

FEB 20 2016

For office use:

Tracking No. 48700 BP 9901 I Fee Received \$50.-

LUPC - GF **Building Permit Amendment**

SHORT FORM for Residential Development

1. APPLICANT INFORMATION

Applicant Name(s) <u>Dennis W. & Cindy L. Frigon</u>		Daytime Phone <u>207 534 9734</u>	FAX (if applicable) <u>534-9750</u>
Mailing Address <u>P.O. Box 99</u>		Email (if applicable) <u>dfrigon@gmail.com</u>	
Town <u>Rodwood</u>	State <u>Me</u>	Zip Code <u>04478</u>	

2. PROJECT LOCATION AND PROPERTY DETAILS

Township, Town or Plantation <u>Tamkegan Twp.</u>	County <u>Somerset</u>
Tax Information (check Tax Bill) Map: <u>SO 37</u> Plan: <u>2</u> Lots <u>1.1-1.3</u> <u>1.2-1.4</u>	All Zoning at Development Site (check the LUPC map) <u>P-GP P-WL 1</u>
Road Frontage. List the name(s) and frontage(s) (in feet) for any public or private roads, or other rights-of-way adjacent to your lot: Road #1: <u>Baker Brook Rd</u> Frontage <u>500</u> ft. Road #2: _____ Frontage _____ ft.	Water Frontage. List the name(s) and frontage(s) (in feet) for any lakes, ponds, rivers, streams, or other waters on or adjacent to your lot: Waterbody #1: <u>Moosehead Lake</u> Frontage <u>2100'</u> Waterbody #2: _____ Frontage _____

3. EXISTING STRUCTURES (Fill in a line for each existing structure)

Previously issued Building Permit BP _____

Type of structure (dwelling, garage, deck, porch, shed, driveway, parking area, etc.)	Year built	Exterior dimensions (in feet) (LxWxH)	Type of foundation (full basement, slab, post, etc.)	Horizontal Distance (in feet) of structure from nearest:						
				Road line	Property line	Lake or pond	River or stream	Wetland	Waters	Ocean/Tidal
<u>Dwelling</u>	<u>2000</u>	<u>40x58x32</u>	<u>Full Basement</u>							
<u>SHED</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>12x20x12</u>	<u>on Poles</u>	<u>100'</u>	<u>100'</u>	<u>100'</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>75'</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>
<u>Garage</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>20x64x24</u>	<u>Slab</u>							

4. PROPOSED ACTIVITIES (Fill in a line for each new or modified structure)

Type of structure (dwelling, garage, deck, porch, shed, driveway, parking area, etc.)	Proposal (check all that apply)								Exterior Dimensions (in feet) (LxWxH)	Horizontal Distance (in feet) of structure from nearest:						
	New structure*	Reconstruct*	Expand	Relocate*	Remove	deck/porch	Enclose	Permanent foundation*		Change dimensions or setbacks	Road	Property line	Lake or pond	River or stream	Wetland	Waters
<u>N/A</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>							

* Reconstructions, Relocations, Permanent Foundations and New Accessory Structures:

- a. If the structure or foundation will not meet the LUPC's minimum setback distances from property lines, roads, water bodies or wetlands, explain what physical limitations (lot size, slope, location of septic system, etc.) prevent the structure or foundation from meeting setbacks:

- b. For reconstructions, has the existing structure been damaged, destroyed or removed from your property?.....YES NO
 If YES, was the structure in regular active use within a 2-year period preceding the damage, destruction or removal?.....YES NO
 If YES, provide the date the structure was damaged, destroyed or removed: _____

5. **VEGETATION CLEARING, FILLING AND GRADING, SOIL DISTURBANCE** (If applicable, fill in this table)

	Proposed New Area (in sq. ft.) of cleared/filled/disturbed soil:	Distance (in feet) between edge of cleared/filled area and the nearest:					Ocean/Tidal Waters
		Road	Property line	Lake or pond	River or stream	Wetland	
Cleared area		100' +	100' +	0 to 100'	N/A	0-75'	N/A
Filled/disturbed area				↑		↑	

(CLEARING IN BUFFER)

6. **PROSPECTIVELY ZONED AREAS (RANGELEY AREA ONLY)**

Buffering in Prospectively Zoned Areas. Is your property located in one of the following Prospectively Zoned Plantations or Townships?YES NO

Adamstown Twp. Rangeley Plt. Dallas Plt. Richardstowntown Twp. Lincoln Plt. Sandy River Plt. Magalloway Plt. Townships C, D, and E.

If YES, please complete the following table regarding the width of the vegetative buffers at the narrowest point between the existing and proposed structures and the nearest applicable road, property line, and subdistrict setbacks as applicable:

Standard Minimum Required:	Width of Vegetated Buffers			
	Road	Side Property Line	Rear Property Line	Subdistrict Boundary (If D-ES or D-CI)
25 feet in D-GN, D-GN2, D-GN3 50 feet in D-RS, D-RS2, D-RS3 75 feet in D-ES and D-CI		15 feet	15 feet	50 feet Buffer to other Subdistricts
This property:	_____ feet	_____ feet	_____ feet	_____ feet

Note: You may be required to submit Exhibit E: Documentation for Exceptions to Buffering Requirements. (See instructions on page iii)

7. **APPLICANT SIGNATURE (REQUIRED) AND AGENT AUTHORIZATION (OPTIONAL)**

Agent Name (if applicable)	Daytime Phone	FAX (if applicable)	RECEIVED
Mailing Address		Email (if applicable)	FEB 23 2016
Town		State	LUPC - GREENVILLE Zip Code

I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this application, including the accompanying exhibits and supplements, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, this application is complete with all necessary exhibits. I understand that if the application is incomplete or without any required exhibits that it will result in delays in processing my permit decision. The information in this application is a true and adequate narrative and depiction of what currently exists on and what is proposed at the property. I certify that I will give a copy of this permit and associated conditions to any contractors working on my project. I understand that I am ultimately responsible for complying with all applicable regulations and with all conditions and limitations of any permits issued to me by the LUPC. If there is an Agent listed above, I hereby authorize that individual or business to act as my legal agent in all matters relating to this permit application. I understand that while there is a required Statewide Maine Uniform Building and Energy Code (MUBEC) administered by the Maine Department of Public Safety, Bureau of Building Codes & Standards, The Commission's review is limited only to land use issues and the Commission does not make any findings related to the MUBEC nor do the LUPC staff inspect buildings or enforce any provisions of that Code.

Please check one of the boxes below: (see "Accessing the Project Site for Site Evaluation and Inspection")

I authorize staff of the Land Use Planning Commission to access the project site as necessary at any reasonable hour for the purpose of evaluating the site to verify the application materials I have submitted, and for the purpose of inspecting for compliance with statutory and regulatory requirements, and the terms and conditions of my permit.


I request that staff of the Land Use Planning Commission make reasonable efforts to contact me in advance to obtain my permission to fully access the project site for purposes of any necessary site evaluation and compliance inspection.

All appropriate persons listed on the deed, lease or sales contract must sign below.

Signature(s)  _____ Date 2/22/16
 _____ Date 2/22/16

BUILDING PERMIT BP 9901-I

Based on the information you have submitted in the attached application and supporting documents, the staff of the Land Use Planning Commission concludes that, if carried out in compliance with the conditions of approval below, your proposal will meet the criteria for approval, 12 M.R.S.A. §685-B(4) of the Commission's statutes and the provisions of the Commission's *Land Use Districts and Standards*. Any variation from the application or the conditions of approval is subject to prior Commission review and approval. Any variation undertaken without Commission approval constitutes a violation of Land Use Planning Commission law. In addition, any person aggrieved by this decision of the staff may, within 30 days, request that the Commission review the decision.

 Roderick J. Falla
LUPC Authorized Signature

3/2/2016
Effective Date

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

General Conditions

1. **At least one week prior to commencing the permitted activities**, the permittee must contact the Commission staff and notify them of the date activities will start. This will allow staff time to arrange a site visit to review the applicable standards and requirements of the permit with the permittee. (**If you leave a telephone message**, please include your full name, telephone number, permit number, and the date/s the work will start.)
2. Activities authorized in this permit must be substantially started within 2 years of the effective date of this permit and substantially completed within 5 years of the effective date of this permit. If such activities are not started and completed within this time limitation, this permit shall lapse and no activities shall then occur unless and until a new permit has been granted by the Commission.
3. This permit is dependent upon and limited to the proposal as set forth in the application and supporting documents, except as modified by the Commission in granting this permit. Any variation is subject to prior review and approval of the Maine Land Use Planning Commission. Any variation from the application or the conditions of approval undertaken without approval of the Commission constitutes a violation of Land Use Planning Commission law.
4. This permit is based on the Vegetative Clearing Plan dated February 22, 2016, which is attached to this permit. The recipient of this permit shall designate a person experienced in Forestry who is responsible for seeing that the operation is properly conducted in accordance with this plan and these conditions of approval; and who may be contacted by the Commission with regard to inspection of the operation. Upon completion of the vegetative clearing and replanting approved by this permit, the permittee shall submit a report from the forester certifying that the activities were completed in accordance with this permit.
5. All trees and saplings removed must be replaced with native noninvasive species.
6. Vegetative clearing of mature trees with timber harvesting heavy equipment shall take place during winter conditions.
7. Replacement vegetation must at a minimum consist of saplings.
8. At least three different species shall be used.
9. Within 100 feet of Moosehead Lake, all the trees and saplings must be planted in locations that effectively reestablish the screening that previously existed between the shoreline and structures at this site.
10. The replanted trees and saplings must achieve a survival rate of at least 80% for a minimum of 5 years after replanting. Replanting of trees or saplings that did not survive does not trigger a new five year period.
11. Permittee shall not clear cut the site.
12. Cleared openings created as part of activities authorized under this permit must be effectively stabilized and re-vegetated.
13. Land management operations shall utilize the currently existing roads to the greatest possible extent.
14. If water control measures prove to be necessary in order to reasonably avoid accelerated erosion or sedimentation of surface waters, such measures must be employed.
15. The Commission or its authorized representatives may inspect the operation during and upon completion of activities to assure compliance with the provisions of this permit.
16. The scenic character and healthful condition of the area covered under this permit must be maintained. The area must be kept free of litter, trash, junk cars and other vehicles, and any other materials that may constitute a hazardous or nuisance condition.
17. Except as specifically allowed by this permit, the permittee shall comply with all of the Commission's Standards.

18. The permittee shall secure and comply with all applicable licenses, permits, authorizations, and requirements of all federal, state, and local agencies.
19. Temporary and permanent sedimentation control measures must be implemented to effectively stabilize all areas of disturbed soil and to catch sediment from runoff water before it leaves the site so that sediment does not enter water bodies, drainage systems, water crossings, wetlands or adjacent properties. Clearing activities, except those necessary to establish sedimentation control devices, shall not begin until all erosion and sedimentation control devices (including ditches, culverts, sediment traps, settling basins, hay bales, silt fences, etc.) have been installed and stabilized. Once in place, such devices shall be maintained to ensure proper functioning.
20. The permittee shall not advertise Land Use Planning Commission approval without first obtaining approval for such advertising. Any such advertising shall refer to this permit only if it also notes that the permit is subject to conditions of approval.
21. In the event the permittee should sell or lease this property, the buyer or lessee shall be provided a copy of the approved permit and conditions of approval. The new owner or lessee should then contact the Land Use Planning Commission to have the permit transferred into his/her name. If there are no additional changes the transfer can be accomplished on a Minor Change Form.
22. All operations shall be stopped where the continuation of such operations will cause or contribute to the occurrence of erosion or the sedimentation of surface waters, whether such occurrence is precipitated by exceptionally wet weather, the failure of water control measures, or other factors. Adequate steps must be taken immediately to stop any erosion or sedimentation of surface waters and to correct the situation which led to such occurrence. For the purposes of this action erosion is defined as evidence of rill or gully erosion.

02/22/2016

Landowner: Dennis and Cindy Frigon

Location: Baker Brook Point Road, Tomhegan Twp.

RECEIVED
FEB 25 2016
LUPC - GREENVILLE

History: Dennis and Cindy Frigon (landowners) own a 100+ acre parcel. The land was acquired piece by piece from 1997 to 2007. Most of the 100 acres is in Tree Growth status with the Bureau of Taxation. The area of proposed timber stand improvement is mainly around the house, garage, driveway and parking areas. This area is forested with a very old stand of mainly spruce trees. The trees in the stand are mainly 40 to 60+ feet tall and are growing thick enough to provide a dense canopy. The residential area of the property was acquired first, in 1997. In 1997 and 1998 the sitework was done to build the driveway and house. At that time the landowners cut only the trees necessary to build the driveway and install the foundation and septic system. Cutting was held to a minimum hoping this would help prevent wind damage caused by opening such an old dense stand too quickly. This didn't work.

In the time the landowners have been managing this property there have been between 1 and 6 trees a year blown down or snapped off by wind storms. The location of the site makes it very susceptible to high winds from the south/ southeast and the north/ northwest. One wind storm uprooted, broke and/or blew over 22 trees. One of them hit the building. This prompted the landowner to remove several of the bigger trees from near the buildings. At this point the landowners actively started to manage the stand hoping to promote quicker regeneration while staying within LURC standards. The number 1 goal was to have a healthy stand of trees growing as quickly as possible to replace the existing stand of old trees. Unfortunately, the dense canopy is making it difficult to get smaller trees to grow well in much of the area. The landowners have met with a State Forester, and many other licensed foresters they know for their professional advice on the best way to properly manage the old stand of trees while also creating conditions for a healthy crop of regeneration.

As a result, the landowners have successfully established patches of healthy young spruce, white pine and maple that are now 6 to 20 feet tall while some places still do not have regeneration coming at all due to the dense spruce canopy.

A few events and observations have made it clear it is now time to remove a large number of the big trees.

1-- A high number of the younger trees coming are ready (tall enough) to have the older trees taken away from them before the canopy of big trees interferes with the growth of the regeneration.

RECEIVED

FEB 25 2016

LUPC - GREENVILLE

2-- The landowners have acquired a building permit to add onto their house. This will make it necessary to remove some of the big old trees before there is a building in their strike zone. Any new opening in the stand invites future wind damage.

3—A recent wind storm snapped off 2 of the bigger spruce trees. These were both trees that appeared to be the healthier trees in that general area. THEY WERE BOTH ROTTEN!!!! After looking them over, neither tree had put out any healthy new growth for a long time.

General observation over time has shown there are several trees showing signs of weakened health. Poor growth over time, needle loss on several limbs, rotten roots and, of all the trees removed in the past, a high percentage of them had some degree of rot.

The landowner has tried very hard for almost 20 years to improve and keep this stand of old trees. Unfortunately, the stand is past the age to respond well to management. The recent events have caused them to see it makes more sense to remove a lot of them.

The overall health of the stand has been going in the wrong direction for a long time. This will not reverse given the age and condition of the stand. The harsh conditions of the site also make it difficult for such an old stand of trees to age safely. Each wind storm produces more downed and snapped off trees. The trees may stand for several more years while weakening, but the chances for one of them to snap off in a wind storm and hit the building or vehicles get greater each year.

The attached site maps roughly show where and why trees should be removed. They also indicate which areas have regeneration in place and which areas will be replanted. Just a note on replanting: The landowner has transplanted a lot of trees on site over the years. White pine and maple have a better track record for survival in this spot. They both withstand wind and heavy snow much better than young spruce. Spruce will do just fine but needs to be carefully placed.

The landowner would harvest the trees on frozen ground. ANY healthy large trees (trees with good healthy new growth in the past), in a good location for survival, and not in the strike zone of the buildings and parking areas will be left. The trees will be cut with a feller buncher and carried to the driveway to be "yarded" to our big parking lot for limbing etc.. Not dropping the trees in place will help protect the regeneration. The feller buncher will not enter forested areas making sure the root systems of trees not cut are not affected. The soil will not be disturbed thus causing no adverse impact on the lake water or remaining trees. Stumps will be left in place to rot unless they can be removed without affecting any live tree roots.

All trees that need to be replaced will be planted / transplanted in the spring. White pine, maple and spruce will be used to replace any trees cut that do not already have regeneration coming. The cutting and revegetation plans, broken down by areas, are described on each of the attached maps. As indicated on the maps, once away from the strike zone of the building and parking areas, trees will be

removed for 2 reasons only, to open the canopy creating light for regeneration to grow and taking the trees that are visibly in poor health. In these areas we will have to keep removing trees over the next several years, a few at a time, to keep the regeneration going in the right direction. The cutting areas in the strike zone of the building and parking areas are mostly already covered with regeneration ready to go. In these areas, a well spaced stand of regeneration and replanted trees will be maintained.

This step is very difficult for us. Looking forward, our new goal is to end up with a safe, natural looking stand of trees around our buildings. We love our big old spruce trees but their time has come. We tried. High winds have caused us a lot of worries over the years. It will be a relief to have that over. Thank you to the whole LUPC staff involved for your time and effective understanding of this problem.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Dennis & Cindy Frigon".

Dennis and Cindy Frigon

RECEIVED
FEB 25 2016
LUPC - GREENVILLE

I am very familiar with the Frigons land and the stand of trees on it. I have reviewed this proposed management plan and revegetation plan and agree with its necessity and direction. I will be available to them for guidance through the whole process.

Sincerely,

removed for 2 reasons only, to open the canopy creating light for regeneration to grow and taking the trees that are visibly in poor health. In these areas we will have to keep removing trees over the next several years, a few at a time, to keep the regeneration going in the right direction. The cutting areas in the strike zone of the building and parking areas are mostly already covered with regeneration ready to go. In these areas, a well spaced stand of regeneration and replanted trees will be maintained.

This step is very difficult for us. Looking forward, our new goal is to end up with a safe, natural looking stand of trees around our buildings. We love our big old spruce trees but their time has come. We tried. High winds have caused us a lot of worries over the years. It will be a relief to have that over. Thank you to the whole LUPC staff involved for your time and effective understanding of this problem.

Sincerely,

Dennis and Cindy Frigon

RECEIVED
FEB 25 2016
LUPC - GREENVILLE

I am very familiar with the Frigons land and the stand of trees on it. I have reviewed this proposed management plan and revegetation plan and agree with its necessity and direction. I will be available to them for guidance through the whole process.

Sincerely,


Jerome D. Frigon LF.3380

BP-9901

Map # 1

Please see attached Harvest/Revegetation Plans At time

Not to Scale

 = Trees being removed due to ADDITION

 Big Trees removed w/ Regeneration coming

Single Trees Being removed that will be replanted = X

Take only Real Bad Trees we can reach

- DRIVEWAY -

Garage

House

X X X X X X X X X X

Regen / new Trees coming Already

Exhibit @: Site Plan map 1 & 2

This map BROKEN down by Harvest areas.

Take only Real Bad Trees we can reach

Real Bad Trees are trees with woodpecker holes, no top, leaning hard, only 5' of limbs on a 40-50' tree etc.....

Trees that are not going to change/improve


RECEIVED
FEB 25 2016
LUPC - GREENVILLE

BP - 0101
Exhibit C: Sketch Map
page 2 of 2

Baker
Brauk

Taking photos trees in flux
Areas of tall trees with
any limbs on top 3-5' near
power lines - They bend also
power lines - wind towards
power lines

Taking big trees around edge of gravel area,
They are all blowing down - Also a sign coming already



Locations of land owned by
Dennis & Cindy Feagin
Timberman Trap.

2/11/16

Map 1-A BP 9901

This area contains 14 TALL spruce trees and a healthy crop of natural, well spaced spruce and white pine regeneration. All of the tall trees in this area are in the strike zone of the building.

Harvest Plan: Remove the tallest trees close enough to hit the building.

Revegetation Plan: Transplant/replant the area the 14 tallest trees were in with a combination of White Pine, Maple and Spruce. Transplants will come from on site. The area will be planted with more than 14 trees to allow for possible failure of any of the young trees. The planting will be done to look like a natural stand and will be maintained for spacing that promotes good growth.

RECEIVED
FEB 25 2015
LUPC - GREENVILLE


BP-9901

Map # 1-A

Please see attached Harvest/Revegetation Plans.

Not to Scale

 = Trees being removed due to ADDITION

 Big Trees Removed w/Regeneration coming

single Trees being removed that will be replanted = X

Exhibit C: Site Plan map 1&2


This map BROKEN down by Harvest areas.

Take only Real Bad Trees we can reach

Real Bad Trees are trees with woodpecker holes, no top, leaning hard, only 5' of limbs on a 40-50' tree etc...

Trees that are not going to change/improve

RECEIVED
FEB 23 2016
LUPC - GREENVILLE



Map 1-B BP9901

This area contains several tall old trees which have a beautiful natural crop of white pine regeneration, dotted with young spruce, in place under them. Larger trees in this area are in the strike zone of the buildings if they snap off.

Harvest Plan: Remove the big old trees that have poor growth, no top, needle loss etc...especially in the strike zone of the buildings. Also remove any medium size trees that are in bad shape, never to improve.

Revegetation Plan: There is a lot of good tall regeneration coming in this area. The regeneration will be maintained for good growth and good spacing. It will be a goal in this area, and any areas cut beyond standards, to achieve LUPC s required points standard as soon as possible. Please Note: In every area, staying in compliance with LUPCs point standard will be a priority if possible.

RECEIVED
FEB 25 2016
LUPC - GREENVILLE

BP-9901

~~Map 1-B~~

Please see attached Harvest/Revegetation Plans.

not to scale

 = Trees being removed due to ADDITION

 Big Trees Removed w/ Regeneration coming

single Trees being removed that will be replanted = X

Take only Real Bad Trees we can reach

- DRIVEWAY -

Exhibit A: Site Plan map 1&2


This map BROKEN down by Harvest areas.

Take only Real Bad Trees we can reach

Real Bad Trees are trees with woodpecker holes, no top, leaning hard, only 5' of limbs on a 40-50' tree etc...

RECEIVED
FEB 25 2013
LUPC - GREENVILLE

Trees that are not going to change/improve



Map 1-C BP 9901

This area is the same as area 1-B. It contains several tall old spruce that have a nice crop of young spruce, dotted with pine, under them. A few trees in this area are in the strike zone of the future permitted building.

Harvest Plan: Remove the big spruce in the strike zone of the future permitted building and any big trees that have poor growth, no top, needle loss, rotten roots etc... Every effort will be made to stay within the point standard with healthy large and medium size trees that are not in the strike zone of the building.

Revegetation Plan: This whole area has a beautiful crop of regeneration in place that will be maintained for nice growth. Some of the trees transplanted in area 1-A will come from here and area 1-B.

RECEIVED
FEB 25 2016
LUPC - GREENVILLE

BP-9901

Map # 1-C

Please see attached Harvest/Revegetation Plans.

not to scale

 = Trees being removed due to ADDITION

 Big Trees Removed w/ Regeneration coming

single trees being removed that will be replanted = X

Exhibit C: Site Plan map 1&2


This map broken down by Harvest areas.

Take only Real Bad Trees we can reach

Real Bad Trees are trees with woodpecker holes, no top, leaning hard, only 5' of limbs on a 40-50' tree etc...

Trees that are not going to change/improve

RECEIVED
FEB 23 2013
LUPC - GREENVILLE



Map 1-D BP 9901

This area has very little regeneration coming due to the dense canopy. Due to the house addition, trees along the edge of this area will be removed as permitted earlier. This may let enough light into this area to eliminate the need to remove anything here for a few years.

Harvest Plan: If more light is needed in this area for regeneration, the medium and smaller trees will be taken leaving the bigger healthier trees. This was the advice of the State Forester when he visited 3 years ago. We will take any trees here that threaten the building or parked vehicles.

Revegetation Plan: It is unlikely the LUPC point standard will be exceeded in this area. After the building is complete a few maple trees may get planted here depending on where our services end up.

RECEIVED
FEB 25 2013
LUPC - GREENVILLE

BP-9901

~~Map # 1-D~~

Please see attached Harvest/Revegetation Plans.

Not for Sale

 = Trees being removed due to ADDITION

 Big Trees Removal w/ Regeneration coming

single trees being removed that will be replanted = X

Take only Real Bad Trees we can reach

- DRIVEWAY -

Exhibit @ 1, Site Plan map 1&2




This map BROKEN down by Harvest areas.

Take only Real Bad Trees we can reach

Real Bad Trees are trees with woodpecker holes, no top, leaning hard, only 5' of limbs on a 40-50' tree etc.....

Trees that are not going to change/improve

RECEIVED
FEB 25 2013
LUPC - GREENVILLE



Regen/new trees coming Already

Map 1-E BP 9901

The pink shaded area on the driveway. This area again is all tall spruce trees. We will take all of the trees on both sides of the driveway for a distance of 6 to 8 feet off the driveway. This will be done for 2 reasons:

- 1- This is also where the canopy is too dense to allow regeneration to come in a healthy fashion. Opening up the edge of the stand along each side of the driveway will let more light in to the stand behind.
- 2- Each winter the frost in the driveway moves the roots of all the edge trees enough to create a substantial lean on all the edge trees. Because their root systems are always getting moved around their future is uncertain as they get more top heavy. Cutting them is the sensible way to end that potential problem and create more light in the stand behind.

The green shaded areas on the very outside of the property are covered with the same old spruce. Regeneration gets better toward the lake side as some light gets in from that side. In these areas we will take only the trees that are in bad shape as needed to let light in. Also, these are the areas where removal of a trees, at a low rate, over many years will be necessary to properly manage the regeneration. The old trees are no threat to anything here and are better in place until the regeneration gets some size to it. Any trees removed here will be done because regeneration is in the area. Most likely LUPCs point standard will not be exceeded in these areas, however; transplanting will be done over time to maintain proper spacing of regeneration.

We hope we live long enough to see the end result!!!!

RECEIVED
FEB 25 2013
LUPC - GREENVILLE

BR-9901

Map 1-E

Please see attached Harvest/Revegetation Plans.

not to scale


 = Trees being removed due to ADDITION

 Big Trees Removal w/Regeneration coming

single trees being removed that will be replanted = X

Exhibit C: Site Plan map 1&2

This map broken down by Harvest areas.



Take only Rest Bad Trees we can reach

Take only Rest Bad Trees we can reach

Rest Bad Trees are trees with woodpecker holes, no top, leaning

hard, only 5' of limbs on a 40-50' tree etc...

Trees that are not going to change/improve

Office

House

Regen/new Trees Coming Already

RECEIVED
FEB 23 2015
LUPC-GREENVILLE