQ
Accepted	Julie	Churchill	Assistant Program Director,	Maine DEP, Office of Innovation and Assistance, OC
Accepted	Heather	Carlson-Lynch	Air Toxicologist	Maine DHS - Bureau of Health
Accepted	Duane	Scott	Program Manager	Maine DOT - Environ Coord & Analysis prog
Accepted	Jim	Secunde	Environmental Manager	Maine Energy Recovery Corporation
Accepted	Jamie	Py	President	Maine Oil Dealers Association
Accepted	Patricia	Aho	Executive Director	Maine Petroleum Association
Accepted	Michael	Barden	Director of Environmental Affairs	Maine Pulp & Paper Association
Accepted	John	Martin	Senate Chair- Natural Resources Committee	Maine Senate
Accepted	Scott	Reed	Environmental Manager	MeadWestvaco
Accepted	Jon	Hinck	Toxics Project Director	Natural Resources Council of Maine
Accepted	David	Adams	MD Cardiology, Rtr	Physicians for Social Responsibility, Maine Chapter
Accepted	Dixon	Pike		Pierce-Atwood
Accepted	Bill	Hine	Board of Directors	River Valley Healthy Communities Coalition
Accepted	Shiloh	Ring	CEO	Town of Jay
Accepted	Michael	Kenyon	Air Program Branch Chief	USEPA - Region I
Accepted	Susan	Lancey	Air Toxics Coordinator	USEPA - Region I
Accepted	Samuel	Zaitlin	Environmental Consultant	
Alternate	Donna J.	Dion	Mayor	City of Biddeford
Alternate	Michael	Belliveau	Executive Director	Environmental Health Strategy Center
Alternate	Scott	Belanger	Senior Principle Environmental, Health & Safety Specialist	General Dynamics - Armament Systems
Alternate	Ann	Luther	President	League of Women Voters of Maine

Status	Name - First	Name - Last	Title	Affiliation
Alternate	Ron	Dyer	Program Director	Maine DEP, Office of Innovation and Assistance, OC
Alternate	Jeff	Crawford	Air Quality Planning Division	Maine DEP-Air Rules & outreach
Alternate	Ruth	Marden	Town Manager	Town of Jay
Observer	Marc	Cone	Air Licensing Section Chief	MEDEP-Air Licensing
Observer	Michael	Joseph	Environmental Intern	USEPA - Region I
Observer	Steve	Rapp	Manager Air Permits, Toxics,& Indoor Air	USEPA - Region I
Invited	Al	Wiley		Florida Power & Light
Invited	Theodore	Koffman	House Chair - Natural Resources Committee	Maine House of Representatives
Invited (late)	Jeff	Emery	Supervisor	MEDEP – Air Monitoring Prog
Invited (late)	Andy	Johnson	Supervisor	MEDEP – Air Monitoring Prog
CC	Dawn	Gallagher	Commissioner	Maine DEP - Office of Commissioner
CC	Ann	Pistell	Environmental Specialist	Maine DEP, Bureau of Remediation & Waste Management
CC	Gary	Williams	Legislative Liaison	Maine DOT - Legal Services
CC	John	Martin	Senate Chair- Natural Resources Committee	Maine Senate
CC	Jeffery	Meyers	Esq.	Nelson, Kinder, Mosseau & Saturley, PC
CC	Melissa	Treadwell	Air toxics Program Coordinator	North East States for Coordinated Air Use Management
CC	Patty	Duguay	Executive Director	River Valley Healthy Communities Coalition
CC	Dave	Hediger	Code Enforcement Officer	City of Lewiston
Declined	Christy	Bourget	Health Officer	City of Auburn
Declined	Patricia	Finnigan	City Manager	City of Auburn
Declined	Bogdan "Bob"	Vitas		City of Lewiston
Declined	Paul	Blouin		Paul Blouin Honda
Declined	Julie	Hashem		State Planning Office