

From: [Peter Fisher](#)
To: [Beyer, Stacie R](#)
Subject: Re; Carroll Plantation Substantive review
Date: Saturday, October 08, 2016 11:37:33 AM
Attachments: [Expedited.docx](#)

Stacie, please find our attached submission. Thanks

Peter Fisher and Mary Jane Fisher
67 Deer Run
Lakeville, ME
04487
juniorlake@gmail.com
10/8/2016

Stacie R. Beyer
Maine Land Use Planning Commission
106 Hogan Road, Suite 8
Bangor, ME 04401

Dear Ms. Beyer,

We are writing in support of the petition to remove Carroll Plantation from the designation of “expedited” through LUPC’s substantive review process.

The previously proposed wind developments within the plantation of Carroll were both defeated primarily based on the determination that the proposed activity would significantly compromise views from eight lakes designated as Scenic Resources of State or National Significance (SRSNS), resulting in an unreasonable adverse impact on the scenic character and existing uses of those resources.

We are longtime residents of Lakeville, but feel that we have an equal or perhaps greater interest in this action based on the concept that the “scenic character and existing uses of those resources” are more evident to our physical location than most Carroll residents. Carroll Plantation’s hills create a large portion of the horizon surrounding the Downeast Lakes region.

We are members of the Partnership for the Preservation of the Downeast Lakes Watershed, PPDWLW. We have read all the pre-hearing submissions and stand by Mr. Campbell’s written testimony regarding what appears to be an obvious omission of Carroll Plantation when the initial classification of expedited regions was created and resulted in every bit of land encircling the Downeast Lakes, except Carroll, being excluded from being declared expedited.

As well, we support the opinion put forth in his document regarding CRITERION A, *The proposed removal will not have an unreasonable adverse effect on the State’s ability to meet the State goals for Wind Energy Development in Section 3404, Subsection 2, Paragraph C* and CRITERION B, *The proposed removal is consistent with the principal values and the goals in the comprehensive land use plan adopted by the Maine Land Use Planning Commission pursuant to Title 12, section 685-C.*

We feel that the State has not balanced the value between renewable energy goals and the natural assets that define many portions of our state and that might greatly contribute to the economy in terms of tourism and attracting new businesses and residents to our state.

Whereas we find it admirable to expand our energy resources, we find it confusing how Maine has set goals, as has every other New England state for a percentage of energy to be generated from renewable sources by specific dates. With varying energy sources fluctuating in costs and financial investors altering their priorities, the goals for Maine's renewable generation seem questionable by the dates defined. We also find it confusing and annoying that Maine is sacrificing some of its greatest natural assets to allow the other New England states to defer from creating their own sources of renewables in favor of purchasing contracts from Maine's generation from renewables. Was it an intention to reach our renewables goal if a large portion of the power is sold to Rhode Island or Connecticut? Will this reduce Maine's dependency on coal and oil generation and reduce our electric fees?

Maine Revised Statutes

Title 35-A: PUBLIC UTILITIES HEADING: PL 1987, c. 141, Pt. A, §6 (new)

Chapter 34: THE MAINE WIND ENERGY ACT HEADING: PL 2003, c. 665, §3 (new)

C. Renewable energy resources within the State and in the Gulf of Maine have the potential, over time, to provide enough energy **for the State's homeowners and businesses** to reduce their use of oil and liquid petroleum-fueled heating systems by transition to alternative, renewable energy-based heating systems and to reduce their use of petroleum-fueled motor vehicles by transition to electric-powered motor vehicles. Electrification of heating and transportation has potential to increase the State's energy independence, to help stabilize total residential and commercial energy bills and to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. [2009 , c. 615 , Pt. A , §2 (NEW) .]

<http://www.mainebiz.biz/article/20131010/NEWS0101/131019998> October 10, 2013

National Grid has plans to ink a 15-year contract with Boston-based wind farm developer First Wind for power it would generate at a proposed 48-megawatt wind farm on Bowers Mountain.

The potential **Rhode Island** agreement follows the [pursuit of similar deals](#) with Maine wind farms by groups in Connecticut and Massachusetts for projects that are under development or regulatory review. All of the plans — in **Connecticut, Massachusetts** and Rhode Island — require further approval from regulators in those states.

<http://bangordailynews.com/2016/02/01/business/cmp-emera-proposal-would-double-maine-wind-power/> By [Darren Fishell](#), BDN Staff

Wind power sales to southern NE could triple Maine's capacity

Maine has New England's biggest pipeline of wind projects in the works, and developers of nine projects have asked for long-term contracts with utilities in **Massachusetts, Connecticut and Rhode Island**.

<http://www.ncsl.org/research/energy/renewable-portfolio-standards.aspx>

State Renewable Portfolio Standards and Goals

Jocelyn Durkay 7/27/2016

Table: Renewable Portfolio Standards or Voluntary Targets

Connecticut	Renewables Portfolio Standard	1998	27% by 2020
Massachusetts	Renewable Portfolio Standard	1997	Class I: 15% by 2020 and an additional 1% each year after Class II: 5.5% by 2015
Rhode Island	Renewable Energy Standard	2004	14.5% by 2019, with increases of 1.5% each year until 38.5% by 2035
Vermont	Renewable Energy Standard	2005 (voluntary target); 2015 (standard)	55% by 2017 75% by 2032
New Hampshire	Electric Renewable Portfolio Standard	2007	24.8% by 2025
Maine	Renewables Portfolio Standard	1999	40% by 2017

Thank you for your time and dedication to the many tasks in front of you.

Respectfully, Peter Fisher

Mary Jane Fisher

From: kaycam@aol.com
To: [Beyer, Stacie R](#)
Subject: Attached Public Comment on Carroll Removal from Exp Wind Per Territory
Date: Sunday, October 09, 2016 8:51:20 PM
Attachments: [Carroll Lupc Comment K Campbell.pdf](#)

Dear Stacie,

Attached please find my public comment regarding removal of Carroll from the Expedited Wind Permitting Territory.

Sincerely,

Kay Campbell
Jefferson

Ms. Stacie R. Beyer
Maine Land Use Planning Commission
106 Hogan Road, Suite 8
Bangor, ME 04401

October 9, 2016

Re: Substantive Review of the Petition to Remove Carroll Plantation from the Expedited Permitting Area for Wind Energy Development

Dear Ms. Beyer,

I support the removal of Carroll Plantation from the Expedited Permitting Area for Wind Energy Development. I own a seasonal property in Lakeville, and because I recreate on the Downeast Lakes, I value the remote nature of the lakes and forested hills that surround them.

The State of Maine has consistently recognized the importance of the conservation values of the Downeast Lakes Region, a rare chain of scenic lakes lying just to the south of Carroll Plantation. The many conserved parcels and conservation easements around the lakes, as well as the fact that the many "SRSNS" lakes and the land around them are protected from wind project development, support removing Carroll from the territory. LUPC's Comprehensive Land Use Plan describes the importance of the area clearly.

The only possible place to site wind projects in Carroll is on Bowers Mountain and some of the smaller hills nearby. These sites have been rejected four times by government agencies for wind projects due to the unreasonable adverse scenic impact projects there would have on the SRSNS lakes within eight miles.

Removing Carroll from the Expedited Wind Permitting Territory would be consistent with the State of Maine's rulings on the site for previous projects, would be consistent with the values of CLUP, would protect the treasured Downeast Lakes, and would not cause any adverse impact on the State of Maine reaching its wind energy goals.

I therefore urge LUPC to remove Carroll Plantation from the Expedited Permitting Area for Wind Energy Development.

Sincerely,



Kay H. Campbell
15 Mariner Lane
Jefferson, ME 04348

From: [Timothy Peters](#)
To: [Beyer, Stacie R](#)
Subject: Petition to Remove Carroll Plantation from the Expedited Wind Power Project Approval Area
Date: Sunday, October 09, 2016 10:11:26 AM
Attachments: [Letter to the Maine LUPC \(Timothy R. Peters - Carroll Plantation Resident\).docx](#)

Ms. Beyer,

Please refer to my attached letter to the Maine LUPC regarding my support for the "Petition to Remove Carroll Plantation from the Expedited Wind Power Project Approval Area" List.

Your assistance in this matter is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Timothy R. Peters
Carroll Plantation Resident

Ms. Stacie R. Beyer
Maine Land Use Planning Commission
Senior Planner, Bangor

Timothy R. Peters
483 Brown RD
Carroll Plantation, ME 04487-5515

10/10/2016

Members of the Maine Land Use Planning Commission,

I am a resident of Carroll Plantation and am "For" the Petition to Remove Carroll Plantation from the "Expedited Area For Wind Power Project Approval" list.

I attended the recent LUPC Meeting in Lincoln on September 28th and listened intently to all of the information that was presented.

Ultimately, I feel that the opponents' testimonies against Wallace Lindal's Petition for "Removal of Carroll Plantation from the Expedited Area for Wind Power Project Approval" should have no bearing on this case for the following reasons:

1. None of the opponents addressed the (2) Criteria that the LUPC uses to make a decision in cases like this which are:
 - a. "That the area to be removed will not have an unreasonable adverse effect on the state's ability to meet the state's goals for wind energy development", and
 - b. "The area removed must be consistent with the principle values and goals in the comprehensive land use plan adopted by the Maine Land Use Planning Commission."

In conclusion, I, along with numerous other Carroll Plantation Residents, fully support Wallace Lindahl's Petition to have Carroll Plantation removed from the "Expedited Wind Power Project Approval Area" list.

Sincerely,

Timothy R. Peters
Carroll Plantation Resident

From: [Dan](#)
To: [Beyer, Stacie R](#)
Subject: Removal of Carroll Pltn from EPA
Date: Monday, October 10, 2016 4:27:51 PM
Attachments: [CarrollPltn.doc](#)

Dear Stacie,

Attached plz find my comments for the Caroll Pltn removal record.

Best wishes,

Dan Remian
Cushing, ME
207-354-0714
N7CD@zwi.net

Daniel P. Remian

640 Pleasant Point Road ♦ Cushing, ME 04563
207-354-0714 ♦ E-mail: n7cd@gwi.net

Stacie Beyer, Chief Planner
Land Use Planning Commission

October 10, 2016

Dear Stacie:

Please accept this correspondence to support the removal of Carroll Plantation from the Wind Act Expedited Area.

Criterion 3A of 3453-A, THE PROPOSED REMOVAL WILL NOT HAVE AN UNREASONABLE ADVERSE EFFECT ON THE STATE'S ABILITY TO MEET THE STATE GOALS FOR WIND ENERGY DEVELOPMENT IN SECTION 3404, SUBSECTION 2, PARAGRAPH C

The New England Clean Energy Request for Proposals represent projects that are projected to be online no later than 2020. The proposals are for approximately 2,100 MW of new wind energy projects in Maine to supply Southern New England clean energy requirements. With over 900 MW now operating or under construction combined with proposed 2100 MW, Maine will exceed the 2030 goal of 3,000 MW. Removing Carroll Plantation will not effect this goal.

Criterion 3B – REMOVAL FROM THE EXPEDITED PERMITTING AREA IS CONSISTENT WITH THE PRINCIPAL VALUES AND GOALS IN COMPREHENSIVE LAND USE PLAN;

Some of the broad goals in the Comprehensive Land Use Plan, are to enhance the living and working conditions of the people of Maine, to ensure the separation of incompatible uses and to ensure the continued availability of outstanding quality of water, air, forest wildlife and other natural resources.

Conservation, protection and enhancement of the natural resources of the area and the maintenance of the natural character in the jurisdiction that have significant natural values.

The March 2012 report of the Governor's Office of Energy Independence and Security (OEIS) that was ordered by the 125th Legislature and funded by Maine taxpayers, concluded that there was sufficient cause and impact to reconsider the Wind Energy Act. It revealed that significant disagreement exists with regard to wind energy's effects on humans and the environment and the net economic effects of large-scale expansion of onshore wind energy projects within the State.

Removal of Carroll Plantation from the Wind Act Expedited Area.

Two of the twenty-five recommendations in the OEIS report stated the following:

Revise the existing permitting process to allow for areas to be removed from the EPA.

The existing wind law provides for capability of adding areas to the expedited process but does not include a provision for areas to be removed from the designated expedited permitted areas. It is recommended that the provisions of the wind law be modified to allow areas to be removed from the EPA. Included in this work would be an assessment of the criteria used by the 2008 Wind Energy Task Force that resulted in the designation of the EPAs.

Amend the wind law to identify “those regions and view sheds that are most critical to the state’s recreational and tourism economy and would be unacceptably degraded by any significant level of wind power development” and “remove any area within fifteen miles of them from the Expedited Permitting Area (EPA)” unless the wind project is not visible from them.

This area was not in the original expedited area but was added under what I feel were false economic reasoning for the benefit of the now bankrupt developer. Industrial wind factories are inappropriate and an incompatible use for areas with these natural resources.

The removal of Carroll Plantation satisfies the two criteria and I respectfully ask the Commission to remove Carroll Plantation from the expedited wind permitting area.

Sincerely,

Daniel P. Remian

From: [Dee B](#)
To: [Beyer, Stacie R](#)
Subject: Carroll Plantation Wind Expedited area
Date: Monday, October 10, 2016 3:46:53 PM
Attachments: [img003.pdf](#)
[img006.pdf](#)

Stacie,

Wayne and I would like to submit support letter for Carrol Plantation.

Thank you,

October 10, 2016

I am in support of keeping Carroll Township in the expedited wind area. I am from Milton Township so I understand the importance of supporting such an opportunity for our State and our townships.



Deana Buck

48 Buck Road

Milton Township, ME 04219

October 10, 2016

I am in support of keeping Carroll Township in the expedited wind area. I am from Milton Township so I understand the importance of supporting such an opportunity for our State and our townships.



Wayne F Buck

48 Buck Road

Milton Township, ME 04219

From: [Leonard Murphy](#)
To: [Beyer, Stacie R](#)
Subject: Re: Wind project debate, Lenny Murphy, comments!
Date: Monday, October 10, 2016 10:29:30 AM
Attachments: [May the truth be told about wind power.pdf](#)

Commercial generators!

From: Leonard Murphy <murphyleonard88@yahoo.com>
To: Arthur Spiess <arthur.spiess@maine.gov>; Dr. Steinberg <gernish@yahoo.com>; Jeffery Gifford <jeffery.gifford@gmail.com>; "editor@lincnews.com" <editor@lincnews.com>; David Corrigan <maineguide@live.com>; Karen Pease <roomtomove@tds.net>; Monique Aniel <sherwats2@wildblue.net>; Supreme Court Press <editor@supremecourtpress.com>; Nissenbaum <mnissenbaum@worldnet.att.net>
Sent: Monday, October 10, 2016 10:12 AM
Subject: Wind project debate, Lenny Murphy, comments!

Good day Stacie, wish I was at the meeting in Lincoln, hope you can call me today to talk over a few details about the negative impact of the commercial generators in a rural residential area, Lenny Murphy 746-9212, long time sense we talked! Here is a paper of concern that I passed into The Maine Historic Preservation Comm. a few years ago! The informational paper states clearly why more proper evaluation of the mountains should be conducted! Please add this paper into the evidence against the unconstitutional commercial generators which have taken away our States constitutional rights of safety and freedom of chose! About 20 families signed gag orders in Mars Hill because of the harm being done to them,noise related mostly, their safety has been destroyed and lied about, now they can not tell The State how their safety has been distorted and paid off! How can Our State protect the people if the harmful facts about the harmful commercial wind generators are causing are hidden?! Truly yours, Leonard J. Murphy. Jr. P.S. Here is the paper that was given to The People of Mars Hill, talk about lies!

From: [Leonard Murphy](#)
To: [Beyer, Stacie R](#)
Cc: [Jeffery Gifford](#); editor@lincnews.com; [Joe West](#); [David Corrigan](#); [Monique Aniel](#); [Karen Pease](#); [Beth](#); [Nate Schroeder](#); [The White House](#); [Hillary Lister](#); [Bill Breckinridge](#); [Taylor Landthrip](#); [Nissenbaum](#); [Harry & Marilyn Roper](#); [Steve Thurston](#); [Whitney Souers](#); [Rainer Egle](#); [Wendy Boyd Todd](#); [DOE, Commish](#); [Jr. John Droz](#); [Mike Bond](#); [Donna Davidge](#); [Lynn Williams](#); [Gwen S.](#); [Sierra Club](#)
Subject: Evidence against commercial wind powered generators!
Date: Monday, October 10, 2016 11:34:01 AM
Attachments: [May the truth be told about wind power.rtf](#)
[New thoughts about the past!.rtf](#)

Good day Stacie, it has been a long time sense we talked! Please allow these documents to be entered against the commercial wind generators in Carroll or anyplace in Maine! I hope my last email made it to you? The document I sent to The Maine Historic Preservation Comm. tells how Indians a long time ago were using the hill tops for several reasons! Today we take to tops off with no proper evaluation for old Indian sites! Also documents showing the lies that were told to the people of Mars Hill that there would be no noise from the generators, about 20 gag order were signed because of the harmful noise from the generators and no one can tell The State how much they are being harmed! This is in direct violation of our State and Federal rights of safety allowed in our constitution, both state and federal! Truly yours, Leonard J. Murphy. Jr. 90 Energy Lane, Woodville. Maine 04457 207-746-9212

Mars Hill, Maine Wind Power Farm

The Most Commonly Asked Questions are:

"Why Mars Hill?"

Mars Hill is an ideal place because: 1) There is adequate wind. 2) The landowners are cooperative and like the income. 3) The local town and county leadership support the idea and see the many benefits to Mars Hill, Aroostook County and the state of Maine.

"Will my electric bill go down?"

Maybe yes and maybe no! Electricity cost from wind power is very competitive and sometimes lower than most other sources of fuel based power. Electricity costs to the consumer is not determined by the producer of power, but by the seller based on energy costs, supply, transmission cost, demand and state regulations (PUC). The more wind power that can be generated in Aroostook County and Maine the more you can count on the possibility of more stable or even lower electric bills in the future.

"How much electricity will be produced by the Mars Hill wind farm? Where will the electricity go?"

Amount Electricity Generated will be up to 50 Megawatts at full capacity. That is enough electricity to power approximately 50,000 average Maine homes and at 40% capacity all the electricity needs of 24,000-25,000 homes! All of the electricity from the Mars Hill "wind farm", will be used in the region, most likely by Aroostook County homes and businesses. Power will be delivered to Maine Public Service (MPS) and the "grid". Other interconnection options are also being considered.

"How many wind turbines will be in farm? What kind of turbines will be used? Where are they made?"

The maximum number of wind turbines will be 33 General Electric (GE) 1.5 megawatt (1,500 KW or 1,500,000 watts). There will likely be a few less. GE makes the best and most reliable turbine of this type and provides business/jobs to American workers! Some day the turbines may actually be built in Maine, if there are enough wind farms like Mars Hill in Maine and New England.

"How big will each wind turbine be?"

Height is approximately 250 ft to "hub" and 370 ft. to top of a rotating blade. That is more than 100 to 200 feet lower than the existing cell towers that are 475 to 575 feet tall. The base of tubular tower is only 14 feet in diameter. There are NO fences or miles of guide wires like communications towers have. The turbines and access road will only have a "foot print" of about 20-25 acres!

"How far apart are the turbines?"

These new technology wind turbines will be an average of about 600-700 feet apart...some further. Mars Hill is about 4 miles long. This will result in a spacing of about only 7-8 turbines per mile! (Forget about the stuff you've seen on TV over the years!)

"Will there be any noise? Will I hear them from my house at the bottom of the mountain?"

There is virtually NO mechanical noise from these turbines. If you are near a turbine, you may hear the sound of the wind and the "swooshing" sound made by the slowly turning blade parting the air. If the wind is blowing, the background noise of wind in the trees is all you will likely hear! You will not be able to hear any noise at all at the bottom of the mountain. 

"How fast do the blades turn? Do they kill birds?"

These turbines turn very slowly rotating only 10-20 rpm! That means it takes 6 seconds to the fastest of 3 seconds to complete a rotation! Numerous studies have been done around the world and have found no significant adverse affects of monopole towers and slow rotation blades on birds or other wildlife in this type of environment. Your car or the picture window on your house will likely kill more birds than a wind turbine will! Lattice type of tower

"Will I see big power lines on Mars Hill?"

No. All power lines between turbines will be underground, buried in the shoulder of the access road. Off the mountain, if possible, existing utility right of ways and poles may be used. If new poles are needed, they will be single wooden poles with 3 wires, similar to what you now see along many roads in Maine!

"Where will the access road be? What kind of road? What about public access?"

The primary access road will follow the private owned "Tower Road". It will be modified to accommodate construction equipment. The towers will be connected by a gravel road that that resembles a logging haul road. It will meet or exceed state standards and be approved by the Maine DEP application process. Access to various areas will be determined and controlled by the individual landowners.

"Will I feel the wind of the turbines?"

Wind turbines use ("harvest") the wind...they do not make or increase any wind. Actually, the wind speed will be less on the down wind side of each turbine. That is why they are spaced so far apart. They are like a "snow fence" in winter. There may actually be more snow deposited on the top and upper sides of part of the mountain as a result of this effect!

When will the Mars Hill wind farm be built?

The detailed planning and permit application is being prepared. If on schedule, the construction will be June-October 2004.

Who will own the Mars Hill wind farm, land, roads etc?

Evergreen Wind Power LLC of Bangor will own the towers, turbines and other equipment. The land is only leased from the private landowners for 20 years following construction. The road will be modified, built and maintained by Evergreen Wind Power via staff and contractors. Landowners will have access and use their land for farming, forestry or recreation, as usual.

How much will the project cost? Who pays? Will the town have to pay anything?

The estimated total cost/investment will be approximately \$55,000,000. It is paid for with private investments by UPC wind partners, the parent company of Evergreen Wind Power. The Town of Mars Hill will be a major beneficiary of the project and will pay nothing for its development but receive major economic and social benefits.

"What are the economic benefits of the Wind farm to Mars Hill and Aroostook County?"

There are direct Economic Benefits to:

Mars Hill Landowners (Leases and Royalties):

33 turbines will result in a minimum of approximately \$160,000 per year for 20 years (\$2,000,000)

Local Property Tax Payments paid by Evergreen Wind Power (Very preliminary and approximate)
\$150,000 a year for 20 years (\$3,000,000)

Post Construction Project employment: 3-5 Permanent full time positions and 4-6 part time positions.
Salaries of Full time positions will likely range from \$35,000 to \$50,000/yr., with a total payroll of approximately \$200,000 to \$300,000 per year.

Construction Jobs: The construction work will be by local businesses if possible and certainly from people and companies from within Maine. The Mars Hill area will be a very busy place during construction.

In addition, there will likely be a significant increase in tourism, science and educational opportunities!
One town tourist bureau, at a similar wind farm, reported an increase in visitors to their town of over 60,000 per year! The Mars Hill area could be a very busy place after construction too!

"Will my taxes go down as a result of the Mars Hill wind farm?"

An answer to that question is not possible at this time. Affects on your property taxes depend on a number of things decided by the Mars Hill Town Council, the people of Mars Hill and the state of Maine.

What are the major environmental benefits of the Mars Hill wind farm?

According to Governor John Baldacci's office of Renewable and Energy Independence, the annual Contribution to reduction of air pollution offsets: (Based on United States average Power Generation)

- 120,000 tons Carbon Dioxide (Contributes to "global warming" or "green house" Effect)
This is the equivalent of removing exhaust emissions from >17,000 auto mobiles
- >420 tons Sulfur dioxide (A cause of "Acid Rain", etc)
- >288 tons Nitric Oxide (A cause of "Acid Rain", etc)

Preliminary Studies:

Maine based advisors and consultants in cooperation with Evergreen and UPC personnel, have completed 2 years of site evaluations and studies. Preliminary environmental reviews have not identified any significant negative impacts from the proposed project. Photographs are being prepared that will show a computer simulation of the completed wind farm on Mars Hill. When completed, they will be on display in the town office and included with the DEP application.

Project Developers: Evergreen Wind Power L.L.C. is a subsidiary of UPC Wind Partners (www.UPCgroup.org), in cooperation with Town of Mars Hill, Maine

Town of Mars Hill Contact: Raymond Mersereau, Town Manager Town Office, Mars Hill ME, Phone (207) 425-3731

Technical Contact:

Evergreen Wind Power L.L.C., Mailing address: 11 Bangor Mall Blvd., D#130, Bangor, ME 04401
Warehouse: 1594C Hammond St. Cushman Plaza, Bangor, ME 04401

Phone: (800) 984-WIND (9463) FAX: (207) 947-7733 web site: www.EvergreenWindPower.com

To: Paul LePage the Governor of Maine & Janet T. Mills the Attorney General

This statement describes the many known and unknown problems with commercial sized wind generators and the law that was pushed forward without reading or without informing the people of Maine, that are being harmed, now, in several ways which I will expand upon in this statements of facts!

Also, it is very important at this time to state a few facts about how our State and The U. S. Constitution has been overlooked in several ways to reduce our right to vote and our freedom of speech has been hi-jacked for the benefit of the large companies, mostly the wind power law, over the people of Maine and The country! This is not legal under our constitution and I will explain so that there is no question as to the facts in this case! I am not looking for your opinions I am simply telling you what has been done that was wrong and how to change things for the betterment of all the people from Maine and not a select group that forced all State departments to rubber stamp their projects even if they are not justifiable! Money and power have few controls over their monetary greed!

Read it and rejoice in your chance to improve the bad situation we are facing at this time with big wind generators! Our Maine Constitution clearly directs us in Article 1 Section 2 which reads, All power is inherent in the people, all free governments are founded in their authority and instituted for their benefit, that they have therefore an unalienable and indefeasible right to institute government, and to alter, reform, or totally change the same, when their safety and happiness require it. First, when it states that government is instituted for their benefit, as you know this should make the governing people act on behalf of the people and not on the demands of big business etc.! Second, our safety and happiness which has been truly compromised, according to the avoided law, must be changed! I will add a extra statement to this letter to explain the harmful nature of infrasound, pressure waves, that all large wind generators put out! And that fact has been hidden, in a conspiring method, to keep the truth about the harmful nature of the sound pressure wave that for the most part can not be heard but are truly causing the concussion like health problems that are well documented! Also where the wind bill of 2008 was not from the people or for the people there is no legal reason to keep it on the books, partly because The big wind companies were not truthful about the harm the generators can cause people or the environment or the fires that could burn most of Maine to the ground etc.! So because the wind companies representatives used false hooks to reel the legislature in at the last minute on a bill that should not of been brought forward as a emergency bill then it his no legal standing! Or should we hold the legislature responsible for their quick actions on the behalf of big business and not for the people they are paid to represent! I would say let the problems fall back on the wind companies that lied and used many half truths to try and prove the case! I will add a statement of proof, written by the wind company, that the wind power company in Mars Hills passed out to the residents! This letter states that there will be no noise at the bottom of the mountain, that is a liable lie is it not?! Is this the same line of bull that they feed to our Maine legislature, I'm sure it is and it is time things change now before more harm can be imposed upon people looking for a pursuit of happiness and freedom of choice etc.?! If this wind bill stands we have no democracy, is this what you would like to see on you watch? Principles and truth and justice have to come first before the new god called power and money!

Also as I have put in writing before, it is time for a new law that has the Attorney Generals office evaluate all new bills before the legislature has a chance in bring more laws forward that are not of and buy and for the people! It only makes good sense to study the bills, first, from a legal standpoint!

This next paragraph is for Paul. Your wife should of received a hand written letter from Mike Gosselin from Mars Hill. He is living to close to 28 commercial wind powered generators and is having many physical impairments from the generators, and his wife is not impressed as you can imagine! He built a special building, thick walls etc., to help keep some of the sound from has body! His dogs had to go because of the noise, pressure waves, they were barking all the time the generators were making a noise! If the dogs can hear a dog whistle just think about the pressure wave from 28 large generators, turning at the blade tips at 180 MPH, that is constantly imposed upon their sensitive ears and body! When a family can not even keep a pet dog in their castle, home, then there is a real problem with this picture that you need to change and investigate now! If you wish to visit Mike on a day when the wind is coming in his direction you will hear and feel the pressure wave and only when you test the water with your finger in the water can you tell what the temperature is or what he is forced to live with!

Mike was turned down yesterday for a tax abatement! The town is acting out of fear that others will also want a reduction on their taxes for the devaluation of the property! This is the other major conspiracy imposed on the people of Maine as the noisy unsightly generators do devalue their property and the wind companies tell the towns not to allow any reductions because the town will loose and a president will be set, heaven forbid!

I am also helping Mike with case against a wind company in Mars Hill! The case was not properly understood by the Maine Supreme Court, infrasound is a new topic to them, so we are going to the US Supreme Court with our new facts about infrasound that the court did not take the time or interest to properly investigate the details about how infrasound harms people and it has been properly documented! Please call if I can clarify the importance of your actions to take away the chaos!

We can create 20,000 jobs in this state by letting students in Maine schools design, and build, solar panels for hot water and truly free green power free electrify for every home in America! We can make 10,000 jobs in Maine with small hydro power, waterwheels, free clean power 24 hours a day all year long! And big hydro projects should go forward with no dams! Today we can pipe water to large generators we do not need dams!! It has been said that Maine with the water we have can almost supply the entire country with electric power, now there is a thought! Why not let the research on solar panels, etc. at our colleges be sent by computer to the classroom, high school and junior highs, of America ? Then hands on will help with the obesity problem will it not! The military will not like it though because they do not want the kids to be independent or self-reliant or have a financial way or means to stay out of the military! That is the stock market was crashed was to get little kids in the military after the Iraq war went bad and few kids were going into the military, the big power maggots took care of that problem quick! Please help to give our children future opportunities and not have the military as one of the only options! Truly yours, Lenny Murphy. 90 Energy Lane Woodville, Maine 04457 phone 746-9212

To: The Maine Historic Preservation Comm. and The
Dept. of Environmental Protection. 4/30/13

From: Leonard J. Murphy. Jr. 90 Energy Lane Woodville,
Maine 04457 phone 746-9212

This information will add to the ability to evaluate mountain tops for old Indian sites, and to show my disapproval of industrial wind powered generator where they do not belong for several reasons!

First I should state that the reasons for the Indians to choosing a site, thousands of years ago, was different from the reasons that they would choose a site on more level grounds near the waterways! When they went to the hills and mountain tops they were looking for directions as to what is ahead and who may be behind them! As well as to look for game and new waters, and to retreat from the bugs, etc.! Today we are evaluating low land sites in the same way as high land sites, not realistic at all! On low land sites Indians were looking for water and mineral resources and good land to grow crops and perhaps none of these conditions will be present on a high point in Maine! So knowing several factors, I will only talk about a few, like the fact that the waters came all the way up to Medway, Maine when the ice melted about 8,000 yrs. ago! So where could the Indian go early on in the Lincoln area, to the hill and mountain tops only! So if first wind has someone drive 5 MPH in a truck and sees no Indians sites, that would be thousands of years old, then they get

away without digging but a few holes where a pole will be near the water! Well how about looking for a site on a hill top where it can be proven they had very good reason to seek out? Because of course they do not want to find a site that will shut them down so they conspire to keep the archeologist at bay!! One trick they use is to send the Maine Historic Preservation Comm. maps that show the immediate area only, as they did in the Lincoln area, and not show the 13 lakes and close proximity of the Penobscot River, which would of been connected directly to the other area lakes in those days! Even today it is a short portage away! We have to look at things with eyes from the past and not from what may seem obvious today, and especially not for monetary reasons alone! I think I have explained my points and or facts well enough to move on at this time! I will bring forward more thoughts in several areas as these proceedings continue! Truly yours, Lenny Murphy. PS Please call if you want to know where to dig and why!

From: [Dan](#)
To: [Beyer, Stacie R](#)
Subject: Carroll Plantation
Date: Monday, October 10, 2016 4:12:47 PM
Attachments: [CarrollPltn10-10-16.doc](#)

Dear Stacie,

Please accept the attached letter in support of the removal of Carroll Plantation from the expedited wind permitting area. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Martha Marchut

Martha W. Marchut

640 Pleasant Point Road ♦ Cushing, ME 04563
207-354-0714 ♦ E-mail: mwm617@gwi.net

October 10, 2016

Stacie Beyer, Chief Planner
Land Use Planning Commission

Dear Stacie:

I am writing to support the removal of Carroll Plantation from the Wind Act Expedited Area.

Criterion 3A of 3453-A, THE PROPOSED REMOVAL WILL NOT HAVE AN UNREASONABLE ADVERSE EFFECT ON THE STATE'S ABILITY TO MEET THE STATE GOALS FOR WIND ENERGY DEVELOPMENT IN SECTION 3404, SUBSECTION 2, PARAGRAPH C

The legislature specifically referred to the wind energy goal in "Section 3404, Subsection 2, Paragraph C". Paragraph C describes the 2030 goal as achieving "at least 8,000 megawatts of installed capacity by 2030, including 5,000 megawatts from generation facilities located in coastal waters". In other words, it requires 3,000 megawatts of land-based installed capacity. Currently there are over 900 megawatts now operating or under construction combined with proposed 2,100 megawatts. As such, Maine will exceed the 2030 goal of 3,000 megawatts without adding any installed capacity in Carroll Plantation.

Criterion 3B – REMOVAL FROM THE EXPEDITED PERMITTING AREA IS CONSISTENT WITH THE PRINCIPAL VALUES AND GOALS IN COMPREHENSIVE LAND USE PLAN;

There are 18 scenic resources of state or national significance (SRSNS) within eight miles of Carroll Plantation. These SRSNS were specifically excluded at the time that the expedited areas were designated. If wind turbines were placed on Bowers Mountain, the only logical location for them within Carroll Plantation, the 18 SRSNS would be adversely affected. In addition, compromise of the 18 SRSNS would jeopardize the livelihood of the many Registered Maine Guides who work in the area and who are instrumental in maintaining its pristine nature.

In conclusion, Carroll Plantation satisfies the two criteria for removal from the expedited wind permitting area. I respectfully ask the Commission to remove Carroll Plantation from the expedited wind permitting area.

Sincerely,

Martha W, Marchut

From: [Diane Neil](#)
To: [Beyer, Stacie R](#)
Subject: Carroll Plantation Expedited Zone
Date: Monday, October 10, 2016 8:27:57 PM
Attachments: [Untitled.pdf](#)



Steven And Diane Neil

207 236 8719 sdneil@roadrunner.com 245 Washington Street Camden Maine 04843

October 10, 2016

Stacie R. Beyer
Maine Land Use Planning Commission
106 Hogan Road, Suite 8
Bangor, Maine 04401

Dear Ms. Beyer,

We are writing the Commission to express our support for the removal of Carroll Plantation from the expedited wind zone. We own and use property on Junior Lake and are members of PPDW. We have attended many of the meetings and voiced our opposition to the plans submitted for the Bowers Mountain project. We were also present for the first meeting held to expand the expedited map for the ease of application for the applicant. We were opposed to that change at the time and were surprised at how easily it was changed. After the denials for the applications it would seem that remaining in an expedited zone would be illogical since it has been determined that the site is a qualified significant scenic State resource and as such not suited to inclusion in the expedited map for development by industrial wind any longer.

We do not believe that this small amount of generation from a Carroll site will contribute much to the State reaching it's capacity mandate and removal from the expedited zone is also in keeping with the values and goals as set forth by Maine Land Use and Planning Commission for siting requirements.

Respectfully Yours,

Steven and Diane Neil

Chris + Patsy Flynn
2289 Main Rd
CARROLL, PLANTATION 04487

Stacie B. Beyer
Maine Land Use Planning Comm.
106 Hogan Rd. Suite 8
Bangor, Maine 04401

RECEIVED

SEP 26 2016

LUPC - DOWNEAST

Dear Ms. Beyer,

I was born in Lincoln Maine in 1936 and from that day forward I have resided (most of my life) at the homestead in Carroll Plantation, with my husband. The property I have was a land grant and has always been in our family since the 1800's. My ancestors would be appaled at the destruction of our environment and the damage the windmills cause for our Eagles, bats and other forest creatures. We, in the state of Maine have water power available to us that does not harm our creatures. Consequently our state should be concerned about the insual and invasive impact these companies have on our beautiful and scenic State.

A decision was made by the Supreme Court in the best interest for our environment's natural resources including our lakes. I think the decision of the court should be upheld as the final judgement.

There are many unanswered questions by these companies that could (in the future) cause some

of these small towns more problems than they expect. I was town treasurer for 25 years, so I speak with some knowledge about the cause and effect of some of these speculative companies that are not really environmentally friendly.

Sincerely,

Christopher Flynn
Bates Flynn